# N on-local conservation law s and ow equations for supersym $m$ etric integrable $h$ ierarch ies 

Jens O le M adsen and J. Luis M iram ontes
D epartam ento de F sica de P art culas, Facultad de F sica
U niversidad de Santiago de C om postela E-15706 Santiago de C om postela, Spain
m adsen@ fpaxp1.usc.es m iram ont@ fpaxp1.usc.es


#### Abstract

A $n$ in nite series of $G$ rassm ann-odd and $G$ rassm ann-even ow equations is de ned for a class of supersym $m$ etric integrable hierarchies associated w th loop superalgebras. All these ow s com m ute w th the m utually com m uting bosonic ones originally considered to de ne these hierarchies and, hence, provide extra ferm ionic and boson ic sym $m$ etries that include the built-in $N=1$ supersym $m$ etry transform ation. The corresponding non-local conserved quantities are also constructed. As an exam ple, the particular case of the principal supersym $m$ etric hierarchies associated w ith the a ne superalgebras w ith a ferm ionic simple root system is discussed in detail.


## 1 Introduction

A rguably，one of the $m$ ost im portant w orks in the sub ject of integrable system $s$ w as that of D rinfeld and Sokolov［1］，who show ed how to associate integrable hierarchies of zero－curvature equations w th the loop algebra of an a ne Lie algebra．T heir construction and its generalizations［2］provide a system atic approach to the study and classi cation of $m$ any integrable hierarchies previously described by $m$ eans of pseudo－di erential Lax operators［3］．

It is not di cult to extend the generalized D rinfel＇d－Sokolov construction to the case of superalgebras．O ne sim ply has to replace the loop algebra by a loop superalgebra and include ferm ionic（ G rassm ann－odd）anticom $m$ uting elds am ong the dynam ical degrees of freedom．H ow ever，the resulting hierardhy will not necessarily be supersym $m$ etric． The rst authors who succeeded in nding a supersym $m$ etric generalization of the DS construction were Inam iand $K$ anno［7］who restricted to the class of a ne superalgebras w ith a ferm ionic simple root system．Since they made use of the principal gradation of the loop superalgebra in an essential way，their work has to be viewed as the direct generalization of the original DS construction．M ore recently，D elduc and Gallot 目］ realized that it is possible to associate a supersym m etric integrable hierarchy of the D S type w ith each constant graded odd elem ent of the loop superalgebra whose square
$=[;]=2$ is sem i－sim ple，a condition that is obviously satis ed in the cases considered by Inam iand $K$ anno．

A com $m$ on feature of the hierarchies constructed in［7］and［5］is that they consist only of bosonic ow equations．This is in sharp contrast $w$ ith the supersym $m$ etric extensions of the KP hierardhy（SK P）which alw ays include both G rassm ann－odd and G rassm ann－ even ow equations［6］．This observation led $K$ ersten to nd and in nite set of ferm ionic non－local conservation laws［7］for the supersym $m$ etric extension of the $K d V$ equation obtained by M anin and R adulas a reduction of the SK P hierarchy 目］．A few years later， $D$ argis and $M$ athieu showed that they actually generate an in nite sequence of non－local G rassm ann－odd ow s ©（see also［9］）．

The aim of this paper is to show that the same is true for the whole class of super－ sym m etric hierarchies of 可］by constructing an in nite series of non－local（bosonic and ferm ionic）ow equations and conserved quantities which generalize those obtained in 7，8］ for the supersym $m$ etric $K d V$ equation．The new ow sclose a non－abelian superalgebra that has to be regarded as an algebra of sym $m$ etry transform ations for the hierarchy．It includes the built－in $N=1$ supersym $m$ etry transform ation and，in som e cases，extended supersym $m$ etry transform ations．

Follow ing ref．［ $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$，a generalized supersym $m$ etric hierarchy of equations can be asso－ ciated w th a ferm ionic Lax operator of the form $L=D+q(x ;)+$ ，where $q(x ;)$ is an $N=1 G$ rassm ann－odd super eld taking values in a particular subspace of the loop superalgebra，$D$ is the superderivative，and is a constant graded odd elem ent whose square $=[; \quad=2$ is sem i－sim ple．Delduc and G allot de ned an in nite set ofm utually commuting bosonic ows and conserved quantities associated with the elem ents in the centre of $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ad})$ ，which contains only even elem ents．In contrast，in our construc－ tion，there will be a non－local ow equation and conserved quantity for each（ferm ionic or bosonic）elem ent in K w ith non－negative grade．These ow close a non－abelian su－ peralgebra isom onphic to the subalgebra of $K$ form ed by the elem ents with non－negative grade．

The authors of 20］distinguished betw een（bosonic）generalized D S hierarchies oftype－I and type－II．The generalized D S hierarchies are associated with bosonic Lax operators of the form $L=\varrho_{x}+Q(x) \quad$ ，where $Q(x)$ is a bosonic eld taking values in a subspace of a loop algebra and is a constant sem i－sim ple graded elem ent．T hen，the hierarchy is of type－I or type－II depending on whether is regular or not，i．e．，a type－II hierardy is associated w th a sem i－simple elem ent such that $K=K \operatorname{lad}$ ）is non－abelian．In 乐， the analogue of $L$ is the even Lax operator $\left\{\tilde{L}^{\wedge} ; L\right]=2=D^{2}+Q(x ;)$ ．Therefore， from this point of view，all the supersym $m$ etric hierarchies of 固］have to be considered as type－II：is in $K$ but［ ；］＝ $2 \in 0$ ，which proves that $K$ is non－abelian．In fact，it is straightforw ard to extend our construction of non－local ow equations and conserved quantities to all the type－II bosonic hierarchies of 目］．

The paper is organized as follow s．In section 2 we brie y sum $m$ arize the supersym－ $m$ etric hierarchies ofD elduc and $G$ allot，$m$ aking particular em phasis on the possibility of having ferm ionic local conserved quantities．In the next tw o sections we present the con－ struction of non－local ow s，sec． 3 ，and conserved quantities，sec．团．W e also characterise the ow swhich are com patiblew ith the supersym $m$ etry transform ation，and the conserved quantities which are supersym $m$ etric．A s an exam ple，in section 目 we give a very detailed $^{\text {d }}$ description of the non－local ows and conserved quantities for the principal hierarchies originally considered by Inam iand K anno，i．e．，those associated w ith superalgebras w ith a ferm ionic simple root system．We show that the com plete set of local and non－local ow equations are associated w ith a subalgebra of the superoscillator algebra constructed by K ac and van de Leur in［19］，which is the principal super H eisenberg algebra＇of $a_{1}$ j1［11］．This relationship generalizes the well know $n$ role of the principal $H$ eisenberg algebra in the originalD S hierarchies，whidh could be used to derive a－fiunction form al－ ism for these hierarchies follow ing the $m$ ethod of［12，13，14］and，especially，to construct solutions for the equations of the hierarchy using super vertex operator representations． It is also rem arkable that，in the particular case of the a ne superalgebras A（m ；m ）${ }^{(1)}$ ， there is a local G rassm ann－odd ow $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ that，together with D ，closes an $\mathrm{N}=2$ super－ sym $m$ etry algebra，in agreem ent w th the results of［15，5］．In section 6 we apply our construction to the supersym $m$ etric $K d V$ equation in order to show how the results of 园］ are recovered．A s a bonus，we get additional non－local conserved quantities not obtained in previous works．O ur conventions and som e basic properties of Lie superalgebras are presented in appendix B．Since we are not aw are of any reference where they are available， we have inchuded in this appendix detailed expressions for the $m$ atrix－representations of the a ne Lie superalgebras w ith ferm ionic sim ple root system swhich are needed for the understanding of section 回．Recall that this class of superalgebras play an im portant role in supersym $m$ etric Toda theories and supersym $m$ etric ham iltonian reduction and，hence， these expressions should be usefulbeyond the scope of this paper．O ur conclusions are presented in section 7 ．

## 2 Review of the D elduc－G allot construction

Follow ing 直］，a supersym $m$ etric（partially $m$ odi ed）$K$ dV system can be associated w ith four data（ $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{d}_{1} ; \mathrm{d}_{0} ;$ ）．The rst， A ，is a tw isted loop superalgebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=L(G ;) \quad G \quad C\left[;^{1}\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

attached to a nite dim ensional classical Lie superalgebra $G$ together $w$ ith an autom orphism of nite order. The second and third, $d_{1}$ and $d_{0}$, are derivations ofA that induce tw o com patible integer gradations: $\left[d_{0} ; d_{1}\right]=0$. Then, the subsets $A_{m}^{n}=A_{m} \backslash A^{n}$, where $A_{m}=f X 2 A j\left[d_{0} ; X\right]=m X g$ and $A^{n}=f X 2 A j\left[d_{1} ; X\right]=n X g$, de ne abi-grading of A. W ew ill assum e that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{<0} \quad A 0 ; A^{0} \quad A_{0} ; A^{>0} \quad A 0 ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ eans, in the notation of 园], that we restrict ourselves to the $K$ dV type system $s^{\prime}$.
Finally, is a constant odd elem ent of A w ith positive $\mathrm{d}_{1}$-grade, i.e., $\left[\mathrm{d}_{1}\right.$; ] = k $w$ ith $k>0$, whose square $=\frac{1}{2}[$; $]$ is sem i-sim ple:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{ad}) \quad \operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{ad}): \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, has to satisfy the non-degeneracy condition \#

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ad}) \backslash \mathrm{A}_{0}^{<0}=0 \text {; } \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is worth recalling that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{K} \operatorname{er}(\operatorname{ad}) ; K \operatorname{er}(\operatorname{ad})] \quad \mathrm{Ker}(\operatorname{ad}) ; \quad \mathbb{K} \operatorname{er}(\operatorname{ad}) ; \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{ad})] \quad \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{ad}): \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $K$ er (ad ) is a, generally non-abelian, subalgebra of A and we will denote by $Z$ its centre, which contains only even elem ents of A.

The dynam ical degrees of freedom willbe super elds taking values in the tensor product ́ of $A$ w ith some $G$ rassm ann algebra $G r=G r_{\overline{0}} G r_{\overline{1}}$. An elem ent in this space is called even if it belongs to $+\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}_{\overline{0}} \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\overline{0}} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{\overline{1}} \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\overline{1}}$, and odd if it belongs to $A=A_{\overline{0}} \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\overline{1}} \quad A_{\overline{1}} \quad G r_{\overline{0}} . \operatorname{Let} T^{a}$ be a set of basis vectors of $A$. Then, the (super) commutator of two elem ents $A={ }_{a} A_{a} T^{a}$ and $B={ }^{P}{ }_{a} B_{a} T^{a}$ of $\underline{A}$ willbe de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A ; B]={ }_{a ; b}^{X} A_{a} B_{b}\left[T^{a} ; T^{b}\right] ; \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{a} ; B_{b} 2 \mathrm{Gr} . \mathrm{W}$ th this de nition, the commutator satis es the sym m etry properties listed in table 1 , where we denote by ^ (hat) the autom onphism of $A$ that changes the sign of odd elem ents:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=M_{\bar{O}}+M_{\overline{1}} 2 A ; \quad \hat{M}=M_{\bar{O}} \quad M_{\overline{1}}: \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider an $N=1$ superspace $w$ ith coordinates $x=(x ;)$ with the supersym $m$ etric covariant derivative de ned in the usualway:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{@}{@}+\frac{\varrho}{@ x} ; \quad D^{2}=\frac{@}{@ x}: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, let us introduce the odd Lax operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{q}+\quad ; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]| $A ; B]=$ | $A 2+A$ | $A 2 A$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $B 2+A$ | $B ; A]$ | $\hat{B} ; A]$ |
| $B 2 A$ | $\hat{B} ; \hat{A}]$ | $+\hat{B} ; \hat{A}]$ |

Table 1: Sym m etry properties of the supercom $m$ utator.
where $q=q(x)$ is an odd super eld that takes values in $A{ }_{0}^{<k}$. From the odd Lax operator L we can obtain the follow ing even operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2}[\hat{L} ; L]=@+Q \quad ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the even super eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=Q(x)=D q+\frac{1}{2}[\hat{q} ; q] \quad[; q] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

takes values in $+\mathrm{A}^{<}{ }_{0}^{2 \mathrm{k}}$.
$T$ he phase space of the hierarchy will be $Q=\mathrm{N}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=f q(x) j q(x) 2 \quad A{ }_{0}^{<k} g \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N$ is the group of gauge transform ationse acting on $Q$ according to
e :L 7! êLe
for any super eld $=(x)$ taking values in $+A_{0}^{<0}$. Let us de ne a vector subspace $V$ of A such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}^{<k}=\left[; A{ }_{0}^{<0}\right] \quad V: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, due to the non-degeneracy condition 2.4), for any $q 2 \mathrm{Q}$ there is a unique $q$ dependent gauge transform ation such that the im age $\mathrm{q}_{j}=\mathrm{q}_{j}\left(x_{x}\right)$ is in

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{V}=f q(x) j q(x) 2 \quad V g ; \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in other words, $Q_{V}$ is isom onphic to $Q=N$. W hen regarded as fiunctions of $q 2 Q$, the com ponents of $q_{N}$ are super-di erential polynom ials that freely generate the set of gauge invariant polynom ials on $Q$, and $Q_{V}$ is said to specify a $D$ rinfeld-Sokolov gauge.

