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#### Abstract

The com plexi ed gauging of the de Sitter group gives a uni ed theory for the electroweak and gravitational interactions. The standard spectrum for the electrow eak gauge bosons is recovered w th the correct $m$ ass assignm ents, follow ing a spontaneous breaking of the gauge sym $m$ etry im posed by the geom etry. T here is no conventional H iggs sector. N ew physics is predicted with gravity-induced electrow eak processes (at the electrow eak and at an interm ediate scale of about $10^{10} \mathrm{G} \mathrm{ev}$ ) as well as w ith novel-type ofe ects (such as gravitational A haronov-Bohm and violations of the $P$ rinciple of equivalence to 1 part in $10^{17}$ ). The new theoretical perspectives em erging from this geom etric uni cation are brie y discussed.
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## 1 Introduction

Follow ing the early [ī1] up to the contem porary [̄] $]$ e orts to unify gravity w ith other fundam ental interactions, one is tem pted to isolate as perhaps the singlem ost im portant nding the fact that E instein's 4D theory can also be form ulated as a gauge theory of the P oincare group $P_{0}\left[\frac{1}{-1}\right] . W$ e recall that under the conventional (that is to say, real) gauging ofP $0, E$ instein's gravity em erges as expected, nam ely associated w ith the subgroup L of Lorentz rotations. C uriously, how ever, there is no gauge- eld output corresponding to the translational generators, for which we only seem to have a dubious association $w$ ith spin $[\underline{\sim}]$ prelim inary results $\left[\frac{51}{[5]}\right]$ we w ill expand here on the prem ise that the translational generators of space-tim e are intim ately associated with the electroweak interaction. Wewill see that the complexi ed gauging of $P$, a uniquely de ned 10-param eter Lie group which tums out to be isom orphic to the de Silter group, gives rise to a spontaneously broken SU (2) U (1) gauge theory uni ed with gravity. In the follow ing section we will introduce $P$ and exam ine its conventional gauge theory. In section 3 we will proceed w ith the com plexi ed gauging of $P$ in the context of $R$ iem ann-C artan geom etry, but without com $m$ itm ent on any particular spin-torsion interrelation. In section 4 we $w$ ill trace the intricate passage from the space-tim e geom etry to an intemal gauge sym $m$ etry. In section $5 \mathrm{we} w$ ill uncover and exam ine an already present $m$ echanism for the spontaneous breaking of that sym $m$ etry: it is elegantly im posed by the geom etry w thout any ad hoc assum ptions, so the need of a H iggs sector is thus superseded.
 realize that we actually recover the standard $m$ ass spectrum and charges for the electrow eak gauge bosons. O ur construction seems to o er fundam ental upgrading to each one of the two interactions it uni es, together with new (and apparently testable) predictions, as we will discuss in the last section.

To establish notation [i]_], we brie y recall that a contem porary form ulation of E instein's theory in a di erentiable 4D m anifold $M^{4}$ involves the $E$ instein $H$ ibert action as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E H}=\frac{1}{32 G}_{M^{4}}^{z} R_{a b} \wedge \quad\left(e^{( } \wedge e^{b}\right) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherefrom the vacuum eld equations follow upon variation of the fram e $e^{a}$ under the require$m$ ent of SO ( $1 ; 3$ ) (recast from the original $\mathrm{L}_{4}$ ©-ordinate) invariance, and the constraints of vanishing torsion and $m$ etricity. The latter is by de nition expressed as D $g_{a b}=0 \mathrm{which}, \mathrm{w}$ ith $g_{a b}=(1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1)$, am ounts to antisym $m$ etry of the connection $!^{a b}$.

W e also recall the altemative form ulation of E instein's gravity as a gauge theory of the

P oincare group with generators $Q_{A} \quad f P_{a} ; M_{a a^{\circ}} \circ g$ assigned, respectively, to the translations and the $L$ rotations in $M{ }^{4}$. A ccording to the standard procedure, we gauge $P_{0}$ (and, eventually, $P$ ) w ith the introduction of the generalized potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \quad H^{A} Q_{A}=e^{a} P_{a}+\frac{1}{2}!^{a b} M_{a b} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith covariant derivative D (cf. below ), and eld strength

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{A} Q_{A}=d H+H \wedge H=\left(d H^{A}+\frac{1}{2} f_{B C}^{A} H^{B} \wedge H^{C}\right) Q_{A}=T^{a} P_{a}+\frac{1}{2} R^{a b} M_{a b}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To explicitly w rite dow $n$ the torsion $T^{a}$ and curvature $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ 2-form s (nam ely C artan's structure equations), we need the structure constants $f_{B C}^{A}$. U tilizing the algebra of $P_{0}$, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{a}=D e^{a} \quad d e^{a}+!a_{b} \wedge e^{b} ; \quad R{ }^{a b}=d!{ }^{a b}+!{ }_{c}^{a}{ }_{c}!^{c b} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the gauge theory of the P oincare group. Then, variation of the E instein H ilbert action (in the absence of any extemal spinorialsources) w ith respect to ! ab (24 independent com ponents) sets to zero the 24 independent com ponents of the torsion, while variation $w$ ith respect to $e^{a}$ fumishes E instein's equations in vacuum .

