# Self-dual Yang {M ills Theory and One-Loop Maximally Helicity Violating Multi-Gluon Amplitudes?

# Daniel Cangem i<sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics, University of California Los Angeles, Box 951547, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547

#### A bstract

A scalar cubic action that classically reproduces the self-dual Y ang  $\{M : ls \in A : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the same helicity. This result is related to the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : ls \in A : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the same helicity. This result is related to the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : ls \in A : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the same helicity. This result is related to the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : ls \in A : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the same helicity. This result is related to the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : ls \in A : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the same helicity. This result is related to the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : ls \in A : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the same helicity. This result is related to the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : ls \in A : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : ls \in A : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : ls \in A : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : shown to generate one-loop QCD amplitudes for external gluon all with the symmetries of the self-dual Y ang <math>\{M : shown to generate one-$ 

Key words: Integrable, Yang (Mills, helicity, amplitude, self-dual

The study of multi-jet processes requires the calculation of QCD amplitudes with external quarks and gluons. The complexity of the calculations beyond tree level has motivated the development of ingenious and e cient methods that involve spinor helicity, color decom position, supersym m etry, string theory, recursion relations, factorization and unitarity [1]. The easiest amplitudes to consider are the ones with all external quons (conventionally taken as outgoing) in the same polarization state [24]. These so-called maximally helicity violating (MHV) processes vanish at tree level and take at one-loop a nonzero but very simple form. Zero tree am plitudes are a typical signature of a quantized integrable system [5,6] and it is tempting to look for an integrable m odel that reproduces these results. The relevance of two-dimensional current algebra for som e M H V am plitudes was already noticed by Nair som e time ago [7]. In a recent talk [8], Bardeen showed that some solutions of the self-dual Yang (M ills (SDYM) equations naturally appear in the calculation of tree MHV amplitudes (see also [9]). He also conjectured that the vanishing of the tree amplitudes is a consequence of the symmetries of the SDYM

<sup>?</sup> Partially supported by the NSF under contract PHY-92-18990.

<sup>1</sup> cangemi@physics.ucla.edu

system and that an anomaly is responsible for the structure of the one-loop amplitudes.

In this paper, I extend B ardeen's analysis and obtain the one-loop M HV Q CD am plitudes from a scalar action whose Euler{Lagrange equations reproduce the SD Y M ones. In the rst section, I brie y review the calculation of Q CD am plitudes. In section 2, I consider the SD Y M equations and two actions associated to them. In section 3, I follow B ardeen's idea and show the relation between SD Y M solutions and M H V am plitudes. I extend this analysis to one-loop in section 4 and discuss in section 5 the sym m etries of the SD Y M system. I conclude in the last section with some remarks.

# 1 A brief review of QCD amplitudes

This section reviews some of the basic ingredients which simplify the calculation of QCD amplitudes. I only present the material relevant to my discussion and I refer the reader to Ref. [1] and references therein for a more complete presentation.

The spinor helicity notation [10] compacti es otherwise lengthy expressions. An on-shell momentum k (this always means  $k^2=0$ ) has three independent components that are usually expressed in terms of scalar products of massless Weyl spinors (see Appendix A). It is more natural in this paper to choose  $^2$   $k_0$   $_{\rm Z}$ ,  $k_{\rm X+\,iy}$  and the ratio

$$Q = \frac{k_{0+z}}{k_{x+iy}} = \frac{k_{x-iy}}{k_{0-z}};$$
 (1)

The combination

$$X (k_1; k_2) = k_{1;x+iy}k_{2;0} z k_{1;0} z k_{2;x+iy} = (Q_1 Q_2) k_{1;0} z k_{2;0} z$$
 (2)

satis es the identity

$$2 k_1 \quad k = X (1;2) (Q_1 \quad Q_2) :$$
 (3)

One also introduces a set of polarization vectors in an arbitrary reference frame labelled by the null vector q (q k  $\in$  0). A change in q is analogous to a gauge transformation of the external legs and since the amplitudes are

I use the conventions  $k_0 = k_0$   $k_z$  and  $k_x = k_x$   $k_y$ , with metric g = diag(1; 1; 1; 1) and totally antisymmetric tensor  $k_0 = k_x$   $k_y$ , with metric  $k_y$ .

gauge invariant, they do not depend on the reference frame chosen for the external particles. Nevertheless the calculations may drastically simplify for some reference vectors q. These polarization vectors are orthogonal to the momentum k and normalized in the following way:

k "
$$(k;q) = 0;$$
 " $(k;q)$  " $(k;q) = 1;$  " $(k_1;q)$  " $(k_2;q) = 0:(4)$ 

Their general form is given in Appendix A.Com parison with the SDYM system is made later on in the \light-cone gauge" q = (1;0;0;1), in which the polarization vectors are denoted "() (k) and have the non zero components

$$\mathbf{u}_{0+z}^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) = 
\overset{p}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_{0+z}}{\mathbf{k}_{x+iy}}; 
\qquad \mathbf{u}_{x-iy}^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) = 
\overset{p}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_{x-iy}}{\mathbf{k}_{x+iy}};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{x-iy}^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) = 
\overset{p}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_{0+z}}{\mathbf{k}_{x-iy}}; 
\qquad \mathbf{u}_{x+iy}^{(-)}(\mathbf{k}) = 
\overset{p}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_{x+iy}}{\mathbf{k}_{x-iy}} :$$
(5)

