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#### Abstract

W e analyze the Ising $m$ odel on a random surface $w$ ith a boundary m agnetic eld using $m$ atrix $m$ odel techniques. W e are able to exactly calculate the disk am plitude, boundary $m$ agnetization and bulk $m$ agnetization in the presence of a boundary eld. $T$ he results of these calculations can be intenpreted in term $s$ of renorm alization group ow induced by the boundary operator. In the continuum lim it this RG ow corresponds to the ow from non-conform al to conform alboundary conditions which has recently been studied in at space theories.


## 1 Introduction

Sim ple statistical $m$ echanical lattice $m$ odels, such as the Ising $m$ odel, have been used for $m$ any years to gain insight into the behavior of a w ide range of physical system $s$. The great utility of such sim ple $m$ odels arises from the fact that in $m$ any cases they are exactly solvable. It is a rem arkable fact that som e questions which seem analytically intractable for $m$ odels on a regular lattioe yield exact solutions when the underlying lattioe is itself taken to be a random elem ent of a larger statisticalensemble. An exam ple of such a situation is the Ising $m$ odelw ith a bulk $m$ agnetic eld. A though this m odel has not been analytically solved on a xed lattioe, it is possible to exactly com pute the partition function and $m$ agnetization of the $m$ odel on a random lattice [1] .

In this paper, we consider the Ising $m$ odel on a random lattioe in the presence of a $m$ agnetic eld on the boundary, rather than in the bulk. A gain, this corresponds to a problem which does not seem to be analytically solvable on a xed lattioe. Sum ming over random lattioes, however, we nd that the partition function and magnetizations can be calculated exactly.

The Ising $m$ odel on a random two-dim ensional lattioe can be described in term sof a $m$ atrix $m$ odel. A great deal of technology has been developed to dealw th $m$ atrix $m$ odels, prim arily as a tool for studying string theory. The techniques we use here were derived in an earlier pair of papers 目, 3], and are related to $m$ ethods described in [4, [5]. Som e of the results which we describe here appeared in a previous letter [G].
$T$ he Ising $m$ odel on a regular lattice $w$ ith a boundary $m$ agnetic eld was studied $m$ any years ago [7]. This $m$ odel has been of renewed interest recently because in the continuum lim it, where the lattice spacing is taken to zero, it gives a sim ple exam ple ofa two-dim ensional eld theory which is conform al in the bulk but which has boundary conditions breaking conform al invariance $\operatorname{O}$, $9, ~ 19]$. The only boundary conditions for the Ising $m$ odel which preserve conform al invariance 11] are free boundary conditions (where the boundary eld $h$ vanishes), and xed spin boundary conditions (where $h=1$ ). Putting an arbitrary eld $h$ on the boundary generates a renorm alization group ( RG ) ow from the free boundary condition to the xed boundary condition 12].

For a $m$ atrix $m$ odel corresponding to elds on a random surface, a continuum lim it can also be taken. In this lim it, the theory describes conform almatter elds coupled to 2D quantum gravity. In this paper we take the continuum lim it of the disk partition function and $m$ agnetizations and consider the im plications of our results for the resulting theory of
$c=1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ atter coupled to gravity. In particular, we nd that the results are in accord w th the hypothesis that the RG ow which has been understood in at space is present in an appropriate form in the theory with gravity.

O ne provocative feature of our results is that as the boundary $m$ agnetic eld is increased, except for a jump discontinuity when the eld becom es nonzero, the expectation value of the $m$ agnetization of a random ly chosen spin in the bulk decreases. This counterintuitive result $m$ ay be explained in term $s$ of the e ects of the $m$ atter elds on the geom etry | roughly speaking, the increase in m agnetic eld produces a long \throat" which separates the boundary from the bulk and which increases the average distance of a bulk spin from the boundary, e ectively decreasing the bulk m agnetization. H ow ever, this result is also found to be a nite volum e e ect which may depend upon the precise choice of how the random lattice ensemble is de ned.

A nother context in which this work $m$ ay be relevant is the current discussion ofD -branes in string theory (see for exam ple [13]). Just as there are tw o conform ally invariant boundary conditions for the Ising theory, a conform al eld theory of a single bosonic eld can have two conform ally invariant boundary conditions: Neum ann and D irichlet. C onsidering the continuum lim 进 of the Ising $m$ odelas a single free ferm ion, free and xed Ising boundary conditions are related through supersym $m$ etry to $N$ eum ann and D irichlet boundary conditions on a bosonic eld. The fact that RG ow behaves sim ilarly in at space and in the presence of a uctuating $m$ etric suggests that perhaps $D$ irichlet boundary conditions in superstring theory naturally arise as an RG lim it of a non-conform alboundary term.

In Section 2 ofthis paperwe describe the discretem odelw ew illuse for the Ising $m$ odelon a random surface. In Section 3 we apply the $m$ ethods of Vn $^{1}$ to this $m$ odel, explicitly deriving a quartic equation satis ed by the disk am plitude and locating the associated criticalpoint. In Section 4 we take the continuum lim it of the disk am plitude. In Section 5 we discuss the general form alism we will use for calculating $m$ agnetizations, and we apply this in Sections 6 and 7 to com pute the boundary and bulk magnetizations on the disk. In Section 8 we discuss im plications of our results for renom alization group ow, duality, and the e ects of gravity on the behavior of the $m$ atter theory.

## 2 The m odel

A discretized theory of $c=1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ atter coupled to 2 D quantum gravity is described by the Ising $m$ odel on a random $l y$ triangulated surface. At the center of each (equilateral) triangle
on the surface lives a single Ising spin, coupled to its nearest neighbors. This theory can be described by a m atrix m odel [1] w ith partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(g ; C)=D U D V \exp (N S(U ; V)) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(U^{2}+V^{2}\right) \quad \operatorname{CTr} U V \quad \frac{9}{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(U^{3}+V^{3}\right): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these expressions, U and V are $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ hem itian m atrices representing up and dow n spins respectively, $g$ is a coupling constant corresponding to a Bollzm ann weight for each triangle on the surface, and c describes the coupling between Ising spins. (T his c is unrelated to the centralcharge.) The partition function can be expanded in a power series in $g$ and $1=\mathrm{N}$, and the coe cient of $g^{k} N^{2}{ }^{2 h}$ is then given by a summ ation of the Ising partition function over all triangulations of a genush R iem ann surface by $k$ triangles.

W e are interested in am plitudes corresponding to various boundary conditions on spins living on a genus zero surface $w$ ith boundary. For exam ple, the disk am plitude for a con guration of phis and minus spins on the boundary, represented by an ordered string w ( U ; V ) of the $m$ atrioes $U$ and $V$, is given by the large $N$ lim it of the $m$ atrix $m$ odel expectation value,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{w}}=\lim _{\mathrm{N}!1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{hTrw}(\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{V}) \mathrm{i}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Since we will alw ays be interested in the large-N lim it, henceforth we will suppress the explicit $1=\mathrm{N}$ in expectation values.) A gain, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{w}}$ can be expanded in a power series in $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{w}$ ith the coe cient ofg ${ }^{k}$ giving the sum over alldisk triangulations by $k$ triangles $w$ th a boundary having a xed length and spin con guration w (+; ).

There are two types of conform ally invariant boundary condition in the Ising theory: \ xed" (corresponding to allboundary spins aligned) and \free" (corresponding to an equally weighted sum ofallpossible boundary spin con gurations). For each of these we can de ne a generating function which encodes the am plitudes. Fixed boundary conditions are described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{x e d}(u)=\sum_{k=0}^{X^{\mathcal{L}}} h \operatorname{Tr} U^{k} i u^{k} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

while free boundary conditions are described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\text {free }}(x)={ }_{k=0}^{x^{\mathcal{L}}} h \operatorname{Tr}(U+V)^{k} i x^{k}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he Ising $m$ odel in this set of variables is sym $m$ etric under interchange of $U$ and $V$ (corresponding to the sym $m$ etry under spin reversal). The generating function for xed boundary conditions can therefore also be described by sum $m$ ing over am plitudes with allv's on the boundary.

