# Integrability of C oupled C onform alF ield $T$ heories 

A. LeC lain<br>Institute for $T$ heoretical $P$ hysics<br>U niversity of C alifomia<br>Santa B arbara, CA 93106<br>and<br>G .M ussardo<br>Intemational School for A dvanced Studies<br>Istituto N azionale diF isica N ucleare<br>and<br>Intemational $C$ entre for $T$ heoretical $P$ hysics<br>34014 T rieste
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## 1. Introduction

Since the w orks ofB elavin, P olyakov and Zam olodchikov on conform ally invariant tw o dim ensional system s and integrable deform ations thereoftī] Forrester on related integrable lattioe solid \{on \{solid modelsth్̄ై], m uch im portant progress hasbeen reported on the classi cation ofallpossible universality classes of tw o-dim ensional statisticalm odels as well as on the com plete control of the scaling region nearby (see, for

 for instance the long\{standing problem of the tw o dim ensional Ising $m$ odel in a magnetic eld pow erfultoolfor providing non-perturbative answ ers for experim entally im portant strongly interacting Solid State physics problem stīin 1

In this paperw e exhibit a large class ofnew integrable tw o-dim ensionalsystem s. T hese are tw o planar system $s$ (one on top of the other) coupled together by operators which lead to integrable theories ( F igure 1).


Figure 1. T wo coupled tw o-dim ensionalm odels.

O ur models are to be thought of as in the sam e category of so-called spin-ladders (See
 these system $s$. The models we treat in most detail are two coupled minim al models, interpolating betw een tw o m agnetically coupled Ising $m$ odels and $H$ eisenberg spin-ladders along the $\mathrm{c}<1$ discrete series. (T he central charge of the unperturbed $m$ odels ranges
from $c=1$ to $c=2$.) These are how ever only special cases of a $m$ uch $m$ ore general class of integrable m odels which we identify using properties of the (extended) D ynkin diagram of a ne Lie algebras. These include: (i) two coupled SO (2n) coset theories, where the central charges of the unperturbed models range from $c=2$ (tw o coupled orbifolds) to $\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{n}$ (two coupled $\mathrm{SO}(2 \mathrm{n})_{1}$ current algebras), and (ii): four coupled m inim almodels w ith unperturbed central charges ranging from $c=2$ to $c=4$.

T he integrable $m$ odels studied here are bulk theories w hich are $m$ assive in the infrared. C orresp onding integrable m assless ow $s$ in im purity m odels are studied by tw o of us in [1] $T$ hese are generalizations of $m$ odels $w$ hidh have recently attracted $m$ uch attention in $C$ ondensed $M$ atter physics, such as in the context of point contacts in the fractional quantum $H$ alle ect, and Im purities in $Q$ uantum $W$ ires (see e.g.

In this paper the em phasis is on the aspects com ing from the integrability of the interlayer coupling and on the exact results which follow. W e will show, in particular, that the on $\{$ shell dynam ics of such system $s$ adm its a description in term $s$ of an exact scattering theory. T he exact scattering am plitudes as well as the exact spectrum of excitations can be com puted by em ploying the R SO S reduction schem e based on the quantum sym m etries
 this paper consists of the tw o \{layer Ising system coupled together by their m agnetization operators 1 and 2.A sim plem ean \{ eld analysis indicates that in this case the interaction betw een the tw o layens drives the system into a m assive phase. W ew illdeterm ine the exact dynam ics of this $m$ odelby providing the spectrum of the $m$ assive excitations of this $m$ odel as well as all their $S$ \{m atrix am plitudes.

The paper is organized as follow s: in Section 2 we analyze a particular integrable coupling between two minim almodels of conform al eld theory (CFT), the latter being regarded as a coset construction on $S U(2)$. In Section 3 we study the integrability of coupled conform al eld theories under a m ore general setting based on (A ne) Toda F ield Theory. $F$ inally, in Section 4 the spectrum and the $S$ m atrix of ( $m$ agnetically) coupled $m$ inim alm odels are worked out explicitly. In Section 5 the conclusions of this work are presented.
2. C oupled $M$ in im al $M$ odels and ${ }_{q} d_{3}^{(2)}$ and ${ }_{q} C_{2}^{(1)} A$ ne $L$ ie $A$ lgebras

Let $C^{(k)}$ denote the $m$ inim alunitary conform al eld theory (CFT) with centralcharge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}=1 \quad \frac{6}{(\mathrm{k}+2)(\mathrm{k}+3)} \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{k}=1 ; 2$;::. These m odels have local prim ary elds $=1 ; 2, \mathrm{e}=2 ; 1, "=1 ; 3$, and $8=3 ; 1 \mathrm{w}$ ith scaling dim ension:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{dim}()=2 & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k+3} & \\
\operatorname{dim}(e)=2 \quad e=\frac{1}{2} \frac{k+5}{k+2}  \tag{2:2}\\
\operatorname{dim}(")=2{ }^{n}=2 \frac{k+1}{k+3} & & \operatorname{dim}(\text { (e) })=2 \quad=2 \frac{k+4}{k+2}:
\end{array}
$$

(Here, dim refers to the sum of left and right conform aldim ension.) $W$ e de ne four in nite series of $m$ odels $M_{k} ; M_{k}^{e}, M \underset{k}{\prime \prime}$ and $M \underset{k}{@}$ by coupling tw o copies of $C^{(k)}$ via the operators ;e, ", e. This is described by an action which perturbs the tensor product of the two CFT's:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{C_{1}^{(k)}}+A_{C_{2}^{(k)}}+\quad d^{2} x \quad 12 ; \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts refer to copy 1 or 2 of $C^{(k)}$, and $=; e ; "$ or ${ }^{4}$.
$T$ he $m$ odels $M_{k}$ and $M \underset{k}{e}$ are characterized by relevant perturbations for all $k$. The $m$ odels $M{ }_{k}^{"} ; M \underset{k}{@}$ on the other hand are irrelevant perturbations, except for $M{ }_{1}$ (from the dim ensions $(\underline{2}-2)$, one sees in this case that $\left.M{ }_{1}^{e}=M \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { " }\end{array}\right)$. The latter is a strictly $m$ arginal perturbation corresponding to the A shkin-Tellerm odel: we have then a line of xed points described by the coupling constant . W ith the appropriate choioe of sign of , the m odels $M_{k}{ }_{k}{ }^{i e}$ are $m$ assive eld theories. The other $m$ odels perhaps describe the infrared lim it of an integrable ow from a m odelw ith higher central charge in the ultraviolet. W e are only concemed in this paper $w$ ith the $m$ assive $m$ odels, how ever we will continue to point out where the $m$ odels $M{ }_{k}^{" ; 巴}$ reside in the algebraic classi cation. A lso, th is inform ation $m$ ay be useful for coupled non-unitary m inim alm odels.

