

Moduli Space Dynamics of a First-Order Vortex System

Dongsu Bak^y

Department of Physics, Seoul City University, Seoul 130-743, Korea

Hyeuk-jae Lee^z

Institute of Natural Science, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea

ABSTRACT

The moduli space dynamics of vortices in the Jackiw-Pi model where a non-relativistic Schrödinger field couples minimally to Chern-Simons gauge field, is considered. It is shown that the difficulties in direct application of Manton's method to obtain a moduli-space metric in the first order system can be circumvented by turning the Lagrangian into a second order system. We obtain exact metrics for some simple cases and describe how the vortices respond to an external $U(1)$ field. We then construct an effective Lagrangian describing dynamics of the vortices. In addition, we clarify strong-weak coupling duality between fundamental particles and vortices.

(PACS: 11.15.Kc, 11.27.+d, 03.65.Ca)

Submitted to: PLB

SCU-TP-97-1003 SNU-TP-97-077

June 1997

This work was supported in part by funds provided by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through the SRC program of SNU-CTP, and the Basic Science Research Program under project # BSR I-96-2425.

^yemail address: dsbak@mach.scu.ac.kr

^zemail address: hjlee@theory.yonsei.ac.kr

Vortices play an important role in describing some 2+1 dimensional systems. Most of them arise as solitonic configurations in field-theoretic descriptions[1, 2, 3], as in the case of nonabelian magnetic monopoles in 3+1 dimensions. There are limited number of exact multi-vortex solutions and this partly explains why descriptions of their dynamics are mostly involved[4]. When a theory admits static multi-vortex solutions saturating Bogomol'nyi bound[5], the low energy dynamics of slowly moving vortices is well approximated by geodesic motions in the moduli manifold, which may be explained as follows. As the moduli space consists of a collection of points which give the same value of energy functional, the slow motions in moduli space can be effectively described by the kinetic terms produced by the time dependence of moduli parameters. This is Manton's idea that was successfully applied to the case of BPS magnetic monopoles[6].

Exact multi-vortex solutions were found in Jackiw-Pi model where a non-relativistic Schrodinger field minimally couples to the Chern-Simons gauge potentials[3]. Previous attempts to analyze dynamics of the vortices within moduli space approximation are incomplete mainly because the model is first order in time derivatives and hence the metric does not appear by direct application of the Manton's methods[7]. This problem, of course, persists in all first-order system such as non-relativistic models and relativistic fermionic models. In Ref. [8], an extra phase to the static Schrodinger field solution was introduced to overcome the difficulty and it was shown that the leading order kinetic term can be properly produced. But the role of the phase was unclear and, moreover, it was not fixed by static configuration of vortices but rather trial functions were used in their framework.

In this note, we observe a simple fact that the Lagrangian can be turned into second-order form in time by eliminating momentum variables. It will be shown that the phase in Ref. [8] is indeed determined by the continuity equation in terms of solely vortex configurations. Once a second-order formulation is achieved, one may apply the standard Manton's method of evaluating the kinetic term in terms of moduli parameters to derive the moduli space metric.

Equipped with the second-order Lagrangian, we shall obtain exact metrics in the cases of a vortex and coincident multi-vortices. We also study how the vortices respond to an external $U(1)$ field. We then describe the structures and asymptotic behaviors of the metric for spatially separated vortices. Based on these analyses, we will construct an effective Lagrangian describing the dynamics of well-separated vortices.

Finally, we observe that there is an intriguing dual structure between fundamental particles and the vortices described by the effective Lagrangian. Namely, at weak (strong) statistical interactions between the particles, the vortices feel strong (weak) statistical interactions.

