Explicit M odular Invariant Two-Loop Superstring Amplitude Relevant for R⁴

Roberto Iengo

INFN, Sezione di Trieste and Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati (SISSA) Via Beirut 4, I-34013 Trieste, Italy

Chuan-Jie Zhu^y

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O.Box 2735, Beijing 100080, P.R.China

March 28, 2022

A bstract

In this note we derive an explicit modular invariant formula for the two loop 4-point amplitude in superstring theory in terms of a multiple integral (7 complex integration variables) over the complex plane which is shown to be convergent. We consider in particular the case of the leading term for vanishing momenta of the four graviton amplitude, which would correspond to the two-loop correction of the R4 term in the elective Action. The resulting expression is not positive de nite and could be zero, although we cannot see that it vanishes.

E-m ail: iengo@ he.sissa.it

YE mail: zhucj@ itp.ac.cn

1 Introduction and Sum mary

Inspired by a recent argument [1,2] about the R⁴ term in superstring theory, it is necessary to reconsider the niteness of the superstring two-loop 4-graviton amplitude [3], especially for the case of vanishing momenta which was not discussed in detail previously in [3]. The arguments given in [3] were not complete. We can extend these arguments and make them precise [4]. However we will take a dierent route here.

First we derive an explicit modular invariant formula for the two-loop 4-point amplitude. We remaind that the genus-2 Riemann surface, which is the appropriate world sheet for two loops, can be described in full generality by means of the hyperelliptic formalism. This is based on a representation of the surface as two sheet covering of the complex plane described by the equation:

$$y^{2}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{Y^{6}} (z \quad a_{i});$$
 (1)

The complex numbers a_i , (i = 1; ;6) are the six branch points, by going around them one passes from one sheet to the other. Three of them represent the moduli of the genus 2 R iem ann surface over which the integration is performed, while the other three can be arbitrarily xed. In fact, the two-loop formula, which we obtained by implementing in the hyperelliptic formalism the general algorithm [5] for a multi-genus worldsheet, is expressed as an integral over the R iem ann surface moduli, i.e. three branch points, and over the four (complex) position of the vertex operators. The whole integrand, including the measure, is SL (2;C) invariant and thus three points (i.e. the remaining branch points) are arbitrarily xed. Modular invariance in this language amounts to invariance under permutations of a_i , (i = 1; ;6), and it is not explicitly apparent in the expression for the amplitude given in [3]. One of the main goal of this paper is to get it manifest.

Our strategy is the following. In our previous calculation we xed 3 branch points by using the SL (2;C) invariance of the hyperelliptic representation of genus 2 Riem ann surface. Here instead (see Sect. 2) we choose to x 3 out of the 4 vertex operator points and integrate all the 6 branch points. We do it by making a suitable SL (2;C) change of variables on our previous formula. A mazingly an explicit modular invariant formula is obtained for the 4-point amplitude. This formula is like the Koba-Nielsen formula for the

tree am plitude in string theory, in that it is in fact represented as an integral over the whole complex plane of one of the four vertex positions (the other three being xed). Furtherm ore there is also the integration over the whole complex plane of the six branch points which appear symmetrically in the integrand.

With this new formula in hand we study its niteness property by making a convenient choice of the two arbitrary parameters from supercurrent insertion points (some basic facts about this ingredient of the construction of the amplitude are recalled in Sect. 2.1). We get thus the following formula for the zero momentum $\lim_{n \to \infty} i = z_{1,2,3}^0$ arbitrarily xed):

$$A II_{0} = c_{II} K V \begin{pmatrix} z & \frac{Q_{6}}{T^{5}} \frac{d^{2}a_{i}}{d^{2}a_{i}} \frac{d^{2}z_{4}}{d^{2}a_{i}} \frac{(z_{1}^{0} z_{4})(z_{2}^{0} z_{4})}{(y_{1}^{0})(z_{1}^{0})y_{1}(z_{2}^{0})y_{2}(z_{3}^{0})^{2}} \\ e^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0} a_{i}} \begin{pmatrix} x^{4} & \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{2}^{0} a_{i}} \begin{pmatrix} x^{4} & \frac{1}{z_{2}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{2}^{0}} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{0}} \end{pmatrix} (2)$$

where T (the determ inant of the \period m atrix" of the surface) is a function of a_i ; a_i given in eq. (5) below, K is the standard 4-graviton kinematical factor [6, 3] (see Appendix B for details and its relation with the R 4 term) and V is a constant factor:

$$V = \frac{1}{16} \dot{y}_1^0 \quad z_2^0 \dot{y}_1^4 (z_1^0 \quad z_3^0)^2 (z_1^0 \quad z_3^0) (z_2^0 \quad z_3^0) (z_2^0 \quad z_3^0)^2 : \tag{3}$$

The constant c_{II} in front of the amplitude in eq. (2) can be determined by the unitarity relations which for generic momenta relate the two-loop expression with the one-loop and the tree level amplitudes, and thus it is nonzero.

