q-Functional Field Theory for particles with exotic statistics

K N .Ilinski^{1;2;3} , G V K alinin^{1 y} and A S Stepanenko^{1;2;4 z}

¹ IP hys G roup, CAPE, St.-P etersburg State University, 14-th line of V.I. 29, St-P etersburg, 199178, Russian Federation

² School of Physics and Space Research, University of Birm ingham, Edgbaston B15 2TT, Birm ingham, United Kingdom

³ Theoretical D epartm ent, Institute of Spectroscopy, Troitsk, M oscow region, 142092, Russian Federation

⁴ Theoretical D epartm ent, St.-P etersburg N uclear P hysics Institute, G atchina, St.-P etersburg, 188350, R ussian Federation

A bstract

In the paper we give consecutive description of functional methods of quantum eld theory for system s of interacting q-particles. These particles obey exotic statistics and appear in m any problem s of condensed matter physics, magnetism and quantum optics. Motivated by the general ideas of standard eld theory we derive form ulae in q-functional derivatives for the partition function and G reen's functions generating functional for system s of exotic particles. This leads to a corresponding perturbation series and a diagram technique. Results are illustrated by a consideration of an onedimensional q-particle system and compared with some exact expressions obtained earlier.

1 Introduction

In this paper we deal with so-called q-particles, i.e. particles which appear as a result of quantization of a H am iltonian classical dynam ics on q-deform ed graded-commutative algebras [1]. Their creation and annihilation operators \hat{a}_k^{y} ; \hat{a}_k obey the following commutation relations:

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j} = \mathbf{q}_{kj} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k} ; \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k}^{Y} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j}^{Y} = \mathbf{q}_{kj} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j}^{Y} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k}^{Y} ; \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j}^{Y} = \mathbf{q}_{kj}^{1} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j}^{Y} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k} + \mathbf{k}_{j} ;$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k} = \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k}^{Y} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k}^{Y} = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{q} \text{-ferm ions } :$$

$$(1)$$

E-mail: kni@ th ph bham ac.uk

^yE-m ail: kalinin@ snoopy.phys.spbu.ru

^zE-m ail: ass@ th ph bham ac.uk

Here the deform ation parameters q_{kj} possess the property

$$q_{kj} = e^{l_{kj}};$$
 $k_j = j_k;$ $k_j 2 R$

and serves to unify form ulae for deform ed bosonic and deform ed ferm ionic cases. A susual it has the form : = +1 for q-bosons and = 1 for q-ferm ions.

The notion of the exotic quantum statistics seems to be rather artical orm athem atical. N um ber of papers where particles with the statistics emerged in a context of the parastatistics [1, 2, 3], q-extended Supersymmetry [4, 5], Parasupersymmetry [4, 6] and other similar problem s apparently prove the statem ent. However, solid state physics, quantum optics and theory of magnetics give examples of other kind. Indeed, anyons (particles with exotic braiding statistics) are important in some attempts to understand the physical features of planar system s [7], the quantum Halle ect [8] and high tem perature superconductivity [9]. In contrast to these examples where anyons serve as auxiliary objects for the construction of one of possible scenarios there is a wide eld in the quantum nonlinear optics where q-particles are the main components. This is a theory of the collective behavior of excitons with sm all radius (Frenkel Excitons and Charge-Transfer Excitons (CTE)) [10]. The studies investigate possibilities of form ation of the Frenkel biexcitons and the observation of phase transitions in exciton systems in molecular crystals (Bose-Einstein condensation of excitons [11], structural phase transitions in crystals with high excitonic concentrations, dielectric-metal phase transition in a system of interacting CTE [12] and others). Strictly speaking excitons are not particles. They are quasiparticles describing molecular excitations and are of great in portance in the analysis of nonlinear optical processes which accompany propagation of high-intensity light uxes whose frequencies are in the range of the exciton absorption bands [13]. These excitons obey exotic statistics (Pauli statistics) [14] coinciding with q-particles statistics for q = 1. The general case of $q = e^{i}$ arises if we try to take into account phenom enologically som e nonlinear e ects (such as the di erence in the creation time of molecular excitations for dierent types of molecules). This e ect can be modeled by the change of the Paulion commutation relations to those of the q-particles using the method developed in [15]. Noteworthy, even the investigation of the behavior of low dimensional exciton systems is meaningful. The best example is the exact solution for one-dimensional Paulion chain [16] caused great advances in the theory of the so called J-aggregates, i.e. m olecular aggregates with unusually sharp absorption band ([17] and Refs. therein). The investigations of exciton systems on interfaces closely connect with the successes of contem porary technology. All these show that q-particles and deep applications in modern physical theories and motivate our objective to derive the appropriate eld theoretical technique for them .

