

Parquet Approximation in Large N Matrix Theories

I.Ya Arefeva,

and

A.P. Zubarev^Y

Abstract

A parquet approximation (generalized ladder diagrams) in matrix models is considered. By means of numerical calculations we demonstrate that in the large N limit the parquet approximation gives an excellent agreement with exact results.

1 Introduction

The parquet approximation (or generalized ladder approximation) was introduced by Landau, Abrikosov and Khalatnikov in their famous consideration of the high energy behavior in quantum electrodynamics [1]. Later on this approximation has been used for various models of quantum field theory [2]. The results of the parquet approximation for weak coupling are in agreement with the renormalization group approach [3]. Let us recall that the original aim of Landau at all was to develop a non-perturbative method in quantum field theory. The parquet approximation leads to a closed system of integral equations which have meaning not only for small but also for the large coupling constant. In this sense the method of the parquet approximation is a non-perturbative one. There are arguments [1, 2] that this approximation is able to catch the asymptotic behavior in quantum field theory at small distances even in the strong coupling regime. However, there is a serious problem here, see [3], because it is very difficult to estimate an error of this approximation in realistic models for strong coupling, since we have few information about the true behaviour of the model in this regime. One can control the strong coupling regime only for some simple models such as vector models [4] and low dimensional matrix models [5] in the large N limit.

The aim of this letter is to investigate the parquet approximation for matrix models in the large N limit. By using numerical calculations we demonstrate that the parquet approximation proves to be a surprisingly good approximation for $N \times N$ matrix models

^YSteklov Mathematical Institute, Vavilov 42, GSP-1, 117966, Moscow, e-mail: arefeva@classmian.su

^YSteklov Mathematical Institute, Vavilov 42, GSP-1, 117966, Moscow, e-mail: zubarev@classmian.su

in the large N limit. To this end we compare the numbers of parquet diagrams with suitable weights with the total number of diagrams with the same weights in the large N limit. As the weight of diagrams we take the corresponding powers of N^{-1} and coupling constants. It is occurred that in the large N limit these two numbers that are functions on coupling constants and the number of external lines almost coincide for all values of the coupling constants including the strong coupling limit.

The behavior of the weighted number of all diagrams in the large N limit is well known [5]. It is given by the large N limit of the corresponding zero-dimensional matrix model. We find the weighted numbers of parquet diagrams for large N matrix theories with the quartic and cubic interactions. We find these numbers as solutions of closed sets of equations for parquet correlation functions in the leading order of N^{-1} expansion. These equations are simpler than the parquet equations in [2] since in our equations u -channel terms do not contribute.

As it is known the large N limit in QCD enables us to understand qualitatively certain striking phenomenological features of strong interactions [6]–[9]. To perform analytical investigations one needs to compute the sum of all planar diagrams. At present this problem has been solved only in a few simple models such as zero- and one-dimensional matrix models and two-dimensional QCD. It was suggested [8] that in the large N limit the dynamics is dominated by a master field. The problem of finding of the master field has been discussed in many works [10]–[22]. Recently the problem of construction of the master field has been solved in [23]. It was shown that the master field satisfies to standard equations of relativistic quantum field theory but fields are quantized according to a new rule. An explicit solution of these equations is a rather non-trivial problem and it seems reasonable to develop some approximated schemes to study the master field.

There were several attempts of an approximated treatment of the planar theory [24]–[26]. In particular, there were approximations dealing with counting only some part of planar diagrams. In [27, 28] a so-called halfplanar approximation to the planar theory was considered. The distinguished feature of this approximation is that one can write down a closed set of Schwinger-Dyson-like equations for a finite number of Green's functions. The halfplanar approximation gives a good agreement with the known exact answers for the large N models for a rather wide range of coupling constant [28]. However, this approximation does not reproduce the correct strong coupling limit of exact planar Green's functions. A recursive scheme which could improve the halfplanar approximation was proposed in [29]. It turns out that this scheme is very closed to the approximation adopted in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a general definition for the planar parquet approximation. In Sections 3 and 4 we compare the planar parquet and the planar solutions of zero-dimensional matrix models. We find a surprising coincidence of these solutions for all range of the coupling constant up to 0.1 percent. Moreover, we find that the planar parquet solutions for the quartic and cubic interactions have phase transition points which also exist in the planar solutions of the corresponding one-matrix models. The values of phase transition points for the planar parquet and the planar approximations are turned out to be very closed.

