A note on Supersymmetric Yang-Mills thermodynamics

M A.Vazquez-Mozo¹

Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica Universiteit van Amsterdam Vakkenierstraat 65 1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands and Spinoza Instituut Universiteit Utrecht Leuvenlaan 4 3584 CE Utrecht, The Netherlands

The therm odynam ics of supersymmetric Y ang-M ills theories is studied by computing the two-loop correction to the canonical free energy and to the equation of state for theories with 16,8 and 4 supercharges in any dimension 4 d 10, and in two dimensions at nite volume. In the four-dimensional case we also evaluate the rst non-analytic contribution in the 't H ooft coupling to the free energy, arising from the resummation of ring diagrams. To conclude, we discuss some applications to the study of the H agedom transition in string theory in the context of M atrix strings and speculate on the possible physical meaning of the transition.

5/99

¹ vazquez@wins.uva.nl, M .Vazquez-M ozo@phys.uu.nl

1. Introduction

The study of the therm alproperties of supersym metric Y ang-M ills (SYM) and superstring theories has received a boost [1][2][3][4][5][6] after the D-brane revolution [7]. More recently, the conjecture by M aldacena of a duality between string theory on anti-de Sitter (A dS) backgrounds and the large-N lim it of SYM theories [8][9] has further motivated the study of these issues in the hope that it could lead to a clari cation of the mechanism s of con nem ent in non-abelian gauge theories [10].

Beyond its purely cosm obgical/phenom enological relevance, the study of SYM therm odynamics nds interesting applications in the study of near-extrem al black holes [11] and D-branes [12][13]. When looking at the elective theory of coincident D-branes there are, generically, two regimes associated with the values of the elective gauge couplings g_sQ (Q being the number of coincident D-branes or the charges of the black hole). When $g_sQ < 1$ the eld theory limit is well described by a perturbative SYM theory living on the world-volume of the D-brane. On the other hand, taking $g_sQ > 1$ the weakly coupled D-brane picture is not appropriate any more. U sing M aldacena's conjecture, how ever, it is possible to relate the eld theory limit in this non-perturbative regime (large 't H ooff coupling) with a supergravity computation on some blackground of the form AdS_d (Spheres). C onrections to the leading strong coupling result of order O (1= g_sQ) are then associated with higher dimensional terms (⁰ corrections) in the supergravity elective action.

The study of the corrections to the leading results on both sides (weak [14] and strong [15][16] coupling) has been done for the conform al N = 4 SYM theory in d = 4 and a tendency in both curves to meet was detected (see however [17]). In this note we will try to achieve a twofold objective. First, to compute the two-loop free energy of SYM theories with 16, 8 and 4 supercharges in various dimensions. The rst class of theories are specially interesting because of their potential application to non-conform al versions of the M akacena conjecture [9]. Second, to obtain the rst non-analytic correction in the 't H ooft coupling, of order O $[(g_{YM} N)^{3=2}]$, to the two-loop result in four dimensional theories arising from the resummation of ring diagrams. We will analyze also with some detail the two-dimensional case, where the infrared divergences will be handled by putting the system at nite volume.

The physics of the high tem perature string gas has been a recurrent issue in string theory (for a sample of papers from the \golden age" of them all strings see [18][19]). In recent years we have gained interesting insights about the physical meaning of the H agedom divergence, in spite of the fact that a full and detailed understanding of the problem seems to be still at large. A lthough this will not be the main subject of this note, we will try to discuss some aspects of the H agedom transition that could be enlightened by our results on SYM them odynamics. In particular we will use our study of the two-dimensional SYM theories to try to get some qualitative information about the H agedom transition using M atrix strings as a non-perturbative de nition of Type-IIA superstrings. In spite of being non-conclusive, we hope the discussion to be helpful in shedding some light to such a confusing issue.

The present paper is organized as follows: in the next Section, the two-loop corrections to the therm allfree energy of SYM theories with 16,8 and 4 supercharges will be computed in any dimension 4 d 10 using dimensional reduction from the corresponding maximal N = 1 SYM theory. In Section 2.3 we compute the next correction to the two-loop free energy for SYM theories in four dimensions. Section 2.4 will be devoted to the study of the two-dimensional case at nite volume. Finally, in Section 3 we will summarize the conclusions and discuss some possible application of our results to the study of the Hagedom transition in M atrix string theory.

2. Two-loop free energy of SYM theories

2.1. Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories in various dimensions

In this section we will compute the next-to-leading contribution to the canonical free energy of supersymmetric Y ang-M ills theories with 16, 8 and 4 supercharges. In order to keep the analysis general, we will start with N = 1 SYM in D dimensions, whose dynamics is governed by the action [20]

$$S = d^{D} x Tr \frac{1}{4g_{YM}^{2}} F_{AB} F^{AB} + i ^{A} D_{A}$$

where A; B = 0; :::; D 1 and both the gauge elds and spinors are in the adjoint representation of U (N). We get theories with di erent num ber of supercharges by choosing the appropriate value of D for which that num ber $\#_{SC}$ is maximal:

> $#_{SC} = 16 ! D_{max} = 10$ $#_{SC} = 8 ! D_{max} = 6$ $#_{SC} = 4 ! D_{max} = 4:$

In addition, di erent conditions on ferm ions have to be imposed in order to keep the num ber of bosonic and ferm ionic degrees of freedom equal. Thus, when $D_{max} = 10$ ferm ions have to be taken M ajorana-W eyl, while for $D_{max} = 6$ and $D_{max} = 4$ they satisfy W eyl conditions (actually in d = 4 we can choose the ferm ions to be either M ajorana or W eyl, both conditions being equivalent [20]). This ensures that the num ber of physical bosonic and ferm ionic degrees of freedom will be equal to $D_{max} = 2$.

