Localization of M atter and Cosm ological Constant on a Brane in Antide Sitter Space

Borut Bajc¹ and Gregory Gabadadze

Department of Physics, New York University, New York, NY 10003

A bstract

We study two issues, the localization of various spin elds, and the problem of the cosm ological constant on a brane in verdimensional anti-de Sitter space. We not that spin-zero elds are localized on a positive-tension brane. In addition to the localized zero-mode there is a continuous tower of states with no mass gap. Spin one-half and three-half states can be localized on a brane with \negative tension". Their localization can be achieved on the positive-tension brane as well, if additional interactions are introduced. The necessary ingredient of the scenario with localized gravity is the relation between the bulk cosmological constant and the brane tension. In the absence of supersymmetry this implies ne-tuning between the parameters of the theory. To deal with this issue we introduce a four-form gauge eld. This gives an additional arbitrary contribution to the bulk cosmological constant. As a result, the model gives rise to a continuous family of brane Universe solutions for generic values of the bulk cosmological constant and the brane tension. Among these solutions there is one with a zero four-dimensional cosmological constant.

¹On leave of absence from J. Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia

1 Introduction and results

Large extra dimensions of er an opportunity for a new solution to the hierarchy problem [1]. The crucial ingredient of this scenario is a brane on which standard model particles are localized. Field-theoretic localization mechanisms for scalars and ferm ions [2] as well as for gauge bosons [3] were found. In string theory, elds can naturally be localized on D-branes due to the open strings ending on them [4] (for string theory realization of the scenario of Ref. [1], see, e.g., [5, 6]).

Up until recently, extra dim ensions had to be compactified, since the localization mechanism for gravity was not known. It was suggested in Ref. [7] that gravitational interactions between particles on a brane in uncompactified verdimensional space could have the correct four-dimensional New tonian behavior, provided that the bulk cosmological constant and the brane tension are related. Recently, it was found by Randalland Sundrum that gravitons can be localized on a brane which separates two patches of AdS $_5$ space-time [8]. The necessary requirement for the four-dimensional brane Universe to be static is that the tension of the brane is ne-tuned to the bulk cosmological constant [7, 8]. The generalization of this framework to higher dimensions [9, 10, 11, 12], as well as a number of interesting phenomenological and cosmological issues were studied in the literature [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18].

As we mentioned above, there is a localized graviton zero-mode on the brane worldvolum e [8]. In addition, there is a continuum of graviton states in the brane background [8]. All the elds in anti-de Sitter space² should be given boundary conditions. This can be accomplished by adopting the holographic approach to the problem [20]. In this framework, the bulk continuum is described by a certain Field Theory on the brane³. This eld theory should be coupled to a four-dimensional graviton which is nothing but the localized graviton zero-mode in the original AdS_5 picture. In a sense, the introduction of the brane generates the coupling of the brane (boundary) four-dimensional eld theory (which is expected to describe the bulk gravitational physics in accordance with the Maldacena conjecture [21, 22, 23]), to the four-dimensional Einstein gravity. This framework sets uniquely the boundary conditions for the elds (see detailed discussions and references in [22]).

The aim of the present paper is to study localization of spin-0;1;1=2 and 3=2 elds on a 3-brane in the AdS_5 space. The study of these issues is crucial for phenom enologicalm odel-building as well as for the holographic description of them odel. Besides, this can be useful for the task of realizing this scenario in a supergravity fram ework.

Another, seem ingly dierent, but in fact closely related subject which we will discuss here is the problem of the four-dimensional cosmological constant. We will

² Field theory in antide Sitter space needs careful treatment [19]. In general, there are closed timelike curves in this space which can be avoided by considering the universal cover of AdS space [19]. In the present work, we will adopt the simplied term inology calling the covering space AdS.

 $^{^3}$ This, in fact, should be Conform alField Theory since the isometry group of AdS $_5$ (which is SO (2;4)) coincides with the conform algroup of the brane (boundary) M inkow ski space.

argue that the necessity of the ne-tuning between the bulk cosm ological constant and the brane tension can be avoided by introducing a four-form gauge eld.