O ne approach to integrable perturbations ofm inim alm odels and other coset conform al eld theories is based on quantum group restrictions of a ne toda theories Rem arkably, the same approach can be applied here to classify the possible integrable perturbations of coupled m inim alm odels. Let us see how this can be achieved.

It is well known that the $m$ inim alm odels $C^{(k)}$ of conform al eld theory have a description in term s of a scalar eld w th background charge $\left[\underline{2} \underline{1}_{-1}^{1}\right]$. Thus two copies of $C^{(k)}$ can be represented w th two scalar elds 1 ; 2 , each w th the appropriate background charge to give the requisite central charge and the conform al spectrum. In the a ne-T oda theory approach to perturbed conform al eld theory, one starts with a Toda theory on a nite Lie group $g$, then identi es the perturbation $w$ ith an a ne extension of $g$ to g . For our problem, the conform al eld theory $C^{(k)} C^{(k)}$ is represented with two scalar elds, thus the
rank oflg $m$ ust be three. The other requirem ent oflg is that $w$ hen the root associated $w$ ith the perturbation is om itted, the resulting non-a ne Toda theory m ust be an su (2) su (2) Toda theory in order to represent $C^{(k)} \quad C^{(k)}$. O therw ise stated, if tw o coupled $m$ in im al $m$ odels can be described by a quantum group restriction of the a ne Toda theory g , then the $D$ ynkin diagram of $g \mathrm{~m}$ ust contain 3 nodes, and the rem oval of one node $m$ ust leave tw o decoupled nodes $w$ ith roots of the sam e length. Referring to the know $n$ classi cation of a ne Lie algebras [ $12 \overline{2} \bar{\downarrow}$, the only possibilities are $C_{2}^{(1)}$ and $d_{3}^{(2)}$. Neither of these are sim ply laced. The D ynkin diagram $s$ for these algebras are show $n$ in $F$ igure 2. Rem oving the $m$ iddle node leaves su (2) su (2), thus it is the $m$ iddle node that $w$ ill be associated w ith the perturbation. This is in contrast to the usual application of a ne Toda theory to perturbed coset theories, where there the extended a ne root 0 is associated w th the perturbation.


Figure 2. D ynkin diagram s for the algebras $C_{2}^{(1)}$ and $d_{3}^{(2)}$ respectively.

The a ne Toda theories associated with the D ynkin diagram sof F ig. 2 are de ned by the action

$$
A=\frac{1}{4}{ }^{Z} d^{2} x @ \frac{1}{2} @ \sim \quad @ \sim+X_{j} \quad \begin{gather*}
1 \\
e^{i \sim_{j} \sim A}
\end{gather*}
$$

where here ${ }^{\sim}=(1 ; 2), j 2 f 0 ; 1 ; 29$ are simple roots of the a ne algebra, and is a coupling. For a general a ne Lie algebra $g$, ~ has rank ( g ) 1 com ponents and the sum runs over all sim ple roots of $g$.

For $c_{2}^{(1)}$, one can chose $\sim_{0}^{2}=\sim_{2}^{2}=2, \sim_{1}^{2}=1$. The C artan $m$ atrix $K_{i j}=2 \sim_{i} \quad \tilde{y}^{=}={ }_{j}^{2}$ is

$$
\mathrm{K}=\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & & 2 & 2 \\
1 & 0 & 1  \tag{2:5}\\
1 & 1^{\mathrm{A}} & : \\
0 & 2 & 2
\end{array}
$$

W ewillalso need $\sim_{0} \quad \underset{\sim}{=} \sim_{1} \quad z=1$.
The algebra $d_{3}^{(2)}$ is the dualofc $C_{2}^{(1)}$ under the transform ation $\sim!2 \sim={ }^{2}$. This duality is the usual one that exchanges the orientation of the arrow s of the $D y n k$ in diagram and
takes $K$ into its transpose. For $d_{3}^{(2)}$, one then has $\sim_{0}^{2}=\sim_{2}^{2}=2, \sim_{1}^{2}=4$ and $\sim 0 \quad \tau=$ $\sim_{2} \quad \mathrm{I}=2$.

W e identify the 0 and 2 term $s$ in the Toda potentialw ith the conform al eld theory $C^{(k)} \quad C^{(k)}$, which requires these operators to have left and right conform aldim ension equal
 m om entum tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} Q_{z} \sim Q^{\sim}+i^{p} \overline{2} \sim \quad \frac{C_{2} \sim}{} \quad: \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take $\sim=\left(\sim_{0}+\sim_{2}\right)$, which leads to the central charge $c=2\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 48\end{array}{ }^{2}\right)$. Identifying $\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$, one xes the param eter to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
=p \frac{1}{8(k+2)(k+3)}: \tag{2:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The chiral dim ension of the exponential operators are then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \sim \sim}={ }^{2} \sim^{2}=2+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \sim \sim \text { : } \tag{2:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Im posing that $e^{i} \sim_{0}^{\sim}$ and $e^{i \sim \sim_{2}}$ have dim ension 1 leads to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1={ }^{2}+2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2} \tag{2:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith two solutions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
+=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\overline{\mathrm{k}+2}} \overline{\mathrm{k}+3} ; \quad=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{\mathrm{k}+3}{\mathrm{k}+2}}: \tag{2:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, once and are xed we can identify the chiraldim ension of the perturbation as pert $=\left(e^{i} \sim_{1}{ }^{\sim}\right)$. $\operatorname{For}_{2}^{(1)}$ one nds pert $=2$ for + and pert $=2$ efor . For $d_{3}^{(2)}$ one nds pert $=2$ "for + and pert $=2 @$ for.$W$ e sum $m$ arize these results by listing below the $m$ odel and its associated a ne Toda theory and coupling:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{k}: \quad C_{2}^{(1)} \text { a ne Toda } w \text { th }=+; \\
& M_{k}^{e}: c_{2}^{(1)} \text { a ne Toda } w \text { th }=\quad ; \\
& M_{k}^{\prime \prime}: \quad d_{3}^{(2)} \text { a ne Toda } w \text { th }=+;  \tag{2:11}\\
& M_{k}^{e}: d_{3}^{(2)} \text { a ne Toda } w \text { th }=(
\end{align*}
$$

W ith this identi cation, the spectrum and S-m atrioes of the models can be obtained as quantum group restrictions of the a ne Toda theory. O ne $m$ ust bear in $m$ ind that the


$$
\begin{equation*}
q=e^{i={ }^{2}}: \tag{2:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the (restricted) quantum sym $m$ etries of the $m$ odels are as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{k} \text { : } \quad q_{3}^{(2)} \text { symmetry; } q=e^{i=(k+2)} \text {; } \\
& M \underset{k}{e}: \quad q^{(2)} \text { symmetry; } q=e^{+i=(k+3)} \text {; } \\
& M_{k}^{\prime \prime} \text { : } q_{2}^{(1)} \text { sym metry; } q=e^{i=(k+2)} \text {; }  \tag{2:13}\\
& M \underset{k}{巴} \text { : } q_{2}^{(1)} \text { sym metry; } q=e^{+i=(k+3)} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