A n altemative coupling of discretized $\mathrm{c}=1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ atter to 2 D quantum gravity is obtained by considering the dual Ising m odel on a random surface 14]. The partition function of the dualm odel is de ned once again as a sum over surfaces w ith Ising spins at the face of each plaquette, where now the plaquettes $m$ ay be polygons w ith arbitrary num bers of sides, but the coordination number at each vertex is constrained to be equal to three. Such a con guration is equivalent through duality to a triangulation in the original theory, but w ith spins located at vertioes rather than on faces. The dualm odelm ay also be described as a theory of tw o $m$ atrioes $X$ and $Y$, with action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{(1 \quad c)}{2} \operatorname{Tr} X^{2}+\frac{(1+c)}{2} \operatorname{Tr} Y^{2} \quad \frac{g}{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(X^{3}+3 X Y^{2}\right): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ here are once again tw o types of conform ally invariant boundary conditions, xed and free. In the dualm odel the $m$ atrix variables $X$ and $Y$ do not refer to the state of individualspins, but rather to the relative state of two spins across an edge; $X$ denotes an edge separating two equal spins, while $Y$ denotes a boundary between two opposite spins. The generating function for xed boundary conditions is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{x e d}(x)=x_{k=0}^{x^{1}} h \operatorname{Tr} X^{k} i x^{k} \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while free boundary conditions are described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\text {free }}(u)={ }_{k=0}^{X^{Z}} h \operatorname{Tr}(X+Y)^{k} i u^{k}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the dualm odel is sym $m$ etric under $Y$ ! $Y$; it is therefore the generating function for free boundary conditions which has an altemative description in these variables, as a sum over hTr ( X Y ${ }^{k}$ i. (Amplitudes w ith an odd num ber ofboundary Y's vanish identically.)

A though the original and dual form ulations of the Ising $m$ odel represent di erent couplings to 2 D gravity, there is a sim ple transform ation that relates the original action (2) to the dualaction (6):

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
X & ! & P_{\overline{1}}^{1}(U+V) \\
& & (U)  \tag{9}\\
Y & ! & P_{\overline{1}}^{1}(U) & V) \\
g & ! & g=\frac{P}{2}: &
\end{array}
$$

A s a consequence, the partition functions for the tw o $m$ odels on surfaces with no boundaries are identical. This does not, however, guarantee that all correlation functions in the two theories agree, since the transform ation (9) has a nontrivialaction on the states and operators of the theory. For exam ple, the disorder operator $Y$ in the dual theory is taken into the spin operator U V in the originaltheory. Sim ilarly, the role of free and xed boundary conditions is interchanged; we have

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{\text {xed }}(u) & =b_{\text {free }}\left(u=p^{p} \overline{2}\right) ; \\
e_{\text {free }}(x) & =b_{\text {xed }}(\overline{2} x): \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he ( $K$ ram ers $W$ annier, or $T$ ) duality of the $m$ odel relates a speci ed state ( xed, free, or $w$ ith additional operator insertions) in the original form of the $m$ odel to the sam e state in the dual version. A though in the discrete version of the theory, this duality sym $m$ etry is explicitly violated by nite volum e e ects, it seem $s$ likely that the duality sym $m$ etry is restored for all correlation functions in the continuum lim it. Evidence for duality in the continuum lim it at genus zero was presented in [15, [3], and the issue of higher genus was explored in 16, 17, 18].

O ur interest in this paper is in non-conform ally-invariant boundary conditions, which $m$ ay be thought of as arising from the introduction of boundary elds or couplings. We therefore consider the originalm odel in a new set ofm atrix variables $Q, R$, de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
Q & =e^{h} U+e^{h} V ; \\
R & =e^{h} U \quad e^{h} V: \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting these into the originalaction (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
S=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[(\cosh (2 h) \quad C) Q^{2}+(\cosh (2 h)+c) R^{2} \quad 2 \sinh (2 h) Q R\right]  \tag{12}\\
\frac{g}{12} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\cosh (3 h)\left(Q^{3}+3 Q R^{2}\right) \quad \sinh (3 h)\left(3 Q^{2} R+R^{3}\right)\right]:
\end{gather*}
$$

In this set of variables we can calculate the generating function for disk am plitudes w ith all Q's on the boundary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q ; h)={ }_{k=0}^{X^{H}} h \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{h} U+e^{h} V\right)^{k} i q^{k}={ }_{k=0}^{X^{k}} h T r Q^{k} i q^{k}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This generating function describes boundary conditions which interpolate between xed and free. The param eter $h$ can be thought of as a boundary magnetic eld applied to otherw ise free boundary conditions; for $h=0$ we recover $e_{\text {free }}$ in the originalm odel, while for $h=1$
the boundary spins are alldriven to one value and we recover ${ }^{e}{ }_{\text {xed }} . N$ ote that although the h! 1 lim it appears to be singular, this is just an issue of norm alization, which can be absorbed by a constant rescaling of $q$. Indeed, we shall see that although the critical value of q goes to zero ash! 1 with the chosen norm alization, all quantities of interest (such as for exam ple) are well behaved in these lim its.

## 3 Loop equations for correlation functions

The process of calculating the generating function (q) was described and essentially carried out in [2], w thout the explicit solution being w ritten down. H ere we will review the basics of that procedure, and exam ine the solution in detail. (T he discussion in [2] was fram ed in term $s$ of non-com $m$ uting variables; for our purposes here we $m$ ay skip directly to functions of a single variable.)

W ebegin by de ning an additionalset ofgenerating functions w(q;r) (q). These functions describe disks whose boundaries include a xed string ofm atrioes w ( $Q ; R$ ), plus any num ber of additionale 's:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(q ; r)(q)=\underbrace{X^{1}}_{k=0} h \operatorname{Trw}(Q ; R) Q^{k} i q^{k}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For exam ple, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{rqr}(q) & ={ }^{x^{\mathrm{Z}}} \mathrm{hTrRQRQ}^{k} i q^{k}  \tag{15}\\
& =\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{rqq}}+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{rqqq}}+q^{2} p_{\mathrm{rqqqq}}+q^{3} p_{\mathrm{rqrqqq}}+:::: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $p_{w(q ; r)}$ is the am plitude for a disk w ith boundary speci ed by the string $w(q ; r)$; thu $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{q}}$ corresponds to a single boundary edge labelled $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$ corresponds to a single boundary edge labelled $r$, and $p_{0}=1$ corresponds to no boundaries.

W ewillalso introduce a derivative operator $D_{q}$ which acts on power series in $q$. Its e ect is to annihilate term $s$ independent of $q$, and to rem ove one power of $q$ from all other term $s$ :

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{k}}=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { for } \mathrm{k}=0,  \tag{17}\\
\mathrm{q}^{k} & \text { for } \mathrm{k} \\
1 .
\end{array}
$$

$T$ he action of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}}$ on a generating function is to rem ove any constant term and divide the rem ainder by $q$. For exam ple,

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{q}=q^{1}(1) ; \\
& D_{q}^{2}=q^{2}(1 \quad \mathrm{pq}) \text {; }  \tag{18}\\
& D_{q r}=q^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & \mathrm{Q}
\end{array}\right) \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1：D ecom position of ${ }_{r}$ ．Rem oval of the extemal edge $m$ arked $R$ on the left hand side leads to one of the possibilities shown on the right hand side，depending on whether that edge was connected to another exterior edge or an interior triangle．
and so on．
W e can now derive a set of loop equations which relate these functions to each other，by considering the possible outcom es of rem oving a m arked edge on the boundary．For exam ple， let us exam ine the e ect of rem oving the edge $m$ arked $R$ from the disks represented by ${ }_{r}(q)$ ． Since $r$ represents a sum over various triangulated geom etries of the disk，we can consider thee ect of rem oving $R$ from each of the term sseparately．For each term，there are tw o basic possibilities：the edge $m$ ight be identi ed w ith another edge elsew here on the boundary，or it $m$ ight belong to a triangle．In the rst case，rem oving the $m$ arked edge and the one it was connected to leaves tw o disconnected triangulations，both with alle＇s on the boundary and therefore representing（q）．In the second case，rem oving the triangle reveals two new boundary edges，which $m$ ay be $m$ arked with two $Q$＇$s$ ，tw o R＇s，or one $Q$ and one $R$ ；these altematives relate the in itialgenerating function to $D_{q}^{2}, r_{r}$ ，and $D_{q} r$ ．This decom position of $r$ is show $n$ schem atically in $F$ ig．1．The am ount which each term contributes to ${ }_{r}$ can be derived from the action［12），as detailed in［⿴囗⿱一兀寸1］．