W e nally recall the de Sitter group
where the $j$ jvalues of the (real) param eter are associated with the two possible types of non-trivial topology involved (closed and open for positive and negative curvature), while the
$=0$ value practically yields the standard contraction down to the $P$ oincare algebra. $C$ artan's structure equations for the above basis of the de Sitter agebra are

C om paring ( di erenœe (at the classical level) between the Poincare and the de Sitter gauge theory is a com ological constant proportional to. . In particular, there is again no eld-strength output corresponding to the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ generators: in vacuum, the torsion has to vanish identically.

## 2 Introducing P : uniqueness, isom orphism to the de $S$ itter group, and gauging

The $m$ entioned peculiarity of having no eld strength associated w ith the translational generators can actually be traced to much deeper issues such as the nature of torsion and its
relation to spin and the quantization of gravity. The apparent im pass is enhanced by the C olem an $M$ andula theorem [G] plus the fact that the de Sitter (together $w$ ith its contraction to the Poincare) group exhausts all three possibilities for the isom etry groups of $m$ axim ally sym $m$ etric 4D manifolds. The best know $n$ resolutions proposed include the tw istor approach and, of course, supersym $m$ etry [2̄]. Re ecting on the above, we have been m otivated to look for a generic 10-param eter Lie group P (w th generators ${ }_{a} ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{aa}}{ }^{0}$ ), not necessarily constrained to be a $m$ axim al-isom etry group, but which $m$ ust contain $L$ as a subgroup. This would im ply that, although $P$ will certainly act transitively on $P=L$, it $m$ ight not do so on space-tim e and, in particular, its a generators $m$ ay loose a direct interpretation as space-tim e translations. The latter w ill, of course, exist and be well de ned in any case, along w ith whatever sym $m$ etry they $m$ ay have.

Let us then form ally introduce $P$, w ith its generators satisfying the algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } a ; b]=C_{a b}^{c}{ }_{c}+C_{a b}^{c c^{0}} M_{c c^{0}} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

The acoeptable choioes for such a sim ple algebra are severely restricted. In fact, the Jacobi identity dictates that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bc}}^{a}$ are precisely the structure constants for the algebra of U (2) U (1), nam ely they are num erically equal to $j k 1$ for $j ;:::=f 1 ; 2 ; 3 g$ or zero if any one of the $a ; b ; c$ indioes is zero, while for the rem aining structure constants we nd

It follow s that $P$ contains, in addition to $L$, a distinct $S U$ (2) subgroup generated by $j$ and a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ associated w ith 0 . To explicitly see this structure, we introduce the usual $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{j}}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{j \mathrm{kl}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{kl}}$, $K_{j}=M_{0 j}$ generators (spatialrotations and Lorentz boosts), so that the com $m$ utation relations (긴) $m$ ay equivalently be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{J}_{j} ; \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]={ }_{j k 1} \mathrm{~J}_{1} ;\left[\mathrm{J}_{j} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]={ }_{j k 1} \mathrm{~K}_{1} ;\left[\mathrm{J}_{j} ; \mathrm{k}\right]=\mathrm{jkl} 1 ;\left[\mathrm{J}_{j} ; \quad 0\right]=0 \text {; }} \\
& \left.\left.\left.\mathbb{K}_{j} ; K_{k}\right]=\quad{ }_{j k 1} J_{1} ; \mathbb{K}_{j} ; k\right]=g_{j k} \quad 0+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{j k 1} K_{1} ; \mathbb{K}_{j} ; \quad 0\right]=\quad j \frac{1}{2} J_{j} ; \\
& {[j ; k]=j k 1 \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
j
\end{array} \quad 0\right]=\frac{1}{4} K_{j}:} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

In this basis, the $S U$ (2) subgroup structure generated by the $j$ is obvious, and we also note that the com m utator of each $j$ with odoes not vanish but closes to a Lorenz boost along $j$.

Further investigation has show $n$ that $P$ is, in fact, unique, m odulo isom onphism sand trivial cases such as direct products of 4-param eter Lie groups w ith $L$ or contractions of (e.g., dow $n$ to the P oincare group). The de Sitter group, in particular, is actually isom orphic to P. This can be established if one introduces the new set of (translational) generators $P_{a}$ w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a}=2^{q-} \quad a+\frac{1}{4} C{ }^{c c^{0}} M_{C c^{0}} \quad \$ \quad P_{0}=2^{q-} \quad 0 ; P_{j}=2^{q-} \quad j \quad \frac{1}{2} J_{j} \quad ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

to nally show that the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{0}$ set satis es precisely the de Sitter algebra ( $\overline{\mathrm{5}} \mathrm{V}_{1}$ ) .
W em ay now proceed w ith the (real) gauging ofP, utilizing the basis in (i, i) w ith com ponents of the generalized potential ( $e^{a} ; \frac{1}{2}!{ }^{2} a^{0}$ ), to nd C artan's equations as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{T}^{2}{ }^{a}=T^{1} a=d e^{a}+\frac{1}{2} C b_{b c}^{a} e^{b} \wedge e^{c}+\stackrel{2}{a}{ }_{b}^{a} \wedge e^{b} ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $C_{c d}^{a b}$ given by ( $\overline{1} \overline{1}$ ). D ue to the established isom onphism betw een P and the de Sitter group, one $m$ ight expect that the content of the sets ( $(\underset{-1}{-1})$ and ( $\overline{-1} \overline{-1})$ is identical. In fact, this is not true because of the di erent gauging involved in each case. To better com pare the two sets, we m ay re-cast (11]) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{T}^{2} \mathrm{a}=D e^{a} \quad d e^{a}+!{ }_{b}^{a} \wedge e^{b} ; \quad R^{2} a b=d!^{a b}+!^{a}{ }_{c} \wedge!^{c b} \quad \frac{1}{4} e^{a} \wedge e^{b} \frac{1}{2} C{ }^{a b} D e^{c} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