In section 2 we study localization of various spin elds on a brane in $A\,dS_5$ space. We consider two dierent cases: a positive tension brane which localizes gravity [8] (the Randall-Sundrum (RS) brane) and a \negative-tension" brane which gives rise to the exponentially growing warp factor [7]. We show that a spin-0 massless eld is localized on the RS brane. The emerging picture is similar to that of the localized graviton. There is a scalar zero-mode on the brane. In addition, there is a continuum of states with no mass gap. This ts well into the holographic approach mentioned above. Furthermore, we show that the \negative tension brane" with the exponentially rising warp factor does not allow to localize normalizable scalar zero-modes.

For spin-1 elds the conclusions are less prom ising. Neither the positive-tension nor the \negative-tension branes" are capable of localizing vector—elds in the minimal setup. The way out for the phenomenological model-building would be to invoke either stringy mechanism of localization on a D-brane worldvolume, or, in the eld theory framework, to use the D-vali-Shifm an mechanism which is based on the bulk con nement [3]. In either case the introduction of new bulk physics is necessary. Furthermore, we show that in the minimal setup neither spin-1=2 not spin-3=2 fermions are localized on the RS brane. Nevertheless, the localization of these elds can be achieved by introducing new interactions in the theory. This allows one to have localized chiral fermions on a brane. On the other hand, spin-1=2 and spin-3=2 fermions are localized by gravitational interactions on a brane with \negative tension" which has the exponentially rising warp factor. A peculiar feature of this mechanism is that the localized modes are not chiral.

In section 3 we discuss the fate of the four-dimensional cosmological constant. As wementioned above, the scenarios of Refs. [7,8] require a special ne-tuned relation of the bulk cosm ological constant to the brane tension. Once this relation is enforced, the four-dim ensional brane Universe is static, i.e., the four-dim ensional cosm ological constant is zero. Within the supergravity fram ework this relation is just the BPS condition [24]. However, one should not expect that the BPS relation survives the quantum corrections after SUSY breaking. As a result, one eventually goes back to ne-tuning. We will show in section 3 that the necessity of the ne-tuning can be rem oved from the theory. This is accomplished by introducing a four-form gauge eld which couples to gravity only. The four-form eld does not propagate physical degrees of freedom in ve-dimensional space, however, it can give rise to an arbitrary (negative or positive depending on the sign of its kinetic term) cosm ological constant in the theory [25, 26]. Therefore, for any value of the original bulk cosmological constant, the net cosm ological constant in this model is arbitrary. As a result, there are in nite number of brane Universe solutions. Each of these brane Universes is labeled by the corresponding four-dimensional cosmological constant. Among all

⁴ The \negative-tension" brane is understood as a rigid non-dynamical slice of space with negative energy density.

these possible brane Universes there is one with a zero cosm ological constant. This rem oves the ne-tuning problem from the Randall-Sundrum scenario in the sense that a static solution now exists for any value of the bulk cosm ological constant and the brane tension. In addition, this fram ework opens an opportunity for anthropic arguments.

Note that many properties of a 3-brane is D = 5 are similar to those of a 2-brane in D = 4 which were previously studied in [27] (for a review see [28]). These studies were recently generalized to D = 2 branes (domain walls) in D dimensions [29].

2 Localization via warp factors

We start with the ve-dimensional gravity action and a cosmological constant (the [+; ;;] signature will be assumed below)

$$S = M^3 d^5x (R + 2)$$
: (1)

In addition one includes a static 3-brane with tension T which is located at y = 0 [8]. The ve-dimensional interval will be parametrized as follows:

$$ds^2 = A(y) dx_{3+1}^2 dy^2$$
: (2)

The Einstein equations in this case have two dierent solutions for the warp factor A (y). For a positive-tension brane one nds [8]

$$A(y) = \exp(H \dot{y}); \tag{3}$$

while for a $\ensuremath{\text{negative-tension}}$ " brane the warp factor is exponentially rising⁵ [7]

$$A (y) = \exp (H \dot{y}) :$$
 (4)

In both cases, the static solutions (3) and (4) exist if the bulk cosm ological constant is ne-tuned to the brane tension

$$\frac{2}{3} = \frac{\text{Jr j}}{6M^3}$$
 H: (5)

We will show below, that the RS solution admits the localization of a spin-0 state in addition to the already known localization of gravitons. The solution (4), on the other hand, localizes spin-1=2 and spin-3=2 ferm ions.

Before we go further, let us make some comments on the literature. One can consider an orbifold compactication of the flh dimension in the RS framework.