By this procedure we can derive a closed system of eight independent equations in the
quantities ( i ri rri rqri rrri rqqy rqrri rrrr):

$$
\begin{align*}
& =1+q^{2}+a q_{r r}+a_{q}+2 d_{r} \\
& r=q^{2}+b r r+b D_{q}^{2}+2 e D_{q r} \\
& D_{q r}=+q_{r}+d_{r q r}+a_{r r r}+a_{q}^{2} r+d D_{q r r} \\
& D_{q r}^{2}=P_{q}+r_{r}+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{qr}}+\mathrm{d}_{\text {rqqr }}+\mathrm{a}_{\text {rqrr }}+\mathrm{aD}_{\mathrm{q}}^{3} \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{dD} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{rqr}}  \tag{19}\\
& D_{q r r}=P_{r}+r_{r}+q_{r r}+d_{r q r r}+a_{r r r r}+a_{q r r}^{2}+d D_{q r r}
\end{align*}
$$

(In (2] we listed ten equations in these variables, but the last two were not linearly independent from the rst eight.) H ere we have de ned the new variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{2}{1 c^{2}}[\cosh (2 h) \quad c] \\
& =\frac{2}{1 c^{2}}[\cosh (2 h)+c] \\
& =\frac{2}{1 c^{2}} \sinh (2 h) \\
a & =\frac{g}{2\left(1 c^{2}\right)}[\cosh h+\cosh (3 h)]  \tag{20}\\
b & =\frac{g}{2\left(1 c^{2}\right)}[\sinh h \quad c \sinh (3 h)] \\
d & =\frac{g}{2\left(1 c^{2}\right)}[\sinh h+c \sinh (3 h)] \\
e & =\frac{g}{2\left(1 c^{2}\right)}[\cosh h \quad c \cosh (3 h)]:
\end{align*}
$$

T he set ofequations (19) is com pletely algebraic, since we can replace the derivative operators w ith algebraic functions as in (18).
$N$ ote that we can cut dow $n$ som ew hat on the num ber ofundeterm ined variables by looking at equations (19) order by order. T hese give the follow ing relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{q}}=a \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{rr}}+\mathrm{a} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{qq}}+2 \mathrm{dp}_{\mathrm{qr}} \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{qq}}=\quad+\mathrm{ap}_{\mathrm{qrr}}+\mathrm{ap}_{\mathrm{qqq}}+2 \mathrm{dp}_{\mathrm{qqr}} \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{bp}_{\mathrm{rr}}+\mathrm{bp} \mathrm{pqq}+2 \mathrm{ep}_{\mathrm{qr}}  \tag{21}\\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{qr}}=\quad+\mathrm{bp}_{\mathrm{q} \Upsilon r}+\mathrm{lq}_{\mathrm{qqq}}+2 \mathrm{ep}_{\mathrm{qqr}} \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{qr}}=\quad+2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{qrrr}}+\mathrm{ap}_{\mathrm{rrr}}+\mathrm{ap}_{\mathrm{qqr}} \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{rr}}=+2 \mathrm{ep}_{\mathrm{qrr}}+\mathrm{lop}_{\mathrm{rrr}}+\mathrm{lop}_{\mathrm{qqr}}:
\end{align*}
$$

Furtherm ore, each of these amplitudes $m$ ay be expressed as a sum of am plitudes in the original Ising $m$ odel; for exam $p l e, p_{q}=e^{h} p_{u}+e{ }^{h} p_{v}=2$ (oosh $\left.h\right) p_{u} . W$ e use these relations to elim inate everything but $p_{1}=p_{u}$ and $p_{3}=p_{u u u}$.

It is now a straightforw ard but tedious exercise to solve the system (19) by deriving a single quartic equation for as a function of $q, h, c, g, p_{1}$ and $p_{3}$. The quartic is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{4}^{4}+f_{3}^{3}+f_{2}^{2}+f_{1}+f_{0}=0 ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{4}=2 g^{2}[1 \quad \cosh (6 h)] q^{8} ; \\
& f_{3}=4 g^{3} \cosh (3 h) q^{5} \\
& +4 g^{2}[\cosh (4 h)+\cosh (2 h)+c(3 \quad \cosh (6 h))] q^{6} \\
& +2 g\left[c^{2} \cosh (9 h)+\cosh (7 h) \quad\left(1+3 c^{2}\right) \cosh (3 h) \quad(1+5 c) \cosh (h)\right] q^{2} ; \\
& f_{2}=\quad g^{4} q^{2}+4 g^{3}[\cosh (h) \quad 2 c \cosh (3 h)] q^{3} \\
& +g^{2}\left[4 c^{2} \cosh (6 h)+12 c \cosh (4 h) \quad 2(1 \quad 5 c) \cosh (2 h) \quad(3 \quad 23) \mathrm{lq}^{4}\right. \\
& +2 g\left[2 c^{2} \cosh (7 h) \quad 2 c(1+2 c) \cosh (5 h) \quad\left(5 c+13^{3}\right) \cosh (3 h)\right. \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 4 c & 19
\end{array} 9^{2}\right) \cosh (\mathrm{h}) \mathrm{qq}^{5} \\
& +2\left[c^{3} \cosh (8 h)+c\left(2 c+2 c^{3}+g^{2}\right) \cosh (6 h)\right. \\
& +\left(c+2 c^{2}+5 c^{3} \quad g^{2}+2 \mathrm{p}_{1} g^{3}\right) \cosh (4 h) \\
& +\left(2 c+4 c^{2}+6 c^{3}+g^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{pg}^{3}\right) \cosh (2 h)+\mathrm{c}\left(1+4 \mathrm{c}+2 \mathrm{c}^{3} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2}\right) 7 q^{6} ; \\
& f_{1}=\quad 2 \operatorname{cg}^{4}+2 \operatorname{cg}^{3}[5 \cosh (h)+\cosh (3 h)] q \\
& 2 \mathrm{c}^{2}\left(2 \operatorname{coosh}(4 h)+4(1+c) \cosh (2 h)+\left(5+3 c^{2}\right)\right] q^{2} \\
& +2 g\left[c^{2}(1+c) \cosh (5 h)+c\left(1+6 c \quad 5 c^{3}+3 g^{2}\right) \cosh (3 h)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(6 c+2 c^{2} \quad 4 c^{3} \quad g^{2}+2 p_{1} g^{3}\right) \cosh (h)\right] q^{3} \\
& +2\left[\quad c^{2}\left(c \quad c^{3}+g^{2}\right) \cosh (6 h)+2 c\left(c+c^{3} \quad 2 g^{2}+p_{1} g^{3}\right) \cosh (4 h)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(\begin{array}{lll}
c+c
\end{array} \mathrm{~g}^{2} \quad 5 \mathrm{c}^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{gq} g^{3}\right) \mathrm{gq}^{4} \\
& +2 g\left[C^{2}(1 \quad \mathrm{Bg}) \cosh (7 \mathrm{~h})+\mathrm{C}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{Bg}+2 \mathrm{qP}_{1} \mathrm{~g}\right) \cosh (5 \mathrm{~h})\right. \\
& +3 c\left(c^{2}+p_{1} g\right) \text { cosh (3h) } \\
& +\left(1+4 c^{2}+2 p_{1} g+2 q_{1} g+c^{2} p_{1} g\right) \cosh (h) 7 q^{5} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}=2 c g^{4}+20 g^{3}[\operatorname{coosh}(3 h)+(5+2 p g) \cosh (h)] q \\
& +\mathrm{g}^{2} 2 \mathrm{cc}^{2}(2 \quad \mathrm{gg}) \cosh (4 \mathrm{~h})+2 \mathrm{c}(4+4 \mathrm{c} \quad 3 \mathrm{hg} \quad 3 \mathrm{qg} \mathrm{~g}) \cosh (2 \mathrm{~h}) \\
& +\left(10 c \quad 6 c^{3}+4 p_{1} g \quad 14 q \operatorname{qg} \quad 3 g^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{pg}^{3}\right)\left(q^{2}\right. \\
& +2 g\left[c^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & c+ \\
1
\end{array}\right)+p_{1} g\right) \cosh (5 h) \\
& +c\left(16 \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{F}^{3} \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{pg}+13 \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{~g}+3 \mathrm{~g}^{2}+4 \mathrm{p}_{3} \mathrm{~g}^{3}\right) \cosh (3 \mathrm{~h}) \\
& +\left(6 c \quad 2 c+4 c^{3} \quad 4 p g+13 q_{1} g+2 c^{2} p_{1} g\right. \\
& \left.\left.+c^{3} p_{1} g+3 g^{2}+4 p_{3} g^{3}\right) \cosh (h)\right] q^{3} \\
& +\left[2 c^{2}\left(c \quad c^{3}+p_{1} g \quad 3 q \operatorname{qg} g \quad g^{2} \quad \mathrm{Bg}^{3}\right)\right. \text { cosh (6h) } \\
& +2 \mathrm{c}\left(2 \mathrm{c} \quad 2 \mathrm{c}^{3}+2 \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~g} \quad 6 \mathrm{qgg} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2} \quad \mathrm{Bg}^{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{pg}^{3}\right) \cosh (4 \mathrm{~h}) \\
& +2\left(c+2 c^{2} \quad d^{3} \quad 2 d^{4}+p_{1} g \quad \text { qig } \quad 6{ }^{2} p_{1} g \quad g^{2} \quad 2 c^{2}+p_{1} g^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+\mathrm{qP}_{1} g^{3} \quad \mathrm{Bg}^{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{qBg}^{3} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{4}\right) \cosh (2 \mathrm{~h}) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{llll}
2 c & 2 \tilde{c}+2 p_{1} g \quad 6 q g g+2 c^{2} p_{1} g \quad 6 c^{3} p_{1} g \quad g^{2} \quad c^{2} g^{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.\left.2 \mathrm{Rg}^{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{Bg}^{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{p}^{3}+2 \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{4}\right)\right] \mathrm{q}^{4}: \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The analytic solutions to such an expression are ofcourse rather unw ieldy，but fortunately they are also of little interest to us．Instead，we are interested in the expansion of around the critical point of the $m$ odel，which encodes the continuum lim it of the theory．（See［⿴囗⿱一一⿴囗十一［ for a discussion of the extraction of the continuum lim it．）The critical values of the quantities $c_{1}, g, p_{1}$ and $p_{3}$ are well known［1］，3］：

