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#### Abstract

W e discuss the de nition of condensates within light-cone quantum eld theory. A $s$ the vacuum state in this form ulation is trivial, we suggest to abstract vacuum properties from the particle spectrum. The latter can in principle be calculated by solving the eigenvalue problem of the light-cone Ham iltonian. We focus on ferm ionic condensates which are order param eters of chiral sym $m$ etry breaking. A s a paradigm identity we use the $G$ ellM ann-O akesRenner relation betw een the quark condensate and the observable pion $m$ ass. W e exam ine the analogues of this relation in the $t$ Hooft and Schw inger $m$ odel, respectively. A briefdiscussion of the N am bu -Jona-Lasinio m odel is added.


[^0]1. In two recent publications [1], , QCD in $1+1$ dimensions in the lim it of large $N_{C}$, was analysed in term $s$ of light-cone (LC ) wave functions. These had already been obtained in t H ooft's original work $\left.{ }_{-1 / 1}^{-1}\right]$ by solving the associated Bethe-Salpeter equation which is equivalent to diagonalising the LC Ham iltonian. A rem arkable result of [ī1, ', ī1] is the fact that the quark condensate, a quantily indicating a non-trivial vacuum structure, can e ciently be calculated in the fram ew ork ofLC quantum eld theory which is generally believed to have a trivial vacuum部,

The purpose of this note is to further clarify this apparent contradiction and put the de nition of condensates within LC eld theory in a broader perspective.
2. Assume that we have a sym metry which is explicitly broken so that the associated current is not conserved,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ j(x)=A(x): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith the help of the corresponding $W$ ard identity $\left[\frac{\overline{-1}}{-1}\right.$ one can derive the follow ing form ula for an arbitrary operator $B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 j B=j 0 i=\sum_{i}^{z} d^{4} x h 0 j \mathrm{~A}(x) B(0) j 0 i=\sum_{n}^{x} \frac{h 0-A(0) j \operatorname{ihn} \beta(0) j 0 i}{m_{n}^{2}}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $B=$ denotes the change of $B$ under the symmetry transform ation, and in the last step a com plete set of states jni, each ofm ass $m_{n}$, has been inserted. For the case of chiral sym m etry, choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B=2 m \quad i_{5} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

one nds for a single quark avour of mass m

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h0j j0i }=m_{n}^{x} \frac{\nrightarrow 0 j_{5} i_{5} \text { jiff }}{m_{n}^{2}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Refs $[\underline{[1]}, 1 / 2 \overline{2}]$, this expression was used as a de nition for the quark condensate in the t Hooft model. From the above derivation, however, it is clear that ( $\overline{4}$ ) holds quite generally. U sing the PCAC relation for the axial vector current, $j_{5}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ j_{5}(x)=f m^{2} \quad(x) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x)$ is an intenpolating pion eld and $f$ the pion decay constant, $(\underline{2})$ involves the pion two-point function and is easily evaluated w ith the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}=4 \mathrm{mh} \mathrm{O} j \quad j 0 i: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the fam ous $G$ ell-M ann $-O$ akesRenner ( $G$ OR ) relation $\left[\bar{\eta}_{1}\right]$ (to low est order in the quark $m$ ass $m$ [G]], which relates the Q CD param eters, $m$, the current quark $m$ ass, and the ferm ion condensate to the observables $f$ and $m$. We have wrilten everything in tem s ofbare quantities since the right-hand side does not change under renorm alisation [ $[\overline{1}, 1$. Thus, $m$ in ( $(\overline{6})$ is the physical pion $m$ ass.