Vaysburd rst established the integrability of the models $M{ }_{k}$;e directly as perturba-
 can be form ulated as the coset $C^{(k)}=S U(2)_{k} \quad S U(2)_{1}=S U(2)_{k+1}$, where $S U(2)_{k}$ is the $S U(2)$ current algebra at levelk $\left[\begin{array}{c}-1 \\ \hline-1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. $U$ sing the fact that $S U(2)_{k} \quad S U(2)_{k}=S O(4)_{k}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{(k)} \quad C^{(k)}=\frac{\operatorname{SO}(4)_{k} \operatorname{SO}(4)_{1}}{\operatorname{SO}(4)_{k+1}}: \tag{2:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the models $M_{k}$;e can be form ulated as pertunbations of the $S O$ (4) cosets by operators of dim ension $2 \mathrm{dim}(; e)$. These coset perturbations are not the generic ones which are integrable for arbitrary $L$ ie algebras w here the perturbing eld is associated w ith the adjoint representation $\left[\overline{1} \bar{B}_{1}^{1}\right]$, and in the a ne Toda approach are associated $w$ th the a ne root $\sim_{0}$; rather the perturbing elds here are associated $w$ ith the vector representation. A s explained in $[\underline{2} \overline{5} 1]$, the latter corresponds to a di erent w ay of a nizing $S O$ (4) to yield the a ne algebras $d_{3}^{(2)} ; \mathrm{C}_{2}^{(1)}$.
3. General Schem e and O ther Exam ples
3.1. A ne Toda $T$ heories for $C$ oupled $C$ onform alF ield $T$ heories

The construction of the last section is just an exam ple of a m ore general one for studying integrabillty ofcoupled conform al eld theories based on a ne Toda theories. Let lg denote an a ne Lie algebra and f (g)g its simple roots, f~ $0 ; \sim_{1} ;::: ; \sim_{r} g$. In the D ynkin diagram ofb, we identify one node and its associated root as the perturbation and denote this root as $\sim_{\text {pert }} 2 \mathrm{f}$ (g)g. W e further require that upon rem oving the node $\sim_{\text {pert }}$ we are left w ith tw o decoupled D ynkin diagram s representing $g_{1} \quad g_{2}, w$ here $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are nite dim ensional, sim ply laced Lie algebras. By chosing the background charges appropriately, the conform al eld theory corresponds to two decoupled conform al Toda theories based on $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, and because these are sim ply laced, these can represent the coset theories
 one which couples the two conform al eld theories, and its dim ension is xed once the background charge is xed.

N orm ally, one choses $\sim_{\text {pert }}=\sim_{0}$, which is the negative of the highest root, and alw ays occurs at an end of the D ynkin diagram. This well-known case describes the perturbation of a single coset theory since here $g_{1}=g$ and $g_{2}$ is em pty. In this case, the background charges require that one begin $w$ ith the $S-m$ atrices of the unrestricted Toda theory in the hom ogeneous gradation, since it is in this gradation that the ${ }_{q} g$ invariance is $m$ anifest (See e.g. one $m$ ust rst transform the $S \cdot m$ atrioes to the appropriate gradation where the ${ }_{q} g_{1} \quad{ }_{q} g_{2}$ sym $m$ etry ism anifested, before doing the restriction. A s far as the spectrum and $S-m$ atrioes are concemed, this is the $m$ ain dynam icaldi erence betw een $m$ odels $w$ ith $\sim_{\text {pert }}=\sim_{0}$ and $\sim_{\text {pert }} \sim_{0}$. W e now discuss two exam ples of this construction.
3.2. C oupled SO (2n) C osets and ${ }_{q} d_{2 n}^{(1)} A$ ne A lgebras

Let us begin with the Toda theory based on the a ne algebra $d_{2 n}^{(1)}$, which is the standard a nization of $d_{2 n}=s o(4 n)$. Its $D y n k$ in diagram is show $n$ in $F$ igure 3. If one rem oves the central node on the string, the diagram decouples into two $d_{n}=s o(2 n) L$ ie algebras. Thus, if we identify $\sim_{\text {pert }}=\sim_{n}$, the $d_{2 n}^{(1)}$ a ne Toda theory can be used to describe tw o coupled so ( 2 n ) cosets. W e denote by $\mathrm{f} \sim^{(1 ; 2)}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{g}$ the sim ple roots for copies 1 and 2 of $\mathrm{so}(2 \mathrm{n})$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f} \sim\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{(1)}\right) \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{f} \sim^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{g}+\mathrm{f} \sim^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{g}+\sim_{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$


$F$ igure 2. D ynkin diagram for the a ne algebra $d_{2 n}^{(1)}$.

Let $C^{(k)}$ denote the coset CF T

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{k})}=\frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 \mathrm{n})_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \operatorname{SO}(2 \mathrm{n})_{1}}{\operatorname{SO}(2 \mathrm{n})_{\mathrm{k}+1}} \tag{3:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th central charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n} \quad 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~h}+1)}{(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h})(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}+1)} \text {; } \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dual C oxeter num ber of $\mathrm{So}(2 \mathrm{n})$ is $\mathrm{h}=2 \mathrm{n} \quad 2$. The $d_{2 n}^{(1)}$ a ne Toda theory contains 2 n scalar elds com bined into the vector ${ }^{\sim}$. W e let the energy $m$ om entum tensor take the form $\left(2-1 \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, w ith central charge $\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{n} 24 \sim^{2}$. The background charge $\sim$ is chosen such as to represent tw o decoupled $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ theories,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=2 \quad\left(\sim_{1}+\sim_{2}\right) ; \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sim_{1 ; 2}$ are the $W$ eyl vectors for copies 1 and 2 of so $(2 n)$, nam ely, $\sim_{1 ; 2}=P_{i=1}^{n} \sim_{i}^{(1 ; 2)}$, where $\sim_{i}^{(1 ; 2)}{\underset{j}{(1 ; 2)}}_{(1 j}{ }_{i}$.This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{1 ; 2}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{1} \sim_{j}^{(1 ; 2)} ; \tag{3:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is the $C$ artan $m$ atrix of $s o(2 n)$. T he $W$ eyl vectors satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{1} \quad \tau=0 ; \quad\left(\sim_{1}\right)^{2}=\left(\sim_{2}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{nh}(h+1)=12 \quad: \tag{3:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Identifying c w ith $2 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}$, one requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
=p \frac{1}{8(k+h)(k+h+1)}: \tag{3:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext we require that the term $s$ in the Toda potential exp ( i $\sim{ }^{\sim}$ ) w ith $\sim$ a sim ple root of either copy of $s o(2 n)$ to have conform al dim ension equal to 1 . This gives the equation (2.ac), w ith solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{r \frac{r}{k+h}}{\frac{k+h+1}{k+h+1}} \quad ; \quad=\quad \frac{r}{k+h} \quad: \tag{3:8}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { pert }=\underset{\text { pert }}{+}=\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}+1} ; \quad \text { for }=+\quad ; \\
& \text { pert }=\quad \text { pert }=\frac{\mathrm{k}+2 \mathrm{~h}+1}{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{h}} ; \quad \text { for }=\quad ; \quad \tag{3:9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now intenpret these models. TheCFT $C_{n}^{(k)}$ has two prim ary elds ${ }^{e}$ and $h$, which are associated w ith vector representations of so (2n), w ith chiral scaling dim ension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{\mathrm{h}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{+}{\text { pert }} \quad ; \quad\left({ }^{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { pert } \quad: \tag{3:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was shown by Vaysburd that the follow ing perturbations of a single copy of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ are integrable:

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{h}}=A_{C_{n}^{(k)}}+\quad d^{2} x^{e ; h}: \tag{3:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ( operators:

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{C_{n}^{(k)}} C_{n}^{(k)}+\quad d^{2} x{\underset{1}{2}}_{\substack{\text { eh }}}^{e_{2}^{e ; h}}: \tag{3:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

 by a quantum group restriction of the ${ }_{q} d_{2 n}^{(1)}$ a ne Toda theory $w$ th $q=\exp \left(i=\begin{array}{c}2 \\ +\end{array}\right)$ or $q=\exp \left(i={ }^{2}\right)$ 。
3.3. Four C oupled $M$ in $\dot{m}$ alM odels and ${ }_{q} d_{4}^{(1)}$

The construction of the last section is special for $d_{4}^{(1)}$ since here rem oving the node $\sim_{\text {pert }}$ leaves four decoupled su (2) nodes. From our general approach, we expect that this case corresponds to four coupled $m$ inim alm odels.

Let $\sim_{i} ; i=0 ;: ; 4$ denote the sim ple roots of $d_{4}^{(1)}$. The central node is $\sim_{\text {pert }}=\sim_{2} . W \mathrm{e}$ now chose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=\underset{i f 2}{\mathrm{X}} \underset{\mathrm{i} i}{ } ; \tag{3:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 of the $k$ th $m$ inim almodel $C^{(k)}$. In order for each node $\sim_{i} ; i \notin 2$ to represent a single


The dim ension of the perturbation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { pert }={ }^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\overline{2}}^{\sim_{2}} \sim={ }^{2} \quad 4^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \text {; } \tag{3:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one nds

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { pert }=4 & ; & \text { for }=+\quad ;  \tag{3:15}\\
\text { pert }=4 \mathrm{e} ; & \text { for }= &
\end{array}
$$

Thus, the appropriate quantum group restriction of the $d_{4}^{(1)}$ a ne Toda theory with $q=$ $\exp \left(i={ }^{2}\right)$ describes a m odel of four m inim al conform alm odels all coupled at one point via the operators ;e.For $=+$, the action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=X_{i=1}^{X^{4}} A_{C_{i}^{(k)}}+d^{2} X_{1} \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 ; \tag{3:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts refer to which copy of $C^{(k)}$.
A n interesting case is $\mathrm{k}=1$, which corresponds to four coupled Ising models. They can be grouped into tw o pairs, each pair $w$ ith $c=1 . W$ e can bosonize each pair $w$ ith scalar elds 1 and 2. Then the action ( $\overline{3} .{ }^{-1} \overline{-1}$ ) can be expressed in this case as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{4}{ }^{Z} d^{2} x^{0}{ }_{i=1 ; 2}^{X} \frac{1}{2}(@ i)^{2}+\quad \cos \left({ }_{1}=2\right) \cos \left({ }_{2}=2\right)^{A} \quad: \tag{3:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the interaction can be written as $\cos \left(\left({ }_{1}+2\right)=2\right)+\cos \left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)=2\right)$, one sees that

4. Spectrum and $S-m$ atrices for $C$ oupled $M$ in im al M odels

For the rem ainder of this paper we will be concemed only with the models $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}$.
 restricted (vertex) form for the fundam ental $m$ ultiplets of solitons. There are two such fundam ental multiplets which transform in the 4 \{dim ensional vector $f 4 \mathrm{~g}$ and in the $6\{$ dim ensionaladjoint f6g representations of $\mathrm{S}(4)_{q}$. Them ass ratio of the tw ofundam ental m ultiplets of solitons is $\mathrm{s}^{\overline{4} \mathbf{q}_{1}^{\prime}}$

$$
\frac{M_{f 6 g}}{M_{f 4 g}}=2 \cos \overline{k+6}:
$$

In addition to these fiundam ental solitons there are scalar bound states and excited solitons depending on $k$ [2] $\underset{-1}{[9}]$. A s previously explained, the $m$ odels $M{ }_{k}$ are described by quantum group (R SO S) restrictions of these S-m atrices. The RSOS spectrum proposed in [2̄5] ] appears incom plete how ever. This will be evident below where we consider the Ising case at $\mathrm{k}=1$.

[^1]4.1. Ising $C$ ase and Relation to Sine-G ordon at ${ }^{2}=8=1=8$

The modelM ${ }_{1}$ can be described by the action
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{I \sin g_{1}}+A_{I \sin g_{2}}+d^{2} x_{12} ; \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

 The presence of the coupling constant destroys the critical uctuations of the two individualm odels and the resulting system has a tendency to acquire a net magnetization: its spectrum becom es then $m$ assive ${ }^{15}$. It is easy to predict the existence of kink excitations in the spectrum : in fact, there are two degenerate ground states of the system ( $\overline{4}-\bar{u}_{2}^{\prime}$ ), one where both system $s$ have a positive totalm agnetization the other where the totalm agnetization is negative. The two ground states are related each other by the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry ${ }^{\text {ida }}$ 1 ! $1 ; 2$ ! $\quad 2$ and therefore there $w$ illbe kink (antikink) excitations $K_{+} \quad\left(K_{+}\right)$ interpolating asym ptotically between them (Figure 4). H ow ever, multi-kink con gurations can only be constructed in term s of a string of kink strictly follow ed by an antikink: $j:: K_{+} K+K+:: i . T h i s m$ eans that the kinks of th is system should behave actually like ordinary particles, as willbe indeed con $m$ ed by the analysis which follow s.

A sim ple argum ent relates the model ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{2} \bar{Z}^{\prime}\right)$ to the sine-G ordon theory at the re ectionless point ${ }^{2}=8=1=8$. The sine-G ordon theory $\operatorname{SG}^{2}=8$ is de ned by the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{4}^{Z} d^{2} x \quad \frac{1}{2}(@)^{2}+\cos b \quad \text {; } \tag{4:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{b}=\stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{=} \overline{4}$. From the Ising M a jorana ferm ions $1 ; 2$, we can form a D irac ferm ion
$=1 \quad i_{2}$. This is a c=1 CFT which can be bosonized by means of the formula
$=e^{i^{L}}$, where L is the left m oving com ponent of. The operator 12 has dim ension $1=4$, thus in the bosonized description it corresponds to cos $(=2)$, which corresponds to ${ }^{2}=8=1=8$. Let us refer to the latter theory as $S G_{1=8}$.

