W hitham Deform ations and Tau Functions in N = 2 Supersymmetric Gauge Theories

Kanehisa Takasaki

D epartm ent of Fundam ental Sciences, K yoto University Yoshida, Sakyo-ku, K yoto 606-8501, Japan E-mail: takasaki@yukawa kyoto-u.ac.p

KUCP-0136, hep-th/9905224

A bstract

We review new aspects of integrable systems discovered recently in N=2 supersymmetric gauge theories and their topologically twisted versions. The main topics are (i) an explicit construction of Whitham deformations of the Seiberg-Witten curves for classical gauge groups, (ii) its application to contact terms in the u-plane integral of topologically twisted theories, and (iii) a connection between the tau functions and the blowup formula in topologically twisted theories.

1 Introduction

The Seiberg-W itten low energy e ective action of four-dimensional N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories (with and without matters) [1] is described by the geometry of a family of complex algebraic curves (the Seiberg-W itten curves) C bered over the Coulomb moduli space U. Each curve is equipped with a meromorphic dierential (the Seiberg-W itten dierential) dS $_{\rm SW}$. This dierential induces a \special geometry" on U with special coordinates $a_{\rm j}$ and their duals $a_{\rm j}^{\rm D}$ dened by the period integrals of dS $_{\rm SW}$ along suitable cycles $_{\rm j}$ and $_{\rm j}$ on C. The prepotential F of this special geometry determines the elective action.

Shortly after the original SU (2) theories of Seiberg and W itten were generalized to other gauge groups [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], a close connection with integrable system s was discovered [9, 10], and further pursued [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29] as a guiding principle for the study of N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories. This connection appears in two dierent aspects. The rst aspect is a direct relation with integrable systems such

Talk given at the workshop \G auge Theory and Integrable M odels", Y IT P, K yoto, January 26-29, 1999

as the periodic Toda systems and the elliptic Calogero-Moser systems. In this connection, the Seiberg-Witten curves are interpreted to be the spectral curves of a nite-dimensional integrable system, the special coordinates are nothing but the action variables, and the electro-magnetic duality of the abelianized theory is encoded into the structure of an associated polarized Abelian variety. Thus the building blocks of the Seiberg-Witten elective theory it well to the general setup of integrable systems, in particular, of algebraically integrable systems [30, 31]. The second aspect is the notion of Whitham deformations of the spectral curve. This leads to a new interpretation of recursion relations of instanton expansion [32] and the so called RG equation [33, 34].

In this paper, we review recent developments in these lines [35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43], mostly focused on the connection with topologically twisted N=2 theories [44, 45, 46]. Section 2 is a short summary of the fundamental geometric stu (complex algebraic curves, dierentials, special coordinates and prepotentials). Section 3 is concerned with an explicit construction of Whitham deformations, which has been applied to instanton expansion and soft breaking of N=2 supersymmetry, as well as the topological theories. Section 4 deals with the relation to the topological theories in more detail. Here a central role is played by the notion of tau functions.

2 Seiberg-W itten Geometry for Classical Gauge Groups

2.1 Seiberg-W itten geom etry for SU ('+ 1)

The Seiberg-W itten curve C for the gauge group SU ($^{+}$ 1) is a complex algebraic curve of the form [9]

$$z + \frac{2}{z} = P(z) = x^{+1} \qquad x^{+1} \qquad u_j x^{+1};$$
 (2.1)

where denotes the power ''¹' of the renormalization group parameter , and u_j 's are the Coulomb moduli. This is a hyperelliptic curve of genus'. By the simple transformation

$$z = \frac{P(x) + y}{2};$$
 (2.2)

the above equation can be indeed converted to the usual hyperelliptic equation

$$y^2 = P(z)^2 + 4^2$$
: (2.3)

The prepotential of the Seiberg-W itten e ective theory is de ned by use of special coordinates a_j ; a_j^D (j=1; ') on the C oulom b m oduli space U. These

special coordinates are given by the period integrals 1

$$a_{j} = \frac{1}{2 i} dS_{SW}; a_{j}^{D} = \frac{1}{2 i} dS_{SW};$$
 (2.4)

where $_{\rm j}$ and $_{\rm j}$ are a sym plectic basis of cycles on the curve C , and dS $_{\rm SW}$ the Seiberg-W itten di erential

$$dS_{SW} = x \frac{dz}{z}; (2.5)$$

The integrals a_j and a_j^D give, respectively, a set of local coordinates on the C oulom b m oduli space. If one interpret a_j as such coordinates and the duals a_j^D as a function of the form er, one can de ne the prepotential $F = F(a_1; \cdot)$; as a solution of the equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{a}_{j}} = \mathbf{a}_{j}^{D} : \tag{2.6}$$

Furtherm ore, the second derivatives of F reproduce the period m atrix $T = (T_{jk})_{j;k=1}$; :;

$$\frac{e^2 F}{e^2 a_j e^2 a_k} = T_{jk} = \frac{1}{2 i} d!_k : \qquad (2.7)$$

Here d! $_{j}$ (j=1; ; ') are a basis of holom or phic di erentials on C norm alized as

$$\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{j}^{I} d!_{k} = j_{k}; \qquad (2.8)$$

This matrix Tappears in the analytic expression

$$Jac(C) ' C = (Z' + TZ')$$
 (2.9)

of the Jacobi variety $\mbox{\it Jac}(\!C\,)$ of the curve $\!C\,$.

In the context of integrable system s, the curve C is nothing but the spectral curve $\det(L(z) \times I) = 0$ of a Lax representation of the 'periodic Toda system , i.e., the a ne Toda system of the A $^{(1)}$ type; the Lax pair is constructed in the vector representation of $\operatorname{sl}('+1)$. It is well known [47, 48, 49, 50] that the dynam ics of this Toda system is mapped to linear ows on the Jacobi variety Jac(C). In a more abstract language, the 2'-dimensional total space of the ber bundle of the Jacobi varieties over the Coulomb moduli space U becomes an algebraically integrable system [30, 31].

 $^{^1\}text{A}$ s opposed to my previous papers [36, 39], I have inserted the factor $1=(2\ i)$, which is rather standard in the physical literature. Sim ilar changes of convention have been done throughout this paper.

2.2 Seiberg-Witten curves for other classical gauge groups

The Seiberg-W itten curves for other classical gauge groups (i.e., orthogonal and symplectic groups) can be written

$$z + \frac{2}{x} = W(x);$$
 (2.10)

where $\ensuremath{\mathtt{W}}$ (x) is a polynomial or a Laurent polynomial of the form

So (2'+ 1) : W (x) =
$$x^{-1} \cdot 0 \cdot x^2$$
 $u_j x^{2 \cdot 2j} A$:
$$0 \quad 1 \quad x^2 \quad u_j x^{2 \cdot 2j} A$$
 :
$$0 \quad 1 \quad x^2 \quad u_j x^{2 \cdot 2j} A + 2$$
 :
$$0 \quad 1 \quad x^2 \quad u_j x^{2 \cdot 2j} A + 2$$
 :
$$0 \quad 1 \quad x^2 \cdot 0 \quad x^2 \quad u_j x^2 \cdot 0 \quad x^2 \quad u_j x^2 \cdot 0 \quad x^2 \quad x^2$$

In the case of SU ('+ 1) and SO (2'), W (x) coincide with the superpotential of the topological Landau-G inzburg models (or d < 1 strings) for the A \cdot and D \cdot isolated singularities [51, 52]. Guided by this analogy, basic notions in the topological Landau-G inzburg models, such as the Gauss-M anin system and the W DVV equations, have been generalized to the Seiberg-W itten e ective theories [53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58].