One can check that the integrand of the above formula is SL(2;C) invariant (including the measure), i.e. invariant under a simultaneous transform ation of all the a_i 's and z_i 's of the kind w ! (aw + b) = (cw + d) w ith ad bc = 1. Every factor transforms nontrivially and it is rather amazing to see how the whole formula is calibrated. It is also manifestly invariant under permutations of the six branch points a_1 ; a_i , i.e. it is manifestly modular invariant. In Sect. 3 we make a thorough study of all the possible boundaries of the moduli space and prove that the amplitude is nite, i.e. it is expressed as a convergent 7-(complex)-dimensional integral.

It is not clear whether the result of the integration is zero as it should be for in agreement with the arguments given in refs. [1, 2] about the absence of n-loop (with n larger than 1) contributions to the R 4 term. The above expression for the integrand is certainly not positive denite (we will see in Sect. 2.2 that attemping to get a positive denite integrand by adding some total derivative, as it was uncorrectly argued in [3], is not possible due to boundary terms). Still it does not appear to be zero in an obvious way. This can be contrasted with the fact that using the same formalism we have verified other nonrenormalization theorems [7], namely the vanishing of the amplitude with less than 4 vertices. In those cases the integrand itself was found to be zero.

2 A Modular Invariant Form of the Amplitude

2.1 Heterotic String Amplitude

To simplify the presentation we will rst discuss the amplitude for heterotic string. The two loop 4-point amplitude in heterotic string theory obtained in [3, 8] is

$$AH_{0} = c_{H} K_{H} \frac{Z}{T^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{d^{2}a_{1}d^{2}a_{2}d^{2}a_{3} \dot{p}_{45} a_{46} a_{56} \dot{f}}{T^{\frac{5}{2}} \dot{p}_{i;j} \dot{f}} \frac{Y^{4}}{a_{1}} \frac{d^{2}z_{1}(r z_{1})}{y(z_{1})} I(r)F(a;z); \quad (4)$$

where K_H is a kinematic factor depending on the left sector particle contents and F (a; z) is a function denoting the contribution from left sector of the heterotic string theory. The complex numbers a_i , (i = 1; ;6) and the function y(z) which describe the Riemann surface have been introduced in the rst Section, see eq. (1). Three of the six branch points, say $a_{1;2;3}$, represent the moduli of the genus 2 Riemann surface over which the integration is performed, while $a_{4;5;6}$ are arbitrarily xed. Modular invariance in this language amounts to invariance under permutations of a_i , (i = 1; ;6), and it is not explicitly apparent in eq. (4). One of the main goal of this paper is to get it manifest. Let us remind that eq. (4) is obtained after sum ming over the even spin structures with signs uniquely xed by the requirement of modular invariance [9]. There is no contribution from the odd spin structure.

In fact, the four vertices and the two supercurrent insertion (which we recall below) could just provide the required ten ferm ionic zero modes, resulting in a contribution proportional to the completely antisymmetric ten dimensional tensor. Since it will be contracted with the four momenta, which are linearly dependent, the result will be identically zero.

We also recall that F (a;z) is sym metric under permutations of a_i , (i = 1; (a;z). The other functions appearing in (4) are

$$T (a_{i}; a_{i}) = \frac{Z}{\dot{y}(z_{1})\dot{y}(z_{2})\dot{y}(z_{2})\dot{y}};$$
 (5)

which is proportional to the determ inant of the period matrix (see ref. [8]), and

$$I(r) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{6}}{x_{i}} \frac{1}{r + a_{i}} \frac{1}{r + a_{j}} \frac{1}{4} \frac{X^{3}}{x_{i}} \frac{1}{r + a_{i}} \frac{1}{r + a_{j}} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{X^{6}}{x_{i}} \frac{1}{r + a_{i}} \frac{2}{r + a_{i}} \frac{1}{r + a_{i}} \frac{1}{r + a_{i}} \frac{X^{4}}{r + a_{i}} \frac{1}{r + a_{i}} \frac{1}{r$$

As we proved in [3,8] the amplitude AH $_0$ is independent of the parameter r. This parameter r represents the arbitrary point of insertion of the two supercurrents (also called picture-changing operators) as appropriate for genus 2 where there are two zero modes of the superghost. We have chosen to insert those two supercurrents at the same point r in the upper and lower sheet of the double covering of the complex plane described by eq. (1). The independence of the result from r is in agreement with a general derivation in ref. [5] where it is shown in general that a displacement of r amounts to an irrelevant total derivative in the moduli space.

In fact, we note that I(r) is a rational function of r. By a standard argument from complex analysis or by explicit computation we have

where I_1 is independent of r (see eq. (34) in Appendix A) and I_i (r) contains the pole singularities for r! a_i .