The above sim ple argum ent is not strictly correct since it ignores the fact that the conform al eld theory of $\operatorname{Ising}_{1} \quad$ Ising $_{2}$, is not identical to that of a free $D$ irac ferm ion.

[^2]

Figure 2. G round states (a) kink and antikink excitations.
$R$ ather, it is an orbifold $m$ odel at $R_{\text {orb }}=1$, whereas the $D$ irac theory is a scalar eld com pacti ed on a circle w ith $R_{\text {circle }}=1\left[\frac{10}{10} 101\right.$. M ore generally, consider the theory $S G{ }^{2}=8$. The potential in ( $\overline{4}-\bar{Z})$ has the symmetry ! $+2=\mathrm{b},!$. Thus the potential preserves the orbifold symm etries at $\mathrm{R}_{\text {orb }}=1=\mathrm{b}$, and starting from $\mathrm{SG}_{2}=8$ one can easily de ne a perturbed orbifold version of it at this radius. For ${ }^{2}=8=1=8, R_{\text {orb }}=2$
 by som e signs in the $S-m$ atrices.

It tums out that the $S G^{2}=8$ theory is closely related to a second perturbed orbifold CFT at the di erent radius $\mathbb{R}_{\text {orb }}=R_{\text {orb }}=2$, and this is what corresponds to $I s i n g g_{h}^{2}$. To see this, rst rede ne $==2 \quad e$, so that the potential in ( potential now satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\mathrm{e}+2 \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{orb}}\right)=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{e})=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}\right): \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since in an orbifold, $e \quad e$, we see that the potential preserves the orbifold sym $m$ etry at $\mathbb{R}_{\text {orb }}=R_{\text {orb }}=2=\mathrm{P}=$. For ${ }^{2}=8=1=8$, this corresponds to $\mathbb{R}_{\text {orb }}=1$.

W e will resolve the distinction of Ising ${ }_{h}^{2}$ from $S_{1=8}$ and $D_{8}^{(1)}$ by appealing to the form ulation of the last section based on ${ }_{q} d_{3}^{(2)}$. A swew ill show below, the spectrum is the sam e as for $\mathrm{SG}_{1=8}$, and the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrioes again di er only by som e signs. The nal result
can be anticipated $m$ ore sim ply as follow $s$. Let $s_{1} ; s_{2}$ denote the excitations corresponding to the $S G_{1=8}$ solitons, $w$ ith $m$ ass $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}$. T heir S -m atrioes are

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~s}_{1}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{~s}_{2}}={ }^{0} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}}=e \mathrm{~F}_{1=7}() \mathrm{F}_{2=7}() \mathrm{F}_{3=7}() ; \tag{4:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { F ( ) } \frac{\tanh \frac{1}{2}(+i)}{\tanh \frac{1}{2}(i)} ; \tag{4:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is the rapidity variable, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{m}$ cosh . T he $\mathrm{SG}_{1=8} \mathrm{~m}$ odel corresponds to ( ${ }^{0}=$ $1 ; e=1)$, whereas for the $D_{8}^{(1)} \mathrm{m}$ odel $\left({ }^{0}=1 ; e=1\right) . W$ e claim that the $I s i n g g_{h}^{2} m$ odel corresponds to the 3rd possibility

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ising}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}: \quad 0^{0}=1 ; \mathrm{e}=1: \tag{4:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the basis of the follow ing argum ent. In the $S G_{1=8} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, there is a $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry under $w$ hich $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are charge con jugate states. C rossing sym $m$ etry then im plies $S_{s_{1} s_{1}}=S_{s_{1} s_{2}}$, i.e. ${ }^{0}=1$. The breathers of the SG $m$ odel are $s_{1} s_{2}$ bound states, which im plies a positive in aginary residue in the corresponding poles of $S_{S_{1} \mathrm{~s}_{2}}$, and this xes $\mathrm{e}=1$. For the $D_{8}^{(1)} \mathrm{m}$ odel on the other hand, since it is a perturbation of an onbifold theory, the $U$ (1) symm etry is broken to $Z_{2}$, and this allow $s^{0}=1$ since $s_{1} ; s_{2}$ are no longer charge con jugated particles; the sign e implies that the breathers continue to be $s_{1} \quad s_{2}$ bound states. For the choice ( $\left.\overline{4} \cdot \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, the rst breather is neither a $s_{1} \quad s_{1}, s_{2} \quad s_{2}$, nor $s_{1} s_{2}$ bound state, because none of these $S$-m atrices have a positive im aginary residue. W e w ill show how this arises from the ${ }_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{d}_{3}^{(2)}$ description.
$T$ he rem aining $S-m$ atrices of the $I s i n g g_{h}^{2} m$ odel are the sam e as for $S G_{1=8}$. There are 6 neutral excitations $w$ ith $m$ ass

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a}=m_{1} \frac{\sin \frac{a}{14}}{\sin \frac{\square}{14}} \quad ; \quad m_{s}=m_{1} \frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{1}{14}} ; \quad a=1 ; 2 ;: ; 6 \tag{4:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and exact $S$ \{ $m$ atrix am plitudes given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\mathrm{as}_{1}}()=S_{\mathrm{as}_{2}}()=(1)^{a^{q 1}} \frac{7 a+2 k}{14} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used ( ) F ( ). N ote that, as anticipated at the beginning ofthis section, the kinks of this system behave indeed like ordinary particles, since their $S$ \{ $m$ atrix can be entirely w ritten in term s of the simple functions (14. ${ }^{-1}$ ).
$N$ ow let us describe how the above result follow $s$ from the R SOS restriction of ${ }_{q} d_{3}^{(2)}$. $W$ e denote the relevant $\mathrm{SO}(4)_{\mathrm{q}}$ representations as $f 0 \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{f} 6 \mathrm{~g}$ for the singlet, vector, and adjoint representations, respectively. The unrestricted $S$-m atrix for the $f 4 \mathrm{~g}$ can be written as $\underline{\underline{2}-19}]$ [

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{f 4 g f 4 g}()=F(){ }_{21} \mathbb{R}_{£ 4 g f 4 g}(x ; q){ }_{12}^{1} ; \tag{4:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a scalar factor, $\mathbb{R}_{f 4 g f 4 g}(x ; q)$ is the $R-m$ atrix for ${ }_{q} d_{3}^{(2)} m u l t i p l i e d$ by the per$m$ utation operator $P$, and 12 is a gauge transform ation. The $R$ m atrix has the explicit form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{f 4 g £ 4 g}=P_{f 9 g}+\frac{1 x^{2}}{x q^{2}} P_{f 6 g}+\frac{1+x q}{x+q} P_{f 0 g} ; \tag{4:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where PP is a pro jector onto the $S O(4)_{q}$ representation , and $\left.x=\exp (k+6)=(k+2)\right)$. The scalar factor is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F()=\frac{G_{1}() G_{1} k=2()}{G_{0}() G_{k=2}()} ; \tag{4:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