expressed in term $s$ of the new connection

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\mathrm{ab} \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{ab}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{c}} \text {; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its respective covariant derivative D. As com pared to the gauge theory of the de Sitter group (nam ely ( $(\overline{1})$ ) etc.), the above equations supply a form ally identical expression for the torsion, but there are di erences in the curvature. B esides the m odi cation in the contribution for the cosm ological constant, the presence of the additional term proportionalto D e (nam ely to the torsion) is non-redundant and cannot be transfom ed or gauged aw ay, The reason is that, unlike the case for the de $S$ ilter group, variation of the $E$ instein $H$ ilbert action (w rilten in term $s$
 in the gauge theory of $P$, in fact it tums out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{a}=\frac{1}{6} C_{b c}^{a} e^{b} \wedge e^{c}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e observe that we now have a non-vanishing eld strength associated w ith the a generators. To understand the diference in view of the identical expressions for the torsion in ( $\overline{6}, 1,1 / \overline{2}$ ), we
observe that these expressions are de nitions of $T^{a}$, while ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4}\right)$ is its eld equation (whose counterpart in the de Sitter case is $T^{a}=0$ ). Obviously, this eld equation is an algebraic one,
 also get a propagating eld (the electrow eak) associated w ith the a follow ing the com plexi ed gauging of .

## 3 C om plexi ed gauging of $P$

By com plexi ed gauging of $P$ we simply mean that we now let $H$ in ( nam ely we re-w rite (

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{a}!e^{a}=e^{a}+i^{a} ; \quad!^{a b}!!^{a b}=!^{a b}+i k^{a b}+S^{a b} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{a}!e^{a}=e^{a}+i h^{a} ; \quad!a b!? a b+i \frac{1}{2} C{ }^{a b} h^{c}+K^{a b}+S^{a b} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith ! ${ }^{\text {ab }}$ still as de ned by ( $1 \overline{3} \overline{-1}$ ). Before we proceed to discuss the physical interpretation of this com plexi cation, we note that what is indicated as the im aginary part of the connection
 segregate all contributions com ing from (and thus been determ ined by) $h^{\mathrm{a}}$, while $S^{\mathrm{ab}}=S^{\text {ba }}$ carries the needed 24 of the total 48 (realt in aginary) independent com ponents of $t^{\mathrm{ab}}$. The antisym $m$ etry has been im posed so that $m$ etricity is $m$ aintained.

At least form ally, we could exploit the use of the com plex covariant derivative de ned with $t^{\text {ab }}$ as a com plex connection. The latter notion is already fam iliar from the treatm ent of nonabelian gauge theory and connections are not observables anyw ay, so there is really no problem involved here. On the other hand, this is not quite the case with $e^{a}$ (fram es are geom etrical ob jects), so we will brie y exam ine the physical interpretation associated with the present em ploym ent of a com plex fram e.

Let us recall our earlier re-de nition of a connection in ( ative exam ination of two gauge theories, whereby a contribution from the $e^{a}$ fram e (the $\frac{1}{2} C_{b c}^{a} e^{c}$ term ) was e ectively absorbed in the connection. In the present context, the contribution of what is indicated in ( $\overline{1} \overline{5}$ ) as the im aginary part of $e^{a}$, nam ely $h^{a}$, can be likew ise absorbed in the already existing com plex connection. As a result, in addition to its gauging aspect, the above com plexi cation also adm its the folow ing geom etrical interpretation. Let us start w ith
the conventional (general relativistic) description of $M^{4}$ given in term sof the realbasis $e^{a}$ and the also real? ab as its Christo elconnection. Follow ing the com plexi cation ( $1-\overline{5}$ ) , the quantities $e^{a}$ and ? ab will retain both, their reality as well as their previous identi cation (even though the $m$ ay change in value as a result of additional sources in whatever will tum up as E instein's equations). H ow ever, the connection of the resulting space-tim e will no longer be sim ply C hristo el (just like the ! ab in (1-3) is not). In other words, ? ${ }^{\text {ab }}$ w ill be enlarged w th a tensorial contribution, nam ely w ith what is de ned as contorsion in the context of R iem ann$C$ artan geom etry (given by $\frac{1}{2} C_{b c}^{a} e^{c}$ in $\left.(\underline{1} \overline{-1})\right)$. The only di erence from that context is that in our case the contorsion will be com plex: it will receive m ixed (realt im aginary) contributions from $h^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. The real part of this contorsion w ill be observable through its conventional geom etric interpretation. The im aginary part will also be observable, but in a gauge-theoretic (notably for the electrow eak interaction) and topological (e.g., gravitational A haronov-B hom e ects) context.

