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#### Abstract

W e consider a brillarm edium with a continuous distribution of two-levelatom scoupled to quantized electrom agnetic elds. Perturbation theory is developed based on the current algebra satis ed by the atom ic operators. T he one-loop corrections to the dispersion relation for the polaritons and the dielectric constant are com puted. R enorm alization group equations are derived which dem onstrate a screening of the two-level splitting at higher energies. O ur results are com pared w ith know $n$ results in the slow ly varying envelope and rotating wave approxim ations. W e also discuss the quantum sine-G ordon theory as an approxim ate theory.


PACS: $42.50 . *$

## 1. Introduction

The interaction of radiation $w$ ith a medium of atom $s$ is an im portant problem w ith $m$ any applications, in particular to the propagation of optical pulses and to lasers. W hen studying resonance phenom enon it is natural to approxim ate the atom sas two-level system s , resulting in opticalB loch equations. For a single atom in an extemalclassicalelectric eld, this problem was solved by Rabin in . A collection of atom s coupled to radiation is described by the so-called M axw ell-B loch equations. P ropagation e ects in the latterm odel, were studied by M $\propto$ C all and $H$ ahn $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}]$. There, a sem i-classical approxim ation was $m$ ade wherein the electrom agnetic eld was purely classical. W ith som e additional approxim ations (see below) it was shown that the equations of $m$ otion reduce to the well-known sine-G ordon equation, and the optical soliton solutions w ere observed experim entally also
 by D icke[ excellent account of optical resonance phenom ena.

In this paper we study the fully quantum system of radiation in interaction with a continuous distribution of atom $s$ in a ber geom etry. Two di erent m odels are studied, one follow ing from taking the interaction ham iltonian to be $\mathbb{G} E$, which we refer to as the current-m odel', the other follow ing from them inim alcoupling prescription $p!p$ and referred to as the charge-m odel'. W e develop perturbation theory using the current algebra satis ed by the atom ic operators. This allows us to easily determ ine the dependence of various physical quantities on the num ber of atom $s \mathrm{~N}$. In particular by com puting the photon selfenergy we determ ine the rst quantum corrections to the polariton dispersion relation and dielectric constant.

In the current model, we show how the quantum corrections im ply a renorm alization group equation for the two-levelenergy splitting, this splitting becom ing screened at higher energies.

In the last sections of the paper we com pare our results $w$ ith know n results obtained under various approxim ations, nam ely the slow ly-varying envelope and rotating wave approxim ations. We also argue that the quantum sine-G ordon theory has som e validity as an e ective quantum eld theory.

The two-dim ensional quantum eld theories we study are interesting in their own right. The $m$ ore interesting of the two $m$ odels we study (the current m odel) is de ned by the ham iltonian

$$
H=H_{0}+\quad \mathrm{dx} \frac{!_{0}}{2} S_{3}(x)+\frac{-}{2} \varrho_{t} \quad(x) S^{+}(x)+S \quad(x) \quad ;
$$

where $H_{0}$ is a free ham iltonian for a scalar eld,$S_{3} ; S$ satisfy a current algebra (see equation 2 29), ! o is the tw o-level splliting of the atom $s$, and is a dim ensionless coupling that depends on the strength of the dipole transition. T he renorm alization group equation for the radiative level shifts is a consequence of the beta-function for $!_{0}$, which we com pute to low est order. To the best of our know ledge, this $m$ odel is not integrable, though various approxim ations to it are integrable (see below ).
2. Two M odels for the $Q$ uantum $M$ axw ell-B loch Theory
$T$ here are tw o related $m$ odels describing the coupling of tw o-level atom $s$ to quantized electrom agnetic elds. O ne follow s from taking the interaction ham iltonian to be $đ \mathrm{E}^{2}$ $w$ here $đ$ is the dipole $m$ om ent operator and $E$ the electric eld. The other follow from the usualm inim al coupling prescription, $p$ ! $p$ where $p$ is the $m$ om entum operator and A the vector potential. W e w ill refer to these as the current-m odel and charge-m odel ${ }^{\prime}$ respectively. In this section we describe the reduction ofboth these $m$ odels to one spacial dim ension. W e will rst consider the case of a single atom, and then extend this to a continuous distribution of atom s . W e set $\mathrm{c}=1 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{m}$ ost places, but keep $\mathrm{h} \in 1$ in some form ulas to clarify certain points. All form ulas with c 1 have both c and h restored.

For both cases, we m odel a single unperturbed atom as a single electron which has tw o eigenstates $2 i ; j l i$, with energy di erence $h!0$. Letting $H_{0}^{\text {atom }}$ denote the unperturbed atom ic ham iltonian, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\text {atom }} \mathfrak{j l i}=\frac{\mathrm{h}!_{0}}{2} \mathfrak{j l i} ; \quad \mathrm{H}_{0}^{\text {atom }} \mathrm{R} i=+\frac{\mathrm{h}!_{0}}{2} \mathfrak{R i}: \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the basis ( Zi ; 7 jl i ),

$$
\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\text {atom }}=\frac{\mathrm{h}!0}{2} \quad 3 ; \quad 3=\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{2:2}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}:
$$

### 2.1 C urrent-M odel

O ne can couple the 2-levelatom sto the electrom agnetic eld starting from the energy of an electron in an extemal electric eld. Let the single atom be centered at $x_{0}$, and let $\pi=e x$ denote the electric dipole $m$ om ent operator. The com plete ham iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}{ }^{\text {eld }}+\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\text {atom }} \quad đ \mathrm{E}^{\Sigma}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}$ eld is the free $M$ axw ell ham iltonian, and $x$ is the position of the electron. Let us further assum e that the electric eld does not vary signi cantly over the region where the atom ic w avefunction is non-zero, i.e.

$$
\text { ha } \bar{d} E(x) \text { bi } \quad E\left(x_{0}\right) \text { ha } \tilde{d} \pi b i ;
$$

for $\dot{\beta} ;$;bi unperturbed atom ic eigenstates.
$T$ he $m$ atrix elem ents of $\mathbb{a}$ have the follow ing general form
where $d$ is a realparam eter and $b$ is a unit vector that speci es the orientation of the atom in space. had ${ }^{2} \mathrm{bi}=0$ for $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$ since $\pi$ is a vector operator w ith odd parity and the states Ai are assum ed to have de nite parity.

$$
\text { Letting } \underbrace{Ð}=\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{~b} \text {, one then has }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a E(x)=d 巴^{巴}\left(x_{0}\right) e^{i} \quad+\quad e^{i} \quad ; \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
+=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array} ; \quad=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}:
$$

W e w ill later need the algebra of the -operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 ;=2 ; \quad+;={ }_{3}: \tag{2:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the phase $e^{i}$ can be rem oved by letting ! $e^{i} \quad w$ ithout a ecting the algebra; we henceforth set this phase to one.

Consider a brillar geom etry, where the atom can be viewed as an im purity in an optical ber of length $L$ and cross-sectional area A, where $L{ }^{P} \bar{A}$. O ne can perform a
reduction to this essentially one-dim ensional theory as follow s . T he free M axw ell action which determ ines $\mathrm{H}_{0}{ }^{\text {eld }}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{axwell}}=\frac{1}{4}^{Z} \quad d^{3} x d t \quad \frac{1}{4} F \quad F \quad ; \tag{2:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}=@ \mathrm{~A}$ @ A . Let denote the direction along the ber, and $p ; b$ the directions transverse to it. O ne can rst consistently set $A_{0}=0$. W e also require the energy ux to be along the ber, so that the Pointing vector is in the direction. This requires $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}=0$ and $@_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{z}}=@_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{y}}=0$. Thus, we only have to dealw ith the com ponents of $\mathbb{A}$ transverse to the direction of the ber. Of these, only $\mathbb{X}=\AA \quad \mathrm{A}$ couples to the atom. A ssum e $\ngtr>$ is independent of $y ; z$, and let ${ }^{R} d y d z=A . O$ ne has

O ne can altematively understand the appearance of the cross-sectional area A by considering the $m$ ode expansion of a free scalar eld in nite volum $e V$,

In the brillar geom etry, $V=A L$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{A}}$ is very sm all com pared to L . As L ! 1 , the $m$ odes in the direction are nearly continuous. The transverse modes in the $\ddagger p ; b$ directions have a maxim um wavelength on the order of ${ }^{p} \bar{A}$ and are thus very energetic in com parison to the low energy $m$ odes in the $L$-direction. Thus, we are assum ing these high energy transverse $m$ odes are negligibly excited, which is reasonable if $1=\frac{p}{A} \quad!0$. In general A should be replaced by $A_{e}$, which is the e ective cross-sectional area of the ber as a w aveguide.