For the $I \operatorname{sing} g_{h}^{2}$ case, one $m$ ust restrict the $m$ odel at the root of unity $q=e^{i=3}$, where $x=e^{7=3}$. Specializing the form ula ( $\left.44 . \overline{1} \overline{1}_{2}^{2}\right)$, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
F()=\frac{x+q}{x q+1} F_{1=7}(): \tag{4:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To perform the quantum group restriction wem ust exam ine the fusion ring of $S O(4)_{q}$ at the above q. Recalling that $S O(4)=S U(2) \quad S U(2)$, let us label the $S O(4)_{q}$ representations as ( $j ; \boldsymbol{\xi}$ ), where $j$ denotes the spin $j$ representation of $\operatorname{SU}(2) w$ ith dim ension $2 j+1$, and sim ilarly for 9 . The fundam ental spinorial representations of $S O$ (4) are the $(0 ; 1=2) ;(1=2 ; 0)$; there are no fundam ental multiplets of solitons in these representations. O ne also has $f 0 g=(0 ; 0), f 4 g=(1=2 ; 1=2), f 6 g=(0 ; 1) \quad(1 ; 0)$, and $\mathrm{f} 9 \mathrm{~g}=(1 ; 1)$. At this root of uniy, the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ fusion ring has a maxim um spin $j=1=2$, and $(0)(1=2)=(1=2) ;(1=2)(1=2)=(0)$, where $(j)$ is an su (2) spin $j$ representation. Since
the $f 6 g$ of $S O(4)_{q}$ requires $j=1$, it is pro jected out of the spectrum. This leaves only the RSOS restriction of the $f 4 g$, which is frozen and then behave like a scalar particle $\overline{h^{\prime}}$. The restriction leaves only the $\mathrm{P}_{f 0 g}$ term in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~g} f 4 \mathrm{~g}}$. Letting $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ denote the RSOS restriction of the fundam ental soliton $f 4 \mathrm{~g}$, one then obtains the scalar S-m atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{11}()=F_{1=7}(): \tag{4:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the $S-m$ atrix for the lightest sine-G ordon breather of S $_{1=8}$. Let $m_{1}$ be the $m$ ass of th is particle. Then closing the bootstrap for this particle leads to a total of six particles w ith m asses and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrioes given in (4.

In the above analysis it is easy to overlook additionalparticles for the follow ing reason. $T$ hough the $f 6 g$ is projected out, any $f 6 g \quad f 6 g$ bound states which are scalars survive
 A ccording to $[\underline{[2 \overline{9}}]$, the $m$ ass of the particle $B_{1}^{(2)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{B_{1}^{(2)}}=2 m_{1} \cos (=7) \sin (3=14): \tag{4:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them ass of this particle can be identi ed w ith that of the $\mathrm{SG}_{1=8}$ soliton due the identity $4 \cos (=7) \sin (3=14)=1=\sin (=14)$ (the latter identity is only valid due to the 14 -th roots of unity involved). O ne can also check that the S-m atrioes involving the particle $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{(2)}$ com puted in $[\overline{2} \overline{-1}]$ indeed correspond to those in $S G_{1=8} \mathrm{w}$ ith the assignm ent of signs (44.2.1). Sim ilarly, the particle $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{(2)}$ is identi ed w ith the 4-th $\mathrm{SG}_{1=8}$ breather.

So far we have the 6 breathers and one soliton of the $\mathrm{SG}_{1=8}$ theory. A second soliton can be seen as necessary for the follow ing reasons. T he S-m atrix for the scattering of the 1st and 6th $\mathrm{SG}_{1=8}$ breathers is $\mathrm{S}_{16}=\mathrm{F}_{1=2} \mathrm{~F}_{5=14}$. The factor $\mathrm{F}_{1=2}$ has a double pole at
$=i=2 . T$ his corresponds to a $\backslash$ bound state" ofm ass $M^{2}=m_{1}^{2}+m_{6}^{2}=\left(2 m_{s}\right)^{2}$, i.e. to a state right at the threshold of a 2-soliton state. The fact that this pole indeed corresponds to a 2 \{soliton state is easily veri ed by checking that the S-m atrioes for this \bound state" $w$ ith a particle a, as com puted from the bootstrap, is equal to $\left(S_{s a}\right)^{2}$. Further reasons for this double degeneracy willbe given in the general case ahead.

D ue to the signs in $(\overline{4} \cdot \overline{-1})$, the bound state structure of the m odel Ising $_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}$ is di erent from those of $\mathrm{SG}_{1=8}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{8}^{(1)}$. For the $\mathrm{Ising} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, closing the bootstrap starting from
${ }^{7}$ The sam e freezing of degrees of freedom occurs w hen one restricts the SG $S-m$ atrix to obtain the energy perturbation of the Ising $m$ odel.

8 The breather $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{(1)}$ is already included as the second $\mathrm{SG}_{1=8}$ breather.
the solitons $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, and requiring a positive im aginary residue, leads to the $2 n d$, 4 th, and 6th breathers. T he odd breathers arise by closing the bootstrap starting from the 1st breather, which is view ed as a fundam ental particle. W e rem ark that the sign di erences of the $S-m$ atrioes in ( $\overline{4}-\overline{-}$ ) do not change the TBA analysis of the ultraviolet central charge, which therefore reproduces correctly $\mathrm{C}=1$ for all three cases, $\mathrm{SG}_{1=8}, \mathrm{D}_{8}^{(1)}$ and Ising ${ }_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}$.

### 4.2. General C ase of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}$

The fundam ental solitons in the $f 4 g$ and $f 6 g$ of $S O(4)_{q}$ become RSO $S$ kinks $K \underset{1}{f 4 g}$ and $K{ }_{2}^{f 6 g}$ w ith RSOS indices ${ }_{2}$; 1 labeling representations of SO (4) $)_{q}$. The kinks $K{ }^{\text {f6g }}$ are $K^{f 4 g}$ bound states occurring at the bootstrap fusion pole $=2 i=(k+6)$. A s in section 3, we use the decom position $S O(4)=S U(2) \quad S U(2)$ to label $S O(4)_{q}$ representations as (j; €), where j; $2 \mathrm{Z}+1=2$ are $S U(2)$ spins. The selection rule on the kink $K{ }_{2}^{0}{ }_{1}$ is that the representation 2 m ust appear in the tensor product ${ }_{1} \quad 0$ within the fusion ring of $\operatorname{SO}(4)_{q}$. Hence, we will need the $S U(2)_{q}$ fusion ring at $q=q^{(h)}=\exp (i=(k+2))$ :

$$
\left(j_{1}\right) \quad\left(j_{2}\right)=\underbrace{}_{j=j_{1}} \quad j_{2} j<i(j) ;
$$