Recently, it was shown that q-functional form of W ick's theorem s for creation and annihilation operators of the q-particles can be formulated and they have the same form al expressions as ferm ionic and bosonic ones but di er by a nature of elds[18]. It means that in the case of the q-particles certain q-deform ed algebras should be used exactly as it was with G rassm ann algebra in the case of ferm ions or the complex numbers in the case of bosons. This fact allows us in the present paper construct a machinery of the quantum eld theory for the exotic particles going along the way of standard textbooks.

In a sense, the present work m ay be considered as a consequential step to the quantum eld theory for the exotic particles which follows from previous papers [1, 19, 18]. Indeed, a construction of classical and quantum dynamics on graded-commutative spaces [1] stated

a connection of the q-particles and the q-deform ed classical variables. These variables then have been used to introduce the corresponding coherent states and to derive q-functional integrals [19] for q-particle systems. It is well-known that W ick's theorem s present other way to the functional integrals and eld-theoretical methods. Since the q-functional W ick's theorem s have been proved [18] we now make the ends met and derive the eld-theoretical technique and functional integrals from the theorem s. This step (this paper) com pletes the program.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give a functional representation for the partition function and G reen's functions generating functional. Then in the sections 3 we illustrate the developed technique with an example of calculations for simple a one-dimensional q-ferm ionic system. Earlier, in Ref.[20] we presented exact results for the partition function and G reen's functions for the system using a functional integral method. This gives us a possibility to compare these two approaches and to state their consistency. C losing remarks conclude the paper.

2 q-Functional eld theory

In the quantum statistics all equilibrium physical properties are described by the density matrix given by the operator

$$= \exp[H]; = 1=kT:$$
 (2)

In particular, the equilibrium therm odynamics is governed by the partition function which is a trace of the density matrix:

$$Z = tr : (3)$$

M can value (\hat{b}) for an arbitrary quantum operator \hat{b} then m ay be calculated as

$$(\hat{\mathbf{b}}) = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} \operatorname{tr} [\hat{\mathbf{b}}] :$$
 (4)

In general, the partition function cannot be calculated exactly and one should develop a perturbation theory. To do this, as usual we need to divide the H am iltonian into two parts: so-called free" H am iltonian H₀ which m ay be treated exactly and an interaction V considered as a perturbation:

$$H = H_{0} + V ; \qquad H_{0} = \sum_{k}^{X} "_{k} \hat{a}_{k}^{Y} \hat{a}_{k} : \qquad (5)$$

Since there is no appropriate way to treat hopping terms exactly we include them in the interaction.

The ratio of -m atrices $_0^{-1} = \exp[H_0]\exp[H]$ coincides with the Euclidean evolution operator U (;0) in the interaction representation. Hence, the partition function and various averages require explicit expression for the operator U (;0) in some approximation and they may be written as

$$Z = tr = tr[_{0}U(;0)] = Z_{0}hu(;0)i;$$
(6)

where

$$h\hat{b}ii = Z_0^{-1} tr[_0\hat{b}]; \quad Z_0 = tr[_0]:$$
(7)