These curves coincide with the spectral curve det(L(z) xI) = 0 of a Lax pair (in m inim aldim ensions) of an a ne Toda system, namely, the a ne Toda system associated with the dual Lie algebra $g^{(1)}$ — of the a ne algebra $g^{(1)}$ — for the non—simply laced gauge groups SO(2'+1) and Sp(2') is a twisted a ne algebra.

All these curves are hyperelliptic. One can indian equivalent expression in the usual expression $y^2 = R(x)$ of hyperelliptic curves:

SO (2'+1) :
$$y^2 = Q(x^2)^2 - 4^2x^2$$
; $z = Q(x^2) + y = 2x$:
Sp(2') : $y^2 = Q(x^2) x^2 Q(x^2) + 4$; $z = 2 + x^2 Q(x^2) + xy = 2$:
SO (2') : $y^2 = Q(x^2)^2 - 4^2x^4$; $z = Q(x^2) + y = 2$:

A ctually, it is in this form (or the quotient curve C 0 discussed later on) that the relevant complex algebraic curves for classical gauge groups were rst derived [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

2.3 Involutions and Prym varieties

The curves C for the orthogonal and sym plectic gauge groups have two involutions:

1 :
$$(x;y)$$
 7 $(x; y)$; $(x;z)$ 7 $(x;^2=z)$;
2 : $(x;y)$ 7 $(x;y)$; $(x;z)$ 7 $(x;z)$: (2.12)

The rst involution is the hyperelliptic involution, which also exists on the curve for SU ('+ 1); the second involution is a characteristic of the other cases. The quotient $C_2 = C = \frac{1}{2}$ by the second involution is again a hyperelliptic curve. Some fundam ental properties of these curves are summarized in the following table.

G	genus(C)	genus(C ₂)	covering C ! C2
Sp(2 ')	2'	`	unramied
SO (2'+ 1);SO (2')	2' 1	` 1	ram i ed

The double covering C ! C_2 determ ines the Prym variety Prym ($C=C_2$). This is an 'dimensional polarized Abelian variety, which plays the role of the Jacobivariety Jac(C) for the SU ('+1) gauge theory. Let us specify the structure of this Prym variety in some detail [59].

In the complex analytic language, P rym (C =C $_2$) is a complex torus of the form

$$P \text{ rym } (C = C_2) ' C' = (Z' + 2P Z');$$
 (2.13)

where is a diagonal matrix = diag (d $_1$; $_N$;) dw ith positive integers on the diagonal line, and P is a complex symmetric matrix (P $_{jk}$) with positive de nite imaginary part. The diagonal elements of represent the polarization:

$$Sp(2')$$
 : $(d_1; \cdot); \notin (2; \cdot; 2; 2);$
 $SO(2'+1); SO(2')$: $(d_1; \cdot); \notin (2; \cdot; 2; 1)$: (2.14)

The m atrix elements of P = (P_{jk}) are given by the period integrals

$$P_{jk} = \frac{d_j}{4 i} d!_k;$$
 (2.15)

where $d!_j$ (j = 1; ; ') are holom or phic di erentials on C that are \odd" under the action of 2, i.e.,

$$_{2}d!_{j} = d!_{j};$$
 (2.16)

and uniquely determ ined by the normalization condition

y the norm alization condition
$$\frac{1}{2i} d!_{k} = j_{k}; \qquad (2.17)$$

The cycles $_{j}$; $_{j}$ (j=1; ; ') in these period integrals have to be chosen as follows:

For Sp(2'): The 4' cycles $_j$; $_2$ ($_j$); $_j$; $_2$ ($_j$) (j=1; ;') form a sym plectic basis of cycles of C .

For SO (2'+ 1) and SO (2'): The hom ology classes [\] and [\] are \odd" under the action of $_2$, i.e., $_2$ ([\]) = [\] and $_2$ ([\]) = [\]. The 4' 2 cycles $_j$; $_2$ ($_j$); $_j$; $_2$ ($_j$) (j = 1; ; ` 1) and; \(\) altogether form a sym plectic basis of cycles of C .

In particular, these cycles have the intersection numbers $_j$ $_k$ = $_{jk}$, $_j$ $_k$ = $_{j}$ $_k$ = 0.

2.4 Special coordinates and prepotential

The Seiberg-Witten dierential for the orthogonal and symplectic gauge groups is given by

$$dS_{SW} = x \frac{dz}{z} = p \frac{xW^{0}(x)dx}{W(x)^{2} + 4^{-2}};$$
 (2.18)

This di erential, like d! $_{\rm j}$, is odd" under the action of $_{\rm 2}$:

$$_{2}dS_{SW} = dS_{SW}$$
: (2.19)

G iven a set of cycles $_j$; $_j$ as m entioned above, one can de ne the special coordinates a_j and their duals a_j^D on the C oulom b m oduli space U by the period integrals

$$a_{j} = \frac{1}{2 i} dS_{SW}; a_{j}^{D} = \frac{1}{2 i} dS_{SW}:$$
 (2.20)

The prepotential F = F (a₁; \sim); ais again characterized by the di evential equation

$$\frac{\mathfrak{G}F}{\mathfrak{G}a_{j}} = a_{j}^{D} : \qquad (2.21)$$

The m atrix elements P $_{jk}$ of P can be expressed as second derivatives of the prepotential:

$$\frac{\theta^2 F}{\theta a_j \theta a_k} = P_{jk} : \qquad (2.22)$$

2.5 Quotient curve of genus '

The P rym variety P rym (C = C₂) can be identified, up to isogeny, with the Jacobi variety Jac(C 0) of the quotient curve C 0 = C = 0 obtained by the following involution:

$$Sp(2')$$
 : ${}^{0}=_{2}$;
 $SO(2'+1);SO(2')$: ${}^{0}=_{1}_{2}$: (2.23)

The quotient curve C 0 is also hyperelliptic and has genus '. The holom orphic di erentials d! $_j$, as well as dS_{SW} , are \even" (i.e., invariant) under the action of 0 , so that they are the pull-back of di erentials on C 0 . The matrix P is actually the period matrix of C 0 :

$$Jac(C^{0}) ' C' = (Z' + PZ'):$$
 (2.24)

To $\,$ nd an explicit expression of C 0 , one can use the following invariants and $\,$ of $\,^0$:

$$Sp(2') : = x^2; = y;$$

 $SO(2'+1);SO(2') : = x^2; = xy:$ (2.25)

In terms of these coordinates, C^0 can be written as follows:

SO
$$(2'+1)$$
 : $^2 = Q(^2) 4^2$:

Sp(2') : $^2 = Q() Q() + 4$:

SO $(2')$: $^2 = Q()^2 4^{2^2}$: (2.26)

Let us compare the two curves C and C 0 . The curve C is a double covering of the x-sphere and has two points P $_{1}$ at in nity above x = 1 . These two points correspond to z = 1 and z = 0, and mapped to each other by the hyperelliptic involution $_{1}$. This is a characteristic of the spectral curves of a ne Toda system s [47, 48, 49, 50]. The curve C 0 , in contrast, has a single point at in nity above the -shere, so that C 0 is branched over = 1 . Hyperelliptic curves of this type arise in the K dV hierarchy [47, 60, 61]. It is well known that the K dV hierarchy is a specialization the KP hierarchy [63, 64, 65], in which only \odd" time variables t_{2n-1} remain non-trivial among all possible ows t_{1} ; t_{2} ; t_{3} ; of the KP hierarchy. We shall see a similar structure later on in W hitham deform ations.