In the above analysis it $m$ akes no di erence if some or all of the $h^{a}, K^{a b}, S^{a b}$ become com plex (e.g., as a result of the em ploym ent of a particular representation or gauge). A though no degrees of freedom will.be gained or lost, it willbe useful to have a clear perspective on that as well as on potentials and their eld strengths. By the generic de nitions in (2) (2, in applied for
 com ponents of $\mathrm{H}^{\top}$ are speci ed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{a}=e^{a}+i h^{a} ; \quad H^{a a^{0}}=\frac{1}{2} ?^{a b}+i \frac{1}{2} C^{a b} h^{c}+K^{a b}+S^{a b} \quad ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

while those of ${ }^{\sim}$, nam ely $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}, \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ ), will be calculated shortly.
The independent variables, nam ely those to be varied in the classical action, are 16+ 24 for the reale ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ and $?^{\text {aa }^{0}}$ plus an additionalrealcount $16+24$ com ing from $h^{a}$ (actually $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{I}}$ in term s of which $h^{a}$ willbe de ned -cf. below ), and $S^{a a^{0}}$. D ue to certain calculational subtleties, increased care is required in the choice of the connection and its variation, otherw ise one $m$ ay end up w ith virtually unm anageable com plexity. In particular we note that our choice of the basis in $\left(\frac{\bar{T}}{1}\right)$ ) and the connection ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{-1}\right)$ ), essentially a choice of gauge, $m$ ay not be optim al. Practically, the variation of ! aa seem s preferable and equivalent to a variation of ? ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}$. H ow ever, in the form er case, under the independent variation $e^{a}$ of the fram e, one should sim ultaneously vary the connection as $!^{a b}=\frac{1}{2} C{ }_{c}^{a b} e^{c}$. The rest of the idependent variables, nam ely $S^{a a^{0}}$, are expected to be associated w the ferm ionic content of space-tim e but they will not be really considered any further in the present treatm ent.
 set $S^{\mathrm{ab}}=0$ ) we nd Cartan's equations as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{a}=d e^{a}+t_{b}^{a}{ }_{b} e^{b} ; \quad R^{a b}=d t^{a b}+t_{c}^{a} \wedge t^{c b} \quad \frac{1}{4} e^{a} \wedge e^{b}+\frac{1}{2} C{ }_{c}^{a b} T^{c} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

These quantities specify directly observable eld strengths and the Lagrangian, so their im aginary parts should vanish identically or behave as needed. In particular they should not give unacceptable im aginary contributions, starting with the classical action. T hey essentially carry the entire content of the present theory (except for its sym $m$ etry breaking aspect, introduced in section 5). A though one can recognize the desired sectors (notably the gravitational) already form ing in ( $\overline{1} \overline{-1}$ ), a rather delicate handling is required for uncovering what actually is an intemal gauge sym $m$ etry from space-tim $e$ : the endeavoured electroweak interaction from the SU (2) and U (1) subgroups (generated by $j$ and 0 , as we have seen).

## 4 Internal sym $m$ etry extracted from space-tim e

To proceed, we need explicit expressions for $h^{a}$ and $K^{\text {ab }}$ in (15). (To uncover them, let us recall that the action of $P$ on space-tim e $m$ ay not be isom etric, as $m$ entioned, but $M^{4} m$ ay well be chosen to be di eom onphic to $P=L$. W e will make this assum ption so that there exist in $M{ }^{4}$ realizations of the algebra of $P$. This algebra contains, as we have seen, the tw o sub-algebras for the SU (2) and U (1) subgroups generated by a. A though it is custom ary (in G U T s etc.) to denote the presence of these subgroups as a direct product, we actually have a sem i-direct one in view of the $\left[\begin{array}{ll}j & 0\end{array}\right]$ com $m$ utator as given by $(\underset{-1}{(9)})$. H ow ever, we have alredy seen from ( that the structure constants $C_{a b}^{c}$ are presisely those of the direct-product $S U(2) \quad U(1)$ algebra, which will be refered to as $u_{2}$. Next, we will introduce a vienbein ${ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ which will relate vectors in the two $4 D$ vector spaces, nam ely $u_{2}$ and the tangent space at each point in $M{ }^{4}$.

Let us then introduce in $M^{4}$ a set (fram e) of four real vector elds $J={ }_{J}^{a} e_{a}$, ennum erated by the index $J=f 0 ; j g=f 0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 g$ and $w$ th $e_{a}$ the dual of $e^{a}$. The $J$ are chosen so that they satisfy the com mutation relations
where the com mutators are de ned as usual by the respective Lie derivatives and the $C{ }_{\mathrm{JK}}^{\mathrm{I}}$ are de ned in term s of the $C_{b c}^{a}$ as indicated, with ${ }_{a}^{I}$ the $m$ atrix inverse of ${ }_{I}^{a}$.

Wemay now express $h^{a}$ in (1] $\left.1 \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ in term sof som e $u_{2}$-valued 1-form $A^{J}=A_{a}^{J} e^{a}$ (where $A_{a}^{J}$ are di erentiable functions in $M^{4}$ ) dotted to the $\underset{\mathrm{J}}{\mathrm{a}}$. To do that, we obviously need an inner
product betw een vectors in $u_{2}$. W e are thus prom pted to the de nition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{a}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{I}}{ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{a} A^{J}=\left(\cos \#_{\mathrm{W}} \quad{ }_{0}^{a} A_{b}^{0}+\sin \#_{\mathrm{w}}{ }_{j}^{a} A_{b}^{j}\right) e^{b} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the particular value chosen for the constant $m$ atrix ${ }_{J}^{I}$ has been generically expressed (in view of the nature of the $u_{2}$ algebra) as a $m$ ixing by a single constant angle param erter $\#_{w}$.