Rescale l :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{4 \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{e}}}}: \tag{2:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{axwell}}}{\mathrm{~h}}=\mathrm{dxdt}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(@_{t} @_{t} \quad Q_{x} @_{\mathrm{x}}\right): ~: ~} \tag{2:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eld is a dim ensionless scalar eld. In the quantum theory it satis es the com mu tation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(x ; t) ; a_{t} \quad\left(x^{0} ; t\right)\right]=\text { i }\left(x \quad x^{0}\right): \tag{2:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U \operatorname{sing} \sum^{Q}=Q \in$, and dividing by $h$ to give the ham iltonian units of $1=$ tim $e$, we obtain the com plete ham iltonian of the current-m odel:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\text {atom }}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {int }}^{\text {(current) }} \text {; } \tag{2:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\text {atom }}$ is de ned in (2-2),

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{0}=\mathrm{Z}  \tag{2:15}\\
\mathrm{dx} \frac{1}{2}\left(@_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(@_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2} ;  \tag{2:16}\\
H_{\text {int }}^{\text {(current) }}=\frac{-}{2} @_{t}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)+{ }^{+} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{r}{\frac{16}{h c A_{e}}} \mathrm{~d}: \tag{2:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term inology current-m odel refers to the fact that the spin operators couple to $@_{t}$ which is the spacial com ponent of the conserved topological current @ , where is the anti-sym $m$ etric tensor.

The param eter is the im portant dim ensionless coupling constant of the m odel. Since d $e R_{\text {atom }}$, where $R_{\text {atom }}$ is an atom ic dim ension,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{8} \quad 2 \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{hc}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\text {atom }}^{2}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{e}}} \quad \frac{2}{137} \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\text {atom }}^{2}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{e}}}: \tag{2:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, generally, ${ }^{2}=8$ is very $s m$ all. A $n$ idealized upper lim it w ould correspond to a chain of atom $s$ in a waveguide that is one atom in thickness, so that $R_{\text {atom }}^{2} A_{e}$. This is perhaps nearly realizable w ith a polym er waveguide. In this situation ${ }^{2}=4 \quad 1=137 . W$ e will refer to this hypothetical lim iting case where the quantum e ects are strongest as the quantum opticalchain.

The param eter ${ }^{2}=8$ determ ines the spontaneous decay rate $1=$ of a single excited atom. First order perturbation theory gives

$$
\mathrm{nl} ; \mathrm{kji}{ }^{2}=\frac{{ }^{2}!_{0}}{16}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \left(!_{0}\right. & \mathrm{k} j \tag{2:19}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
$$

where $j$; $k i=j i_{\text {atom }}$ ki and $k i$ is a one-photon state $w i t h$ wave-vector $k$. This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{1}=\quad \mathrm{dk}+\underset{1}{\mathrm{Z}} ; \mathrm{k} \mathrm{Ki}^{2}\left(2 \quad\left(!_{0} \quad \mathrm{kj}\right)^{1}=\frac{2}{8}!_{0}:\right. \tag{2:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 C harge-M odel

For the charge-m odel we begin w ith the standard way to couple particles to electro$m$ agnetic elds and consider a single electron ham iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2 m_{e}} \quad P \quad \text { © }(x)^{2}+V(x) ; \tag{2:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p$ is the $m$ om entum operator and $V$ is the atom ic potential. A gain, we $m$ ake a
 for the current -m odel, we assum $e$ the analog of ( 2.41$)$. U sing

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{m_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~h}} x ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{\text {atom }} ; \tag{2:22}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e}{m_{e}} \mathbb{A}\left(x_{0}\right) \quad \text { hajp jpi }=\frac{i}{h}\left(E_{b} \quad E_{a}\right) \overparen{A}\left(x_{0}\right) \quad \text { ha̛j pi; } \tag{2:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the energy of the state $\bar{j} \mathrm{i}$, and $\mathbb{đ}$ is again the electric dipole operator $\mathbb{đ}=\mathrm{ex}$.



$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\quad \mathrm{dx} \frac{1}{2}\left(@_{t}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(@_{x}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 e^{2}}{m_{e} A_{e}} \quad{ }^{2}\left(x_{0}\right) ; \tag{2:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the interaction term is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\text {int }}^{\text {(charge) }}=\frac{!!_{0}}{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)^{+} \quad: \tag{2:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e refer to this as the charge-m odel since (1) ( 1 ) is the charge associated to the topological current @ .

The spontaneous decay rate to low est order for the charge-m odel is the sam e as for the current-m odel $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} 2 \overline{2}_{1}^{1}\right)$.

### 2.3 C ontinuous D istribution of A tom s

C onsider now a collection ofatom $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}$ ith the N atom spositioned at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1 ;: ; \mathrm{N}$. A lso, let $\pi_{i}=e\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x_{i}\end{array}\right), j ; 2 i_{i}$ and (i) denote the dipole $m$ om ent, 2-level states, and $P$ auli
 $m$ atrix elem ents of $\pi_{i}$ don't depend on $x_{i}$. H ow ever, in general the atom $s$ have variable orientations in space and ${ }_{i} h 2 \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{i} j i_{i}=d e^{i} b_{i}$, where $\mathfrak{b}_{i}$ can vary from atom to atom. To
sim plify the situation, we assum e that all the atom $s$ are som ehow aligned, for exam ple by som e extemal electric eld or by being em bedded in a crystal. T hen,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i} h 2 \mathfrak{a}_{i} \mathcal{j} i_{i}=d e^{i} b ; \quad{ }_{i} h \mathcal{l} \mathfrak{a}_{i} \mathcal{R} i_{i}=d e^{i} b: \tag{2:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the current-m odel, the interaction becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {int }}^{\text {(current) }}=\frac{-}{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \varrho_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad{ }^{+} \text {(i) }+\quad \text { (i) : } \tag{2:27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce space-tim e dependent spin operators as follow s:

$$
S^{a}(x)={ }_{i=1}^{x^{N}} \quad{ }^{a}(i) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x \tag{2:28}
\end{array}\right):
$$

These operators satisfy a current algebra

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{3}(x ; t) ; S \quad\left(x^{0} ; t\right) & =2 S(x ; t)\left(x \quad x^{2}\right) \\
S^{+}(x ; t) ; S \quad\left(x^{0} ; t\right) & =S_{3}(x ; t)(x \quad x): \tag{2:29}
\end{align*}
$$

The ham iltonian for both the current and charge $m$ odels takes the form $(\underline{2}-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}^{\text {atom }}=\frac{!}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{2:30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& H_{\text {int }}^{\text {(current) }}=\frac{1}{2} \underset{Z}{d x @_{t}}(x ; t) S^{+}(x ; t)+S \quad(x ; t) \\
& H_{\text {int }}^{\text {(charge) }}=\frac{!0^{Z}}{2} d x \quad(x ; t) S^{+}(x ; t) \quad S(x ; t): \tag{2:31}
\end{align*}
$$

For the charge-m odel, the additional term in $\left(2 \overline{2}^{2} \overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ leads to a $m$ ass term for the scalar eld:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{dx}} \frac{1}{2}\left(@_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(@_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{2} \quad 2(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{2:32}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=4 \frac{e^{2}}{m_{e}} \frac{N}{L^{2}}: \tag{2:33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In w orking w ith the above form ulation, onem ust im pose a further condition that there is a single electron bound to each atom. For the one-atom operators, this is $m$ anifest in the tw o-dim ensional representation of the ' S , which has the additional relations ( (i) $)^{2}=0$, $\left(3_{3}(i)\right)^{2}=1 . N$ ote from the de nition (2 $\left.2 \overline{2} \overline{1}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x ; t)^{2} \in 0: \tag{2:34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hese issues are $m$ ore easily resolved in a ferm ionic description, which we tum to next.

