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#### Abstract

I brie y discuss three phenom ena arising in recent work where the realization of sym m etries in quantum $m$ echanics is unusual. The rst of these is, I believe, the very sim plest realization of non-trivial con nem ent by a $m$ echan ism of charge- ux frustration. It arises in $m$ odels having several coupled abelian $C$ hem-Sim ons gauge sym $m$ etries, which closely resem ble e ective theories used for the quantum $H$ all e ect. T he second is sym $m$ etry obstruction by non-abelian ux, which has im plications for $2+1$ dim ensional supergravity. T hird is the possibility of new varieties of quantum statistics: non-abelian and projective.


## 1. A pology

I don't think I have much to teach this audience about string theory as such. W hat I will do, that I hope is useful, is discuss a few phenomena that have com e up in $m y$ recent work which are in som e w ay connected to fundam ental issues regarding the realization of sym $m$ etry in quantum $m$ echanics. M y strong im pression is that the fundam ental sym $m$ etry of string theory, or w hatever string theory is evolving into, has yet to be fully elucidated; in trying to do so, it $m$ ay be well to have the possibility of unusual realizations in m ind. A lso, the exam ples I w ill be considering involve in sim ple low-dim ensionalgauge eld theories of a kind very sim ilar to those often arising in world-sheet or $m$ em brane studies.

## 2. $S$ im ple $M$ odels of $C$ on nem ent

A classic mechanism of con nem ent is abstracted from the behavior of type II superconductors $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$. These $m$ aterials con nem agnetic ux in tubes, so that they would induce a linear con ning potentialbetw een $m$ agnetic $m$ onopoles. It has long been conjectured that an analogous mechanism could underly con nem ent in 3+ 1 dim ensional relativistic quantum eld theo-
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ries["].], and recent $m$ arvelous $w$ ork has supported this idea, at least in the supensym $m$ etric case $[\overline{3}]$ ]. $T$ here are, how ever, severalsigni cant lim itations to the analogy: perhapsm ost im portant, the connem ent applies to a strictly additive quantum num ber, and does not exhibit saturation, as one has for triality; also, the $m$ onopole is not an elem entary object, and it is not in general clear that the degrees of freedom needed to construct it are available or that it is a plausible stand-in for quarks; nally, related to this, the detailed im ple$m$ entation tends to be com plicated and indirect. N ow I would like to show you a class of eld theories that exhibit con nem ent in a very simple and transparent w ay through charge- ux frustration [ [4 41$]$, and w here the elem entary quanta are con-
ned and the con nem ent saturates. C onsidered asm odels for QCD these eld theories have weaknesses of their ow $n$ : they live in $2+1$ dim ensions, the dynam ics seem $s$ to be essentially abelian, and the con nem ent is only logarithm ic \{ but at least they are di erent weaknesses. These theories are closely related to the e ective theories used to describe hierarchical and layered states in the quantum H all e ect. From a conceptual standpoint, it is particularly interesting that they contain no m assless particles in their perturbative spectrum ; thus they exhibit, in a very clear-cutw ay, con ne$m$ ent arising as a globalphenom enon, rather than through accum ulation of infrared singularities.

The Lagrangians of interest to us take the form
$L=\frac{1}{8} \quad{ }^{l m} a^{l} f^{m}+D \quad{ }^{r}\left(D \quad{ }^{\mathrm{r}}\right) \quad \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{f}) ;(1)$
where the covariant derivative on the $r^{\text {th }}$ scalar eld acts as D $\quad$ r @ $\quad$ iq $q_{1}^{r} a^{l}{ }^{r}$ (suspending the sum $m$ ation convention on $r$ ), and $V$ is an effective potential whose details need not concem us. is a sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix. The norm alization is chosen in such a way that integral entries in and integral charges arise naturally, for exam ple if the theory results from breakdown of an overlying nonabelian theory.

