L.Faddeev] and AnttiJ.Niemi]

St.P etersburg B ranch of Steklov M athem atical Institute Russian A cadem y of Sciences, Fontanka 27 , St.P etersburg, Russia^z

Department of Theoretical Physics, Uppsala University P.O. Box 803, S-75108, Uppsala, Sweden^z

and

¹Research Institute for Theoretical Physics P.O. Box 9, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

U sing m ethods of high perform ance computing, we have found indications that knotlike structures appear as stable nite energy solitons in a realistic 3+1 dimensional model. We have explicitly simulated the unknot and trefoil congurations, and our results suggest that all torus knots appear as solitons. Our observations open new theoretical possibilities in scenarios where stringlike structures appear, including physics of fundamental interactions and early universe cosmology. In nem atic liquid crystals and ³He super uids such knotted solitons might actually be observed.

supported by Russian A cademy of Sciences and the A cademy of Finland

E-mail: FADDEEV@PDM I.RAS.RU and FADDEEV@PHCU.HELSINKIFI E-mail: ANTTIN IEM I@ TEORFYS.UU.SE

^z perm anent address

Supported by G oran G ustafsson Foundation for Science and M edicine and by NFR G rant F-AA/FU 06821-308

In 1867 Lord K elvin [1] proposed that atom s, which at the tim e were considered as elem entary particles, are knotted vortex tubes in ether. For about 20 years his theory was taken seriously, and motivated an extensive study of knots. The results obtained at the time by Tait [2] remain a classic contribution to mathematical knot theory β]. More recently the idea that elementary particles can be identied with topologically distinct knots has been advanced in particular by Jehle [4].

Today it is commonly accepted that fundam ental interactions are described by stringlike structures [5], with di erent elementary particles corresponding to the vibrational excitations of a fundam ental string. Even though there are hints of connections between modern string theory and knot theory [6], knotlike structures have not yet been of much signi cance.

There are also a number of other scenarios where knotted structures may enter Physics [3], [7]. These include models in statistical physics, QCD strings that con ne quarks inside nuclear particles, cosm ic strings that are expected to be responsible for early universe structure formation, and approaches to quantum gravity where knots are supposed to determ ine gauge invariant observables. Stringlike vortices appear in type-II superconductors where they con nem agnetic elds within the cores of vortex-like structures, and sim ilar phenom ena are also present in super uid ⁴He. Recent experiments with nem atic liquid crystals [8] and ³He super uids [9] have also revealed interesting vortex structures that can be described by theoretical methods which are adopted from cosm ic string models. Finally, physics of knots is rapidly becom ing an important part of molecular biology, where entanglem ent of a DNA chain interferes with vital life processes of replication, transcription and recombination [10].

Thus far the physics of knotlike structures has been investigated sparsely. This is largely due to a lack of dynam ical principles that enable the construction of stable knots. One needs a theoretical model where knots emerge as solitons, i.e. as stable nite energy solutions to the pertinent nonlinear eld equations.

The literature on solitons is enormous, and there are several extensive reviews [7], [11], [12]. Until now the activity has mainly concentrated on 1+1 dimensions with the notable exceptions of the 2+1 dimensional vortex and nonlinear -model soliton, and skyrmeons and 'thooft-Polyakov monopoles in 3+1 dimensions. These are all pointlike con gurations, and can not be directly associated with knotlike structures.

W hen embedded in three dimensions, a pointlike two dimensional soliton becomes a line vortex. For a nite energy its length must be nite which is possible if its core forms a knot. In 1975 one of us [13] proposed that closed, knotted vortices could be constructed in a de nite dynamical model. The explicit solution suggested in [13] is a closed torus-like vortex ring, twisted once around its core before joining the ends which ensures stability against shrinking. This closed vortex corresponds to the unknot (see gure 4) which is the simplest possible knotlike structure. However, despite num erous attempts no such stable con guration has been constructed, neither by explicit analysis

nor by a num erical investigation.