To re-express ( $\overline{1} \overline{\bar{G}})$ ), we substitute for $h^{a}$ its de nition in term $s$ of $A^{I}$, which obviously does not change the real count of 24 independent variables. The result of this calculation is

In the above expressions, we have $m$ ade use of the de nitions

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{I}^{a} d_{I}^{a}+!_{b}^{a} \underset{I}{b}+!_{I}^{J}{\underset{J}{a} ;}^{F^{I} \quad d A^{I}+\frac{1}{2} C_{J K}^{I} A^{J} \wedge A^{K} ;\left(w_{\text {th }}^{I}{ }_{a}^{b} \underset{I}{b}={ }_{a}^{b}\right):} \text { : } \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

It should be noted that the de nitions of $T^{a}$ and $R^{a b}$ as given by (1̄2̄) are clearly retained, although these quantitities w ill acquire di erent values through the eld equations. The result $(\overline{1} \overline{4} \mathbf{-})$, in partioular, is not expected to hold in the present context, because it w ill be replaced by the new eld equation resulting from the variation of ! ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$. W e also observe that the eld strength $F^{I}$ is de ned as usual for the gauge potential $A^{I}$, while the covariant derivative $D$ rem ains the sam e, as long as it does not $m$ eet a $u_{2}$ index, otherw ise (as in $\left(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ ), there is an extra term from the $A$-connection in $u_{2}$, im plem ented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\frac{1}{J} \quad \frac{1}{2} C_{J K}^{I} A^{K}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For reasons which will be discussed shortly, we will assume that the ${ }_{I}$ is covariantly con-
 in conventional general relativity, im posed there as the zero-torsion constraint. Then, the indicated as im aginary part of the torsion in (2̄1̄) vanishes identically if

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{b}^{a} \wedge e^{b}=\quad a \quad F: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Re ecting upon the structure of (202), we see that a Yang -M ills sector associated w ith F can be expected to em erge autom atically as part of the E instein $H$ ibert action written for $\mathrm{R}^{\text {rab }}$. In realizing that, we will see the space-tim em etric $g_{a b}$ transform ed by the vierbein ${ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{I}}$ into $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{I}}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{I}{a} \underset{J}{b} g_{a b} \frac{32 G}{g^{2}} g_{I J} ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to an overall factor speci ed by the param eter g . T he latter $\mathrm{w} i \mathrm{ll}$, in fact, tum out to be the gauge coupling, which will obviously deviate from a constant to the extend that $D{ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{a}}=0$ is violated. Such deviations would, in any case, be negligible at energies below those associated $w$ ith the epoch of hom ogenization of the universe. M oreover, to secure correct relative signs
 rotation' of 0 to $i_{0}$, hereafter re-de ned as 0 , by which allour results rem ain form ally intact.
$W$ e are now ready to $w$ rite dow $n$ the $E$ instein $H$ ilbert action in $M{ }^{4} w$ th the curvature tensor (2̄2̄). . D ropping the im aginary surface term and the gauge- xing term $s$ (which, how ever, would be of im portance for quantization as well as for certain topologicale ects discussed in the last section), we end up w ith three contributions. The rst one is precisely the E instein $H$ ibert action (1-17) written for $R^{a b}$ (which includes a com ological constant, as m entioned), obviously associated w ith the gravitational sector. The second one gives the YangMM ills action (w th the correct relative sign when considered as a source for gravity) ofthe unbroken SU (2) U(1) gauge theory. The third contribution describes a set of novel gravity-induced electrow eak processes. W e will om it the details of this calculation because they can be fully recovered from the case w ith spontaneous breaking of the gauge sym $m$ etry, to which we now tum.

## 5 Spontaneous breaking of the gauge sym m etry

 rem ains invariant if the connection $!$ ab is changed by any 1-form to

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{\mathrm{ab}}!!^{\mathrm{ab}}+g^{\mathrm{ab}}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If is real, as we will assum e, this special kind of a projective transform ation is known as E instein's transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D g_{a b}=2 g_{a b} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which apparently is the reason why transform ations have rarely been used in recent tim es (see, how ever, $[\underset{-}{-9}]$ ). Thus uncovered, a degeneracy of the vacuum exists in the sense that the sam e E instein $H$ ilbert action (ī1) describes not only the sym $m$ etric vacuum ${ }^{\prime} M{ }^{4}$ corresponding to $=0$, but equally well any other vacuum $M^{4}$ with any 0 . Rem arkably enough, if we repeat the construction of the previous section not in $M^{4}$ but rather in $M{ }^{4}$, then the gauge sym $m$ etry of the $Y$ ang -M ills sector is broken. C onform ing to standard term inology, we
$m$ ay characterize the breaking as spontaneous. The $m$ agnitude of this breaking is directly proportional to the scale of which, at the outset, has nothing to do w th the $P$ lanck scale.