3 Construction of W hitham Deform ations

3.1 W hat are W hitham deform ations?

In all the aforem entioned cases, the Seiberg-W itten di erential d S_{SW} plays the role of a \generating di erential", namely, di erentiating against the moduliu $_{\rm j}$ give a basis of (odd) holomorphic di erentials:

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho u_{j}} dS = dv_{j}:$$
 (3.1)

Here \($_{z=}$ \j $_{nst}$:" means dierentiating while leaving z constant. For instance, in the case of SU (\(+ 1 \)), the following holomorphic dierentials dv_{j} are thus reproduced:

$$dv_j = \frac{x^{'+1} j dx}{y}$$
 (j = 2; ; '+ 1): (3.2)

If we use a_j as independent variables, the outcome are the normalized holomorphic dierentials:

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho a_{j}} dS = d!_{j}:$$
 (3.3)

Note that the moduli u_j in this situation are a function $u_j = u_j$ (a) of a = $(a_1; \dots)_{i,a_i}$ which gives an inverse of the period map u 7 a, $u = (u_2; \dots, u_j)$. The curve C is now deformed as a varies, C = C (a).

\W hitham deform ations" are an extension of these deform ations with new \time variables" T_n (n = 1;2;). M ore precisely, we consider the following setup.

The moduli u_j of the curve C are deformed as a function $u_j=u_j$ (a;T) of a and $T=(T_1;T_2;$). This induces a family of deformations C 7 C (a;T) of the curve C. At T=(1;0;0;), they are required to reduce to the Seiberg-W itten family. It should be noted that, apart from this \Seiberg-W itten point", the a_j 's are no longer identical to the special coordinates for the deformed curve C (a;T) de ned by the period integrals of the Seiberg-W itten di erential on this curve.

The following equations are satis ed:

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta a_{j}} dS = d!_{j}; \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta T_{n}} dS = d_{n}:$$
 (3.4)

Here d $_{\rm n}$ (n = 1;2;) are merom orphic dierentials of the second kind with poles at P $_{\rm 1}$ only (with some more conditions on the singular part, see below) and vanishing -periods

$$d_n = 0 \quad (j = 1; j');$$
 (3.5)

and dS is a linear combination of these meromorphic dierentials and the normalized holomorphic dierentials of the form

$$dS = \begin{array}{ccc} X & X^{N} \\ T_{n}d_{n} + & a_{j}d!_{j} : \end{array}$$
 (3.6)

dS reduces to dS_{SW} at T = (1;0;0;).

For the prepotential F to be extended to this family of deform ations, the singular behavior of d $_n$ at P $_1$ (i.e., z=1 and z=0) should be of the form

$$d_n = df_n(z) + non-singular;$$
 (3.7)

where f_n (z) is a polynomial in z with constant coe cients.

3.2 Construction of W hitham deform ations for SU ('+ 1)

The solution of Gorsky et al. [35] for the SU ('+ 1) gauge theory is constructed by the following steps.

1. Consider the merom orphic dierentials

$$d_n = R_n(x) \frac{dz}{z}; R_n(x) = P(x)^{n=(+1)}$$
: (3.8)

Here ($_{+}$ Menotes the polynom ial part of a Laurent series of x. The fractional power of P (x) is understood to be a Laurent series of the form $x^n + at x = 1$. Since (R) = x, d^n is nothing but the Seiberg-W itten dierential.

2. Consider the di erential

$$dS = T_n d_n$$
 (3.9)

and its period integrals

$$a_{j} = \frac{1}{2 i}^{I} dS = X \frac{T_{n}}{2 i}^{I} d^{n}_{n}$$
: (3.10)

These period integrals are functions of the moduliu $_j$ and the deform ation parameters T_n . They determ ine a family of deform ations of the Seiberg-Witten period map u 7 a with parametes T_n .

- 3. The period m ap u 7 a from the u-space to the a-space is invertible if T is close to (1;0;0;), because the Seiberg-W itten period m ap at this point is invertible. The inverse m ap a 7 u = u₂ (a;T); \psi_{\mu}(a;T) gives deform ations of the Seiberg-W itten m oduli $u_j = u_j$ (a), hence of the curve C, w ith parameters T_n .
- 4. The di erentials

$$d_{n} = d_{n}^{n}$$
 $c_{j}^{(n)}d!_{j}; c_{j}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2}i_{j}^{n}d_{n}^{n}$ (3.11)

satisfy the required normalization condition. dS thereby becomes a linear combination of d $_{\rm n}$ and d! $_{\rm j}$ of the required form .

The following property of d'n plays a central role in this construction:

$$(\theta = \theta u_i)d_n^i = const.$$
 are holom orphic di erentials on C.

Note that this is a generalization of the property of the Seiberg-W itten dierential that we have mentioned in the beginning of this section. Once this property is established, it is rather straightforward to verify that the other requirements are indeed fulled.

Som ew hat delicate part is the determ ination of the Laurent polynom ials f_n (z) that represent the singular part of d $_n$ at P $_1$. This can be worked out by using the identity

$$P(x)^{n=(+1)} = z + \frac{2}{z} = (+1)$$
 (3.12)

The singular part of Laurent expansion of the right hand side at z=1 or z=0 determ ines the Laurent polynom ials f_n (z). Obviously the singular part

is a Laurent polynom ialw ith constant coe cients. A coordingly f $_{\rm n}$ (z), too, turn out to have constant coe cients.

Having this solution, we can now de ne the prepotential F = F (a; T) by the equation

$$\frac{\text{@F}}{\text{@a}_{j}} = \frac{1}{2 \text{ i}} \text{ dS;}$$

$$\frac{\text{@F}}{\text{@T}_{n}} = \frac{1}{(2 \text{ i})^{2}} \prod_{p, \uparrow}^{+} f_{n}^{+} (z) \text{dS} \qquad \frac{1}{(2 \text{ i})^{2}} \prod_{p, \downarrow}^{+} f_{n} (z) \text{dS:} \qquad (3.13)$$

The second derivatives are also related to period integrals:

$$\frac{e^{2}F}{ea_{j}ea_{k}} = \frac{1}{2i} d!_{k};$$

$$\frac{e^{2}F}{ea_{j}eT_{n}} = \frac{1}{(2i)^{2}} f_{n}^{+} (z)d!_{j} \frac{1}{(2i)^{2}} f_{n} (z)d!_{j};$$

$$\frac{e^{2}F}{eT_{m} eT_{n}} = \frac{1}{(2i)^{2}} f_{m}^{+} (z)d_{n} \frac{1}{(2i)^{2}} f_{m} (z)d_{n};$$

$$f_{m} (z)d_{n};$$

$$(3.14)$$

In particular, the second derivatives $0^2F = 0a_j0a_k$ are identical to the matrix elements of the period matrix T for the deformed curve C (a;T). Moreover, by Riemann's bilinear relation, the mixed derivatives can also be written

$$\frac{e^{2}F}{ea_{j}eT_{n}} = \frac{1}{2i} d_{n}:$$
 (3.15)

G orsky et al. [35] further proceeded to calculating these period integrals explicitly. This yields a formula for the second derivatives $\ell^2 F = \ell T_m \ell T_n$ (m; n = 1; ;') in terms of a theta function, which is related to contact terms of topologically twisted N = 2 theories. We shall return to this issue later on.