C reation and annihilation operators \hat{a}^{y} ; \hat{a} in the interaction representation take the form

$$\hat{a}_{k}^{Y}() = e^{H_{0}} \hat{a}_{k}^{Y} e^{H_{0}}; \qquad \hat{a}_{k}() = e^{H_{0}} \hat{a}_{k} e^{H_{0}}:$$
 (8)

From (5) we get an explicit form for the evolution of the operators:

$$\hat{a}_{k}^{y}() = \hat{a}_{k}^{y} e^{"k}$$
; $\hat{a}_{k}() = \hat{a}_{k} e^{"k}$:

So the problem is reduced to the calculation of the evolution operator U ($_1$; $_2$) in the interaction representation. It is de ned by the following equation:

$$\frac{QU(1; 2)}{Q_{1}} = V(1)U(1; 2);$$
(9)

with the Hamiltonian in the interaction representation

$$V() = e^{H_0} V e^{H_0}$$
:

The solution of eq. (9) with the initial condition U(;) = I is the Volterra series:

$$U(_{1};_{2}) = e^{H_{0}}e^{H_{0}}e^{H_{0}}e^{H_{0}} = \frac{\chi^{2}}{2} e^{\frac{Z}{1}} e^{\frac{Z}{1}}e^{\frac{Z}{1}} dt_{n} (1:::n)V(t_{2}):::V(t_{n}): (10)$$

If the operator V is an operator functional of the bosonic type then RHS of the equation can be represented as the standard D yson T_D -exponent by the symmetrization with respect to the permutation of time variables t_k . In contrast to the undeformed case this condition holds only for very special cases. In general, the symmetrization of RHS give us another type of T -exponent. But this type of T -exponent is not consistent with nature of operators which makes di cult to deal with it. It would be natural to try to q-symmetrize RHS of (10) but dierent monomials in the operator V are permuted in dierent ways. So we can not q-symmetrize the Volterra series with respect to the permutation of the whole operator functionals V (or time variables) and we do not obtain T_q -exponent. But we can deal directly with Volterra series (10) because each term in the sum can be represented as T_q -product (due to a presence of the -function) [18].

Let us now reduce the Euclidean evolution operator U ($_1$; $_2$) to the norm al form. We suppose that the operator V is q-symmetrical one, i.e. V = Sym_qV [18] and it does not contain any time derivatives. Adding the sign of the q-chronological product to RHS of eq.(10) and applying Theorem 3 of Ref [18] we obtain the following rule of reducing of eq.(10) to the norm al form :

$$U(\hat{a}^{Y};\hat{a};_{1};_{2}) = N^{4} \exp^{4} \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}a} \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}a^{+}} U(\hat{a}^{+};a;_{1};_{2})_{a^{+}=\hat{a}^{Y}} 5:$$
(11)

where following [18] we have introduced classical variables $a_i a^+$ corresponding to the operators $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}^y$ and satisfying the following permutation relations

$$a_{k}a_{j} = q_{kj}a_{j}a_{k}; a_{k}^{+}a_{j}^{+} = q_{kj}a_{j}^{+}a_{k}^{+}; a_{k}a_{j}^{+} = q_{kj}^{\perp}a_{j}^{+}a_{k};$$

$$a_{k}a_{k} = a_{k}^{+}a_{k}^{+} = 0 \quad \text{for } q \text{-ferm ions }:$$
(12)

In formula (11) the q-chronological contraction is de ned by the relation

$$= _{k_1,k_2} (t_1 t_2) e^{t_1 (t_2 t_1)} :$$
 (13)

In the form $\frac{e}{e_a} = \frac{e}{e_{a^+}}$ the sum m ation over discrete variables and the integration over continuous ones are implied.

Now we encounter the problem of calculating of the mean value of an operator standing in the norm al form

$$hn F (\hat{a}^{y}; \hat{a}) = F (\frac{\theta}{\theta c}; \frac{\theta}{\theta c^{+}}) hn \exp[\hat{a}^{y}c + c^{+}\hat{a}] = :$$
(14)

We imply the auxiliary elds (sources) c^+ ; c obey permutation relations (12).

