## Back Reaction and Graceful Exit in String In ationary

Cosm ology

Soo-Jong Rey

Physics Department & Center for Theoretical Physics SeoulNationalUniversity, Seoul 151-752 KOREA

## Abstract

C lassical string  $\cos m$  ology  $\cos m$  sists of two branches related to each other by scale-factor duality: a super-in ation branch and a Friedmann-R obertson-W alker (FRW) branch. Curvature and string coupling singularity separates the two branches, hence posing graceful exit problem ' to super-in ationary string  $\cos m$  ology. In an exactly soluble two-dimensional compactication m odel it is shown that quantum back reaction retards curvature and string coupling grow th and connects the super-in ation branch to the FRW branch without encountering a singularity. This may ofer an attractive solution to the graceful exit problem ' in string in ationary  $\cos m$  ology. 04.60 K z,11.25.-w, 98.80 C q,98.80 H w

Typeset using REVT<sub>E</sub>X

In the Standard M odel of big bang cosm ology horizon and atness problem s constitute two notorious naturalness problem s. In ationary cosm ology [1] was invented to solve these problem s through a long period of accelerated expansion  $\underline{a}(t) > 0$ , a(t) > 0. Three di erent types of in ation satisfy these conditions: de Sitter  $a(t) = e^{it}$ , power-law a(t) = t(p > 1)and super-in ation a(t) = (t)(p < 0). Am ong them super-in ation is regarded as the m ost attractive: super-in ation is driven by kinetic energy, hence, largely m odel-independent and free from ne-tuning problem typically present in potential energy driven de Sitter or powerlaw in ation m odels.

Veneziano [2] has rst pointed out that, in classical string cosm ology, thanks to the scalefactory duality the super-in ation arises naturally in addition to decelerating expansion of Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) type. One might then hope that superstring theory gives rise to a successful big bang cosm ology starting from a super-in ationary branch and then smoothly evolve into a FRW -type branch. It has been noted, how ever, that such evolution cannot be realized since the super-in ation phase ends with divergent curvature and string coupling and cannot be continued to regular FRW phase. Because of this string in ationary cosm ology is a icted by a graceful exit problem ' [3].

The fact that both curvature and string coupling grow arbitrarily strong as the superin ation phase evolves is a universal feature of Veneziano's string cosm ology in all spacetime dimensions [4]. This indicates that classical description of string cosm ology breaks down and that quantum e ects modify evolution of the two classical branches signi cantly. One may then wonder if quantum back reaction can wash out curvature and string coupling divergences completely that a transition to FRW phase becomes possible. In this Letter, we show that branch change, hence, graceful exit is indeed possible after quantum back reaction is taken into account.

To address quantum back reaction in a controlled approximation we consider an exactly soluble two dimensional string compactication model. The model is described by the same action as the dilaton gravity of Callan, Harvey, Giddings and Strominger (CGHS) [5]

2

$$S_{0} = \frac{d^{2}xp}{2}g[e^{2}(R + 4(r)^{2} - 4) - \frac{1}{2}(rf)^{2}]$$
(1)

where ; (0) and f denote dilaton,  $\cos m$  ological constant (central charge de cit) and free N-component R am ond R am ond scalar eld. W e rst show that counterparts of Veneziano's two branches with accelerating and decelerating expansions are also present in two dimensions. Equations of motion derived from Eq.(1) are

$$e^{2} [4r r + g R] = r f rf \frac{1}{2}g (rf)^{2};$$
  
 $R = 4(r)^{2} 4r^{2} + 4;$   
 $r^{2}f = 0:$  (2)

In conform algauge

$$ds^2 = e^2 dx_+ dx$$
;  $x = t$  x;  $e^2 = \frac{1}{2}(0)$ ; (3)

the equations of motion Eq.(2) can be derived from the following gauge xed action

$$S_{0} = \frac{Z}{2} \frac{d^{2}x^{h}}{2} e^{2} + Q_{+}Q + Q_{+}$$

supplemented with constraint equations

T = 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 (@ f)<sup>2</sup> + e<sup>2</sup> (40 0 20<sup>2</sup>) = 0: (5)

That the model is classically exactly soluble is seen by changing the eld variables to [6,7]

$$= e^{2}; = 2()$$
 (6)

where is a parameter introduced to keep track of quantum loop expansions. Then Eqs.(4, 5) become [6]

$$S = \frac{Z}{2} \frac{d^{2}x^{h}}{d^{2}x^{h}} \frac{1}{2} (\theta_{+} \theta_{+} \theta_{-} \theta_{+}) e^{-\theta_{+}} e^{$$

and

$$T = \frac{1}{2} (0 f')^2 + 0^2 + \frac{1}{2} 0 = 0$$
 (8)

respectively. In the classical lim it ! 0, the theory has a useful - eld symmetry [7]

$$! + ; ! : (9)$$

In what follows we restrict to the case of vanishing  $\cos m$  ological constant = 0. In this case there is an additional – eld sym metry

