A sym ptotic Expansions of Feynm an D iagram s on the M ass Shell in M om enta and M asses

V A . Sm imov¹

Nuclear Physics Institute of Moscow State University
Moscow 119899, Russia

Abstract

Explicit form ulae for asym ptotic expansions of Feynm an diagram s in typical \lim its of m om enta and m asses with external \log on the m ass shell are presented.

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{E}$ -m ail: sm imov@ theory.npim su su

1 Introduction

Explicit form when for asymptotic expansion of Feynman diagrams in various limits of momenta and masses have been obtained in the simplest form (with coecients hom ogeneous in large momenta and masses) in [1,2,3] (see also [4] for a brief review). They hold at least when external momenta are of the mass shell. In the large mass limit, one can also apply the same boshell formulae for any values of the external momenta. If however some of the large external momenta are on the mass shell these formulae are generally non-valid. It is the purpose of this paper to present explicit formulae for asymptotic expansions of Feynman diagrams in two typical limits of momenta and masses with external legs on the mass shell: the limit of the large momenta on the mass shell with the large mass and the Sudakov limit, with the large momenta on the mass less mass shell.

To derive these formulae we shall follow a method applied in ref. [5] for operator product expansions within momentum subtractions and later for diagram matic and operator expansions within dimensional regularization and renormalization [3]. This method starts with constructing a remainder of the expansion and using then diagram matic Z immermann identities.

In the next section we shall rem ind explicit form ulae of asym ptotic expansions for the o -shell lim it of large m om enta and m asses and illustrate how the remainder of the expansion is constructed. In Section 3 we shall generalize these form ulae for the on-shell lim it of large m om enta and m asses and, in Section 5, for the Sudakov lim it. These form ulae will be illustrated through one-loop examples.

2 O -shell lim it of large m om enta and m asses

$$R = {\begin{array}{c} X & Y \\ & M \end{array}}$$
 (1)

Here the sum runs over forests (sets of non-overlapping subgraphs) composed of one-particle-irreducible (1PI) or asymptotically irreducible (AI) subgraphs and M is a (pre-)subtraction operator. Note that for the usual R-operation that removes ultraviolet divergences similar sum is over UV-divergent 1PI subgraphs. Subtractions in 1PI subgraphs remove UV divergences while (pre-)subtractions in AI subgraphs remove rst terms of the asymptotic behaviour and provide desirable asymptotic behaviour of the remainder in the considered limit. Thus, if an initial diagram has divergences then the corresponding operation R includes usual UV counterterms. One can consider asymptotic expansion of regularized diagrams: in this case R involves only pre-subtractions. Let us suppose, for simplicity, that the initial diagram is UV and IR nite.

The class of A I subgraphs is determined by the consider limit. For example, in the o-shell limit of momenta and masses a subgraph is called A I if

- (a) in there is a path between any pair of external vertices associated with the large external m om enta Q_i ;
 - (b) contains all the lines with the large m asses;
- (c) every connectivity component $_{\rm j}$ of the graph $^{\rm h}$ obtained from $_{\rm j}$ by collapsing all the external vertices with the large external momenta to a point is 1P I with respect to the lines with the small masses.

Note that, generally, can be disconnected. One can distinguish the connectivity component $_{0}$ that contains external vertices with the large momenta.

The pre-subtraction operator is nothing but the Taylor expansion operator in the small momenta and masses:

$$M = T_{\underline{q} \, \underline{m}}^{a} : \qquad (2)$$

The operator T performs Taylor expansion in the corresponding set of variables; \underline{q} are the smallexternalm omenta of the subgraph (i.e. all its externalm omenta apart from the large externalm omenta of); \underline{m} is a set of smallmasses of . The degrees of subtraction a are chosen as $a=!+\overline{a}$ where ! is the UV degree of divergence and \overline{a} is the number of oversubtractions. The asymptotic behaviour of the remainder is governed by the number \overline{a} . Note that these operators are by denition applied to integrands of Feynman integrals in loop momenta.