A positive helicity gauge eld is parallel to "(+):

$$\mathbf{w}^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}_{x+iy}} k_{0+z} \mathbf{A}_{0-z}(\mathbf{k}) \quad \mathbf{k}_{x-iy} \mathbf{A}_{x+iy}(\mathbf{k}) = 0;$$

$$2 \mathbf{k} \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}_{z} \mathbf{A}_{0+z} + \mathbf{k}_{0+z} \mathbf{A}_{0-z} \quad \mathbf{k}_{x+iy} \mathbf{A}_{x-iy} \quad \mathbf{k}_{x-iy} \mathbf{A}_{x+iy} = 0;$$

$$(6)$$

We will see in the next section that a self-dual gauge eld in the light-cone gauge has positive helicity.

Sim ple N = 1 and N = 2 supersym m etry argum ents [12,13] relate one-loop M H V am plitudes with either gluons, scalars or ferm ions running in the loop. For SU (N  $_{\rm c}$ ) gauge elds, n  $_{\rm s}$  real scalar elds in the representation R  $_{\rm s}$  and n  $_{\rm f}$  D irac ferm ions (four components) in the representation R  $_{\rm f}$ , the am plitude has an overall factor of  $^3$ 

$$C_2(G) + \frac{n_s}{2} T_2(R_s) 2 n_f T_2(R_f)$$
 (7)

Thus, it is su cient to consider an (adjoint) scalar eld coupled to a Yang { Mills eld,

$$L_{QCD} = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} F F + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} D D ;$$
 (8)

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{}^3$  I use the following group theoretic conventions for SU (N  $_{\rm c}$ ): C  $_2$  (G) = 2N  $_{\rm c}$  , [T  $^a$ ; T  $^b$ ] = i  $\overline{\rm 2} f^{abc} T^{\,c}$ , T  $_2$  (F) = 1 , tr  $_{\rm F}$  T  $^a T^{\,b}$  =  $^{ab}$ .

where the covariant derivative is D = 0 ig A = 2 and the eld strength is F = 0 A 0 A ig  $A \neq 2$ , and to calculate one-loop amplitudes with classical gauge elds and scalar elds only in the loop. The scalar propagator is  $= i \pm k^2$  with a color factor  $^{ab} = C_2$  (G).

The color structure of QCD amplitudes may be simplified by decomposing them in color-ordered partial amplitudes [14]: At one-loop, an (n + 1) gluon amplitude with external momenta  $k_1; \ldots; k_{n+1}$ , helicities  $l_1; \ldots; l_{n+1}$  and color indices  $a_1; \ldots; a_{n+1}$  is written as

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{M} & \underset{n+1}{\text{one}} & \log \left( f k_i; \textbf{"}_i; a_i g \right) = \\ & & \text{tr} & \text{($T^{a_1}$} & \underset{\text{perm utations}}{\overset{\text{non cyclic}}{\underset{\text{perm utations}}{\underset{\text{of } (1 - n+1)}{\text{of } (1 - n+1)}}} \\ \end{array}$$

The triple dots at the end represent additional sub-leading color structures that can be calculated from the above leading-color partial amplitudes [15]. In other words, it is su cient to calculate the fewer partial amplitudes using color-ordered Feynm an rules where color indices are absent.

Amplitudes with all, or all but one, external gluons with the same helicity identically vanish at tree level:

$$m^{\text{tree}}(k_{1};+; n;k;k_{n+1}; ) = ik_{1}^{2} m^{+;1}(k_{1};q_{1}) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ \text{Etree}}}^{2} ik_{1}^{k;n}(k_{n};q_{n})$$

$$ik_{n+1}^{2} m^{i+1}(k_{n+1};q_{n+1}) A_{1}(k_{1}) A_{1}(k_{1}) C_{n+1} C_{n+$$

The subscript c stands for connected G reen functions. This can be proven using the freedom one has in the choice of the reference momenta  $\mathbf{q}_i$  for the external particle helicities [12]. A Itematively one can show that the current am plitude,

has no multi-particle poles at tree level. We will later calculate the current amplitudes (10) using a self-dual system and demonstrate that they have no pole in  $\mathbf{k}^2$ .

At one-loop, MHV amplitudes with four and more external legs do not vanish and are explicitly known. For example, the four particle amplitude is [16]

$$m^{\text{one loop}}(k_1; +; u_4; + k) =$$

$$= N_c g^4 \frac{i}{48^2} \frac{k_{1;x+iy}}{Q_1} \frac{k_{2;x+iy}}{Q_2} \frac{k_{3;x+iy}}{Q_3} \frac{k_{4;x+iy}}{Q_4} \frac{X_2(k_1 + k_2; k_3)^2}{(Q_1 + Q_2)^2};$$
 (11)

A more conventional expression written in spinor notations is given in Appendix A. It is the goal of this paper to reproduce all the MHV one-loop amplitudes in the framework of a SDYM system.