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{c}=\frac{1}{27} \quad 1+2^{p} \overline{7} ;  \tag{24}\\
g_{c}=3^{9=2^{p}} \overline{10} \quad 1+2^{p} \overline{7}^{\frac{3}{2}} ;  \tag{25}\\
g_{c} P_{1 c}=\frac{1}{5}\left(3^{p} \overline{7}\right) ; \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{c} p_{3 c}=\frac{1}{100}\left(699+40{ }^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\rangle: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e would now like to nd the critical value of $q$ for any given boundary eld h ．The critical point is de ned as the radius of convergence of the power series expansion for the generating function，interpreted physically as the point where boundaries w ith an in nite
num ber of segm ents begin to dom inate. The generating functions for xed boundary conditions, ${ }^{e}$ xed ( $u$ ) in the originalm odel and ${ }^{b}$ xed $(x)$ in the dualm odel, obey cubic equations, and the critical point is sim ply the value of $x$ or $u$ for which the cubic has repeated roots. For the quartic this story is slightly $m$ ore com plicated.

Fig. 2 show s a num erically generated plot of the real part of the solutions to the quartic, as a function of $q$, for $\exp (h)=5=3$ and the other param eters at their critical values. Only three distinct curves are visible, as tw o of the solutions have identical real parts. At three points on the graph the curves intersect, representing multiple roots; as $q$ increases, there is rst a triple root, then a double root, and another triple root. To decide which of these represents the actual critical point, we follow the behavior of the physical solution as $q$ is increased from zero along the real axis, and look for a branch point (which will indicate the radius of convergence). From the de nition of the generating function we know that the physical solution is the one which equals one at $q=0$. A sqis increased, the rst triple root does not represent a branch point for this solution, and is therefore not the criticalpoint. A sim ilar situation holds for the double root; even though the physical solution is one of the double roots, one can verify num erically that circling the double root in the com plex qplane does not take you to a distinct $R$ iem ann sheet, and hence this value is not a branch point. $T$ he critical value of $q$ is therefore at the second of the two triple roots, as indicated by the point (c) in the gure.

To discover an analytic expression for the critical value $q_{\text {, }}$, we note that at this point the quartic can be factored into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{4}\left(\quad{ }^{(1)}\right)\left(\quad{ }^{(3)}\right)^{3}=0 ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{(3)}$ is the triple root and (1) is the single root. Setting the coe cients of (48) equal to those of (22) yields a set ofequations from which we can elim inate ${ }^{(1)}$ and ${ }^{(3)}$ to obtain a single octic equation for $g_{\text {s }}$ as a fiunction ofh. H appily, this octic factors into the product of two quadratics (one of which is cubed). It is m ost conveniently written in term $s$ of $q_{c}=g_{c}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0= {\left[29+\left(z_{1} \cosh 3 h+z_{2} \cosh h\right)\left(q_{L}=g_{c}\right)+\left(z_{3} \cosh 4 h+2 z_{3} \cosh 2 h+z_{4}\right)\left(q_{\mathcal{L}}=g_{c}\right)^{2}\right]^{3} } \\
& {\left[29+\left(z_{c} \cosh 3 h+z_{2} \cosh h\right)\left(q_{\mathcal{L}}=g_{c}\right)+\left(z_{5} \cosh 4 h+2 z_{5} \cosh 2 h+z_{6}\right)\left(q_{\mathcal{L}}=g_{c}\right)^{2}\right] ; } \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2: The four roots of the quartic, as a function of $q$. The dashed line represents two roots which are com plex conjugates of each other. M oving from left to right, we nd the triple root $\backslash a "$, the double root $\backslash \mathrm{b} "$, and the triple root $\backslash \mathrm{c} " \mathrm{which}$ is the critical point. The physical branch is the one that goes to 1 as q goes to zero.
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=54(1 \quad 2 \overline{7}) \\
& z_{2}=1458 \\
& z_{3}=6\left(10+7^{p} \overline{7}\right) \\
& z_{4}=2(262 \quad 11 \overline{7})  \tag{30}\\
& z_{5}=18\left(16+{ }^{p} \overline{7}\right) \\
& z_{6}=2(784 \quad 8 \overline{3} \overline{7}):
\end{align*}
$$

The critical point is the solution obtained by setting the cubed quadratic to zero; one root of the quadratic willbe the criticalpoint for h 0 , and the second for $h \quad 0$. For h 0 the critical value is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{c}(\mathrm{~h})=\frac{g_{c}\left(1+2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}\right) e^{3 \mathrm{~h}}}{1+(1+P \overline{7}) e^{2 \mathrm{~h}}+\left(2+{ }_{\overline{7}}\right) e^{4 \mathrm{~h}}}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for $h \quad 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{c}(h)=\frac{g_{c}\left(1+2^{p} \overline{7}\right) e^{3 h}}{1+\left(1+{ }^{3 h}\right) e^{2 h}+\left(2+{ }^{p} \overline{7}\right) e^{4 h}}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that although $q_{c}(h)$ is continuous at $h=0$, it is non-analytic there.

The corresponding critical value of is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(h)=\frac{\left(3 e^{2 h} \quad 1\right)\left(1+\left(1+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 \mathrm{~h}}+\left(2+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}\right) e^{4 \mathrm{~h}}\right)}{10 e^{6 \mathrm{~h}}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that as expected, $q_{k}!0$ ash! 1 , but $c$ is nite and non-zero for allh.

## 4 C ontinuum lim it and disk am plitude

To extract inform ation about the theory in the continuum lim it, we analyze the behavior of the discrete $m$ odel in the vicinity of the critical point. T he coupling constant $c$ is set to the critical value $c_{c}$ given by (24); deviations from this value would correspond to introducing a $m$ ass for the $M$ a jorana ferm ion in the continuum lim it of the Ising $m$ odel, which we do not exam ine in this paper. $W$ e then trade the independent variables $g$ and $q$ for new variables $t$ and $z$, de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{g}=g_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{e}^{{ }^{2} \mathrm{t}} ;  \tag{34}\\
& \mathrm{q}=q_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{z}}
\end{align*}
$$

where is a sm all param eter indicating the distance from the critical point. T he expansions of $p_{1}$ and $p_{3}$ in powers of are then given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{gp}_{1}= & \frac{3^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{7}^{"}}{5} 1 \frac{22+10^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{7}}{9}{ }^{2} t+\frac{5^{1=3}\left(55+25^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{7}\right)}{36}{ }^{8=3} t^{4=3} \\
& +\frac{5^{5=3}\left(11+5^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\overline{7})}\right.}{216}{ }^{10=3} t^{5=3}+0\left({ }^{4} t^{2}\right) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
g p_{3}= & \frac{699+40^{3=7}}{100} \frac{41215^{3=7}}{25}{ }^{2} t \\
& +{\frac{9}{}{ }^{1} 5^{3}}_{2}^{8=3} t^{4=3}+\frac{3^{2} 5^{3}}{4}{ }^{10=3} t^{5=3}+O\left({ }^{4} t^{2}\right): \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

These expansions $m$ ay be substituted into the quartic (22), which $m$ ay then be solved for as a sum of increasing powers of . We obtain
where for convenience we have rescaled the variables to

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=5 t \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{z}{(h)} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the function ( $Z ; T$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Z ; T) \quad Z+P{\overline{Z^{2}} \quad 4 T}^{4=3}+Z^{P}{\frac{Z^{2} \quad 4 T}{}}^{4=3}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

For h > 0,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h)=\frac{e^{2 h} 1+e^{2 h}}{1+\left(1+{ }^{p}\right) e^{2 h}+\left(2+\overline{7}_{\overline{7}}\right) e^{4 h}}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this function is discontinuous at $h=0$. If we take the continuum lim it in (22) after $h$ is set to zero (cf. the calculation in [3]), we nd that is given by (37) w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0)=p_{\overline{2}\left(1+P_{\overline{7}}\right)}^{1} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The universal part of is the rst non-analytic term, and appears at order ${ }^{4=3}$. By virtue of the discontinuity in (h), both the num erical coe cient of the universal term, and the am plitude of as a function of $z$, are discontinuous.