3.3 Solutions for other classical gauge groups

A new feature that arises in the orthogonal and sym plectic gauge groups is the \parity": The di erentials and cycles in the Seiberg-W itten geometry have to respect the parity under the action of the involutions. This is also the case for W hitham deformations. Apart from this feature, it is straightforward to generalize the method of Gorsky et al. [35] to the other classical gauge groups, which we now present below [39].

The $\,$ rst step of the construction is to seek for suitable m erom orphic di erentials of the form

$$d_n = R_n(x) \frac{dz}{z}$$
; $R_n(x) = \text{polynom ial}$; (3.16)

with the following properties:

 R_n (x) is an odd polynom ial.

 $(e=eu_i)d_n = const.$ are holom orphic di erentials on C.

A solution to this problem can be found by applying the fractional power construction to W (x):

SO (2'+1) :
$$R_n(x) = W(x)^{(2n-1)=(2'-1)}$$
;
 $Sp(2')$: $R_n(x) = W(x)^{(2n-1)=(2'+2)}$;
 $SO(2')$: $R_n(x) = W(x)^{(2n-1)=(2'-2)}$: (3.17)

Note that R_n (x) is a polynomial of the form $x^n + \dots$. In particular, as in the case of SU ('+1), d_1 is nothing but the Seiberg-W itten di erential dS_{SW} .

The other part of the construction is fully parallel to the case of SU ('+ 1). We have only to pay an extra attention to the parity. It is easy to see that the dierentials dS, d $_{\rm n}$, d $_{\rm n}$ and d! $_{\rm j}$ are <code>\odd"</code> under the action of the involution of $_2$.

The involution 0 and the quotient curve $C^{\,0}=C=^{\,0}$ lead to an alternative view . The di erentials dS , d $^{\,}$ _n , d $_{\,}$ _n and d! $_{\,j}$ are all invariant under the involution $^{\,0}$. A coordingly, they actually descend to (or, equivalently, are the pull-back of) di erentials on $C^{\,0}=C=^{\,0}$.

The status of the merom orphic dierentials d $_{\rm n}$ is particularly interesting from the second point of view . These merom orphic dierentials have two poles at P $_{\rm 1}$. Since these two points are mapped to the same point Q $_{\rm 1}$ (=1) of C $^{\rm 0}$, the corresponding merom orphic dierentials on C $^{\rm 0}$ have a single pole at Q $_{\rm 1}$, and by a direct calculation, one can see that this is a pole of order 2n . As already mentioned, this is a property shared by the merom orphic dierentials that arise in the K dV hierarchy. More precisely, the singular behavior of those merom orphic dierentials at Q $_{\rm 1}$ is such that

$$d_n^{KdV} = d^{n-1=2} + non-singular:$$
 (3.18)

Our m erom orphic di erentials d $\,_{\rm n}$ are a linear combination of those \normalized" m erom orphic di erentials.

4 Application to Topologically Twisted N=2 Theories

4.1 Tau functions and modular transform ations

The algebro-geom etric tau functions of integrable hierarchies (KP, Toda, etc.) are determined by a set of algebro-geom etric data (the so called Krichever data) including a non-singular complex algebraic curve (Riemann surface) Cofgenus

g [48, 61, 62, 66, 67]. Such a tau function can be generally written

$$(t) = e^{2 iQ(t)} (X t_n V_n + c jT); \quad t = (t_1; t_2;):$$
 (4.1)

Here Q (t) is a quadratic form (including linear and constant term s),

$$Q(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m:n}^{X} q_{m,n} t_m t_n + \sum_{n}^{X} r_n t_n + r_0;$$
 (4.2)

 $V_n = (V_j^{(n)})_{j=1}; \quad \text{and } c = (c_j)_{j=1}; \quad \text{are g-dim ensional vectors, and} \quad \text{(w jT)}$ denotes the R iem ann theta function

$$(w \ jT) = \exp_{n2Z^9} in T n + 2 in w$$
 (4.3)

where the \ " m eans the inner product, e.g., n $w = \int_{j=1}^{g} n_j w_j$. The constants r_n , r_0 and c_j are arbitrary, but this is not the case for q_{n-n} and $V_j^{(n)}$. As we illustrate below, they are given by some period integrals on C.

Example related to KP hierarchy

Let us consider the algebro-geom etric tau functions of the KP hierarchy in a som ewhat non-standard (in the sense speci ed below) form ulation. The algebrogeom etric data are a non-singular algebraic curve C of genus g with a marked point Q_1 , a local coordinate—in a neighborhood of Q_1 with $(Q_1)=0$, a set of polynom ials f_n () (n = 1;2; —) in with constant coe cients, and a sym plectic basis $_j$; $_j$ (j = 1; —;g) of cycles on C. (This is the setup that we encounter when the Seiberg-W itten geom etry for orthogonal and sym plectic gauge groups is reform ulated in the language of the quotient curve C 0 .) Let $d!_j$ (j = 1; —;g) be a basis of holom orphic di erentials on C normalized by the condition

$$\frac{1}{2} i d!_{k} = j_{k}: (4.4)$$

The period matrix $T = (T_{jk})_{j;k=1}$; is de ned by the period integrals

$$\frac{1}{2 i} d!_{k} = T_{jk}:$$
 (4.5)

Furtherm ore, a set of m erom orphic di erentials d $_{\rm n}$ (n = 1;2;) are uniquely determ ined by the following conditions:

d $_n$ is non-singular outside Q $_1$, has a pole at Q $_1$, and the leading part of singularity at Q $_1$ $\,$ is given by dF $_n$ (),

$$d_n = df_n () + non-singular;$$
 (4.6)

The -periods of d $_n$ vanish, I $d_{-n} = 0 \quad (j = 1; \qquad ;g): \tag{4.7}$

Now the constants $q_{n\ n}$ and $V_j^{\ (m\)}$ are given by contour integrals along a small circle around Q $_1$:

$$q_{m n} = \frac{1}{(2 i)^2} I f_m () d_n;$$
 $V_j^{(m)} = \frac{1}{(2 i)^2} f_m () d!_j;$
(4.8)

By Riem ann's identity, one can readily see that q_{n} is sym m etric and $V_j^{(m)}$ can be rewritten

$$V_{j}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2 i} d_{m} :$$
 (4.9)

Rem arks

1. In the \standard" form ulation of the KP hierarchy, f_n () is chosen to be n , so that the condition on the singular behavior of d $_n$ at Q $_1$ becomes

$$d_n = d^n + \text{non-singular};$$
 (4.10)

A coordingly, the de nition of $q_{m\ n}$ and $V_{j}^{\ (m\)}$ has to be modiled as

$$q_{m n} = \frac{1}{(2 i)^{2}} \prod_{\substack{m \ d n;}} d_{n};$$

$$V_{j}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{(2 i)^{2}} \prod_{\substack{Q_{1} \ Q_{2}}} d!_{j}; \qquad (4.11)$$

but the other part remains intact. The aforementioned formulation is $sim\ ply$ to take an arbitrary set of directional vectors for the time variables t_n in this standard formulation of the KP hierarchy.