As we proved in [3], all these singular terms give total derivatives when we insert eq. (7) into the 4-point amplitude (4). For instance the formula relevant for $I_i(r)$, (i = 1;2;3) is (see the Appendix A for further details):

$$I_{i}(r) = \frac{Y^{4}}{Y(z_{1})} \frac{(a_{i} - z_{1})}{Y(z_{1})} \frac{1}{T^{5}} \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^{6} a_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{0}{0} \frac{1}{a_{i}} \frac{1}{T^{5}} \frac{1}{a_{i}} \frac{Y^{4}}{T^{5}} \frac{(a_{i} - z_{1})}{a_{i}^{6} a_{k+1}} \frac{1}{a_{i}^{6} a_{k+1}} \frac{Y^{4}}{A^{6} a_{k+1}} \frac{(a_{i} - z_{1})}{Y(z_{1})} ; (8)$$

Sim ilar form ulas hold also for $I_i(r)$, (i=4;5;6) (eq. (39) in Appendix A). These total derivative terms give zero contribution when integrated over the moduli as in eq. (4), since we proved in [3,8] that there are no possible boundary contributions. Therefore AH $_0$ is independent from r.

Next, we note that the am plitude (4) is SL (2;C) invariant, i.e. a simultaneous SL (2;C) transform ation on all the integration variables $a_{1;2;3}$, $z_{1;2;3;4}$ and the xed point $a_{4;5;6}$ and r leaves AH $_0$ invariant, i.e.

$$A H_{0} = c_{H} K_{H} \frac{Z}{2} \frac{d^{2}S (a_{1})d^{2}S (a_{2})d^{2}S (a_{3})}{T^{5} (a_{1})^{6} (a_{1})} \frac{A (a_{1})}{S (a_{1})^{6}} \frac{S (a_{1$$

where S denotes an SL (2;C) transform ation. Here the functions T, y (S (z)) and I (S (r)) have in their de nitions w ith a_i changed to S (a_i). S (x) depends on three parameters $q_{1;2;3}$ and thus we set

$$S(x) S_q(x) = \frac{(q_1 q_2)(x q_3)}{(q_1 q_3)(x q_2)};$$
 (10)

Now we insert the following identity

into eq. (9) and we get

$$AH_{0} = c_{H} K_{H} \frac{Z}{2} \frac{d^{2}S(a_{1})d^{2}S(a_{2})d^{2}S(a_{3}) \frac{Q_{6}}{i \times j + 4} \mathcal{F}(a_{i}) S(a_{j}) \mathcal{F}}{T^{5} \frac{Q_{6}}{i \times j} \mathcal{F}(a_{i}) S(a_{j}) \mathcal{F}}$$

$$\frac{Y^{4}}{2} \frac{d^{2}S(z_{1})(S(r) S(z_{1}))}{Y(S(z_{1}))} \frac{Y^{3}}{i \times j + 1} \frac{d^{2}Q_{i}}{i \times j + 1} \frac{Y^{3}}{2} \frac{Z_{i}^{0}}{i \times j + 1} \mathcal{F}_{i}^{0} Z_{j}^{0} \mathcal{F}_{i}^{0} I(S(r)) \mathcal{F}(S(a); S(z)) : \qquad (12)$$

$$\frac{Z_{i}^{0}}{2} \frac{Z_{i}^{0}}{i \times j + 1} \mathcal{F}_{i}^{0} Z_{i}^{0} \mathcal{F}_{i}^{0} \mathcal{F}_{i}^{0} I(S(r)) \mathcal{F}(S(a); S(z)) : \qquad (12)$$

Now taking $S = S_q$ and noticing the following relation

we get

$$AH_{0} = c_{H} K_{H} \frac{Z}{T^{5} \frac{Q_{6}}{i < j} A_{i} A_{j} Y^{4}} \frac{Z_{1}(S_{A}(r) Z_{1})}{Y(Z_{1})}$$

$$Y^{3} \qquad Y^{3} \qquad Y^{3$$

where we have renamed $S_q(a_i)$ to be A_i , $S_q(z_l)$ to be Z_l and $Y^2(Z) = {Q \choose i=1}$ ($Z \land A_i$). Also we changed the name of SL(2;C) transformation of S_q to be S_A , since $q_{1,2;3}$ are xed in terms of $A_{4,5;6}$. Explicitly we have

$$S_{q}(r) = S_{A}(r) = \frac{A_{6}(A_{4} A_{5})r A_{4}(A_{6} A_{5})}{(A_{4} A_{5})r (A_{6} A_{5})};$$
 (15)

From eq. (14) we see that because of the 3 functions the integration variables $Z_{1;2;3}$ are xed and all the A_1 ; $_{,6}$ are integrated. Nevertheless this form ula is not explicitly modular invariant, i.e. it's not invariant under any interchange of A_1 and A_2 . In what follows we will put it into a modular invariant form .