# 2 SDYM equations

The SDYM equations are most commonly studied in Euclidean space or (2 + 2)-dimensional spacetime so that the solutions are real. In Euclidean space, SDYM solutions describe instantons and in a (2 + 2) signature, they describe the gauge sector of an N = 2 heterotic or open string [5]. Here I explicitly work in M inkowski spacetime and consider the self-dual equations,

$$F = \frac{i}{2} \qquad F \qquad ; \tag{12}$$

whose solutions necessarily live in the complexication of the gauge group. Complex self-dual congurations have nevertheless a physical interpretation as waves of positive helicity. For Maxwell-like elds (g = 0,  $E^j = F_{0j}$ ;  $B^j = ^{0jkl}F_{kl}=2$ ), these equations  $\sin ply$  state that electric and magnetic elds form a positive helicity wave,  $E^j = iB^j$ . In components, Eqns. (12) read

$$F_{0+z,x}$$
  $iy = 0;$   $F_{0-z,x+iy} = 0;$   $F_{0-z;0+z} = F_{x+iy,x-iy}$ : (13)

Considerable e ort has been devoted to the study of these equations and various approaches have been proposed.

One approach comes from the original work of Yang [17] and views the two rst equations as zero curvature conditions for the elds  $(A_{0+z};A_{x-iy})$  and  $(A_{0-z};A_{x+iy})$ . They are solved using two elements h; h of the (complexied) gauge group:

$$\frac{ig}{2}A_{0+z} = h^{-1}Q_{0+z}h; \qquad \frac{ig}{2}A_{x-iy} = h^{-1}Q_{x-iy}h; 
\frac{ig}{2}A_{0-z} = h^{-1}Q_{0-z}h; \qquad \frac{ig}{2}A_{x+iy} = h^{-1}Q_{x+iy}h; 
\frac{ig}{2}A_{x+iy} = h^{-1}Q_{x+iy}h;$$
(14)

The last self-dual equation involves the product  $H = hh^{-1}$ ,

$$Q_{0} _{z} (H^{-1}Q_{0+z}H) \qquad Q_{x+iy} (H^{-1}Q_{x-iy}H) = 0;$$
 (15)

and is known as the Yang equation. This is the Euler (Lagrange equation of an action proposed by Donaldson [18] and by Nair and Schi [19]:

$$S_{DNS}(H) = \frac{f^{2}}{2}^{Z} d^{4}x tr \theta_{0+z} H \theta_{0} H^{1} \theta_{x+iy} H \theta_{x+iy} H^{1} + \frac{f^{2}}{2}^{Z} d^{4}x dt tr H^{1} \theta_{0+z} H ; H^{1} \theta_{0} H^{1} H^{1} \theta_{x+iy} H^{1} H^{1} \theta_{t} H^{1} H^{1} \theta_{t} H^{1} H^{1} \theta_{x+iy} H^{1} H^{1} \theta_{t} H^{1} H^{1} H^{1} \theta_{t} H^{1} H^{1} H^{1} \theta_{t} H^{1} H^{1} H^{1} \theta_{t} H^{1} H^{1}$$

The constant f has mass dimension one. This action is expected to be the prototype of a four-dimensional conformal theory that generalizes the two-dimensional Wess{Zumino{Novikov{Witten model [20]. Namely, a direct calculation shows that its beta function vanishes at one-loop order. (It is even arqued that it vanishes at all orders in some circum stances [21].)

We will follow another approach [22], that takes advantage of the light-cone gauge  $A_0_z=0$ . In this gauge, the two last equations in (13) are equivalent to the positive helicity conditions (6). The second equation in plies  $A_{x+iy}=0$  and the third one is an integrability condition for the elds  $A_{0+z}$ ;  $A_{x-iy}$ ,

$$A_{x+iy} = 0;$$
  $A_{0+z} = {\stackrel{p}{2}} Q_{x+iy};$   $A_{x-iy} = {\stackrel{p}{2}} Q_{0-z};$  (17)

or, in momentum space,

A self-dual gauge eld has positive helicity. The scalar eld is constrained by the remaining rst equation in (13):

These are the equations of motion of the scalar action [23]:

$$S_{\text{scalar}}() = f^2 d^4x \text{ tr } \frac{1}{2}0 0 + \frac{ig}{3} [0_{x+iy}; 0_{0z}]$$
 (20)

A sbefore, f has mass dimension one so that the complex eld is dimension-less, in agreement with (17). This action has three unconventional properties: it is not real, it explicitly breaks Lorentz invariance and it is not renormal-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> One can of course add its complex conjugate. The kinetic term does not have the standard form and the elds and are totally decoupled.

izable by power counting since the interaction term contains two derivatives. Nevertheless, it turns out to reproduce correctly the MHV amplitudes up to one loop.