2. In particular, suppose that if C is a hyperelliptic curve of the form

$$^{2} = R () = ^{2g+1} + c_{1} ^{2g} + 4a+c_{1};$$
 (4.12)

 Q_1 the point at in nity = 1, and is given by

$$=$$
 $^{1=2}$: (4.13)

Then in the standard formulation as m entioned above, all even m embers d $_{2n}$ of the m erom orphic di erentials become exact,

$$d_{2n} = d^{n}$$
: (4.14)

thereby the directional vectors V_{2n} for the even time variables t_{2n} all vanish. The coe cients $q_{m\,n}$ of the Gaussian factor also vanish for even indices. This means that all the \even" ows become trivial, so that the KP hierarchy reduces to the KdV hierarchy.

Exam ple related to Toda hierarchy

An immediate generalization of the KP-like setup is such that the algebraic curve has two marked points P_1 . Suppose that a local coordinate at P_1 (with $(P_1)=0$) and a symplectic basis $_j$; $_j$ of cycles are given. This is exactly the setup for constructing an algebro-geometric tau function of the Toda hierarchy [68] (which is a hierarchy obtained from the two-dimensional SU (1) Toda eld theory). A standard formulation is to introduce two series of time variables t_n (n = 1;2;) associated with the two marked points PW e now consider a suitable linear combinations t_n of those standard ows. (This is indeed the setup that takes place in the Seiberg-W itten geometry for SU ('+ 1) gauge groups.) This linear combination is specified by a set polynomials f_n () in 1 with constant coefcients. Given these data, one can define mean orphic differentials d n by the following conditions:

d $_{\rm n}$ is non-singular outside P $_{\rm 1}$, has two poles at P $_{\rm 1}$, and the leading part of singularity at P $_{\rm 1}$ is given by df $_{\rm n}$ (),

$$d_n = df_n$$
 () + non-singular: (4.15)

The -periods of d n vanish,

d
$$_{n} = 0$$
 (j = 1; ;g): (4.16)

De ning $q_{m\ n}$ and ${V_{\dot{1}}}^{(\!m\!\)}$ as

$$q_{m n} = \frac{1}{(2 i)^{2}} \prod_{I^{p_{1}^{+}}}^{I} f_{m}^{+} (_{+}) d_{n} \frac{1}{(2 i)^{2}} \prod_{I^{p_{1}}}^{I} f_{m} (_{-}) d_{n};$$

$$V_{j}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{(2 i)^{2}} \prod_{P_{1}^{+}}^{I} f_{m}^{+} (_{+}) d!_{j} \frac{1}{(2 i)^{2}} \prod_{P_{1}^{-}}^{I} f_{m} (_{-}) d!_{j}; (4.17)$$

one obtains the tau function. Also here, Riemann's bilinear identity implies that $q_{n\ n}$ is symmetric and that $V_j^{(m)}$ can be rewritten

$$V_{j}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2 i} d_{m} :$$
 (4.18)

We here brie y mention how these stu $\,$ are related to the W hitham deformations. Upon turning on the W hitham $\,$ deformations, $q_{n\;n}$ and $V_j^{\;(n)}$ are

deformed to T-dependent quantities: $q_{n,n}$ \P $q_{n,n}$ (Γ) , $V_j^{(n)}$ \P $V_j^{(n)}$ (Γ) . Comparing the denition of $q_{n,n}$ and $V_j^{(n)}$ with the period integral formulae of the second derivatives of the deformed prepotential F (Γ) , one will soon and that

$$q_{m n}(T) = \frac{e^2 F(T)}{e^2 T_m e^2 T_n}; V_j^{(n)}(T) = \frac{e^2 F(T)}{e^2 a_j e^2 T_n};$$
 (4.19)

These relations are also crucial in understanding the role of the W hitham deform ations and the tau functions in topologically twisted N=2 theories.

We now turn to the modular property of these tau functions and its building blocks. Actually, this issue was studied in the eighties in the context of free ferm ions on a Riem ann surface [69, 70]. The modular property turns out to be model-independent, i.e., apply to the aforementioned general setup without specifying the integrable system, the algebro-geometric data, etc. [36]

The rst step is to determ ine the transform ations of the building blocks of the tau functions under the sym plectic transform ations

$$_{j}$$
 $_{j}$ $_{k}$ $_{k}$ $_{k}$ $_{k}$ $_{k}$ $_{k}$ $_{j}$ $_{k}$ $_{k}$

of cycles. This induces the well known transform ation

$$T$$
 7 $(AT + B)(CT + D)^{-1}$ (4.21)

of the period m atrix T , which stands for the period m atrix P of Jac(C $^0)$ if we consider the case of orthogonal and sym plectic gauge groups. The norm alized holom orphic di erentials d! $_{\rm j}$ and the m erom orphic di erentials d $_{\rm n}$ transform as

d!_j
$$7$$
 [(CT + D) 1]_{kj}d!_k;
d_n 7 d_n $\frac{1}{2}$ [(CT + D) 1 C]_{kj} d_n d'_k: (4.22)

Accordingly, $q_{m\;n}$ and $V_n^{\;(n\,)}$ transform as

$$V_{j}^{(n)}$$
 7 [(CT + D) 1] $_{kj}V_{k}^{(n)}$;
 q_{nn} 7 q_{nn} [(CT + D) 1 C] $_{kj}V_{j}^{(m)}V_{k}^{(n)}$: (4.23)

It should be noted that these transform ations already signal a possible connection w ith the contact term s in the u-plane integral of topological theories, w hich are known to obey substantially the same transform ations.

The next steps is to combine these transform ations with the modular property of theta functions. To this end, we introduce the theta functions with characteristics,

These theta functions are known to obey the following modular transform ations [71]:

[;]
$$^{t}(CT + D)$$
 ^{1}w j(AT + B)(CT + D) 1

det(CT + D) $^{1-2}$ exp(iw (CT + D) 1 Cw) [0 ; 0](w jT):(4.25)

Here is a eighth root of unity and $[\,^0;\,^0]$ a transform ed theta characteristic, both of which are determined by the symplectic matrix.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{W}}$ e now exam ine the modular property of tau functions of the form

Here (and in the following), Q (t) is purely quadratic:

$$Q(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{X} q_{m} t_{m} t_{n} :$$
 (4.27)

As the identity

$$[;](w jT) = e^{2 i (w +)}(w + T + jT)$$
 (4.28)

im plies, this is a special case of the aforem entioned tau functions. One may consider the more general tau functions, but these tau functions ; (t) turn out to possess a better modular property. From the modular transform ations of the theta functions, indeed, we readily not that these tau functions transform as

; (t)
$$7 \det(CT + D)^{1=2}$$
 o; o(t): (4.29)

Note that the multiplicative factor of the transformation is independent of \mathfrak{t} ; this is not the case for the more general tau function. This fact, too, is a key to search for a connection with topological theories.