### 2.4 Ferm ionic Description

C onsider rst the one-atom case. In a second quantized description, one introduces a ferm ion wavefunction $j$ i:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=b_{1} j 1 i+b_{2} j_{2} ; \quad h \quad j=h 1 \frac{p_{1}}{y}+h 2 p_{2}^{y} ; \tag{2:35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the b 's are ferm ion operators satisfy ing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fb}_{1} ; \mathrm{b}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{fb} \mathrm{~b}_{2} ; \mathrm{b}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~g}=1: \tag{2:36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $\mathrm{b}_{1}^{y}\left(\mathrm{o}_{2}^{y}\right)$ creates an electron in the low est (highest) level. The operators then have the follow ing well-know $n$ representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
+=\mathrm{b}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{1} ; \quad=\mathrm{b}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{2} ; \quad 3=\mathrm{b}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \quad \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{1} ; \tag{2:37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the algebra $(2 . \overline{1})$ is a consequence of $(\overline{2}-\overline{3} \overline{6})$. Since each atom has a single electron, one $m$ ust im pose the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{2}+\mathrm{b}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{1}=1: \tag{2:38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his additional algebraic relation leads to the 2-level relations $(\quad)^{2}=0 ;(3)^{2}=1$.
In the multi-atom case, one can de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{+}(x)=b_{2}^{y}(x) b_{1}(x) ; \quad S \quad(x)=b_{1}^{y}(x) b_{2}(x) \\
& S_{3}(x)=b_{2}^{y}(x) b_{2}(x) \quad \underline{L}^{y}(x) b_{1}(x): \tag{2:39}
\end{align*}
$$

The algebra (2 $\left.2-2 \overline{2} \overline{2}{ }^{2}\right)$ is then a consequence of the anti-com m utation relations:

$$
f b_{1}(x) ; b_{1}^{y}\left(x^{0}\right) g=f b_{2}(x) ; b_{2}^{y}\left(x^{0}\right) g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x \tag{2:40}
\end{array}\right):
$$

De ne the num ber operator:

$$
N P=\quad \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{b}_{2}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{b}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{b}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \quad:
$$

$T$ he operator $\sqrt{ } \downarrow \rho$ com $m$ utes $w$ th the ham iltonian and corresponds to the num ber of atom $s$ in the sam ple. $W$ e therefore im pose the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}: \tag{2:42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In evaluating quantum transition am plitudes, one doesn't have to take special account of this constraint as long as one deals with initial and nal states that satisfy the constraint, since $\mathbb{\mathbb { N }} ; \mathbf{H}]=0 . N$ ote that

$$
\mathrm{dx} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{x})=\sqrt{\mathrm{Z}}+2^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{y}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{b}_{2}(\mathrm{x}):
$$

In the sequel, we will work with the perturbative vacuum :

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=j 0 i_{\text {photon }} \quad j \# i_{\text {tom }} ; \tag{2:44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where j\#i denotes the atom ic state with all N atom s in their low est energy state, and j O i is the state $w$ th no photons. $T$ his vacuum state satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2}(x) j i=S \quad(x) j i=0 ; \tag{2:45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{\text {atom }} j i=\frac{N!_{0}}{2} j i: \tag{2:46}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2, $2=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x S_{3}(x) j i=\quad N j i: \tag{2:47}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing spacialtranslation invariance of $j i$ (w e are ignoring boundary e ects for $L$ very large), ( $\overline{2}-\bar{A} \overline{-1})$ im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}(x) j i=\frac{N}{L} j i: \tag{2:48}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e rem ark that $j i$ is not the exact ground state of the theory; see section 5 .

## 3. P erturbation T heory

In this section, we study the perturbative expansion for the general correlation functions of the eld, which are simply related to electric eld correlatons via (2. conven ience of notation, in the charge-m odelw em ake the rede nition $S$ ! i ${ }^{1} S$, which does not a ect the commutation relations ( $\left.\mathbf{2}^{-2} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right)$. T he interaction ham iltonian for both m odels can then be w ritten as

$$
H_{\text {int }}=g^{Z} d x O(x ; t) S^{+}(x ; t)+S \quad(x ; t)
$$

where for

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { current model: } & g=\frac{-}{2} ; \quad O=@_{t} \\
\text { charge model: } & g=\frac{!_{0}}{2} ; \quad O=: \tag{3:2}
\end{array}
$$

W e begin with the partition function, de ned as the vacuum to vacuum transition am plitude. In the interaction picture,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{h} j \mathrm{~J}(1 ; 1) j \mathrm{i} ; \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}(1 ; 1)=\mathrm{T} \exp \quad \text { ig } \quad \mathrm{dxdtO}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})\left(\mathrm{S}^{+}+\mathrm{S}\right) \quad: \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& h \# j^{\mathrm{a}_{2 \mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right) \quad{ }^{\mathrm{a}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{1} ; \mathrm{t}_{1}\right) j \# \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{g}=0}: \\
& \mathrm{a}_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{a}_{2 \mathrm{n}}= \tag{3:5}
\end{align*}
$$

The tim e dependence of the $S$-correlators is simple, since the ham iltonian at $g=0$ im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \quad(x ; t)=e^{i!o t} S \quad(x ; 0): \tag{3:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,


It $w$ ill be helpful to pass to $m$ om entum space. Our conventions are $\widetilde{K}=(!; k)$, $d^{2} \widetilde{\kappa}=d!d k, \widetilde{k}^{2}=!^{2} \quad k^{2}$, and $\mathfrak{k} \quad x=!t \quad k x$. De ne


Substituting this into ( (3-5.

$$
Z_{1}^{Z_{t}} d t^{0} e^{i!t^{0}}=\frac{i}{!+i} e^{i!t} ;
$$

where here and below is in nitesim ally sm all and positive. O ne nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{a_{1} ;::: ; a_{2 n}}\left(!_{1} ;::: ;!_{2 n}\right) \quad h \# j^{a_{2 n}}\left(x_{2 n} ; 0\right) \quad a_{1}\left(x S_{1} ; 0\right) j \# i_{g=0} ; \tag{3:10}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a_{1} ;::: ; a_{2 n}}\left(!_{1} ;::: ;!_{2 n} \quad 1\right)={ }_{i=1}^{2 \underline{Y} P_{j=1}^{1}\left(!_{j} \quad a_{j}!0\right)+i}: \tag{3:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he in nite volum e singularities in the sum ( $\overline{3}$. 10 in $) \mathrm{m}$ ust be regulated in order to obtain som ething $m$ eaningful. H ow ever, the correlation fiunctions, which are obtained by dividing by $Z$, are well de ned order by order in perturbation theory.

Let 1; 2;:: denote some local elds. The same analysis as above leads to
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2 n+m)}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1}^{0} ;::: ; \widetilde{K}_{m}^{0} ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{1} ;::: ; \widetilde{K}_{2 n}\right) f_{a_{1} ;::: ; a_{2 n}}\left(!_{1} ;::: ;!_{2 n} \quad 1\right) \\
& \mathrm{h} \# j^{\mathrm{S}_{2 n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}} ; 0\right) \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} ; 0\right) j \# \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{g}}=0 ; \\
& a_{1} ;:: ; a_{2 n}= \tag{3:13}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2 n+m)}$ is the Fourier transform of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hO} \mathrm{~J}\left({ }_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{0}\right) \quad \mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}\right) \bigcirc\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{t}_{1}\right) \quad 2 \mathrm{Q} ;\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)-\mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{g}=0}: \tag{3:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(For $n=0$ in ( $(3)$
The integrands in ( $\overline{3} .1$ products of free eld propagators for the eld. The S-correlation functions can be
 vacuum bubbles'. W ew ill illustrate the $m$ ain features by com puting the 2 -point function to order ${ }^{4}$ in the next section.
4. Photon Selfe nergy and the D ielectric C onstant

### 4.1 C harge -M odel C om putations

D ue to the overall m om entum conservation, one can write
$h j(x ; t)(0) j i=\frac{Z}{4^{2}} \quad(!; k) ;$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!; k)=\frac{d^{2} \widetilde{k}^{0}}{(2)^{2}} G^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{k} ; \tilde{\mathrm{k}}^{0}\right) ; \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{E}^{(2)}$ is de ned in $\left.(3]^{-1} \overline{3}_{1}^{1}\right)$. O n general grounds, one expects to take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
(!; k) & =\frac{i}{!^{2} k^{2}(w ; k)} \\
& =\frac{i}{!^{2} k^{2}} 1+\frac{2}{!^{2} k^{2}}+\frac{2}{\left(!^{2} k^{2}\right)^{2}}+:::: \tag{4:3}
\end{align*}
$$