Let us rst consider the simple case when is a 22 m atrix whose only non-zero entries are equal to an integer $n \circ$ the diagonal. Assum $e$ that just one scalar eld, carrying charges $(0 ; q)$ w ith respect to the tw o gauge groups, condenses. $T$ he eld equations of $m$ ost interest to us arise from the variation of $L$ w th respect to the tim ecom ponent of $a^{1}$ and w th respect to the spacecom ponents ofa ${ }^{2}$. A llow ing for an extemalsource carrying charge of the rst type, these equations read:
$\frac{n}{2} b^{2}={ }_{\text {ext: }}^{1}$
and
$\frac{n}{2} e^{1}=\hat{z} \quad \tilde{J}^{2}:$
Let us analyze the second of these rst. If we require that the condensate lives on the vacuum m anifold at in nity, and is single-valued, then by a gauge transform ation it can be brought into the form ! ve ${ }^{i l}$, where $l$ is an integer and $v$ is $m$ agnitude of the vacuum expectation value of . In order that the current due to the condensate fallo faster than $1=r$ at in nity wem ust further require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=q a^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in order that the azim uthal covariant derivative vanish. U sing Stokes' theorem, the second of these integrates to the ux quantization condition $2 \mathrm{l}=\mathrm{q}^{2}$ : Integrating the rstequation over all space, on the other hand, gives usQ ${ }_{\text {ext: }}^{1}=\frac{n}{2}{ }^{2}$ : Combining these, we nd the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\text {ext: }}^{1}=\frac{\mathrm{nl}}{\mathrm{q}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the extemal charge. If $n$ does not divide $q$, this condition will generally fail, even for integer $Q_{\text {ext: }}^{1}$. In that case, it w ill not be possible for the elds to fallo faster than $1=r$ at in nity. The resulting long-range elds lead to energy diverging logarithm ically w ith distance, proportional to the square of the fractionalpart of $\frac{q}{n} Q \frac{1}{\text { ext: }}$. E nergy of this sort will arise from the gradient energy of the condensate, or (if a M axw ell term is present in an expanded form ofL) the Coulomb eld energy, or both. Thus charges which do not satisfy the condition [1] are con ned. On the other hand, from the form of the condition it is clear that an appropriatem ultiple of a con ned charge will not be con ned. Thus nite-energy states w ill include baryon-like ob jects, but not the corresponding quarks.
In the more general 22 case, when $=\begin{array}{cc}m_{1} & n \\ n & m_{2}\end{array}$ and the condensate has charge vector ( $q^{1} ; q^{2}$ ), by follow ing steps sim ilar to those above, we nd conditions
$Q_{\text {ext: }}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}^{1}+n^{2}\right)+q^{1}$
$Q_{\text {ext: }}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{1}+m_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+q^{2}$
and the ux quantization condition
$2 l=q^{1}+q^{2}{ }^{2}$;
for the long-ranged elds to vanish. Here is a continuous param eter, representing the ability of the condensate to screen electric charge. The ratio of screening charges, of course, must follow that of the condensate. N ow in the generic case, after solving the ux quantization condition, there willbe tw o continuous param eters and 2 available to satisfy the rem aining tw o equations, and then an anbitrary charge will be screened (not con ned). H ow ever ifm $\mathrm{in}_{2}\left(q^{1}\right)^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{n}\left(q^{1}\right)\left(q^{2}\right)+$ $m_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}=0$; then these tw o param eters $m u l-$ tiply proportional coe cients. Hence there will be charges that cannot be screened, and $m$ ust be con ned. (O ur earlier case corresponds to $\mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{2}=\mathrm{q}^{1}=0$.) A brief calculation $\mathrm{re}-$ veals that the condition $Q{ }^{1} q=1$ which m ust
be satis ed by uncon ned charges can be written in the transparent form
$q^{1} Q_{\text {ext }}^{2} \quad q^{2} Q_{\text {ext }}^{1}=p-1 ;$
where the determ inant $\quad m_{1} m_{2} \quad n^{2}$. There w ill be non-trivial real solutions ( $q^{1} ; q^{2}$ ) if and only if $\quad 0$; there $w$ ill be integer solutions if and only if is a perfect square.

It is am using to see sim ple num ber-theoretic conditions em erging in con nem ent criteria. Sim ilarbutm ore intricate phenom ena occur for larger num bers of species. T hey have not been explored system atically.