To see explicitly how this mechanism works, we will repeat the steps leading to (2-2̄), but now we m ust com plexify starting with the connection ( $\overline{2} \overline{-}$ ) for the vacuum $M{ }^{4}$. In other words, instead of $!^{\text {ab }}$, we m ust em ploy the connection

$$
\begin{equation*}
t()^{a b}=!^{a b}+g^{a b}+i K()^{a b}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this expression we have anticipated that the contorsion $w$ ill change (while retainning its antisym $m$ etry), as it indeed does described by

$$
K_{()}^{a}{ }_{b}^{a} e^{b}=\quad \begin{align*}
& I  \tag{31}\\
& J \\
& I
\end{align*} F^{J}+\wedge A^{J}:
$$

This result, which obviously replaces the previous expression $(\overline{2} \overline{\underline{G}})$, follows from the new set of C artan's equations which replace ( $2 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1, \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.R^{a b}=R^{a b}+g^{a b} D \quad K()_{c}^{a} \wedge K()^{c b}+\frac{1}{2} C \underset{c}{a b} T_{( }\right)^{c}+\frac{1}{4} \underset{J}{I} \underset{L}{K} \underset{I}{a} \underset{K}{b} A^{J} \wedge A^{L}+i 0^{a b} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned

$$
\begin{align*}
& O^{a} \quad{ }_{J}^{I} D{ }_{I}^{a} \wedge A^{J}+{ }_{I}^{a} F^{J}+{ }_{I}^{a} \wedge A^{J}+K\left({ }_{I}^{a} \wedge e^{b} ;\right.  \tag{34}\\
& O^{a b} \quad D K()^{a b} \frac{1}{4} \stackrel{I}{J}\left({ }_{I}^{a} e^{b}+{ }_{I}^{b} e^{a}\right)^{\wedge} A^{J}: \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

The above expressions obviously reduce to ( $\left.2 \overline{1} \overline{1}, \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right)$ at the $=0 \mathrm{~lm}$ it. The most prom inent di erence betw een the two sets is the already noted presence of the term proportional to in $\left(\frac{1}{3} \overline{1} 1\right)$. It is precisely this term which will give $m$ asses to the gauge bosons, as we will see in the next section. The com ponents of $K$ ( ) ab can be calculated explicitly from ( into account the speci c value for the ${ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{J}}$ introduced in $(\underline{2} \underset{-1}{-1})$. The result of this calculation is

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 K\left(l a b c=c 0 s \#_{W} C_{a b c}+\sin \#_{W} S_{a b c} ;\right.  \tag{36}\\
C_{a b c}={ }_{0 a} F_{b c}^{0}+{ }_{b} A_{c}^{0} \quad{ }_{c} A_{b}^{0}+{ }_{0 b} F_{c a}^{0}+{ }_{c} A_{a}^{0} \quad{ }_{a} A_{c}^{0} \quad{ }_{c} 0 c F_{a b}^{0}+{ }_{a} A_{b}^{0}{ }_{b} A_{a}^{0} ;  \tag{37}\\
S_{a b c}={ }_{j a} F_{b c}^{j}+{ }_{b} A_{c}^{j} \quad{ }_{c} A_{b}^{j}+{ }_{j b} F_{c a}^{j}+{ }_{c} A_{a}^{j} \quad{ }_{a} A_{c}^{j} \quad{ }_{j c} F_{a b}^{j}+{ }_{a} A_{b}^{j}{ }_{b} A_{a}^{j} ; \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

wherefrom we m ay recover, if needed, the explicit values for the com ponents of ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$ by setting
$=0 . W$ e thus have at our disposal everything we need to nd explicitly the classical action of the theory.

A gain dropping the im aginary surface and gauge- xing term $s$ (essentially the $O$ term $s$ in

expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{() E H}=Z_{M^{4}}^{Z} L_{E H}+L_{Y M}+L_{\text {gw }}+L_{m \text { ass }}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst contribution in ( $(\overline{4} \bar{O} \overline{1})$ describes the gravitational sector $w$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{EH}}=\frac{1}{32 G} R_{a b} \wedge \quad\left(e^{\wedge} \wedge e^{b}\right) ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

reproducing precisely the action $\left.\underline{(1,1}_{1}^{1}\right)$. The second contribution gives the $Y$ ang $M$ ills action for the SU (2) U (1) gauge eld with

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{Y M}=\frac{\cos ^{2} \#_{W}}{2 g^{2}} F^{0 a b} F_{a b}^{0}+\frac{\sin ^{2} \#_{W}}{2 g^{2}} F^{j a b} F_{a b}^{j} e^{0} \wedge e^{1} \wedge e^{2} \wedge e^{3} ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the indicated couplings. The third contribution is too long (to be in good taste and context), so it will be described only form ally as

It predicts a novel kind of electrow eak processes induced by gravity (we will call them gravitow eak) which are in fact of two generic types. The rst one involves couplings of the order of $g^{2}$ and $L^{2}$ (the P lanck scale cancels out in view of $\left.\left(\underline{1}_{2} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)\right)$. The second type, described by the last term in ( ( $\overline{-}-1 \overline{1})$, is at a much higher scale, roughly given by the geom etric $m$ ean of $L$ (the electrow eak breaking scale) and the $P$ lanck scale, nam ely at about $10^{10} \mathrm{Gev}$. In general, the couplings (and scattering am plitudes) speci ed by the $m$ atrioes $S$; $T$; U are fully calculable, but the explicit tree-levelpredictions which are thus available willbe m eaningfulonly after the $A_{I}$ 's have been rotated to physical states. This rotation $w i l l$ be e ected by ${ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{J}}$, the diagonalizer of the $m$ ass $m$ atrix $M_{I J}$, the latter specifying the last contribution in ( $\overline{4} \overline{0}$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m \text { ass }}=\frac{1}{g^{2} L^{2}} M_{I J}\left(; ; \#_{W}\right) A^{I a_{2}} A_{a}^{J} e^{0} \wedge e^{1} \wedge e^{2} \wedge e^{3}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M_{I J}$ is fully expressible in term softhe param eters ; i and $\#_{W}$ ( $L$ is the scale of ), which fully determ ine the sym $m$ etry-breaking pattem, as we $w$ ill see in the next section. T he contribution ( $4 \overline{4} \overline{-}, \mathbf{1})$ can be viewed as a gravitational substitute for the H iggs sector. The latter is hereby abrogated because we have no option on the spontaneous breaking of the gauge sym metry presented in this section: it is im posed by the geom etry and the only existing freedom is in the choice of the scale and orientation of .