In general, however, we will be interested in SYM theories with $\#_{SC}$ supercharges in dimensions d D_{max} . This theories can be obtained by dimensional reduction of the corresponding maximal N = 1 SYM theory in D = D_{max} [20]. Thus, we can parameterize any d-dimensional SYM theory with any number of supercharges by specifying both d and the maximal dimension D_{max} from which it is obtained by dimensional reduction. In this way, starting with $D_{max} = 10$ (N = 1 in d = 10) we get N = 1 in d = 8, N = 2 in d = 6, N = 4 in d = 4 and N = 8 in d = 2. Starting instead with $D_{max} = 6$ (N = 1 in d = 6) we will have N = 2 in d = 4 and N = 4 in d = 2. Finally, if we take $D_{max} = 4$ we can retrieve N = 2 in d = 2. (for odd dimensions 2n 1 we have the N corresponding to dimension 2n).

2.2. Two-bop free energy for d 4

W ith this in m ind, we can proceed to compute the canonical free energy in perturbation theory for any supersymmetric Y ang-M ills theory characterized by $(D_{max};d)$, by writing down the contribution of vacuum Feynman diagrams of N = 1 in D = D_{max} SYM and restricting internal momentum in loops to d dimensions. That way we are able to keep track of the contribution of gauge bosons and scalars (as well as their supersymmetric partners) without having to consider a larger number of diagram s^2 . The nal result, of course, will depend on $(d; D_{max})$.

As a warm up exercise, we will compute the one-loop free energy density. In the m x m a x m a l N = 1 theory we have three diagram s, a bosonic loop, a ferm ionic loop and the

² For example, using this trick one can get the result of ref. [14] by computing, instead of ten, only four two-loop Feynm an diagram s.

ghost bop, which after multiplying by their corresponding degeneracy factor respectively give (we use the notation of ref. [21])

$$F()_{1 \text{ loop}} = \frac{1}{2} N^{2} D_{\text{max}} P^{\text{P}}_{(P)} [d^{d}P] \log P^{2} - \frac{1}{2} N^{2} (D_{\text{max}} 2)^{\text{P}}_{\text{fPg}} [d^{d}P] \log P^{2}$$

$$N^{2} P^{\text{P}}_{(P)} [d^{d}P] \log P^{2}$$

where by (P) and fP g we represent, respectively, bosonic (periodic) and ferm ionic (antiperiodic) boundary conditions along the Euclidean time and the factor of N² is due to the fact that all elds are in the adjoint representation of U (N). A fter a straightforward computation we arrive at

$$P^{Z}_{(P)} [d^{d}P] \log P^{2} - \frac{1}{2} X^{Z} - \frac{d^{d-1}p}{(2)^{d-1}} \log (p^{2} + !_{n}^{2}) = 0 - \frac{2 (d=2)}{\frac{d}{2}} (d)^{d}$$

$$P^{Z}_{P}_{p} [d^{d}P] \log P^{2} - \frac{1}{2} X^{Z} - \frac{d^{d-1}p}{(2)^{d-1}} \log (p^{2} + !_{n}^{2}) = 0 + \frac{2 (d=2)}{\frac{d}{2}} (1 - 2^{d-d}) (d)^{d}$$

 $_0$ is a regularized vacuum energy that will cancel after sum m ing all contributions. The total result for the one-loop free energy is thus

F ()_{1 loop} =
$$\frac{2 (d=2)}{\frac{d}{2}}$$
 (d) (1 2 ^d) (D_{max} 2)N² ^d: (2:1)

Next we get the two-bop corrections to this result. Thus, we must sum the contributions of the four Feynm an diagram s of Fig. 1. corresponding to N = 1 SYM in $D = D_{max}$ where internal m on enta is restricted to a d-dimensional space-time (one of whose directions is the compacti ed Euclidean time). Proceeding this way and after some algebra we nd the contribution of each independent diagram (using the Feynm an-'t Hooft gauge)

$$F_{1} = \frac{1}{4}g_{YM}^{2} N^{3} \prod_{(P)}^{P} [d^{d}P] \frac{1}{P^{2}};$$

$$F_{2} = \frac{1}{4}g_{YM}^{2} N^{3} (D_{max} 2)Tr1; \prod_{fPg}^{R} [d^{d}P] \frac{1}{P^{2}} 2 Z_{fPg}^{P} [d^{d}P] \frac{1}{P^{2}} 2 P_{(P)}^{P} [d^{d}P] \frac{1}{P^{2}};$$

$$F_{3} = \frac{1}{4}g_{YM}^{2} N^{3} D_{max} (D_{max} 1) \prod_{(P)}^{P} [d^{d}P] \frac{1}{P^{2}};$$

$$F_{4} = -\frac{3}{4}g_{YM}^{2} N^{3} (D_{max} 1) \prod_{(P)}^{P} [d^{d}P] \frac{1}{P^{2}};$$



F ig. 1: Feynm an diagram s contributing to the two-loop canonical free energy. Solid lines represent ferm ions, wavy lines gauge bosons and dashed lines Fadeev-Popov ghosts.