$w$ ith $j k=2$.
Since $f 4 g=(1=2 ; 1=2)$, the $f 4 g$ fundam ental solitons becom e the RSOS kinks:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \underset{\left(j_{2} \Theta_{2}\right)\left(j_{1} \Theta_{1}\right)}{f 4 g}() ; \quad \text { ì } 2 j_{1} \quad 1=2 ; \Theta_{2} 2 \Theta_{1} \quad 1=2: \tag{4:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, since $f 6 g=(0 ; 1) \quad(1 ; 0)$ there are two kinds of R SO S $f 6 \mathrm{~g}$ kinks:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{\left(j_{2} \Theta_{2}\right)\left(j_{1} e_{1}\right)}^{f 6 g}() ; \quad \text { 立 } 2 j_{1} \quad 1 ; g_{2}=g_{1}  \tag{4:19}\\
& \mathbb{R}_{\left(j_{2} \Theta_{2}\right)\left(j_{1} \Theta_{1}\right)}^{f 6 g}() ; \quad \dot{\text { i }}=j_{1} ; \Theta_{2} 2 \Theta_{1} \quad 1:
\end{align*}
$$


In addition to the above kinks there are breathers, which are scalar kink-kink bound states. Let $B_{p}{ }^{(f 4 g)} ; p=1 ; 2$; :: denote the $K^{f 4 g} \quad K^{f 4 g}$ bound state breathers, and $B_{p}^{f 6 g}$ the
 As $k$ increases one enters a repulsive regim e wherein $m$ ost breathers becom e unbound and disappear from the spectrum. The $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{\mathrm{f4g}} ; \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\mathrm{f6g}}$ breathers occur at the fusion pole $=i(2 \quad k)=(k+6)$, whereas the $B_{1}^{f 69}$ breather occurs at $4 i=(k+6) . W$ hen $k=2$, for
them ass of these breathers we have $M\left(B_{1}^{([4])}\right)=2 M_{f 4 g}$ and $M\left(B_{2}^{f 6 g}\right)=2 M_{f 6 g}$, thusk $=2$ is the threshold value for these breather state and they disappear. The only rem aining breather for allk $\quad 2$ is $B_{1}^{f 6 g}$, which we denote sim ply as B, w th a m ass given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{B}=4 M_{f 4 g} \cos \frac{}{k+6} \cos \frac{2}{k+6}: \tag{4:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $S \cdot m$ atrix for this breather is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {BB }}()=F_{\frac{k+2}{k+6}}() F_{\frac{k+4}{k+6}}() F_{\frac{k}{k+6}}(): \tag{4:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the breather B can arise as a bound state of either $K^{f 6 g}$ or of $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{g}$, we believe this breather is doubly degenerate; certainly it is doubly degenerate in the Ising case where it is the $S G_{1=8}$ soliton. The threshold for the disappearance of the $B$ breather, i.e. when $M_{B}=2 M_{f 6 \mathrm{~g}}$, occurs at $k=1$.

Let us now come back to the problem of the $S$ \{ $m$ atrix of the kink states. The $S-$ $m$ atrioes of the $k i n k$ states are characterized by the exchange relation:
and sim ilarly for the scattering involving $K^{f 69}$. The $S$-m atrix in ( $\left.4 \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2}\right)$ follow $s$ from ( 4 . $1 \mathbf{d}$ ) w th $\mathrm{x}=\exp (4), \mathrm{q}=\exp (\mathrm{i}!)$ where we have de ned $=(\mathrm{k}+6)=4(\mathrm{k}+2)$ and $!=1=(k+2):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\left(j_{3} \Theta_{3}\right)\left(j_{2} \Theta_{2}\right)}{\left(j_{4} \Theta_{4}\right)\left(j_{1} \Theta_{1}\right)}()=F() P_{f 9 g} \frac{\sinh (2 \quad+i!)}{\sinh (2 \quad i!)} P_{f 6 g}+\frac{\cosh (2 \quad+i \quad!=2)}{\cosh (2 \quad i \quad!=2)} P_{f 0 g} \underset{\left(j_{3} \Theta_{3}\right)\left(j_{2} \Theta_{2}\right)}{\left(j_{4} \Theta_{4}\right)\left(j_{1} \Theta_{1}\right)} \tag{4:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the projectors can be expressed in term sof q 6j symbols, as we now describe. C learly one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{(j, 9)}=P_{j}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}{ }_{\varphi} ; \tag{4:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{j}$ is the pro jector onto the spin $j$ representation of $S U(2)_{q}$ in the tensor product space $1=2 \quad 1=2$, and sim ilarly for the second copy $\mathbb{P}_{9} .0$ ne also needs

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f 0 g}=P_{0} \mathbb{P}_{0} ; \quad P_{f 6 g}=P_{0} \mathbb{E}_{1}+P_{1} \mathbb{E}_{0} ; \quad P_{f 9 g}=P_{1} \mathbb{F}_{1}: \tag{4:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pro jectors $P_{j}$ in unrestricted vertex form have $m$ atrix elem ents expressed in term $s$ of q-C lebsch-G ordon coe cients:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { m } \tag{4:26}
\end{align*}
$$

G oing to the R SO S basis, and using the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ccccc}
\left(h j ; m \dot{j}_{1} ; m_{1} ; j_{23} ; m_{23} \dot{i}_{q}\right) & j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{12} & \\
\mathrm{X} & j_{3} & j & j_{2} 3 & \\
& &
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}_{2} ; \mathrm{m}_{3} \text {; } \\
& m_{2}+m_{3}=m \quad m_{1} \tag{4:27}
\end{align*}
$$

one obtains the sim ple result $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[3 \overline{3}} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 5 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$

$$
\left(P_{j}\right)_{j_{3} j_{2}}^{j_{4} j_{1}}=\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1=2 & 1=2 & j & j_{3} & 1=2 & j_{2}  \tag{4:28}\\
j_{3} & \dot{j}_{1} & j_{4} & & q & 1=2 & j_{1} \\
j_{1} & j_{q} &
\end{array}:
$$

The $q$ 6j symbols can be found in tisj


$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\left(j, \Theta_{1}\right)} \frac{\left(j_{4} \Theta_{4}\right)\left(j_{1} e_{1}\right)}{\left(j_{3} e_{3}\right)\left(j_{2} e_{2}\right)}=\left(P_{j}\right)_{j_{3} j_{2}}^{j_{4} j_{1}} \mathbb{F}_{\Theta_{9}}^{\Theta_{\Theta_{3} \Theta_{2}}^{\Theta_{4} \Theta_{1}}}: \tag{4:29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analog of the form ula (4. the kinks $K^{f 6 g} ; \mathbb{R e}^{f 6 g}$ are bound states of the kinks $K^{f 4 g}$ occurring at the fusion pole
$=2 i=(k+6)$. Therefore, the Smatrices involving the $f 6 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{kinks}$ can in principle be com puted from bootstrap fusion ${ }^{\text {¹9 }}$.

