# The Landau {G inzberg Theory for the Two-D im ensional Bose G as

Harry L MORR ISON  $^{\rm Y}$  and Achilles D SPELIOTOPOULOS  $^{\rm z}$ 

<sup>y</sup> Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>z</sup> National Research Council, Board on Physics and Astronom y, 2101 Constitution Avenue, NW, W ashington, DC 20418 Current address: Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720-7300

E-mail: adpelio@uclink.berkeley.edu

Received December 24, 2001; Accepted M ay 29, 2002

#### Abstract

U sing results from sheaf theory combined with the phenom enological theory of the two-dimensional super uid, the precipitation of quantum vortices is shown to be the genesis of a macroscopic order parameter for a phase transition in two dimensions.

#### 1 Introduction

The underlying mechanism for super uidity in three-dimensional Bose systems is based upon the spectral decomposition of the Bose eld operator (x). The zero-momentum component of the operator becomes the macroscopic order parameter for the associated phase transition [4] in the thermodynamic limit. The existence of this order parameter, which denes the condensed phase [2], is a consequence of the breaking of a U (1) gauge symmetry. Indeed, the states of the condensate and the states of the normal uid belong to two inequivalent representations of the algebra generated by (x) and Y(x).

In two-dimensions, however, the situation is much more complicated since the breaking of a U (1) gauge symmetry is explicitly prohibited [5, 11, 12, 6]. There are instead two phenom enological theories of the phase transition, both of which presupposes the existence of vortices with integral vorticity, or \charge", in the system. In the K osterlitz (T houless (N elson [9, 15, 16, 13] theory the super uid transition is marked by the precipitation of essentially free vortices in the norm all uid into vortices that are tightly bound in dipole pairs in the super uid. There are electively no free vortices in the super uid state in this theory. In theory given in [17], on the other hand, vortices are only present in the super uid state and the phase transition is due to the annihilation of oppositely charged vortices at the transition temperature. In this case, there are no quantum vortices in the norm all uid state. An essential feature of both theories, how ever, is that the net vorticity, or charge, of the system must be zero. Indeed, it was shown in [17] that this is a necessary condition for the phase transition to occur.

In this paper we use the existence of quantized vortices in the super uid state to demonstrate by construction the existence of an order parameter for the super uid phase transition in spite of the absence of a Bose-condensation-based phase transition in two-dimensions. We do so by using the results from analysing the classical equations of motion for the vortices given in [9, 15, 16, 13] and [17] as a motivation for studying sheaf theory. Results from sheaf theory are then combined with an elective-eld description of the system to construct the order parameter. G lobal sheaf-theoretic results on the geometry of two-dimensional surfaces are then used to make statements about the possibility of having the super uid phase transitions on various two-dimensional surfaces.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the properties of the vortex gas used by both phenom enological theories of the super uid phase transition. Results from this section is meant to motive the use of sheaf theory as a tool for constructing the order parameter for the phase transition. In Section 3 we review those aspects of sheaf theory that are needed for this construction. The results stated in this section are all well known and no proofs are given. In Section 4 we combine the results of Section 3 with an elective free energy functional to construct the order parameter for the phase transition. Results from sheaf theory will also be used to comment on the existence of the super uid phase transition for various two-dimensional surfaces. Final concluding remarks can be found in Section 5.

# 2 Vortices in two-dim ensions

The description of the two-dimensional quantum vortices used in [9, 15, 16, 13] and [17] follows in direct analogy with the description of vortex lines used in three-dimensional super uids. In this description vortices are characterized by f<sub>i</sub>; z<sub>i</sub>g, where <sub>i</sub> is the circulation associated with the i<sup>th</sup> vortex at the position  $z_i$  (in complex coordinates) on a R ism ann surface M. Vortices are formed due to a current ow  $j_z$  in the uid. Because of the underlying quantum mechanical nature of the super uid, their circulation (or vorticity) is quantized and

$$\sum_{i}^{L} \frac{j_z dz}{m} = \frac{h}{m} n_i; \qquad (2.1)$$

where is the (constant) super uid density,  $_i$  is a closed contour on M encom passing the  $i^{th}$  vortex and  $n_i$  is a non-zero integer.

O ne then traditionally appeals to classical uid dynam ical arguments [3] to describe the interaction between vortices and their dynam ics. Because of the particular properties of two dimensional uid ow, one can treat the vortices as though they were particles in and of them selves with a ham iltonian

$$H = \frac{2}{m^2} \sum_{k>1}^{N} n_k n_1 \log j z_k \quad z_1 j:$$
 (2.2)

This ham iltonian is identical to the interaction ham iltonian of a gas of charges particles with charge  $n_i$ , hence the analogy between a gas of vortices and a gas of charged particles. A coordingly,  $n_k$  is often interpreted as the charge of the  $k^{th}$  vortex, and the total charge

of the vortices is

$$Q = \sum_{k}^{K} n_k :$$
 (2.3)

The evolution equations for vortices is quite di erent from that of charged particles, how – ever, [3, 1, 14, 18] in that

$$n_{k} \frac{dz_{k}}{dt} = 2i \frac{m}{\sim} \frac{\ell}{\varrho} \frac{z_{k}}{z_{k}};$$

$$n_{k} \frac{dz_{k}}{dt} = 2i \frac{m}{\sim} \frac{\ell}{\varrho} \frac{H}{z_{k}};$$
(2.4)

Notice that vortex motion is determined by its velocity, and not its acceleration.