The G OR relation can of course also be derived from ( $(\underset{\overline{4}}{ })$ if one replaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x) \text { i }_{5} \quad(x)=\frac{1}{2 m} \mathrm{fm}^{2} \quad(x) \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assum es that, for sm allm, the sum is saturated by the pion.
In any case, we want to stress the fact that in ( (- $)$ ) and ( (-, ) above a vacuum quantity, the condensate, is expressed in term s of the particle spectrum. So, once the spectnum is known, after, say, diagonalising the LC H am iltonian by one of the various m ethods on the $m$ arket [i] 101 , we can translate back properties of the spectrum into properties of the vacuum. In view of that, we suggest to deem phasize the role of the vacuum, which is natural to the extent that $m$ ost of its properties are not directly observable. This is particularly true within the LC fram ew ork, where the vacuum state seem $s$ to decouple com pletely from the particle states. Sim ilar ideas have been put forw ard long ago, in the context of chiral sym $m$ etry in the (LC ) parton $m$ odel, by Susskind et al hīin fram ew ork the spontaneous sym $m$ etry breakdow $n m$ ust be attributed to the properties of the hadron's wavefunction and not to the vacuum " [1] $\overline{2}]$. A related point of view has also been taken $m$ ore recently in [1]
3. Before we pursue the program just outlined we would like to rem ark that the in aster equation' ( $\overline{\underline{G}})$ cannot be derived by strictly sticking to the LC fram ework. To this end note that the rst term in (2) can be w ritten w th the help of the charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(x^{0}\right)={ }^{z} d^{3} x j^{0}\left(x^{0} ; x\right) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the generator of the sym $m$ etry, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h0j } B=\quad j 0 i=\stackrel{h}{i h 0 j B ; Q^{i} j 0 i ; ~} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the commutator is evaluated at equal time $x^{0}=0$. This expression cannot be directly translated into the LC language by replacing the ordinary charge $Q\left(x^{0}\right)$ by the LC or \light-like" charge,

$$
Q\left(x^{+}\right)={ }^{z} d x d^{2} x_{?} j^{+}\left(x^{+} ; x ; x_{?}\right) ;
$$

and evaluating the comm utator at equal light-cone tim ${\underset{L}{I}}_{I_{1}}, \mathrm{x}^{+}$. The reason for this is a peculiar property of LC charges: they annihilate the vacuum, irrespective of whether they generate a sym $m$ etry or not [4, LC vacuum. Thus, the right-hand side of ( $\overline{9})$, evaluated on a null-plane, is alw ays zero. Hence, that part of the operator $B=$ having a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value (VEV) cannot be obtained by an in nitesim al transform ation generated by the light-like charge $Q$. For exam ple, in the LC sigm a $m$ odel, the relation

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \stackrel{Q_{5}}{ }{ }^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{i}
$$

does only hold for those $m$ odes of the eld operators, and , having non-vanishing LC threem om enta, $\left(\mathrm{p}^{+} ; \mathrm{p}_{?}\right) \in 0[1 \overline{1} \overline{1}]$. These non-zero m odes do not have a VEV. Thus, the VEV of ( $\left(\underline{1} \bar{I}_{1}\right)$ vanishes on both sides, as it should.

The $m$ oral is that we have to assume the validity of the identity $(\overline{2})$ for any possible choige of quantisation hypersurface, in particular for one tangent to the LC, i.e. a null plane. This $m$ eans in particular, that we can use a com plete set of eigenstates of the

4. The th ooftm odel is the sim plest theory where use can bem ade ofLC w ave functions. $T$ his is due to the fact that, in the lim it of large $N_{C}$, the coupling to higher Fock states is suppressed by negative powers of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$. Therefore, the LC eigenvalue problem closes in the quark-anti-quark sector and the sum in ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{4})$ is saturated by one-m eson states. For the $m$ atrix elem ents in (

The $n(x)$ are the LC wave functions of the $m$ esonic bound states and are obtained as solutions of t H ooft's equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{2} n(x)=\frac{m^{2}}{x(1 \quad x)} n(x)+0_{0}^{2} d y \frac{n(x) n^{2}(y)}{(x \quad y)^{2}}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he variables $x$; $y$ represent the LC $m$ om entum fraction carried by one of the quarks in the $m$ eson, the integralon the right-hand side is perform ed w ith a principalvahe prescription, and ${ }_{0}^{2}=g^{2} N_{C}=2$ is the basic $m$ ass scale of the theory. Integrating (1] 1 the im portant relation [1] $]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{2} \int_{0}^{z_{1}} d x{ }_{n}(x)=m^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x \frac{n(x)}{x(1 \quad x)}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{1}$ our LC conventions (w ith a an arbitrary four-vector) are: $a=\left(a^{0} \quad a^{3}\right)={ }^{p} \overline{2},\left(a^{1} ; a^{2}\right)=a_{?}$.

The lefthand side is basically the w ave function at the origin ( $x=0$ ), which is expressed in term sof an integral dom inated by the infrared tails, x ! 0 or 1.