In quantum electrodynam ics, is called the photon self-energy. The dispersion relation is

$$
!^{2} k^{2}=0:
$$

In ourm odels, is only a function of !, so the dielectric constant, de ned as " $(!)=k^{2}=!^{2}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(!)=1 \quad \frac{(!)}{!^{2}}: \tag{4:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The free eld correlators appearing in ( $\mathbf{S i n}^{\prime} \overline{1} \overline{3}^{\prime}$ ) are products of propagators, which follow from the two point function. For the charge m odel,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 j(x ; t) \quad(0) j 0 i_{g=0}=\frac{d^{2} \widetilde{k}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{i}{!^{2} k^{2} 2^{2}+i} \exp (\widetilde{\mathbb{k}} \quad x): \tag{4:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2 n+2)}\left(\widetilde{K}_{;} \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0} ; \widetilde{K}_{1} ;::: ; \widetilde{K}_{2 n}\right)$ is a product of factors $\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2)}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1} ; \widetilde{K}_{2}\right)=(2)^{2(2)}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1}+\widetilde{K}_{2}\right) \frac{i}{\widetilde{K}_{1}^{2}{ }^{2}+i}: \tag{4:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the order ${ }^{2}$ contribution one needs


In the sum over $a_{i}$, only $a_{1}=+; a_{2}=$ give a non-zero contribution, and from ' $(2,2 \overline{2})$, (2. 4 - ${ }^{-1}$ ) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \# \# S \quad\left(x_{2} ; 0\right) S^{+}\left(x_{1} ; 0\right) j \# i=\frac{N}{L} \quad\left(x_{2} \quad x_{1}\right): \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne sees that the $S$-correlation function here gives rise to an e ective 2 -point interaction of the photons, sim ilar to a $m$ ass term. The rst term in ( $\bar{A}, \bar{d})$ gives rise to a vacuum bubble which is subtracted. For the other two term s in ( $\overline{4} . \bar{d})$, one nds that all the integrals are saturated w ith -fiunctions, and one sim ply nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!; k)=\frac{i}{!^{2} k^{2} 2^{2}+i}+\frac{i_{2}(!)}{\left(!{ }^{2} k^{2} r^{2}+i\right)^{2}} ; \tag{4:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(!)=\frac{2}{2} \frac{N}{L} \frac{!_{0}^{3}}{!^{2}!_{0}^{2}}: \tag{4:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The order ${ }^{4}$ com putation can be carried out explicitly. The tw o S-operator correlators that contribute to the sum are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h\# jS }\left(x_{4} ; 0\right) S^{+}\left(x_{3} ; 0\right) S \quad\left(x_{2} ; 0\right) S^{+}\left(x_{1} ; 0\right) j \# i=\frac{N^{2}}{L}\left(x_{21}\right)\left(x_{43}\right)  \tag{4:12}\\
& \text { h\# js }\left(x_{4} ; 0\right) S\left(x_{3} ; 0\right) S^{+}\left(x_{2} ; 0\right) S^{+}\left(x_{1} ; 0\right) j \# i=\frac{N^{2}}{L}{ }^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\left(x_{23}\right) & \left(x_{41}\right)+\left(x_{13}\right) & \left.\left(x_{42}\right)\right) \\
& 2 \frac{N}{L}\left(x_{23}\right)\left(x_{21}\right)\left(x_{41}\right) ;
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(x_{12}\right)=\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right)$, etc. $D$ oing the $x$ integrals one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& (!; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{j}_{4}=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{}_{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{!}{0}_{2}{ }^{4 \mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \tilde{\mathrm{~K}}^{0}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{1}}{(2)^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{4}}{(2)^{2}} 2 \quad\left(!_{1}+::+!_{4}\right) \mathcal{E}_{0}^{(6)}\left(\mathbb{K} ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0} ; \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{1} ;::: ; \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{4}\right) \\
& \frac{\mathrm{N}^{2}}{}{ }^{2} f_{+}+\left(!_{1} ;!_{2} ;!_{3}\right)(2)^{2}\left(k_{12}\right)\left(k_{34}\right)+f_{++}\left(!_{1} ;!_{2} ;!_{3}\right) \\
& \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~L}}{ }^{2}(2)^{2}\left(\left(\mathrm{k}_{13}\right)\left(\mathrm{k}_{24}\right)+\left(\mathrm{k}_{23}\right)\left(\mathrm{k}_{14}\right)\right) \quad 4 \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}+\mathrm{k}_{3}+\mathrm{k}_{4}\right) \quad \text { : } \tag{4:14}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(k_{12}=k_{1}+k_{2}\right.$ etc.) The free $G$ reen's function one needs is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{E}_{0}^{(6)}\left(\widetilde{K}^{\prime} ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0} ; \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{1} ; \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{2} ; \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{3} ; \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{4}\right)=\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{K}} ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0}\right) \mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{1} ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{K}_{3} ; \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{4}\right)+2 \text { perm : } \\
& +\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{K}} ; \widetilde{k}_{1}\right) \mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{K}^{0} ; \widetilde{K}_{2}\right) \mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{K}_{3} ; \widetilde{K}_{4}\right)+11 \text { pem : : } \tag{4:15}
\end{align*}
$$

A diagram $m$ atic technique can be developed for organizing the computation. O ne rst draws a diagram w th $\mathrm{n}+1$ unconnected lines representing $\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2 \mathrm{n}+2)}$, where each line is a propagator assigned the value $i=\left(\widetilde{k}^{2} \quad{ }^{2}+i\right)$, and one repeats this diagram for each possible assignm ent ( $\mathfrak{k} ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{0} ; \widetilde{k}_{1} ;::: ; \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{2 n}$ ) of the ends of the propagators. O ne then links them according to the -fiunctions in the interactions generated by the S-correlations, and integrates over all rem aining $m$ om enta including the factors $f(!)$. The S-correlation functions are such that they generate new kinds of interactions at each order, so we refrain from outlining a com plete set of rules here.

For exam ple, the tem proportional to $\left(k_{12}\right)\left(k_{34}\right)$ leads to the diagram in gure 1, which equals

The labels $1 ; 2:$ in gure 1 refer to $!_{1} ;!_{2}::$ and the structure of the diagram implies $!_{1}=\quad!;!_{2}=!_{4} ;!_{3}=!$; this leads to the integrand (4).
 in the upper half-plane, one only picks up the pole at $!_{2}=p \overline{k^{2}+{ }^{2}}$. The expression


$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{!}{2}{ }^{4} \frac{N}{L}{ }^{2} \frac{1}{2^{2} \frac{1}{k^{2}+{ }^{2}}} \frac{p^{2}}{\left(w^{2} \quad k^{2}(!+!0)\left(!+{ }^{2}\right)\left(!k^{2}+{ }^{2}+\overline{k^{2}+{ }^{2}}\right)\right.}: \tag{4:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ here are a total of 24 term sof this type (after subtracting vacuum bubbles) in ( $\overline{4}-\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4})$, all proportional to $\left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{2}$.

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{k} & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$F$ igure 1. D iagram $m$ atic representation of (4, 1a]).

The term in (4. 1 İ') proportional to $\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}+k_{4}\right)$ is a new e ective 4 -point interaction of the photons. In the diagram $m$ atic schem e described above, one contribution for example is show $n$ in gure 2. This has the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{8} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}}\left(!_{0}\right)^{4} \frac{1}{2!_{0}\left(!+!_{0}\right)\left(!^{2}\right.} \mathrm{k}^{2}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \widetilde{K}_{3}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(\widetilde{K}_{3}^{2}{ }^{2}+i\right)\left(!_{3}!_{0}+i\right)}: \tag{4:18}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. D iagram $m$ atic representation of (4)

There are a total of 12 term s of this kind.
U pon sum $m$ ing these contributions, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!; k) j_{4}=\frac{i}{\left(!{ }^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}{ }^{2}\right)^{3}}(2(!))^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\left(!{ }^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad 4(!) \tag{4:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{2!0}{\left(!^{2} \quad!_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{e} \quad!_{0}+i\right)}: \tag{4:20}
\end{align*}
$$

 whereas ${ }_{4}$ is the sum of the diagram sof the kind shown in gure 2. Thuswe have veri ed to fourth order the structure anticipated in ( $\left.\overline{4}-\frac{1}{-1}\right)$, w ith $={ }^{2}+2+{ }_{4}+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{6}\right)$.

In theories $w$ ith $m$ ore conventional perturbative expansions, the selfenergy is the sum of one-particle irreducible 2-point diagram s. O ne-particle irreducibility is likely to be $m$ ore transparent in a perturbative expansion based on the $b_{1 ; 2}$ ferm ion elds rather than directly on the $S$ operators, but we don't develop this here.