Here Tr1 is the dimension of the spinors of the maximal SYM theory which in all the cases under study (N = 1 in $D_{max} = 10;6;4$) equals $D_{max} = 2$. U sing this fact we can add all the above contributions and nd the following expression for the two-loop free energy density

F ()_{2 loop} =
$$\frac{1}{4}g_{YM}^2$$
 N ³ (D_{max} 2)² $\stackrel{Z}{\stackrel{P}{\stackrel{P}{\quad}}$ [d^dP] $\frac{1}{P^2}$ $\stackrel{Z}{\stackrel{P}{\quad}}$ [d^dP] $\frac{1}{P^2}$ (2.2)

The integrals appearing between brackets contain both the zero and nite tem perature part of F ($_{2 \text{ bop}}$. They can be easily computed by perform ing the sum rst, to give

$$\begin{array}{c}
\overset{Z}{P} \\
\overset{(P)}{P} \\
\overset{(Q)}{P} \\$$

.

where $!_p = p$ and N_p , n_p are the Bose-E instein and Ferm i-D irac distribution functions respectively

$$N_{p} = \frac{1}{e^{!_{p}}};$$
 $n_{p} = \frac{1}{e^{!_{p}} + 1};$

The rst thing to be said about eq. (2.2) is that the zero temperature (ultraviolet divergent) contribution cancels out between the bosonic and the ferm ionic integral. This is just a consequence of supersymmetry since the vacuum energy should not be corrected at zero temperature if supersymmetry is to be preserved by the vacuum [22]. This is the reason why this cancellation occurs not only for the conform alN = 4, SYM $_4$ (D_{max} = 10) [14], but for all SYM theories under study.

Notice that although all SYM theories in dimension higher than 4 are nonrenormalizable, the two-loop nite temperature free energy is well behaved in the ultraviolet. This is a consequence of the fact that the ultraviolet region in the thermal integrals is electively cut-o formomenta p. T and therefore the temperature dependent part of the amplitudes is, to a great extent, insensitive to ultraviolet ambiguities. Of course, divergences should have been taken care of in the zero temperature sector by an appropriate cut-o in momenta (although some protected observables, like the vacuum energy, will be nite due to supersymmetry). In that case, consistency will require T < .

To get an analytical expression for the free energy we can evaluate the integrals appearing in (2.3) for generic values of the dimension³

$$\frac{d^{d} p}{(2)^{d} 1} \frac{N_{p}}{!_{p}} = \frac{2^{2} d^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\frac{d}{2}} (d^{2}) (d^{2})^{2} d^{2};$$

$$\frac{d^{d} p}{(2)^{d} 1} \frac{N_{p}}{!_{p}} = (1 2^{3} d^{2}) \frac{2^{2} d^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\frac{d}{2}} (d^{2}) (d^{2})^{2} d^{2};$$

so after substituting in (2.3) and (2.2) we get

F ()_{2 loop} =
$$g_{YM}^2$$
 N³ (D_{max} 2) $\frac{2^2 d}{\frac{d-1}{2}}$ (d 2)
 $\frac{d-1}{2}$ (1 2² d) (d 2) 4² d

 3 A ctually, the ferm ionic integral can be written in terms of the bosonic one using

$$\frac{2}{e^{2!p} 1} = \frac{1}{e!p} \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{e!p} + 1$$

which is just a realization of the well-known relation between the one-loop free energy of a bosonic and a ferm ionic quantum eld, $F_{fer}() = F_{bos}() - 2F_{bos}(2)$ [23].

which together with the one-loop contribution can be written as

$$F() = N^{2} \frac{d}{2} \frac{2 (d=2)}{\frac{d}{2}} (d) (1 2^{d}) (D_{max} 2)$$

$$= \frac{4}{2} \frac{9}{4} \frac{2}{4} (d=2) \frac{2^{2} \frac{d}{2} (d=2)}{\frac{d}{2} \frac{d}{2} \frac{d}{2} (1 2^{2} \frac{d}{2}) (d=2) 4^{d} + O[(g_{YM}^{2} N)^{2}];$$

$$(2:4)$$

Incidentally, the two-loop correction to the free energy is always positive for d > 1, so it always tends to increase the (negative) one-loop contribution.

From (2.4) we see explicitly how corrections to the one-loop result come in powers of the 't H ooft coupling g_{YM}^2 N which is kept xed in the large-N lim it. Since in d-dimensions the Yang-M ills coupling constant g_{YM}^2 has dimension of (E nergy)⁴ d the condition for the perturbative expansion to be reliable is the elective dimensionless coupling at a given temperature to be small

$$g_{eff}^2 = g_{YM}^2 N^{4} M^{1}$$

Notice that for d > 4, for which the SYM theory is non-renormalizable, perturbative corrections to the free energy will be governed by a smallparameter in the low temperature limit, corresponding to the fact that the theory is well behaved in the infrared. A ctually, higher order corrections to formula (2.4) have better and better infrared behavior as we increase the order in perturbation theory. At the same time the ultraviolet divergences worsen in the zero temperature sector, while in the temperature-dependent part of the amplitude the ultraviolet behavior is smoother due to the presence of Boltzm ann factors that e ectively cut-o momenta beyond a scale of order⁴ T.