In the chiral lim it, $m$ ! 0 , the sum in ( $(\overline{4})$ is saturated by the $m$ eson of low est $m$ ass, which we will call the pion'. The condensate is thus given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h0j } j 0 i=\frac{\mathrm{mF}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one has to calculate F from (12-1) and m . This has been done in tī ansatz for the wave function,

$$
(\mathrm{x})^{\prime} \mathrm{x}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{x} \tag{16}
\end{array}\right) \text {; }
$$

where is determ ined from thooft's equation ( $(\overline{1} 3)$ ), yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\overline{3}}=\frac{m}{0}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have explicitly displayed the factor $=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{=} \overline{3}$, which w ill play a peculiar role later. Inserting (

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}=\frac{2 m^{2}}{}=2 p_{\overline{3}} m \quad 0 ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $F$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=q \overline{N_{C}=} p_{\overline{3}} 0: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (12

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hOj } j 0 i=\frac{N_{c}}{\mathrm{P}_{\overline{1}}} 0=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}}{2} \mathrm{P}_{\overline{3}} 0: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s was to be expected, all three dim ensionful quantities, m , F and h0j j0i, are expressed in term s of the basic scale 0 . It is, however, interesting to write these in term $s$ of the param eter characterising the LC wave functions. O ne obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{m}^{2} & =2 \mathrm{~m} \underline{\mathrm{~m}} ;  \tag{21}\\
\mathrm{F} & =\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}}=\underline{m} ;  \tag{22}\\
\mathrm{hOj} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{Oi} & =\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{2}: \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, all low energy param eters' are expressible in term sof and the quark mass m which are equivalent to 0 , as is evident from $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1}_{-1}\right)$.

O ne last way of expressing the low energy param eters is in term $s$ of dim ensionless fractions, i.e. by $m$ easuring allm asses in units of the scale 0 ,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{m^{2}}{{ }_{0}^{2}} & =2 \frac{m}{0} p_{\overline{3}} ;  \tag{24}\\
\frac{F}{0} & =q \frac{N_{C}}{N_{0}} p_{\overline{3}} ;  \tag{25}\\
\frac{\mathrm{h} 0 j}{j 0 i} & =\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}}{2} p_{\overline{3}}: \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

The above way of riting everything once $m$ ore exhibits the mysterious factor $=\overline{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}$. We will com e back to this issue later.
 yields the G O R relation in the $t$ H ooft m odel,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}}{} \mathrm{~m}^{2}=\quad 4 \mathrm{mh} 0 j \quad \text { j0i }: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his leads to yet another de nition of the condensate, nam ely in term s of the pion'm ass,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h0j j0i }=\frac{N_{C}}{4} \frac{@}{@ m} m^{2}(m)_{m=0}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

 is an exact statem ent.
 analysed along the lines of t H ooft by B ergkno $\underline{\underline{2} \overline{1} 1] \text {, w ho calculated the bound state }}$ spectrum using LC m ethods. These results have been re ned and extended recently by
 $m$ ake use of the relations ( $(\overline{2})$ and ( $\overline{4}$ ) in order to de ne the condensate. $T$ his is due to the


$$
\begin{equation*}
@ j_{5}=\frac{e}{2} \mathrm{~F}+2 m i_{5} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that it is not conserved in the chiral lim it m! 0. The condensate can, how ever, be


$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h0j j0i }=\frac{1}{2} \text { e } \quad \text {; } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where $=0.577 \ldots$ denotes Euler's constant. On the other hand, the pion' $m$ ass has recently been calculated up to second order in the ferm ion $m$ ass $m$ [בַ̄pl, from which one can derive an expression for the condensate analogous to ( $(\overline{2} \overline{-} \overline{-})$. We only need the rst order result
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{m}^{2}(\mathrm{~m}) & ={ }_{0}^{2} 4 \mathrm{mh} 0 j \quad j 0 i+O\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \\
& ={ }_{0}^{2}+2 \mathrm{emo}+0\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) ; \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