Setting

$$I_{M}(x) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{X^{6}}{(x + A_{i})^{2}} \frac{1}{4} \frac{X^{6}}{x + A_{i}} \frac{1}{x + A_{i}} \frac{1}{x + A_{j}} \frac{1}{8} \frac{X^{6}}{x + A_{i}} \frac{1}{x + A_{i}} \frac{X^{4}}{x + A_{i}} \frac{1}{x + A_{i}} \frac{1$$

we have the following identity

$$I(S_{A}(r)) = I_{x=1, 1} \frac{S_{A}(r) Z_{k}}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1, 2} \frac{1}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{1}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{X^{6}}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{X^{6}}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{X^{6}}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{1}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{X^{6}}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{1}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{X^{6}}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{1}{Y(Z_{k})} = I_{x=1} \frac{1}{Y(Z$$

By using this identity in eq. (14) and by dropping all the total derivatives term s, we nally get

$$AH_{0} = c_{H} K_{H} \frac{Z}{T^{5} \frac{Q_{6}}{i < j} A_{i}} \frac{Q^{2}A_{i}}{A_{j} A_{j}} \frac{Y^{4}}{A_{i}} \frac{d^{2}Z_{1}(x Z_{1})}{Y(Z_{1})}$$

$$Y^{3} \frac{Z}{Z_{1}(x Z_{1})} \frac{Y^{3}}{Z_{1}(x Z_{1})} \frac{Z_{1}^{0}}{Z_{1}(x Z_{1})} \frac{Z$$

Notice that I_M (x) is a modular invariant function, i.e. invariant under any permutation of the branch points A_i , (i = 1; ;6). So we obtain a modular invariant formula for the two loop 4-particle amplitude in heterotic string theory. It is also independent of the parameter x as one can easily check that changing x amounts to irrelevant total derivatives, as we have done in Appendix A.

2.2 Type II Superstring Amplitude

For type II superstring theory, we can use the same method to get a modular invariant formula. Let us start with the two loop 4-point amplitude (with vanishing momenta) in type II superstring theory [3, 8]:

$$A II_{0} = c_{II} K \frac{d^{2}a_{1}d^{2}a_{2}d^{2}a_{3} j_{a_{45}} a_{46} a_{56} j^{2}}{T^{5} i_{s_{1}} j^{2} j^{2}} \frac{d^{2}z_{1}(r z_{1}) (s z_{1})}{j^{2}(z_{1}) j^{2}}$$

$$= c_{II} K \frac{d^{2}a_{1}d^{2}a_{2}d^{2}a_{3} j_{a_{45}} a_{46} a_{56} j^{2} y^{4}}{T^{5} i_{s_{1}} j^{2} j^{2} j^{2}} \frac{d^{2}z_{1}(r z_{1}) (s z_{1}) j^{2}}{j^{2}} \frac{j^{2}}{j^{2}}$$

$$= c_{II} K \frac{d^{2}a_{1}d^{2}a_{2}d^{2}a_{3} j_{a_{45}} a_{46} a_{56} j^{2} y^{4} \frac{d^{2}z_{1}(r z_{1}) (s z_{1}) j^{2}}{j^{2}(z_{1}) j^{2}} \frac{j^{2}}{j^{2}}$$

$$= c_{II} K \frac{d^{2}a_{1}d^{2}a_{2}d^{2}a_{3} j_{a_{45}} a_{46} a_{56} j^{2} y^{4} \frac{d^{2}z_{1}(r z_{1}) (s z_{1}) j^{2}}{j^{2}(z_{1}) j^{2}} \frac{j^{2}}{j^{2}} \frac{$$

where K is the standard 4-graviton kinematical factor [6, 3]. The complete amplitude and K factor is given in Appendix B.Now there are two arbitrary parameters from supercurrent-insertion points, r from the right sector and s from the left sector. Again, the resulting amplitude $A\ II_0$ is independent of them.

Now we perform the same trick of performing a SL (2;C) transformation on (19) as in the heterotic string case and obtain the following:

$$AII_{0} = c_{II} K = \frac{\frac{Q_{6}}{\sum_{i=1}^{6} d^{2}A_{i}}}{T^{5} \frac{Q_{6}^{6}}{\sum_{i\leq j} A_{ij} f}} \frac{Y^{4}}{\sum_{l=1}^{4} d^{2}Z_{1}(S_{A}(r) - Z_{1})(S_{A}(s) - Z_{1})} \frac{Z_{1}(S_{A}(r) - Z_{1})(S_{A}(s) - Z_{1})}{\sum_{l=1}^{4} (Z_{1})f}$$

To proceed further we need some formulas reported in the Appendix B. By using eq. (51) of the Appendix B in (20), it is now easy to derive an explicit modular invariant formula for type II superstring by dropping all total derivative terms. We get

$$A II_{0} = c_{II} K \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 6 & 0 & 2A_{i} & y^{4} & 0 & 2Z_{1}(x & Z_{1}) & (w & Z_{1}) \\ T^{5} & 6 & A_{ij} & A_{ij} & A_{ij} & y^{4} &$$

where I_M (x) is the same as in eq. (16). Again, x and w are arbitrary and the result does not depend on them .