From the two-loop canonical free energy we can obtain the corrections to the equation of state of SYM_d. We set compute the canonical entropy density S (T) as a function of the tem perature and invert it up to term s of order O $[(g_{YM} N)^2]$ to get

$$T(S) = \frac{S}{N^{2}F_{0}d} + g_{YM}^{2} N \frac{(2d + 4)F_{1}}{d(d + 1)F_{0}} - \frac{S}{N^{2}F_{0}d} + O[(g_{YM}^{2} N)^{2}]$$

⁴ The better ultraviolet behavior of the tem perature-dependent sector of the theory does not guarantee its niteness in higher loops; for example, the free energy in SYM₁₀ is ultraviolet divergent at three loops as can be seen by them all averaging the one-loop elective action, which contains a F⁴ term that scales quadratically with the ultraviolet cut-o. The resulting them all averaged divergent part is of order O $[(g_{YM}^2 N)^2]$ [16], as corresponds to a three-loop contribution. I thank A.T seytlin for pointing this out to me.

where the numerical coe cients F $_0$, F $_1$ are dened from the free energy (2.4) by F = $F_0 N {}^2T^{d} + (g_{YM}^2 N) N {}^2F_1T^{2d} {}^4$. Now we can substitute into the internal energy density E = F + TS with the result

$$E = (d \quad 1)F_0N^2 \quad \frac{S}{N^2F_0d} \quad \frac{d}{d-1} \quad 1 + (g_{YM}^2 N) \frac{F_1}{(d-1)F_0} \quad \frac{S}{N^2F_0d} \quad + O[(g_{YM}^2 N)^2]:$$

2.3. The d = 4 case

We will now concentrate our attention in the four-dimensional case. Taking d = 4 in (2.4) we nd

F ()_{d=4} =
$$\frac{1}{8}$$
N² ⁴(D_{max} 2) $\frac{2}{6}$ $\frac{1}{32}$ (D_{max} 2) q_{M}^2 N : (2:5)

As a check, we can evaluate (2.4) for the superconform alN = 4 SYM ₄ theory, which is obtained by dimensional reduction of N = 1 in SYM ₁₀ (i.e. $D_{max} = 10$) to give

F ()_{N = 4} = N²
$$\frac{4}{6} - \frac{1}{4}g_{YM}^2$$
 N

which indeed agrees with the result of ref. [14]. In the equation of state, the loop correction just results in a renorm alization of the overall num erical factor (this also happens in the non-conform al cases $D_{max} = 6;4$)

$$E_{N=4} = \frac{2}{2} \frac{3}{2^2} \frac{4}{3} 1 + \frac{g_{YM}^2 N}{2^2} S^{\frac{4}{2}} N^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

Generically, the next contribution to (2.4) is naively given by three-loop diagram s of order O $[(g_{YM}^2 N)^2]$. However in four dimensions, as it happens in QCD [24][21], at three loop level there are already uncanceled infrared divergences that have to be cured by sum – m ing over ring diagram s. This gives a non-analytic (of order O $[(g_{YM}^2 N)^{3=2}]$) contribution to the free energy, representing a m ild failure of perturbation theory due to the infrared am biguities. The evaluation of this term is essentially equivalent to dressing the A_0^a and scalar propagators in loops by introducing the e ect of D ebye screening and therm alm ass for the scalars. To leading order in the 't H ooff coupling, the electric (D ebye) m ass can be easily com puted from the static lim it of the one-loop self-energy to give

$$m_{el}^{2} \lim_{p! 0} a_{00}^{a}(0;p) = \frac{1}{4} (D_{max} 2) q_{M}^{2} N T^{2} + O [(q_{YM}^{2} N)^{2}]; \qquad (2:6)$$

while for the scalars we have

$$m^{2} \lim_{p \neq 0} a^{a}(0;p) = \frac{1}{8} (D_{max} 2) q_{M}^{2} N T^{2} + O [(q_{YM}^{2} N)^{2}]: \qquad (2:7)$$

In order to get the O $[(g_{YM}^2 N)^{3=2}]$ terms in the free energy we use the technique of ref. [21], and rewrite the original Lagrangian density as

$$L_{SYM_{4}} = L_{SYM_{4}} + \frac{1}{2}m_{e1}^{2}TrA_{0}^{2}P_{0};0 + \frac{1}{2}m_{i=1}^{2}TrA_{i}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m_{e1}^{2}TrA_{0}^{2}P_{0};0 - \frac{1}{2}m_{i=1}^{2}TrA_{i}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m_{e1}^{2}TrA_{i}^{2}P_{0};0 - \frac{1}{2}m_{i=1}^{2}TrA_{i}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m_{e1}^{2}TrA_{i}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m$$

where $_{i}$ are the $n_{s} = D_{max}$ 4 adjoint scalars in the theory and the electric mass only a ects to the zero-frequency component of the A_{0}^{a} eld (cf. [24]). The strategy now is to treat the last two terms as a perturbation to the Lagrangian density between brackets. This results in a reorganization of perturbation theory in which the ring-diagram contribution can be easily evaluated.