## 6 R elevance to the electrow eak interaction

$W$ ith the generic $m$ ixing introduced by ( $(\underline{2} \bar{O} \overline{1})$, the $m$ ass $m$ atrix as de ned by ( $\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{1})$ tums out to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{00}=\begin{array}{lll}
a & b \\
0 & 0 & \left.\left({ }^{2} g_{a b}\right) \quad a \quad b\right) \\
\cos ^{2} \#_{W} \text {; } ; ~ ; ~
\end{array} \\
& M_{0 i}=M_{i 0}=\begin{array}{llll}
a & b \\
0 & i & a & b \sin \#_{W} \cos \#_{W} \text {; }
\end{array} \\
& M_{i j}=\underset{i}{a} \underset{j}{b}\left({ }^{2} g_{a b} \quad a \quad b\right) \sin ^{2} \#_{W} \text { : } \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

M ore explicit values w ill obviously depend on the particular choice of. For exam ple, we can orient (w ithout loss of generality) to lie in the ( $0 ; 1$ ) plane as

$$
a=\frac{p}{L} \frac{g}{32 G}\left(l_{0} a_{0}^{a}+l_{1} \begin{array}{l}
a  \tag{46}\\
1
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

so, w th L and the ratio $l_{1}=l_{0}$ (or $l_{\mathrm{N}}=1$ ) as tw $\circ$ independent real constant param eters, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}=a_{a}=\frac{l_{0}^{2}+l_{1}^{2}}{L^{2}} \quad \frac{l^{2}}{L^{2}} ; \frac{l}{W}_{2}^{l_{0}^{2} \sin ^{2} \#_{W}+l_{1}^{2} \cos ^{2} \#_{W}:} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{\prime}-\overline{-} \bar{G}\right)$, we nd for the $m$ ass $m$ atrix and its diagonalizer

Taking also into account the scale from ( $(\overline{4} \overline{4} \bar{i})$, we obtain the $m$ ass spectrum

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}^{2}=0 ; m_{1}^{2}=\frac{\eta_{\mathrm{w}}^{2}}{g^{2} L^{2}} \quad m_{z}^{2} ; m_{2}^{2}=m_{3}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{l}^{2}}{g^{2} L^{2}} \sin ^{2} \#_{\mathrm{w}} \quad m_{w}^{2} ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

obviously acquired by the physicalbosons
$B=\frac{l_{0}}{I_{N}} \sin \#_{W} A^{0}+\frac{l_{1}}{I_{N}} \cos \#_{W} A^{1} ; Z=\frac{l_{1}}{I_{N}} \cos \#_{W} A^{0}+\frac{l_{0}}{I_{N}} \sin \#_{W} A^{1} ; W^{+}=A^{2} ; W=A^{3}:$
$W$ e can trade $L$ for $m_{W}\left(\right.$ or $\left._{m}\right)$ and $l_{W}=l\left(\right.$ or $\left.l_{I}=l_{0}\right)$ for the positive param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2} \cos ^{2} \#_{\mathrm{W}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}}=\frac{\frac{1}{0}_{2}^{\sin } \sin ^{2} \#_{\mathrm{W}}+l_{1}^{2} \cos ^{2} \#_{\mathrm{W}}}{\left(l_{0}^{2}+l_{1}^{2}\right) \tan ^{2} \#_{\mathrm{W}}}=\frac{I_{\mathrm{w}}}{l \tan \#_{\mathrm{W}}}{ }_{2}^{!} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, follow ing the $=1$ choice (see, how ever, follow ing rem arks), we recover precisely the $m$ ass spectrum of the standard electroweak $m$ odel. O ne $m$ ay now proceed to fully determ ine the gravitow eak sector given by ( $\left.\overline{4} \frac{1}{-1}\right)$ ), which how ever is beyond our present soope and will be exam ined elsew here.

It $m$ ay have been already notioed that our construction carries certain aspects of a K aluza-
 in dim ensionality or the fact that $P$ is not necessarily an isom etry group (although it is expected to be so asym ptotically, e.g., in m odels which attain the hom ogenization mentioned earlier). In any case, there seem $s$ to be no obstruction in applying existing $m$ ethods to obtain results such as the quantization of the electric charge and the com putability of,$_{,} \#_{W}$, to that, we may already dem onstrate in the present context an elegant formulation of the generalized $m$ in im alcoupling prescription, which is precisely carried by $e_{a}$ (the dualof $e^{a}$ ) and autom atically assigns the correct charges to the electrow eak gauge bosons. For an explicit expression let us assum e that the im aginary part of $e^{a}$ in (15) is sm all so that, to low est order in $h$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{a}=\left({ }_{a}^{b}+i{ }_{J}^{I}{ }_{I}^{b} A_{a}^{J}\right) \theta_{b} ; \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we should actually rotate the $A_{I}$ to the physical states ( $\left.5 \overline{-1} \overline{-1}\right)$. To better recognize this result, one $m$ ay convert to holonom ic co-ordinates and disregard the non-abelian contributions.
 the electric charge e em erging through the identi cation of the relevant charge operator.