To derive the asymptotic expansion it is su cient then to write down the identity

$$F = (1 R)F + RF$$

and then the diagram matic Zim merm annidentity [7] for the dierence (1 R), ie the dierence of two R-operations. (Remember that if the initial diagram was renormalized we would obtain the dierence R R.) In our case, this identity takes the form

$$1 \quad R = {}^{X} \quad R = M \quad a \quad : \tag{3}$$

where = are reduced graphs and the sum is over A I subgraphs.

Taking then the number of oversubtractions \overline{a} ! 1 and using the fact that product of two operators corresponding to two dierent AI subgraphs gives zero (so that R $_{=}$ in (3) can be replaced by unity) one arrives at an explicit formula for the asymptotic expansion:

$$F = (Q;\underline{q;\underline{M};\underline{m};}) \xrightarrow{\underline{M}} ! 1 X F = (\underline{q;\underline{m};}) \underline{\underline{q};\underline{m}} F (Q;\underline{q};\underline{M};\underline{m};); (4)$$

where the sum is again in AI subgraphs and the symbol denotes the insertion of the polynom ialthat stands to the right of it into the reduced vertex of the reduced diagram = .

Note that individual terms in (4) possess UV and IR divergences which are mutually cancelled (see [2, 3, 4] for details).

3 Large m om entum expansion on the mass shell

Let us again consider the large m om entum and m ass expansion of a Feynm an integral F corresponding to a graph . We suppose that the external m omenta are non-exceptional, as above. Moreover, we imply that $\binom{p}{2} \log \binom{p}{2} \leq \binom{p}{2}$, for any subset of indices I. Let now the large external momenta be on the mass shell, $Q_j^2 = M_j^2$. To obtain explicit formulae of the corresponding asymptotic expansion let us again start from the remainder RF where R is given by (1), with the same class of AI subgraphs but a dierent subtraction operator M .

As for the o-shell lim it the operator M happens to be a product $^{\circ}_{i}$ M $_{i}$ of operators of Taylor expansion in certain momenta and masses. For connectivity components $_{i}$ other than $_{0}$ (this is the component with the large external momenta), the corresponding operator performs Taylor expansion of the Feynman integral F $_{i}$ in its small masses and external momenta. (Note that its small external momenta are generally not only the small external momenta of the original Feynman integral but as well the loop momenta of .) Consider now M $_{0}$. The component $_{0}$ can be naturally represented as a union of its 1PI components and cut lines (after a cut line is removed the subgraph becomes disconnected; here they are of course lines with the large masses). By denition M $_{0}$ is again factorized and the Taylor expansion of the 1PI components of $_{0}$ is performed as in the case of c-components $_{i}$; $_{i}$:::.

It su ces now to describe the action of the operator M on the cut lines. Let l be such a line, with a large mass M $_{\rm j}$, and let its momentum be P $_{\rm l}$ + k $_{\rm l}$ where P $_{\rm l}$ is a linear combination of the large external m omenta and k $_{\rm l}$ is a linear combination of the loop m omenta and small external m omenta. If P $_{\rm l}$ = Q $_{\rm l}$ then the operator M for this component of is

$$T = \frac{1}{k_1^2 + 2Q_i k_1 + i0} = 1$$
 (5)

(In what follows we shall om it i0, for brevity.) In all other cases, e.g. when $P_1 = 0$, or it is a sum of two or more external momenta, the Taylor operator M reduces to ordinary Taylor expansion in small (with respect to this line considered as a subgraph) external momenta, i.e.

$$T_k \frac{1}{(k_1 + P_1)^2 - M_i^2} - T \frac{1}{(k_1 + P_1)^2 - M_i^2} :$$
 (6)

Note that in all cases apart from the cut lines with $P_1^2 = M_j^2$ the action of the corresponding operator M is graphically described (as for the o-shell limit) by contraction of the corresponding subgraph to a point and insertion of the resulting polynomial into the reduced vertex of the reduced graph.