The universal part can be converted into the asym ptotic form of the disk amplitude $\sim(1 ; a)$ for xed boundary length $l$ and disk area $a$. These form $s$ of the am plifude are related through a Laplace transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\frac{1}{57^{7} Z^{3}(\mathrm{~h})}}^{4=3}(\mathrm{z}=(\mathrm{h}) ; 5 \mathrm{t})=_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dl}^{\mathrm{z}} \text { da } \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{zl} \operatorname{ta} \sim(\mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{a}):} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inverting the Laplace transform, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(1 ; a)=\frac{1}{25^{P} \overline{3}}((h) D)^{1=3}(a=5)^{7=3} e^{5((h) 1)^{2}=a}=\frac{1}{25^{P}} \overline{3} L^{1=3} A \quad{ }^{7=3} e^{L^{2}=A} ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the rescalings

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=(h) I ; \quad A=\frac{a}{5}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to an irrelevant multiplicative constant, this is precisely the form of the disk am plitude when the boundary conditions are conform al 19, 4, 29, [3] (ie., with $h=0$ or $h=1$ ); how ever, the boundary length $l$ is rescaled by the factor ( $h$ ) which depends discontinuously on the boundary $m$ agnetic eld. N ote that this amplitude includes an extra factor of 1 corresponding to a m arked point on the boundary.

## 5 Expectation values

N ow that we have de ned the continuum lim 五 ofthem odeland com puted the disk am plitude, we would like to calculate a num ber of correlation functions related to the boundary and bulk $m$ agnetizations in the theory. In this section we describe the form alism necessary to perform such calculations e ciently.

An example of the type of calculation we need to perform is the lim iting value of the boundary $m$ agnetization on a disk $w$ ith a large num ber of triangles and boundary segm ents. The boundary magnetization for a spin on the boundary of a disk with $k$ boundary edges and $n$ triangles is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h m i_{n ; k}=\frac{h \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{h} U \quad e^{h} V\right)\left(e^{h} U+e^{h} V\right)^{k}{ }^{1} i_{n}}{h \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{h} U+e^{h} V\right)^{k} i_{n}} ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by hin we indicate a sum over triangulations restricted to geom etries w ith $n$ spins (the coe cient of $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{n}}$ in an expansion in g ). The quantity m i is de ned to be the large n and k lim it of (46).
$M$ ore generally, we de ne the expectation value of an operator in a speci ed state as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h A i=\lim _{k!1 ; n!1} \frac{h A i_{n ; k}}{h i_{n ; k}} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $h i_{n ; k}$ is taken to $m$ ean the sum over all triangulations, $w$ ith appropriate weights, w th n triangles and k boundary edges. $\mathrm{hA} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{k}}$ is the sam equantity, but w th the weights adjusted by the operator A.

For the cases we are considering in this paper, is a sum over all triangulations, w ith weights determ ined by the boundary magnetic eld. Thus, for zero eld, is simply a sum which is equally weighted for all boundary con gurations (free boundary conditions), whereas for in nite (positive) $h$, the only boundary con gurations with non-zero weight are those w ith allspins pointing up ( xed boundary conditions). W hen A represents a boundary spin operator, for exam ple, then for each con guration the boundary spin is evaluated at a particular site, and the weight acquires a 1 depending on whether that spin is up or down. $W$ hen $A$ is a bulk spin operator, the spin is evaluated at a site in the bulk.
$T$ he lim its in 47) can be understood in term s of the asym ptotic behavior ofhA $i_{n ; k}$ and $h i_{n ; k}$. For large $n ; k$ these functions scale asym ptotically as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h i_{n ; k} \quad q^{n} q_{c}{ }^{k} f(n ; k) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h A \quad i_{n} ; k \quad q^{n} q_{c}{ }^{k} g(n ; k): \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hA i } \lim _{k!1 ; n!1} \frac{g(n ; k)}{f(n ; k)}: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large $n$ and $k$, it is appropriate to replace the num ber of triangles and boundary edges by the area and length variables $\mathrm{a}={ }^{2} \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{k}$, so that hA i w ill appear as a function ofa;l.

The continuum lim it of an operator expression of this type can be easily determ ined by taking the continuum lim its of the quantities h i and hA i. Consider the continuum lim its of the sum s

$$
x^{x^{1}} h i_{n ; k} g^{n} q^{k}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{hA}} \quad i_{n ; k} g^{n} q^{k}:
$$

The universalbehaviors of these two sum s give the Laplace transform $s$ of the two functions $f(n ; k)$ and $g(n ; k) w$ th respect to $z$ and $t$. For exam ple
where the subscript \u" indicates the universal part. In order to recover the functions $f$ and $g$, it su ces to perform an inverse Laplace transform on the universal parts $F u(t ; z)$ and $G_{u}(t ; z)$ of the sum $s$ to obtain the functions $f^{\sim}(a ; l)$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{u}(t ; z)=d l \text { da } e^{z 1} \operatorname{ta} f(a ; l) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly 9 (a;l). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
h A i=\frac{g(a ; l)}{\tilde{f}(a ; l)}: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e shall see this explicitly in the follow ing sections.

## 6 B oundary m agnetization

In this section we w ill apply the discussion above to com pute the one-and two-point boundary $m$ agnetizations in the presence of a boundary eld.

### 6.1 O ne-point boundary m agnetization

The boundary $m$ agnetization lm i is given by the large n ; k lim it of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{lm} i_{n ; k}=\frac{h \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{h} U \quad e^{h} V\right)\left(e^{h} U+e^{h} V\right)^{k}{ }^{1} i_{n}}{h \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{h} U+e^{h} V\right)^{k} i_{n}}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

W em ay follow the route described in the previous section to com pute lm i. T he rst step, the critical expansion of in the continuum lim it, was given in (37). The other quantity we need to expand is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { r } \quad q_{r}=x_{k=0}^{x^{1}} h \operatorname{TrR} Q^{k} i q^{k+1}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen $\mathrm{h}=0$, r vanishes by sym m etry. W hen $\mathrm{h} \neq 0$, we can compute ${ }_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{h})$ by solving a linear com bination of the rst two loop equations of 19). From these it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{1 \quad e^{2 h}\left(c \quad e^{2 h}+\propto e^{2 h}+\propto e^{4 h}\right)(1 \quad)+2 q e^{2 h} g \quad 2^{2} q^{2}\left(1+e^{2 h}+e^{4 h}\right)^{i}}{c q+e^{2 h} q+e^{4 h} q+\propto^{6 h} q 2 e^{h} g} ; \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, expanding and multiplying by qe ${ }^{z}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& r=\frac{e^{2 h} \quad 1 \quad 1+\left(3^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 \mathrm{~h}} \quad\left(4 \quad \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\overline{7}}\right) e^{4 h}}{10 \mathrm{e}^{6 \mathrm{~h}}} \\
& \frac{e^{2 h} 13+\left(1+2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 h}}{10 e^{6 h}} \quad Z \\
& +\frac{e^{2 h} 13+\left(2+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 h}}{5{ }^{7} 2^{3} e^{2 h}\left(1+e^{2 h}\right)}{ }^{4=3}  \tag{59}\\
& \frac{2^{4=3} 3+\left(2+{ }^{p} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 h} 1+4 e^{2 h}+e^{4 h}}{15 e^{2 h}\left(1+e^{2 h}\right)^{2}} \frac{"{ }^{5=3} Z\left(2 T+Z^{2}\right)}{2(4 T)^{\text {a }}{ }^{2}} \\
& +O\left({ }^{2}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The universal part of $r$ is equal to that of , up to an $h$ dependent constant. There is therefore no need to explicitly com pute $\sim_{r}(a ; 1)$, the inverse Laplace transform of ${ }_{r}(Z ; T)$, in order to determ ine the boundary m agnetization. W e need only com pute the ratio of the universal parts to get the land A independent result (for $h>0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{lm} i=\frac{\tilde{\sim}_{r}}{\sim}=\frac{e^{2 \mathrm{~h}} 13+\left(2+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 \mathrm{~h}}}{1+\left(1+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{~h}}+\left(2+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}\right) \mathrm{e}^{4 \mathrm{~h}}}: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that lm i is independent of l and A , and is continuous at $\mathrm{h}=0$. $(\mathbb{N}$ ote also that the expression given in [6] contains a typographical error in the num erator.)