## 3. Symmetry and Supersym $m$ etry 0 bstruction $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[5} \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right]$

A nonabelian vortex is characterized in regular gauge by a non-zero $m$ atrix vector potential a $a t_{R}$ spatial in nity, and the holonomy $h=P e^{i} \rho^{2} a d:$ Here $P$ denotes path ordering, and a is a $m$ atrix in the adjint representation. $M$ ore precisely, $h$ is de ned only up to conjugacy, since a gauge transform ation (r; )!
1 ( ) takes h ! $\quad 1$ (2 ) h $1(0)^{1}$.) W W may suppose, after a non-singular gauge transform ation, that $a$ is a constant matrix. G iven a charged eld, one has its covariant derivative $D \quad=@+i T^{a} a^{a}$ : Here $T^{a}$ are the generators for the representation to which belongs, and of course the $a^{a}$ are the intemal com ponents ofa. Ifone diagonalizes $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{a}^{a}$ (assum ed constant) and considers the partial wave $(r ;)=e^{j 1} f(r)$, then (in the regular gauge) $l$ is quantized to be an integer, but for the $k^{\text {th }}$ eigenvalue $e_{(k)}$ and eigenvector (k) one has the azim uthal derivative D (k) $=i\left(1+e_{(k)}\right)$ (k):And so the angularenergy term, which has direct physical signi cance, is proportional to $\frac{\left(l+e_{(k)}\right)^{2}}{r^{2}}$. It behaves as if there is a fractional contribution $e_{(k)}$ to the angular m om entum . A ltematively, by a singular gauge transform ation (w th gauge function proportional to , and thus having a cut) one could form ally rem ove $a$, at the cost of introducing boundary conditions of the form (2) $=(\mathrm{h})(0)$ on the azim uthal dependence of the eld (and thus on the wave functions of its quanta). Here of
course is the appropriate unitary representation $m$ atrix. In this formulation the azim uthal covariant derivative is sim ply the ordinary spatial azim uthal derivative, but one has the condition $l_{(k)}=$ integer $+\frac{(k)}{2}$ on the partial waves (k)/ $e^{i l} f(r)$. The form of the angular energy term, of course, is the sam e as before, $w$ th $e_{(k)} \quad(k)=2$.

Generically states with a given value of $\mathrm{e}_{(\mathrm{k})}$ (and the sam e spatialw ave functions) $w$ ill.be degenerate, but states w th di erent values of $\Theta_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) will not be degenerate, because they see a di erent e ective Ham iltonian \{ or, altematively, because they obey a di erent angular $m$ om entum quantization condition. N ow suppose that the symmetry of the ground state is a non-abelian group K , and consider 2 K . If is a faithful representation, and $h \not h$, then ( ) will not act $w$ thin the spaces of $\left.x e d \Theta_{k}\right)$, but $w$ ill connect states $w$ ith di erent values of $\Theta_{k}$ ). As we have seen, generically this m eans that ( ) will connect states of di erent energy. Thus the obstructed sym $m$ etry $K$ will not be realized as a sym $m$ etry of the spectrum, butw illconnect states $w$ ith di erent energy. Only $m$ em bers of the centralizer group C (h) oftransform ationsw hich com $m$ ute $w$ ith $h \mathrm{w}$ ill generate spectral sym $m$ etries.

The e ects of obstructed symmetry appear directly only in states carrying both ux and charge. These can be brought together in a straightforw ard way, as bound states, w ith the
ux realized as a classical eld. A m ore intrinsic form of the phenom enon occurs in ChemSim ons theories, where the interaction itself naturally associates ux w th charge. The question then arises w hether splittings are induced for the fundam entalquanta, which carry both charge and
$u x$, and what is the m echanism. I expect a splitting does arise, for the follow ing reason. In evaluating the selfenergy of such a quantum, onem ust sum over space-tim e tra jectories where its w orld line is self-linked or knotted. The charge from one part of the tra jectory gets entangled w th the
ux em anating from another part, and the propagation is altered, as above. For non-dynam ical sources (W ilson lines) it is known that the am plitude of a tra jectory depends on its topology; in-
deed, th is fact lies at the root of the application of non-abelian C hem-Sim ons theories to topology. It would be very interesting to do a dynam ical calculation along these lines.