Here we shall report on our work to construct knotlike vortices in the model introduced in [13]. By employing numerical algorithms in powerful computers we have been able to nd strong evidence for the existence of the unknot vortex. In addition we have found indications supporting the existence of a trefoil vortex (see gure 5). A trefoil is the simplest example of torus-knots, which are obtained by winding around a torus in both directions β]. Our results suggest that in fact all torus knots should appear as vortex solitons in them odel proposed in [13]. This model describes the 3+1 dimensional dynam ics of a three component vector n(x;) with unit length, n = 1. Such a vector eld is a typical degree of freedom in the nonlinear -m odel, a prototype relativistic quantum eld theory. It also appears as an order parameter in the Heisenberg ferrom agnet. A unit vector eld is also present in models of nem atic liquid crystal where it characterizes the average direction of the rod, and in ³He-A super uid where it determ ines the spin projection direction for a Cooper pair. Indeed, the model proposed in [13] is quite universal, and we expect our results to have a large num ber of applications.

In order that n(x) describes a localized stationary knot, it must go to a constant vector n(x) ! n_0 at large distances. Consequently n(x) de nes a mapping from the compacti ed R^3 S^3 ! S^2 . Such mappings fall into nontrivial homotopy classes $_3(S^2)$ ' Z and can be characterized by the Hopf invariant [3]. For this we introduce the two-form $F = (dn^dn;n)$ on the target S^2 . Its preim age F_2 on S^3 is exact, $F_2 = dA_2$, and the Hopf invariant Q_H is given by

$$Q_{\rm H} = \sum_{\rm R^3}^{\rm Z} F^{\rm A} A$$
(1)

The Ham iltonian proposed in [13] is

$$H = E_2 + E_4 = d^3 x g^2 ((0 n)^2 + e^2 F^2)$$
(2)

This is the most general three dimensional H am iltonian that adm its a relativistically invariant extension in 3+1 dimensions and involves only terms with no more than four derivatives. It can be related to the SU (2) Skyrm e model when restricted to a sphere S^2 2 SU (2), but its topological features are dimension. In particular, the existence of nontrivial knotted vortex solutions in (2) is strongly suggested by the lower bound H c $i D^{\frac{3}{4}}$ [14].

The rst term E_2 determ ines the standard nonlinear O (3) -m odel which admits static solitons in two dimensions. But in three dimensions a scaling x ! x reveals that stable stationary solutions are possible only if E_4 is also present. Indeed, under this scaling E_2 ! E_2 but E_4 ! 1E_4 from which we conclude that in three dimensions nite energy solutions obey the virial theorem,

$$\mathbf{E}_2 = \mathbf{E}_4 \tag{3}$$

Several articles have been devoted for analyzing the general properties of the unknot vortex in the model (2) [12]. However, to our know ledge there have been no real attempts to nd an actual solution. This is due to the fact, that even in the simplest case of an unknot the separation of variables eliminates only one of the three space coordinates, leaving num ericalm ethods as the sole alternative for nding a solution. With the recent, enorm ous progress in supercomputing techniques serious attempts are nally becoming realistic.

The Euler-Lagrange equations for (2) determ ine a highly nonlinear elliptic system, and a direct num erical approach appears to be complicated. Instead, we consider the parabolic equation

$$\frac{d_{a}}{dt} = \frac{H()}{a}$$
(4)

where $_{a}$ denotes a generic dynam ical variable in (2). The t-bounded solutions of (4) connect the critical points of H by owing away from an unstable critical point at t ! 1 towards a stable critical point at t ! +1. From this we expect that by de ning a suitable initial con guration at t = 0, for large t 0 we ow towards a stable vortex solution of the original stationary equation.

We parametrize our unit vector by $n = (\cos' \sin ; \sin' \sin ; \cos)$ through stereographic coordinates $' = \arctan \frac{y}{U}$ and $= 2 \arctan \frac{U^2 + V^2}{U^2 + V^2}$. In terms of these variables the Ham iltonian (2) becomes

$$H = {}^{2} d^{3}x \frac{4q^{2}}{(1 + U^{2} + V^{2})^{2}} (0 U^{2} + 0 V^{2}) + \frac{16e^{2}}{(1 + U^{2} + V^{2})^{4}} (0 U 0 V - 0 U 0 V)^{2} (5)$$

In gures 1 and 2 we have drawn (x) and '(x) respectively for our unknot vortex. The general structure is clearly visible in these pictures.

In the present model there are two symmetries that relate a given vortex solution with H opf invariant Q_H to an antivortex solution with equal energy but opposite H opf

¹M ore generally, ' is de ned modulo 2 n where n is an integer. Here we only consider the simplest case with n = 1.

invariant Q_H . The rst symmetry emerges when we change orientation '!' on the cross sectional plane. The second symmetry interchanges the north pole with the south pole on the cross sectional plane, i.e. maps the core to $(k_c) = 0$ and the region outside of the vortex to (k). In terms of the U (k) and V (k) variables this means that on each cross sectional plane the H am iltonian (5) must be invariant under the inversion Z (k) = U(k) + iV(k)! Z ¹ (k). This implies in particular, that the energy density H (k) must be concentrated in a relatively narrow tubular neighborhood around the core of the vortex.