The non-relativistic Schrodinger field minimally coupled to the Chern-Simons gauge field is described by the Lagrange density

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (A_\mu - \partial_\mu \phi)^2 + i D_t \psi^\dagger \psi + g (\psi^\dagger \psi)^2; \quad (1)$$

where $D_t = \partial_t + iA^0$; $D = r - iA$ and r is taken to be positive for simplicity. By solving the Gauss-law constraint

$$\partial_i \partial_i A^j - j^j = 0; \quad (\partial_i j^i = 0) \quad (2)$$

the system may be equivalently described by

$$L = i \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2m} j(D_x - iD_y) \right)^2 + (g - \frac{1}{2m}) (j^j)^2; \quad (3)$$

where

$$A(t; r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r^0}^r dr^0 G(r - r^0)(t; r^0) \quad (4)$$

with G being the Green's function

$$G^i(r) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \phi(r) = \frac{j^i r^j}{2r^2}; \quad (5)$$

Quantization of this model leads to N -body Schrodinger equation describing particles interacting via Aharonov-Bohm potential[9]. The coupling strength of the interaction is characterized by statistics parameter $\theta = 4\pi$, 2π multiple of which is the phase acquired when two particles are exchanged. Other than obvious gauge and Galilean symmetries, this system possesses $SO(2,1)$ group invariance comprising usual time translation, time dilation $T(t) = at$, and special conformal transformation $T(t) = t/(1 - at)$. This conformal symmetry is in general broken by quantum corrections, but there is a critical strength of $g = 1/(2m)$ where the symmetry persists even at the quantum level[10]. At this critical strength, the system also admits static multi-vortex solutions saturating the energy bound characterized by the self-dual equation,

$$(D_x - iD_y) \psi = 0 \quad (6)$$

In what follows we shall fix the value of g to be critical. The general solutions of the self-dual equation were found in Ref. [3] and given by

$$\psi(z) = \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}} j^0(z) j}{(1 + j^j(z) j^j)} e^{i\phi(z)}; \quad \phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{c_n}{z - z_n}; \quad (7)$$

with

$$\phi = \text{Arg}(f^0 \psi^2); \quad V(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{c_n}{(z - z_n)} \quad (8)$$

where $z = x + iy$ and $z_n (= a_n^x + ia_n^y)$ are complex constants. It describes N separated solitons at positions a_n with scales and phases c_n . This accounts for the fact that the dimension of N -vortex modular space is $4N - 1$ upon elimination of one overall phase parameter.

As stated earlier, the action may be turned into a second-order form by eliminating momentum variables. First, we rewrite the action (3) in terms of $(r; t)$ and $(\phi; t)$ with $\phi = \frac{1}{2} e^{i(\phi + \theta)}$, where ϕ is a fixed background phase function. Later this will be identified

with the phase of the static soliton (7). In terms of new variables, the transformed Lagrange density reads

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m} f \frac{1}{8} r^2 - r^2 + \frac{1}{2} (A - r^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2} g \right) \quad (9)$$

where A being $A(r)$. The variation of the above Lagrange density gives rise to the constraint on r ,

$$-\frac{1}{m} r^2 = (A - r^2): \quad (10)$$

In fact, this is nothing but the continuity equation for charge. Moreover, we clearly see that the phase introduced in Ref. [8] can now be determined from the vortex configurations. We solve the constraint with respect to

$$r(r) = \int^Z dr^0 K^{-1}(r; r^0) (m - f(r^0) - r^2 - A(r^0)); \quad (11)$$

where we define

$$K_Z(r, r^0) = \int^Z dr^0 K^{-1}(r; r^0) K(r^0; r^0) = \int^Z dr^0 K^{-1}(r; r^0) \quad (12)$$

$$dr^0 K^{-1}(r; r^0) K(r^0; r^0) = \int^Z dr^0 K^{-1}(r; r^0) \quad (13)$$

Inserting (11) into (9) and dropping irrelevant total derivative terms, we obtain the desired second-order Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{m}{2} \int^Z dr dr^0 f(r) \left(\frac{1}{m} r^2 - A(r) K^{-1}(r; r^0) f(r^0) - \frac{1}{m} r^2 - A(r) \right) \int^Z dr \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{1}{4} r^2 - r^2 + A^2 \right); \quad (14)$$

The redundant introduction of background phase θ in the derivation of (14) can be justified if one considers the vortex configurations in (7). Namely, r^2 with the phase θ in (8) contains delta-function contributions and their separation by the background phase gives some convenience in performing integration by parts with vortex wave functions.