It is straightforward to see that H admits the following a ne transformation in the complex plane

$$H (z_1; :::; z_n) = H (z_1 + ;:::; z_n + );$$
(2.5)

where is an uniform time dependent translation, and (with the constraint that j = 1) is a time dependent uniform rotation. Translational invariance gives the constant of the motion

$$M = \sum_{k}^{N} n_{k} z_{k}; \qquad (2.6)$$

while rotational invariance gives

$$I = \sum_{k}^{X} n_{k} \dot{z}_{k} \dot{j} :$$
(2.7)

To motive our use of sheaf theory to study this system , we associate to each M  $\,$  a meromorphic function

$$f(z) = \bigvee_{k}^{Y} (z \quad z_{k})^{n_{k}};$$
(2.8)

with poles or zeros of order  $n_k$  at the points  $z_k$  on M. Such a function is often identi ed with the ow potential of an ideal uid. This association is clearly not unique, of course, for if g(z) is any non-vanishing holom orphic function on an open set U M, then f(z)g(z)can also be associated to the sam e M; g does not add any zeros or poles to f. W e should instead consider equivalence classes of m erom orphic functions in which two m erom orphic functions f(z) and h(z), not identically zero, are equivalent if f=h is a non-vanishing holom orphic function. This leads naturally to the consideration of all such equivalence classes over the manifold M. In sheaf theory this equivalence class is the sheaf of germ s of divisors with M identi ed with d, the divisor of a line bundle over M. Correspondingly, Q is identi ed with the bundle's chem class. Sheaf theory is therefore a natural fram ew ork for describing and understanding the behavior and dynam ics of quantum vortices.

#### 3 Overview of sheaf theory

In this section we present a brief review of sheaf theory, highlighting the topics needed in our analysis. We follow exclusively the treatment and notation found in [8] (see also [7]). No new results in sheaf theory is presented, however, and we do not present any proofs for the results stated here.

We begin with with an open covering U of a Riem ann surface M consisting of open sets fU g. As an additional structure, the notion of a sheaf is introduced:

A sheaf of abelian groups over a topological space M is a topological space S, together with a mapping :S ! M such that:

- 1. is a local hom om orphism;
- 2. for each point p 2 M , the set  $S_p = {}^1$  (p),  $S_p = {}^1$
- 3. the group operations are continuous in the topology of S.

 $S_p$  is called the stalk of the sheaf S at the point p. A section s of the sheaf S over U is a continuous map  $s: U \ S$  such that  $s: U \ U$  is the identity map on M. (U;S) is the set of all sections of S over U and (U;S) is the form all sum of all (U;S) over U.

If f and g are any two functions de ned on the neighborhoods U and U respectively such that U \U  $\notin$ ;, then f and g are equivalent at a point p 2 U \U if f(z) = g(z) for all z in a neighborhood of p contained in U \U. The germ of a function at p is the equivalence class of local functions at p and is denoted by  $f_p$ . The set of all germ s of functions at each point p in M form s an abelian groups under addition and multiplication. A s such the following sheaves can be de ned:

- $C^1$  , the sheaf of germs of in nitely di erentiable functions;
- #, the sheaf of germ s of holom orphic functions;
- #, the sheaf of germ s of non-vanishing holom orphic functions;
- M , the sheaf of germ s of m erom orphic functions;
- M , the sheaf of germ s of non-vanishing m erom orphic functions.

These sheaves may be ordered by:

C<sup>1</sup> M M **# # ;** 

In addition, the quotient sheaf D = M is the sheaf of divisors and is of principle interest to us.

Consider next a function f 2 M de ned on U. The order of f at a point p 2 U, denoted by  $_{p}(f)$ , is the order of the rst non-zero coe cient of the Laurent expansion of f about the point z = p. Furtherm ore, for each f;g 2 M ,  $_{p}(fg) = _{p}(f) + _{p}(g)$ . If g is also an element of #, then  $_{p}(g) = 0$  and  $_{p}(gf) = _{p}(f)$ . The divisor is the quotient m ap d:M ! D de ned as

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ d(f) \\ p \end{array} (f)p; \quad (32)$$

(3.1)

and there is an exact sequence of sheaves

$$O ! # - M - \frac{d}{!} D ! O;$$
 (3.3)

where is the inclusion m ap and O is the trivial sheaf. A sheaf is trivial if for each  $p \ge M$ , O is the trivial group.