So the problem is in calculating of the following object:

$$f(c^+;c) = hn \exp[a^y c + c^+ a]ii = hexp(a^y c) exp(c^+ a)ii$$

It is obvious that the problem falls into a set of one-dimensional ones:

$$\begin{aligned} f_k (a_k^+; a_k) &= Z_k^{-1} \text{tr}[\exp(- \mathbf{w}_k^2 \hat{a}_k^2) \exp(\hat{a}_k^2 q_k) \exp(q_k^+ \hat{a}_k)] \\ Z_k &= (1 - \exp(- \mathbf{w}_k^2)) \end{aligned}$$

The calculation reveals the results of the undeform ed case and we get the following expressions:

$$f_{k}(c_{k}^{+};c_{k}) = \exp(n_{k}a_{k}^{+}a_{k}); \qquad n_{k} = \frac{\exp(-u_{k})}{1 \exp(-u_{k})}$$
(15)

Then for any operator functional F it is possible to write the following relation:

$$\operatorname{hn} F (\hat{a}^{y}; \hat{a}) = F \left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta c}; \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta c^{+}} \right) \exp \left[c^{+} d c \right]_{c^{+} = c^{-} 0}$$
(16)

where F (a) means that in each monomial of F multipliers stand in the inverse order and the following notation is introduced:

$$d = k_{1,k_2} n_k e^{k_k (t_2 - t_1)}$$
(17)

U sing the identity

$$\exp[c^{+}dc]\exp[^{+}c+c^{+}] = \exp\left[\frac{\theta}{\theta}d\frac{\theta}{\theta^{+}}\right]\exp[^{+}c+c^{+}]$$
(18)

we can rewrite (16) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hn F } (\hat{a}^{y}; \hat{a}) &= F \left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}c}; \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}c^{+}}\right) \exp\left[\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}}d\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}^{+}}\right] \exp\left[^{+}c + c^{+}\right]_{\substack{c^{+}=c=0\\ +==0}} = \\ &= \exp\left[\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}}d\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}^{+}}\right] F \left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}c}; \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}c^{+}}\right) \exp\left[^{+}c + c^{+}\right]_{\substack{c^{+}=c=0\\ +==0}} = \\ &= \exp\left[\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}}d\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta}^{+}}\right] F \left(^{+}; \right)_{\substack{+==0\\ +==0}} \end{aligned}$$
(19)

The second equality is due to the fact that d contains -sym bol (it is permuted without any phase factor). Let us introduce S-m atrix functional as

$$R (a^{+};a) = \exp \frac{4}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta a} (d + 1) \frac{\theta}{\theta a^{+}} 5 U (a^{+};a;;0) :$$
(20)

At collecting together eqs.(6, 11, 19) we get nalexpression for the partition function:

$$Z = Z_0 = R (0)$$
: (21)

In the last formula the deformation parameter q appears only in permutation relation for variables (12) and derivatives.

Now we consider an application of the W ick's theorem s to the calculation of G reen's functions generating function. S-m atrix G reen's functions (without vacuum loops) are de ned by the following relation

$$H_{n}(x_{1}; :::; x_{n}) = ((T_{D} ["_{H}(x_{1}); :::; "_{H}(x_{n})]))$$
(22)

where x (k;s;t), "means \hat{a}^{y} or \hat{a} (" $(k;1;t) = \hat{a}^{y}_{k}(t)$; " $(k;2;t) = \hat{a}_{k}(t)$) and the subscript H "means that the operator is in the Euclidean Heisenberg representation

$$M_{H}(x) = M_{H}(k;s;t) = e^{tH} M(k;s;0)e^{tH}$$
:

U sing the group property of the evolution operator we obtain

It means that we can write down the following equality:

$$((T_{D} [``_{H} (x_{1}); :::; ``_{H} (x_{n})])) = \frac{hhT_{D} [U (;t_{1})'' (x_{1})U (t_{1}; t_{2}) ::: `` (x_{n})U (t_{n}; 0)] ii}{hhU (;0) ii} : (23)$$