Figure 3: B oundary $m$ agnetization m i as a function ofboundary eld h in at space (dotted line) and on a random surface (bold line)

In this particular case, there happens to be a simple argum ent that gives rm i m ore directly than the com putation outlined above. In the large k lim it

$$
\begin{equation*}
h Q^{k} i_{n} \quad g(h)^{k} g_{C}{ }^{n} f(n ; k): \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

D i erentiating both sides w th respect to $h$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
k h Q^{k}{ }^{1} R i_{n} \quad k^{h} \quad g(h)^{(k+1)} q_{c}^{0}(h) f(n ; k)+O(1=k)^{i} g_{C}{ }^{n} ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follow s directly that in the large k lim it,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{lmi}=\frac{q_{c}^{0}(h)}{q_{c}(h)}=\frac{e^{2 h} 13^{p}+2 e^{2 h}+{ }^{p} \overline{7} e^{2 h}}{1+(1+P \overline{7}) e^{2 h}+(2+P \overline{7}) e^{4 h}} ; \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used (31) for $q_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{h})$. This con m s the result obtained in (60).
A graph of the boundary m agnetization is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 (bold curve). A s expected, with no eld the magnetization is zero, and for an in nite eld the magnetization is 1 . This result is com pared w th the boundary $m$ agnetization on a half-plane in at space, com puted by M O oy and W u []] (dashed curve). W hereas in at space the magnetization scales as $h \mathrm{ln} h$ for sm all h , leading to a divergence in the m agnetic susceptibility at the critical tem perature, on a random surface we nd that the $m$ agnetization is linear at $h=0, w$ th a nite susceptibility

$$
\begin{equation*}
=@_{\mathrm{h}} \operatorname{lm} i \dot{\mathfrak{j}}_{=0}=\frac{1+2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{7}}{3}: \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2 Two-point boundary m agnetization

Having com puted the $m$ agnetization at a single point on the boundary of the disk in the presence ofa boundary $m$ agnetic eld, we would now like to com pute the correlation betw een two spins on the boundary of the disk, which are separated by $k$ and ledges in the two directions around the boundary. To com pute the two point $m$ agnetization,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2} i=\frac{h T r\left(e^{h} U \quad e^{h} V\right)\left(e^{h} U+e e^{h} V\right)^{k}\left(e^{h} U \quad e^{h} V\right)\left(e^{h} U+e^{h} V\right)^{1} i_{n}}{h T r\left(e^{h} U+e e^{h} V\right)^{k+1+2} i_{n}} ; \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

we need expressions for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right) \quad \text { qG } \quad\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{i} ; q_{E}\right)={ }_{k=0}^{X^{A} X^{A}} h T r R Q^{k} R Q^{1} i q_{1}^{k} q_{2}^{1} ; \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q ; q_{2}\right)=x_{k=0}^{x^{1} \quad x^{1}} h \operatorname{Tr} Q^{k+1+2} i q_{1}^{k+1} q_{2}^{1+1}: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, using the loop equation techniques of [ [ $]$ ], one can derive the follow ing set ofequations for (here, a derivative $D_{q_{i}}$ denotes a com binatorial derivative as in 17), where all variables other than $q_{i}$ are held constant) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right)=\mathrm{bD}_{\mathrm{q}_{1}}^{2}\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right)+\operatorname{e~} \mathbb{D}_{q_{1}}\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right)+\mathrm{D}_{q_{2}}\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right)\right)+\quad\left(q_{1}\right) \quad\left(q_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(q_{i} ; q_{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}\right) q_{i}+\left(q_{2}\right) q_{2}\right)+b_{r}\left(q_{i} ; q_{2}\right) \\
& \left(q_{1} ; q_{L}\right)=\mathrm{bD}_{\mathrm{q}_{2}}^{2}\left(q_{E}\right)+2 \mathrm{eD}_{\mathrm{q}_{2}} \mathrm{r}\left(q_{2}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{rr}}\left(q_{\Sigma}\right)+\mathrm{aD}_{\mathrm{q}_{1}}^{2}\left(q_{1} ; q_{L}\right) q_{1} \\
& \left.+d D_{q_{1}}\left(q_{i} ; q_{2}\right)+D_{q_{2}}\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right)\right) q_{1}+\quad\left(q_{1}\right)\left(q_{2}\right) q_{1} \\
& +\left(q_{1}\right)^{2} q_{L}\left(q_{1} ; q_{E}\right) q_{1}\left(\left(q_{1}\right) q_{1}+\left(q_{2}\right) q_{L}\right)+q_{1} r\left(q_{1} ; q_{L}\right) \\
& \left(q_{i}\right)=1+a D_{q_{i}}^{2}\left(q_{i}\right) q_{i}+2 d D_{q_{1} r}\left(q_{i}\right) q_{i}+a_{r r}\left(q_{i}\right) q_{i}+\left(q^{2} q_{i}{ }^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r\left(q_{I}\right)=b D_{q_{1}}^{2}\left(q_{I}\right)+2 e D_{q_{1}} r\left(q_{I}\right)+b_{r r}\left(q_{I}\right)+\left(q_{1}\right)^{2} q_{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r}\left(q_{i} ; q_{L}\right)=X_{k=0}^{X^{1}=0} X^{\mathbb{1}} h \operatorname{TrR} Q^{k} R Q{ }^{1} R i q_{1}^{k} q_{2}^{1} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{i} ; q_{E}\right)=x_{k=01=0}^{X^{A}} \mathrm{XTr}^{\mathrm{A}} Q^{k} R Q^{1} i q_{1}^{k} Q_{2}^{1}: \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily veri ed that $\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1} ; q_{L}\right)=\frac{q_{1} D_{q_{1}} r\left(q_{1}\right) q_{q_{2}}\left(q_{2}\right)}{q_{1}}: \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

An expression for ( $\left.q_{1} ; q_{2}\right)$ in term sof $\left(q_{1}\right),\left(q_{E}\right)$ and $p_{1}$ and $p_{3}$ can be obtained by solving these equations. The expression is too long to be included here. On the other hand ( $q ; q$ ) can be directly expressed in term sof $\left(q_{\perp}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}\right)$ in a very simple way as

A $m$ ed $w$ th expressions for $\left(q_{i} ; q_{2}\right)$ and $\left(q ; q_{2}\right)$, it is then straightforward, if rather tedious, to obtain the critical expansions of and. They are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Z_{1} ; Z_{2} ; T\right)={ }_{c}+\frac{e^{2 h} 1^{2} 3+\left(2+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 h}{ }^{2 \prime \prime}}{20{ }^{1} 2^{3} e^{4 h}\left(1+e^{2 h}\right)^{2}} \frac{\left(Z_{1} ; T\right){\left(Z_{2} ; T\right)^{\#}}_{Z_{1} Z_{2}}}{}=0\left({ }^{2=3}\right) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Z_{1} ; Z_{2} ; T\right)={ }_{c}+{\frac{1}{201_{2}^{3}}(\mathrm{~h})^{2}}_{"}^{\frac{\left(Z_{1} ; T\right)}{Z_{1} Z_{2}}\left(Z_{2} ; T\right)^{\#}}{ }^{1=3}+O\left({ }^{2=3}\right): \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $s$ in the case of the one-point $m$ agnetization, the universal parts of and depend on $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$, and $T$ in the sam e way. C onsequently, the ratio of the Laplace transform $s$ of these universal parts will sim ply be the h-dependent ratio of the universal parts them selves. It follow s that the tw o-point boundary $m$ agnetization,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2} i=\underset{\sim}{\sim}=\frac{e^{2 h} 1^{2} 3+\left(2+{ }^{p} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 h}{ }^{2}}{1+\left(1+{ }^{P} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 h}+\left(2+{ }^{P} \overline{7}\right) e^{4 h}} ; \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

is precisely the square of the one-point $m$ agnetization. We nd an absence of polynom ial corrections to this result (at least to the rst subleading order), indicating that correlations between boundary spin operators decay exponentially, as one would expect in analogy w ith the at space theory.