## 7 D iscussion and conclusions

Shortly after its discovery as a possible space-tim e sym m etry, the de Sitter group has been repeatedly advocated as an option superior com pared to the Poincare group $[\stackrel{i}{i}]$. Here we have seen that the com plexi ed gauging of the de Sitter group, albeit w ith its algebra expressed in the particular basis ( $\bar{\eta}_{1}$ ) for the isom onphic $P$, has uncovered a uni ed description of the gravitationaland electrow eak interactions (cf. also com $m$ ents at the begining of section 2). The construction is xed by less than ve adjustable param eters (at best only the two scales) am ong the $G ; g ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}} ; \#_{\mathrm{W}}$; . W e have seen that the known association of gravity w th the generators of the Lorentz group L has been retained, while the a have been uniquely associated w th the electrow eak interaction. O ur ndings o er new theoretical perspectives and predictions which apparently could upgrade general relativity as well as the standard electroweak m odel. The
im plied program $m e$ is obviously vast so we will only list what appear at the $m$ om ent as its m a jor aspects, also to be thought of as testing grounds on its worthiness.

To the extend that one can isolate gravity, E instein's theory rem ains unchanged exept for one $m$ a jor issue, nam ely the dram atic reduction of the im $m$ ense body of allpossible globaltopologies [Iİ극] to just $P=L$. E instein's equations (possibly with the addition of appropriate extemal sources) w ill still have to be solved, and even the asym ptotic- atness boundary conditions $m$ ay certainly retain most (but not all) of their practical applicability, e.g., for an isolated local source. C learly how ever, solutions w ith topology consistent to that of $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{L}$ (which inchudes severalm odels w ith B ianchi-type sym m etry [ilin] w ould be of special interest w thin the present context. Transcending the gravitational sector, novele ects are expected such as those related to violations of $m$ etricity and to alterations of the general relativistic junction conditions on the surface of appropriately chosen souroes. The form er should be observable through tests for violation of the principle of equivalence, expected to be positive if their accuracy exceeds one part in $10^{17}$ (the ratio of the electrow eak to the $P$ lanck scale). T he second type uncovers a new generation of A haronov B ohm -like e ects and a novel insight for the B lackett e ect, as already discussed in a related context

O ur ndings also provide a uni cation of the electrow eak sector when considered by itself. The association of the group generators w ith a space-tim e vierbein (which could o er an elegant explanation of the origin and uniqueness of the $S U(2) \quad U(1)$ choice) is in no way contradicted by any rigorous result in the $C$ olem an $-M$ andula theorem, but it does supply a counter-exam ple to som e fundam ental assum ptions therein [i্이]. The gravitoweak sector involves, as we have seen, tw o types of gravity-induced electrow eak interactions with couplings at a low (essentially electrow eak) scale and at an interm ediate scale of about $10^{10} \mathrm{G} \mathrm{ev}$.

On major open issues, we note that the modi cations in the com mutation relations (i) (9), e.g., the association of the [ $j ; ~ 0]$ w ith a Lorentz boost along $j$, will be clari ed once the representations of $P$ ( $w$ ith the related kinem atics etc.) have been worked out in full detail. W e also note that the predicted absence of a H iggs sector, congnuous as it $m$ ay be $w$ ith current doubts, will expose the electrow eak sector to contam ination by the renorm alization and quantization im passes for gravity, possibly $m$ ade worse by unitarity problem s. C ontributions to the latter could also com e from the Goldstone-boson analogue expected from the sym $m$ etry breaking: although E instein's transform ation is an invariance of the classical vacuum, it does contribute $w$ th surface and gauge term $s$, as seen from (3ิ3

Such contributions can also be expected from term $s$ in ( 3 2n'
dropped in the classicalaction ( $\overline{4} \underline{0} \bar{O})$. O $n$ the other hand, the sam e term $s$ seem to convey a welth of topological con gurations and novel e ects, inchuding the classical ones $m$ entioned above. A dditional (and possibly exploitable) novel aspects may be item ized as follow s: the sim ple group $P$ replacing the (essentially responsible for the $m$ entioned im passes) $P_{0}$; novel insight on the CPT theorem and chiralbehavior, or on spin and space-tim e parallelizability, through their association (together w ith the electrow eak bosons) w ith the globally de ned a-fram e [1] the uncovered gravitow eak processes and novele ects; the rigorous foundation of the $m$ in $m$ al coupling prescription o ered by $e_{a}$; the expected predictability and protection of the $9 ; \#_{W}$, $=1$ values; and the hereby anticipated explanation of $D$ irac's large-num ber con jecture. W e nally note the rather unexpected (and certainly peculiar) synthesis of severalm a jor theoretical aspects: grand-uni cation with $P$ (albeit geom etrical); superseding of the Colem an M andula theorem (w ithout supersym $m$ etry); spontaneous breaking of the gauge sym $m$ etry (w thout H iggs elds); com plexi cation (w ithout com plex or tw istor structures (INT); and K aluza-K lein aspects (w ithout extra dim ensions!). Am using as it $m$ ay be, this assortm ent $m$ ay also supply options to be further pursued.
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