In 2+ 1 dim ensional gravity the prim ary e ect of a point $m$ ass is to create a conical geom etry in its exterior, w ith a de cit angle proportional to the mass; $=2 \mathrm{Gm}$. The exterior geom etry is then locally at, but non-trivial globally. Indeed, it induces a modi cation in the angular m om entum quantization for particles in the exterior, sim ilar in som e ways to the non-abelian vortex. A notable di erence is that whereas the non-abelian vortex induced an additive change in the quantization condition, change induced by the gravitational eld of a point m ass is m ultiplicative. Indeed, from requiring that the azim uthal factor $e^{i l}$ be single-valued as ! $+(2 \quad$ we
nd $l=$ integer $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)$. This di erence re ects the di erent sym $m$ etry of the sources: an additive shift in angular $m$ om entum requires an intrinsic orientation violating the discrete sym $m$ etries $P$ and $T$, while a m ultiplicative factor is even under these transform ations

T he conicalgeom etry presents a globalobstruction to de ning a spinor supercharge (see below), so that supersymmetry, even if valid for the ground state, is obstructed form assive states. $T$ his fact plays a role in som e speculative ideas for using supersym $m$ etry to zero the cosm ological term, while rem aining consistent w ith its absence in the physicalspectrum [ [7, expect that ferm ion-boson degeneracy is lifted, but a concrete calculation m ight be weloom e . It is not altogether trivial to produce one, because the expected e ect com es from a subtle infrared phenom enon, so that one needs to do som e sort of non-perturbative calculation; but on the other hand the theory is non-renorm alizable.

O ne can rem ove the e ect of the conical geom etry by a singular gauge transform ation, in favor of a modi ed boundary condition. Here the covariant derivative should respect parallel transport, so that we should require that the effect of orbiting the apex of the cone is to rotate spinors (or vectors) through the appropriate angle, i.e. to multinly them by a phase proportional to the spin and angle. An im portant
subtlety, which arises even in at space, is that one $m$ ust include a factor -1 for half-odd integer (ferm ion) elds. This factor is essentially equivalent to the -1 accom panying ferm ion loops in Feynm an graphs, and its inclusion im plem ents the norm al spin-statistics relation. W ith this factor $e^{2}$ is taken out, the appropriate condition on wave functions $s / f(r) e^{i l}$ for spin $s$ quanta, in order that they transform properly, is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.s(2 \quad)=e^{j(2}\right) 1 \text { is } s(0) \text { leading to } \\
& 1=\frac{1}{1 \overline{2}} \text { integer }+s \frac{\overline{2}}{1 \overline{2}}: \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

This superelectrodynam ics can be coupled to supergravity, and one can consider gravitational corrections to the hydrogen' spectrum. O ne can, in this context, consider the corrections to the e ective non-relativistic $H$ am iltonian due to one photino exchange. The com putation closely follow s the classical derivation of the B reit H am iltonian for positronium and yields the correction

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{i}{2 m} P_{i} ; V(x) \quad i ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m$ is the electron $m$ ass, $V$ is the $C$ oulom $b$ potential $\frac{e^{2}}{2} \ln r$, and the $i$ are $m$ atrices acting in the intemal spin space of the electron-proton system. T he form of this correction to the H am iltonian is not altered by gravity, since $H$ is a local operator on the wavefunction and gravity in $2+1$ dim ensions has only global, topological e ects. This is consistent w ith the boundary conditions $m$ entioned above; indeed the exact form of the $m$ atrioes $i$ implies that, in a conical geom etry, the operator $H$ becom es herm itian, in a non-trivial way. The spin $m$ atrioes connect com ponents of the wavefiunction w ith intemal spin di ering by 1 , and the phase acquired by the operator $\mathbb{P}_{i} ; \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ (or sim ply by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ after taking the commutator), which would otherw ise spoil herm iticity, is exactly com pensated by the different, spin-dependent, boundary conditions on these com ponents.

The main e ect of the gravitational eld, how ever, arises already in the nom inally spinindependent interaction, which dom inates in the low-velocity (non-special relativistic) lim it: the
modi ed condition for the allowed angular mo$m$ enta $m$ odi es the e ective Schroedinger equation, and splits the spectrum corresponding to di erent spin values. Here we are concemed w ith the motion of the electron in the geom etry and potential provided by the proton, and take $=2$ GM. C learly supersym $m$ etry is obstructed, and it is not $m$ anifest in the spectrum .