4.2 u-plane integrals and contact terms in topologically twisted N = 2 theories

The topological twisting of an N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theory gives a topological eld theory that detects the topology of the (compactified) four-dimensional space-time X [72]. In the following, we consider the case where X is simply connected. The topological information is encoded in the correlators of observables, which are obtained by successively applying a descent operator G to the Casimirs of the scalar eld in the N = 2 vector multiplet, then integrating over a homology cycle of X .

In the simply connected case, the relevant observables are supported by zero- and two-dimensional cycles. The correlators of those zero- and two-cycle observables can be collected into the generating function

where O_k and I_n (S_n) are observables supported by a zero-cycle (point) Q_k and a two-cycle (surface) S_n , and f_k and g_n are their coupling constants. Thus O_k is just the value of a C asim ir of A at A whose position itself is irrelevant in the correlators), and A A is an integral of the form

$$I_n(S_n) = \sum_{S_n} G^2 P_n;$$
 (4.31)

where P_n is yet another C asim ir of . In the case of the SU (2) gauge group, these correlators give the D onaldson invariants of X [73, 74].

If, however, X is a manifold with b_2^+ (X) = 1 (e.g., complex rational surfaces), those observables loose topological invariance, and exhibit the so called \chamber structure" or \wall crossing phenomena". Moore and Witten [44] proposed a eld theoretical interpretation of this phenomena, postulating that Z is the sum

$$Z = Z_D + Z_U \tag{4.32}$$

of contributions of the strong coupling singularities and the bulk of the C oulom b m oduli space (\u-plane") U. They determ ined an explicit form of Z_U by exam ining the modular property of the (low energy elective) theory under the $Sp(2^*;Z)$ duality group ('being the rank of the gauge group). This is nothing but the group of sym plectic transform ations cycles in C that we have discussed. The outcome is an integral of the form

where A and B are given by the form ulae

$$A = (\det @u_k = @a_j)^{1=2}; B = ^{1=8};$$
 (4.34)

and are some constants, and is essentially the discriminant of W (x) 2 4 2 ; and are the Euler characteristic and the signature of X; U is a collection of the e ects of the zero-cycle observables; S_m \S is the intersection number of S_m and S_n ; $T_{m\,\,n}$ are the \contact terms of the two-cycle observables; is a lattice sum evaluating the photon partition function in the elective U (1) theory.

Our main concern lies in the contact term s. A coording to Moore and Witten [44] (for SU(2)) and Marino and Moore [46] (for other gauge groups), the contact term s are uniquely determined by the following properties:

Under the Sp(2';Z) duality group, they transform as

$$T_{m n} \ \ T_{m n} = \frac{1}{4} i [(C T + D)]^{-1} C]_{jk} \frac{\partial P_{m}}{\partial a_{i}} \frac{\partial P_{n}}{\partial a_{k}} :$$
 (4.35)

In the sem i-classical (i.e., weak coupling) \lim it as $=a_i!$ 0,

$$T_{m n} ! 0:$$
 (4.36)

Note that P_n is understood to be a function on U. Also recall that the matrix T stands for the relevant 'dimensional Abelian variety | the period matrix T of Jac(C) in the case of SU('+1) gauge groups, and the period matrix P of Jac(C) in the case of orthogonal and symplectic gauge groups.

Remarkably, the modular transform ations of the contact terms $T_{m\,n}$ are essentially of the same form as the modular transform ations of $q_{m\,n}$. (Note that (C T + D) 1 C is a symmetric matrix.) One might thus naively guess that $T_{m\,n}$ is identical to $q_{m\,n}$ (up to a multiplicative constant). This comparison also suggests to identify $\text{QP}_n=\text{Qa}_j$ with $V_j^{(n)}$. This implies that, upon turning on the W hitham deformations, P_n are given by

$$P_n = \text{const:} \frac{\text{@F (T)}}{\text{@T}_n}$$
; (4.37)

because of the relation $V_j^{(n)} = e^2 F$ (T)= $ea_j eT_n$ in the W hitham deformations. A trouble in this naive identication is that $q_{m,n}$ do not full lithe correct sem i-classical property mentioned above. A correct identication, as pointed out by G orsky et al.[35], is achieved upon subtracting a singular part $q_{m,n}^{sing}$ from $e_{m,n}$:

$$T_{m n} = const:(q_{m n} q_{m n}^{sing}):$$
 (4.38)

O f course, the subtracted term $q_{m\,n}^{sing}$ must be modular invariant in order to retain the aforementioned modular property. Gorsky et al. worked out this separation for the SU ('+ 1) theory by evaluating the period integrals for $q_{m\,n}=\theta^2F=\theta T_m \ \theta T_n$, and obtained the formula

$$q_{m\,n} \quad q_{m\,n}^{sing} = \frac{2^{-2}}{(2-i)^2} \frac{0 \log_{-E} (0 jT)}{0 T_{jk}} \frac{0 H_{m+1}}{0 a_j} \frac{0 H_{n+1}}{0 a_k}; \tag{4.39}$$

where = 2'+2, E is a theta function with an \even" half-characteristic, and H $_{n+1}$ is de ned by the residue

$$H_{n+1} = \frac{+1}{n} \operatorname{Res}_{x=1} P(x)^{n-(+1)} dx$$
: (4.40)

Thus in order to complete the identication, we have to interpret P $_n$ as H $_{n+1}$ (up to a multiplicative constant). The singular part q_{n}^{sing} itself is dened by

$$q_{m n}^{sing} = \frac{1}{2 i} H_{m+1;n+1};$$
 (4.41)

where

$$H_{m+1;n+1} = \frac{+1}{m n} \operatorname{Res}_{x=1} P(x)^{m-(+1)} d(P(x)^{n-(+1)})_{+} : \tag{4.42}$$

It should be also noted that these calculations are valid for the range of m; n only.

 $^{^2}$ I overlooked this problem and wrongly identi ed $T_{m\ n}$ with $q_{m\ n}$ in my previous papers [36, 39]. I would like to take advantage of this opportunity to correct this error.

4.3 Blow up form ula and tau functions

Another approach to the evaluation of contact terms is based on the blow up formula. The aforementioned theta formula of contact terms was indeed in rst derived by Losev et al. [45] using the technique of blow up. The blow up formula was reformulated by Moore and Witten [44] and by Marino and Moore [46] in the language of the u-plane integral. This reveals a close connection to the tau functions; (t). In particular, as Marino pointed out recently [43], the theta function in the aforementioned formula of contact terms is actually the same as the theta function in the tau function. We present an outline of these observations below.

The blow up form ula relates the topological correlators between X , which is now assumed to be a complex algebraic surface with b_2^+ (X) = 1, and its blow up \hat{X} at a point Q of X . The inverse image of Q in the blow up map \hat{X} ! X is a rational curve (called an \exceptional curve") with self-intersection 1. Let B denote its homology class in H $_2$ (\hat{X} ; Z). The elect of blow up on the homology is simply to add to H $_2$ (X; Z) a rank one module generated by B:

$$H_2(X^{\hat{}};Z) = H_2(X;Z)$$
 ZB: (4.43)

One can now insert a set of observables I_n (B) supported by B into the generating function on \hat{X} :

A coording to the form ulation of M arino and M oore [46], the e ect of blow up in the u-plane integral is to modify the integrand for X as follows:

1. In accordance with the decom position of H $_2$ m entioned above, the photon partition function $_{\hat{X}}$ becomes a product of $_X$ and a theta function with an even half-characteristic:

$$\chi$$
 = [0;]($t_n V_n jT$) χ ; = (1=2; ;1=2): (4.45)

2. The exponential function containing contact terms undergoes a new contribution from the observables supported by B, which appear as the multiplicative factor

$$\exp \left(\begin{array}{cc} X \\ \text{exp} \left(\begin{array}{cc} T_{m n} t_{m} t_{n} \right) : \end{array} \right. \tag{4.46}$$

The negative sign in the exponent stems from the self-intersection B = 1 of the exceptional divisor.