 that the Schw inger m odel analogue of ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{-1})$ holds, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hOj} \quad \mathrm{jOi}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{@}{@ m} m^{2}(m)_{m=0}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ll one has to do to obtain ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ from ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{-1}$ ), is to replace $N_{C}$ by one. This suggests that expression ( $(\overline{3} 1 \overline{1})$ ) for the pion' $m$ ass squared can be derived in the sam e way as for the $t$ H ooft $m$ odel. In som e sense this is not too surprising, since the bound state equations of both the t Hooft and the Schw inger model (in the two-particle or valence sector) can be written in a uni ed way [ַַּinin,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{2} n(x)=\frac{m^{2}}{x(1 \quad x)} n(x)+\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x n(x)+\int_{0}^{2} d y \frac{n(x)}{(x \quad y)^{2}}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $t$ Hooft model, $=0$, and in the Schw inger m odel $=1$. The scale param eters 0 are given by ${ }_{0}^{2}=g^{2} N_{C}=2$ and ${ }_{0}^{2}=e^{2}=$, respectively. By perform ing exactly the


$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}={ }_{0}^{2}+2 p_{\overline{3}} m \quad 0: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this expression, the rst term on the right-hand side is due to the anom aly. The param eter thus $m$ easures' the strength of the anom aly, which in the $t$ Hooft $m$ odel is absent $(=0)$. C om paring ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$ ) one notes, how ever, a di erence: in the second expression, the factore is replaced by the ubiquitous $=\overline{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}$. Num erically, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{p}{=}=1: 814 ; \quad e=1: 781 ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the di erence is about 2\% 21.1. There are tw o possible souroes for th is discrepancy. $F$ irst, there $m$ ight be contributions from higher Fock sectors. These, how ever, vanish in the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \lim$ 五 (t H ooft m odel) as well as in the chiral lim it (Schw inger model). In

[^2]both these lim its, the associated pion' is exactly tw o-particle. The second approxim ation is the use of t H ooft's ansatz ( $\overline{1} \overline{\bar{G}})$ for the wavefunctions which yields a good description for the endpoint behaviour, x ! 0 or 1 , but not for interm ediate values of x . W hile the error should be sm all as the condensate is dom inated by the endpoint behaviour, we nonetheless believe that neglecting the non-asym ptotic regions in x is the m ain reason for the $2 \%$ discrepancy. In principle, this can be checked by using num erical m ethods like those of $H$ arada et al [2] elaborate w ave function basis) and included up to six-particle states. In practice, how ever, it tums out to be rather di cult to precisely determ ine the $s m$ all-m behaviour ofm ${ }^{2}$ as the num erical data do not converge very well in this region [B]2̄].

U sing ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ to obtain the condensate from ( $\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{4})$, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} 0 \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{jOi}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p}_{\overline{3}} \quad \text {; } \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to ( $(\underline{2} \overline{0})$ ) after the appropriate replacem ents. Interestingly, the condensate is com pletely independent of the anom aly. Sw itching o the latter by (arti cially) putting equal to zero also for the Schw inger model, would not change the value of h0j joi.

F inally, we would like to rem ark, that, if the solution of the Schw inger m odel bound state equation yields a condensate which is o by $2 \%$, there is no reason to believe that exactly the sam e procedure yields a correct value for the condensate in the $t$ Hooft m odel. Thus we conjecture, that the factor $\stackrel{p}{=} \overline{3}$ should be replaced by e everywhere. In particular, the t H ooft $m$ odel condensate (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hoj } \quad \mathrm{j} i=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}}{2} \text { e } 0: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. O ne rem aining question is whether the above discrepancy can be regarded as physical. To answer this we concentrate on the Schw inger $m$ odel $(=1)$ in what follow s and write for the condensate ( $(\overline{3} \overline{-} \bar{G}) m$ ore generally
h0j j0i= coi;
and for the pion' $m$ ass $(\overline{3} \overline{4})$ in units of 0 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m}{0}=1+2 c \frac{m}{0}+O(m=0)^{2}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he question then can be reform ulated: is there a unique, physical value for c? In a recent publication [ 3 의﹎], for exam ple, the authors claim that the constant $c$ is dependent on the renorm alization schem e used. They do not, how ever, give this dependence explicitly.