Now we shall reduce the expression in the angle brackets to the norm all form and then apply eq.(19):

where matrix tem perature propagator has the form

$$g = \begin{array}{cc} 0 & (d+) \\ d+ & 0 \end{array}$$

These functions $G_n(x_1; :::; x_n)$ might be obtained from the generating functional for the G reen's functions: $2 \qquad 3$

G (A)
$$\exp^4 \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{0'} g \frac{0}{0'} U ('; A; ; 0)$$
 (25)

!

where

$$U(';A;;0) = U(';;0) \exp'A$$
: (26)

Indeed, the G reen's functions $G_n(x_1; :::; x_n)$ are determined by the relation:

$$G_{n}(\mathbf{x}_{1};\ldots;\mathbf{x}_{n}) = \frac{\operatorname{chr}}{A(\mathbf{x}_{1})} \cdots \frac{\operatorname{chr}}{A(\mathbf{x}_{n})} G(A)$$
(27)

where the subscript \chr" m eans a speci c procedure of the di erentiation: each m onom ial term should be chronologically ordered, the variable to be di erentiated should be m oved to the m ost left position relative to other variables with the same time and then canceled.

The form ulae in the above paragraph are su cient to work out a eld theoretical technique to calculate G meen's functions and use various tricks of a eld-theoretical machinery. However, we stop at this stage for a moment to establish a useful connections between the generating functional (25) and the S-matrix functional (20). All we need to do this is the following easy looking form ulae:

$$\exp(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{F} \stackrel{0}{\overset{i}{\theta}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{a'}} \exp(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{F} \stackrel{0}{\theta} \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{a'}} \mathbf{A} ; \qquad (28)$$

$$\exp^{0} A \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} F (') = F (A + ') :$$
 (29)

In these form use we have right derivatives instead of left ones but it is not a problem to change all form use in a proper way. Indeed, due to the locality of and dwe get:

$$R(') = \exp^{4} \frac{2}{2 \theta'} g \frac{\theta}{\theta'}^{3} U('; ; 0)$$
(30)

$$G(A) = \exp^{4} \frac{2}{2 \frac{0}{0'}} g_{0'}^{\frac{0}{0'}} 5 U(';A;;0)$$
(31)

For the free" theory (V = 0) using (28) we obtain

$$G^{(0)}(A) = \exp^{4} \frac{2}{2 \theta'} g^{0}_{\theta'} g$$

By the same trick it is possible to rewrite the expression for U ('; A; ; 0) as

$$U(';A;;0) = U^{0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}; ;0^{A} \exp' A :$$
(33)

This gives us the possibility to present the generating functional in the form :

$$G(A) = U^{0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}; ; O^{A} \exp \frac{1}{2}AgA :$$
 (34)

From (31) and (28) we obtain

$$G(A) = \exp^{4} \frac{2}{2} \frac{0}{2} A + \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{2} A + \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{2} A + \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{2} A + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} A + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} A + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} A + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1$$

As it follows from q-symmetry property of ;d and de nition (30) the generating functional has a very simple connection with the S-matrix functional:

$$G(A) = \exp \frac{2}{2} A g A \exp \frac{4}{2} (g A) \frac{2}{2} \frac{3}{2} R(') = 0$$

or, using (29), in more compact form :

$$G(A) = \exp \frac{-}{2}A g A R (g A) = G^{(0)}(A) R (g A):$$
 (36)

The inverse relation is also useful and looks as (A ! g 1 A)

R (A) = exp
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 A g ¹ A G (g ¹ A) : (37)

Let us emphasize now that form uke (30), (31), (36) and (37) are absolutely identical to the corresponding form uke of the standard theories with the only di erence in the nature of the elds. This is the central point to derive a proper diagram technique.