Using the same Z im merm ann identity (3) (note however that the symbol = in R = does not now have its proper meaning) with the new pre-subtraction operator we now obtain the following explicit form of the asymptotic expansion in the on-shell lim it

$$F (\underline{Q};\underline{M};\underline{q};\underline{m};)^{M_{j}} \stackrel{1}{=} X \qquad M F (\underline{Q};\underline{M};\underline{q};\underline{m};): \qquad (7)$$

Let us apply this general form ula to a one-loop propagator-type diagram consisting of two lines, with masses M and m, and an external momentum p with $p^2 = M^2$:

$$d^{d}k \frac{1}{(k^{2} + 2pk)(k^{2} + m^{2})};$$
 (8)

In the lim it M $\,!\,$ 1 , general formula (7) gives contributions corresponding to two subgraphs: the subgraph $\,$ associated with the heavy m ass M $\,$ and the graph itself. The contribution of $\,$ is obtained as formal Taylor expansion of the propagator with the mass m $\,$ at m $\,$ = 0:

$$\int_{j=0}^{x^{2}} (m^{2})^{j} d^{d}k \frac{1}{(k^{2} 2pk) (k^{2})^{j+1}};$$
 (9)

The integral involved is easily calculated by the -representation. We have

$$i^{d=2} (M^{2}) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{1}{2}} (1)^{j} \frac{(j+j)(2j+1)}{(j+2j+2)} (m^{2} = M^{2})^{j};$$
 (10)

A coording to prescription (5) the contribution of $com es from the form al Taylor expansion of another factor in the integrand <math>1=(k^2-2pk)$ with respect to k^2 :

$$x^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$
 $(1)^{\frac{1}{2}} d^{d}k \frac{(k^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2pk)^{\frac{1}{2}+1}(k^{2} - m^{2})}$ (11)

Calculating the above one-loop integral gives

$$i \stackrel{d=2}{=} \frac{1}{2} (m^2) \qquad \stackrel{X^{\frac{1}{2}}}{=} \frac{((j+1)=2) ((j-1)=2+)}{j!} (m^2=M^2)^{(j+1)=2} : \qquad (12)$$

In the sum of two contributions articial IR and UV poles are cancelled, with the following result:

$$i^{2} \frac{1}{1} + 2 \ln M^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^{2}}{M^{2}} \ln \frac{M^{2}}{m^{2}} + 2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{M} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{n^{2}} \ln \frac{(n+1) \ln !}{(2n)!} (m^{2} = M^{2})^{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^{4}}{M^{4}} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{n^{2}} \frac{(n+1) \ln !}{(2n+3)!} (m^{2} = M^{2})^{n} : (13)$$

The UV pole which is present from the very beginning can be removed by usual renormalization.

4 Explicit form ulae for the Sudakov lim it

The large m om entum \lim it of the last section can be considered either in Euclidean or M inkowski space. An example of typically M inkowskian situation which has no analogues in Euclidean space is the Sudakov \lim it [8]. One of its version is formulated as the behaviour of a three-point Feynm an diagram $F(p_1;p_2;m)$ depending on two momenta, p_1 and p_2 , on the massless mass shell, $p_1^2=0$, with $q_1^2=0$, with $q_2^2=0$. We suppose, for simplicity, that there is one small non-zero mass, m. Let us enumerate three end-points of the diagram according to the following order: $p_1;p_2;q$.

To treat this lim it let us use the previous strategy and construct a remainder determined by an appropriate pre-subtraction operator and then apply Z imm erm annidentities.

Now, we call a subgraph of A I if at least one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) In there is a path between the end-points 1 and 3. The graph ^ obtained from by identifying the vertices 1 and 3 is 1PI.
 - (ii) Sim ilar condition with 1\$ 2.

Note that when q^2 gets large the components at least of p_1 or p_2 are large.

The pre-subtraction operator M is now naturally de ned as a product operators of Taylor expansion acting on 1PI components and cut lines of the subgraph of that the above condition (i) holds and (ii) does not hold. Let the a 1PI components are that the above condition (ii) holds and (iii) does not hold. Let the a 1PI components are that the above condition (iii) holds and (iii) does not hold. Let the analysis of the condition (iii) does not hold.