### 3 Tree am plitudes

I rst recall the relation between a classical solution and a tree amplitude. An amplitude is obtained by truncating on-shell a connected G reen function. A tree G reen function is generated by the Legendre transform W (J) of the classical action S ():

$$\frac{S()}{(x)} + J(x) = 0; \qquad (21)$$

$$W(J) = S(_J) + dx__J(x)J(x);$$
 (22)

h 
$$(x_1)$$
  $_{n+}(x)i_c = \frac{i^{n+1}W (J)}{i J(x_1) i_{n}J(x)} _{J=0}$ : (23)

Since the classical solution  $_{\rm J}$  in presence of a source J is given by the rst variation of the generating functional W (J), we have

h 
$$(x_1)$$
  $_{n+}(x)i_c = \frac{{}^{n} _{J}(n+1)}{i J(x_1) i J(x_{J=0})};$  (24)

The classical solution  $\ _{\rm J}$  is an in nite series in J whose coe cients are the connected G reen functions.

In our case, the equations of motion with source are

$$e^2$$
 J ig  $e_{x+iy}$  J;  $e_0$  J ]+ J = 0: (25)

These equations are solved with a Bethe Ansatz [8]. The solution is iteratively obtained as a series in the coupling constant g:

$$_{J}(x) = \int_{m=1}^{x^{2}} f^{(m)}(x); \qquad f^{(m)}/g^{m-1}: \qquad (26)$$

In momentum space, the rst term is

It is possible to add to  $_{\rm J}^{(1)}$  (k) a function with support on the light-cone  $k^2=0$ . This would shift the solution with source by a solution of Eq. (19) and lead to G reen functions in the presence of a non-vanishing background eld. Solutions of the SDYM equations (19) without source are obtained from  $_{\rm J}$  by taking the support of  $j(k)=J(k)=k^2$  on the light-cone. By iteration we get

$$\int_{J}^{(m)} (k) = ig \int_{j=1}^{m_{X}-1} \frac{d^{4}p_{1}}{(2)^{4}} \frac{d^{4}p_{2}}{(2)^{4}} (2)^{4} (p_{1} + p_{2} + k) 
= \frac{X (p_{1}; p_{2})}{(p_{1} + p_{2})^{2}} (p_{1})^{-(m-j)} (p_{2}) :$$
(28)

Each term  $J^{(m)}(k)$  is a sum of trees with cubic vertices, attached to a leg with momentum k and ending up on m sources  $J(p)=p^2=j(p)$ . Since the current amplitude

(f  $(k_j)$  is a function with support on the light-cone  $k_j^2=0$ ) is obtained by differentiating the classical solution notion in times with respect to the source J and by truncating on-shell nexternal legs (see (24)), we can restrict the support of j(k) to be on the light-cone and then take the derivatives with respect to j(k). Then Eqns. (28) are equivalent to the Berends and G iele recursion relations [3,24,11] and one shows by induction:

If one takes j to be a sum of n independent (on-shell) plane waves,

$$j(x) = \int_{j=1}^{X^n} T^{a_j} e^{jk_j x} f(k_j);$$
 (31)

one gets the Bethe Ansatz solution [8] of the SDYM equations. The relevant part in the calculation of the current amplitude (29) involves n j's and so is contained in (n):

$$(Q_1 \ Q_2)^{-1} (Q_2 \ Q_3)^{-1} \ _n (Q \ Q_n)^{-1} + : (32)$$

The piece given here explicitly involves all m om enta  $k_j$  (j=1;:::;n) and is equal to the current amplitude (29). The additional terms denoted by the dots (as well as the other (m); m (m) n or correspond to tree diagrams with two or more legs having the same momentum. They are described in Appendix B.

The function f (k) has not yet been specied. One remarks that for f (k) =  $Q = k_{x+iy}$ , (l) (k) = j(k) corresponds (see (18)) to a positive helicity gauge eld: A (l) (k) =  $_{j=1}^{n}$  (2) (k) (kj k)" (kj). For this same function one nds a remarkable identity [8] between the tree SDYM current amplitude (29) and the tree MHV current amplitudes (10) in the light-cone gauge and in the reference frame (5) as calculated for example in [11]:

D E tree 
$$p_{-}$$
 D E  
 $A_{0+z}(k) = i \overline{2} k_{x+iy} (k)$ ;  
D E tree  $p_{-}$  D E  $n$   
 $A_{x-iy}(k) = i \overline{2} k_{0-z} (k)$ ; (33)

(com pare with the self-dual Ansatz (18)). The MHV amplitudes are obtained by contracting (33) with  $ik^2$ "; (k;q) and taking the limit  $k^2$ ! 0. A remarkable property of the solution (32) is that the multiparticle poles appearing in the branches of the tree completely disappear in the nal solution. In particular, there is no pole in  $k^2 = (k_1 + \frac{1}{n})^2 k$  and thus the tree amplitudes (9) vanish.

An analysis similar to the one done in this section can be carried [9] with a third Ansatz for the self-dual gauge eld, originally proposed by 't Hooft for instantons solutions.