The rst thing will be to compute again the one-loop free energy density, including now the e ect of the masses in the Lagrangian (2.8) and, at the same time, adding new one-loop diagram s containing vertices associated with the counterterm s. Expanding the results up to order O $[(g_{YM}^2 N)^2]$ we nd^5

F ()^{resum}_{1 loop} = F ()_{1 loop} +
$$\frac{1}{24}$$
 N²T m³_{el} + (D_{max} 4)m³ + O [(g²_{YM} N)²] (2:9)

with F () $_{\rm loop}$ given by (2.1). Proceeding similarly with the two-loops diagram s of Fig. 1, we get

F ()^{resum}_{2 loop} = F ()_{2 loop}
$$\frac{1}{8}$$
 N²T m³_{el} + (D_{max} 4)m³ + O [(g²_{YM} N)²]:

So we are left with the following nal result for the $\langle 2\frac{1}{2}$ -loop" contribution to the free energy density

F ()_{2¹/₂ loop} =
$$\frac{1}{12}$$
 N²T m³_{el} + (D_{max} 4)m³ (2:10)

where the values of the therm alm assess are given by eqs. (2.6) and (2.7). It is important to notice that this term is always negative for all 4 D_{max} 10.

⁵ The trick of dimensional reduction is no longer useful here because the therm alm ass distinguishes between scalar and gauge boson propagators. Thus we have to compute all diagram s separately.

The only thing left now will be to add (2.10) to the two-loop result (2.5). In particular, doing so for the superconform al N = 4, SYM₄ and evaluating the numerical coe cients, we nd (2 q_{M}^2 N)

Next terms in the perturbative expansion in four dimensions will be of order O (⁴) and O (⁴ log) and can be also evaluated using the strategy employed in [21] (or up to order O (⁵) using [25][26]). However, nite temperature perturbation theory is expected to break down at order O $[(g_{YM}^2 N)^3]$ [27]. Since this failure of perturbation theory is associated with the infrared sector of the theory, supersymmetry is not expected to solve the problem or even improve the situation. As in QCD [28][26], some kind of non-perturbative analysis will be needed in order to compute higher orders. A ctually, the general structure of the series in is important in trying to decide whether there is a phase transition occurring at some intermediate value of the 't Hooft coupling that precludes the extrapolation of supergravity physics into the gauge theory dom ain [17].

2.4. SYM $_2$ therm odynamics on $S^1_{\rm L}$ $\,$ R

When d 3 the analysis of the quantum corrections to the one loop free energy gets additional complications due to the hard infrared divergences that a ict super-renormalizable theories. For d = 3, we see that expression (2.4) diverges because of a (1) factor. In principle this can be cured, as usual, by computing the thermal masses and inserting them into the propagators, thus regularizing the low -m om entum behavior of the Feynman integrals. How ever, in the three-dimensional case the computation of the electric mass has to be done with extra care, since the one-loop corrections to the propagators are already infrared divergent. Thus, the electric mass has to be evaluated self-consistently a la Hartree-Fock [29]. A nyway, we will not dwell in this case any further.

The two-dimensional case, on the other hand, is more interesting from several points of view. The one that will concern us here is that N = 8, SYM₂ describes the worldvolume dynamics of M atrix strings [30], a non-perturbative de nition of Type-IIA superstrings. Naively, (2.4) is ill-de ned for d = 2 due to the endem ic infrared divergences of low-dimensional eld theories. There are several ways in which this divergence can be regularized. Here we will get rid of the problem by putting the system in nite box⁶ of length $L = 2 R \cdot W = w$ ill assume that the therm alwavelengths of the fundamental elds are much smaller than the global length of the box L and restrict our analysis to the sector w ithout W ilson lines (the \long strings" that characterize the matrix string phase). Once this is done, the only change in the computation of Feynman diagrams is that continuous space momentum is discretized in units of 1=R and the momentum integrals have to be replaced by discrete sum s

$$P^{Z}_{P} [d^{2}P] ! \frac{1}{L} \frac{X}{L} \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{L}$$
(2:11)

where the second sum is, as usual, over integer or half-integer M atsubara frequencies depending on the bosonic or ferm ionic character of the propagating eld.

In the one-loop approximation the relevant bosonic and ferm ionic determ inants have been already computed in [31] and the resulting one-loop free energy density can be cast in terms of modular functions

$$F (;L)_{1 \text{ loop}} = \frac{1}{L} N^{2} (D_{max} 2) \log^{4} \frac{4 0 i^{\underline{L}}}{3 i^{\underline{L}}} \int_{L}^{3} (D_{max} 2) N^{2} ^{2}:$$

In computing the bosonic determ inant, and in order to keep the argument of the logarithm dimensionless, we have added a -independent counterterm. In the in nite volume limit L ! 1 we recover the one-loop result obtained in Sec. 2.2.