## $7 \quad \mathrm{Bu} k \mathrm{~m}$ agnetization

The expectation value of the bulk $m$ agnetization in the presence of a boundary $m$ agnetic eld, on a disk w ith boundary length $k$ and area $n$, is given by

This can be evaluated by considering cylinder am plitudesw ith one boundary having a boundary $m$ agnetic eld, and the other w ith a single boundary edge. The second boundary represents a m arked point on the bulk. A though the second boundary corresponds to only a single edge rather than 3 edges as would be appropriate for a triangle corresponding to a single spin, this distinction should not be relevant in the continuum lim it where the boundary becom es pointlike. A gain, a quantity such as (76) can be com puted by the m ethod of loop equations [ [1].

To com pute the $m$ agnetization, we require tw o punctured-disk am plitudes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h)={ }_{k=0}^{x^{\lambda}} h \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{h} U+e^{h} V\right)^{k} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(U \quad V)^{k} \operatorname{liq} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h)={ }_{k=0}^{x^{\lambda}} h \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{h} U+e^{h} V\right)^{k} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(U+V)^{k} q: \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (14), we de ne functions related to but with additional words corresponding to sequences of spins on the outer boundary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(q ; r)(q)=\sum_{k=0}^{X} h \operatorname{Trw}(Q ; R) Q^{k} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(U \quad V)^{k} i q ; \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst step in com puting the bulk $m$ agnetization is now to derive a set of eight inde-


$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2 \mathrm{q}^{2}+\mathrm{aq}_{r r}+\mathrm{aD}_{\mathrm{q}}+2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{q} \\
& r=2 q+b_{r r}+b D_{q}^{2}+2 e D_{q r}+c_{r} \\
& r \mathrm{rr}=\quad+\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{r}}+{ }_{\mathrm{r}}\right)+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{rqr}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{rrr}}+\mathrm{bD}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \mathrm{r}+e D_{\mathrm{qrr}}+\mathrm{Cr}_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{q r}^{2}=\left(p_{q}+t_{q}\right)+r_{r}+q(r r r e r \\
& d_{r q q r}+a_{r q r r}+a D_{q}^{3} r+d D_{q r q r}+C_{q} D_{q r} \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{r q r r}+a_{r r r r}+a D_{q r r}^{2}+d D_{q r r r}+C_{q r r} \\
& \text { rqr }=\left(p_{q}+t_{q}\right)+r_{r}+q\left(D_{q}+D_{q r}\right)+ \\
& \mathrm{e}_{\text {rqqr }}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{rqrr}}+\mathrm{bD}_{\mathrm{q}}^{3} \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{eD}_{\mathrm{q} \text { rqr }}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{rqrr}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{rrrr}}+\mathrm{bD}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{e} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qrrr}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{rr}} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{q} \quad h T r Q \quad \operatorname{Tr}(U \quad V) i ; \quad r t h T r R \quad \operatorname{Tr}(U \quad V) i: \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sbefore, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{hTrQ} \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{hTrRi}$, and the various constants take the sam e values as in (19).

The equations 80) can now be used to express (h) as a polynom ial function of (h). H ow ever, this equation will also contain a num ber of unknow $n$ correlation functions $t_{q}$, $t_{q q}$, $t_{\text {qqa }}, t_{r}, t_{r r}$ and $t_{\text {rrr }}$, som e of which appear explicitly in 8G) and som e of which arise from the derivatives of, since

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{q}=q^{1}(1) ;  \tag{82}\\
& D_{q}^{2}=q^{2}\left(1{ }_{q} q^{2}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and so on. A s in the com putation of , these correlation functions can be reduced to a $m$ uch sm aller num ber of unknow ns by expanding 80) order-by-order. It tums out that after using all the relations in (89) (cf. 21)), tw o extra relations are required betw een


The rst extra relation com es from the calculation in 3] of the critical expansion of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{0} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{hTr}(\mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{~V}) \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{i} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{t} ; \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}=\frac{1+2^{p} \overline{7}}{5} 1+5^{2=3}{ }^{4=3} t^{2}+O\left({ }^{2} t^{3}\right): \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he second relation is obtained by di erentiating the $m$ atrix integral expression for $p_{1} w$ th respect to g :

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{p}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{uuu}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{wvv}}}{3}: \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{p}_{1}=\frac{\varrho_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{p}_{1}}{3 \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{2}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be used to obtain the critical expansion of $@_{g} \mathrm{p}_{1}$.
A $m$ ed w th these extra relations, we have all the inform ation required to expand in W e obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2^{4=3} g_{c}\left(1+2^{p} \overline{7}\right)^{2}\left(1+\left(1+{ }^{p} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 h}+\left(2+{ }^{p} \overline{7}\right) e^{4 h}\right) Z}{15 e^{2 h}\left(1+e^{2 h}\right)\left((Z ; T)+(4 T)^{2=3}\right)} \quad 1=3+0\left({ }^{0}\right): \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s discussed in Sec.5, the quantity of interest is the inverse Laplace transform of the universal part of, given in this case by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(L ; A)=\frac{\left(1+2^{P} \overline{7}\right)^{2} g_{C}}{50^{P} \overline{3}} L^{2=3} A \quad{ }^{5=3} e^{L^{2}=A}: \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we have introduced rescaled area and boundary length param eters as in 45).
It is much easier to com pute the critical expansion of (h) since it is directly related to (h) via

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{h})=\varrho_{g} \quad(\mathrm{~h}): \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives an expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2^{2=3}\left(1+2^{p} \overline{7}\right)\left(1+\left(1+{ }^{p} \overline{7}\right) e^{2 h}+\left(2+{ }^{p} \overline{7}\right) e^{4 h}\right)(Z ; T)}{81 g_{c} e^{2 h}\left(1+e^{2 h}\right)} \quad{ }^{2=3}+0\left({ }^{1=3}\right) ; \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Z ; T)=\frac{\left(Z^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{Z^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{~T}}\right)^{\mathrm{I}=3} \quad\left(\mathrm{Z}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{Z^{2} 4 \mathrm{~T}}\right)^{\mathrm{I}=3}}{\mathrm{Z}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~T}}: \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

The leading term in has an inverse Laplace transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(L ; A)=\frac{\left(1+\sum^{p} \overline{7}\right)}{5{ }^{7} 3^{2} g_{C}} L^{1=3} A \quad{ }^{4=3} e^{L^{2}=A}: \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bulk $m$ agnetization in the continuum lim it is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h M i=\frac{\sim(L ; A)}{\sim(L ; A)}=L^{1=3} A A^{1=3}: \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The num erical values of the coe cients of $\sim$ and $\sim$ have exactly cancelled. A though it $m$ ay appear that the $m$ agnetization can be greater than one, this formula is valid in the continuum $\lim$ 立, forwhich A $L^{2} \quad 1 . W$ e also notioe that this form of the m agnetization is independent of $h$ except for the dependence on the scaling factor ( $h$ ) inconporated in $L$. At $\mathrm{h}=0$, this m agnetization is discontinuous and vanishes. O ne nige feature of this result is that it correctly reproduces the scaling behavior expected after the $m$ agnetization operator has been gravitationally dressed according to the K P Z /D D K description of Liouville theory 21, 22]. The gravitationally dressed scaling dim ension of the bulk magnetization eld is
$=1=6$. By analogy w th the at space theory we expect that the bulk magnetization should scale as hM i $d^{2}$ where $d$ is a $m$ easure of the distance from the boundary. This is precisely the behavior seen in (94), since $A=L$ has dim ensions of length .

## 8 D iscussion

Let us sum $m$ arize the im plications of the results we have derived in the previous sections.

### 8.1 Renorm alization group ow

In Section 4 we com puted the disk am plitude in the presence ofa boundary magnetic eld as a function of the disk area a, the boundary length 1 , and the boundary eld h.W e discovered that the result could be written in term s of the two variables $A=a=5$ and $L=(h) l$, in which case the disk am plitude took on precisely the form of the analogous fiunction when the boundary conditions are conform ally invariant. Thus, the e ect of a boundary eld on this am plitude am ounts to a rescaling of the boundary length by an $h$-dependent factor. In Section 7, m eanw hile, we found that the bulk $m$ agnetization as a function of $a$, $l$ and $h$ could also be expressed in term s of A and $L$, and that its functional form was the sam $e$ as in the presence of an in nite boundary eld.