The construction of [ [ $]$ relies on the follow ing version of the dressing procedure:
Lem mal For any q 2 Q , there exists a unique $\mathrm{F} 2+(\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{ad}))^{<0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{\hat{\mathrm{F}}} \mathrm{Le}^{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{D}+\quad+\mathrm{H} ; \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where H $2(\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{ad}))^{<k}$. M oreover, the com ponents of $F$ and $H$ are super-di erential polynom ials of q.

This result is used to de ne an in nite number of commuting ow on $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{N}$ associated w ith the elem ents of $Z{ }^{0}$ as follow s. For each constant b $2 Z^{0}$, let us de ne the even
super eld $B_{b}=e{ }^{\hat{F}} b e^{\hat{F}}$. Then, the ow on the space of gauge invariant functionals of $q$ is induced by 1

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@}{@ t_{b}} q=@_{b} q & \left.\left.=\mathbb{P} 0\left(B_{b}\right) ; L\right]=\mathbb{P}<0\left(B_{b}\right) ; L\right] \\
& \left.=\mathbb{R}\left(B_{b}\right) ; L\right] ; \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P \quad 0$ and $P_{<0}$ are the pro jectors on the subalgebras $A \quad 0$ and $A_{<0}$, respectively, and $R=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{0} \quad P_{<0}\right)$ is a (super) classical rmatrix.

In the follow ing, it will be useful to introduce the notation $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ad})$. Let us consider the evolution of $H$ in 2.18), which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{D} \hat{A_{b}}+\left[\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{b}} ;+\mathrm{H}\right] ; \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{b}$ is a super-di erential polynom ialofq taking values in $K^{<0}$. Follow ing the w ork


$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\mathbb{K} ; K] \quad \mathbb{R}: \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, an in nite set of local conservation law s can be associated with the com ponents of H in $\mathbb{R}$. To be precise, let $\left.\mathbb{K} ; \mathrm{K}]^{?}=\mathrm{fb} 2 \mathrm{~K} j h \mathbb{K} ; \mathrm{K}\right] ; \mathrm{bi}=0 \mathrm{~g}$. Since the restriction of the bilinear form to $K$ is non-degenerate, $\mathbb{K} ; K]^{?}$ is conjugate to $\mathbb{R}$ with respect to $h$; $i$. Then, for each $2 \mathbb{K} ; \mathrm{K}$ I there is a local conservation law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{H} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{Dh} \hat{\hat{\Omega}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{i}}: \tag{220}
\end{equation*}
$$

A particular subset of $\mathbb{K} ; K]^{?}$ is $Z$, the centre of $K$, which obviously satis esh $\left.\mathbb{K} ; K\right] ; Z i=$ 0 due to the invariance of the bilinear form. Therefore, since $Z$ contains only even elem ents of $A$, the com ponents of $H$ corresponding to $Z$ provide an in nite set of even' local conserved quantities, which are the $H$ am iltonians corresponding to the ow s de ned by 2.17). Nam ely, forb $2 \mathrm{z}{ }^{0}$,

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{q})={ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dxhb} ; \mathrm{Hi}
$$

is the $H$ am iltonian that generates the ow $@=@ t_{\infty}$ 回].
H ow ever, in general, it is not true that $Z=\mathbb{K} ; \mathrm{K}]^{?}$ or, in other words, that $Z$ and IR are conjugate w th respect to h ; i. C onsequently, eq. (2) 20) m ight provide m ore local conservation law s than those associated w ith $Z$ and given by 2.21). In particular, and in contrast with $Z$, the vector subspace $\mathbb{K} ; K]^{?}$ can contain odd elem ents of $A$ and, then, eq. 2.20) would provide $G$ rassm ann-odd local conserved quantities.

All this suggest that it should be possible to generalize the construction of [回] to de ne new ows associated som ehow with the elem ents of $\mathbb{K} ; \mathrm{K}]^{\text {? }}$ that are not in $Z$. In particular, it should be possible to de ne ferm ionic ow sassociated w ith the odd elem ents of $\mathbb{K} ; \mathrm{K}]^{\text {? }}$.

[^1]
## 3 N on-local ow s

In this Section, wew illassociate ow equationsw ith all the elem ents of $K=K e r(a d)$. In general, these equations will be non local, i.e., the evolution of $q w$ ill not be given by a super-di erential polynom ial of q. M oreover, instead of com $m$ uting am ong them selves, the resulting ow swill close a non-abelian superalgebra isom onphic to $K{ }^{0}$.

### 3.1 Form aldressing transform ations

O ur construction $m$ akes use of a di erent version of the form al dressing $m$ ethod inspired by the work of $W$ ilson on the tau-function approach to hierarchies of $K d V$ type 13, [12]. First of all, for any super eld ! $2+K^{<0}$, notioe that the transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{F} \quad!\quad e^{F^{0}}=e^{!} e^{F} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in eq. 2.16) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}!e^{r} L_{0} e^{!}=D++H^{0} \text {; } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not change the form of the right-hand side of (2.18).
P roposition 1 The Lax operator $L$ can be bonstrained' to ensure the existence of an even super eld ! $2+K^{<0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\text {t }}(\mathrm{D}++\mathrm{H}) e^{!}=\mathrm{D}+: \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he super eld ! is a non-local functionalof $q$, and the constraints involve the com ponents of $H$ in $K{ }^{k}$.

Since the proof is quite involved, it w ill be presented in A ppendix A.
It is not easy to give the precise form of the constraints on $H \quad{ }^{k}$ required by P roposition 1. H ow ever, their nature can be clari ed by considering the transform ation (3.3) acting on the even Lax operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.L_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \hat{L_{0}} ; \mathrm{L}_{0}\right]=@+h \quad ; \quad h=D H+\frac{1}{2} \hat{H} ; H\right] \quad[; H]: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, eq. 3.3) im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{!}(@+h \quad) e^{!}=@ \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

that m anifests the constraints $\mathrm{h}^{0}=0$, which are well known in the context of the taufunction approach to (bosonic) hierarchies of $K$ dV type 12].
$T$ he relation between the latter constraints and those required by P roposition 1 can be explicitly show $n$ for a hierarchy where the $d_{1}$-grade of is $k=1$. Then, according to (3.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{h}^{1}=\left[; \mathrm{H}^{0}\right] \\
& \mathrm{h}^{0}=\mathrm{DH}{ }^{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\hat{H}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}^{0}\right] \quad\left[; \mathrm{H} \quad{ }^{1}\right]=\left[; \mathrm{H}^{1}\right] ; \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H$ is de ned in appendix A by eq. A.8). In this case, and according to eqs. A. A) and A.9), the constraints required by Proposition 1 are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{0} 2==\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{ad}) \backslash \mathrm{K} ; \quad \mathrm{H} \quad{ }^{1} 2<=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{ad}) ; \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $h^{0}=0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}^{1} 2<: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $=\quad<$, the form er conditions im ply the latter. H ow ever, in general $=\ll$ and, thus, the constraints $h^{0}=0$ are less restrictive than those required by P roposition 1.

A particular case where $P$ roposition 1 is satis ed w thout introducing any constraint is given by the follow ing

Lem man 2 If the $d_{1}$-grade of is $k=1$ and $K^{0}=f 0 g$, $P$ roposition 1 is satis ed without constraining the Lax operator at all.

Proof: In this case, since $\mathrm{H}^{0}=0$, the only constraint would be $\mathrm{H}^{1} 2<$. H ow ever, since $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { H } & 1\end{array}\right] 2 \mathrm{~K}^{0}=\mathrm{fOg}$, it is obviously satis ed.

This Lemma applies to all the principal hierarchies that will be consider in the next Sections.

Lem m a 1 and Proposition 1 ensure that the Lax operator $L$ can be constrained such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{q}+=\hat{\mathrm{L}}^{1}(\mathrm{D}+)_{\mathrm{L}} ; \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=e^{!} e^{F}: \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider the group of gauge transform ations given by 2.13). A useful gauge xing prescription (di erent from the D rinfeld-Sokolov gauge) is provided by the follow ing

Lem m a 3 A consistent gauge slice can be chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}=\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{G}^{+}={ }^{1}(\mathrm{D}+) ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=e^{y}$ and $y=y(x)$ is an even super eld taking values in $+A_{<0}$.
P roof: F irst of all, notice that the gauge transform ations (2.13) act on 3.9) according to L ! $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{e}$. M oreover, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{L}}}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{L}} 2+\mathrm{A}^{<0}$. Then, taking into account 22), one can perform a gauge transform ation generated by $L=P_{0}\left(y_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ to construct

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{e}^{!} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{F}} e^{\mathrm{P}_{0}(\mathbb{F})} e^{\mathrm{P}_{0}(!)} ; \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves the lem ma.

### 3.2 The ow equations

Eq. 3.11) provides a one-to-one $m$ ap between the com ponents of the (gauged xed) Lax operator and som e com ponents of and, in our construction, the ow swillbe de ned as ow equations for .

Let $u$ be a constant elem ent in $+K^{0}, D u=0$, and de ne the in nitesim al transfor$m$ ation ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left({ }^{1} \hat{a}\right)_{<0}: \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u 2+K{ }^{0}$, ithas to be of the form $u=e b+\quad$, whereb $2 K^{0} \backslash A_{\bar{o}}, \quad 2 K^{0} \backslash A_{\overline{1}}$, e $2 \mathrm{Gr}_{\overline{0}}$, and $2 \mathrm{Gr}_{1}$. Then, the in nitesim al transform ation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=e D_{b}+D \text {; } \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which provides the follow ing ow equations
De nition 1 The ow equations associated with b $2 \mathrm{~K}^{0} \backslash \mathrm{~A}_{\overline{0}}$ and $2 \mathrm{~K}^{0} \backslash \mathrm{~A}_{\overline{1}}$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{b}}=\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~b}\right)_{<0} ; \quad \mathrm{D}={ }^{\wedge}\left({ }^{\wedge}{ }^{1}\right)_{<0} ; \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{b}$ and $D$ are bosonic and $G$ rassm ann derivatives, respectively.
These ows do not comm ute am ong them selves. Instead, they close the follow ing superalgebra.

Theorem 1 For any b;c2K ${ }^{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{b}} ; \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}\right]=\mathrm{D}_{[\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{b}]}: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let us consider the in nitesim al transform ations corresponding to two elem ents $u ; v$ in $+K{ }^{0}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u v=\left({ }^{1} \hat{u}\right)_{<0}\left({ }^{1} \hat{\theta}\right)_{<0}+\left[{ }^{1} \hat{\theta} ;\left({ }^{1} \hat{\theta}\right)_{<0} k_{0} ;\right. \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is straightforw ard to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u ; v]=\left({ }^{1}[\hat{u} ; \hat{v}]\right)_{<0}=[v, u]: \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the proof of the theorem can be com pleted by considering the relation betw een the in nitesim al transform ations $u$ and $v$ and the ow equations given by (3.14) .

The ow equations (3.15) can be expressed in term $s$ of Lax operators. Let $u 2+K{ }^{0}$ and consider

$$
u=u \quad\left({ }^{1} \hat{a}\right)_{0}={ }^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & \hat{a} \tag{3.19}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

where we have used the notation $u=(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and eq. 3.13). Then, if $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{eb}+$ the Lax operators corresponding to $b$ and can be de ned by analogy w ith (3.14):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=e L_{b}+L: \tag{320}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words,

[^2]Denition 2 Let b $2 K^{0} \backslash A_{\bar{o}}$ and $2 K^{0} \backslash A_{\overline{1}}$, the (gauge xed) Lax operators associated $w$ th $D_{b}$ and $D$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{b}}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~b}\right){ }_{0}={ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~b}\right) ; \\
& \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{D}+\left({ }^{1}\right)_{0}={ }^{1}(\mathrm{D}+) ; \tag{321}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{b}=\left(D_{b}\right)+D \quad b$ and $D=(D)+\hat{D}$. The Lax operators $L_{b}$ and $L$ are even and odd, respectively.