Let us now go to the two-loop case. To compute the contribution to the free energy density we can use form ula (2.2) provided we substitute the integration by the sum according to (2.11). A fler making so, we nd

$$\sum_{(P)}^{Z} \left[d^{2}P \right] \frac{1}{P^{2}} = \sum_{fPg}^{P} \left[d^{2}P \right] \frac{1}{P^{2}} + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m,n}^{X} \left(1 \right)^{n} + \frac{4^{2}m^{2}}{L^{2}} + \frac{4^{2}n^{2}}{(2)^{2}} + \frac{4^{2}n^{2}}{(2)^{2}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{L} Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(2:12)$$

where we have made use of the Epstein zeta-function [32] and (m;n) represents the quadratic form between the square brackets. It is interesting to notice here that the

⁶ A ctually, if we take the d ! 2 lim it in expression (2.4) we get a nite result with a twoloop correction independent of the tem perature. However, since dimensional regularization is not reliable in dealing with infrared divergences we will not follow this procedure.

resulting regular zeta-function arises as the di erence of two singular zeta-functions with

(1)-like divergences which cancelout. This is again due to the non-renorm alization of the vacuum energy for supersymmetric theories.

A ctually, the zeta-function in (2.12) can be written itself in terms of ordinary modular functions (see rst article in [32]), so at the end we can write

$$F (;L)_{2 \text{ loops}} = \frac{1}{4^{2}} g_{YM}^{2} N^{3} (D_{max} 2)^{2} \log^{2} 4 \frac{2}{\frac{2}{2}} \frac{0 i \frac{L}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} 5$$

$$\frac{1}{4^{2}} \frac{N^{2}}{576} g_{YM}^{2} N (D_{max} 2)^{2} \frac{L^{2}}{2}$$
(2:13)

in such a way that one arrives at the following expression for the (1+2)-bop free energy density

$$F(;L) = \frac{1}{L} N^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{8} (D_{max} - 2) \log^{4} \frac{4 - 0 i^{L}}{3 i^{L}} \int_{3}^{3} \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{2} (D_{max} - 2)^{2} (g_{YM}^{2} N) (L) \log^{2} 4 \frac{2 - 0 i^{L}}{2} \int_{1}^{3} \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{2} (D_{YM} N)^{2}]_{1}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{L} \int_{1}^{4} (D_{max} - 2) N^{2} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{144} (D_{max} - 2) g_{YM}^{2} N L^{2} :$$

A coording to this form ula, the natural eective dimensionless coupling in the large L limit is now $g_{eff}^2 = (g_{YM}^2 N)L^2$. The analysis with be reliable when 1 $(g_{YM}^2 N)L^2 (g_{YM}^2 N)^2$. A gain, the equation of state in the two dimensional case can be computed when L, with the result

$$E = \frac{S^2}{N^2 (D_{max} - 2)} 1 + \frac{(D_{max} - 2)}{144} g_{YM}^2 N L^2 :$$
 (2:14)

3. Conclusions and outlook: H agedorn transition from SYM therm odynam ics?

In the present paper, the therm odynam ics of supersymmetric Y ang-M ills theories with 16, 8 and 4 supercharges was studied in any dimension d 4. We computed the two-loop correction to the free energy for these theories and found that it always has opposite sign to the leading (negative) one-loop result. In the four-dimensional case we also evaluated the correction to the free energy arising from the resummation of the ring diagram s, using the technique of ref. [21], and found it to be negative. For lower dimensional (d 3) SYM theories, the computation is plaqued with infrared divergences that have to be regularized somehow. We studied in detail the two-dimensional case at nite volume (to regularize these infrared divergences) in the high temperature limit. Again we found a positive two-loop correction which scales as T^2 with an elective dimensionless coupling given by g_{YM}^2 N L².

Before closing, let us make som e remarks on the potential use of SYM therm odynamics in clarifying the issue of the H agedom transition. On general grounds, one can expect two possible resolutions to the H agedom problem : either non-perturbative e ects drive the critical temperature to a maximum reachable temperature for the system or new fundam ental degrees of freedom appear at high energies, thus providing a picture for a phase transition (or a sm ooth crossover, depending on the details of the dynam ics). A lthough at present there are no clear evidences as to which one of the two alternatives is physically realized in string/M -theory, som e results [3][5] and our still incom plete know ledge of the theory seem to hint in the direction of the second one.

D -instanton corrections to the therm odynam ical potentials have been studied in [2] with the result that they do not modify the critical behavior at the H agedom tem perature. M ore recently, the authors of refs. [5] have included non-perturbative sem iclassical ingredients in the analysis of the physics of the H agedom transition at nite volum e through the H orow itz-P olchinski correspondence principle [33], getting a picture in which the H agedom phase is bounded at high energies by a black hole phase. A sim ilar situation occurs for a string gas on A dS backgrounds where, in the canonical ensem ble, the H agedom transition is \screened" by the form ation of an A dS black hole [4].

A second approach to the problem would start with a non-perturbative formulation of string theory in terms of M-theoretic degrees of freedom, as it has been proposed in [3]. Let us momentarily adhere ourselves to this latter path and, starting with the non-perturbative de nition of the Type-IIA superstring provided by M atrix strings [30], study the world-volume therm odynamics of Type-IIA strings in the microcanonical ensemble. The world-volume theory is governed by N = 8 SYM₂ with the Yang-M ills coupling constant given by $g_{YM}^2 = 1 = (g_s^2 \ ^0)$, with g_s the string coupling constant. On the other hand, free eld con gurations are determined by the overall scale $\ ^0$. In the infrared, E g_{YM} , the physics is dominated by \long string" excitations along the at directions. It is in this regime in which M atrix strings reproduce, in the large-N limit, the multi-string

Type-IIA ensemble [30][6]. If the energy is increased, the system will begin to be excited along non- at directions as well. At energies $E = g_{YM}$ the potential term s in the N = 8 SYM₂ theory will behave as a sm all perturbation and the system will enter a perturbative regime. Therm odynamics there is well de ned, as we have seen from the previous analysis.