⁵As before, the \negative-tension" brane is a non-uctuating slice of space with negative energy density. The exponentially rising warp factor was originally introduced in Ref. [30] in a theory with no branes.

In this case, there are two 3-branes which are located at the orbifold xed points [8]. One of these is a positive-tension brane and the other one is a negative-tension brane. The warp factor for one of them decreases as in (3), while for the other one it increases exponentially (4). Therefore, in this fram ework spin-0 and spin-2 states will be localized on the positive-tension brane, while spin-1=2 and spin-3=2 states will be trapped on the negative-tension one. In what follow we will be studying a single 3-brane which separates two patches of AdS_5 space-time. From the point of view of the orbifold construction this corresponds to the case when one of the orbifold xed points is removed to in nity.

2.1 Spin-0 elds

In this subsection we study the localization of a real scalar eld in the background (2). We will not that the solution (3) adm its a localized zero-m ode.

Let us start with a massless scalar eld coupled to gravity:

$$\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{5}x^{p} \overline{g}g^{AB} Q_{A} Q_{B} : \qquad (6)$$

Here A; B denote ve-dimensional indices. The corresponding equation of motion takes the form

$$Q_{A} \quad {}^{p}\overline{g}g^{AB}Q_{B} = 0 :$$
 (7)

U sing (2) and decomposing this equation in the four-dimensional and fth dimensional parts one nds:

$$\frac{1}{A} = 0 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{A^2} \theta_y \quad A^2 \theta_y = 0 : \tag{8}$$

Let us decompose the eld as follows:

$$(x;y) = (x) \quad (y): \tag{9}$$

A plane wave which propagates in a four-dimensional worldvolume satis es the corresponding four-dimensional equation of motion:

$$@ @ (x) = m^2 (x) :$$
 (10)

Using this, and introducing a new variable

$$u(y) = A(y) (y);$$
 (11)

one gets the following Schrodinger equation for the y-dependent part:

h
$$\theta_y^2$$
 m² e^{H jyj} + H² 2H (y) u (y) = 0: (12)

This equation coincides with the one for a localized graviton [8]. The zero-m ass solution (m² = 0) to this equation takes the form:

$$u(y) = ce^{H \dot{y} j};$$
 (13)

where c is a constant. It is interesting that, written in terms of the original variable, the scalar zero-mode is just a constant

$$(y) = c : (14)$$

At a rst glance, such a solution could not be localized since it is not suppressed away from the brane. Nevertheless, the solution can still be considered as a localized mode. The presence of the exponential warp factor in the metric (2) allows one to perform the following decomposition for the zero-mode

$$\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{4}x dy^{p} \overline{g} g^{AB} e_{A 0} e_{B 0} = \frac{1}{2}^{Z+1} dy^{p} \overline{g}^{2} (y) g^{Z} d^{4}x e^{X} (x) e^{X} (x) = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{4}x e^{X} (x) e^{X} (x) e^{X} (x) = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{4}x e^{X} (x) e^{X} (x)$$

where we set $c = {}^{q} \frac{}{H = 2}$.

Thus, for the zero-m ode $_0$ (x;y) = $\frac{q}{H=2}$ (x). Moreover, the eld (x) is electively \localized" on a brane worldvolum eldue to the exponentially decreasing warp factor. Notice that this zero-m ode resembles a zero-m ode of the standard Kaluza-K lein compactication. This is not surprising since the elective size of the fith dimension, L, is nite, L 1=H, in spite of the fact that this dimension is not compact [9]. Let us stress also that such a constant localized solution is possible only for the warp factor (3), but not for (4).

As in the case of gravitons [8], the equation (12) gives rise to a tower of continuum states with $m^2 > 0$. These states produce a nonzero contribution of order $1=r^3$ to the usual four-dimensional 1=r potential mediated by a massless scalar exchange on a brane. The picture is similar to that of the localized graviton [8].