In gure 3 we have depicted the energy density on a cross sectional plane for the unknot vortex. The concentration of energy density in a tubular manner around the core is clearly visible.

In order to specify the initial condition in (4) we need a knot con guration which is topologically equivalent to the desired vortex solution. We specify this con guration by rst introducing a parametrization x() for the center of the knot in \mathbb{R}^3 , and then for each use the Serret-Frenet equations to de ne local coordinates U (x;) and V (x;) on the cross sectional planes.

The choice of initial param etrization \mathbf{x} () for the core introduces a scale which m ay be quite di erent from the one speci ed by the coupling constant g in (5). In order to enhance convergence, we adopt a simple renorm alization procedure by promoting g to a time dependent function g(t). We de ne this time dependence by demanding that at each value oft the virial theorem (3) must be obeyed. Since a vortex solution obeys (3), this means that g(t) ow s towards a xed point value g(t) ! g².

We have perform ed our num erical simulations using version 5.3 of G. Sewell's PD E 2D nite element algorithm [15]. During the early phase of our simulations we have used the initial knot conguration to determ ine the boundary conditions on the nite element mesh. However, since the exact boundary conditions for the desired vortex are a priori unknown, after several time steps we have decreased the size of the nite element mesh and used the pertinent simulated conguration to determ ine the boundary conditions in the new mesh. By starting from a su ciently large initial mesh, we then eventually obtain a submesh with boundary conditions that are close to those of the actual solution.

For our simulation we have used two Silicon G raphics Power Challenge computers with R 8000 processors equipped with 1GB resp. 2GB of internalmemory.

For the unknot vortex ($Q_H = 1$), the equations of motion can be simplified using axial symmetry. We select the symmetry axis to coincide with the z-axis in R³, and introduce cylindrical coordinates r; ; z. W ith the Ansatz '(x) = '(r; z) + and (x) = (r; z) the -coordinate separates, and we obtain a two dimensional equation for U(r; z) and V(r; z). That such a separation of variables is possible follows directly from the SO(2) SO(2) symmetry of the unknot con guration. The ensuing equations are defined on the half-plane r = 0, z 2 (1; 1), which at each determines our cross sectional plane. Besides the inversion invariance, the equations of motion are now also invariant under z ! z. Since the unknot solution is even in z, this halves the CPU time in our simulation.

In gures 1-4 we describe an unknot vortex which is a result of over 50h of CPU time with each time step taking about 9 m inutes on a 9600 triangle mesh. The mesh has been selected so that it is more dense at the boundaries and near the core of the vortex. In our simulation we not impressive convergence, allowing us to increase the time step by up to 8 orders of magnitude while keeping the relative change in energy intact. The H opf invariant is also very stable, and we have identied the nal con guration on the basis that the num erically computed H opf invariant has a slight local maximum with $Q_{\rm H} = 0.999996 :::$

The trefoil vortex ($Q_H = 3$) described in gure 5 is a result of almost 200h in CPU time on a 21³ cubic nite element lattice with tri-cubic Hermite basis functions. Consequently the number of nodes is about the same as in the case of unknot, but due to a lack of any obvious rejection symmetry each time iteration now takes about 20 m inutes of CPU time. The yellow center in gure 5 corresponds to the core x() of our initial conguration, which has been determined using the energy concept developed by J. Sim on [16]. The points in gure 5 have been evaluated using the piecewise cubic polynomial approximation obtained from the nite element algorithm. As in gure 4, the picture describes a volume where the energy density inside the vortex essentially vanishes, i.e. it can be viewed as an extended core of our trefoil vortex.

We expect that the nonhom ogeneity in gure 5 re ects the underlying lattice structure that we have used in our simulation. Indeed, a 21^3 lattice is obviously too rough to describe our trefoil solution adequately, but unfortunately we do not have access to a com puter that would allow us to use an essentially larger lattice. Nevertheless, we have found de nite num erical stability in the sense that the nalcon guration in gure 5 has remained essentially intact under a large num ber of iterations. We view this stability as a strong evidence that we indeed have convergence towards a trefoil vortex solution.