3. The Euler characteristic and the signature change as: $(\hat{X}) = (X) + 1$, $(\hat{X}) = (X)$ 1. The measure factor A B is thereby multiplied by

AB
$$^{1} = \det(@u_k = @a_i)^{1=2}$$
 $^{1=8}$: (4.47)

Let us consider the product of the rst two factors (i.e., the G aussian and the theta function), which we call $\hat{}_0$; (t):

$$\Upsilon_{0}$$
; (t) = exp($T_{m,n}t_{m}t_{n}$) [0;]($t_{n}V_{n}$ jT): (4.48)

M arino and M oore [46] rem arked that this is essentially the tau function of the Toda system . M ore precisely, as we have mentioned, this diers from the true tau function $_0$; (t) by a G aussian factor exp (2 $\,$ iQ $_{sing}$ (t)), where Q $_{sing}$ (t) is the quadratic form with coe cients q $_{m\ n}^{sing}$. Thus we have

Since the subtracted term $Q_{\rm sing}$ (t) is modular invariant, this modi cation does not spoil the modular transform ation of $_0$; (t); the subtraction is necessary for the correct sem i-classical behavior of the integrand of the u-plane integral.

M oreover, according to M arino [43], the third factor in the blowup process is nothing but the inverse of the zero-value of $^{\circ}_{0}$; (t):

AB
$$^{1} = \frac{1}{\hat{0}_{0}}; \quad 0 = (0;0;)$$
: (4.50)

The product of the three factor thus boils down to the tau quotient

$$\frac{\hat{0}_{0}, (t)}{\hat{0}_{0}, (0)} = \frac{0, (t)}{0, (0)}; \tag{4.51}$$

Since $_0$; (t) and $_0$; (0) have the sam em odular property, the quotient is modular invariant \mid a property to be required for the consistency of the u-plane integral. Furtherm ore, this quotient has to be non-singular in the sem i-classical region of the u-plane. On the basis of these requirements, M arino [43] eventually derives the theta formula

$$T_{m n} = 2 i \frac{@ log [0;](0 jT)}{@T_{jk}} V_{j}^{(m)} V_{k}^{(n)};$$
 (4.52)

thus reproducing the theta form ula of G orsky et al. [35] from an entirely dierent route. This result also also shows that the theta function $_{\rm E}$ in the form ula of G orsky et al. is actually given by [0;].

5 Conclusion

We have seen some new aspects of integrable systems discovered in the recent studies of N=2 supersymmetric gauge theories and the topologically twisted versions. A particularly impressive lesson is that the combination of Whitham

deform ations and tau functions can be a surprisingly powerful tool. This fact is demonstrated in Marino's beautiful exposition [43], part of which we have reviewed in the last section.

A number of problems still remain to be addressed. A central issue will be to extend the present approach based on integrable systems to other cases, such as the theories with matter multiplets, exceptional gauge groups, etc. Contact terms and the blowup formula for theories with matter multiplets have been studied to some extent [44, 45, 46]. Perhaps the most intriguing are the theories with an adjoint matter multiplet (equivalently, the mass deformed N=4 theories). The blowup formula in the topological versions of these theories, too, will be described by some tau function (of an elliptic Calogero-Moser system?). Another interesting case can be found in toroidally compactived tensionless strings (also called E-strings) and related N=2 or mass deformed N=4 gauge theories [75, 76, 77, 78, 79]. The E $_8$ theta function $_{\rm E}_8$ arising in those models will be connected with the tau function of a yet unidentived integrable system underlying the Seiberg-Witten curves of those theories.

A cknow ledgem ents

I am grateful to Toshio Nakatsu, Masahiko Saito, Yuji Shim izu and Yasuhiko Yamada for useful discussions. This work is partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientic Research (No. 10640165) of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

R eferences

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994), 19-52, hep-th/9407087; Nucl. Phys. B 431 (1994), 494-550, hep-th/9408099.
- [2] A.Klemm, W. Lerche, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. 344B (1995), 169-175, hep-th/9411048.
- [3] P. Argyres and A. Faraggi, Phys. Rev. Lett, 73 (1995), 3931–3934, hep-th/9411057.
- [4] U.H.D anielsson and B. Sundborg, Phys.Lett.B 358 (1995), 273-280, hep-th/9504102.
- [5] A. Hanany and Y. Oz, Nucl. Phys. B 452 (1995), 283-312, hep-th/9505075.
- [6] A.Brandhuber and K.Landsteiner, Phys. Lett. B 358 (1995), 73-80, hep-th/9507008.
- [7] P.C. Argyres and A.D. Shapere, Nucl. Phys. B 461 (1996), 437-459, hep-th/9509175.

- [8] A. Hanany, supersym m etric gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B 466 (1996), 85–100, hep-th/9509176.
- [9] A.Gorsky, I.K richever, A.M arshakov, A.M ironov and A.M orozov, Phys. Lett. 335B (1995), 466-474, hep-th/9505035.
- [10] E. Martinec and N.P. Wamer, Nucl. Phys. B 459 (1996), 97-112, hep-th/9509161.
- [11] T.Nakatsu and K. Takasaki, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11 (1996), 157-161, hep-th/9509162.
- [12] R. Donagi and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 460 (1996), 299-344, hep-th/9510101.
- [13] T. Eguchi and S.-K. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11 (1996), 131-138, hep-th/9510183.
- [14] E.J.Martinec, Phys. Lett. B 367 (1996), 91-96, hep-th/9510204.
- [15] A. Gorsky and A. Marshakov, Phys. Lett. B 375 (1996), 127-134, hep-th/9510224.
- [16] H. Itoyam a and A. Morozov, Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996), 855-877, hep-th/9511126; Nucl. Phys. B 491 (1997), 529-573, hep-th/9512161.
- [17] A. Gorsky, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys. Lett. B 380 (1996), 75-80, hep-th/9603140; A note on spectral curve for the periodic homogeneous X Y Z-spin chain, hep-th/9604078.
- [18] A. Marshakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11 (1996), 1169-1184, hep-th/9602005; From nonperturbative SUSY gauge theories to integrable systems, hep-th/9607159; Sakharov Conference 1996, pp. 498-503, hep-th/9608161; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 12 (1997), 1607-1650, hep-th/9610242.
- [19] C.Ahn and S.Nam, Phys.Lett.B387 (1996), 304-309, hep-th/9603028.
- [20] IM. Krichever and D.H. Phong, J. Di. Geom. 45 (1997), 349-389, hep-th/9604199.
- [21] N.Nekrasov, Nucl. Phys. B 531 (1998), 323-344, hep-th/9609219.
- [22] E. D'Hoker, IM. Krichever and D.H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. B 494 (1997), 89-104, hep-th/9610156.
- [23] A.Gorsky, Phys. Lett. B 410 (1997), 22-26, hep-th/9612238.
- [24] A.M arshakov, Theor. Math. Phys. 112 (1997), 791-826, hep-th/9702083.
- [25] A. Marshakov, M. Martellini and A. Morozov, Phys. Lett. B 418 (1998), 294-302, hep-th/9706050.