The left-over integrals in 4 identify it as a one-loop contribution. The nal result for the selfenergy of the charge-m odel is the follow ing:

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
(!)={ }^{2}+\frac{{ }^{2}}{2} \frac{N}{L} \frac{!_{0}^{3}}{!^{2}}!_{0}^{2} & 1+\frac{2}{8} \frac{2!_{0}^{2}}{!_{0}^{2}}{ }^{2} \\
P \frac{2!_{0}^{3}}{!_{0}^{2}{ }^{2}}  \tag{4:21}\\
& \left.\frac{3!{ }_{0}^{2}!^{2}}{\left(!^{2}\right.}!_{0}^{2}\right)\left(!_{0}^{2}\right. \\
\left.{ }^{2}\right) & \log \\
! & \frac{!_{0}!_{0}^{2}{ }^{2}}{2}
\end{array}
$$

The size of the one-loop corrections is determ ined by the dim ensionless param eter ${ }^{2}=8$. The expression ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ ) is the $m$ ain result of this section. We rem ark that setting to zero leads to infra-red divergences.

The selfenergy depends on N only through the com.bination ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}!{ }_{0}=\mathrm{L}$ w ith units of $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{ass}^{2}$. This has a universalm eaning in term s of the volum e density of atom s :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad \frac{2}{8} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}}!_{0}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}}=2 \mathrm{~h}!0 \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{c}^{4}} ; \tag{4:22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{A}_{\text {eff }} \mathrm{L}}$. In the lim iting case of the quantum optical chain discussed above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2} \frac{1}{137} 2!_{0} ; \tag{4:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is density per unit length of atom ic im purities. To obtain an idea of orders of $m$ agnitude, for $h!0=1 \mathrm{ev}$, one $\mathrm{nds} \mathrm{m}=1 \mathrm{ev}$ for $=10^{5}=\mathrm{cm}$.

### 4.2 C urrent-M odel C om putations

C onsider next the current-m odel. The photon self-energy should now be de ned as follow s:

The general expressions for the charge-m odel we obtained in this section still apply, except that now $\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(\mathrm{n})}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1} ;::: ;{\widetilde{K_{n}}}_{n}\right)$ is the Fourier transform of the correlation function


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(2)}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1} ; \widetilde{K}_{2}\right)=(2)^{2}(2)\left(\widetilde{K}_{1}+\widetilde{K}_{2}\right) \frac{i!{ }_{1}^{2}}{\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}+i}: \tag{4:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating the above com putations, one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\frac{2!_{0}}{\left(!^{2} \quad!_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}(\mathrm{e}} \quad!_{0}+i\right) \text { : } \tag{4:26}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne can perform the integrals as before. An im portant di erence from the charge$m$ odel is that here the one-loop integrals are ultraviolet divergent. There is a naturalu.v.
cuto in the m odel since it is implicit in (2.4) that the wavelength of the photons are large com pared to the size of the atom s . W e introduce a u.v. cuto as follow s :

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{Z}_{1} & & Z^{Z} &  \tag{4:27}\\
& d!! & & d!:
\end{array}
$$

The nal result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=\frac{2}{2} \frac{N}{L} \frac{!_{0}!^{2}}{!^{2}!_{0}^{2}} 1+\frac{{ }^{2}}{4} \quad \frac{{ }^{2}}{8} \quad \frac{!^{2}+!_{0}^{2}}{!^{2}!_{0}^{2}} \quad \log \frac{2}{!_{0}^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \text { i } \quad+o\left({ }^{6}\right): \tag{4:28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere, the im aginary part arises from choosing a positive argum ent of the log, i.e. ${ }^{2}>{ }_{0}^{2}$, which is physically sensible if resonant photons (w thenergy ! $0_{0}$ ) have a longer wavelength than the size of atom s . N ote how ever that $\operatorname{Im}()=0$ when ${ }^{2}<!_{0}^{2}$. A s we show below, the occurrence of this im aginary part is related to the spontaneous decay lifetim e of the atom s.

## 5. P olaritons and Spontaneous D ecay

O ne sees from the selfenergy that the spectrum consists of two polariton' branches. To low est order in ${ }^{2}$, the dispersion relation for the current -m odel reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.!^{2}=!^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \quad\left(!_{0}^{2}+k^{2}+4 m^{2}\right) \quad q \overline{\left(!{ }_{0}^{2}\right.} \quad k^{2} \quad 4 m^{2}\right)^{2}+16 m^{2}!_{0}^{2} \quad: \tag{5:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two branches are plotted in gure 3. A sm ! 0, the two branches become $!^{2}=!_{0}^{2}$ and $!^{2}=k^{2}$, i.e. optical phonon-like and photon-like respectively. For nite $m$, the $!+$ branch is phonon-like for m all $\mathrm{kj} j$ but photon-like for large $\mathrm{k} j$ and visa versa for the ! branch. In general one has quasi-particles w ith both atom ic and photon degrees of freedom. O ne has $!_{+}(k=0) \quad!_{0}+2 m^{2}=!_{0}$, and ! $(k=1) \quad!_{0}$. Thus there is a gap betw een the tw o branches w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {gap }}=\frac{2 m^{2}}{!_{0}}=\frac{2}{4} \frac{N}{L}=4 d^{2}: \tag{5:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the idealized quantum optical chain w ith ${ }^{2}=4 \quad 1=137$, if $N=L=1 \delta=o m$, then $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}=2 \mathrm{ev}$.

The analagous form ula for the charge m odel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(!0_{0}^{2}+\mathrm{k}^{2}+{ }^{2}\right) \quad{ }^{q} \overline{\left(!!_{0}^{2} k^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+16 \mathrm{~m}^{2}!_{0}^{2}}: \tag{5:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $m$ ain di erence betw een the charge and current $m$ odels is for the ! branch near $k=0$. O ne nds ! ${ }^{2}(k=0) \quad{ }^{2} 4 m^{2}$. For ${ }^{2}=4 m^{2}$, ! $=k j$ for $k \quad 0$, and the dispersion relations (5. $\overline{5}$ ) oscillator defects rather than two-level atom s is studied, and there it is show $n$ that the analog of the cancelation ${ }^{2}=4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ occurs. This justi es enforcing ${ }^{2}=4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in order to obtain a physical photon dispersion relation near $\mathrm{k}=0$.


Figure 3. The dispersion relation ! verses $k$ for the current-m odel. (For ! ${ }_{0}=1$, $\mathrm{m}=: 5$.

D ue to the im aginary part of , and consequently the im aginary part of the dielectric constant, there is attenuation of plane waves in the $m$ edium. The origin of this im aginary part is the nite lifetim e under spontaneous decay of single excited atom ic states. To see this, note that for a sm all shift of ! 0

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!_{0}+!_{0}}{!^{2}\left(!_{0}+!_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{!_{0}}{!^{2}!_{0}^{2}} 1+\frac{!_{0}}{!_{0}} \frac{!^{2}+!_{0}^{2}}{!^{2}!_{0}^{2}}: \tag{5:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring this $w$ ith ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, one sees that the $i m$ aginary part of for the current-m odel can be interpreted as a sm all im aginary shift to ! 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!_{0}}{!_{0}}=i \frac{2}{8}: \tag{5:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his leads to the decay of single atom $s e^{i!o t}!e^{i!o^{t}} e^{t=}$, w ith given in $(\underline{2}-2-10)$.
The attenuation constant is de ned such that the intensity of radiation decays as e $\quad$. . In term s of the dielectric constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=!\frac{\operatorname{Im} "}{\overline{R e^{\prime \prime}}}: \tag{5:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the current-m odel, one nds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \frac{{ }^{2}}{8} \frac{!^{2}+!_{0}^{2}}{\left(!^{2}!_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}} j!j: \tag{5:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. $R$ enorm alization $G$ roup for the $2-$ Level Splitting