However, before turning the attention to the diagram rules we spend a minute to show a connection with the q-functional integral form align developed in Ref.[19]. The basis for the bridge is eq.(34). To this end we note that the Gaussian exponent in RHS of (34) has to be expressed in a q-functional integral form and then action of the di erential operator $U = \frac{e}{eA}$; ;0 is processed explicitly under the sign of the functional integral by the usual way. This gives the complete action in the exponent under the q-functional integral and, as a result, the q-functional integral representation for the G reen's functional generating functional emerges. It is exactly the same expressions which was obtained in paper [19] for a situation of an additional integral (anyonic) gauge eld.

Let us draw outline of a diagram technique. From (36) and (37) it obvious that a know \vdash edge of the S-m atrix functional R (') is equivalent to a know ledge of all G reen's functions G_n and vise versa. So we consider here the diagram technique for the S-m atrix functional only.

From the de nition (20) we have the following perturbation theory series for S-m atrix:

$$R(') = \exp^{4} \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{0'} g_{0'}^{2} \frac{3}{0} \int_{n=0}^{x^{2}} (1)^{n} \int_{0}^{z} dt_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{z} dt_{n} \quad (1 \cdots n) V('(t_{1})) \cdots V('(t_{n})); \quad (38)$$

It is convenient to calculate the S-m atrix functional (38) in terms of diagrams. Each multiplier V (' (t_k)) is represented by a vertex on line (all the vertices are ordered in time). Action of $\frac{\theta}{\theta'} g \frac{\theta}{\theta'}$ corresponds to adding of a line g connecting a pair of vertices. The line is added by all possible ways as each derivative $\frac{\theta}{\theta'}$ acts on any multiplier V ('). In particular,

two derivatives of the quadratic form may act on the same vertex V. Such lines are called tadpoles.

The result of action of the di erential operation on a n-th term in the sum in (38) can be represented as a sum of diagram s consisting of N time ordered vertices with any number of added lines. The vertex with n attached lines is associated with the following expression

$$V_{n}(x_{1}; :::; x_{n}; ') = \frac{{}^{n}V(')}{\prime(x_{1})::: \prime(x_{n})} :$$
(39)

The arguments x of the multipliers (39) are contracted with the corresponding arguments of lines g. Multiplier V (') V_0 (') is called generating vertex. If the interaction is polynomial in elds then nite number of multipliers (39) are non-zero only.

For a generic term in the sum (38) the following representation is valid [18]:

$$\exp^{4}\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ik}^{X} \frac{\theta}{\theta'_{i}} g \frac{\theta}{\theta'_{k}} (1)^{n} \int_{0}^{Z} dt_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{Z} dt_{n} (1 \cdots n) V(t_{1}(t_{1})) \cdots V(t_{n}(t_{n})) \cdots \int_{1}^{Y} (t_{1} \cdots t_{n}) V(t_{n}(t_{n})) \cdots \int_{1}^{Y} (t_{n} \cdots t_{n}) V(t_{n}) \cdots V(t_{n}) \cdots V(t_{n}) \cdots V(t_{n}) \cdots V(t_{$$

The diagonal terms of the quadratic form in the exponent correspond to adding of the tadpoles. They can be accounted by introducing of a reduced vertex

$$V_{red}$$
 (') = exp $4\frac{1}{2}\frac{0}{0}$, $g\frac{0}{0}$, V (' (t));

and, hence, (40) can be rewritten as

$$\exp^{4}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\in k}^{X}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i}}g\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}g^{*} (1)^{n}\int_{0}^{X}dt_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{X}dt_{n} (1\cdots)V_{red}(t_{1}(t_{1}))\cdots)V_{red}(t_{n}(t_{n}))$$

$$(1-\cdots)V_{red}(t_{1}(t_{1}))\cdots)V_{red}(t_{n}(t_{n}))$$

$$(1-\cdots)V_{red}(t_{1}(t_{1}))\cdots)V_{red}(t_{n}(t_{n}))$$

The remaining terms in the dimension of the interaction, V_{red} (') does its N-form [18].

Expression (41) is a generic term of the perturbation theory series and can be represented in graphic terms (diagrams). Due to the time ordering of vertices diagram rules and, in particular, procedure of calculation of symmetrical coe cients, dier from the standard ones but, however, straightforward now and can be easily adapted for symbolic computer calculations.