### 4 One-loop am plitudes

The color-ordered Feynm an rules for the scalar action (20) are very  $\sin p \ln n$  m om entum space the propagator is  $i + k^2$  and the cubic vertex is

$$\frac{3}{2} = \frac{g}{2} X (k_3; k_1 \quad k_2) = g X (k_1; k_2) :$$
 (34)

M inkowski kinematics is such that this vertex is zero on-shell in agreement with the vanishing of the three particle M HV amplitudes. This on-shell vertex is not zero for Euclidean and (2+2) signature. Although two and three particle

am plitudes at one-loop involve IR and UV divergent integrals [23], they vanish for sim ilar kinem atics reasons. I have computed the color-ordered four particle am plitude using a symbolic algebra computer program and performed the resulting integrals in dimensional regularization [16]:

$$(ik_{1}^{2}) \qquad (i_{4}^{2})ik \qquad (k_{1}) \qquad i_{4}^{E}) (k_{k_{1}^{2}} = i_{4}^{2} k_{0} )$$

$$= \frac{N_{c}g^{4}}{2} \frac{i}{48^{2}} \frac{X_{c}(k_{1} + k_{2}; k_{3})^{2}}{(Q_{1} Q_{2})^{2}} ; \qquad (35)$$

If one multiplies each leg by  $iQ = k_{x+iy}$ , like at tree level, one gets a full agreement with QCD, see Eq. (11) (remember the factor of two in (7) between complex scalars and gluons contributions). In this section, I show that this result generalizes to one-loop amplitudes with an arbitrary number of external gluons.

Asmentioned in the rst section, one-loop QCD amplitudes may be calculated from the scalar QCD action (8) with a classical gauge eld A and a quantized scalar eld running in the loop. In light-cone gauge, (8) reads

and generates ve color-ordered vertices coupling gauge elds and scalar elds:

$$A_{0+z} = \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{\frac{2}{2}} = \frac{ig}{2} (k_1 \quad k_2)_{0 \ z};$$

$$A_{x \quad iy} = \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{\frac{2}{2}} = \frac{ig}{2} (k_1 \quad k_2)_{x+iy};$$

$$A_{x+iy} = \frac{3}{2} = \frac{ig}{2} (k_1 \quad k_2)_{x+iy};$$

$$A_{x+iy} = \frac{3}{2} = \frac{ig}{2} (k_1 \quad k_2)_{x+iy};$$

$$A_{x \quad iy} = \frac{ig}{2} (k_1 \quad k_2)_{x-iy};$$

G luon vertices are not shown here since they have already been correctly taken into account in the previous section. One observes that this action looks very similar to the SDYM action (20) in a background eld,

when one uses the relation (17) or (33) between A and .

A general one-loop partial amplitude is obtained by gluing with a scalar propagator the two extremities of the QCD dressed vertex

( 
$$ik_1^2$$
) (  $ik_2^2$ ) ( $k_1$ ) ( $k_2$ ) ( $k_2$ ) (39)

or the SDYM dressed vertex (  $ik_1^2$ )( $_Dik_2^2$ )  $_E$ ( $k_1$ )( $k_2$ )  $_1$ . We found in (33) that there are no current amplitudes  $A_{x+iy}$ , so the two quartic vertices and the third cubic vertex in (37) do not appear. For each segment carrying one tree in the above diagram, we have (remember that a current amplitude has a propagator attached to its o -shell leg):

$$= i 2^{D} (k_{3})^{E} k_{3}x + iy A_{0+z} - 3^{2}$$

$$p - D (k_{3})^{E} k_{3}x - 2 A_{x-iy} - 3^{2}$$

$$= i 2^{D} (k_{3})^{E} k_{3}x - 2 A_{x-iy} - 3^{2}$$

$$= (40)$$

For the second equality, we use the tree level identity (33) relating A(k) A(k) and A(k) A(k

These amplitudes have been explicitly calculated in the context of QCD for an arbitrary number of legs: their form has rst been conjectured [25] using gluons in the loop and then derived [26] using ferm ions in the loop. The result is am azingly simple:

with the Paulim atrices, = (1; i); = (1; i).

I end this section with some comments on the alternative SDYM action (16). Since its equations of motion are also the SDYM ones, this action reproduces correctly the tree amplitudes. At one-loop, the proliferation of vertices makes the analysis more dicult. I checked that the four particle amplitude gives again (11). With  $J = \exp i \, ^a T^a = f$ , the cubic vertex is a slight modication of (34):

$$\frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{6f} \times (k_3; k_1 - k_2) + k_1^2 + k_2^2 : \qquad (42)$$

The additional term  $(k_1^2 \quad k_2^2)$  is irrelevant for the four particle amplitude, but does contribute to higher amplitudes, as do the quartic, quintic, etc. vertices. This also happens at tree level but does not change the nal result. It is plausible that the same cancellations work at one-loop and that the Donaldson { Nair{Schi action reproduces also all the one-loop MHV amplitudes.