2.2 Spin-1 elds

There are no localized solutions in this case. Although there is a constant solution similar to (14), nevertheless, the Lagrangian for a vector eld does not yield the suppressing warp factor which was so crucial in the case of scalars. Let us see this in some details. Using the de nition of the 5D eld-strength

$$F_{AB} = Q_A V_B \qquad Q_B V_A ; \qquad (16)$$

choosing the gauge $V_5 = 0$, and decomposing the remaining part of the massless vector eld as

$$V(x;y) = V(x)(y);$$
 (17)

we get (f @ v @ v)

$$\frac{1}{4}^{Z} d^{4}xdy^{p} \overline{g} g^{AB} g^{CD} F_{AC} F_{BD} = \frac{1}{4}^{Z} d^{4}y^{p} \overline{g}^{2} (y)g g g^{Z} d^{4}xf f$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}^{Z} d^{4}x f f f d^{2}y^{D} \overline{g}^{2} (y)g g g^{Z} d^{4}xf f$$

This diverges if (y) is a constant.

How about nontrivial solutions to the equation of motion for the vector eld, could they give localized solutions? One can check that such normalizable solutions do not exist. Since this issue has already been studied in the orbifold version of the RS scenario in [31, 32] we skip these derivations. The net result is that neither (3) nor (4) localizes massless vector elds.

2.3 Spin-1=2 ferm ions

We start with the Lagrangian form assless spin-1=2 ferm ions

$$\stackrel{\mathsf{p}}{\mathsf{g}} \quad {}^{\mathsf{B}}\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{B}} \quad \mathbf{:} \tag{19}$$

The corresponding equation of motion can be decomposed as follows:

$$D + {}^{5}D_{5} (x;y) = 0$$
: (20)

The relations between the curved-space gam m a matrices (f A ; B g = $2g^{AB}$) and the minkow skian ones (f A ; B g = 2 AB) read as follows:

$$=\frac{1}{R}$$
 ; $^{5}=^{5}:$ (21)

The spin-connection and covariant derivative can also be calculated for the metric (2):

$$D = Q + \frac{A^0}{4A} \qquad ^5 ; D_5 = Q_5 : \qquad (22)$$

A first these conventions are set we can decompose the ve-dimensional spinor into the four-dimensional and the ffh-dimensional parts: (x;y) = (x)(y). We require that the four-dimensional part satisfies the massless equation of motion (x) = 0. As a result, we obtain the following equation for the y dependent part

$$\theta_{y} + \frac{A^{0}}{A}^{!}$$
 $(y) = 0$: (23)

The solution to this equation reads:

$$(y) = \frac{c}{A(y)} : \qquad (24)$$

This is not normalizable in the RS case (3), but it is normalizable if the warp factor (4) is used. To see this, one has to decompose the action (19) as follows:

$$Z = Z_{+1} = Z_{+1}$$

which is in nite for A (y) de ned in (3), but is nite for A (y) from (4) (we choose c = H = 2 to get the correct normalization)⁶.

In order to localize spin-1=2 ferm ions in the RS fram ework one could use the method of localization due to Jackiw and Rebbi [34]. For this, one introduces the interaction of ferm ions with a scalar eld, . The scalar should interpolate between two di erent vacua at di erent sides of the brane (one could take the kink solution, for instance). This gives an elective verdimensional mass to ferm ions (constant ferm ion mass approximation is good enough when the scalar is heavy). However, the verdimensional ferm ion mass term M would ip the sign under the rejection with respect to the brane. Under these circum stances, the chiral left (right) handed component of the ferm ion can be localized on the brane [34]. The equation of motion for the ferm ion takes the form:

$$e_{y} + \frac{A^{0}}{A} + i_{5}M \quad [(y) \quad (y)] \quad (x;y) = 0;$$
(25)

where (y) is the step-function. Introducing the chiral modes as i $_{5}$ $_{L,R}$ = $_{L,R}$, one nds that the chiral solution $_{L}$ / exp (H M) is localized for (3) as long as:

$$M > \frac{H}{4} : \tag{26}$$

Likew ise, in the background (4), the mode $_{L}$ is localized if M < H = 4.

2.4 Spin-3=2 ferm ions

The consideration for gravitinos is similar to that of spin-1=2 ferm ions. Therefore, our discussions will be brief. The equation of motion for a massless gravitino reads as:

$$[A B C] D_B C = 0$$
: (27)

Here, the square brackets denote antisym metrization w.r.t. all indices. We choose the gauge $_5 = 0$ and split the remaining elds as (x;y) = (x) u(y). Using now the four-dimensional gauge choice = 0 = 0, and the four-dimensional equation of motion for a massless spin-3=2 eld = 0, one nds the following equation for the y dependent part:

$$e_{y} + \frac{A^{0}}{2A}! u(y) = 0$$
: (28)

The solution to this equation is

$$u(y) = \frac{C}{A(y)}$$
: (29)

 $^{^6}$ A fter we obtained these results the work [33] appeared, in which it was shown that in the orbifold RS construction massless spin-1=2 elds are not localized on a brane.