2 Planar Parquet Equations

In this section we define the planar parquet approximation for the d-dimensional matrix model with the cubic and quartic interaction terms,

$$S = \int d^d x \text{Tr} \left[\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} M^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 M^2 - \frac{1}{3N^{1/2}} M^3 + \frac{g}{4N} M^4 \right]; \quad (2.1)$$

Here $M = (M_{ij}(x))$; $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ is an Hermitian matrix field. The planar Green's functions are defined as $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of invariant correlation functions of products of matrices

$$G_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^{1+n/2}} \text{Tr} (M(x_1) \dots M(x_n)) \exp(-S); \quad (2.2)$$

They satisfy to the planar Schwinger-Dyson equations [10, 15]

$$\begin{aligned} & (4 + m^2)_{x_1} G_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) - G_{n+1}(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + g G_{n+2}(x_1, x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ & - \sum_{m=2}^n (x_1 - x_m) G_{m-2}(x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}) G_{n-m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

These equations look almost as the Schwinger-Dyson equations for a scalar theory but there is an essential difference in the form of the Schwinger's terms. Planar Green's functions are symmetric only under cyclic permutations of arguments. We define the planar parquet approximation as an approximated perturbative solution of equations (2.3) that takes into account only a part of full series on coupling constants. This part is specified by a requirement that all three- and four-point vertex parts (1PI parts) are composed from two-particle reducible (2PR) diagrams. Note that the perturbative definition is meaningful since the perturbative solution of the planar Schwinger-Dyson equations converges at least for asymptotically free interactions. So, this is the case for the interaction (2.1) if we average the signs of the couplings in the suitable way as well as for $SU(1) QCD$.

More precisely, the definition of the planar parquet approximation can be done using a notion of a so-called skeleton expansion. The skeleton expansion contains only a subset of all planar diagrams. In this subset one takes only those diagrams that contain no propagators, three- and four-vertices insertions. Following 't Hooft [30] we call the two-, three- and four-point functions the "basic Green's functions". In the four-dimensional space-time for the cases of interaction (2.1) as well as of Yang-Mills theory just these vertex functions contain divergences. To get the planar parquet approximation one has to take the set of skeleton diagrams and replace the bare propagator and bare three- and four-vertices by the parquet propagator and the corresponding vertex functions.

The parquet basic Green's functions are defined as solutions of the set of equations that is presented below. The propagator is defined as a solution of the exact Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$\text{---} = \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \quad (2.4)$$

(all contributions of tadpole diagrams are dropped out). Here

$$\text{---}_p = D(p); \quad \text{---}_p = \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2}$$

are the full (within the parquet approximation) and the bare propagators, respectively,

$$p \text{ --- } \tilde{G}^q = \Gamma_3(p; q); \quad G^q \sim G^k = \Gamma_4(p; q; k)$$

are three- and four-point vertices. The three-point parquet vertex is defined as a solution of the following equation

$$p \text{ --- } \tilde{G}^q = \text{---} \text{---} + p \text{ --- } \text{---} \text{---} + p \text{ --- } \text{---} \text{---} + p \text{ --- } \text{---} \text{---} \quad (2.5)$$

Equation (2.5) means that any 1PI 3-point diagram (except the bare one) can be decomposed into three- and four-point subdiagrams connected by two internal lines so that the four-point subdiagram is 2PI with respect to those two lines that connect the four- and three-point subdiagrams. It is evident that such four-point subdiagram can be either the bare vertex either a "dumb-bells" diagrams or a V-vertex. Here the filled vertical box V (horizontal box H)

$$\text{---} \text{---} = H(p; q; k); \quad \text{---} \text{---} = V(p; q; k)$$

is the part of the four-point vertex function that is 2PR in the t-channel (s-channel) and is not 2PR in the s-channel (t-channel). The two particle reducibility in the s-channel means that the H-vertex can be represented in the following way

$$\text{---} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} \quad (2.6)$$

Equation (2.6) describes the structure of H-type diagrams. It means that any H-diagram can be decomposed into two four-point subdiagrams connected by two internal lines so that at least one of these subdiagrams is 2PI in the s-channel. The similar relation holds for V-vertex. The vertices V and H are related by the cyclic permutation of external points

$$V(p; q; k) = H(q; k; p - q - k): \quad (2.7)$$

The parquet approximation for the four-vertex is defined by

$$G^q \sim G^k = G^q + \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} \quad (2.8)$$

Equation (2.8) states that 1PI contributions into the 4-point Green's function Γ_4 are presented by the sum of the bare 4-point vertex, horizontal and vertical vertex functions and diagrams that are 2PR in both s- and t-channels.