Then, $T$ heorem 1 translates into the follow ing (super) com $m$ utation relations
Theorem 2 For each u;v $2+K^{0}$, b;c2 $K^{0} \backslash A_{\overline{0}}$, and ; $2 K^{0} \backslash A_{\overline{1}}$, the following comm utation relations are satis ed

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{u} \\
\boldsymbol{i} \\
\mathrm{v}
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{u}\right] ; \quad \mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{b}} ; \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}\right]=\mathrm{L}_{[\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{b}]} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

P roof: It is straightforw ard by using (3.19), 3.21), and 3.16). For exam ple,

$$
\begin{align*}
& ={ }^{1}{ }_{[\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{u}]}[\hat{\mathrm{V}} ; \hat{\mathrm{a}}]={ }_{[\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{u}]} \text { : } \tag{323}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.3 Supersym m etric ow equations

O ne of the $m$ ain $m$ otivations of the work of $D$ elduc and $G$ allot in [5] was to obtain supersym $m$ etric hierarchies. In other words, hierarchies whose ow equations are com patible $w$ ith a supersym $m$ etry transform ation relating com $m$ uting to antioom $m$ uting elds.

Since both the construction of 固] and ours are form ulated directly in $\mathrm{N}=1$ superspace $w$ ith coordinates $x=(x$; ), there is a built-in supersym $m$ etry transform ation induced by the covariant derivative: ${ }^{\text {SUSY }} q=D q$, where is any constant $G$ rassm ann-odd param eter. By construction, and according to eqs. 3.21), 3.11), 3.22), and (2.19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=E ; \quad \text { and } L=\frac{1}{2}[\hat{L} ; L]=E ; \tag{324}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im plies the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=D \text { and } D=\frac{@}{@ x} \text { : } \tag{325}
\end{equation*}
$$

A llthis allow s one to extend the supersym $m$ etry transform ation to the space of functionals of or, equivalently, to the space of gauge invariant functionals of $q$ by $m$ eans of ${ }^{\text {SUSY }}=$
D . Therefore, a ow equation $w$ illibe supersym $m$ etric if, and only if, it com $m$ utes $w$ ith D.

Taking into account the com $m$ utation relations 3.16), it is straightforw ard to prove

Theorem 3 The ow equation D is supersymmetric if, and only if,

$$
2<{ }^{0}=\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ad})^{0}:
$$

Rem arkably, although they are generally non-local, the supersym m etric ow $s$ can be w ritten in term $s$ of the sam e (super) classical r-m atrix $R$ used in eq. 2.17) .

Proposition 2 For each b $2<{ }^{0} \backslash A_{0}$ and $2<{ }^{0} \backslash A_{1}$, the corresponding supersym $m$ etric ow equations on $q$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{q}=\mathbb{R}\left(\hat{\mathrm{L}}^{1}{ }^{1} \hat{\mathrm{~A}}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) ; \mathrm{L}\right] ; \quad \mathrm{D} \mathrm{q}=\mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1} \hat{\mathrm{~L}}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) ; \mathrm{L}\right] ; \tag{327}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{P} & 0 & \mathrm{P}<0\end{array}\right)$ and L is given by 3.10).
Proof: C onsider $u=e b+\quad 2_{+}<^{0}$. Since $[u ;]=0$, and taking into account ( 24 , the
 u has been de ned in 3.19). M oreover, according to (3.12) and (3.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=e^{L} L^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & \hat{A}) \\
L
\end{array} e^{L}\right. \\
& =e^{L} u \quad\left({ }_{L}{ }^{1} \hat{U} L_{L}\right) 0^{+} e^{L} \text {; } \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=e^{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{L}}\right) 2+\mathrm{A}_{0}^{<0}: \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

T herefore, according to 3.11), the in nitesim al transform ation of $q$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e^{\left.\hat{I}_{\mathrm{I}} \wedge_{u} e^{\hat{L}^{2}} ; L\right]=0: ~}\right. \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u q=\left[\left(\wedge_{L}^{1} u^{\wedge}{ }_{L}\right)_{0} \quad L ; L\right] ; \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to 327 ) up to an in nitesim algauge transform ation generated by $L$ that can be om itted because ow equations are de ned on the space of gauge invariant functionals of q. F inally, the proof of the $P$ roposition follow s by considering 3.9), 3.14), and taking into account that $[u ;]=0$.

In particular, P roposition 2 allow s one to check that the ow equations (2.17) considered by D elduc and G allot are recovered from our ow equations w th the constant elem ents in $Z$, the centre of $K=K \operatorname{er}(a d)$.

C orollary 1 Ifb $2 \mathrm{Z}{ }^{0}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{b}}$ coincides $w$ ith $@=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{t}}$ in eq. (2.17).
P roof: It is straightforw ard by considering P roposition 2 and 3.10) :
where we have used that $[b ;!]=0$.

Taking into account this result and Lem m a 1, the ow s associated w ith the elem ents in $Z$ are local ow $s$ in the sense that $D_{b}$ q is a super-di erential polynom ial of q. In general, the ow s associated w ith the Lax operators 3.21) are non-local. H ow ever, it is im portant to notige that, a priori, nothing prevents the existence of other local ow s than those associated w th Z . In particular, there could be G rassm ann-odd local ow s. An exam ple is provided by the the follow ing Lem ma.

Lem ma4 If there exists an elem ent $2 \mathrm{~K}^{0}$ such that $\left[; \mathrm{K}^{<0}\right] \quad \mathrm{K}^{<0}$, the ow equation associated w ith D is local.

P roof: W ithout loss of generality, let us suppose that is odd. Then, D is induced by the Lax operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=D+(\wedge 1)_{0}=D+\left(e^{\hat{F}} e^{\hat{}} e^{\dot{F}} e^{( }\right)_{0}: \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, since $A^{<0} A \quad 0$, in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{\wedge} e^{\prime}\right)_{0}=+\left(e^{\wedge} e^{\prime}\right)_{0}^{<0} ; \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, henœ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{D}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\hat{\mathrm{F}}} \hat{E}\right)_{0}+; \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 \mathrm{~A}_{0}^{<0}$. Acting on q , the only e ect of is to generate an in nitesim al gauge transform ation. Therefore, on the space of gauge invariant functionals the ow D can be equivalently de ned by L , which proves that D indeed is local.

E xam ples where this lem m a applies w ill be presented in Section 5.

## 4 Local and non-local conserved quantities

In this section, we w ill construct an in nite num ber of local and non-local conserved quantities w th respect to the bosonic ow equations (2.17) associated with $Z$, the centre of $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ad})$. W ewillalso investigate which of them are supersym $m$ etric.

Since $m$ ost of the follow ing expressions involve non-local term $s$, it is necessary to specify the boundary conditions. W e w ill restrict ourselves to hierarchies where the super eld $q=q(x)$ goes rapidly to zero at $x=1$. Then, since $F$ and $H$ in Lem malare super-di erential polynom ials of $q$, they also vanish at $x=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{x=1}=F_{x=1}=H_{x=1}=0: \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider the ow equation associated w ith any b $2 \mathrm{Z}{ }^{0}$. A coording to (3.19) and 3.12),

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{b}=\left({ }^{1} b\right)_{<0} & =e^{!} e^{F}\left(e^{F} e^{!} b e^{!} e^{F}\right)_{<0} e^{L} \\
& =e^{!} e^{F}\left(e^{F} b e^{F}\right)_{<0} e^{I} ; \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where it has been taken into account that $\quad 2 A_{0}$ and $[b ;!]=0$. U sing 4.1), this leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{b} \quad x=1=e^{!}(b)_{<0} e^{L} \quad x=1=0 ; \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used that b 2 Z 0 A o. All this allow s one to prove the follow ing
Proposition 3 All the com ponents of ! $\dot{k}=1$ are conserved quantities with respect to the (bosonic) ows associated with $Z{ }^{0}$.

P roof: F irst of all, using (4.1) and (3.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=1=e^{!} e^{P_{0}(!)} x_{x=1}: \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider ! $={ }^{P}{ }_{j>0}!^{(j)}{ }^{j}$, where ${ }^{j} 2 \mathrm{~K} \quad{ }^{j}$ and $!^{(j)}$ is a super eld taking values in the G rassm ann algebra Gr. Then, decom pose the conservation equation 4.3) in its graded com ponents $w$ th respect to $d_{1}$. The com ponent whose grade equals 1 reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{b}!^{(1)} x=1 \quad\left({ }^{1} \quad P_{0}\left({ }^{1}\right)\right)=0 ; \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im plies that! ${ }^{(1)} \dot{j}=1$ is conserved. $N$ ext, let us suppose that! ${ }^{(j)}{ }_{k}=1$ is conserved for all $1 \quad j<N$ and consider the grade $N$ com ponent of 4.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D_{b}!{ }^{N}\right)\left({ }^{N} \quad P_{0}\left({ }^{N}\right)\right)+\quad x=1=0 ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ellipsis indicates products of! ${ }^{(j)}{ }_{j}=1$ 'swith $j<N$. Therefore, one concludes that! ${ }^{(N)}{ }^{j}=1$ is also conserved, which com pletes the proof.

N otice that the com ponents of! are non-local functions of q; in other words, they involve $D{ }^{1} q$ and, hence, are not super di erential polynom ials of $q$. This is the reason why their asym ptotic values do not vanish in spite of the boundary conditions 4.1). H ow ever, som e of the com ponents of ! $\dot{k}=1$ provide the local conservation laws given by (220).

Proposition 4 For each $2 \mathbb{K} ; K \mathfrak{K}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{I}={ }_{1}^{z+1} d x @_{x} h ;!i==^{z} d x h ; H i \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a supersym $m$ etric local conserved quantity.
Proof: C onsider (3.3); since $2 \mathbb{K} ; \mathrm{K} \boldsymbol{j}$, it leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h ; H i=h ; e^{\hat{n}}\left(\mathbb{D} e^{!}\right)+e^{!} e^{!} \quad i=h ; D!i: \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, taking into account $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{D}^{2}$ and 4.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{L}={ }_{1}^{Z+1} d x D h ; H i={ }_{1}^{Z+1} d x \frac{@}{@} h ; H i={ }^{Z} d x h ; H i: \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

B eing w ritten as the superintegral of a super di erential polynom ial of the super eld $q$, the localconserved quantity $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{L}}$ is $m$ anifestly invariant $w$ ith respect to the supersym $m$ etry transform ation ${ }^{\text {SU SY }} \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{D} \mathrm{q}$, where is any constant G rassm ann-odd param eter.

A convenient de nition of the non-local conserved quantity associated with a generic elem ent $2 \mathrm{~K}^{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J={ }_{1}^{z+1} d x @_{x} \operatorname{str}\left(e^{!}\right) ; \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Str' $^{\prime}$ is the supertrace (see appendix B.1). Taking into account proposition $\mathbf{B}^{3}$, J is obviously conserved. Let us calculate the supersym $m$ etric transform ation of $J$. First
of all, recall that (325) allow s one to extend the supersym $m$ etry transform ation SUSY to the space of functionals of . Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { SUSY } J=D J=\int_{1}^{Z+1} d x @_{x} \operatorname{str}\left(D e^{!}\right) ; \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, using (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
D e^{!}=e^{r} H+e^{r} \quad e^{!}: \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{str}\left(e^{!} \quad e^{!}\right)=\operatorname{str}\left([;] e^{!}\right) ; \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allow s one to w rite the supersym $m$ etric transform ation of $J$ as follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{susy} J=\int_{1}^{z+1} d x @_{x} \operatorname{str}\left(e^{n} H\right) \quad{ }_{1}^{z+1} d x @_{x} \operatorname{str}\left([;] e^{n}\right): \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage, we would like to $m$ ake a com $m$ ent about partial integrations in expressions with non-local term s. It is clearly hot true that $d x @_{x} P(x)=0$ if $P(x)$ is a generic non-local expression; for exam ple, if $P(x)=\varrho_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{1} q$ the statem ent is obviously false. If, in agreem ent w ith 4.1), we choose boundary conditions such that all local expressions vanish su ciently fast at $x=1$ and we take $P(x)=L(x) N(x)$, where $L(x)$ is a local expression while $N(x)$ m ay be non-local, then the statem ent is true. This is clear since

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{Z_{+1}} d x @_{x} P(x)=(L(x) N(x))_{1}^{+1}=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the vanishing of $L(x)$ at $x=1$. So, as long as we lim it ourselves to expressions of the form local hon-local', the use of partial integration is justi ed.

Taking into account all this, and since $H$ is local, the rst term on the right-hand side of 4.14) vanishes and, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { SUSY } \left.J=J_{[ } ;\right] ; \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allow s one to single out the set of supersym $m$ etric non-local quantities.
Proposition 5 For each $2<{ }^{0}=K e r(a d){ }^{0}$ the non-localconserved quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
J={ }_{1}^{Z+1} d x @_{x} \operatorname{str}\left(e^{!}\right)=^{Z} d x \operatorname{str}\left(e^{\Uparrow} H\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is supersym $m$ etric $w$ ith respect to ${ }^{\text {su }}$ SY $q=D q$.
The proof follows directly from 4.16), and the expression of $J$ as a superintegral is obtained by using $\varrho_{x}=D^{2}$ and 4.12) (see also eq. 4.S)).