In the RS case this is not a normalizable function. The action

diverges because of the y integration. On the other hand, for the exponentially rising warp factor (4), the y integral is nite and the 4D action is canonically normalized for $c = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, the solution (4) adm its a localized free spin-3=2 zero-m ode on the 3-brane. Notice that this mode is not chiral.

Finally, let us comment on a possibility of localization of gravitinos on the background (3). One should introduce some additional interactions for this to happen (as we did for the case of spin-1=2 elds). The simplest way would be to realize the RS solution (3) in some N = 2 ve-dimensional supergravity. In this case, one could hope to not a BPS 3-brane which preserves half of the original supersymmetries. Since the background (3) localizes gravitions, by supersymmetry, its SUGRA counterpart would also localize gravitinos. The dynamical reason for the localization of gravitinos could be their interactions with some other elds of the corresponding SUGRA. Detailed studies of these issues could be a subject of a separate project.

3 Cosmological Constant on a Brane

In this section we study what happens if the bulk cosm ological constant is not netuned to its critical value which is de ned by Eq. (5)7. This is expected to be the generic case in any realistic brane Universe models with broken SUSY. Indeed, after SUSY is broken, equation (5) can no longer be protected against corrections even though it could have been obtained as a BPS equation in some ve-dim ensional theory of supergravity (along the lines of [24], for instance). Therefore, in what follows we consider the case when the bulk cosmological constant diers from its critical value de ned by (5). In such a case the four-dimensional brane Universe is not static any more [35, 36]. The four-dimensional cosmological constant is determined by the dierence between the actual bulk cosmological constant and the critical cosm ological constant satisfying (5). Generically, this dierence can be big, leading to a de Sitter or anti de Sitter four-dim ensional brane Universe with an unacceptably large four-dimensional cosmological constant. Thus, it seems that the ne-tuning is of vital in portance. However, the ne-tuning of the parameters can be avoided by introducing into the theory a four-form gauge $eld A_{BCDE}$. This eld cannotpropagate any physical degrees of freedom in ve-dimensions. Nevertheless, it gives rise to a dynamically generated cosmological constant [25, 26]. The value of this cosm ological constant is arbitrary, since it appears as a constant of integration of the equation of motion for the A_{BCDE} eld. Therefore, the net cosmological constant

 $^{^{7}}$ W e are grateful to G ia D vali for m any useful discussions of the results of this section.

in ve-dimensions is the sum of the original cosm ological constant and the dynam ically generated one. Let us for simplicity assume that the original bulk cosmological constant is positive but otherwise an arbitrary number which is not necessarily ne-tuned to the brane tension (the generalization for negative is straightforward and will be given in the next section). The action for the system can be written as follows:

$$S = M^{3} d^{5}x^{p} \overline{g} (R + 2) + d^{5}x^{p} \overline{g} \frac{1}{2 5!} F_{ABCDE} F^{ABCDE} + S_{Brane} :$$
(31)

Here F_{ABCDE} denotes the gauge-invariant eld-strength for the eld A_{BCDE} (the choice of the sign of the kinetic term for this eld will be discussed in the next section). The action for the brane itself in (31) is denoted by $S_{B\,rane}$. We will simply assume that the 3-brane is a static source which is localized at y=0. It has the following energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_{AB}^{B \text{ rane}} = T$$
 (y) diag(1; 1; 1; 1; 0); (32)

where T denotes the tension of the 3-brane.