Thus the theory consists entirely of (N + 2) free scalar elds and is exactly soluble. The most general hom ogeneous vacuum solution of equations of motion

$$= = 0; \qquad \text{f} = \text{constant} \tag{11}$$

is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \coloneqq () = Q \ t + A;$$
  
$$\coloneqq e^{2} = Q \ t + B$$
(12)

where Q; Q; A; B are integration constants specified by initial conditions. In particular Q; Q denote conserved charges associated with the two classical symmetries Eqs.(9), (10). Imposing the constraint Eq.(8)

$$+ \frac{1}{2} = 2Q \quad Q = 0;$$
 (13)

cosm obgical solutions are classified into two distinct branches depending on whether each conserved charge vanishes or not:  $Q = 0; Q \neq 0$  or  $Q \neq 0; Q = 0$ .

The rst branch having  $Q = 0; Q \neq 0$  may be expressed as

$$= + \log 2M$$
;  $e^{2} = 8M$ t (14)

after suitable shift of dilaton and coordinate-time t. Reality condition for dilaton eld then restricts 1 < t 0. For this interval, by introducing comoving cosm ic time  $= (M^{-}t)^{1=2}$  that ranges over 1 < 0, the spacetime metric may be expressed as

$$(ds)^{2} = -\frac{M^{2}}{2M} [dt^{2} dx^{2}] = [d^{2} (dt^{2} dx^{2})]$$
(15)

The comoving scale factor  $a() = M^{-}()$  shows that this branch describes superin ationary evolution. Dilaton in this branch evolves as  $= \log(2)$ .

The second  $Q \neq 0; Q = 0$  branch is similarly expressed as

$$= + M t; e^{2} = M^{2}:$$
 (16)

The spacetime metric describes an expanding universe

$$(ds)^{2} = M^{2} e^{2M t} [dt^{2} dx^{2}] = [d^{2} (M^{2}) dx^{2}]$$
(17)

where com oving time  $\Rightarrow \exp M$  tranges over 0 < 1. Universe expanding linearly in time is known as M ille universe. The M ille universe is a lat two-dimensional counterpart of the FRW -type universe. D illoton in M ille universe branch is frozen to a constant value.

It is straightforward to recognize that the above two branches are m apped each other by scale-factor duality as in higher dimensions. In fact the two branches were known as anisotropic universes of B ianchi I type [8]. Is it then possible to complete the super-in ation and reheat to the M ilne universe by m atching the two branches at = 0? That this is not possible is immediately recognized from singular behavior of curvature scalar and string coupling constant in the super-in ation branch

$$g_{st} = \frac{1}{2} ! 1 ; R = (\frac{2}{2})^2 ! 1$$
 (18)

in contrast to regular  $g_{st} = nite$ , R = 0 in the M ille branch. Thus any cosm ological vacua belonging to the super-in ation branch end up with in nite curvature and string coupling and cannot escape out of that branch forever. Nature of the di culty is inherently the same as in higher dimensional string in ationary cosmology, hence, may be viewed as two-dimensional version of the aforem entioned graceful exit problem .

On a closer look, however, it is clear that one has to go beyond classical description to string cosm ology. Since curvature R and string coupling  $g_{st}$  in the super-in ationary branch diverge as ! 0, quantum corrections cannot be neglected. It may even be that the quantum back reactions are su ciently strong enough to wash out the classical divergences Eq.(18) that a sm ooth transition to the M ille branch becomes possible. One-loop quantum correction is provided by conform all anom ally of N-component matter, reparametrization ghosts, dilaton and conform all odes. A fier adding local, covariant counterterm s to retain the two classical symmetries Eqs.(9),(10) for exact solvability [6,7], the quantum elective action is given by

$$S_e = S_0 - \frac{z^2}{2} \frac{d^2 x P}{2} - g R \frac{1}{2} R + 2 R ]$$
 (19)

where = (N = 24)=24. The second term in Eq.(19) is a local, covariant counterterm and is added to retain the two classical symmetries Eqs.(9),(10), hence, the exact solvability. This is most easily seen from the fact that the elective action  $S_e$  has exactly the same form as the classical one Eq.(7) in terms of quantum corrected elds

$$= 2 (); = e^{2} + : (20)$$

Structure of the kinetic term then suggests that quantum theory de ned in terms of  $S_e$  introduces a new expansion parameter [9]

$$g_e^2 = 1 = j2e^2$$
 j; (21)

The theory in fact corresponds to an exactly soluble conform all eld theory [6]. The constraint equations Eq.(8) are also modil ed to