A convenient way to analyse this issue is the follow ing. W e use the bosonised (sineG ordon) H am iltonian (density) [3̄ $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{H}_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{o}^{2}{ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{am} \quad \cos (\mathrm{P} \overline{4}) \text {; } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where N 。denotes norm al-ordering with respect to the scale 0 . The energy density in the vacuum ofm ass 0 is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~m} ; ~ 0)=\mathrm{h} 0 \text {; of }(\mathrm{m} ; ~ 0) \mathrm{j} 0 \text {; oi= am } 0 \text { : } \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression can be used to give yet another de nition of the condensate ing the ferm ion $m$ ass $m$ as a param eter, the Feynm an $H$ ellm ann theorem leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hoj joi }=\frac{@}{@ m} E(m ; 0)=c o ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the sam e as ( $\left.3 \bar{\delta} \bar{\delta}_{1}\right)$. W hat happens when the the scale is changed, say, from 0
 where one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
H(m ; 0)= & N \quad H_{0}+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{0}^{2}{ }^{2} \text { am } \cos (\mathrm{P} \overline{4}) \\
& \frac{1}{8}{ }_{0}^{2}+{ }_{0}^{2} \ln \frac{2}{2}{ }_{0}^{2}: \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

The vacuum energy density becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~m} ; ~)=h 0 ; ~ f H(m ; 0) j 0 ; \quad i=\frac{1}{8} \quad{ }_{0}^{2}+{ }_{0}^{2} \ln \frac{2}{2_{0}^{2}}{ }_{2} \quad \text { am } \quad: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding the condensate at scale ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hOj } j 0 i=\frac{@}{@ m} E(m ;)=c: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scale dependence of the condensate is thus very sim ple and can be expressed in term s of the renorm alisation group ( RG ) equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@} h 0 j \quad j 0 i=c: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RG invariant quantity, which does not get renorm alised, thus being the analogue of mhOj jOi in ( $(\overline{\mathrm{\sigma}})$, is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\mathrm{h} 0 \mathrm{j}-j 0 i}=c: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he conclusion is that the constant c de nes the physical, RG invariant value of the condensate and by itself does not depend on the renorm alisation scale. A s the condensates
 relevant and calling for an explanation.
7. It is obvious that in higher dim ensions the renorm alisation program becom es much $m$ ore involved, in particular for the bound state equations yielding the LC wave functions
 able and are thusm eaningfulonly below som e physical cuto. A prom inent exam ple is
 sym m etry. It has a chirally sym $m$ etric four-ferm ion interaction, but (beyond a critical coupling, $g>g_{c}$ ) the vacuum breaks chiralsym $m$ etry resulting in a non-vanishing ferm ion condensate. In mean- eld approxim ation this condensate determ ines the $m$ ass gap

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \quad m_{0}=2 g h 0 j \quad j 0 i_{m} ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

between the current quarks $w$ th $m$ ass $m_{0}$ and the constituent quarks $w$ ith $m$ ass $m$ (dynam ical $m$ ass generation). The constituent $m$ ass is obtained self-consistently from the gap equation ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-})$ ), and this is how all the non-perturbative physics enters. The condensate itself is calculated perturbatively, i.e. in a D irac vacuum for free ferm ions of $m$ ass $m$. A gain, the Feynm an $H$ ellm ann theorem is very helpful. Integrating over all the one-particle energies of the D irac sea, one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{hOj} \quad \mathrm{jO} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m}} & =\frac{@}{@ m} E(m)=\frac{@}{@ m}_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}^{0}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}^{+}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{k}_{?}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}+\mathrm{k}_{?}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{k}^{+}} \\
& ={\frac{m}{8^{3}}}_{0}^{2+} \frac{\mathrm{dk}^{+}}{\mathrm{k}^{+}} \mathrm{d}^{2} k_{?} ; \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

A s it stands, the integral is of course divergent and requires regularisation. In the most straightforw ard $m$ anner one choosesm ${ }^{2}=k^{+}$and $k$ ? $j$,so that the condensate becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h0j } j 0 i_{m}={\frac{m}{8^{2}}}_{m^{2}=}^{z}{\frac{d k^{+}}{k^{+}}}_{0}^{Z^{2}} d\left(k_{?}^{2}\right)={\frac{m}{8^{2}}}^{2} \ln \frac{2}{m^{2}}: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

P lugging this result into the gap equation ( follows) one nds for the dynam icalm ass squared,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}(g)={ }^{2} \exp \frac{4^{2}}{g^{2}}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical coupling is determ ined by the vanishing of this $m$ ass, $m\left(g_{c}\right)=0$, and from (5Nㅣㄱ) we nd the sunprising result