Equations (2.5)–(2.8) together with the Schwinger-Dyson equation for propagator (2.4) form the closed system of non-linear integral equations for the functions $D(p)$, $\gamma_3(p; q)$, $\gamma_4(p; q; k)$, $H(p; q; k)$ and $V(p; q; k)$. Just these equations we call the planar parquet equations for the basic functions. Note that the planar parquet equations are different from the usual parquet equations [2] since in the planar approximation so-called u-channel terms do not contribute.

3 Weighted Number of Planar Parquet Diagrams for Quartic Interaction

In this section we examine the weighted number of planar parquet diagrams in the matrix theory with quartic interaction. This means that the dependence on momenta variables in (2.4)–(2.8) must be neglected. In this case equations (2.4)–(2.8) are reduced to the following system of algebraic equations

$$D = 1 - 2gD^2 - gD^4; \quad (3.9)$$

$$\gamma_4 = -g + H + V; \quad (3.10)$$

$$H = -gD^2\gamma_4 + VD^2\gamma_4; \quad (3.11)$$

$$V = -gD^2\gamma_4 + HD^2\gamma_4; \quad (3.12)$$

The graphical representation of equations (3.9)–(3.12) is given on Figure 1.




Figure 1 Graphical representation of equations (3.9)–(3.11).

Four equations (3.9)–(3.12) contain four unknown functions $D(g)$; $\gamma_4(g)$; $H(g)$; $V(g)$ and can be solved explicitly. Excluding γ_4 , H and V from (3.9)–(3.12) we get

$$g^3D^6 + g^2D^5 + 5g^2D^4 + 5gD^3 + (1 - 5g)D^2 - 2D + 1 = 0; \quad (3.13)$$

Below on the second line of Tables 1 we present numerical solutions of (3.13) for different values of the coupling constant g . Corresponding γ_4 is presented on the fourth line of this Table.

Table 1.

g	0.001	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	1000		
D	0.9980	0.9808	0.8576	0.5161	0.2129	0.07372	0.02400		
D ^(p1)	0.9980	0.9808	0.8576	0.5161	0.2130	0.07374	0.02401		
Γ_4	9.980	10 ⁴	9.813	10 ³	0.08786	0.6896	5.823	54.38	531.3
Γ_4 ^(p1)	9.980	10 ⁴	9.813	10 ³	0.08786	0.6900	5.835	54.55	533.1

It is instructive to compare these results with the exact weighted numbers of the planar diagrams. These numbers are solutions of the corresponding planar theory. The zero-dimensional planar Green's functions Γ_n for the quartic interaction satisfy the Schwinger-Dyson equations

$$\Gamma_n = g \Gamma_{n+2} + \sum_{m=2}^n \Gamma_{m-2} \Gamma_{n-m}; \quad (3.14)$$

that are zero-dimensional analogs of (2.3). These equations admit the following solution

$$\Gamma_4 = g^{-1} (1 - D^{(p1)}); \quad (3.15)$$

where the planar propagator $D^{(p1)}$ satisfies the equation

$$27g^2 D^{(p1)2} + (1 + 18g) D^{(p1)} - 1 - 16g = 0; \quad (3.16)$$

The numerical values for the planar propagator $D^{(p1)}$ and the four-point vertex $\Gamma_4^{(p1)} = (D^{(p1)})^{-4} [\Gamma_4 - 2(D^{(p1)})^2]$ are also presented on Table 1.

We see that numerical values of Green's functions in the planar parquet approximation and in the planar approximation for equal values of the coupling constant are strikingly closed, namely the difference is less than 0.1 percent for all range of g .

It is also instructive to compare an asymptotic behaviour of Green's functions in the planar parquet approximation with the planar approximation. From equation (3.13) we have the following asymptotic behavior of $D(g)$ in the strong coupling regime

$$D(g) \sim g_{\text{par}}^{-1/2}; \quad (3.17)$$

where g_{par} is the solution of an equation

$$g_{\text{par}}^6 + 5g_{\text{par}}^4 - 5g_{\text{par}}^2 + 1 = 0; \quad (3.18)$$

The numerical solution of equation (3.18) is

$$g_{\text{par}} = 0.76948; \quad (3.19)$$

The asymptotic behavior of the planar propagator $D^{(p1)}$ follows from equation (3.16)

$$D^{(p1)}(g) \sim g_{\text{pl}}^{-1/2}; \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$g_{\text{pl}} = \frac{4^{p-3}}{9} = 0.76980; \quad (3.21)$$

The agreement of (3.19) and (3.21) is more than susceptible.

We also compare propagator and the four-vertex function in the parquet approximation with the exact results for negative values of the coupling constant (see Table 2).