In conclusion, we have performed numerical simulations with a high-performance computer to investigate knotted vortex solutions in the model introduced in [13]. By investigating the unknot and trefoil vortices, we have found strong evidence that torus knots indeed appear as solitons. For the unknot, we have found very impressive convergence and our results for the trefoil are also quite encouraging. However, since the computers we can access do not allow us to electively study dense three dimensional lattices, our simulation of the trefoil is still tentative.

W e expect that our results will have num erous important applications. In particular, since the order parameter in nem atic liquid crystal and ${}^{3}\text{H}$ e super uid involves a unit three vector, an experimental investigation of vortices in these materials should reveal the existence of stable knotlike structures. We also expect that an extension of our work

to spontaneously broken Yang-M ills H iggs theories where stable knotlike vortices can not be excluded by scaling arguments, should have interesting physical implications in particular to early universe cosm ology.

W e w ish to thank J H ietarinta, T K arki, A P N iem i, K Palo, J P itkaranta, J R ahola, D R iska, R Scharein, G Sewell, O T irkkonen and J Tulkki for helpful discussions and valuable suggestions. W e are particularly indebted to Sam i V irtanen for his help with visualization and simulating the initial Ansatz for the trefoil, and M atti G rohn for helping us with visualization. W e are grateful to the C enter for Scienti c C om puting in E spoo, F inland for providing us with an access to their line of Silicon G raphics Power Challenge com puters.

References

- [1] W.H.Thomson, Trans.R.Soc.Edin.25 (1869) 217
- [2] P.G. Tait, On Knots I, II, III Scientic Papers, Cambridge University Press, 1990
- M. Atiyah, The geometry and physics of knots, Cambridge University Press, 1990;
 L.H. Kau man, Knots and physics, W orld Scientic, 1993
- [4] H. Jehle, Phys. Rev. D 6 (1972) 441
- [5] M.B.Green, J.H. Schwarz and E.W itten, Superstring theory I, II Cambridge University Press, 1987
- [6] E.W itten, Commun.Math.Phys. 121 (1989) 351
- [7] C.Rebbiand G.Soliani, Solitons and Particles W orld Scientic, 1984
- [8] M. J. Bowick, L. Chander, E. A. Schi and A. M. Srivastava, Science 263 (1994) 943
- [9] C.Bauerle et.al, Nature 382 (1996) 332; V M H.Ruutu et.al, Nature 382 (1996) 334
- [10] D W . Sum ners, Notices of AM S 42 (1995) 528
- [11] L D. Faddeev and L A. Takhtajan, Ham iltonian methods in the theory of solitons, Springer-Verlag, 1987
- [12] V D .M akhankov Y P .R ybakov and V J.Sanyuk, The Skyrm em odel: fundam entals, m ethods, applications Springer-Verlag, 1993
- [13] L. Faddeev, Quantisation of solitons, preprint IAS Print-75-QS70,1975; and in E instein and several contemporary tendencies in the eld theory of elementary particles in Relativity, quanta and cosm ology vol. 1, M. Pantaleo and F. De Finis (eds.), Johnson Reprint, 1979
- [14] A F. Vakulenko and L.V. Kapitanski, Dokl. A kad. Nauk USSR 248 (1979) 810
- [15] G.Sewell, Adv.Eng.Software 17 (1993) 105
- [16] J.K. Sim on, Journ. Knot Thy. and Ram inif. 3 (1994) 299

Figure Caption

Figure 1: A combined surface and contour plot of (r;z) for an unknot vortex with $g^2 = 0.24; e = 1$ in cylindrical coordinates (r; ;z) and on a cross sectional plane with generic . The con guration is z ! z symmetric.

Figure 2: A combined surface and contour plot of ' (r;z) for the unknot vortex. The line where ' jumps by is clearly visible. The con guration is z ! z symmetric.

Figure 3: A combined surface and contour plot of energy density H (r;z) for the unknot vortex, for comparison as gure 1. The con guration is z ! z symmetric.

Figure 4: Three dimensional view of the extended core for the unknot vortex. The core is de ned as the region where energy density essentially vanishes.

Figure 5: The same as gure 5 but for a trefoil with g^2 1:3; e = 1. The center denotes the core of the initial con guration, determ ined using a minimum energy principle [16]. We thank J. Sim on for providing us with the initial parametrization.