W e have seen that in the current-m odel the photon self-energy depends on an ultraviolet cuto . A basic idea of the renorm alization group is that couplings also depend on the scale in such a way that physicalquantities are independent of. T he result ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{2}$ ) has precisely a form that allow s us to consider ! o as a function of, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{0} \frac{!_{0}}{!^{2}!_{0}^{2}}=\frac{!^{2}+!_{0}^{2}}{\left(!^{2}!_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}}: \tag{6:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The -independence of am ounts to the renorm alization group equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d}=\frac{@}{@}+\frac{@}{@}!_{0} \frac{@}{@!0}=0 ; \tag{6:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(!;!0\left({ }_{2}\right) ; 2\right)=\left(!;!_{0}\left({ }_{1}\right) ; 1_{1}\right): \tag{6:3}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@}!_{0}=\frac{2}{4}!_{0}: \tag{6:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since ( $\overline{6}^{-6} \overline{2}_{2}^{\prime}$ ) could be satis ed assum ing no dependence of on we conclude that up to order ${ }^{4}$, is unrenorm alized.
$T$ he beta-function ( $\left(\overline{6} . \bar{U}_{1}\right) \mathrm{m}$ eans that the param eter $!_{0}$, which has engineering dim ension $1=t i m e$, has an additional anom alous dim ension of $\quad{ }^{2}=4$. Integrating ( $\overline{6} . \mathbf{I N}^{\prime}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!0(2)}{!0(1)}=\frac{1}{2}^{2=4}: \tag{6:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, as increases, $!_{0}$ decreases, reaching an ultraviolet xed point at ! $0=0$.
O ne can derive a relation which describes the behavior of as one scales the dim ensionfulparam eters ! ; ! o ; L . O rdinary dim ensional analysis im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!;!0() ; L ;)={ }^{2} e \xrightarrow{-} ; \underline{!()} ; \mathrm{L} \quad \text {; } \tag{6:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{e}$ is a function of dim ensionless param eters. Rescaling all dim ensionful param eters by a dim ensionless param eter $s$, and using the renorm alization group equation ( $\overline{6}=\mathbf{N}$ has

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2} e \quad \text { s! } ; \underline{s!!_{0}()} ; L=s=Q_{e} \frac{s!}{0} ;{\left.\underline{s!} 0()^{0}\right)^{0}} ; L^{0}=s: \tag{6:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking ${ }^{0}=s$, one obtains the scaling equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(s!; s!o() ; L=s ;)=s^{2} \quad(!;!0(s) ; L ;): \tag{6:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hism eans that at higher energies $s!$, the tw o-levelsplitting $!_{0}()$ is screened to $!_{0}(s)=$ $S^{2}=4!0()$.
7. C om parison w ith the $R$ educed $M$ axw ell-B loch $T$ heory

T w o approxim ations com m only $m$ ade in the quantum optics literature are the so-called slow ly varying envelope and rotating w ave approxim ations. In this section we com pare the above results w ith the analagous results obtained in these approxim ations.
6.1 De nition of the M odel

The slow ly varying envelope approxim ation is suitable for dealing $w$ ith near resonant phenom ena. C onsider the $m$ ode expansion of the free scalar photon eld:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t)=\sum_{\bar{Z}}^{P^{2}} p \frac{1}{2 k j} a(k) e^{i k} x_{+} a^{y}(k) e^{i k} x ; \tag{7:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{K}=(k j ; k) . T$ he photon creation operators satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a(k) ; a^{y}\left(k^{0}\right)\right]=(k \quad k) ; \tag{7:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im plies (2.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=!_{0}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}} ; \tag{7:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $k_{e}$ denotes an envelope' $w$ ave vector. Letting $k j \quad!_{0}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t) \quad e^{i!o(t x)}(x ; t)+e^{i!o(t x)} y(x ; t) ; \tag{7:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x ; 0)=P \frac{1}{2!_{0}} Z \underset{Z}{Z} \frac{d k_{e}}{2} \mathbf{b}\left(k_{e}\right) e^{i k_{e} x}  \tag{7:5}\\
& { }^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x} ; 0)=\frac{1}{2!_{0}} \stackrel{d k_{e}}{2} \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}\right) e^{i k_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{x}} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\mathrm{a}\left(!_{0}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}\right): \tag{7:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $b ; a^{y}$ satisfy the sam e com $m$ utations as (ī2).
In classical theory, and y are referred to as the slow ly vary ing envelopes ifke ${ }_{e}^{2} \quad!{ }_{0}^{2}$, which im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ja}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad j \quad!_{0 j} j \quad \mathrm{ja}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad j \quad!_{0 j} j: \tag{7:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

 plane wave. O ne can also begin w ith envelopes of left-m oving w aves separately; how ever we will not consider interactions betw een the left and right $m$ oving envelopes.

U sing (7.7.7), one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{dxdt} \frac{1}{2}\left(@_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2} \quad\left(@_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{i}!_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dxdt} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{x}}+@_{\mathrm{t}}\right): \tag{7:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W thout any additional interactions w ith atom s , the equation of m otion is $\left(@_{\mathrm{x}}+@_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=$ 0 , which $m$ eans that the envelope also consists of right-m oving excitations only at zero coupling. The canonical com $m$ utation relation which follow from (ī. ${ }^{\mathbf{D}}$ ) are

$$
(x ; t) ;{ }^{y}\left(x^{0} ; t\right)=\frac{1}{2!_{0}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & \mathrm{l}) ; \tag{7:9}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and is com patible w ith (7.

The interaction ( $\overline{2}=\overline{1} \overline{1})$ ) contains term $s$ w ith both photon creation operators $a^{y}$ and $S^{+}$operators which excite the atom $s$. Such term $s$ lead to vacuum uctuations wherein both photons and atom s are sim ultaneously excited, and also to real processes where e.g. an incom ing photon excites the atom and em erges as two photons. The rotating wave approxim ation sets such processes to zero. For the chargem odel, the rotating wave approxim ation, com bined w ith the slow ly varying envelope approxim ation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {int }}=\frac{!0_{0}^{Z}}{2} d x \quad e^{i!!_{0}(t x)} S^{+} \quad{ }^{y} e^{\mathrm{i}!\rho_{0}(t x)} S \quad: \tag{7:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

 from the ham iltonian $H_{0}^{\text {atom }}$. Thus we can replace $e^{\left.\text {i!o(t }{ }^{x}\right)} \mathrm{S}$ with new operators $\oint$ and set the $H_{0}^{\text {atom }}$ piece of the ham iltonian to zero. Thus we consider the m odel de ned by the com plete ham iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=2 i!_{0}^{Z} \mathrm{dx}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \frac{!_{0}^{Z}}{2} \quad \mathrm{dx} \quad S^{+} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~S} \quad: \tag{7:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term follow sfrom $\left[7 . \overline{7}_{-1}\right)$ and we relabeled the $\$$ operators back to $S$. The algebra satis ed by the $S$ 's is the sam e as before. In the classical context, the equations of $m$ otion for the $m$ odel ( $\left.\overline{7} \overline{-1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime} \overline{1}\right)$ is som etim es referred to as the reduced $M$ axw ell $B$ loch theory.

The sam e approxim ations as above applied to the current-m odel leads to the sam e


### 6.2 E xact O ne-P olariton States

O ne can construct the one-polariton state exactly for the above $m$ odel. Let us de ne m om entum space S -operators as follow S :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}(k)=\frac{Z}{P \frac{d x}{2}} e^{i k x} S(x ; 0) \\
& S_{3}(k)=\frac{d x}{P_{2}} e^{i k x} S_{3}(x ; 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

:

The ham iltonian now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\mathrm{dk}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}\right) \mathbf{b}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}\right) \quad \frac{i}{2}_{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\frac{!}{2}}} \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{dk} \mathbf{b}(\mathrm{k}) \mathcal{S}^{+}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathscr{S} \quad(\mathrm{k}): \tag{7:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The state $j i$, de ned in ( $\left.{ }_{2}-\overline{4} \overline{4}\right)$, is now, in contrast to before, the exact ground state:
H ji=0:

By dropping $H_{0}^{\text {atom }}$ in ( $\left.\bar{i} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{3}^{\prime}\right)$, we have $m$ erely shifted the ground state energy by $N!0=2$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}(k) j i=\frac{N}{L}^{p} \overline{2}_{L}(k) j i ; \tag{7:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where L is a delta function $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{dk}}(\mathrm{k})=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{L}!1} \frac{\mathrm{~L}(0)}{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{2}: \tag{7:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{\text {atom }} j i={ }^{p} \overline{2} \frac{!_{0}}{2} S_{3}(0) j i=\frac{N!0}{2} j i: \tag{7:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