The equations of motion of the system take the following form:

$$R_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}R = \frac{1}{2M^3} = T_{AB} + T_{AB}^{B \text{ rane}} + g_{AB} ;$$

$$\theta_A = \frac{p}{g}F^{ABCDE}(y) = 0 ; \qquad (33)$$

Here $T_{A\,B}$ is the energy-momentum tensor for the four-form eld:

$$T_{AB}$$
 $\frac{1}{4!}$ $F_{ACDEG}F_B^{CDEG} + \frac{1}{10}g_{AB}F_{CDEGH}F^{CDEGH}$: (34)

As we discussed above, the crucial property of the four-form gauge eld in vedim ensional space-time is that it does not propagate dynamical degrees of freedom. The whole dynamics is eliminated by the system of equations of motion, and the gauge constraints which emerge as a result of the gauge invariance of the action. Nevertheless, this system of equations has a constant eld-strength solution which gives rise to a nonzero vacuum energy density. This is what happens in general with a d-form gauge invariant eld-strength in d-dimensional space-time.

To make these discussions quantitative let us look for the following solution to the equations of motion (33):

$$ds^2 = A (y) dt^2 a (t) dx^i dx^i dy^2; (35)$$

 $^{^8}$ N otice that it is important in our case that the four-form eld does not couple to the brane itself, i.e., the brane does not carry the corresponding \R am ond \R am ond charge", see discussions in the next section.

where a (t) is the scale factor of the four-dimensional brane Universe. The corresponding background for the four-form eld is given by

$$F^{ABCDE} = \frac{1}{P_{q}} \eta^{ABCDE} k ; \qquad (36)$$

where k stands for an arbitrary integration constant (in our norm alizations 12345 = 1). With this Ansatz at hand the four-dimensional components of the energy-momentum tensor for the four-form eld take the form:

$$T_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} g_{AB} k^2 : (37)$$

This generates an additional negative contribution to the total e ective bulk cosmological constant

$$_{\rm e} = \frac{1}{4 {\rm M}^3} {\rm k}^2 :$$
 (38)

U sing these expressions the E instein equations can be written as follows:

$$\frac{3A^{0}}{2A} = \frac{1}{2M^{3}}T(y); \qquad \frac{A^{0}}{A} = \frac{H^{2}_{0}}{A^{2}} = \frac{2}{3} e;$$

$$\frac{\underline{a(t)}}{a(t)} = \frac{a(t)}{a(t)} H^{2}_{0}; \qquad (39)$$

Here, primes denote dierentiation with respect to y, and dots denote dierentiation w.r.t. the time variable. The solution to these equations reads as $[35, 36]^9$

$$A (y) = ch^{\frac{0}{2}} \frac{\frac{2}{e}}{3} y^{A} \frac{T}{2M^{3}} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6}}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}} sh^{\frac{0}{2}} \frac{\frac{2}{e}}{3} \frac{1}{y^{A}} : (40)$$

The four-dimensional Hubble constant is de ned as follows:

$$H_0 = \frac{T^2}{36M_6} + \frac{2_e}{3} : \tag{41}$$

Therefore, the four-dimensional cosm ological constant, $_4$ H $_0^2$, contains an arbitrary integration constant k

$$_{4} = \frac{T^{2}}{36M^{6}} + \frac{2}{3} \qquad \frac{1}{4M^{3}} k^{2}$$
 (42)

Let us sum marize the results of our discussions. The system (31) without the F eld does not allow for a static solution for a generic, non-ne-tuned values of the bulk cosm ological constant and the brane tension. However, when the F eld

⁹ For sim plicity we present here the solution when the brane worldvolum e is dS_4 . The solution can be obtained for $A dS_4$ as well [35, 36].

is switched on, there are an in nite number of brane Universe solutions. These Universes dier from each other by the value of the corresponding four-dimensional cosm ological constants de ned in (42). Among these solutions there is the static brane with zero four-dimensional cosm ological constant. This removes the necessity of the ne-tuning in the sense that static solution now exists for any values of and T. Certainly, the cosm ological constant problem is not solved, instead, the introduction of the Feld gives an opportunity for the anthropic arguments. Indeed, only those branes for which the four-dimensional cosm ological constant to the Weinberg's window [37]:

$$\frac{4}{8 G_N}$$
 10 ³ eV ⁴; (43)

could accommodate the Universe similar to ours. All other brane Universes, not satisfying (43), would not be able to form the large scale structures. Concluding, the action (31) allows to avoid the necessity of ne-tuning of the bulk cosmological constant to the brane tension T, for any and T there are an in nite number of possible brane Universe solutions of (31) and one of these solutions has zero four-dimensional cosmological constant.