The rst integrals t (x) come from nonlocality of conformal anomaly and are determined by boundary conditions. We set t = 0 by demanding the correct classical behavior for at M inkowski spacetime vacuum with a constant string coupling. Since the equations of motion are the same as classical ones Eq.(11), the most general hom ogeneous quantum solutions are again given by

$$\frac{1}{2jj} \stackrel{-}{\Rightarrow} = ( ) = Q t + A$$
$$= e^{2} jj = Q t + B: \qquad (23)$$

For reasons that will become clear in mediately, we have restricted < 0, viz, N < 24. We also note that the kinetic term of (; ) is non-degenerate only for this choice. Moreover the constraint equation Eq.(22)

$$t = 0$$
  
=  $+\frac{jj}{2}$   $\frac{1}{jj}$  =  $Q Q ;$  (24)

in plies that there are again two solution branches. We now show that the two branches are not distinct but one and the identical, in sharp contrast to the classical situation. Both branches describe a universe evolving from super-in ation phase to M ilne universe phase without encountering physical singularities.

The rst quantum branch that corresponds classically to the super-in ationary branch is given by

$$= + \log 2M'; e^2 jj = 18't;$$
 (25)

Combining the two, we have

$$e^{2} \frac{jj}{4M^{2}} = \frac{2t}{M^{2}}$$

$$e^{2} jj = \mathbb{R}^{t} t + jj\log 2M^{t} : \qquad (26)$$

To appreciate signi cance of < 0 choice we note that the left hand sides of Eq.(26) are monotonic functions ranging over (1;+1). It also implies that real-valued; evolution is possible for 1 < t < +1, hence, extends beyond the classical evolution range 1 < tt 0. Since is a monotonic function of t, one may take string coupling  $g_t = e$  as a convenient built-in clock. At asymptotic past in nity t 1,

$$(ds)^{2} ! \qquad \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2}t} [dt^{2} dx^{2}] = [d^{2} (M^{2})^{2} dx^{2}];$$

$$! \qquad \log(2); \qquad (27)$$

hence, the universe starts with classical super-in ationary phase. It is straightforward to recognize that accelerating expansion  $\underline{a}() > 0$  and  $\underline{a}() > 0$  is maintained for 0.0 n the other hand,  $\underline{att!} + 1$ ,

where  $(j = 81^\circ) \exp(811^\circ t = j)$ , thus behaves as M ilne universe with a linear dilaton. Again it is straightforward to recognize that  $\underline{a}() > 0$  but a() < 0, viz, decelerating expansion for 0.

Given that ; evolves continuously it is clear that initially super-in ationary accelerating expansion is changed into decelerating expansion at late time and approaches asym ptotically to M ilne universe. M ore insight to this m ay be gained from the behavior of scalar curvature as a function of coupling parameters  $g_{st}$  or  $g_e$ :

$$R := 2e^{2} (d^{2} = dt^{2})$$

$$= 16 \frac{g_{st}^{2}}{(1 + j jg_{st}^{2} = 2)^{3}}$$

$$= 32g_{e}^{2} (1 j jg^{2})^{2}:$$
(29)

It shows that the curvature vanishes at past/future in nity  $g_{st}$  ! 0=1 and attain the maximum near transition epoch' 0 where  $g_t$  1. The last line shows that  $g_t$  reaches the maximum value 1=j jat the transition epoch. We conclude that the classical superin ation branch has made successful graceful exit' to the M ilne branch with the aid of quantum back reaction.

Sim ilarly, the second quantum branch that corresponds classically to the M ilne universe becomes

$$= + M t;$$
  $e^{2} jj = M^{2};$  (30)

hence,

$$e^{2}$$
  $jje^{2Mt} = M^{2}e^{2Mt}$   
 $e^{2}$   $jj = jMt + M^{2}$ : (31)

Att! 1, the branch behaves

$$(ds)^{2} ! \exp 2 (M^{2} e^{2M^{t}}) = j j [dt^{2} dx^{2}]$$

$$= [d^{2} a(^{3}) dx^{2}]; a() \exp(e^{2M});$$

$$! M;$$

$$(32)$$

where  $^{R_{t}}dt^{0}exp(e^{Mt^{0}}=j)!$  1. It is straightforward to see that initially M inkowski at universe with a linear dilaton enters quickly into in ationary branch <u>a()</u> > 0 and a() > 0. Att! +1,

$$(ds)^{2} ! \frac{1}{j \ jM} t^{e^{2M} t} [dt^{2} \ dx^{2}]$$

$$= [d^{2} \ a(\ jdx^{2}]; \ a(\ ) \ (j \ jlog \ )^{l=2};$$

$$! \frac{1}{2} \log(\log \ );$$

$$(33)$$

hence, the universe exhibits decelerating expansion evolution  $\underline{a}() > 0; a() < 0$ . A sym ptotically at ! + 1, the universe approaches a M ille universe with approximately constant dilaton. Scalar curvature expressed as a function of  $g_{st}$ ,  $g_e$  is given by

$$R = C^{2} \exp\left(\frac{2}{j}\frac{1}{jg_{st}^{2}}\right) \frac{g_{st}^{4}}{(1 + j g_{st}^{2} = 2)^{3}}$$
  