For a general , the conserved quantity $J$ is a function of and, therefore, has two scalar com ponents. H ow ever, when [ ; ] = 0 the right-hand side of (4.17) show s that the com ponent along vanishes. In order to split the two scalar com ponents of a
generic conserved quantity let us consider $\varrho_{x}=D^{2}$ in eq. 4.10) together $w$ ith eqs. 4.12) and 4.13) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\underbrace{Z+1}_{1} d x D \operatorname{str}\left(e^{r} H \quad[;] e^{!}\right): \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hen, using the de nition of the superderivative $D$ and taking into account that $H$ is local, J can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=I \quad I ;] ; \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scalar com ponents are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=d \times D \operatorname{str}\left(e^{!}\right)=d x \operatorname{str}\left(e^{r} H \quad[;] e^{!}\right) ; \tag{420}
\end{equation*}
$$

which exhibits that $J=I$ whenever [ ; ] = 0, in agreem ent $w$ ith (4.17).
If $j$ and $k$ are the $d_{1}$-grades of and, respectively, it is straightforw ard to prove that I has dim ension $j=2 \mathrm{k}$ w th respect to a scale transform ation where the dim ension of $x$ and is 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$. M oreover, $I$ is $G$ rassm ann-odd or $G$ rassm ann-even depending on whether is a odd or even elem ent of the superalgebra, respectively.

Finally, it is w orth noticing that, according to theorem ${ }^{3}$, the non-local supersym $m$ etric conserved quantities are in one-to-one relation $w$ th the supersym $m$ etric ow equations. H ow ever, we have not been $a b l e$ to nd a deeper relationship between them where, for exam ple, $J$ could be understood as the generator of the ow D.

## 5 P rincipal H ierarchies

In this section we $w$ ill restrict ourselves to consider supersym $m$ etric $K$ dV system $s$ in which is a principal ferm ionic elem ent of A , i.e.,

$$
={ }_{i}^{X} a_{i} E_{i}
$$

where the sum is over all the sim ple roots of the algebra, and the $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are non-zero num bers. A necessary condition for the existence of such an elem ent is that A is equipped with a ferm ionic sim ple root system, and in table $Z^{Z}$ we give a com plete list of all such a ne Lie superalgebras; for fiuture reference the table contains also the bosonic subalgebras.

H ow ever, we w ill start by gíing tw o lem m as which apply to the general case, nam ely:
Lem ma5An element 2 A o is sem i-simple in A if and only if it is sem i-simple in $A_{0}$.
It is well known that an elem ent in a Lie algebra is sem i-sim ple if and only if it can be taken to be elem ent of a C artan subalgebra. The sam e argum ent can be im m ediately applied for Lie superalgebras, and then the lem m a follow S , since a C artan subalgebra of $A_{0}$ is a C artan subalgebra of A.

Lem mat If 2 A is regular in A 0 , then $\left[\mathrm{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{1}\right] \quad \mathrm{Z}$, where $\mathrm{K}=\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{ad}())$ and Z is the centre of $K$.
is regular in $A_{0}$, so we can take a basis in which $K_{0}$ is the $C$ artan subalgebra $H$ of . $K_{1}$ consists of odd root-generators $E \quad w$ th eigenvalue zero under $\operatorname{ad}()$. If $E$; $E 2 K_{1}$, then $=0$, (including the case $=$ ), nam ely:

| Superalgebra |  | B osonic subalgebra |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} A(m ; m)^{(1)} \\ A(2 m ; 2 m)^{(4)} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} A_{m}^{(1)} & A_{m}^{(1)} \\ A_{2 m}^{(2)} & A_{2 m}^{(2)} \end{array}$ |
| $A(2 m \quad 1 ; 2 m \quad 1)^{(2)}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{(2)} \mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{(2)} 1$ |
| A (2m 2; 2 m 1) ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | $2 \quad A_{2 m}^{(2)} \quad 1 \quad U(1)^{(1)}$ |
| B $(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m})^{(1)}$ |  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(1)} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(1)}$ |
| $D(m+1 ; m)^{(1)}$ |  | $D_{m+1}^{(1)} \quad C_{m}^{(1)}$ |
| D $(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m})^{(2)}$ |  | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(2)} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(1)}$ |
| D (2;1; ) ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | $A_{1}^{(1)} \quad A_{1}^{(1)} \quad A_{1}^{(1)}$ |

Table 2: A ne Lie superalgebras $w$ th ferm ionic sim ple root system s . In all cases $m 1$. $N$ ote that, for low values ofm, the even subalgebras $m$ ay $t$ into the general schem e only $m$ odulo standard identi cations: $A_{0}=f 0 g ; A_{1}^{(2)}, A_{1}^{(1)} ; B_{1}^{\prime \prime} C_{1}^{\prime} A_{1} ; D_{1}^{\prime} U(1)$ and $D_{2}{ }^{\prime} A_{1} \quad A_{1}$.

1) $=: \quad 0$ implies that 2 is a root (see [16]) which is a contradiction, since $\left.\mathbb{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{1}\right] \quad \mathrm{H}$.
2) : $\quad 0$ implies that either + or is a root (this can be easily show $n$ using the Jacobi identity on the generators $E ; E$ and $E$ ). This is again a contradiction, for the sam e reason as above.

This implies that if $\left.h \quad 2 \mathbb{K}_{1} ; K_{1}\right]$ and e $2 K_{1}$, then $[\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{E}]=(\quad) \mathrm{E}=0$, and therefore $h 2 \mathrm{Z}$.

For future reference, we will form ulate part of this lem m a as a corollary:
C orollary 2 For regular in $A_{0}$ and in a basis of root-generators for $K_{1}$ : If and are roots such that $\mathrm{E} ; \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{~K}_{1}$, then ${ }^{2}={ }^{2}=\quad=0$.

De ning $\left.\mathbb{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{1}\right] \quad \mathrm{Z}^{0} \quad \mathrm{Z}$, an im $m$ ediate consequence of the argum ents given in the proof of the lem m a is the follow ing

C orollary $3 \mathrm{Z}^{0}$ is com pletely degenerate
since for any two elem ents $h ; h 2 Z^{0}$ we have hh ;h $i=0$.

### 5.1 P rincipal E lem ents

Let now be aprincipalelem ent ofA, where A is one of the a ne Lie superalgebras listed in table 2 except $D(2 ; 1 ;)$; we have chosen not to consider this exceptional superalgebra in detail in order to keep the exposition as sim ple as possible, but it can be treated using the sam em ethods as the other algebras. W e w rite a grading $d$ in the form ( $S_{0} ; s_{1} ;::: ; s_{r}$ ), where $s_{i}$ is the grade of the generator corresponding to the simple root $i$. Then, we call principal hierarchies those associated w ith a principal elem ent , the principal grading $d_{1}=(1 ; 1 ;::: ; 1)$, and another gradation $d_{0}$. It is convenient to distinguish the follow ing cases:
$\left\{d_{0}=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ;::: ; 0)\right.$ is the hom ogeneous grading．$T$ his corresponds to what we will call SK dV type hierarchies．
$\left\{d_{0}=d_{1}\right.$ are both the principal grading．$W$ e will call the corresponding hierarchies Sm K dV hierardhies，supersym $m$ etric $m$ odi ed $K d V$ hierardhies．
$\left\{d_{0}\right.$ is in between［ 4 the hom ogeneous and the principal gradings．The resulting hierarchies are the Spm K dV hierarchies，supersym m etric partially modi ed K dV hierarchies．

The choice of $d_{0}$ is alw ays restricted by the non－degeneracy condition 2．4）．W hen is principal，this condition is satis ed both for the $S K d V$ and $S m K d V$ type hierarchies， except for the algebra $A(m ; m)^{(1)}$ ，where the choice $d_{0}=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ;::: ; 0)$ does not satisfy （2．4）．Instead we can consider a m inim ally modi ed＇Spm K dV hierarchy；we can for exam ple follow 目］and take $d_{0}=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; \quad ; 0 ; 0 ; 1)$ ．

In the principal hierarchies，the $d_{1}$－（principal）grade of is by de nition 1．In addition，$K^{0}=f 0 g$ ，which follow s directly from the corresponding statem ent for bosonic hierarchies，since $K^{0}$ is bosonic．This implies that lemma 2 applies，i．e．，it is always possible，w thout constraining the Lax operator，to nd an even super eld i such that $\hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{L}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}=\mathrm{D}+. \mathrm{W}$ e can therefore im $m$ ediately use sections B and $\operatorname{H}$ to de ne ow equations and conserved quantities．

Since all bosonic simple roots of $A_{0}$ can be obtained as sum s of two simple roots of $\mathrm{A},=\frac{1}{2}[;]$ is a principal elem ent in $\mathrm{A}{ }_{0}$ ．is therefore regular in $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ ，and according to lemm a 回 regular in A．A ccording to table 2 ，$A_{0}$ is alw ays of the form $G_{1} G_{2}$ ，or $\mathrm{G}_{1} \quad \mathrm{G}_{2} \quad \mathrm{U}(1)^{(1)}$ in the case of $\mathrm{A}(2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 2 ; 2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1)^{(2)}$ ．The subalgebra $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ is alw ays sim ple except in the case of $D(2 ; 1)^{(1)}$ where $\mathrm{G}_{1}=\mathrm{D}_{2}^{(1)}, \mathrm{A}_{1}^{(1)} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{(1)}$ ．Even in the case of A（ $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 2 ; 2 \mathrm{~m} 1)^{(2)}$ ，is（w ith one exception）an elem ent in $\mathrm{G}_{1} \quad \mathrm{G}_{2}$ ．This follow s from the fact that has grade 2，while the U（1）${ }^{(1)}$－part of $\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 \mathrm{~m} & 2 ; 2 \mathrm{~m} & 1\end{array}\right)^{(2)}$ has grade larger than 2．The only exception is A $(0 ; 1)^{(2)}$ ，where the even subalgebra is $U(1)^{(1)} A_{1}^{(1)}$ and where the $U(1)^{(1)}$ subalgebra has grade 2；we will treat this exam ple in detail in section 6．It is therefore clear that we can write $=1+2$ where $i$ is a principal elem ent in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ．

Theproblem of nding the even subalgebra $K_{0}$ of K ，and of nding explicit realizations of its elem ents，has therefore been reduced to the problem of nding the kemel of ad（ ） in the case where is a principal elem ent in a（bosonic）Lie algebra G．This question has been studied in e．g．［7］．The elem ents of $k e r(a d())$ are in one－to－one correspondence $w$ th the set of exponents $\mathrm{fe}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ of G ，and are essentially of the form ${ }^{{ }^{e_{i}} \text { ；from ore details }}$ and for the exceptions see appendix C． 1 and［1］．

The task which is left is to nd the odd subspace $K_{1}$ of K ．In order to do this，consider the covering hom om orphism ，the mapping which sends the a ne algebra $A=L(G ;)$ $G \quad C\left[~^{1}\right]$ into the corresponding nite algebra $G$ by setting equal to 1 （or any non－ zero number）．Take a root－basis for $G$ such that $\left(K_{0}\right)$ is the C artan subalgebra．As shown in corollary Q，any two（ferm ionic）roots and such that E ；E $2\left(K_{1}\right)$ must satisfy ${ }^{2}={ }^{2}=\quad=0$ ．The space spanned by those roots such that E $2\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)$ is therefore com pletely degenerate．D enote by（ $\mathrm{d}_{+} ; \mathrm{d}$ ）the signature of the root－space of

[^3]A ${ }^{1}$; the $m$ axim um dim ension of a com pletely degenerate subspace is $m$ in ( $d_{+}$; $d$ ). Thus the $m$ axim aldim ension of $\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)$ is 2 m in ( $\mathrm{d}_{+} ; \mathrm{d}$ ) (for each root we have E ).

In appendix C. 1 we show that together with the elem ents of $\left[\begin{array}{ll}; & 0\end{array}\right],\left[\left[; \mathrm{K}_{0}\right] ; \mathrm{K}_{0}\right]$, etc., saturate this $\lim$ it, except in the case of the algebra $A(2 m \quad 1 ; 2 \mathrm{~m} 1)^{(2)}$. In this last case, the bound allow s tw o extra sets of elem ents of $K_{1}$ which we nd by explicit calculations, see eq. C.1).

The elem ents of $K_{1}$ tum out to fallnaturally into tw o distinct classes ofelem ents (four in the case of $\left.A\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 m & 1 ; 2 m & 1\end{array}\right)^{(2)}\right)$. We denote the elem ents in these two (four) classes by $i$ and $i$ (plus $i$ and $i_{i}$ ) respectively, where $i$ is the principal grade. A convenient way to param etrize the grades is to write $1+2 \mathrm{i}$ and ${ }_{1+2 \mathrm{i}}$, where for $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m})^{(1)}$ i 2 Z , and for all other algebras i $22 Z+1$. We identify $=1$. The elem ents $i$ and $i$ of A $(2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1 ; 2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1)^{(2)}$ have grades $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1$ and $6 \mathrm{~m} \quad 3$ respectively, both m odulo $8 \mathrm{~m} \quad 4$.