We note that we can't set x=w in eq. (21) because with x=w in eq. (21) A II $_0$ is divergent. The important point to note here is the following: the total derivative terms (see eq. (51)) which would be dropped are actually in this case non-vanishing and divergent. This can be clearly demonstrated by the following computation ($A_i = x = re^i$):

In the above equation the last term is a total derivative in and can be dropped. On the other hand the rst term is a total derivative in r and its integration over r gives two terms evaluated at the points r = and r = constant

$$Z_{2}$$
 $d e^{2i} f(A;A)I_{M}(w)j_{=} e^{2i} f(A;A)I_{M}(w)j_{=} : (23)$

Here we only need to consider the second term because the rst term is just an artifact of cuto . When w \in x, the second term is regular for r= ! 0 and so there is no boundary term . When w=x, the term $\frac{1}{(A_1w)^2}$ from I_M (w) gives a contribution which is $\frac{2}{2}$ after integration over which is divergent for ! 0 while the other terms give non-vanishing but nite contributions.

3 The niteness of the amplitude

Now we study the niteness property of the amplitude A II $_0$ in (21). First we make the following choice of the two arbitrary parameters x and w (which have their origin as the insertion point of the supercurrents): $x = Z_1^0$ and $w = Z_2^0$. W ith this choice the amplitude A II $_0$ simplies greatly:

where V is a constant factor:

$$V = \frac{1}{16} \mathcal{Z}_{1}^{0} \quad Z_{2}^{0} \mathcal{J}_{1}^{4} (Z_{1}^{0} \quad Z_{3}^{0})^{2} (Z_{1}^{0} \quad Z_{3}^{0}) (Z_{2}^{0} \quad Z_{3}^{0}) (Z_{2}^{0} \quad Z_{3}^{0})^{2}; \qquad (25)$$

To prepare the discussion of niteness of the above am plitude we rst note that due to the angular integration, the factor $(Z_1^0 - Z_4)(Z_2^0 - Z_4)$ times the second line of eq. (24) can be omitted. Although this factor may be singular for A_i ! $Z_{1;2}^0$ (it is not singular for Z_4 ! $Z_{1;2}^0$), the singular terms only appear either in the holomorphic part or the anti-holomorphic part. The integration over the angular variable then gives a contribution which is always not more singular than the contribution without this factor. So for the purpose of discussing niteness we can just consider the niteness of the truncated expression:

$$AII_{T} = \frac{Z}{T^{\frac{6}{5}} \frac{d^{2}A_{i}}{d^{5}} \frac{d^{2}Z_{4}}{d^{5}} \frac{d^{2}Z_{4}}{d^{5}} \frac{1}{d^{5}(Z_{4})^{2}} \frac{1}{d^{5}(Z_{4})^{5}} \frac{1}{d^{5}(Z_{4})^{5}} \cdot (26)}$$

To discuss the niteness property of the amplitude A II $_{\rm I}$ in (26) we keep the xed points Z $_{\rm i}^0$ at generic points and classify all the boundaries into various cases.

In the rst case a) all the branch points are kept away from the xed points Z $_{\rm i}^0$ and we use the following param etrization:

$$A_1 = A_1; (27)$$

$$A_2 = A_1 + u; (28)$$

$$A_i = A_1 + u v_{i2}$$
; $i = 3$; $jn = 6$; (29)

and consider the lim it u! 0 and v_i 's nite. The integration over all A_i (i = 1; ;6) changes to an integration over u_i , Av_i and the rest A_j 's.

In the second case b) a subset of the branch points approach one of the points of Z $_{\rm i}^0$ and we use the following param etrization:

$$A_1 = Z_i^0 + u;$$
 (30)
 $A_j = Z_i^0 + u v_{j1}; j = 2;$;n 6; (31)

and also consider the lim it u! 0 and v_i 's nite. The integration over all A_i (i = 1; ;6) changes to an integration over ψ_i and the rest A_i 's.

The third case is a combination of the rst two cases and its niteness is proved if we can prove the niteness in the rst two cases. In each cases the integration over Z_4 must also be considered to obtain the most singular behaviour.

It is straightforward to compute the behaviour of the various factors under various degeneration limits. In particular the function T under various degeneration limit u! 0 behaves as follows:

In the rst case the factor $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{Z}_{1}^{0})Y(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{0})Y(\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{0})^{2}}$ is non-singular. The behaviour of the other factors is given in the following table:

	1 T ⁵	$^{\circ}_{i=1}^{6} d^2 A_i$	Q 1 6 jAijf	$\frac{d^2Z_4}{\mathcal{Y}(Z_4)f}$	All	
A ₂ ! A ₁	<u>1</u> (ln ງ່ມ ງ່) ⁵	d²u	<u>1</u> ju f	ln juj	<u>d²u 1</u> jມƒ (ln juj) ⁴	
A _{2;3} ! A ₁	ju∱	ju fd²u	<u>1</u> ju∱	<u>1</u> juj	d²u	
A _{2;3;4} ! A ₁	்ப _் ற் ⁰ (In ju ற் ⁵	ju∱d²u	<u>1</u> ju j 2	<u>1</u> ju j²	$d^2u \frac{1}{(\ln j u)^5}$	
A ₂ ; ;5 ! A ₁	jı f ⁰	ju∫d²u	<u>1</u> ju f ⁰	<u>1</u> ju j³	ju j³d²u	
A ₂ ; ;6 ! A ₁	ju j ³⁰	ju∱d²u	<u>1</u> ju j ³⁰	<u>1</u> ju j ⁴	ju∱d²u	