Following Manton's idea, one substitutes the multi-vortex solution (7) with time-dependent moduli parameters \vec{z}_i into (14) to obtain N -soliton effective Lagrangian,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_e &= \frac{m}{2} \int^Z dr dr^0 \sum_i K^{-1}(r; r^0) \sum_j \dot{z}_j^2(r^0) \int^Z dr (r; \vec{z}) \dot{z}_i(r; \vec{z}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(\vec{z}) \dot{z}_i \dot{z}_j + \sum_i C_i(\vec{z}) \dot{z}_i^2; \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where we have used the fact that $r^2 = A$ and the last integral in (14) are vanishing when evaluated upon the vortex solution. The generic expression of $C_i(\vec{z})$ for N -soliton solutions was given in Ref. [7, 8]. Hence we shall mainly focus on finding the metric.

Specialize in the N -coincident vortices described by

$$f(z) = \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}N}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (z - a_j)} \frac{c^N}{\prod_{j=1}^N (z - a_j)} + \frac{\prod_{j=1}^N (z - a_j)^{N-1}}{c^N} e^{i(1-N)\theta}; \quad (16)$$

where a_j is the position of the vortex and the remaining positive quantity c is related to the dilatation of vortices. Of course these three degrees of freedom do not account for the full moduli space but rather one is specialized in coherent motions and dilatation of N vortices. We then proceed by evaluating first

$$U(r; \vec{a}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dr^0 K^{-1}(r; r^0) U(r^0; \vec{a}); \quad (17)$$

by solving the differential equation

$$\nabla^2 U = -K; \quad (18)$$

Though the above equation resembles the two-dimensional Laplace equation with non-attractive, the method of extracting solution is not known for generic K . However, with the specific choice of K in (16), one easily finds

$$U = (z - a) \frac{c}{2c} \prod_{j=1}^N (z - a_j); \quad (19)$$

Inserting the expression U into (14) and performing integration, one is led to the effective Lagrangian

$$L_e = \frac{1}{2} M \dot{a}^2 + \frac{K(c)}{c^2}; \quad (20)$$

where $M = 4\pi N$ and the special conformal charge K is given by

$$K(c) = \frac{m}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dr (z - a; c) \prod_{j=1}^N (z - a_j)^2 = 2\pi m c^2 \frac{N}{\sin \frac{\pi}{N}}; \quad (21)$$

One sees that the vortex mass is proportional to its number and no statistical interaction arises for the overall translation. For $N \neq 1$, energy cost required for the finite velocity excitation in the conformal mode is of the same order as the spatial velocity. In case $N = 1$, the charge diverges and the excitation in this mode is forbidden due to its infinite energy cost. In generic configuration, one may show that the inertia for this overall conformal mode is measured by $K(c) = c^2$. Noting that K in general diverges unless $\sum_{m=1}^N c_m = 0$ [3], one conclude that the allowed conformal mode in this coincident vortex is rather exceptional.

Motion of two vortices in the moduli space is in general complicated especially when they approach closely. For simplicity, we consider here a configuration characterized by a special choice of $f(z)$

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c}{z - z_a} - \frac{c}{z + z_a} \right); \quad (22)$$

where the complex variable z_a denotes $a_x + ia_y$. The static solution with this choice is given as

$$\psi(r) = \frac{4^{-\frac{1}{2}} c z_a z}{c^2 a^2 + |z|^2 - z_a^2 \bar{z}}; \quad (23)$$