For all the algebras we can sum $m$ arize the com $m$ utation-relations $w$ ithin $K_{1}$ in the surprisingly sim ple general expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i ; & j
\end{array}\right]=2^{\frac{i+j}{2}}} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i ; & j
\end{array}\right]=2 \frac{i+j}{2}}  \tag{5.1}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i ; & j
\end{array}\right]=0}
\end{align*}
$$

The elem ents on the right-hand side of these equations form the subset $\mathrm{Z}^{0} \mathrm{Z}$. In appendix C. 2 we nd that in the case of $(m+1 ; m)^{(1)}$ there is one series of elem ents in $\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{nZ}{ }^{0}$, which we denote by $\mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}+4 \mathrm{pm} ; \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{Z}$, while in all other cases we have $\mathrm{Z}^{0}=\mathrm{Z} . \mathrm{We}$ recall that in the algebra A ( $m$; $m$ ) we have the equivalence relation II 0 where II is the $(m+1) \quad(m+1)$ unit $m$ atrix ${ }^{6}$, which im plies that in $A(m ; m)^{(1)}$ and $A(2 m ; 2 m)^{(4)}$ we have ${ }^{h}=0$, where $h$ is the $C$ oxeter num ber. For the elem ents $i$ and $i$ in $A\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 m & 1 ; 2 m\end{array}\right)^{(2)}$, we nd

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[{ }_{i} ; j\right]=2^{\frac{i+j}{2}}} \\
{\left[{ }_{i} ; j\right]=2^{\frac{i+j}{2}}} \\
{\left[i_{i} ; j=0\right.} \\
{[i ; j]=[i ; ~}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i & j \tag{52}
\end{array}\right]=[i ; j]=0 .
$$

C om bining these relations w ith theorem 1, we im m ediately have explicit expressions for the algebra generated by the ferm ionic ows and the ow s related to elem ents in $Z$. Dening $D_{i} \quad D_{i}, D_{i} \quad D_{i}$ and $@_{i}=D_{i}^{i}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\left.\mathbb{D}_{i} ; D_{j}\right]= & 2 \mathbb{C}_{i+j} \\
\left.\mathbb{D}_{i} ; D_{j}\right]= & 2 \mathfrak{C}_{i+j}  \tag{5.3}\\
\left.\mathbb{D}_{i} ; D_{j}\right]= & 0
\end{array}
$$

and sim ilarly for the ow s corresponding to eq. (5.2).
$N$ ot all of these ow s are supersym $m$ etric, i.e., not all are com patible with the supersym $m$ etry transform ation. A coording to theorem 园, the supersym $m$ etric ow s are those corresponding to elem ents in $<=\operatorname{ker}(a d)$, i.e., the elem ents in the centre plus

[^4] and $D_{i} . W$ e have used the fact that for the principal hierarchies, the only even elem ents which commute with are those in the centre, or ( $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{nZ}$ ) $\backslash<=$; as is evident in appendix C.1.

W e have given here the com $m$ utation relations of the subalgebra $K_{1}[Z$ ofK. U sing the explicit expressions given in the appendices it is straightforw ard to nd the com mutation relations for all elem ents of K , and thereby also the algebra generated by all the possible ow S . The result is that K is a subalgebra of the principal super H eisenberg algebra of $a_{1} j_{11}$ w thout central extension, which is isom onphic to the a ne superalgebra $\mathrm{g}_{1}{ }_{j 1}$ [19, 11]. This generalizes the well know $n$ role of the principal H eisenberg algebra in the K P hierarchy and in the originalD S (bosonic) hierarchies. W e expect that this relationship helps to derive a tau-function form alism for these hierarchies in analogy w ith refs. 12, 13, 14] and, especially, to construct solutions for the equations of the hierarchy using super vertex operator representations; in particular, multi-soliton solutions.

### 5.2 Special C ases

5.2.1 A $(m ; m)^{(1)}$

The hierarchies related to the algebras A $(m ; m)^{(1)}$ have been studied previously in e.g. [5, 15], and it is know $n$ that in these cases the supersym $m$ etry can in fact be extended to an $N=2$ supersym $m$ etry. In our form alism, there is a very natural explanation for this fact: we from the list above that there is a ferm ionic elem ent ${ }_{1} 2 \mathrm{~K}$ which satis es
${ }_{1}^{2}=$. It follows from lemmathat $D_{1}$, the corresponding ferm ionic ow, is a local ow, and from theorem 11 that $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)^{2}=\mathrm{@}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and it is therefore clear that $\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ satis es the $\mathrm{N}=2$ supersym $m$ etry algebra.
$5.2 .2 \quad A(2 m \quad 1 ; 2 m \quad 1)^{(2)}$
In this case, as m entioned above, $K$ in eq. 2.19) contains ferm ionic elem ents. A coording to eq. 229), this im plies that we have an in nite num ber of local conserved ferm ionic quantities. M oreover, explicit calculations show that $\left.\left.\mathbb{K}{ }_{0} ;{ }_{i}\right]=\mathbb{K}{ }_{0} ;{ }_{i}\right]=0$. This, together $w$ th the commutations relations (52), shows that $\left[2 \mathrm{~m} 1 ; \mathrm{K}^{<0}\right] \mathrm{K}^{<0}$, and therefore according to lemman the corresponding ow $D_{2 m 1}$ is local.

## 6 Exam ple: SK dV

W e w ill dem onstrate the application of our construction in the exam ple of $S K \mathrm{dV}$, the supersym $m$ etric version of the usual $K$ dV hierardhy. This hierarchy has previously been studied by $m$ any authors, $m$ ainly in the form alism of super pseudo-di erential operators [6, 17, [8, [9, 18], but also using Lie superalgebras 目]. There are several advantages in taking $S K d V$ as exam ple: it is one of the sim plest possible exam ples; we can com pare the results of our construction with the results obtained using otherm ethods; and we can dem onstrate to which extent ourm ethod gives new results even for a hierarchy that has already been considerably studied.

The SK dV hierarchy is the supersym $m$ etric hierarchy de ned by taking to be the
principalelem ent in $A(0 ; 1)^{(2)}[4]$, and to take $d_{1}$ to be the principal grading $d_{1}=(1 ; 1)$, and $d_{0}$ to be the hom ogeneous grading, $d_{0}=(1 ; 0)$

E lem ents x $2 \mathrm{~A}(0 ; 1)^{(2)}$ can be realized as $3 \quad 3 \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes of the form :

where $i ; u$ and $j_{i}$ are polynom ials in ${ }^{2}$ and ${ }^{2}$.We take and to be respectively:

$$
=\begin{array}{ll|ll}
0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\mathbb{Q} & 0 & 1 \\
& 1 & 0 & 0 \\
A
\end{array}
$$

$$
={ }_{\mathrm{Q}}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Z} \begin{array}{l|ll}
0 & 0 & 0^{1} \\
& 0 & \\
0 & 1 \mathrm{~A} \\
& 2 &
\end{array}
$$

In this realization we can write the principal gradation $d_{1}$ as ad ( $\left.e_{2 ; 2} \quad e_{3 ; 3}+2 \frac{e}{e}\right)$, where $e_{i, j}$ denotes the $m$ atrix $w$ ith 1 in the $i ; j$ 'th position, and zero elsew here, while the hom ogeneous gradation $d_{0}$ is ad ( $\left.\frac{d}{d}\right)$.

A $(0 ; 1)^{(2)}$ is one of the two only exam ples where is not the direct sum of two term s which are each a principal elem ent in a simple part of the even subalgebra (the other is $\left.D(2 ; 1)^{(1)}\right)$. Instead is here a direct sum of a part which is principal in $A_{1}^{(1)}$, and a part which lies in the $U(1)^{(1)}$ subalgebra. This gives only trivialm odi cations to the procedure, how ever.

In this case, $K_{0}$ is generated by the elem ents
where $=c_{2} \quad d_{2}$, while $K_{1}$ is generated by
and $\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{K}_{0}$ is generated by the elem ents $\mathrm{b}_{2+4 \mathrm{i}}={ }^{2 \mathrm{i}}$. They satisfy
and it is worth noticing that the conventions we are using in this particular case are di erent from the general ones in the previous section (for exam ple see eq. (5.1) and notice that $b_{2+4 i} \underbrace{1+2 i}$ ).

W e choose the D rinfeld-Sokolov gauge to be of the form

$$
q_{f}=\begin{array}{cc|cc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0^{1} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

[^5]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[{ }_{1+4 i} ; 1+4 j\right]=2 \mathrm{~b}_{2+4(i+j)} ; \quad[3+4 i ; 3+4 j]=2 b_{2+4(i+j+1)}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{d}_{2+4} ; 1+4 \mathrm{j}\right]=\quad 3+4(\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{j}) ; \quad\left[\mathrm{d}_{2+4 \mathrm{i}} ; \quad 3+4 \mathrm{j}\right]=\quad 1+4(\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{j}+\mathrm{t}) \text {; }} \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

$(x)$ is a super eld which we can expand on elds as $(x)=(x)+u(x)$, where $u(x)$ is the usual $K d V$ function and $(x)$ is its supenpartner.

U sing the de nition (327) for the bosonic ow scorresponding to the elem ents of Z, we nd the ow corresponding to $\mathrm{b}_{6}$ to be:

$$
a_{6}(x)=D^{6}+3 D^{2}(D)
$$

which is the SK dV equation, and we nd H, de ned in eq. (2.16), to be

$$
\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{8} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{~d}_{6}+
$$

where the ellipsis sym bolises term s w th lower grades, so the local conserved currents related to the rst two bosonic ows are and D, respectively.

In order to calculate the rst non-trivial supersym $m$ etric ferm ionic ow, $\mathrm{D}_{3}$, we need to nd the non-localquantity !, de ned in eq. (3.3). For the rst few grades, we nd:

$$
\begin{align*}
& !=\frac{1}{2} D^{2} \quad 1+\frac{1}{4} D^{2}\left(D^{2}\right) b_{2}+\frac{1}{2} D^{1} d_{2} \frac{1}{8} D^{1}\left(D^{2}\right) \quad 3+ \\
& +\frac{1}{96} D^{1} 12 D^{1}(D) \quad 3 D{ }^{1}\left(D^{2}\right)+D{ }^{1} D{ }^{2} \quad 5+ \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

where again the ellipsis denotes term sof low er grade. U sing this and eq. 327), we nd the rst non-trivial ferm ionic ow to be:

$$
D_{3}=D^{3}+2 D^{2} D^{2} D^{1}
$$

This is exactly the non-local ow found in [], where the ferm ionic ow s were de ned using pseudo-di erential operators as ow s related to the fourth root of the Lax operator. W e can verify by direct calculation that this ow satis es $\mathrm{D}_{3}^{2}=@_{6}$.

In section 4 we have shown that if we im pose suitable boundary conditions on the elds, in this case assum ing that $(\mathrm{x})$ goes su ciently fast to zero for x ! 1 , then the components of ! $\dot{j}=1$ are conserved quantities under all bosonic ows. It is instructive to check explicitly that this is true $w$ th respect to $@_{6}$, since in order to show conservation we already need to use partial integration w ith non-local quantities, as described on page 13. W e have done this calculation down to grade 5. In this case the conservation depends on a considerable num ber of cancellations of term s, giving a non-trivial check of the conservation of ! $\dot{k}=1$. Here we will restrict ourselves to show the conservation of the com ponents of grade 1, 2 and 3 only.

A coording to 4.19), the conserved quantity associated with $=1$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\int_{1}^{Z+1} d x @_{x} \operatorname{str}\left(e^{!}\right)=\int_{1}^{Z+1} d x @_{x} \operatorname{str}(!)=2_{1}^{Z+1} d x \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, using 4.19), gives rise to two scalar conserved quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=2^{Z} d x D^{1} ; \quad I_{[;]}=2 I=2^{Z} d x \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing proposition 5 , $I$ is a supersym $m$ etric $G$ rassm ann-even conserved quantity while I is a non-supersym $m$ etric ferm ionic conserved quantity. This agrees $w$ ith the results of $\quad$ g],
where I / $\mathrm{J}_{1=2}$ and $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{H}_{1}$, which is the H am ittonian corresponding to the even ow $@_{2}=\varrho_{\mathrm{x}}$.
! has two di erent term s of principal degree 2, whidh gives rise to two independent G rassm an-even conserved quantities. T he rst one is $I$, and the second is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{C_{2}}=\int_{1}^{Z+1} d x @_{x} \operatorname{str}\left(C_{2} e^{!}\right)=\int_{1}^{Z+1} d x @_{x} \operatorname{str}\left(c_{2}!\right)=\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z}+1 \quad d x D^{2} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has two scalar com ponents. It is straightforw ard to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}=I_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}+I_{3} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees w ith eq. 4.19) since $\left[c_{2} ;\right]=3 \cdot$ Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d x D^{1}\left(D^{2}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

provides a non-supersym metric ( $\left[c_{2} ;\right] 0$ ) G rassm ann-even conserved quantity. A ctually, this non-localquantity w as notm entioned in 8] and has not previously been shown to be a conserved quantity. O ur construction ensures that it is conserved by all the bosonic ow equations of the hierarchy. In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& @_{6} J_{C_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} d x @_{6}\left(D^{2}\right) \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{2}^{Z+1} d x D^{h} \quad 3 D^{2}(D)\right) D^{2}+\left(D^{4} 3(D)\right)^{i} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z+1} d x 2 D^{4}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used partial integration, as well as the fact that $=D^{2} \mathrm{D}^{2}=0$. This ensures the conservation ofboth $I_{C_{2}}$ and $I_{3}$.