3 Illustration: one-dimensional q-ferm ion system

In the previous section we develop a general technique for a calculation the partition function and the G reen's functions generating functional. This section is devoted to an application of the technique. As a eld of the application we choose so-called cyclic q-X X -chain which was introduced and exactly solved in Ref.[20]. There it was shown that the partition function and the two-point correlation functions for the model can be calculated in explicit form so now we can use them to test our results. Let us rem ind the Ham iltonian of the q-X X -chain:

$$H_{0} = B_{m=1}^{X^{M}} \hat{a}_{m}^{Y} \hat{a}_{m}$$
;

$$V (\hat{a}^{y}; \hat{a}) = A \prod_{m=1}^{M_{X}} (\hat{a}^{y}_{m} \hat{a}_{m+1} + \hat{a}^{y}_{m+1} \hat{a}_{m}) + A (\hat{a}^{y}_{M} \hat{a}_{1} + \hat{a}^{y}_{1} \hat{a}_{M});$$

where the creation and annihilation operators \hat{a}_m^y ; $\hat{a}_m (m = 1; 2:::M)$ obey the commutation relations

The relations coincide with (1) in the case $_{jk} = 2 = 1$ for 1 = j < k M. The explicit result for the partition function of the model has the following simple and compact form:

$$Z = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{1} q^{jn} \sum_{k=0}^{M} 1 + q^{j} \exp \left(\frac{h}{B} + 2A \cos(\frac{2}{M} (k + \frac{(1-n)l}{N}))^{1}\right)$$
(43)

W e com pare this form ula with eq.(21) applied to the case of cyclic q-X X -chain. M ore precise, we com pare the perturbation series in the hopping parameter A.

There are several technical simpli cations to note. First of all, the exponents $e^{n_k (t_2 - t_1)}$ m ay be excluded from (13, 17) since a generic term $\binom{Q}{k=1}$ V (t_k) contains an equal number of a^+ (t_k) and a (t_k) and the energy on all sites are equal to B. This leads to the cancelation of the exponents. Second point is the fact that for this particular case the deform ation parameter q appears in form ulae starting with the order M with respect to the interaction (hopping). Indeed, for low er orders the only term s contribute to the answer are the m onom ial term s with equal numbers of products $a_k^+ a_1$ and $a_1^+ a_k$ (k = 1 1). When we collect such pairs of products together using the permutation relations no phase factor appears. This is because of the property of this products to commute with term s as $a_m^+ a_m \pmod{\Theta} k; l$). When derivatives from expression (20) act on $\binom{Q}{Q} a_k^+ a_1 a_1^+ a_k$ the deform ation parameter does not appear. The result is natural: m -th order corresponds to m hoppings. When m < M there is no cyclic path for a particle to go and the deform ed statistics does not play a role [20].

Sum m ing up, in the lower M 1 orders the contributions are pure ferm ionic. It is interesting to compare nontrivial orders. For any particular nite M it is possible to do by a straightforward calculation. Here, for sake of sim plicity, we present the sim plest nontrivial case M = 3 since the case illustrate the situation by a very clear way. First nontrivial order for the example is third order in the hopping constant and it m ight be calculated im m ediately from eq.(21):

$$\frac{Z}{Z_0} = 1 + 3 {}^{2}A^{2}[n \quad n^{2}] + {}^{3}A^{3}[n + n^{2}(2 + (q + q^{1})=2) \quad n^{3}(1 + (q + q^{1})=2)]:$$
(44)

n is de ned by eq.(15) and in this case is equal to $e^{B} = (1 + e^{B})$.

It is not di cult to see that the exact form ula (43) gives the same result. Let us note that the expressions in the round parentheses $[(2 + (q + q^{-1})=2)]$ and $(1 + (q + q^{-1})=2)]$ are q-sym m etrical coe cients and play the same role here as the standard sym m etrical coe cients do for the case q = 1. Technically, the parameter q appears here from the permutation of ordered vertices.