U nderstanding that the polariton quasiparticle is a com bination of photon and atom ic degrees of freedom, let us take as an ansatz for the one-polariton states:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{e} i=b^{y}\left(k_{e}\right) \quad i \quad\left(k_{e}\right) S^{+}\left(k_{e}\right) \quad j i ; \tag{7:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is some function of $k_{e}$. O ne has

$$
\begin{align*}
& H ; \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{y}}\left(k_{e}\right)=k_{e} \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}\right) \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{2}_{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}}^{\frac{!_{0}}{2}} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left(k_{\mathrm{e}}\right) \\
& h \quad i \quad r \frac{!_{0}}{Z}  \tag{7:19}\\
& \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{S}^{+}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\frac{i_{2}}{2} \frac{!0}{4} \quad \mathrm{dk}^{0} \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0}\right) \mathcal{S}_{3}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
H j_{e} i=\quad k_{e}+\frac{r}{2} \overline{\frac{!_{0}}{2}} \frac{N}{L} \quad\left(k_{e}\right) \quad b^{y}\left(k_{e}\right) \quad i_{2}^{i} \overline{\frac{!_{0}}{2}} \mathscr{S}^{+}\left(k_{e}\right) \quad j i: \tag{7:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{i}$ is an exact eigenstate of H ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{i}=!_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}\right) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}} i_{i} \tag{7:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{e}=\bar{r}_{2}^{\frac{!_{0}}{2}} \frac{1}{} ; \tag{7:22}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $k_{\mathrm{e}}$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{2} \overline{\frac{!_{0}}{2}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{} \quad \tag{7:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can thus view as a spectralparam eter, param eterizing the envelope energy and momentum $!_{e} ; k_{e}$. Elim inating one nds the dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \quad!_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{m}^{2} \tag{7:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ is de ned in $\left(\bar{A} \overline{2}_{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right)$. T he above dispersion relation is exact; thus one sees that there are no one-loop corrections of the kind com puted in section 4 . T his also m eans that the reduced $M$ axw ellb loch theory does not incorporate spontaneous em ission e ects.
 order in perturbation theory. Recalling that ! e and $k_{e}$ denote envelope quantities, we let $!=!_{0}+!_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{k}=!_{0}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and substitute in (4-25$\left.\overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. O ne obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
2!_{0}!_{e}+!_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \quad 2!_{0} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}=4 m{ }^{2} \frac{!_{0}^{2}}{2!_{\mathrm{e}}!_{0}+!_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}}: \tag{7:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the slow ly varying envelope inequalities,

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \quad!_{0}^{2} ; \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \quad!_{0}^{2} ; \tag{7:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains precisely (7̄2" $\left.\overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.
Rem arkably, it is know $n$ that the model (7.191) is integrable. $T$ he $H$ eisenberg operator equations ofm otion are

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(@_{t}+@_{\mathrm{x}}\right) & =-\frac{S}{4} ; \quad\left(@_{t}+@_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{-}{4} S^{+} \\
@_{t} S_{3} & =!_{0} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{S}^{+}  \tag{7:27}\\
@_{\mathrm{t}} S^{+} & =\frac{!_{0}}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~S}_{3} ; \quad @_{\mathrm{t}} S=\frac{!_{0}}{2} S_{3}:
\end{align*}
$$

These equations of $m$ otion have a zero-curvature representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[@_{t}+A_{t} ; @_{x}+A_{x}\right]=0 ; \tag{7:28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $A_{x} ; A_{t}$ are auxiliary 22 m atrices of quantum operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{x}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{b}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{2} & \mathrm{~S}^{+} \\
\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{~b}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{1}
\end{array} \quad-\frac{1}{2} \frac{{ }^{2}!_{0}}{16} \quad \begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array} 1^{0}+\frac{!_{0}}{2} \quad 0 \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}  \tag{7:29}\\
& A_{t}=\frac{!_{0}}{2} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
0 & y \\
0
\end{array}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{{ }^{2}!_{0}}{16} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

A bove, is an arbitrary spectral param eter, and requiring (7َ $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1} \bar{B}_{1}\right)$ to be valid for all is equivalent to (ī2"-1).

The zero-curvature representation allow s the $m$ odel to be solved by the $Q$ uantum In -
 B ethe-ansatz construction of the $m$ ulti-particle states that generalizes the above construction for the one-polariton states.
8. Sem i-C lassicalA nalysis

The reduced $M$ axw ell-B loch equations ( $(\overline{1}-\overline{2})$ have been extensively studied in a sem i-
 $m$ ation is the follow ing. C onsider the expectation of the equations ( $\bar{i}, \bar{N}_{1}$ ) in the state $j$ i. Let us assum e that the atom ic and photon correlators are approxim ately decoupled:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h } \mathrm{S}^{+} \text {i } \mathrm{h} \text { ihS }^{+} \text {i; } \tag{8:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where hoi=h $\quad \mathrm{D} j \mathrm{i}$. In this sem i-classical approxim ation $h i$ is now intenpreted as a classical electrom agnetic eld. De ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
=S^{+} \quad S: \tag{8:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Im posing a reality condition $h i=h{ }^{1} i$, one obtains the c-num ber equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~h}^{+} \mathrm{i}=!_{0} \mathrm{hihS}_{3} \mathrm{i} \\
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{hS}_{3} \mathrm{i}=\quad!_{0} \mathrm{hih}^{+} i  \tag{8:3}\\
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~h} \quad \mathrm{i}=0:
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h(t=0) i=0$, the last equation above allow $s$ us to im pose $h \quad i=0$ for all $t i m$ es.
A s a consequence of $S^{2}$ being a C asim ir for su (2), hSi Shi is a constant of the $m$ otion . H aving set h i to zero, this im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S_{3} i^{2}+h+i^{2}=\text { constant }=\frac{N}{L}{ }^{2}: \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

This constraint can be param eterized by introducing an angle function $(x ; t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S_{3}(x ; t) i=\frac{N}{L} \cos (\quad(x ; t)) ; \quad h^{+}(x ; t) i=\frac{N}{L} \sin ((x ; t)): \tag{8:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations $(\overline{8}=\overline{3})$ then im ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}=!o h i: \tag{8:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this into $\left(\bar{T}-\overline{2} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ one gets the sine-G ordon like equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}^{2}+@_{t} @_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}} \sin (\quad): \tag{8:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical soliton solutions to this equation were observed som e tim e ago by M ©C all and H ahn $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { ² }\end{array}\right]$.

The sine-G ordon (SG) equation is easily seen to be consistent w the one-polariton dispersion relation obtained above in perturbation theory. Taking to be very sm all, and expanding the $\sin (\quad)$ leads to the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{t}^{2}+@_{t} @_{x}=m^{2} ; \tag{8:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a dispersion relation that is precisely (
The classicalSG equation has a rich spectrum of solutions consisting of solitons and breathers. The low est energy breather solution can be identi ed w ith the polariton, as ( (8. $\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{B})$ ) show s. T he existence of these solutions suggests that the quantum M axw ell-B loch theory $m$ ay have a rich spectrum ofbound states in addition to the polariton. In the next section we attem pt to study this question by considering the quantum version of the SG theory.

## 9. Q uantum Sine-G ordon as an E ective $T$ heory

In the last section we saw how the classical sine-G ordon equation em erged from the reduced $M$ axw ell-B loch theory in a sem i-classical approxim ation wherein the electrom agnetic eld was treated classically. Suppose one attem pts to re-quantize the sem i-classical treatm ent by quantizing the sine-G ordon theory in the canonical manner. W hat such a quantum theory has to do with the fully quantum $M$ axwell-B loch theory is a delicate question. O ne can hope that an intricate $m$ anifestation of the correspondence principle in the end will save the day. In this section we explore these issues and conclude that the quantum sine-G ordon theory has som e validity.

In order to $m$ ake use of the standard quantization of sine-G ordon, let usm ake a change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}=2 \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{t}_{;} \quad e=\mathrm{t}_{;} \tag{9:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}+\varrho_{\mathrm{t}} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}}=\varrho_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \quad \varrho_{\mathbf{e}}^{2}: \tag{9:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action which leads to the equations ofm otion $\left[8 . \overline{8}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S={ }^{Z} \quad \operatorname{ded} \frac{1}{2} \varrho_{\mathbf{e}} @_{e} \quad @_{e} @_{e}+\frac{m^{2}}{2} \cos (\quad) ; \tag{9:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is an arbitrary constant. In the classical theory the constant is irrelevant, i.e. the classicalequations ofm otion are independent of. In the quantum theory how ever, determ ines the fundam ental com $m$ utation relations:
and is thus m eaningful.
To prom ote to an operator and im pose the com $m$ utation relation ( $\Phi_{-}^{-} . \bar{U}^{1}$. is potentially perilous given the origin of, i.e. as a way ofsolving the c-num ber constraint ( $(\underline{6} . \overline{-1})$. Let us try and interpret the quantization of by replacing (8.5

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~L}} \cos (\quad) ; \quad+=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~L}} \sin (\quad)  \tag{9:5}\\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}=!0: \tag{9:6}
\end{gather*}
$$

 $T$ his is consistent since $\left[(x ; t) ;\left(x{ }^{0} ; t^{0}\right)\right]=0$.