Since $[3 ;]=0, I{ }_{3}$ is a non-local supersym $m$ etric ferm ionic conserved quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=J_{3}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2}\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s explained in page 14, this conserved quantity has scale dim ension $3=2$ and, hence, should be related to $\mathrm{J}_{3=2}$ in 8$]$. The precise connection is

$$
J_{\frac{3}{2}}=I_{3} 4^{Z} \mathrm{dxD}\left(!(1)!_{\mathrm{d}}(2)\right)
$$

where! (1) and $!_{d}(2)$ are the coe cients of 1 and $d{ }_{2}$ in eq. 6.2), respectively. The conservation of $J_{\frac{3}{2}}$ follow $s$ directly from the conservation of the com ponents of ! $\dot{j}_{k}=1$.

## 7 C onclusions

For the entire class of supersym $m$ etric $D$ rinfel'd-Sokolov integrable hierarchies constructed by D elduc and G allot in [5], we have de ned an in nite sequence ofadditionalG rassm annodd and G rassm ann-even ow equations, which are given by non-local equations, i.e., which are not de ned by super-di erentialpolynom ials of the dynam icalvariables. In this
sense，they have to be seen as generalizations of the ferm ionic ow sconstructed by D argis and $M$ athieu for the supersym $m$ etric $K d V$ equation in 㔽］．All these ow $s$ com $m$ ute $w$ ith the bosonic ones originally considered in S］and，hence，provide new ferm ionic and bosonic sym $m$ etry transform ations for the hierarchy．In particular，one of the ferm ionic ows corresponds precisely to the built－in supersym $m$ etry transform ation and，in som e cases， others provide additional supersym $m$ etries．The new ow equations do not com $m$ ute am ong them selves；instead，they close an in nite non－abelian superalgebra．
$T$ he supersym $m$ etric hierarchies of 固］are associated w ith a（tw isted）loop superalgebra $A$ ，and two com patible gradations $d_{1}$ and $d_{0}$ ．They are de ned by $m$ eans of ferm ionic Lax operators of the form $L=D+q(x ;)+w h e r e q(x ;)$ is a $N=1$ super eld taking values in a subspace of $A, D$ is the superderivative，and is a constant $d_{1}$－graded elem ent of A whose square $=[;]=2$ is sem i－sim ple．Then，follow ing our construction，there is a non－local ow associated with each elem ent in $K=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{ad})$ with non－negative $\mathrm{d}_{1}$－grade， i．e．，in $K{ }^{0}$ ，and these ow sclose an in nite superalgebra isom onphic to $K{ }^{0}$ ．In contrast， the mutually commuting ow soriginally considered by the authors of［5］are associated w ith the elem ents in the centre of $K{ }^{0}$ ，which contains only even elem ents．Since the built－in supersymm etry transform ation SUSY $=D$ is identi ed with the ow equation associated w ith，it is easy to characterise the ows which are supersym m etric：those associated w th the elem ents in $K^{0}$ that com $m$ ute $w$ ith．O fcourse，all the com $m$ uting bosonic ow s of［5］are supersym $m$ etric．

W e have also constructed an in nite series of non－local quantities which are conserved by all the com $m$ uting bosonic ow sassociated w th the centre ofk ${ }^{0}$ ．To be precise，there is a non－localconserved quantity for each elem ent in $K^{0}$ ，and only those conserved quan－ tities associated $w$ ith the elem ents that com $m$ ute $w$ ith are supersym $m$ etric．Therefore， there is a one－to－one relationship betw een non－local ow equations and non－localconserved quantities，which suggest that the latter could be understood as the generators of the for－ $m$ er．In the case of the supersym $m$ etric $K d V$ equation，this relationship was established in the work ofD argis and $M$ athieu 圂］and $R$ am os［G］by using the super pseudo－di erential Lax operator form alism．In our case，the precise nature of this relationship is one of the open questions that is left to be the sub ject of future work．

A s an exam ple of our construction，we have worked out in detail the structure of the non－local ow equations and conserved currents for the，so called，principal hierarchies， which are associated w th the a ne superalgebras that have a ferm ionic sim ple root sys－ tem；nam ely，those listed in table $0^{2}$ ．Then，$d_{1}$ is the principalgradation and is the cyclic elem ent，i．e．，the sum of the（a ne）sim ple root generators．A ctually，these hierarchies can be seen as the direct generalization of the originalD rinfeld－Sokolov construction，and their com muting bosonic ow swere de ned rst by Inam iand $K$ anno 4，15．In order to proceed，we have constructed explicit $m$ atrix－representations for the a ne Lie superalge－ bras w ith ferm ionic sim ple root system swhich，as far as we know，are not available in the literature．U sing them，we have show $n$ that $K=K e r(a d)$ is a subalgebra of the in nite superoscillator algebra constructed by K ac and van de Leur in［10］，i．e．，of the principal H eisenberg superalgebra of $a_{1}{ }_{j 1} w$ thout central extension［11］．The precise form of the subalgebra depends on the particular superalgebra we have started $w$ th．$T$ his generalises the well known role of the oscillator and H eisenberg algebras in the KP hierarchy［19］ and the generalized hierarchies of K dV type［2，12，14］．It also suggests the possibility of understanding our hierarchies of local and non－local ow s as reductions of the super K P hierarchy of super H irota bilinear equations constructed in the second article of［10］．

Finally, let us com $m$ ent about the construction of solutions for the equations of these hierarchies. A ccording to (321), a particular solution is speci ed by , which satis es the system of rst order super di erential equations given by (3.15). In order to solve it, consider the decom position $+\mathrm{A}=+\mathrm{A}^{<0}+\mathrm{A}^{0}$, where $+\mathrm{A}^{<0}\left(+\mathrm{A}^{0}\right)$ is the subalgebra form ed by the elem ents $w$ th negative (non-negative) $d_{1}$-grade. $N$ ext, let $G$ and $G_{+}$be the subgroups obtained by (form ally) exponentiating the subalgebras $+A^{<0}$ and $+A{ }^{0}$, respectively, and de ne $G=G \quad G_{+}$, which is the super analogue of the big cell of a loop group [13]; if $g$ belongs to $G$, we shall $w$ rite $g=g g_{+}$for its unique factorization $w$ ith g 2 G. Then, the general solution of (3.15) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(\quad 0 \mathrm{~g}) \text {; } \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is any constant elem ent in $G$ and $02 G_{+}$is the solution of the associated linear problem corresponding to $=1$ :

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathbb{I I} 0=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{D} & \hat{\mathrm{u}} \tag{7.2}
\end{array}\right) 0=0 ;
$$

in the parlance of the second and third articles in [12], 0 is the vacuum 'solution of the associated linear problem . Eq. 7.1) provides an in nite set of solutions param etrized by the elem ents of G . By analogy w ith the bosonic hierarchies, the elem ents obtained by exponentiating super vertex operators should be related to the ulti-soliton solutions [12].

Eq. (72) can be easily solved for the principalhierarchies. To be speci $c$, let us restrict ourselves to the ow s associated with the elem ents of the form $\quad 1+2 \mathrm{i}$, $1+2 \mathrm{i}$, $\mathrm{and}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{i}{2}$, which is a subset of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ [ $\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{K}^{0}$; the resulting expressions can be easily modi ed to cover the com plete set of ow s. In order to solve (72), it is convenient to introduce and in nite number of even tim es $t_{i}$ associated with $b_{i}$, and two in nite sets of odd tim es $i$ and $i$ associated with $i$ and $i$, respectively. Then, since $D_{1}=D$, the ows can be realized on the set of functions of these variables according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{i}=\frac{@}{@ t_{i}} ; \quad D_{1}=\frac{@}{@ 1_{1}}+1 \frac{@}{@ t_{2}} \\
& D_{i}=\frac{@}{@}+21 \frac{@}{@ t_{i+1}}+\frac{X}{j \in 1} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho t_{i+j}} ; \quad i \in 1 \\
& D_{i}=\frac{@}{@}{ }_{i}{ }_{j}{ }_{j} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho t_{i+j}} ; \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

which trivially satisfy eq. 5.3). U sing these variables, the vacuum solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\exp _{i}^{X}\left(t_{i} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad i \quad i \quad i \quad i\right) ; \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{1}=$ and $t_{2}=x$.
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## A Proof of Proposition 1

First of all, since $K$ er (ad ) $K$, let us choose a vector subspace $V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=K \operatorname{er}(\operatorname{ad}) \quad V \text {; } \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
==\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{ad}) \backslash \mathrm{K}=[; \mathrm{V}]: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, if < = Ker(ad ), it is straightforw ard to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
=<; \quad<;<]<; \quad E ;=] \quad=: \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the Proposition goes by induction on the $d_{1}$-grade of the com ponents of (3.3). The highest grade com ponent reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1}+\left[\mathrm{N}^{1} ;\right]=0 ; \tag{A..}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hich provides the rst constraint, $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1} 2=$, and uniquely xes the component of ! ${ }^{1}$ in $V$, nam ely ! ${ }^{1}$, as a local function of $H^{k}{ }^{1}$. Then, consider the transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{r v^{1}}(D++H) e^{!_{v}^{1}}=D+H_{(1)} \text {; } \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is obvious that $H_{(1)}^{k}=0$. Next, suppose that $H^{>j}=0$ for $j \quad 0$ and consider the transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{r} v^{k+j}(D++H) e^{!_{v^{k+j}}}=D++H^{j}+\left[\hat{r}^{k+j} ;\right]+; \tag{A..6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ellipsis indicates term $s w$ ith $d_{1}$-grade < j. Therefore, if $H^{j}$ is constrained such that $H^{j} 2=$, the condition that the com ponent of grade $j$ in the right-hand side vanishes uniquely xes ! ${ }_{v}{ }^{k+j}$.

Follow ing this procedure, we can construct a transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{!}=e^{!v^{k}} e^{!} v^{k+1} \quad!_{v^{1}}{ }^{1} e \tag{A..7}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{!}(D++H) e^{!}=D++H \tag{A..8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{H} \quad{ }^{0}=0$.
$N$ ext, let us constrain the com ponents of $H \quad$ in $V$ with $d_{1}$-grade $\quad k$ to vanish, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{V} \quad{ }^{k}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad H \quad{ }^{k} 2<\text {; } \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the transform ation

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{r} v^{k}{ }^{1} e^{r}<^{1}(D++H) e^{!}<{ }^{1} e^{!} v^{k 1} \\
& =e^{f}<^{1}(D+H) e^{!}{ }^{1}+D!v^{k}{ }^{1}+[\overbrace{V^{k}}{ }^{1} ;]+ \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the ellipsis indicates term swith $d_{1}$-grade $<k$. Then, taking into account A.3), the condition that the term $s$ w th $d_{1}$-grade 1 and the term $s$ in $V$ with grade $k 1$ vanish require

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{V}{ }^{k 1} \quad D!{ }^{k}{ }^{1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{H} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}!{ }^{1}{ }^{1}+\left[{ }_{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1} \text {; }\right]=0 \text {; } \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

which uniquely xes ! ${ }^{k}{ }^{1}$ and ! ${ }_{<}{ }^{1}$ as non-local functions of $H_{V}{ }^{k}{ }^{1}$ and $H^{1}$. Finally, suppose that $H>j=H_{V}>k j=0$ for some $j>1$, and consider

In this case, the vanishing of the term $s w$ ith $d_{1}$-grade $j$ and the term $s$ in $V$ with grade
$\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{j}$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{V}{ }^{k}{ }^{j} \quad D!_{V}{ }^{k}{ }^{j}=0 \\
& H \quad{ }^{j} \quad D!_{<}^{j}+[\overbrace{V}{ }^{j} ;]=0 ; \tag{A.13}
\end{align*}
$$

which uniquely xes ! ${ }_{v}{ }^{k}{ }^{j}$ and $!_{<}{ }^{j}$.
To sum $m$ arize, we have constructed a transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{!}=e^{!v^{1}} \quad!e^{k} e^{!<^{1}} e^{!_{v}{ }^{k 1}} e^{!<^{2}} e^{!v^{k 2}} \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

that satis es 3.3), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{r}(D++H) e^{!}=D+; \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which com pletes the proof of P roposition 1;

## B Lie superalgebras

In this appendix we will recall a few basic facts about Lie superalgebras which are of special relevance to this paper. For a com plete introduction to the sub ject we refer to e.g. [16, 20]

## B . 1 B ilinear Form

A Lie superalgebra is a $Z_{2}$-graded algebra $G=G_{0} \quad G_{1}$, equipped with a graded com$m$ utator, which satis es the graded Jacobi identity. W e are here interested only in the contragredient or basic classicalL ie superalgebras, which are those that are equipped w ith a non-degenerate bilinear form $h$; iwhich is invariant, i.e.:
hX ; Y;Z ]i=h[X ;Y ];Zi

C onsider such a Lie superalgebra G. Given a m atrix-representation $R$ of $G$, the bilinear form in this representation is de ned by

$$
h X ; Y i_{R}=\operatorname{str}(R(X) R(Y))
$$

$w$ here str is the supertrace. A standard $m$ atrix representation can be wrilten in the form :

$$
x=a b
$$

where $a_{i} b ;$ and are $m$ atrioes, and the even subspace is form ed ofm atrioes of the form
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{~b}\end{array}$; in this representation the supertrace is de ned by

$$
\operatorname{str}(X)=\operatorname{tr}(a) \quad \operatorname{tr}(b)
$$

A llbilinear form s are equal up to norm alization. The K illing form is the bilinear form in the adjoint representation; it $m$ ay be identically zero.