4 Discussions and conclusions

Let us start with some comments on the form of the action (31). The four-form eld in (31) rem inds the Ram ond-Ram ond (RR) eld to which a three-brane could couple. However, one can check that the sign of the kinetic term in (31) is inverse to what should have been used for the RR eld. The choice of this sign is not restricted by positivity arguments in the ve-dimensional theory (31), since this eld does not propagate dynamical degrees of freedom. However, the negative sign is necessary in (31) if the four-form eld is real and one needs to generate a negative cosm ological constant in (38). This is true as long as the original cosm ological constant (31) is an arbitrary positive number. Thus, for > 0 the four-form eld cannot be thought of as a RR eld. Let as now see what happens if is a big arbitrary negative number (in fact, without loss of generality, we take its magnitude to be bigger than the magnitude of the critical value de ned by (5)). In this case one can just ip the sign of the kinetic term of the F² term in (31) and obtain the desired result 10. Indeed, in this case, the kinetic term for the four-form eld is precisely equivalent to that for a RR eld. This produces a positive cosm ological constant in the bulk. Therefore, the expression (42) takes the form:

$$_{4} = \frac{T^{2}}{36M^{6}} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{4M^{3}} k^{2}$$
 (44)

 $^{^{10}}$ A lternatively, one could add to the action (31) another four-form $\,\,$ eld with opposite kinetic term .

Since in this case is a big negative number (itsm agnitude is bigger than T 2 =24M 6), one is still able to have an in nite number of brane Universe solutions parametrized by the integration constant k and one of these solutions have $_4$ = 0. In this case (negative), one could think of the four-form eld as some kind of RR eld of string theory. However, in our case the brane at hand should not couple to this RR eld, i.e., the brane should not be a D-brane. O therwise, the elective cosmological constant produced by the RR eld would be defined by the brane RR charge. Since this is quantized, one would again need ne-tuning between the brane charge and the brane tension (as before, in the supergravity fram ework this is the BPS condition, however it becomes ne-tuning after SUSY is broken).

Let us now go back to the case > 0 considered in the previous section. A way to think of the four-form eld in this case is to recall that in theories of supergravity there are auxiliary scalar elds. These scalars usually enter an o -shell Lagrangian in a quadratic form without kinetic terms. One of these scalars, let us call it , can be dualized into the ve-form eld strength via / "ABCDEF ABCDE. Since the original scalar was an auxiliary eld and the four-form does not propagate dynamical degrees of freedom either, this dualization makes sense. As a result, the 2 term in the original o -shell Lagrangian of supergravity, which can emerge as $^p \overline{g}(R+^2)$ [38], is replaced by the F 2 term in the form given in (31). This is acceptable as far as bosonic modes are concerned. The actual issue is whether it is possible to perform the dualization of the whole SUGRA supermultiplet, not only its scalar part. Such a dualization of the chiral N = 1 SUGRA supermultiplet into the tensor supermultiplet was shown to be possible in the four-dimensional case [39]. The issue whether the same can be done in ve-dimensions remains open.

To conclude, we studied localization of various spin elds on a brane in AdS_5 . We found that spin-0 elds are localized on a brane with positive tension which also localizes gravitons. The spectrum of spin-0 eld is similar to that of the localized graviton: there is a single localized zero-mode plus a continuous tower of states. This continuum can be thought of as a bulk ve-dimensional eld. To x the boundary conditions, the whole picture should be viewed in a sense of the AdS/CFT correspondence. Spin-1 elds are not localized neither on a brane with positive tension nor on a brane with \negative tension". Within the eld theory fram ework the D vali-Shifm an mechanism [3] should be invoked for the vector eld localization. In string theory, vector elds could be localized by assuming that the brane at hand is in fact a D-brane. Spin-1=2 and spin-3=2 elds are localized due to gravitational interactions on a brane with \negative tension". The localized modes in this case are not chiral. In order to trap spin-1=2 and spin-3=2 chiral zero-modes on a positive-tension brane additional elds should be introduced. These could be scalars of ve-dimensional gauged supergravity.

Finally, we have shown that ne-tuning between the bulk cosm ological constant and the brane tension can be avoided if there is a four-form gauge eld in the theory. This eld gives rise to an additional contribution to the bulk cosm ological constant. As a result, the model with any generic and T supports an in nite

num ber of brane U niverse solutions which are param etrized by the continuous family of four-dimensional cosmological constants. A mong these solutions there is one with $_4 = 0$.