Here the center of mass frame is chosen to focus on the relative motion. The two solitons have the same size and the relative phase between c_1 and c_2 is fixed to the above specific value. From the symmetry and scaling argument, one may easily show that the metric has the following form in the effective Lagrangian,

$$L_e = g_{aa}(b) \dot{a}^2 + g(b) a^2 \dot{a}^2 + g_{cc}(b) \dot{c}^2 + 2g_{ac}(b) \dot{a} \dot{c} - 8 \frac{d}{dt} (a); \quad (24)$$

where b is the ratio c/a . One finds the last statistical interaction term agrees with that in (20) with $N = 2$. Explicit evaluation of the metric requires solving (18) with ψ specified by (23). Due to the difficulty involved with the evaluation, we consider here rather restricted cases. We first consider the limit $c \rightarrow 0$, which describes two solitons at large separation compared to their size. In this limit, the metric (g_{aa}, g) must be constant in a since they only depend on the vanishing ratio b . In this case, ψ takes a simple form,

$$\psi(r) = 4^{-\frac{1}{2}} (e^{-r/a} + e^{-r/(a+b)}); \quad (25)$$

which reflects that the profile is concentrated at each location. With this expression, the equation (18) is solved by $U = \frac{1}{2} \ln \text{Sign}(a - r)$. Evaluation of integrals in (15) leads to the effective Lagrangian

$$L_e = 4 \ln a - 8 \frac{d}{dt} (a); \quad (26)$$

The other case comes with the restriction of motion by the condition $\frac{d}{dt} (a - c) = \frac{d}{dt} (a) = 0$. Again the equation in (18) can be solved with this restriction by $U = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2c - r)$. Then the following combination of the metric components can be determined:

$$g(b) = g_{aa}(b) + 2bg_{ac}(b) + b^2 g_{cc}(b) = 4 \ln \frac{1}{1 + b^2 E} - \frac{1}{1 + b^2} \quad (27)$$

where $E(x)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind. Taking the limit $b \rightarrow 0$, one regains $g_{aa} = 4$ with help of $E(1) = 1$, which agrees with the metric in (26).

We now turn to the problem of how vortices respond to an external $U(1)$ field. We shall assume the external field is sufficiently weak so that the vortex configurations are not deformed considerably. In this weak-field probe, the response of the vortices is linear in the applied external field. The system is described by the Lagrange density

$$L = i \partial_t \psi^\dagger - \frac{1}{2m} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2m} [(\partial_x \psi^\dagger - iA_x^e \psi^\dagger)(\partial_y \psi - iA_y^e \psi)]^2; \quad (28)$$

where the external gauge potential A^e couples minimally to the Schrodinger field. Adopting similar methods used for the case without external field, and keeping to the linear terms in the external field, one obtains an effective Lagrangian for a generic soliton solution:

$$L = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\dot{\mathbf{r}})^2 + \frac{m}{2} \dot{\mathbf{r}}^2 \right] K^{-1}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}^0) - \int d\mathbf{r} (A_0^e - A^e \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{U}) + \frac{1}{2m} \int d\mathbf{r} \partial_i A_e^j; \quad (29)$$

where \mathbf{U} is defined by the relation (17).

When the solution in (7) is used, the effective Lagrangian can be easily computed in the limit where each c_i goes to zero. The result is given by

$$L_e = 2 \sum_n \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{a}_n^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{da_n}{dt} \right)^2 \right] + \sum_n f A_0^e(a_n) a_n - A^e(a_n) \frac{B^e(a_n)}{2} g \quad (30)$$

where we have used the result (for well-separated vortices) given in Ref. [7] for the statistical interaction term. Each vortex couples to the external fields with a coupling strength 4 and carries a magnetic moment 2π .

Based on the above investigation, one may finally deduce N -soliton effective Lagrangian with a help of Chern-Simons kinetic term. We consider dynamics of well separated vortices, and each vortex producing the Aharonov-Bohm potential as indicated in the statistical interaction terms in above effective Lagrangians. Each vortex is supposed to feel the gauge potential produced by other vortices. This reasoning is summarized in the following local effective Lagrangian:

$$L_{sol} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \left[\dot{\mathbf{C}}^2 + \frac{m}{2} \dot{a}_n^2 \right] C_0(a_n) + a_n \cdot \mathbf{C}(a) g \quad (31)$$

with $\dot{C}^2 = 1/(16\pi^2)$ and $m^0 = 4\pi$. Here the magnetic moment interaction is ignored since the magnetic field produced by vortices are localized at the vortex locations.