Taken together, these results im ply the existence of an RG ow to the conform ally invariant boundary condition with an in nite boundary eld; $h$ is a relevant operator which goes to 1 in the infrared (in this context, as the disk area and length grow large). Further evidence is provided by the discontinuity in the rescaling function at $\mathrm{h}=0$ : any im posed
boundary eld, no $m$ atter how $s m$ all, leads to $m$ agnetization in the bulk of the sam $e$ form as that expected in the presence of xed boundary conditions.

A related phenom enon has previously been derived for the at-space Ising model on a half-plane geom etry [], [8, []. A gain, one can com pute the $m$ agnetization of a point in the bulk in the presence of a boundary eld; however, rather than depending on the area of the surface and length of the boundary (both of which are in nite for the half-plane), the $m$ agnetization is a function of the distance from the boundary. (In quantum gravity, where we sum over all geom etries, it would be conceivable but m uch m ore di cult to com pute any quantity as a function of, say, $m$ inim um geodesic distance from the boundary. Com puting anything lat a xed point" is even more problem atic, and not really well-de ned in the absence of additional elds.) Chatterjee and Zam oloddhikov [9] show that the asym ptotic form of the bulk $m$ agnetization depends on the distance from the boundary as $y{ }^{1=8}$ in the presence of any nonzero boundary eld, just as it does for xed boundary conditions 23, O ur results dem onstrate that this RG ow is preserved in an appropriate form after coupling the theory to quantum gravity.

### 8.2 The dual picture

A s discussed in Section 2, the Ising $m$ odel on a random lattice can also be form ulated in the dualpicture, in term sofm atrices $X$ and $Y$, where $X$ denotes an edge separating two equal spins and $Y$ an edge separating two opposite spins. $W$ e have seen in the introduction that the change of variables

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{U} & ! & \frac{1}{2}(X+Y)  \tag{95}\\
\mathrm{V} & ! & \frac{1}{\overline{2}}(\mathrm{X}
\end{array} \mathrm{Y}\right)
$$

in the action for the Ising $m$ odel leads to the dual action. Thus any calculations in the original model can be reinterpreted in term s of the dualm odel. We shall now discuss the duals of our results for the boundary and bulk $m$ agnetizations in the presence of a boundary $m$ agnetic eld.

F irst, let us discuss the boundary conditions corresponding to the weights

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \operatorname{Tr} Q^{n} i=h \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{h}(X+Y)+e^{h}(X \quad Y)\right)^{n} i=h \operatorname{Tr}(\cosh (h) X+\sinh (h) Y)^{n} i \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have dropped factors of ${ }^{p} \overline{2}$ for notational sim plicity. In the dual variables, $h=0$ corresponds to xed boundary conditions, while $h=1$ corresponds to the two types of free boundary conditions, (X Y f. Thus, in this picture h plays the role of a \boundary


## Ising <br> dual Ising

F igure 4: The duality $m$ ap that interchanges free and xed boundary conditions. N ote that for the Ising $m$ odel, there are two xed states and only one free state, whereas the opposite is true of the dualm odel.
freedom eld" rather than a boundary magnetic eld. In the lim it h! 0 the boundary condition is xed (allX 's), while in the lim itsh! 1 , the boundary conditions are a pair of free boundary conditions w ith di erent signs in the weights assigned to con gurations w ith an odd number of $Y$ 's on the boundary. These xed and free boundary conditions in the dual m odel are of course precisely the K ram ers-W annier duals of the free and $x e d$ boundary conditions of the spin representation. C orrespondingly [11], a spin operator in the original variables is transform ed into a disorder operator in the dual variables.

O ur results in Sec. 目 for the boundary magnetization can thus be reinterpreted as a calculation of the expectation value of the boundary disorder operator. We see that as the boundary freedom eld is increased, so the expectation value of the boundary disorder operator

$$
\begin{align*}
h d i_{n ; k} & =\frac{h T r\left(e^{h}(X+Y) \quad e^{h}(X \quad Y)\right)\left(e^{h}(X+Y)+e^{h}(X \quad Y)\right)^{k} i}{h T r\left(e^{h}(X+Y)+e^{h}(X \quad Y)\right)^{k+1} i} \\
& =\frac{h T r(\sinh (h) X+\cosh (h) Y)(\cosh (h) X+\sinh (h) Y)^{k} i_{n}}{h T r(\cosh (h) X+\sinh (h) Y)^{k+1} i_{n}} \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

tends to 1 . At $\mathrm{h}=0$ the boundary condition is xed and so , by sym m etry, the boundary disorderm ust vanish.

The dualization of the calculation of the bulk $m$ agnetization goes along sim ilar lines, and we conclude that the bulk disorder in the presence of a boundary freedom eld is given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
h D i=L^{1=3} A \quad 1=3 \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

except when $d=0$, when the bulk disorder also vanishes identically. There is a striking consequence of this result. In the Ising $m$ odel in $U, V$ variables, the coupling of a boundary
$m$ agnetic eld was seen to induce renorm alization group ow from free to xed boundary conditions. On the other hand, when a boundary freedom eld is coupled in the dual form ulation of the $m$ odel, the renorm alization group ow is from xed to free boundary conditions. This change in the direction of the renorm alization group ow is a natural consequence of the $K$ ram ers $W$ annier duality of the system. T he duality sym $m$ etry im plies that the ground state degeneracies of the free and xed states are sw apped under the duality transform ation, and so the reversal of RG ow is consistent with A eck and Ludw ig's gtheorem [12]. In general, one expects that the RG ow of the theory should be tow ards the conform alboundary condition $w$ ith sm aller degeneracy, so it is natural that the direction of the ow sw itches under the duality transform ation. A s we see, this result seem $s$ to hold in the theory equally well after coupling to quantum gravity.

### 8.3 The e ects of gravity

On any xed lattioe, the introduction of an extemalm agnetic eld on the boundary leads to a direct e ect on the Ising spins, as there are no other degrees of freedom w ith which to interact. It is therefore natural to expect that coupling to quantum gravity, which introduces the local geom etry as an additional degree of freedom, will lead to quantitative changes in the response of the spins to the boundary eld, and indeed this is what we have observed.

The calculation of the boundary $m$ agnetization in Section 6 can be com pared w ith the results that have been obtained in at space by $M O$ oy and $W$ u 7]. They nd that the $m$ agnetization scales as $h \ln h$ for $s m$ all $h$, and as a result, the magnetic susceptibility diverges at the critical tem perature. On the other hand, we have seen in (64) that the $m$ agnetic susceptibility at the critical tem perature is nite when the Ising model is de ned on a random lattige. It seem $s$ likely that the exact num erical value 64) of the m agnetic susceptibility depends on the discretization schem e we have used, and is not universal. H ow ever, we expect the fact that is a nite constant to be universal (independent of the speci c discretization schem e chosen), although in the absence of calculations in altemative schem es, this rem ains a con jecture.

The e ect of gravity is therefore to soften the initial im pact of the boundary eld. It is natural to interpret this softening as being due to the interaction between the spins and the geom etry; the coupling betw een spins and the boundary eld changes the relative w eighting of di erent geom etries, which changes in tum the e ect of the neighboring spins on any one boundary site, leading to a m ore gradual increase in the boundary magnetization as a function ofboundary eld.

(a)

(b)
$F$ igure 5: The relative weight of geom etries of di erent shapes changes w ith boundary m agnetic eld h. Roughly speaking, for large h, geom etries of type (a) are suppressed relative to type (b).

A related aspect of our results is that the bulk $m$ agnetization is seen to decrease when a nonzero boundary $m$ agnetic eld is increased (at least asym ptotically, for large areas). At rst this sem sim plausible, and indeed at a xed point in at space, the bulk magnetization cannot behave in this way. H ow ever, the sum over geom etries provides a possible explanation for this unusuale ect. The expectation value ofa spin in the bulk naturally depends not only on the $m$ agnitude of the boundary eld, but also on the average distance of the point from the boundary. Therefore, the decrease in the bulk $m$ agnetization can arise if the boundary eld alters the relative weights of di erent geom etries in such a way as to m ove a typical interior point further aw ay from the boundary (as in $F$ igure 5).
$W$ e have therefore veri ed that a num ber of features of the Ising $m$ odel in at space are $m$ aintained in the presence ofquantum gravity, while also dem onstrating that the dynam ical geom etry does have a m easurable e ect. It would be interesting to check m ore directly that the explanations we have given for these phenom ena are correct, for exam ple by num erical sim ulation $m$ ethods such as those described recently in 24].
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