= (2C)<sup>2</sup> exp (  $\frac{1}{j g_{e}^{2}} + 1)g_{e}^{4}$  (1  $j g_{e}^{2}$ ) (34)

where  $C := j M \exp(1=j M)$ . The  $g_{st}$  decreases monotonically from strong to weak coupling with . The curvature scalar vanishes at asymptotic past and future in nities  $g_{st}$  ! 0;1 but approaches a positive maximum value near transition epoch 0,  $g_{st} = 0$  (1). In term s of e ective coupling the curvature vanishes at ! 1 where g ! 0 but becomes strong  $g_e$  ! 0 (1=j ) near transition epoch. It is interesting to note that the curvature scalar depends on both couplings in a suggestive form of nonperturbative e ect associated with particle pair production. We conclude that the second quantum branch also shows graceful exit evolution of in ationary branch into M ilne universe branch much the same manner as the rst quantum branch.

One common aspect of both quantum branches is that the string coupling  $g_{st} = e$ evolves monotonically: either from weak to strong for the nst branch or from strong to weak coupling for the second. Normally this indicates breakdown of string perturbation theory. On the other hand recent advent of string-string dualities [10] may suggest alternative interpretation: branch interpolation between strong and weak string coupling is equivalent to an interpolation between two weakly coupled phases of dual string pairs [11] such as type-I and heterotic and type-II/M -theory and heterotic strings. String duality then allows that  $g_{st}$  ! 0 both at asym ptotic past and future in nity but for di erent perturbative string theories. We also note that the elective coupling  $g_e$  behaved in a similar way as the string-duality-applied  $g_{st}$ . Interestingly the transition epoch is precisely where both  $g_e$  and string-duality-applied  $g_{st}$  is of order unity, hence, perturbation theory in all means should break down or the least well-behaved. Evidently this is where quantum back reaction is m ost pronounced.

In sum m ary we have investigated e ects of quantum back reaction in string cosm ology. In exactly solvable two-dimensionalmodelwe have found that the e ect is profound: classically disjoint super-in ation and M ilne branches have become smoothly connected at quantum level. The consequence is that initially super-in ation phase with accelerating expansion gets retarded enough that exits gracefully to M ilne universe with decelerating expansion. Thus a successful string in ationary cosmology is realized. W hether a similar mechanism is possible for higher dimensions is an interesting question and is under current investigation.

SJR.thanks M.Gasperini and G.Veneziano for many helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by U.S.N.SF-KO.SEF Bilateral Grant, K.R.F. Nondirected Research

10

Grant and International Collaboration Grant, KOSEF Purpose-Oriented Research Grant and SRC Program Grant, and Ministry of Education BSRI94-2418.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Guth, Phys. Rev. D 23, 347 (1981); A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev.
   Lett. 48, 1220 (1982); A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. 129B, 177 (1983).
- [2] G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. 265B, 287 (1991); M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, Astrop.
   Phys. 1, 317 (1993).
- [3] R. Brustein and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. 329B, 429 (1994); N. Kaloper, R. Madden and K A. O live, Nucl. Phys. B 452, 677 (1995).
- [4] M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8, 3701 (1993); Phys. Rev. D 50, 2519 (1994).
- [5] C.G. Callan, S.G iddings, J. Harvey and A. Strom inger, Phys. Rev. D 45, 1005 (1992).
- [6] A.Bilaland C.G.Callan, Nucl. Phys. B 394, 73 (1993); S.de A lwis, Phys. Lett. 300B, 330 (1993).
- [7] J.G. Russo, L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3444 (1992); Phys. Rev.
   D 47, 533 (1993).
- [8] M. Gasperini, J. Maharana and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. 272B, 277 (1991).
- [9] J. Russo and A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B 382, 259 (1992); A. Fabbri and J. Russo, hep-th/9510109 (unpublished).
- [10] A. Font, L. Ibanez, D. Lust and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. 249B, 35 (1990); S.-J. Rey, Phys. Rev. D 43, 526 (1991); M. J. Du and J.X. Lu, Nucl. Phys. B 357, 534 (1994); A.
  Sen and J.H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. 312B, 105 (1993); C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B 438, 109 (1995); E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 443, 85 (1995).
- [11] J. Polchinski and E. W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 460, 525 (1996); Horava and E. W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 460, 506 (1996); ibid. hep-th/9603142 (unpublished).