In $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{9}}\end{array}\right]$, we argued that to connect with the quantum $M$ axw ell $B$ loch theory, one $m$ ust take $=$ 佂. Let us repeat a version of this argum ent here. $F$ irst, note that the operator equation ( $\overline{(9-G)} . \overline{-1})$ com bined w ith the com $m$ utation relation (

 Recalling the reality condition im posed in the previous section $h i=h y_{i}$, let us im pose this classically. Then $=2 \cos (!0(t \quad x))$. Since $Q \quad 2 b \sin \left(!0\left(\begin{array}{ll}(t) & x\end{array}\right)\right.$, we have $@_{t} \quad 2 @ \sin (!0(t \quad x))$. The term in the action $[(\overline{2}-12)$ for that determ ines the com $m$ utation relations is

$$
\text { Z } d x d t \frac{1}{2} @_{t} @_{t} \quad 4 \quad d x d t @_{t} @_{t} \sin ^{2}\left(!!_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{t} & \mathrm{x} \tag{9:7}
\end{array}\right)\right. \text { : }
$$

1 T he discrepancy of 4 betw een equation 3.4 of $[\overline{9}]$ and equation $[\overline{2}-1 \overline{1} \overline{1})$ is because $H$ eaviside-
 which am ounts to a rede nition ofe. All formulas in this paper are in the esu system of units.

A veraging over the rapid oscillations let us replace $\sin ^{2}\left(!_{0}(t \quad x)\right)$ by $1=2$. Then the com mutation relations which follow from (9.7. $\overline{1}$ ) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(x ; t) ; @_{t}\left(x^{0} ; t\right)\right]=\frac{i}{2} \quad(x \quad l): \tag{9:8}
\end{equation*}
$$


Further evidence for the relevance of the quantum SG theory de ned by (9.-3') w ith
$=1 \mathrm{com}$ es from the perturbative com putations in section 6 , in particular the betafunction ( $\overline{6} . \overline{4} \overline{4})$. From the 2 -point function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 j(\mathbb{E} ; E) \quad(0) j 0 i_{m=0}=\frac{1}{4} \log \left(E^{2} \quad \mathbb{E}^{2}\right) ; \tag{9:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 \dot{e}^{i} \quad\left(e, e^{i} \quad{ }^{(0)}-j 0 i_{m=0}=\frac{1}{\left(e^{2} \mathbb{E}^{2}\right)^{2}=4}:\right. \tag{9:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the cos( ) operator in (9, 9 has anom alous $m$ ass dim ension ${ }^{2}=4$. Since the action $S$ is dim ensionless, $m^{2}$ has a dim ension of $2 \quad{ }^{2}=4$. Since $m^{2} /!_{0}$, then this precisely corresponds to the beta-function ( $\left.\overline{6} . \mathbf{I}^{\prime}\right)$.

O ne does not expect of course that the quantum SG theory precisely reproduces the quantum corrections com puted in section 4 for the fully quantum $M$ axwell-B loch theory. O ne can study this explicitly by com puting one loop corrections in the SG m odel. Expanding out the cos( ), the lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} 巴 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{}_{2}^{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{2}}{24}{ }^{4}+::: \tag{9:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The one loop contribution to the photon selfenergy can be com puted using standard perturbation theory. In the conventional coordinates $\mathbb{\xi} ; \mathrm{e}$, the frequency and wave-vector e; $R$ are related to the envelope quantities by $d=!e \quad k_{e}=2, R=k=2$, so that $e^{2} \quad R^{2}=$ $!{ }_{e}^{2} \quad!_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}$. Introducing a u.v. cutto e as in section 4, one nds the dispersion relation:
$T$ his should be com pared to the dispersion relation $!^{2} \quad k^{2} \quad=0$ for the current -m odel near resonance, i.e. w th $!=!_{0}+!_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{k}=!_{0}+!_{\mathrm{e}} . \mathrm{U}$ sing the slow ly varying inequalities ( $\mathrm{T}-2 \overline{2}$ ), and the expression (

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \quad!_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2} 1+\frac{2^{2}}{4} \quad \frac{2}{8} \frac{!_{0}}{!_{\mathrm{e}}} \quad \log \quad \frac{2}{!_{0}^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \text { i }=0: \tag{9:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 are large and when $!_{e} \quad!_{0}$; how ever this contradicts the slow ly varying inequalities.

The conclusion of the above analysis is that the quantum SG theory captures som e aspects of the fully quantum $M$ axw ell-B loch theory, in particular the current-m odelde ned in section 2, and does incorporate spontaneous em ission, but is not equivalent to it even in the slow ly varying envelope approxim ation.

A s a step tow ard understanding the spectrum of the quantum $M$ axw ell-B loch theory, one can assum e the approxim ate validity of the quantum SG description. The quantum SG spectrum is know $n$ to consist ofbreathers, the low est $m$ ass breather being the particle associated w ith the SG eld itself, and a pair of solitons. See e.g. [10 $\overline{1}]$. The $m$ ass of the n-th breather is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}=2 m_{s} \sin \frac{n}{16} \quad ; \quad n=1 ; 2 ;:::<\frac{8}{} ; \quad=\frac{2}{1{ }^{2}=8}: \tag{9:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m_{s}$ is the $m$ ass of the soliton. As ! 0 , the $m$ ass of the lowest breather $m_{1}$ approaches $m$ thus the polariton is identi ed as the low est breather. T he higher breathers are polariton bound states. As ! $0, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad 8 \mathrm{~m}={ }^{2}$, thus for very m all, the m ass of the soliton can be very large com pared w ith the polaritons. In the quantum theory, the polariton can actually be view ed as a bound state of tw o solitons.

It would be very interesting to understand whether aspects of this spectrum can be seen in the $m$ odels de ned in section 2.

## 10. C onclusions

$W$ e have de ned som em odels which describe quantized radiation in interaction $w$ ith a medium of two-level atom $s$ arranged in a ber geom etry. Our main computational results are the photon selfenergy ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ ) and ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \bar{d}$ ), which determ ine the rst quantum corrections to the polariton dispersion relation. W e also com pared our results w ith known sem i-classicalresults in the slow ly-varying envelope and rotating-w ave approxim ations, and argued for the approxim ate validity of the quantum sine-G ordon theory. W e found that the $m$ odelwhich follows from an interaction ham iltonian $đ$ E (current-m odel) is better behaved than the $m$ odelwhich follows from the $m$ inim al coupling $p!p$ ef, the latter su ering from infrared divergences. In the current $m$ odel we derived a renorm alization group equation for the energy splitting of the tw o-level atom $s$ which follow $s$ from the beta function ( $\left[\overline{6} . \overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}\right)$, and show s that the splitting is screened at higher energies.

Though the quantum corrections are generically sm all, we hope that the trend tow ard fabricating m aller opticaldevices w illeventually lead to the observation of these quantum e ects.

T hem odels de ned in section 2 deserve further theoreticalstudy, in particular it w ould be interesting to determ ine whether they have a bound state spectrum that resem bles the spectrum of the quantum sine-G ordon theory.

## A cknow legem ents

I w ould like to thank A.G aeta, B.Gerganov, R.K onik, S.H errandies, P. Lepage, S. Lukyanov, and H. Saleur for discussions. This work is supported by the N ational Science Foundation, in part through the N ational Y oung Investigator program .

## R eferences

[1] I. I. Rabi, Phys. Rev. 51 (1937) 652.
[2] S.L.M C all and E.L.H ahn, Phys. Rev. 183 (1969) 457.
[3] R.H.D icke, Phys. Rev. 93 (1954) 99.
[4] L. A llen and J. H . Eberly, O ptical Resonance and Two-Level A tom S, D over P ublications, N ew York, 1987.
[5] R.K onik and A. LeC lair, in preparation.
[6] V.E.K orepin, N.M.Bogoliubov and A. G. Izergin, Q uantum Inverse Scattering M ethod and C orrelation Functions, C am bridge U niversity P ress, 1993.
[7] V.I.Rupasov, JETP Lett. 36 (1982) 142.
[8] G.L.Lam.b, Rev.M od.Phys. 43 (1971) 99.
[9] A. Leclair, Nucl. Phys. B 450 (1995) 753, ihep-th/ $\overline{5} \overline{5} \overline{0} \overline{5} \overline{0} \overline{0} \overline{8} \bar{\sigma}$.
[10] A. B. Zam olodchikov and A . B . Zam olodchikov, A nn. Phys. 120, (1979) 253.