W e have glossed over severaltechnicalities that do not substantially a ect this leading-order calculation, though in extending it to higher orders in $e^{2}$ and $m=M$ they would need careful attention. The m ost interesting, perhaps, com es from the double-conical geom etry arising w hen one includes the gravitational elds of both particles. O ne then obtains a m odi ed quantization condition on the relative angular $m$ om entum, which takes the form $l_{\text {rel }}=\frac{\text { integer }}{1 \frac{m_{2} a x}{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~s}_{1}\right)}{1 \frac{\max }{2}}$; where ${ }_{i} ; s_{i}$ are the de cit angle and spin associated w ith particle $i$, and $m$ ax is the larger de cit angle. A lso one should use the $K$ err geom etry to take into account the spin and angular $m$ om entum of the particles, but thesee ects are subleading, of relative order (s or l).

## 4. N onabelian and Projective Q uantum Statistics

E xotic form sof quantum statistics, apart from the fam iliar exam ples ofboson and ferm ion, are a logical possibility inherent in the quantum kine$m$ atics of identical particles $\left.{ }_{\underline{q}}^{[1}\right]$. In general, the issue is how to add the am plitudes for processes described by topologically distinct classes of trajectories. The classical Lagrangian, which is designed to yield the equations of $m$ otion at its extrem a, does not necessarily answ er this question, and one can im agine a range of $m$ odels having a single classical lim it but fundam entally di erent quantum behavior. This occurs for QCD, in connection w ith the param eter. There it is the topology oftrajectories in eld space which is relevant; but the sam e question arises even for trajectories of identicalpoint-like particles, and this is the case we associate with quantum statistics.
$T$ his problem takes a special form in low di$m$ ensions. In $2+1$ dim ensions the topological classes of trajectories are param etrized by the
braid group, and one can classify the possibilities for quantum statistics by studying the unitary \{ or, in principle, projective \{ representations of that group. T he one-dim ensional representations ofthe braid group describe anyons. T he quasiparticles and quasiholes of the conventionalLaughlin and hierarchical' fractionalquantum H all states are anyons, and not sim ply bosons or ferm ions.

It is natural, in this context, to ask if nonabelian representations of the braid group also occur in physics. Their im plications are quite unusual. Since their irreducible representations are m ulti-dim ensional, nonabelian representations ofquantum statistics require a degeneracy in $H$ ilbert space for the particles at xed positions in physical space, w ith adiabatic transport of the particles around one another (in the absence of any explicit interaction) giving not m erely a phase factor, but generally a new state.

Rem arkably, it seem $s$ that this sort of thing does occur, at least theoretically, in another class of candidate quantum H all states [1] ]. These are states that in a sense combine the characteristic Laughlin ordering with a form of BCS pairing. $T$ he prototype state of this type is the so-called Pfa an' state, which occurs at lling fraction
$=1=2$ (note the odd denom inator, which cannot occur for a conventional hierarchical state). In this system, $2 n$ quasiholes $l l$ out an irreducible $2^{\text {n }}{ }^{1}$ dim ensional representation of the braid group. N otice that the non-trivial structure begins only when there are at least four of these particles.

I think it is fair to say that the $m$ athem atical and physical understanding of these non-abelian statistics is still at a prim itive stage. T he dim ension of the representations can be inferred from convincing but indirect and heuristic argum ents using conform al eld theory or by a rather painful explicit construction. (A s I w rite this, a m ore tractable version of the conform al eld theory is being developed [ [1] in ].) The braiding properties have been w orked out explicitly for four particles. $T$ here are strong indications that w hen $m$ ore particles are involved they naturally fallinto a spinor representation in H ibert space. T his property is eerily rem in iscent ofD -particle quantum $m$ echanics, where the statistics becom e prom oted to a
continuous group.
Experim entally, neither the unusual abelian nor the nonabelian statistics has yet been tested directly. Indeed it is only quite recently that the fractional charge of H alle ect quasiparticles was convincingly observed, in shot noise experin ents $\left[12^{1}\right]$. The situation is far from hopeless, how ever.

In higher dimensions, the braid group is no longer relevant \{ one has room to untangle the braids \{ and one is dealing sim ply w ith the sym $m$ etric group. This group of course supports nonabelian representations, leading to the concept of parastatistics. There is another possibility, how ever, that is (in contrast to parastatistics) re$m$ arkably unique and extends sm oothly to arbitrary num bers of particles. T his is the possibility of pro jective statistics. A s I shall now discuss, it also leads to spinor representations closely related to what arises in the P fa an state.