## 5 Sym m etries of the SD Y M system

In fact, the dressed vertices (39) have an interesting interpretation in terms of the symmetries of the SDYM equations (see also [9]). Consider a small perturbation (x) around a solution of the SDYM equations. It satisfies the linearization of Eq. (19),

A sim ilar equation is obtained by di erentiating (25) with respect to J (y):

Actually,  $_{q}(k)$  (  $iq^{2}$ )  $_{J}(k)=i$  J(q) is nothing else than the generating functional of the dressed vertex (  $ik^{2}$ )(  $iq^{2}$ ) (k) (q)  $_{1}$  . The constant will be xed later. We can solve for  $_{q}(k)$  as we did in section 3. Take to be the Bethe Ansatz solution but with the plane wave j=j m issing (j is some eindex between 1 and n) and expand  $_{q=k_{j}}$  in powers of the coupling constant g:

$$(x) = \int_{m=1}^{x^{\frac{1}{2}}} (x) (x) \quad \text{with} \quad (1) (x) = \int_{j=1}^{x^{n}} T^{a_{j}} e^{-ik_{j}x} f(k_{j});$$

$$(45) \quad (45) \quad$$

The function  $f(k_j)$  has support on the light-cone  $k_j^2 = 0$ . However  $k_j$  is unrestricted and may be o -shell.<sup>5</sup> At successive orders in the coupling constant g, we have diagram matically

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  A solution of the hom ogeneous equation (43) is obtained by restricting  $k_{\rm j}$  to be on-shell.

The trees in  $_{j}^{(n)}(k)$  have (n-1) on-shell legs and two o-shell legs with momenta k and  $k_{j}$ . The sum of the trees ending with  $n_{j}$ di erent momenta  $k_{j}$  ( $j=1;\ldots;n$ ) reproduces the dressed vertex (  $ik_{j}^{2}$ ) (k) (k)  $ik_{j}^{2}$ ) with two o-shell momenta  $ik_{j}$ . One can even india closed analytic expression for it; for  $ik_{j}^{2}$ 0 are precisely the equations that D unn, M ahlon and Yan have for two o-shell current amplitudes in QCD [11]. Explicit expressions can be found in their article and will not be reproduced here.

n

A one-parameter family of symmetries  $_{\rm s}$  is constructed from the following pair of recursion relations [27]:

$$Q_{0 \ z \ s+1} = Q_{x \ iy \ s} \quad ig [Q_{0 \ z}; \ s];$$

$$Q_{x+iy \ s+1} = Q_{0+z \ s} \quad ig [Q_{x+iy}; \ s];$$
(47)

These equations are compatible if  $_{\rm S}$  is a solution of (43). Moreover,  $_{\rm S+1}$  is a symmetry if is a solution of the SDYM equations. It is known that these symmetries form an ane Lie algebra. This is at the basis of the conjecture [28] that all integrable models are some reduction of a SDYM system. Of course, the 's are symmetries of the equations of motion and not necessarily of the action. In fact, in the hierarchy (47), only  $_{\rm O}$  =  $_{\rm T}$  and  $_{\rm I}$  =  $_{\rm I}$  ig [; $_{\rm T}$  are true symmetries of the action. Nevertheless, the hierarchy (47) denes an in nite set of conserved currents whose classical expressions are

$$J_{s;0+z} = Q_{0+z} \quad \text{ig } [Q_{x+iy}; s]; \quad J_{s;x-iy} = Q_{x-iy} \quad \text{ig } [Q_{0-z}; s];$$

$$Q_{0} \quad J_{s} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ig } [s_{s-1}; Q_{s-1}]; \quad \text{ig } [Q_{x+iy}; Q_{s-2}] = 0:$$
(48)

Since these currents are only known for classical solutions , one can only derive tree level identities and not true W and identities relating G reen functions of di erent orders in h.

#### 6 Conclusion

In this paper, I have investigated the idea that QCD computations may be simplied when considering only maximally helicity (MHV) violating processes.

Although this statement seems natural, its concrete realization is based upon special tools like supersymmetry identities and self-dual Yang {Mills (SDYM) equations. I showed that a scalar action reproduces exactly the MHV amplitudes up to one loop. The extension of this result beyond one-loop is not obvious, since I can no more invoke supersymmetric arguments to restrict myself to scalar QCD. It is unlikely that the SDYM action is su cient to describe the MHV amplitudes at two-loop, even when all external helicities are set equal. Namely, any cut in a diagram that isolates a tree amplitude will be automatically zero for the SDYM action, whereas it will be non-zero in QCD owing to the presence of dierent helicities in the intermediate states.

The vanishing of tree am plitudes is the signature of a quantized integrable model [5]. We have further probed Bardeen's idea that the S-matrix of QCD between positive helicity gluons is intimately related to the quantization of a SDYM system. The fact that one-loop amplitudes are calculated from a generator of the symmetry of the SDYM equations is one more piece of evidence in favour of an anomaly-type mechanism to generate the simple non-vanishing one-loop amplitudes. A more detailed investigation of this question is still needed.

A necessary step is to study the quantum symmetries of the system. It was noted at the end of the previous section that the SDYM action has global symmetries and that classically an in nite set of conserved currents can be found. It is still an open question whether they are responsible for the vanishing of tree amplitudes. The Donaldson {Nair{Schi action (16) may be an interesting alternative, since it also possesses an in nite-dimensional current algebra symmetry. This model is moreover viewed [20] as an example of a four-dimensional conformal theory and it was already suggested in Ref. [5] that the in nite number of symmetries generates W and identifies that ensure the vanishing of tree amplitudes. We have checked that the Donaldson {Nair{Schi action reproduces the correct QCD tree current amplitudes and one-loop four particle amplitude. However, due to a proliferation of vertices, we have been unable so far to show that it also reproduces the other one-loop amplitudes. If this proves to be true, then all the one-loop amplitudes of this conformal theory may be deduced from available QCD calculations [25,26].