Table 2.

g	0.001	0.01	0.05	0.08	0.0833	0.0834	0.0864	0.0865
D	1.0020	1.0210	1.1332	1.2959			1.3889	
D ^(p1)	1.0020	1.0210	1.1332	1.2963	1.333			
₄	0.0010	0.0102	0.05806	0.1207			0.1728	
₄ ^(p1)	0.0010	0.0102	0.05806	0.1214	0.1403			

Remarkably that the solution of the parquet equation has a phase transition for $g = g_0$, $0.0865 < g_0 < 0.0864$ that is related with the root branch point of the solution. In the planar approximation the phase transition point is caused by the square root branch point and it is equal to $g_0 = 1/12 = 0.0833(3)$.

4 Weighted Numbers of Planar Parquet Diagrams for Cubic Interaction

In this section we consider the weighted numbers of planar parquet diagrams for the matrix model with the cubic interaction. For this case planar parquet equations (2.4)–(2.8) reduce to the form

$$D = 1 + D^3_3; \quad (4.22)$$

$$_3 = + D^2 V_4 + D^3_3 \quad (4.23)$$

$$_4 = H + V + D^4_3; \quad (4.24)$$

$$H = D^2_4 V + D^3_3 V + D^3_4 \frac{2}{3}; \quad (4.25)$$

$$V = D^2_4 H + D^3_3 H + D^3_4 \frac{2}{3}; \quad (4.26)$$

A graphical representation of these equations is given on Figure 2.




Figure 2. Graphical representation of equations (4.22)–(4.25).

Note that using equations (4.24)–(4.26) one can rewrite the equation for \tilde{G}_3 in the form

$$\tilde{G}_3 = \tilde{G}_1 + D^2 \tilde{G}_4 + D^3 \tilde{G}_3^2; \quad (4.27)$$

or graphically



Equation (4.27) is just the Schwinger-Dyson equation for the 1PI three-point Green's function \tilde{G}_3 .

Excluding \tilde{G}_3 , \tilde{G}_4 , H and V from equations (4.24)–(4.26) we get the equation for D

$$2 \tilde{G}_6 D^9 - 3 \tilde{G}_4 D^6 (D - 1) + \tilde{G}_2 D^4 (D - 1)^2 (D - 1)^5 = 0; \quad (4.28)$$

Let us compare the solution of the planar parquet equations (4.24)–(4.23) with the solution of the planar theory. The planar 2-point and 1PI 3-point Green's function are given by formulas [5]:

$$D^{(p1)} = \frac{1}{(1 - \tilde{G}_2)^2}; \quad \tilde{G}_3^{(p1)} = \frac{(1 - \tilde{G}_2)^2 (1 - \tilde{G}_4)}{(1 - \tilde{G}_3)^3}; \quad (4.29)$$

where \tilde{G}_2 is the subject of the relation

$$\tilde{G}_2 = (1 - \tilde{G}_2)^2; \quad (4.30)$$

The results of numerical solutions of planar parquet equations (4.24)–(4.23) as well as equations (4.29)–(4.30) for planar Green's functions are presented on Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3. Perturbative solution.

	0.001	0.01	0.1	0.2	0.27	0.272	0.273	0.279	0.280
D	1.00	1.00	1.0104	1.0486	1.1197			1.1458	
D ^(pl)	1.00	1.00	1.0104	1.0486	1.1200	1.1245			
₃	0.0010	0.010	0.10106	0.21084	0.31577			0.34720	
₃ ^(pl)	0.0010	0.010	0.10106	0.21084	0.31644	0.32202			

Table 4. Nonperturbative solution.

	0.001	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	1000
D	26783	864	30.78	1.96	0.286	0.0565	0.0119
D ^(pl)	2.51	10	2640	39.6	2.00	0.287	0.0566
₃	1.39	10 ⁶	1.34	10 ⁴	0.0109	0.0391	5.48
₃ ^(pl)	1.58	10 ⁸	1.43	10 ⁵	0.00653	0.375	5.42

Table 3 corresponds to a perturbative solution. When $\beta = 0$ we have $D = 1$ and $\beta_3 = 0$ that is related to the free theory. There is a phase transition point $\beta_0 = \beta_2 = (3 - \beta_3) = 0.2722$ for the planar approximation and $\beta_0 = \beta_2 = (3 - \beta_3) = 0.2722$ for the planar approximation. Table 4 corresponds to another branch that is a nonperturbative solution.