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{c}=0: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result, how ever, is wrong since one know s from the conventional treatm ent of the $m$ odel that the critical coupling is nite of the order ${ }^{2}={ }^{2}$, both for covariant and non-
 chiral sym $m$ etry is not broken (for $m_{0}=0$ ) and, therefore, this should not happen for arbitrarily sm allcoupling, either. The rem edy is oncem ore to use an inform ation from the ordinary calculation of the condensate. W e translate the non-covariant, but rotationally invariant, three-vector cuto , kj , into LC coordinates $[\underline{B} \overline{8} \overline{-} \overline{\mathrm{j}}$, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \quad \mathrm{k}_{?}^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{k}^{+} \quad \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad\left(\mathrm{k}^{+}\right)^{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{2} \quad \mathrm{k}^{+} \quad 2: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the transverse cuto becom es a polynom ial in $k^{+}$. The $k_{\text {? -integration thus has }}$ to be perform ed rst. For the condensate this yields an analytic structure di erent from ( 5 믕),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hOj} \quad j 0 i_{m}=\frac{m}{8^{2}} 2^{2} \quad m^{2} \ln \frac{2}{m^{2}} ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have neglected subleading term $s$ in the cuto. From ( $504 \overline{4} \overline{4}$, one infers the correct cuto dependence of the critical coupling,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{c}=\frac{2^{2}}{2}: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them oral of this calculation is that even in a non-renorm alisable theory like the N J m odel, the LC regularisation prescription is a subtle issue. In order to get a physically sensible result the transverse cuto has to be $\mathrm{k}^{+}$-dependent. C learly, this dependence cannot be arbitrary but should be constrained from dim ensional and sym $m$ etry considerations. For renorm alisable theories, such argum ents have been given by Perry and $W$ ilson $\uparrow \hat{3} \overline{\mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{I}]$. In the exam ple above, it was (ordinary) rotational invariance that solved the problem .
 com plicated cut-o was used. In that work, the condensate was de ned covariantly in term $s$ of the ferm ion propagator at the origin, $S_{F}(x=0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 j \quad j 0 i_{m}=\quad i t r S_{F}(0)=i \frac{m}{4}^{z} \frac{d^{4} k}{k^{2}} m^{2}+i \quad: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perform ing the integration over $k$ with the appropriate cuto leads to (5َ4i).
$W$ thin the $N J \mathrm{~m}$ odel, an illustrating analogy to $m$ agnetic system $s$ can be made. Chiral sym $m$ etry corresponds to rotational sym $m$ etry, the vacuum energy density to the $G$ ibbs free energy, and the $m$ ass $m$ to an extemalm agnetic eld. The order param eter $m$ easuring the rotational sym $m$ etry breaking is the $m$ agnetisation. It is obtained by di erentiating the free energy w ith respect to the extemal eld. This is the analogue of expression ( $\overline{4} \overline{9}$ ) as derived from the Feynm an $H$ ellm ann theorem.

It would be very interesting to relate the $N J$ condensate to $L C$ wave functions in the sam e spirit as for the two dim ensional models above. To this end one has to solve the (pseudoscalar) bound state equation not only for the pion $m$ ass (as was done in the chiral lim it) but also for the associated eigenfiunctions of the LC H am iltonian. W ork in this direction is underw ay.

The author is indebted to $E$. $W$ emer for continuous interest and support. He also thanks K . H arada, N . K aiser, A. K alloniatis and B. van de Sande for enlightening discussions. Special thanks go to C. Stem for reading the m anuscript, as well as to K . H arada and T. Sugihara for providing and explaining unpublished num erical results.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ e-m ail: thom asheinzld physik uni-regensburg de

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ There have also been $m$ any attem pts to obtain the exact (operator) solution for the $m$ assless Schw ingerm odelw ithin LC quantisation. D ue to the singular nature ofm assless elds in $1+1$ dim ensions, in particular on the LC, the necessary e orts are considerably larger than within ordinary quantisation on $x^{0}=0\left[\begin{array}{c}{[2]}\end{array}\right]$.

[^2]:     angle tums out to be a rather non-trivial task. A rst attem pt has appeared recently [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~B}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.