There remains several other open questions. What can this approach bring in return to QCD? Can the mixing of helicities be described by some appropriate coupling between a self-dual and an anti-self-dual elds? These questions deserve further investigation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Incidentally, there is a delicate issue with the signature of spacetime. The Donaldson {Nair{Schi action and the SDYM equations are usually written using a (2 + 2) signature. One must be careful with analytic continuation; for example, I already mentioned that the cubic vertex within this signature does not vanish.

#### A cknow ledgem ents

I thank ZviB em for pointing out Ref. [8] to me and for stimulating discussions, which motivated the present work. The program I used to compute the four-point function was written by Andrew Morgan. I thank him and Lance Dixon for many useful comments.

#### N ote added

A fter completion of this work, two related papers appeared. Chalmers and Siegel [29] propose yet another SDYM action  $S = d^4x$  ( $\theta^2$  ig  $\theta_{x+iy}$ ;  $\theta_{0z}$ ]). It is derived as a truncation of the N = 4 supersymmetric Yang {Mills action in the light-cone formalism. The equations of motion for the Lagrange multiplier are identical to the equations (43) for the generators of the SDYM symmetries. Moreover, the authors provemy conjecture that the Donaldson-Nair-Schi action gives the correct one-loop amplitudes. Korepin and Oota [30] derive a closed expression for the Bethe Ansatz solution described in Appendix B.

#### A Spinor notations

This appendix is intended for readers familiar with the spinor notation. Consider  $\,$  rst a massless W eyl spinor

$$u (k) = {}^{0} \frac{k_{x+iy}}{k_{0+z}j}; \quad sign(k_{0}) \frac{q}{k_{0+z}}^{\frac{1}{2}} :$$
 (A.1)

Notice that on-shell we have either  $k_{0+z}$ ;  $k_{0} > 0$  or  $k_{0+z}$ ;  $k_{0} < 0$ . The spinor products

h12i = u 
$$(k_1)u$$
  $(k_2)$  =  $(Q_1 Q_2)\frac{k_{1,x+iy}}{x_{1,0+z}}\frac{k_{2,x+iy}}{x_{2,0+z}}$   $(A 2)$ 

[12] = sgn  $(k_1^0k_2^0)h21i$  = X  $(k_1;k_2)\frac{q}{k_{1,x+iy}}\frac{q}{k_{2,x+iy}}$ ;  $(A 2)$ 

satisfy

$$2 k_1 k = h12i [21]$$
: (A.4)

One de nes polarization vectors in a reference fram e q by their projection on an arbitrary null vector p,

p "(k;q) = 
$$\frac{\text{hqpi}[pk]}{\overline{2} \text{ hqki}} \text{ sign } (k_0)$$
; p "(k;q) =  $\frac{\text{hkpi}[pq]}{\overline{2} \text{ kq}} \text{ sign } (k_0)$ : (A.5)

(Notice the opposite sign in Ref. [11].)

The four point function in these notations takes the compact form

$$m^{\text{one loop}}(k_1;+; q_1;k) = N_c g^4 \frac{i}{48^2} \frac{[12][34]}{h_1 2 i h_3 4 i};$$
 (A.6)

which is the one usually quoted in the literature.

#### B The Bethe Ansatz for a SDYM solution

In this appendix, I indicate why (30) is indeed a solution and I comment on the terms left over in the Bethe Ansatz solution (32).

It is easy to see that the recursion relation (28) is satisfied by (30) provided the following identity is valid on-shell:

$$(p_1 + \frac{1}{m})p_1^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m_X} X (p_1 + \frac{1}{m})p_{j+1} + \frac{1}{m}p_{Q_j} Q_{j+1}) (B.1)$$

The proof goes as follow:

and is based on the algebraic relations (2) and (3).

A fler inserting the plane wave expansion (31) for j(x) into (30), the  $p_j$ 's momenta are replaced by the plane wave momenta. It may happen that two or more consecutive  $p_j$ ;  $p_{j+1}$  are replaced by the same momentum k. In that case one may worry about factors like  $(Q_j \quad Q_{j+1})^{-1}$ . The way to deal with this problem is rst to replace  $Q_j$  by  $Q_i + Q_j$ , then to symmetrize all the  $Q_j$ 's and nally to take the lim it  $Q_j$ . O. For example, the lim its

enter in the expressions for the rst few term s,  $^{(1)}$ ,  $^{(2)}$ ,  $^{(3)}$  and  $^{(4)}$ , in the power expansion of the Bethe Ansatz solution:

+ 
$$ig^2$$
  $T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_1} = i(2k_1+k_2)x f(k_1)^2 f(k_2) (Q_1 Q_2)^2$   
 $i\frac{g^2}{2}$   $X$   $T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_2} = i(k_1+2k_2)x f(k_1)f(k_2)^2 (Q_1 Q_2)^2 (B.5)$ 

It would be interesting to get a closed formula for all (x).