4 Conclusion

In the paper we derived the representation (in q-functional derivatives) for the partition function and the G reen's functions generating functional starting with the W ick's theorem s

for creation and annihilation operators of q-particles. D erived representations may be rew ritten making use of functional integrals over functions on (q-deform ed) graded-commutative space. It is not dicult to see that this would bring us back to form ulae of R ef. [19]. D iagram technique of the paper follows from both form alisms (functional derivatives and functional integrals) equally easy. All said above let us state that the quantum eld theory machinery for particles with deform ed exchange statistics is constructed. It is similar to the standard bosonic and ferm ionic theories and di ers by the q-deform ed nature of elds (i.e. corresponding classical variables) only. We checked the technique on an one-dimensional example. The next step (which is much more physically intriguing) is to exam ine a multi-dimensional case. Long-range order, instabilities, in uence of disorder might be some of questions of interest for a such investigation. We return to them in a forthcoming paper.

A cknow ledgm ents.

W e want to thank V M .A granovich for drawing of our attention to the problem s of quantum optics where q-particles ind deep applications. We are also grateful to A N V asiliev for interesting discussions. This work was supported by G rant of the Russian Fund of Fundam ental Investigations N 95-001-00548 and UK EPSRC grants G R /L29156 and G R /K 68356.

References

- [1] N.V. Borisov, K.N. Ilinski, V.M. J. zdin, Phys.Lett. A 169 (1992) 427
- [2] W Marcinek JM ath Phys. 33, (1992) 1631.
- [3] A.T.Filippov, a.o., Mod.Phys.Lett. A 7 (1992) 2129;
- [4] K N Ilinski, V M J zdin, M od Phys Lett. A 8 N 28 (1993), 2657;
- [5] K N Ilinski, A S Stepanenko, Zapiski N auchnih Sem inarov POM I 235 N 28 (1996), 260; to appear in J. Sov. M ath.;
- [6] J.Beckers, N.Debergh, J.Phys.A. 24, (1991) L597;
- [7] E Fradkin, Field Theories of Condensed M atter System s, Addison-W esley, Reading, MA, USA 1991;
 R Prange and S.G invin, The quantum HallE ect, Springer-Verlag Inc., New York, 1987;
- [8] S.G irvin and A M ad onald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1252;
- [9] Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity, edited by F.W ilczek, W orld Scientic Publishing Co., Singapore, 1990;
- [10] V M Agranovich, M D G alanin, Electronic excitation energy transfer in condensed matter., North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam 1982, 381 p.;
 Modern problems in condensed matter sciences. v.2. Excitons., ed. E JR ashba and M D Sturge, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam 1982, 866 p.;

- [11] V M Agranovich and B S.Toshich, ZhETF 53, 149 (1967);
- [12] V M Agranovich, K N .Ilinski, PhysLett. A 191, 309 (1994) .
- [13] V M Agranovich, Theory of excitons., (\N auka", M oscow) 1968, 384 p. (in Russian);
- [14] V M Agranovich, ZhETF 37, 430 (1959);
- [15] K N Ilinski, V M U zdin, Phys. Lett. A 174, (1993), 179-181;
- [16] E Lieb, T Schults, D M attis, Ann Phys. (N.Y.) 16, 407, (1961); D B Chesnut, A Suna, J Chem Phys. 39, 146 (1963);
- [17] F S Spano, JK noester, in Advances in magnetic and optical resonance 18, 117 (1994);
- [18] K.N.Ilinski, G.V.Kalinin, A.S.Stepanenko, q-FunctionalW ick's theorem s for particles with exotic statistics, preprint hep-th/9704181, subm itted to JPhysA;
- [19] K N Ilinski, A S Stepanenko: \q-Functional integrals in anyonic physics.", Phys. Lett. A 187 1 (1994);
- [20] K N Ilinski, G V Kalinin, PhysRevE 54 N2, R1017-R1020 (1996).