N ote that while the bilinear form is de ned only on the superalgebra, it is always possible to work in som em atrix representation, and in that case the supertrace is de ned on the universal enveloping algebra.

If $h$ is an elem ent of the C artan subalgebra $H \quad G$ and $E$ is a root generator, then we have

$$
[h ; E \quad]=\quad(h) E
$$

where ( ) is a linear functional on $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{W}$ e denote by h the elem ent in H such that ( h ) = hh;h i. W e have

$$
\mathbb{E} ; E \quad]=h E \quad ; \quad \text { ih ; }
$$

where hE ; E í 0 .
The bilinear form gives rise to an Inner product' (which is in general not positive de nite) by

$$
=\text { hh;h i }
$$

It follow s directly that

$$
\llbracket ; E]=(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{E}=(\quad) \mathrm{E}
$$

## B . 2 R oot System s of A ne Lie Superalgebras.

In this section, we w ill recall som e useful facts about the root system sofL ie superalgeb ras. W ew illgive the standard realizations of the root system sof som e nite Lie superalgebras, which is usefiul for the calculation of the signature of the root-spaces, see page 17, and we
w ill give the ferm ionic sim ple root system s , as well as explicit $m$ atrix realizations of the principalelem ents .

M ost of the construction in section 5 can be done $w$ thout know ing the precise form of the principal elem ent. In general, it is su cient to know that we can nd a matrix representation where takes the form

$$
=\quad 0^{\sim} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
!  \tag{B.1}\\
\sim
\end{array}
$$

where and ~ are unspeci ed $m$ atrioes, and that

$$
{ }^{2}=\quad \begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}
$$

where $1_{1}$ and 2 are principal elem ents in the $L$ ie algebras $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, together $w$ ith the know ledge that we have of the structure of the bosonic case. There are, how ever, a few cases where it tums out to be necessary to actually nd an expression for in order to do explicit calculations. Explicit calculations are necessary to show that the spurious' elem ent ofK 0 in the case ofD $(m+1 ; m)^{(1)}$ is an elem ent of $Z$, see page 34, and to nd the extra elem ents of $K_{1}$ and their com $m$ utation relations in the case of $\left(\begin{array}{lll}(2 m & 1 ; 2 m & 1\end{array}\right)^{(2)}$, see page 33.

At the same time, we are not aw are of any reference in whidh one can nd explicit expressions for the $m$ atrix-representations of ferm ionic simple root system $s$ of a ne $L$ ie superalgebras. Since Lie superalgebras $w$ ith ferm ionic sim ple root system $s$ are im portant in several applications of $L$ ie superalgebras, for exam ple in supersym $m$ etric Toda theory or supersym $m$ etric ham ittonian reduction, we have chosen to give these expressions in som e detail.
$N$ ote that there are otherm atrix realizations of Lie superalgebras which $m$ ay be usefiul $w$ hen studying supersym $m$ etric integrable hierarchies, see 21].

In allcases " 1 ;:: :; ${ }_{n}$ denotes an orthonorm albasis ofa vector space $V_{+}$, while $1 ;::: ;$ m denotes a basis of a vector space $V$ w ith negative de nite inner product, norm alized so ${ }_{i}{ }_{j}={ }_{i j}$ ( $w$ here $i j$ is the usualK ronecker delta-sym bol). In addition, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}$ ill denote a m atrix (the dim ensions will be clear from the context) with one in the $i ; j$ 'th position, and zero elsew here.

B .2.1 R oot system s
A ( $\mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{m} \quad 1$ )
even roots: ${ }_{i} \quad "_{j} i_{i} \quad j ; i \not j$.
odd roots: ( $\left.\mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad{ }_{j}\right)$.
B ( n ; m )
even roots: $"_{i} \quad "_{j} ; "_{i} j_{i} \quad j ; 2 i ; i \not j$. odd roots: $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

D ( $\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{m}$ )
even roots: $"_{i} \quad "_{j} ; \quad i \quad j ; 2 i ; i \not j$.
odd roots: $"_{i} \quad$.

For $B(n ; m)$ and $D(n ; m)$ the root space is $V_{+} V$. In the case of $A_{P}\left(n 1 ; m_{p} 1\right)$, the root space is the subspace of $V_{+} \quad V$ of co-dim ension 1 , orthogonalto $i_{i} \quad{ }_{j} \quad j$

The upper lim it on the dim ension of $\left(K_{1}\right)$ is given by 2 m in $\left(d_{+}^{0} ; d^{0}\right)$, where $\left(d_{+}^{0} ; d^{0}\right)$ is the signature of the root space of the superalgebra. If we denote by $\left(d_{+} ; d\right)=$ ( $\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{+}\right)$; dim $(V)$ ) the signature of $V_{+} V$, then clearly $m$ in $\left(d_{+}^{0} ; d^{0}\right) m$ in $\left(d_{+} ; d\right)$, and we can therefore safely use the signature of $V_{+} \quad V$ as the upper lim it in the general case.

W e w ill now give the ferm ionic sim ple root system $s$ and the explicit $m$ atrioes corresponding to the principal elem ents considered in this paper. Let us rem ind the reader that the simple root system for an untw isted a ne Lie superalgebra can be chosen to consist of the sim ple root system of the corresponding nite Lie superalgebra, w ith the addition ofan $a$ ne root', which can be realized as $E$, where is the loop-param eter, and E is the generator corresponding to $m$ inus the highest root. In the case of an a ne $L$ ie superalgebra tw isted $w$ ith an autom onphism of order $k$, the autom onphism gives rise to a grading of the Lie superalgebra $H={ }_{i=0}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{i}}^{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ where $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ is invariant under, and each $G_{i}$ form $s$ a representation of $G_{0}$. A s a simple root system of the tw isted a ne $L$ ie superalgebra we can take a simple root system of $G_{0}$ in addition to the $a$ ne root' which we can realize as E , where E is in this case the (unique) low est weight in the $\mathrm{G}_{0}$-representation provided by $\mathrm{G}_{1}$.

## B . 2.2 A $(m ; m)^{(1)}$

Ferm ionic simple root system : ( ${ }_{i} \quad i \quad$ i $i=1 ;:: ; m+1 ;\left(i \quad e_{i+1}\right) ; i=1 ;:: ; m$. M atrix realization:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n_{i}} & =e_{i, m}+1+i \\
E_{i} "_{i+1} & =e_{m+1+i ; i+1} \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

The realization of the $a$ ne root' is $e_{2 m+2 ; 1}$. In this basis takes the sim ple form :

$$
=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \mathbb{I} \\
A_{m} & 0
\end{array}
$$

where $A_{m}$ is the usual principal elem ent in $A_{m}$ :

B . 2.3 A $\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 m & 1 ; 2 m & 1\end{array}\right)^{(2)}$
The invariant subalgebra is $D(m ; m)=\operatorname{osp}(2 m ; 2 m)$. The simple roots of $D(m ; m)$ are $\left("_{i} \quad i+1\right) ; i=1 ;::: ; m \quad 1 ;\left(i \quad ~_{i}\right) ; i=1 ;::: ; m ;\left(m+"_{m}\right)$. The $m$ atrix realization of
these roots are:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n_{i}}{ }_{i+1} & =e_{4 m} i ; 2 m+1 i+e_{i ; 2 m+1+i} \\
E_{i} m_{i} & =e_{2 m+1 i ; 4 m+1 i} \quad e_{2 m+i ; i} \\
E_{m+"_{m}} & =e_{m ; 3 m+1} \quad e_{3 m ; m+1} \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

while the $m$ atrix realization of the a ne root is $\left(e_{2 m ; 2 m+1} \quad e_{4 m ; 1}\right)$, such that takes the form ofeq. B.1) with:


where the blocks are m m matrioes.
B.2.4 A $(2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 2 ; 2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1)^{(2)}$

The invariant subalgebra is $B(m \quad 1 ; m)=\operatorname{osp}(2 m \quad 1 ; 2 m)$. The sim ple rootsofB $(m \quad 1 ; m)$ are $\left({ }_{i} \quad i+1\right) ; i=1 ;::: ; m \quad 1 ;\left({ }_{i} \quad "_{i}\right) ; i=1 ;::: ; m \quad 1 ; m$. Them atrix realization is:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{v_{i}}{ }_{i+1} & =e_{4 m} 1 i ; 2 m \quad i+e_{i ; 2 m+i} \\
E_{i} m_{i} & =e_{2 m} i ; 4 m \quad i \quad e_{2 m} 1+i ; i \\
E_{m} & =e_{m ; 3 m} \quad e_{3 m} \quad 1, m \tag{B.4}
\end{align*}
$$

while the realization of the a ne root is $\left(\begin{array}{lll}\left(e_{2 m}\right. & 1 ; 2 m & e_{4 m} \\ 1 ; 1\end{array}\right)$, such that takes the form in eq. B.1) $w$ ith:


$w$ here the big' blocks are $\left(\begin{array}{ll}m & 1) \\ m & m\end{array}\right)$ atrices for , and $m \quad(m \quad 1)$ matrioes for ${ }^{\sim}$.

## B . 2.5 B $(m ; m)^{(1)}$

 A smatrix realization of the sim ple roots we take:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n_{i}} & =e_{4 m+2} i ; 2 m+2 i+e_{i ; 2 m+1+i} \\
E_{i n_{i+1}} & =e_{2 m+1} \quad i ; 4 m+2 i \quad e_{2 m+1+i ; i+1} \\
E_{m} & =e_{m+1 ; 3 m+2 \quad} \quad e_{3 m+1 ; m+1} \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

while the $m$ atrix realization of the a ne root is

$$
e=\left(e_{2 m+1 ; 2 m+2}+e_{4 m+1 ; 1}\right)
$$

where the highest root is $=\left({ }^{1}+{ }_{1}\right)$. takes the form of eq. (4.1) w ith:

where the blocks are $m \quad m \mathrm{~m}$ atrices.

B .2.6 D $(m+1 ; m)^{(1)}$
 ${ }^{m}+1$ ). The $m$ atrix realization is:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n_{i} i} & =e_{i ; 2 m+2+i}+e_{4 m+3} \quad i ; 2 m+3 i \\
E_{i} n_{i+1} & =e_{2 m+2 i ; 4 m+3 i} \quad e_{2 m+2+i ; i+1} \\
E_{m}+{ }_{m+1} & =e_{m+1 ; 3 m+3} \quad e_{3 m+2 ; m+2} \tag{B.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ hile the realization of the a ne root is $E=\left(e_{2 m+2 ; 2 m+3}+e_{4 m+2 ; 1}\right.$, where the highest root is $="_{1}+{ }_{1}$. In this realization, takes the form of eq. (电.1] w th:

where the big' blocks are $m \quad m m$ atrioes.