In the last column we have put all factors together and one sees quite clearly that the integration over u gives a nite contribution from the corner u $\,$ 0. This proves that the amplitude AII $_0$ is nite in the rst case a) degeneration limit.

In the second case b) only one Y (Z $_{i}^{0}$) in the factor $\frac{1}{J^{2}(Z_{1}^{0})Y(Z_{2}^{0})Y(Z_{3}^{0})J^{2}}$ gives singular contribution. This contribution and the behaviour of the other factors is given in the following table:

	1 T ⁵	${\overset{Q}{\underset{i=1}{\circ}}} {\overset{6}{\underset{i=1}{\circ}}} d^2 A_i$	Q 1 6 i< j A ij f	$\frac{R}{\frac{d^2Z_4}{\int Y(Z_4)f^2}}$	<u>1</u> 水(Z _i 0)分	ΑIJ
A ₁ ! Z _i ⁰	1	d²u	1	1	<u>1</u> juj	<u>d²u</u> juj
$A_{1;2}$! Z_i^0	<u>1</u> (ln ່ງມ ງ່) ⁵	ju∱d²u	<u>1</u> ju f	ln juj	<u>1</u> ju f	d²u=ju∱ (ln juj)⁴
$A_{1;2;3} ! Z_{i}^{0}$	jı∱	ju∱d²u	<u>1</u> ju∱	<u>1</u> jıj	<u>1</u> ່ງມ <i>ື</i> ່ງ	<u>d²u</u> ju j
$A_{1; i^4} ! Z_i^0$	jມ່ງ ¹⁰ (In jມ່ງ) ⁵	ju∫d²u	<u>1</u> ju j ¹²	<u>1</u> ju子	<u>1</u> ju j ¹	d ² u=ju j ² (ln ju j) ⁵
$A_{1;:::;5} ! Z_{i}^{0}$	ju∱º	ju∱d²u	<u>1</u> ju j ⁰	<u>1</u> ju j³	<u>1</u> ju∱	d²u
A_{1} ; 6 ! Z_{i}^{0}	jų j ³⁰	ju ^{ქ0} d²u	<u>1</u> ju j ³⁰	<u>1</u> ju j ¹	<u>1</u> ju∱	d²u

In the last column we have put all factors together and one sees quite clearly that the integration over u gives a nite contribution from the corner u 0. This proves that the amplitude A II $_0$ is also nite in the second case b) degeneration limit. This completes our proof that the modular invariant two-loop 4-particle superstring amplitude is nite.

A cknow ledgm ents

C.-J. Zhu is supported in part by funds from National Science Foundation of China and Pandeng Project.

Appendix A

In this appendix we gave some details [3, 8] in proving the independence of the heterotic string am plitude on the parameter r. Let us rst recall eq. (7) here:

$$I(r) = \begin{cases} Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_1 \\ Y^4 & x_2 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x_3 \\ Y^4 & x_4 \\ Y^4 & x$$

where I_1 is given as follows [3]:

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j}^{X^{6}} a_{i} a_{j} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i < j}^{X^{6}} a_{i} a_{j} + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i = 1}^{X^{6}} a_{i} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i = 1}^$$

and $I_i(r)$ are de ned as the singular terms of I(r) times some factors as $r! a_i$:

$$Y^4$$
 $(r z_1) ! I_i(r) (a_i z_1); forr! a_i.$ (35)

Explicitly we have:

$$I_{i}(r) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(r a_{i})^{2}} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{r a_{i}} \frac{x}{j \in i} \frac{1}{a_{i} a_{j}} + \frac{1}{8 r a_{i}} \frac{x^{4}}{a_{i} a_{i}} \frac{1}{a_{i} z_{1}} \frac{5}{4 r a_{i}} \frac{1}{e a_{i}} \ln T; \quad i = 1;2;3; (36)$$

$$I_{4}(r) = \frac{1}{r a_{4}} \frac{1}{a_{4}} \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{5}} + \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{6}} + \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{6}} \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{5}} + \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{6}} \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{5}} + \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{6}} \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{5}} \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{5}} + \frac{1}{a_{4} a_{5}} \frac{5}{4} \frac{e}{e a_{4}} \ln T; \quad \text{etc.} \quad (37)$$

In deriving eq. (33) we have used the property of T under the SL (2;C) linear fractional transform ation. It gives the following identities:

Now we prove that all terms containing $I_i(r)$ give total derivative terms. The formula for $I_i(r)$ (i=1;2;3) is already given in eq. (8) and the formula for $I_4(r)$ is

$$I_{4}(r) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(a_{4} - z_{1})}{y(z_{1})} \frac{1}{T^{5}} \frac{1}{a_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{a_{1}} \frac{1}{a_{2}} \frac{1}{a_{2}} \frac{1}{a_{3}} \frac{1}{a_{4}} \frac{1}$$

In the process of deriving (39) we used (38) again to express the derivative of T with respect to a_4 in terms of the derivatives of a_i (i = 1;2;3):

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta a_4} \ln T = \frac{1}{a_{45}a_{46}} \left(2(a_5 + a_6) \right) \left(x^4 + a_6 \right) \left(x^3 + a_6 \right) \left(a_{15} + a_6 \right)$$

Appendix B

In this appendix we give the complete 4-graviton amplitude, the kinematic factor and collect some form ulas used in the discussion of the Type II Superstrig case. The vertex operator for the ϵ m ission of a graviton with momentum k and polarization tensor is

$$V (k; ;z;z) = : (0_z X (z;z) + ik (z) (z))$$
$$(0_z X (z;z) + ik ^(z) ^(z)) e^{ikX (z;z)} :: (41)$$

The complete two-loop 4-graviton amplitude is

AII
$$(k_i; i) = c_{II} K$$

$$\frac{d^2 a_1 d^2 a_2 d^2 a_3 \dot{a}_{45} a_{46} a_{56} \dot{j}}{T^{5} \dot{a}_{i \le j} \dot{a}_{2ij} \dot{j}} \frac{d^2 z_1 (r z_1) (s z_1)}{\dot{j} (z_1) \dot{j}}$$

where c_{II} is an overall constant which should be xed by unitarity and K is the standard kinematic factor (for $_{i} = _{i} \sim_{i}$) [6, 3]:

$$K = K_{R} \quad K_{L};$$

$$K_{R} = \frac{1}{4} (st_{1} \quad _{3 \; 2} \quad _{4} + su_{\; 2} \quad _{3 \; 1} \quad _{4} + tu_{\; 1} \quad _{2 \; 3} \quad _{4})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} s \left(_{1} \quad k_{\; 3} \quad k_{\; 2} \quad _{4} + \quad _{2} \quad k_{\; 4} \quad k_{\; 1} \quad _{3} \right)$$

$$+ _{1} \quad k_{\; 4} \quad k_{\; 2} \quad _{3} + \quad _{2} \quad k_{\; 3} \quad k_{\; 1} \quad _{4})$$

$$+ _{1}^{2} t \left(_{2} \quad k_{\; 4} \quad k_{\; 1} \quad _{3} + \quad _{3} \quad k_{\; 1} \quad k_{\; 2} \quad _{4} \right)$$

$$+ _{2} \quad k_{\; 1} \quad k_{\; 3} \quad _{4} + \quad _{3} \quad k_{\; 4} \quad k_{\; 1} \quad _{2})$$

$$+ _{1}^{2} u \left(_{1} \quad k_{\; 4} \quad k_{\; 2} \quad _{3} + \quad _{3} \quad k_{\; 4} \quad k_{\; 1} \quad _{2});$$

$$K_{L} = K_{R} \left(\; ! \; \sim \right);$$

$$(43)$$

Here s, t and u are the standard M andelstam variables for the 4-gravitons. De ning t $_{\rm 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 3\ 3\ 4\ 4}$ as follows:

$$K_{R} = t_{1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 1}^{1} k_{1}^{1} 2^{2} k_{2}^{2} 3^{3} k_{3}^{4} k_{4}^{4};$$
 (46)

the R 4 term is given as follows:

$$R^{4} = t^{1} \cdot t^{2} \cdot t^{2} \cdot t^{3} \cdot t^{4} \cdot t^{1} \cdot t^{2} \cdot t^{2} \cdot t^{3} \cdot t^{4} \cdot t^{4} \cdot t^{1} \cdot t^{2} \cdot t^{2} \cdot t^{3} \cdot t^{4} \cdot t^{4$$

To prove the independence of the amplitude AII in eq. (42) we need the following identity:

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{X^{6}}{y=1} \frac{1}{A_{j}} \frac{e^{2}}{e^{2}} \ln T$$

$$= \frac{1}{e^{\frac{6}{j+1}(A_{i} - A_{j})}} \frac{1}{T Y (s)} \frac{e^{2} v (A_{i} - v) (s - v)}{f^{2}} \frac{e^{2}}{s^{2}}; \quad (48)$$