From (4.28) we find the following asymptotic behavior for D in the strong coupling regime

$$D(g) \sim c_{\text{par}}^{-2/3}; \quad (4.31)$$

where c_{par} is satisfied the equation

$$2c_{\text{par}}^9 + 3c_{\text{par}}^6 + 1 = 0; \quad (4.32)$$

The numerical solution of equation (4.32) is

$$c_{\text{par}} = 1.18823; \quad (4.33)$$

An asymptotic behavior of the planar propagator is found from (4.29), (4.30) and has the form :

$$D^{(pl)}(\beta) \sim c_{\text{pl}}^{-2/3} \quad (4.34)$$

with

$$c_{\text{pl}} = \frac{3(4)^{1/3}}{4} = 1.19055; \quad (4.35)$$

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, in this paper we found that the planar parquet approximation gives a unexpectedly good agreement with the known exact results for large N matrix models. The parquet approximation in quantum field theory is one of few known approximations which admits a non-perturbative closed set of equations for finite Green's functions.

The results of this paper mean that the class of parquet diagrams is rather comprehensive among of all planar diagrams of matrix theories. This makes reasonable an investigation of planar parquet approximation for QCD that will be the subject of our further work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Both authors are supported by RFFR grant 96-01-00608. We are grateful to G. E. Anutyunov, P. B. Medvedev and I. Volovich for useful discussions.

References

- [1] L. D. Landau, A. A. Abrikosov and I. M. Khalatnikov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 95 (1954) 497, 773, 1177; L. D. Landau, in: *Niels Bohr and the Development of Physics*, Ed. W. Pauli, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1955.
- [2] A. A. Abrikosov, A. D. Galanin, L. P. Gorkov, L. D. Landau, I. Ya. Pomeranchuk and K. A. Ter-Martirosyan, *Phys. Rev.*, 111 (1958) 321; K. A. Ter-Martirosyan, *Phys. Rev.*, 111 (1958) 948; Yu. M. Makeenko, K. A. Ter-Martirosyan and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Russian JETP* (1976).
- [3] N. N. Bogoliubov and D. V. Shirkov, *Introduction to the theory of quantized fields*. Wiley Interscience, New York 1959.
- [4] I. Arefeva, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* 117 (1979) 393.
- [5] E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J.-B. Zuber, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 59 (1978) 35.
- [6] G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys. B* 72 (1974) 461.
- [7] G. Veneziano, *Nucl. Phys. B* 160 (1979) 247.
- [8] E. Witten, in: *"Recent Developments in Gauge Theories"*, eds. G. 't Hooft et al. Plenum Press, New York and London (1980).
- [9] I. Ya. Arefeva and A. A. Slavnov, *Lectures in the XIV International School of Young Scientists*, Dubna, 1980; A. A. Slavnov, *Acta Physica Austriaca, Suppl.* XXV (1983) 357.
- [10] O. Haan, *Z. Physik C* 6 (1980) 345.
- [11] B. Sakita, *Phys. Rev. D* 21 (1980) 1067; A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, *Nucl. Phys. B* 165 (1980) 511.
- [12] K. Bardakci, *Nucl. Phys. B* 178 (1981) 263.
- [13] M. B. Halpern and Schwartz, *Phys. Rev. D* 24 (1981) 2146;
- [14] P. Cvetanovic, *Phys. Lett.* 99B (1981) 49.
- [15] I. Ya. Arefeva, *Phys. Lett.* 104B (1981) 453.

- [16] Yu. M. Makeenko and A. A. Migdal, Nucl. Phys. B 188 (1981) 269.
- [17] A. A. Migdal, Phys. Rep. 102 (1983) 199.
- [18] A. Jevicki and H. Levine, Ann Phys. 13 (1981) 61.
- [19] J. Greensite and M. B. Halpern, Nucl. Phys. B 211 (1983) 343.
- [20] R. Gopakumar and D. Gross, Nucl. Phys. B 451 (1995) 379.
- [21] M. Douglas, Phys. Lett. 344B (1995) 117.
- [22] R. Gopakumar, hep-th/9512225.
- [23] I. Arefeva and I. Volovich, hep-th/9510210, Nucl. Phys. (to be published)
- [24] A. A. Slavnov, Phys. Lett., 112B (1982) 154; Theor. Math. Phys., 54 (1983) 46.
- [25] I. Ya. Arefeva, Phys. Lett. 124B (1983) 221; Theor. Math. Phys., 54 (1983) 154.
- [26] G. Ferretti, Nucl. Phys. B 450 (1995) 713.
- [27] L. Accardi, I. Ya. Arefeva and I. V. Volovich, hep-th/9502092.
- [28] I. Arefeva and A. Zubarev, Preprints SM I-35-95, SM I-36-95, hep-th/9512166.
- [29] I. Arefeva, hep-th/9601022.
- [30] G. 't Hooft, Comm. Math. Phys. 86 (1983) 449, 88 (1983) 1.