- [26] A. Gorsky, S. Gukov and A. Mironov, Nucl. Phys. B 517 (1998), 409-461, hep-th/9707120; Nucl. Phys. B 518 (1998), 683-713, hep-th/9710239.
- [27] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. B 513 (1998), 405, hep-th/9709053.
- [28] A. Kapustin, Nucl. Phys. B 545 (1998), 531-545, hep-th/9804069.
- [29] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. B 530 (1998), 537-610, hep-th/9804126.
- [30] R.Donagi, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 62, Part 2, pp. 3-43 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1997), alg-geom/9705010.
- [31] D. Freed, Commun.Math.Phys. 203 (1999), 31-52, hep-th/9712042.
- [32] M.M atone, Phys. Lett. B 357 (1995), 342-384, hep-th/9506102.
- [33] J. Sonnenschein, S. Theisen and S. Yankielow icz, Phys. Lett. B 367 (1996), 145-150, hep-th/9510129.
- [34] G. Bonelli and M. Matone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996), 4107-4110, hep-th/9602174.
- [35] A. Gorsky, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Nucl. Phys. B 527 (1998), 690-716, hep-th/9802007.
- [36] K. Takasaki, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 14 (1999), 1001-1013, hep-th/9803217.
- [37] JD. Edelstein, M. Marino and J. Mas, Nucl. Phys. B 541 (1999), 671-697, hep-th/9805172.
- [38] JD. Edelstein and J. Mas, Phys. Lett. B 452 (1999), 69-75, hep-th/9901006.
- [39] K. Takasaki, W hitham deformations of Seiberg-W itten curves for classical gauge groups, hep-th/9901120.
- [40] JD. Edelstein, M. Gom ez-Reino and J. Mas, Instanton corrections in N = 2 supersymmetric theories with classical gauge groups and fundamental matter hypermultiplets, hep-th/9904087.
- [41] E.D'Hoker and D.H.Phong, Seiberg-Witten theory and integrable systems, hep-th/9903068.
- [42] A. Marshakov, Seiberg-Witten curves and integrable systems, hep-th/9903252.
- [43] M. Marino, The use of Whitham hierarchies, in this volume, hep-th/9905053.

- [44] G. Moore and E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 1 (1998), 298-387, hep-th/9709193.
- [45] A. Losev, N. Nekrasov and S. Shatashvili, Nucl. Phys. B 534 (1998), 549–611, hep-th/9711108; in Strings, Branes and Dualities, Cargese 1997, L. Baulieu et al. (eds,), pp. 359–372 (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1999), hep-th/9801061.
- [46] M.Marino and G.Moore, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 68 (1998), 336–347, hep-th/9712062; Commun. Math. Phys. 199 (1998), 25–69, hep-th/9802185.
- [47] E.D ate and S.Tanaka, Prog.Theor.Phys.55 (1976), 457-465; Prog.Theor. Phys.Supp.59 (1976), 107-125.
- [48] IM. Krichever, Russ. Math. Surveys 33:4 (1978), 255-256.
- [49] P. van Moerbeke and D. Mum ford, Acta Math. 143 (1979), 93-154.
- [50] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, Adv. in Math. 38 (1980), 267-317; ibid., 318-379.
- [51] R.Dikqraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B 352 (1991), 59-86.
- [52] B.Blok and A. Varchenko, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7 (1992), 1467-1490.
- [53] G. Bonelli and M. Matone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996), 4712-4715, hep-th/9605090.
- [54] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys. Lett. B 389 (1996), 43-52, hep-th/9607109; Mod. Phys. Lett. A 12 (1997), 773-788, hep-th/9701014.
- [55] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys. Lett. B 424 (1998), 48-52, hep-th/9712177.
- [56] G. Bertoldi and M. Matone, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998), 6483-6485, hep-th/9712109.
- [57] K. Ito and S.K. Yang, Phys. Lett. B 415 (1997), 45-53, hep-th/9708017; Phys. Lett. B 433 (1998), 56-62, hep-th/9803126.
- [58] K. Ito, C.-S. Xiong and S.-K. Yang, Phys. Lett. B 441 (1998), 155-162, hep-th/9807183.
- [59] J. Fay, Theta functions on Riemann surfaces, Lect. Notes. Math. 352 (Springer Verlag, 1973).
- [60] B A .D ubrovin, V B .M atveev and S P .N ovikov, Russ.M ath. Surveys 31:1 (1976), 59-146.
- [61] IM . K richever, Funct. Anal. Appl. 11 (1977), 12-26; Russ. M ath. Surv. 32:6 (1977), 185-213.

- [62] B.A.Dubrovin, Russ. Math. Surveys 36:2 (1981), 11-92.
- [63] M. Sato and Y. Sato, in Nonlinear Partial Di erential Equations in Applied Sciences, P.D. Lax, H. Fujita and G. Strang (eds.), pp. 259-271 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, and Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1982).
- [64] E.Date, M. Kashiwara, M. Jim bo and T. Miwa, in Nonlinear Integrable Systems | Classical Theory and Quantum Theory, M. Jim bo and T. Miwa (eds.), pp. 39-119 (World Scientic, Singapore, 1983).
- [65] G. Segaland G. Wilson, Publ. IHES 61 (1985), 5-65.
- [66] M.Mulase, J.Di.Geom.19 (1984), 403-430.
- [67] T. Shiota, Invent. M ath. 83 (1986), 333-382.
- [68] K. Ueno and K. Takasaki, Adv. Stud. Pure. Math. 4, pp. 1-95 (Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1984).
- [69] L. A lvarez-Gaume, G. Moore and C. Vafa, Commun. Math. Phys. 106 (1986), 1-40.
- [70] N.Kawamoto, Y.Namikawa, A.Tsuchiya and Y.Yamada, Commun.Math. Phys. 116 (1988), 247-308.
- [71] D.Mum ford, Tata lectures on theta (Birkhauser, Boston, 1983).
- [72] E.W itten, Commun.Math.Phys.117 (1988), 353-386.
- [73] S.K. Donaldson and P.B. Kronheimer, The geometry of four manifolds (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990).
- [74] R. Friedm an and J.W. Morgan, Smooth four manifolds and complex surfaces (Springer-Verlag, 1991).
- [75] O.G anor, Nucl. Phys. B 488 (1997), 223-235, hep-th/9608109.
- [76] O.Ganor, D.R.Morrison and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. 487 (1997), 93-127, hep-th/9610251.
- [77] W . Lerche, P.M ayr and N.P.W amer, Nucl. Phys. B 499 (1997), 125-148, hep-th/9612085.
- [78] JA. M inahan, D. Nemeschansky and N.P. Wamer, Nucl. Phys. B 508 (1997), 64-106, hep-th/9705237; Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 1 (1998), 167-183, hep-th/9707149; Nucl. Phys. B 528 (1998), 109-132, hep-th/9710146.
- [79] JA.M inahan, D.Nemeschansky, C.Vafa and N.P.W amer, Nucl. Phys. B 527 (1998), 581-623, hep-th/9802168.