which was proved in [8]. Notice also the following identity

$$\frac{Q_{i=1}^{6}(\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{Z}_{1})}{\mathbf{Y}^{2}(\mathbf{r})} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{6}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{A}_{i}} \frac{Q_{i=1}^{6}(\mathbf{A}_{i} \quad \mathbf{Z}_{1})}{Q_{i=1}^{6}(\mathbf{A}_{i} \quad \mathbf{A}_{j})} = \frac{Q_{i=1}^{6}(\mathbf{X} \quad \mathbf{Z}_{1})}{Q_{i=1}^{6}(\mathbf{X} \quad \mathbf{Z}_{1})} + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{6}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{A}_{i}} \frac{Q_{i=1}^{6}(\mathbf{A}_{i} \quad \mathbf{Z}_{1})}{Q_{i=1}^{6}(\mathbf{A}_{i} \quad \mathbf{Z}_{1})}; \quad (49)$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{T Y (r)Y (s)} \frac{Z}{y} \frac{d^{2}v (r v) (s v)}{y (v) f} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z}{y^{4}} (r Z_{1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{T Y (x)Y (s)} \frac{Z}{y} \frac{d^{2}v (x v) (s v)}{y (v) f} = \frac{Z}{y^{4}} (x Z_{1})$$

$$+ \frac{X^{6}}{1} \frac{1}{r A_{1}} \frac{1}{x A_{1}} \frac{Z}{x A_{1}} \frac{Q_{1}^{4} (A_{1} Z_{1})}{Q_{1}^{6} (A_{1} A_{1})} = \frac{Z}{x (x Z_{1})} \frac{Z}{y (v) f}$$

$$= \frac{Z}{T Y (x)Y (s)} \frac{Z}{y (x v) (s v)} \frac{Z}{y (v) f} = \frac{Z}{y^{4}} (x Z_{1})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{X^{6}}{1} \frac{Y^{4}}{1} (A_{1} Z_{1}) \frac{1}{r A_{1}} \frac{1}{x A_{1}} \frac{X^{6}}{x A_{1}} \frac{1}{y (v) f} = \frac{Z}{x A_{1}} \frac{Q^{2}v (x v) (s v)}{y (v) f}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{X^{6}}{1} \frac{Y^{4}}{1} (A_{1} Z_{1}) \frac{1}{r A_{1}} \frac{1}{x A_{1}} \frac{X^{6}}{x A_{1}} \frac{1}{y (x) f} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2} \ln T; \quad (50)$$

by making use of (48).

By using eq. (17) for both the left sector and right sector and also the above equation with r! S_A (r) we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{X^{6}}{e^{2}} \frac{e^{2}}{e^{2}} \frac{1}{A_{i}} \frac{1}{S_{A}(r)} \frac{1}{A_{i}} \frac{1}{x}$$

$$\frac{Y^{4}}{e^{2}} \frac{(A_{i} Z_{1})(S_{A}(s) Z_{1})}{f^{2}} \frac{I(S_{A}(s))}{T^{5}} \frac{I(S_{A}(s))}{T^{5}}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{X^{6}}{e^{2}} \frac{e^{2}}{e^{2}} \frac{1}{A_{i}} \frac{1}{S_{A}(s)} \frac{1}{A_{i}} \frac{1}{w}$$

$$\frac{Y^{4}}{e^{2}} \frac{(A_{i} Z_{1})(x Z_{1})}{f^{2}} \frac{I_{M}(x)}{T^{5}} \frac{I_{M}(x)}{f^{5}} \vdots$$
(51)

In deriving the above equation we have used the fact that the only non-holom orphic (merom orphic) part in both I (x) and I_M (x) is from the term s $\frac{5}{4}^P$ $_{i=1}^6$ $_{x}$ $_{x}$ $_{x}$ $_{y}$ $_{x}$ $_{x}$ $_{x}$ $_{x}$ $_{y}$ $_{y}$ $_{y}$ $_{x}$ $_{y}$ $_$

R eferences

- [1] M.B.Green and M.Gutperle, E ects of D-instantons, Nucl. Phys. B498 (1997) 195-227, hep-th/9701093.
- [2] M.B.Green and S.Sethi, Supersymmetry Constraints on Type IIB Supergravity, preprint hep-th/9808061.
- [3] R. Jengo and C.-J. Zhu, Two-Loop Computation of the Four-Particle Amplitude in Heterotic String Theory, Phys. Lett. 212B (1988) 313-319.
- [4] C.-J. Zhu, talk given in QFT 98, Gaungzhou, China, (unpublished).
- [5] E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Multiloop Calculations in Covariant Superstring Theory, Phys. Lett. 192B (1987) 95-102.
- [6] M.B.Green and J.H.Schwarz, Supersymmetric Dual String Theory III, Nucl. Phys. B 198 (1982) 441.
- [7] R. Jengo and C.-J. Zhu, Notes on Nonrenormalization Theorem in Superstring Theories, Phys. Lett. 212B (1988) 309-312.
- [8] C.-J. Zhu, Two-Loop Computations in Superstring Theories, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4 (1989) 3877-3906.

[9] E.Gava, R.Jengo and G.Sotkov, M. odular Invariance and the Two-Loop Vanishing of the Cosm ological Constant, Phys. Lett. 207B (1988) 283-291.