. Suppose that the above condition (i) holds and (ii) does not hold. Let $_j$ be a 1PI component of and let p_1 + k be one of its external momenta, where k is a linear combination of the loop momenta. (We imply that the loop momenta are chosen in such a way that p_1 ows through all $_j$ and the corresponding cut lines). Let now \underline{q}_j be other independent external momenta of $_j$. Then the operator M for this component is dened as 2

$$T_{k} (p_{1}k) = (p_{1}p_{2})p_{2} R_{i} m_{i}$$
 (14)

where \underline{m}_j are the masses of $_j$. In other words, it is the operator of Taylor expansion in \underline{q}_j and \underline{m}_j at the origin and in k at the point $K = \frac{(p_1 k)}{(p_1 p_2)} p_2$ (which depends on k

²The form ulated prescription for the pre-subtraction operator works at least up to two-loop level. Its justication and clarication for the general situation will be given in future publications.

itælf).

For the cut lines we adopt the same prescription. W ith p_1+k as the momentum of the cut line, we have

$$T = \frac{1}{(k_1^2 - m_1^2) + 2p_1 k} ;$$
 (15)

which is sim ilar to (5). If both conditions (i) and (ii) hold the corresponding operator perform s Taylor expansion in the m ass and the external m om enta of subgraphs (apart from p_1 and p_2). For example, M for the whole graph (=) gives nothing but Taylor expansion of the integrand in m .

Consequently we arrive at an explicit expansion similar to (7):

F
$$(p_1; p_2; m;)$$
 q²! 1 X M F $(p_1; p_2; m;);$ (16)

with the new operator M and the new de nition of AI subgraphs.




Figure 1:

Let us illustrate these prescriptions through an example of a one-loop triangle Feynm an integral, with the masses m $_1$ = m $_2$ = 0; m $_3$ = m and the external momenta p_1^2 = p_2^2 = 0; q = p_1 p_2 (see Fig. 1)

F
$$(p_1; p_2; m;) = \frac{Z}{(k^2 2p_1k)(k^2 2p_2k)(k^2 m^2)}$$
: (17)

The set of AI subgraphs consists of two single massless lines as well as the graph itself, with the corresponding pre-subtraction operators M $_{1}^{a}$; M $_{2}^{a}$ and M $_{0}^{a}$. The remainder of the corresponding asymptotic expansion is R $_{1}^{a}$ F where

$$R^{a} = (1 \quad M_{0}^{a}) (1 \quad M_{1}^{a} \quad M_{2}^{a})$$
 (18)

and the pre-subtraction operators M $_{i}^{a}$ are

$$M_{0}^{a} \frac{1}{k^{2} m^{2}} = \frac{X^{a}}{j=0} \frac{(m^{2})^{j}}{(k^{2})^{j+1}};$$
 (19)

$$M_{i}^{a} \frac{1}{k^{2} 2p_{i}k} = \frac{X^{a}}{(2p_{i}k)^{j+1}}; i = 1;2:$$
 (20)

It is in plied that each of these operators acts only on the corresponding factor of the integrand and does not touch other two factors. The remainder is UV and IR nite, for any a. Its asymptotic behaviour is $(m^2)^{a+1} = (k^2)^{a+2}$ modulo logarithm s.

Then the term s of the expansion result from the Zimmerm ann identity:

$$1 = (1 R) + R = M_0 + M_1 + M_2 + :::$$
 (21)

where we have dropped zero products of dierent operators and turned to the lim it a ! 1 (with M $_{i}$ = M $_{i}^{1}$).

All the resulting one-loop integrals are easily evaluated for any order of the expansion. The operator M $_0$ gives the following contribution at $_0$ 0:

$$M_{0}F = i^{d=2} \frac{1}{(q^{2})^{1+}} \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(n+1+)(n)^{2}}{(1 n 2)} \frac{m^{2}}{q^{2}} i^{n} : \qquad (22)$$

$$(M_{1} + M_{2})F = i^{d=2} \frac{1}{q^{2} (m^{2})} () (1) \frac{x^{d}}{n!} \frac{1}{n! (1 n)}$$

$$\ln (q^{2} = m^{2}) + () + 2 (n + 1) (1) (1) (1) (1 n) \frac{x^{d}}{n!} \frac{1}{n! (1 n)}$$

$$(23)$$

Here is the logarithm ic derivative of the gamma function.