#### R eferences

- [1] For a recent review and a list of references see: Z.Bern, L.Dixon and DA.Kosower, Progress in One-Loop QCD Computations, to appear in Ann. Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. (1996).
- [2] S.J. Parke and T. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B 269 (1986) 410.
- [3] F.A. Berends and W. I. Giele, Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 759.
- [4] M.M. angano and S.J. Parke, Phys. Rep. 200 (1991) 301.
- [5] H.Ooguriand C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 367 (1991) 83.
- [6] I.Y. Aref'eva and V.E. Korepin, JETP Lett. 20 (1974) 312.
- [7] V.P.Nair, Phys. Lett. B 214 (1988) 215.
- [8] W A. Bardeen, Self-dual Yang{M ills Theory, Integrability and Multi-Parton Amplitudes, FERM ILAB-CONF-95-379-T, presented at Yukawa International Seminar '95: From the Standard Model to Grand Uni ed Theories, Kyoto, Japan, 21-25 Aug 1995.
- [9] K.G. Selivanov, Multigluon Tree Amplitude and Self-Duality Equation, ITEP-21/96, hep-ph/9604206.
- [10] F A . Berends, R . K leiss, P. De Causmaecker, R . Gastmans and T. T. Wu, Phys. Lett. B 103 (1981) 124; P. De Causmaecker, R . Gastmans, W . Troost and T. T. Wu, Nucl. Phys B 206 (1982) 53; R . K leiss and W J. Stirling, Nucl. Phys. B 262 (1985) 235; J. F. Gunion and Z. Kunszt, Phys. Lett. B 161 (1985) 333; Z. Xu, D. H. Zhang and L. Chang, Nucl. Phys. B 291 (1987) 392.
- [11] G D . M ahlon, T M . Yan and C . D unn, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 1337.
- [12] Z. Bern, in Proceedings of Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in High Energy Physics (TASI 92), eds. J. Harvey and J. Polchinski (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1993).
- [13] Z.Bem and A.G.Morgan, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 6155.

- [14] JE.Paton and Chan Hong-Mo, Nucl.Phys.B10 (1969) 519; FA.Berends and
  W.T. Giele, Nucl.Phys.B294 (1987) 700; M.M. angano, S.Parke and Z.Xu,
  Nucl.Phys.B298 (1988) 653; M.M. angano, Nucl.Phys.B309 (1988) 461;
  Z.Bern and DA.Kosower, Nucl.Phys.B362 (1991) 389.
- [15] Z.Bern, L.D ixon, D.C.D unbar and D.A.Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B 425 (1994) 217; Z.Bern, L.D ixon and D.A.Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B 437 (1995) 259.
- [16] Z.Bern and D.A.Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B 379 (1992) 451.
- [17] C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 1377.
- [18] S.D onaldson, Proc.Lond.M ath.Soc.50 (1985) 1.
- [19] V P. Nair and J. Schi, Phys. Lett. B 246 (1990) 423.
- [20] A. Losev, G. Moore, N. Nekrasov and S. Shatashvili, Four-Dimensional Avatars of Two-Dimensional RCFT, PUPT-1564, talk given at Strings 95, Los Angeles, CA, March 13-18, 1995 and Trieste Conference on S. Duality and Mirror Symmetry, Trieste, Italy, June 5-6, 1995, hep-th/9509151.
- [21] S.K etov, All Loop Finiteness of the Four-Dimensional Donaldson {Nair{Schi Non-Linear Sigma-Model, DESY 96-071 and ITP-UH-05/96, hep-th/9604141.
- [22] M. Bruschi, D. Levi and O. Ragnisco, Lett. Nuov. Cim. 33 (1982) 263.
- [23] A. N. Leznov and M. A. M. ukhtarov, J. M. ath. Phys. 28 (1987) 2574; A. Parkes, Phys. Lett. B 286 (1992) 265.
- [24] D.A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B 335 (1990) 23; G.D. Mahlon, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 2197.
- [25] Z.Bem, G.Chalmers, L.Dixon and D.A.Kosower, Phys.Rev.Lett. 72 (1994) 2134; Z.Bem, L.Dixon and D.A.Kosower, Proceedings of Strings 1993, eds. M.Halpem, A.Sevrin and G.Rivlis (World Scientic, Singapore, 1994).
- [26] G D . M ahlon, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 4438.
- [27] M. K. Prasad, A. Sinha and L.-L. W. ang, Phys. Lett. 87B (1979) 237; L. Dolan, Phys. Rep. 109 (1984) 1.
- [28] R.S.W ard, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 315 (1985) 451.
- [29] G. Chalmers and W. Siegel, The Self-Dual Sector of QCD Amplitudes, preprint ITP-SB-96-29, June 1996.
- [30] V.E.Korepin and T.Oota, Scattering of Plane Waves in Self-Dual Yang (Mills Theory, preprint YITP-96-33, August 1996.