It is a general feature of quantum $m$ echanics that sym $m$ etries of the observables are im plem ented by unitary transform ations of $H$ ilbert space. H ow ever, this im plem entation need not respect the group $m$ ultiplication law, when there is a group of symmetries. Since the observables are not a ected by a phase rede nition of the states, all that is required is $U\left(g_{1}\right) U\left(g_{2}\right)=$
$\left(g_{1} ; g_{2}\right) U\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)$, in an obvious notation; the $s$ are com plex num bers of m odulus unity.
$T$ he projective representations of the sym $m$ etric group are related to ordinary representations of an extended group I shall write as $S_{N}$, where N is the num ber of identical particles. $S_{\mathrm{N}}$ plays, in this regard, the sam e role for the sym $m$ etric group $S_{N}$ as $S U$ (2) plays for the rotation group SO (3). $S_{\mathrm{N}}$ is most easily de ned using generators and relations. O ne introduces elem ents $T_{i}, 1 \quad i \quad N \quad 1$, and $z$ satisfying $T_{i}^{2}=1$, $\left(T_{i} T_{i+1}\right)^{3}=1$, and $T_{i} T_{j}=z T_{j} T_{i}$ for $\ddot{j}$ jj $2 . \mathrm{z}$ is a central elem ent $w$ th $z^{2}=1$. O ne should think of $T_{i}$ as implem enting the transposition ( $i ; i+1$ ). If $z=1$ these relations de ne the sym $m$ etric group itself; so the irreducible representations of $S_{N} w$ th $z=1$ simply reproduce the ordinary irreducible representations of $S_{N}$. The other possibility is $z=1$. T his corresponds to projective representations proper. From the lin-
ear representation of $S_{\mathrm{N}}$ one obtains a pro jective representation of $S_{N}$ by choosing, for every per$m$ utation in $S_{N}$, som e de nite way ofobtaining it as a product of the transpositions $T_{i}$. The choice is unique up to a sign ambiguity, which cannot be rem oved. A very curious aspect of the properly projective representations is that the order of interchanges, even betw een distinct and possibly distant particles, a ects the phase of the $w$ ave function; this is a direct consequence of the third de ning relation fors $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}$.
$T$ he pro jective representations of $S_{N}$, or equivalently the linear representations of $S_{N}$, were determ ined by Schur in a series of rem arkable papers, even before the advent of quantum $m$ echanics $\left[13_{1}^{1}, 1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$. The properly projective representations, like the ordinary representations, are associated w ith Y oung tableaux. T here is the new feature, how ever, that the length of the row sm ust be strictly decreasing. Thus there is a pro jective analog of the boson ic tableaux (one row of length N ) but not of the ferm ionic tableaux ( N rows of length one).
The simplest, quasi-bosonic projective representation is already quite richly structured, and naturally involves spinors in N dim ensions. O ne can realize it, although not quite irreducibly, using a set of $N+1$ gammamatrices $i$, and de $n-$ ing $T_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & i+1\end{array}\right)$. By a change of basis $w \in \frac{c a n}{m}$ ake do $w$ th $N$ matrices, de ning $T_{i}=\frac{\frac{i 1}{2 i}}{i 1} \frac{i+1}{2 i} i$. In this form, the representation is irreducible. Its size grow s exponentially $w$ th the num ber of particles, as $2^{\mathbb{N}=2] 1}$, just $m$ atching the corresponding degeneracy of quasinoles in the Pfa an state. This sort of grow th can be interpreted as the em ergence of a new two-valued degree of freedom w th each added pair of particles. Such a picture can be $m$ ade $m$ ore explicit by representing the $m$ atriaes in term $s$ of ferm ion creation and annihilation operators, as $2_{i 1}=a_{i}+a_{i}, \quad 2 i=i\left(a_{i} \quad a_{i}\right)$.
In closing I w ould like to add one $m$ ore general observation on this topic. In the generic quantum theory, the observables are independent of an overallphase rotation, and this opens the possibility of pro jective realizations of sym $m$ etry. In gauge invariant system $s$ the observables are inde-
pendent of local gauge transform ations; in principle, this opens up the possibility ofm uch wider classes of 'm proper' realizations ofquantum sym $m$ etry.
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