Taking into account terms with arbitrary j in (19) and (20) and calculating the corresponding one-loop integrals we come, in the limit! 0, to the known result:

$$F \quad \dot{j}_{=0} \qquad (M_{0} + M_{1} + M_{2})F \quad \dot{j}_{=0}$$

$$= \frac{\dot{1}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \text{ Li}_{2} \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^{2} t \quad \ln t \ln (t \quad 1) \quad \frac{1}{3}^{2} ; \qquad (24)$$

where Li₂ is the dilogarithm and $t = q^2 = m^2$.

5 Conclusion

The explicit formulae of the on-shell asymptotic expansions presented above can be successfully applied for calculation of Feynman diagrams \mid see, e.g., [9] where the

formulae of Section 3 are used. It looks also natural to apply the formulae for the Sudakov lim it for analyzing asymptotic behaviour of multiloop diagrams.

O bserve that any term of asymptotic expansions (7,16), in particular (13,24), is calculated much easier than the whole diagram itself. For example, an arbitrary term of the expansion of a 2-loop on-shell diagram considered in [9] can be in principle analytically evaluated by computer. Let us note that the form ulae for the Sudakov limit give not only the leading asymptotic behaviour (the leading twist") but any power with respect to the expansion parameter and all the logarithms: in the considered one-loop examples all the powers and logarithms were obtained at the same footing. Thus it looks reasonable to apply the presented technique to extend well-known results on asymptotic behaviour in the Sudakov limit [8, 10, 11, 12] (see also references in [11]) and obtain all powers and logarithms, at least at the 2-loop level.

This research has been supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project 96{01{00654.

Acknowledgments. I am very much grateful to K.G. Chetyrkin, A. Czarnecki, K.Melnikov and J.B. Tausk for helpful discussions.

R eferences

- [1] S.G. Gorishny, preprints JINR E2{86{176, E2{86{177 (Dubna 1986); Nucl. Phys. B319 (1989) 633
- [2] K.G. Chetyrkin, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 75 (1988) 26; 76 (1988) 207; K.G. Chetyrkin, preprint MPI-PAE/PTh 13/91 (Munich, 1991)
- [3] V A. Sm imov, Commun. Math. Phys. 134 (1990) 109; V A. Sm imov, Renormalization and asymptotic expansions (Birkhauser, Basel, 1991)
- [4] V A. Sm imov, M od. Phys. Lett. A 10 (1995) 1485
- [5] S.A. Anikin and O.I. Zavialov, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 27 (1976) 425; Ann. Phys. 116 (1978) 135; O.I. Zavialov, Renormalized Quantum Field Theory (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1990)
- [6] W . Zim m erm ann, Ann. Phys. 77 (1973) 570
- [7] W . Zim m erm ann, Com m un. M ath. Phys. 15 (1969) 208
- [8] V.V. Sudakov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30 (1956) 87
- [9] A.Czamecki and V.A.Smimov, hep-ph/9608407

 $^{^3}$ The present work hence solves the problem , raised by [13] and discussed in [14], of how to expand (17) without knowing the full result.

- [10] JM .Comwalland G.Tiktopoulos, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 3370; V. V. Belokurov and N. I. Ussyukina, Teor. M at. Fiz. 47 (1979) 157; 44 (1980) 147
- [11] J.C. Collins, in Perturbative QCD, ed. A. H. Mueller, 1989, p. 573
- [12] G.P.Korchem sky, Phys. Lett. B217 (1989) 330; B220 (1989) 629
- [13] J.C. Collins and F.V. Tkachov, Phys. Lett. B 294 (1992) 403
- [14] V A. Sm imov, Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 397