It is tem pting to try to make som e connection between these two world-sheet regim es and the low/high energy regim es in the target space string theory. At low energies we have perturbative Type-IIA superstring theory that, in ten open space-tim e dimensions, we know is characterized at high energies by a negative speci c heat phase. This negative speci c heat phase is viewed as a breakdown of equipartition in energy, in the sense that most of the energy of the string ensemble is stored into one (or a sm all number) of highly excited strings [18][19]. From the philosophy of M-theory it seems quite reasonable to expect that if too much energy is stored into a single string som e transition to non-perturbative (m aybe eleven-dimensional) physics should take place, putting an end to the negative speci c phase. A Itematively, a black hole could be formed before the system leaves the string regim e [5]. In any case, the nal conclusion would be that the H agedom phase w illbe bounded by a new phase into which the system w illdecay either via a sm ooth crossover or a phase transition.

In the case at hand, however, it is not clear how to connect the world-volum e theory with some kind of target picture. One of the di culties lies in the fact that M atrix strings are form ulated in the light-cone gauge, in which the space-time interpretation is rather obscure. Nonetheless, one can naively argue that the negative speci c heat phase at intermediate energies 0 $^{1=2} < E$ g_s 1 0 $^{1=2}$ is bounded at high energy E g_s 1 0 $^{1=2}$ by a new phase with regular therm odynam ics (i.e. positive speci c heat) e ectively described by a perturbative two-dimensional U (N) supersymmetric Y ang-M ills theory with sixteen supercharges in the large-N limit. If this were so, the transition between the low energy string phase and the new high energy phase would be through a rst order phase transition across the unstable (negative speci c heat) phase (cf. C arlitz in [18]). The critical points would be determined by the M axwell rule for the entropy, provided the complete prole of the microcanonical temperature T (E) is known.

The space-time interpretation of such a phase is far from being straightforward. In the SYM₂ perturbative regime (or directly in the free lim it g_{YM}^2 (g_{YM}^2 ⁰) ¹! ⁰) the two-dimensional action is that of sigm a-m odel in a \non-commutative" target space with matrix coordinates X 2 A d j[U (N)]. Whether this indicates that the H agedom transition

14

corresponds⁷ to the nucleation of non-commutative bubbles in a commutative space-time is something that it is dicult to decide with our present know ledge of the theory. One of the problem s to be claried will be, for example, how the target space volume dependence of the extensive quantities emerges as a function of N. In any case, we stress that this extrapolation of the world-sheet picture to space-time physics is very speculative, and should be tested by a detailed computation. We hope to report on this elsewhere.

In a sense, this picture can be regarded as dual to the one proposed in [3]. There, the H agedom transition is linked to the condensation of D 0-branes and their low energy dynam ics will be U (N) super quantum mechanics with sixteen supercharges. Both descriptions could in principle be related by perform ing a T-duality along the ninth dimension and interchanging its role with the M-theory circle.

4. A cknow ledgem ents

It is a pleasure to thank R. Dijkgraaf, IL. Egusquiza, R. Emparan, JL. Manes, M A R. Osorio, M. Serone, M A. Valle-Basagoiti, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde and specially JL F. Barbon form any interesting and useful discussions. This work has been supported by the Fundam enteel Onderzoek van the Materie (FOM) Foundation and by a University of the Basque Country G rant UPV 063.310-EB187/98.

N ote added

A first this paper appeared in the LANL hep-th archive, I learned directly from S.-J. Rey of his parallel and independent work with C.K in on SYM therm odynamics, part of which overlaps with the results presented here and that has later appeared in [34]. I would like also to thank A.N ieto and A.T seytlin for their interesting remarks on the rst version of the article.

⁷ At least in those cases in which it is not preceded by the form ation of black holes due to the corresponding principle. A ctually, we can tune the string coupling constant g_s , the volume and the total energy in such a way that the system avoid the correspondence line and thus we prevent the form ation of black holes.