## B . $2.7 \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m})^{(2)}$

In this case, the invariant algebra is not a regular subalgebra ofD ( $m$; $m$ ), which $m$ akes the simple root system slightly $m$ ore com plicated. W e give rst the ferm ionic simple roots
 realization is:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n_{i}+1} & =e_{4 m} i ; 2 m+1 i \\
E_{i+1} & =e_{i ; 2 m+1+i} \\
E_{m+1}+\eta_{m} & =e_{m ; 3 m+1} \quad e_{3 m ; m+1} \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The autom orphism is the diagram autom orphism $"_{m}!\quad "_{m}$, and a simple root system of the invariant subalgebra is found by replacing $E{ }_{m}+{ }_{m}$ (which is not invariant under ) by

$$
E_{m+n_{m}}+E_{m} \quad n_{m}=e_{m ; 3 m+1}+e_{m+1 ; 3 m+1} \quad e_{3 m, m+1} \quad e_{3 m, m+2}
$$

The realization of the $a$ ne root' is ( $\left.e_{m ; 2 m+1} \quad e_{m+1 ; 2 m+1} \quad e_{4 m, m}+e_{4 m, m+1}\right)$. takes the form ofeq. B.1) w th:

where the blocks are $m \quad m m$ atrioes.

B . $2.8 \quad \mathrm{~A}(2 \mathrm{~m} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})^{(4)}$
It is convenient to split A $(2 m ; 2 m)$ into an $A(2 m ; 2 m 1)$ subalgebra plus an extra row an colum $n$. The invariant subalgebra is then a $B(m ; m)=\operatorname{osp}(2 m+1 ; 2 m)$ subalgebra of A ( $2 m ; 2 m \quad 1$ ).

W e have already found the simple roots of B (m ; m ) in subsection B 2.5. W e use the sam e basis here, supplem ented with the a ne root $\left(e_{2 m+1 ; 4 m+2}+e_{4 m+2 ; 1}\right)$. In this realization, takes the form ofeq. ( 1.1 w th:


where the blocks are $m \quad m m$ atriges.

## C Ker (ad )

## C. 1 C onstruction of elem ents in $\mathrm{K}_{1}$

In this subsection we describe the construction of the elem ents of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$. W ew ill use a m atrix realization of $A$ of the form

$$
\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{0}+\mathrm{x}_{1} 2 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{A}_{0} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{1}: \mathrm{x}=\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a} \quad! \\
& \mathrm{b}
\end{aligned} \mathrm{x}_{0}=\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{a} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{x}_{1}=0 \quad 1
$$

| A ne algebra | Exponents |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(1)}$ | 1;2;3;:::;m modm + 1 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{(2)}$ | 1;3;5;:::;2m 1;2m + 3;:::;4m + $1 \mathrm{mod} 4 \mathrm{~m}+2$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{(2)}$ | 1;3;5;:::;4m 3mod 4m 2 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{(1)}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(1)}$ | 1;3;5;:::;2m 1mod 2 m |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(1)}$ | 1;3;5;:::;2m 3;m 1mod $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 2$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}+1}^{(2)}$ | 1;3;5;:: ; $2 \mathrm{~m}+1 \mathrm{mod} 2 \mathrm{~m}+2$ |

Table 3: Exponents of the a ne algebras that provide the bosonic part of the a ne Lie superalgebras w ith a ferm ionic sim ple root system .

Wewrite

$$
=\quad 0^{n} \quad!
$$

and we nd

$$
=[;]=\quad \sim^{\sim} 0^{\sim}=0^{1} 0^{!}
$$

where $i$ are principal elem ents in $G_{i} . K_{0}$ consists of elem ents

$$
X=\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} & 0 \\
0 & x_{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $x_{i} 2 \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{ad}(i))$, or, in the case ofA $\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 m & 2 ; 2 m & 1\end{array}\right)^{(2)}$, ofelem ents $X+u$, where $u$ is an elem ent of the $U(1)^{(1)}$ subalgebra of $A\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 m & 2 ; 2 m & 1\end{array}\right)^{(2)}$.
$\operatorname{ker}(a d(i))$ are well known, and are generated by the elem ents ${ }_{i}{ }_{i}$, where $f e_{j} g$ is the set of exponents of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, w th the follow ing exceptions:

1) $D_{m}^{(1)}$ has exponents $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 3 ; 5 ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 3$ and $\mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{mod} 2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 2$. There is an elem ent $e_{2 m} 2$ of $K_{0}$ corresponding to the spurious exponent $m \quad 1$, which is not associated to ${ }^{m}{ }^{1}$.
2) $A_{2 m}^{(2)} \quad$ has exponents $n=1 ; 3 ; 5 ;::: ; 4 \mathrm{~m} \quad 3 \mathrm{mod} 4 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ 2. The elem ent of $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ corresponding to the exponent $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1$ is $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2 m} \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 \mathrm{~m} & 1\end{array}\right) 1 I$.

W e have collected the exponents of the relevant bosonic a ne Lie algebras in table 电 and we refer to [1, 22] for further details.

Taking com m utators $\left[; \mathrm{K}_{0}\right]$ ] [[ ; $\left.\left.\mathrm{K}_{0}\right] ; \mathrm{K}_{0}\right]$, etc. [0] we can now construct $m$ ore elem ents of $K_{1}$. The elem ents found in this way were sum $m$ arized on page 17. In table 4 we com pare the num ber of these generators, modulo tw ice the C oxeter num ber, w ith the $m$ axim alpossible num ber 2 m in ( $d_{+}$; $d$ ) found in the previous subsection. $W$ e see that the num ber of ferm ionic generators saturate the 1 m it in all cases except A ( $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1 ; 2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1)^{(2)}$. In this last case we nd by explicit calculations two extra series of ferm ionic generators

[^6] grade 1, together with [ ; $\left.\mathrm{K}_{0}\right]$ ] [ $\left.\left[\mathrm{K}_{0}\right] ; \mathrm{K}_{0}\right]$, etc.

| Superalgebra |  | 2 m in ( $\mathrm{d}_{+}$; d ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A (m;m) ${ }^{(1)}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m}+2$ | $2 \mathrm{~m}+2$ |
| A $(2 m ; 2 m)^{(4)}$ | $4 \mathrm{~m}+2$ | $4 \mathrm{~m}+2$ |
| A ( $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1 ; 2 \mathrm{~m} 1)^{(2)}$ | $4 \mathrm{~m} \quad 2$ | 4 m |
| A (2m 2; $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1)^{(2)}$ | $4 \mathrm{~m} \quad 2$ | $4 \mathrm{~m} \quad 2$ |
| B $(m ; m)^{(1)}$ | 2 m | 2 m |
| D (m+1;m) ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2 m | 2 m |
| $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m})^{(2)}$ | 2 m | 2 m |

Table 4: The second colum n gives the num ber of generators in $\left(K_{1}\right)$ of the form ( ),
 gives the upper lim it 2 m in ( $\mathrm{d}_{+}$; d ) on the num ber of elem ents of $\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)$. A s we see, the second and third colum ns agree in all cases except A (2m $1 ; 2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1)^{(2)}$.
$w$ ith grades 2 m 1 and 6 m 3 , both $m$ odulo 8 m 4. In the $m$ atrix realization given in section B 2.3 these extra elem ents are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i} \boldsymbol{r}_{i}=0_{i} 0^{i} \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
and in addition:

## C . 2 Com m utation $R$ elations

$K_{0}$ is an Abelian algebra, so we need to nd only the com $m$ utation relations $\left.\mathbb{K}{ }_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{1}\right]$ and $\left.\mathbb{K}_{0} ; \mathrm{K}_{1}\right]$.

Rem arkably, we nd that we can always choose the elem ents $1+2 \mathrm{i}$ and $1+2 \mathrm{i}$ to be
of the follow ing sim ple form :

N ote that $(\sim)^{i}=\frac{i}{2}=\frac{i}{1}$ and ${ }^{\sim}\left(\sim^{\sim}\right)^{i}=\sim \frac{i}{1}=\frac{i}{2} \sim$. O ne can easily verify that the com m utation relations of these generators are the ones given in equation (5.1). In the case of the algebra $A(2 m \quad 1 ; 2 m \quad 1)^{(2)}$, where we have extra ferm ionic generators $i$ and ir explicit calculations give the com $m$ utation relations eq. 5.7).

In general $K^{2 i}$ is generated by $c_{2 i}=\frac{i}{1}+0$ and $d_{2 j}=0+{ }_{2}^{j}$ where $i$ and $j$ are exponents of respectively $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, and $Z^{2 i}$ by $b_{2 i}=c_{2 i}+d_{2 i}$. The exceptions, whidh follow s directly from the exceptions in the bosonic case described in the previous section, are:

1) For the algebra $D(m+1 ; m)^{(1)}$ there is an extra' elem ent $e_{2 m}+02 K_{0}$ whidh is not related to powers of $i$. In the m atrix realization given in section B2.6, $e_{2 m}$ has the sam e form as 2 m 1 in equation (2).
2) For the algebra $A(2 m \quad 1 ; 2 m)^{(2)}, K^{4 m} \quad 2$ is generated by

$$
C_{4 m}^{0} \quad{ }_{2}^{2 m} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2 m} \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 m & 1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \mathbb{I}+0
$$

and the $u(1)$ generator

$$
\mathrm{d}_{4 \mathrm{~m}}^{0} \quad 2=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \mathbb{I}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1} \mathbb{I}
$$

3) For the algebra $A\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 m & 1 ; 2 m & 1\end{array}\right)^{(2)}, K^{4 m} \quad 2$ is generated by

$$
\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{~m}}^{0} \quad 2={ }_{1}^{2 \mathrm{~m}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \mathrm{~m} & 1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \mathbb{I}+0
$$

and

$$
d_{4 m}^{0} \quad 2=0+\quad{ }_{2}^{2 m} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2 m} \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 m & 1 \\
2 &
\end{array}\right)
$$

U sing these expressions, it is straightforward to nd whidh elem ents of $K_{0}$ are in the centre. The only exception is the extra' elem ent in $K_{0}$ in the case ofD $(m+1 ; m)^{(1)}$. Here, a simple calculation show sthat $e_{2 m}={ }^{\sim} e_{2 m}=0, w h i c h$ show $s$ that $e_{2 m}+02 \mathrm{Z}$.

It is easy to verify by direct calculation that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{2 i} ; & 1+2 j
\end{array}\right]} & = \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{2 i} ; & 1+2 j
\end{array}\right]} & = \tag{C.5}
\end{array}\right)
$$

while the com mutation relations of $\mathrm{d}_{2 i}$ follow directly by observing that $\mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{i}} 2 \mathrm{Z}$. Using an explicit realization of $i$ and $i$ we nd also

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c_{2 i} ; & j
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
C_{2 i} ; & j \tag{С.6}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

[^7]$M$ oreover, a direct calculation show sthat in the algebrasA $(2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1 ; 2 \mathrm{~m})^{(2)}$ and $\mathrm{A}(2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1 ; 2 \mathrm{~m}$ it is possible to choose the nom alizations $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{~m}} \quad 2=\mathrm{a}_{4 \mathrm{~m}} \quad 2 \mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{~m}}^{0} \quad 2$ and $\mathrm{d}_{4 \mathrm{~m}} \quad 2=\mathrm{b}_{4 \mathrm{~m}} \quad 2 \mathrm{~d}_{4 \mathrm{~m}}^{0} \quad 2$ such that these elem ents also satisfy the com $m$ utation relations given above.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}$ otioe that our non-degeneracy condition implies that $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ad}) \backslash \mathrm{A}{ }_{0}^{<0}=0$, which is the nondegeneracy condition $m$ entioned in 5]. H ow ever, the latter is unnecessarily $m$ ore restrictive that the form er.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Since the ow s are de ned on the set of gauge invariant functions of $q\left(x^{*}\right)$, there are $m$ any equivalent de nitions of $@_{b} q$ corresponding to the sam e ow. $N$ am ely, one can take $\left.@_{b} q=\mathbb{R}\left(B_{b}\right)+; L\right]$, where is an arbitrary super eld in $+A_{0}^{<0}$ that generates an in nitesim al gauge transform ation.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the follow ing, we w ill use the notation like ()$_{<0}=P_{<0}()$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ It is possible to $m$ ake this precise by de ning a partialordering of gradings，see 有

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ In the case of A ( $m$; $n$ ) we actually use the signature of a space which includes the root-space as a subspace, see page 28
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~W}$ e w ill use the notation II for the unit m atrix in any dim ension

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ In [7], the authors consider the algebra $C(2)^{(2)}=\operatorname{Osp}(2 ; 2)^{(2)}$. For simplicity we have chosen to consider instead $A(0 ; 1)^{(2)}$, since $C(2)^{\prime} A(0 ; 1)$ and $A(0 ; 1)$ is realized in term s of 3 m atrices, while we need $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrices to realize C (2).

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ In the particular case of $A(1 ; 1)^{(1)}$, one has to add the odd generator $={ }^{0} \quad 0$ of principal

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ In order to sim plify the notation, and when the $m$ eaning is otherw ise clear, we w ill som etim es w rite elem ents $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{~b}\end{array}$ in the form $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$.