### 4.3. The $\mathrm{k}=1 \mathrm{~lm}$ it

W hen $k=1$, the model $M_{k}$ corresponds to two level-1 SU (2) current algebras coupled via their prim ary eld in the spin $1=2$ representation of dim ension $1=4$. Denoting the latter by ${ }^{1=2}$, the action $\left(2 \sum_{-2} \bar{S}_{1}\right)$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{\mathrm{su}(2)_{1}}+A_{\mathrm{su}(2)_{2}}+\quad d^{2} \mathrm{x} \quad{ }_{1}^{1=2}{\underset{2}{1=2} ;}^{1=} \tag{4:30}
\end{equation*}
$$

 A lso, the con jectured $S-m$ atrices for the $K^{f 4 g} k$ inksw ere constructed by borrow ing R SO S solutions
 have not checked if they agree w ith the $S$-m atrices constructed here.
where su $(2)_{1 ; 2}$ refers to the copies 1 and 2 of the current algebra. A bove,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=2  \tag{4:31}\\
& 1 ; 2
\end{align*}=\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{~m}=1=2
\end{gathered}{\underset{1}{(1=2 ; \mathrm{m})-(1=2 ; \mathrm{m})} 1 ; 2}_{1 ; 2} ;
$$

where $\quad(1=2, \mathrm{~m})$, and ${ }^{-(1=2, \mathrm{~m})}$ are the left and right $m$ oving factors.
The current algebras can each be bosonized with a scalar eld ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{1 ; 2}$. The prim ary elds have the representation:

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{1=2} \quad{ }_{2}^{1=2}=e^{i_{1}=^{p} \overline{2}}+e^{i_{1}=e^{p} \overline{2}} \quad e^{i_{2}=\bar{p}^{p} \overline{2}}+e^{i_{2}==^{p} \overline{2}} ; \tag{4:33}
\end{equation*}
$$

 term s of ferm ion bilinears:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=2  \tag{4:34}\\
& 1
\end{align*}{\underset{2}{1=2}=+}_{+}^{+}+\quad+\underset{+}{0}{ }_{+}^{0}+\underset{+}{-0}{ }^{0} ;
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\quad=e^{\left.\mathrm{i}\left(1_{1}+2\right)\right)^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}} ; \quad{ }_{+}^{-0}=e^{\mathrm{i}(1} \quad 2\right)^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{V^{2}}: \tag{4:35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the ferm ions are complex, com bined together they correspond to 4 real ferm ions. $T$ he interaction sim ply gives each real ferm ion the sam em ass. Thus, as k! 1 , the m odel $M_{k}$ becom es the free eld theory of 4 realm assive ferm ions $w$ ith $S O$ (4) sym $m$ etry. This result is closely related to the lattioe $m$ odel results obtained in fīj in ${ }^{-1}$.

The above result arises from the restricted ${ }_{q} d_{3}^{(2)}$ sym $m$ etry in the follow ing way. Firstly, as k ! 1 , in the ( $j ;$ G) labeling of $S O$ (4) representations, we have $j_{n}$ ax $=1$; this im plies that the RSOS S-m atrioes are unrestricted (SO S) and by a change of basis can be brought back to unrestricted vertex form. Secondly, since $M_{f 6 g}=2 M_{f 4 g}, k=1$ is the threshold for the disappearance of the fiundam ental solitons of $m$ ass $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{f} 6 \mathrm{~g}}$. A lso, $M_{B}=2 M_{f 6 g}$, so that the breather $B$ also disappears. This leaves a 4 -plet of solitons transform ing under the undeform ed vector of SO (4), and these are the 4 real ferm ions. Finally, since $q$ ! $\quad 1$, the ${ }_{q} d_{3}^{(2)}$ is undeform ed. It is know $n$ that $2 n$ free $m$ assive ferm ions has
 free ask! 1 .
${ }^{10}$ For the lattice $m$ odel considered in [1] $[1]$ the $S O$ (4) sym $m$ etry is broken to $Z_{2}$ SU (2) which leads to a triplet and a singlet of ferm ions of di erent $m$ ass.

## 5. C onclusions

In this paper we have studied the on \{shelldynam ics ofcoupled conform al eld theories under the constraint of the integrability for the inter-layer coupling. A general fram ew ork for this kind ofm odels is provided by the reduction ofA ne Toda F ield Theory associated $w$ ith particular D ynk in diagram s. These are the D ynkin diagram s of the a ne algebra lg which have the property that upon rem oving one of its nodes, one is left with two decoupled D ynkin diagram $s g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ of nite dim ensional sim ply laced $L$ ie algebras: the latter represent then the Lie algebras from which tensor product oftw om inim alm odels is constructed using the coset construction. The rem oved node, on the other hand, speci es a particular integrable coupling betw een these tw o m inim alm odels. A $n$ interesting $m$ odel of this class is represented by the tw o\{layer Ising $m$ odel coupled by the $m$ agnetic operators: this m odel has been analyzed both in term $s$ of the RSO $S$ restriction of ${ }_{q} d_{3}^{(2)}$ as well as in term s of a bosonization schem e related to the Sine-G ordon model. It would be interesting to pursue further the analysis of this $m$ odel as well as of the others by com puting their form factors and their correlation functions: quantities particularly interesting in this respect would be the correlators involving operators living on the two di erent planes, as for instance the correlator $h_{1}(x) 2_{2}(y)$ i for the tw $o\{$ layer Ising $m$ odel. A lso it is clear that there are other interesting exam ples of the $D$ ynkin gym nastics used in this paper. F inally, at a speculative level, one $m$ ight ask if our integrable analysis of two (or four) coupled m inim al models, discussed in this paper, could be some rst step in understanding N coupled conform al eld theories. If this could be done system atically, one $m$ ay perhaps hope to be able to leam som ething about three dim ensional theories.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ On leave from C omell U niversity, N ew m an Laboratory, Ithaca, N Y 14853.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ ere is nom alized in the usual convention where ${ }^{2}=8=1=2$ is the free ferm ion point.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~W}$ e rem ark that one has the identi cations $d_{3}^{(2)}=a_{3}^{(2)}$ and $b_{2}^{(1)} \quad c_{2}^{(1)}$
    ${ }^{4}$ The param eters ! ; introduced in $\left.\overline{2}_{2}^{9} \overline{1}\right]$ take the values ! $=1=(k+2), \quad=(k+6)=4(k+2)$.

[^2]:    5 In the follow ing is assum ed to be positive. H ow ever, all the follow ing conclusions hold independently from the sign of since the sign of the coupling constant can be altered by changing the sign of one of the $m$ agnetization operators, say the one $w$ ith index 1 : ! ; 1 ! 1 . $A_{1}$ is left invariant under this transform ation since is the action of the critical point.
    ${ }^{6}$ The system presents another $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry related to the exchange of the labels 1 \$ 2 .