References

- [1] M B.Green, Nucl. Phys. B 381 (1992) 201;
 M A.Vazquez-M ozo, Phys. Lett. B 388 (1996) 494 (hep-th/9607052);
 S.Lee and L.Thorlacius, Phys. Lett. B 413 (1997) 303 (hep-th/9707167);
 M L.M eana, M A R.O sorio and JP.Penalba, Nucl. Phys. B 531 (1998) 613 (hep-th/9803058);
 S.Chaudhuri and D.M inic, Phys. Lett. B 433 (1998) 301 (hep-th/9803120);
 J.Am b jom, Yu M .M akeenko and G W .Sem eno , Phys. Lett. B 445 (1999) 307 (hep-th/9810170);
 M L.M eana and JP.Penalba, Phys. Lett. B 447 (1999) 59 (hep-th/9811170).
- [2] JLF. Barbon and MA. Vazquez-Mozo, Nucl. Phys. B497 (1997) 236 (hepth/9701142).
- [3] S.K.Rama and B.Sathiapalan, Mod.Phys.Lett.A 13 (1998) 3147 (hep-th/9810069);
 S.Baland B.Sathiapalan, High temperature limit of the N = 2 matrix model, (hep-th/9902087).
- [4] JLF.Barbon and E.Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B 545 (1999) 371 (hep-th/9805143);
 JLF.Barbon, I.I.Kogan and E.Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B 544 (1999) 104 (hep-th/9809033).
- [5] SA.Abel, JLF.Barbon, I.I.Kogan and E.Rabinovici, JHEP 04 (1999) 015 (hepth/9902058).
- [6] G.Grignaniand G.W. Semeno, Thermodynamics partition function of matrix superstrings, (hep-th/9903246);
 J.P. Penalba, Non-perturbative thermodynamics in Matrix string theory, (hepth/9904094).
- [7] J.Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 4724 (hep-th/9510017); Rev. M od. Phys.
 68 (1996) 1245 (hep-th/9607050).
- [8] JM.Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231 (hep-th/9711200).
- [9] N. Itzhaki, J.M. Maklacena, J. Schonnenschein and S. Yankielow icz, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 046004 (hep-th/9802042).
- [10] E.W itten, Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 2 (1998) 253 (hep-th/9802150); Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 2 (1998) 505 (hep-th/9803131);
 S.S.Gubser, IR.K lebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 105 (hep-th/9802109).
- [11] JM. Maldacena, Black Holes in String Theory, Princeton PhD. Thesis (hepth/9607235).

- S.S.Gubser, IR.Klebanov and A.W. Peet, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 3915 (hep-th/9602135);
 S.S.Gubser, Therm odynam ics of spinning D 3-brane, (hep-th/9810225)
- [13] M. Li, E. Martinec and V. Sahakian, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 044035 (hep-th/9809061);
 E. Martinec and V. Sahakian, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 124005 (hep-th/9810224);
 Black holes and ve-brane therm odynamics, (hep-th/9901135)
- [14] A.Fotopoulos and T.R.Taylor, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 061701 (hep-th/9811224).
- [15] S.S.Gubser, IR.K lebanov and A.A.Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B 534 (1998) 202 (hep-th/9805156);
 K.Landsteiner, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 14 (1999) 379 (hep-th/9901143);
 M.M.Caldarelli and D.K lemm, M-theory and stringy corrections to anti-de Sitter black holes and conformal eld theories, (hep-th/9903078).
- [16] A A.T seytlin and S.Yankielow icz, Nucl. Phys. B 541 (1999) 145 (hep-th/9809032).
- [17] M.Li, JHEP 03 (1999) 004 (hep-th/9807196).
- [18] S.Frautschi, Phys. Rev. D 3 (1971) 2821; R D.Carlitz, Phys. Rev. D 5 (1972) 3231; N.Cabbibo and G.Parisi, Phys. Lett. B 59 (1975) 67; E.A lvarez, Phys. Rev. D 31 (1985) 418; Nucl. Phys. B 269 (1986) 596; M J.Bowick and L.C.R.W ijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 2485; J.Polchinski, Commun. M ath. Phys. 104 (1986) 37; Ya.I.Kogan, JETP Letters 45 (1987) 709; E.A lvarez and M.A.R.Osorio, Phys. Rev. D 36 (1987) 1175; Nucl. Phys. B 304 (1988) 327; J.J.Atick and E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 310 (1988) 291; R.Brandenberger and C.Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 316 (1989) 391.
 [19] N.Deo, S.Jain and C.-I.Tan, Phys. Lett. B 220 (1989) 125; Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989)
- [19] N.Deo, S.Jam and C.-I.Tan, Phys. Lett. B 220 (1989) 125; Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 2646.
- [20] L.Brink, J.H. Schwarz and J. Scherk, Nucl. Phys. B 121 (1977) 77.
- [21] P.Amold and C.Zhai, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 7603 (hep-ph/9408276); Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 1906 (hep-ph/9410360).
- [22] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 202 (1982) 253.
- [23] M A R. Osorio, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 7 (1992) 4275.
- [24] J.I.Kapusta, Nucl. Phys. B 148 (1979) 461;
 D.J.Gross, R.D. Pisarski and L.G. Ya e, Rev. Mod. Phys. 53 (1981) 43.

- [25] C.Zhai and B.Kastening, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 7232 (hep-ph/9507380).
- [26] E.Braaten and A.Nieto, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 3421 (hep-ph/9510408).
- [27] J.I.K apusta, Therm alField Theory, Cambridge 1989.
- [28] E.Braaten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 2164 (hep-ph/9409434).
- [29] E.D'Hoker, Nucl. Phys. B 201 (1982) 401.
- [30] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B 500 (1997) 43 (hepth/9703030); Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 62 (1988) 348 (hep-th/9709107).
- [31] JLF. Barbon and MA. Vazquez-Mozo, Nucl. Phys. B475 (1996) 244 (hepth/9605050).
- [32] P.Epstein, Math. Ann. 56 (1903) 615; Math. Ann. 63 (1907) 205.
- [33] G.T.Horowitz and J.Pokhinski, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 6189 (hep-th/9612146);
 Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 2557 (hep-th/9707170).
- [34] C.Kim and S.J.Rey, Thermodynamics of large-N super Yang-Mills theory and AdS/CFT correspondence, (hep-th/9905205).