In this effective theory approach, one finds that there is a duality between the soliton Lagrangian and the original N particle Lagrangian. Namely, the dimensionless coupling constants $1/(4\pi)$ and $\dot{C}^2 = 1/(4\pi^0)$ are related by

$$\dot{C}^0 = 1; \quad \text{with} \quad \dot{C} + 1; \quad \dot{C}^0 = \dot{C} + 1 \quad (32)$$

where the equivalence classes of \dot{C}/\dot{C}^0 in particle/soliton sector come from the fact that these shift do not change statistics nor the physical amplitudes within each sector. [For example, the cases $\dot{C} = 1$ and $\dot{C} = 2$ which are equivalent in particle sector correspond to inequivalent interactions ($\dot{C}^0 = 1$ and $\dot{C}^0 = 1/2$) in soliton sector.] This certainly is a strong and weak coupling duality since the weak (strong) coupling in the particle sector implies strong (weak) coupling in the soliton sector.

In this note, we have investigated slowly moving vortices in the Jackiw-Pi model by Manton's method. One interesting problem we do not deal with in this note, is the problem of head-on collision of two vortices. To find their motion, one needs a detailed form of the metric g_{aa} and g of the two solitons. (In the cases of Abelian-Higgs model, it is shown that there are $\pi/2$ -angle scattering in head-on collision of two vortices[4].) Admitting the difficulty involved in analytic evaluation of the two vortex metric, it may be probable to resort to numerical works.

Another point stressed is that the methods developed in this note might be applied to generic first-order vortex system once there exist static multi-vortex solutions. This includes the vortices in Jackiw-Pi model with nonvanishing chemical potential[11] and the various models considered in Ref. [12]. If the method turns out to be problematic in analyzing these models, the reason or its possible modification need to be clarified.

We close this note with a remark that the origin of the duality might have to do with supersymmetry. Supersymmetric version of Jackiw-Pi model was studied in Ref. [13]. Detailed analyses of the soliton sector in this supersymmetric model will be of great interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank R. Jackiw, Y. Kim, K. Lee, and H. Min for enlightening discussions and J. Lee for critical reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] H.B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Nucl. Phys. B 61 (1973) 45.
- [2] J. Hong, Y. Kim and P.Y. Pac, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2230; R. Jackiw and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2234.
- [3] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2969; Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 3500; Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 107 (1992) 1.
- [4] R.J. Ruback, Nucl. Phys. B 296 (1988) 669; T.M. Samols, Commun. Math. Phys. 145 (1992) 149; S.K. Kim and H. Min, Phys. Lett. B 281 (1992) 81; Y. Kim and K. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 2041.
- [5] E.B. Bogomol'nyi, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 24 (1976) 861.
- [6] N.S. Manton, Phys. Lett. B 110 (1982) 54; *ibid* B 154 (1985) 397.
- [7] L. Hua and C. Chou, Phys. Lett. B 308 (1993) 286

- [8] Q .Liu, Phys.Lett.B 321 (1994) 219.
- [9] See for example D .Bak, and O .Bergman, Phys.Rev.D 51 (1995) 1994.
- [10] O .Bergman and G .Lozano, Ann.Phys. (NY) 229 (1994) 416.
- [11] I.V .Brashenkov and A.O .Harin, Phys.Rev.Lett. 72 (1994) 1575; G .Lozano, Phys.Lett.B 283 (1992) 70.
- [12] N .S.M anton, Ann.Phys. 256 (1997) 114.
- [13] M .Leblanc, G .Lozano and H .M in, Ann.Phys. 219 (1992) 328.