The authors are grateful to G ia D vali and M assim o Porrati for m any useful discussions. We thank G oran Senjanovic for reading the manuscript and useful suggestions. We are grateful to Antonio G rassi, Juan M aldacena, G iuseppe Policastro and Hossein Sarm add for helpful conversations. The work was supported by the grant NSF PHY-94-23002. The work of BB was also supported by the M inistry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia.

R eferences

- N. Arkani-Ham ed, S. Dim opoulos, D. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 429, 263 (1998);
 [hep-ph/9803315];
 Phys. Rev. D 59, 0860 (1999); [hep-ph/9807344].
- [2] V A . Rubakov, M . E . Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 125, 136 (1983).
- [B] G.D vali, M. Shifman, Phys. Lett. B 396, 64 (1997); [hep-th/9612128]; Nucl. Phys. B 504, 127 (1996); [hep-th/9611213].
- [4] J.Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4724 (1995); [hep-th/9510017].
- [5] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 436, 257 (1998); [hep-ph/9804398].
- [6] Z.Kakushadze, S.H.H. Tye, Nucl. Phys. B 548, 180 (1999); [hep-th/9809147].
- [7] M. Gogberashvili, [hep-ph/9812296]; [hep-ph/9812365].
- [8] L.Randall, R.Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3370 (1999); [hep-ph/9905221]; Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4690 (1999); [hep-th/9906064].
- [9] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, D. Dvali, N. Kaloper, [hep-th/9907209].
- [10] A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan, [hep-th/9910132].
- [11] J. Lykken, L. Randall, [hep-th/9908076].
- [12] H. Verlinde, [hep-th/9906182].
- [13] W .Goldberger, M .W ise, [hep-ph/9907218]; [hep-ph/9907447].
- [14] A.Nelson, [hep-th/9909001].
- [15] C.Csaki, M.Graesser, L.Randall, J.Teming, [hep-ph/9911406].

- [16] O.DeWolfe, D.Z. Freedman, S.S. Gubser, A.Karch, [hep-th/9909134].
- [17] D. Youm, [hep-th/9911218].
- [18] S.S.Gubser, [hep-th/9912001].
- [19] S.J. Avis, C.J. Isham, D. Storey, Phys. Rev. D 18, 3565 (1978).
- [20] E.W itten, discussions at ITP Santa Barbara conference on \New D imensions in Fied Theory and String Theory", http://www.itp.ucsb.edu=online=susy c99=discussion=.
- [21] J.M aldacena, Avd. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998); [hep-th/9711200].
- [22] E.W itten, Avd. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 253 (1998); [hep-th/9802150].
- [23] S.S. Gubser, IR. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 428, 105 (1998); [hep-th/9802109].
- [24] K.Behmdt, M.Cvetic, [hep-th/9909058].
- [25] M.Du, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett. B 94, 179 (1980).
- [26] M.Du, Phys. Lett. B 226, 36 (1989).
- [27] M. Cvetic, S. Gries, S.-J. Rey, Nucl. Phys. B 381, 301 (1992); [hep-th/9201007];
 M. Cvetic, S. Gries, H.H. Soleng, Phys. Rev. D 48, 2613 (1993); [gr
 - qc/9306005].
- [28] M. Cvetic, H. H. Soleng, Phys. Rept. 282, 159 (1997); [hep-th/9604090].
- [29] M. Cvetic, J. Wang, [hep-th/9912187].
- [30] M. Visser, Phys. Lett. B 159, 22 (1985); [hep-th/9910093].
- [31] H.Davoudias, J.L.Hewett, T.G.Rizzo, [hep-ph/9911262].
- [32] A.Pom arol, [hep-ph/9911292].
- [33] Y.Grossman, M. Neubert, [hep-ph/9912408].
- [34] R. Jackiw, C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D 13, 3398 (1976).
- B5] T.Nihei, Phys. Lett. B 465, 81 (1999) [hep-ph/9905487].
- [36] N.Kaloper, Phys. Rev. D 60:123506 (1999); [hep-th/9905210].
- [37] S.W einberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2607 (1987).
- [38] M. Zucker, [hep-th/9907082].
- [39] B A . O vrut, D . W aldram , Nucl. Phys. B 506, 236 (1997); [hep-th/9704045].