C ohom obgy classes of a sheaf S are de ned in the usual way. To each open covering U of M is associated a simplicial complex N (U) called the nerve of U with each vertex of N (U) contained in one U. The vertices  $U_0; :::; U_q$  span a q-complex =  $(U_0; :::; U_q)$  if and only if  $U_0 \setminus \{ e \} ; j = U_0 \setminus \{ e \}$  is the support of . For any sheaf of abelian groups S, a q-cochain of U with coe cients in the sheaf S is a function f which associates every q-simplex 2 N (U) a section f()2 (jjS). The set of all q-chains is  $C^q(U;S)$ . Since f; g 2  $C^q(U;S)$  f + g 2  $C^q(U;S)$ ,  $C^q(U;S)$  form s an abelian group.

The coboundary operator  $:C^{q}(U;S) ! C^{q+1}(U;S)$  is de ned as follows. For f 2  $C^{q}(U;S)$ , and a q + 1-simplex  $q = (U_{0}; ::: U_{q+1}) 2 N (U)$ ,

$$(f) (U_0; :::; U_{q+1}) = \begin{cases} X^{+1} \\ & i \\ i = 0 \end{cases} (1)^{i} _{j j} f (U_0; :::; U_{i 1}; U_{i+1}; :::; U_{q+1});$$
 (3.4)

where  $j_{j}$  is the restriction of  $(U_0; :::; U_{i1}; U_{i+1}; :::; U_{q+1}) \ge (U_0 \setminus A_U \setminus U_{i+1} \setminus A_U)$  to  $j_{j}$  is a group hom on orphism where 2 = 0. The subset  $Z^q(U; S) = ff \ge 0$ .

 $C^{q}(U;S)$  j f = 0g is the group of q-cocycles while the image  $C^{q1}(U;S)$  is the group of q-cocycles while the image  $C^{q1}(U;S)$  is the group of q-coboundaries. Since  $C^{q}(U;S) = C^{q1}(U;S)$  and  $Z^{q}(U;S) = C^{q1}(U;S)$ , the quotient group for q > 0 is

$$H^{q}(U;S) = Z^{q}(U;S) = C^{q^{1}}(U;S);$$
(3.5)

while  $H^0 = Z^0(U;S)$ . This is the q<sup>th</sup> cohomology group of U with coe cients in the sheaf S. A lthough this de nition of  $H^q(U;S)$  depends explicitly on the choice of covering U of M, the following limit [8],

$$H^{q}(M;S) = \operatorname{dir:} \lim_{U} H^{q}(U;S);$$
(3.6)

gives a H  $^{q}$  (M ;S) that is independent of any speci c choice of U.

It is known that for any paracompact space M,  $H^{q}(M;S) = 0$ . Furthermore, if M is also non-compact then  $H^{q}(M;\#) = 0$  for all q > 0.

For a compact manifold, on the other hand,  $H^0(M;S) = (M;\#) = C$ , while  $H^q(M;\#) = 0$  for q = 2. The only non-trivial cohom ology class remaining is

$$H^{1}(M;\#) \qquad (M;C^{1}) \cdot \frac{(M;C^{1})}{(dz)}:$$
(3.7)

Furtherm ore, H  $^1$  (M ; M ) = 0, which leads to the fundam ental existence theorem of R iem ann surfaces: every line bundle on M has a non-trivial merom orphic cross-section, hence every line bundle is the bundle of a divisor. Instead of studying the structure of d, we need only consider the structure of com plex line bundles of M .

To study the structure of M itself, we consider the subgroup H<sup>1</sup>(M;#), the group of complex line bundles over M. For every  $2 \text{ H}^1(M;\#)$ , choose a basis U = fU g of

the open covering of M and a cocycle ( ) 2 Z<sup>1</sup> (M ;# ). is a holom orphic, now here vanishing function on U  $\setminus$  U. The cocycle condition insures that for p 2 U  $\setminus$  U  $\setminus$  U, (p) (p) = (p). For each U the group S = (U ;#) is associated with a group

homomorphism : S! S de ned on the inclusion U U by:

$$(f)(p) = f(p);$$
 (3.8)

for p 2 U U, f 2 S and (f) 2 S. On the triple overlap U U U and for f 2 S, (((f)))(p) = (f)(p) for all p 2 U. fU; S; g form s a pre-sheaf and the associated sheaf # () is the sheaf of germ s of holom orphic cross-sections of the line bundle. Similarly, taking S = (U; M) results in M (), the sheaf of germ s of not identically vanishing m erom orphic cross-sections of the line bundle. Clearly for = 1 we recover # (1) = # and M (1) = M.

A m ong all the possible bundles form ed from m em bers of H  $^1$  (M ;# ) there is the canonical bundle which contains inform ation on the structure of M itself. Because M is a R iem ann surface, given an open covering U of M and charts z de ned on U , there are local holom orphic transition functions f : z ! z where z (p) = f (z (p)) for all p 2 U \ U . These transition functions form the canonical bundle by de ning

$$(p) = f^{0} (z (p))^{-1};$$
(3.9)

For  $p \ge U \setminus U \setminus U$ , z(p) = f(f(z(p))), and using the chain rule,

$$(p) \quad f^{0} (z (p))^{1} = f^{0} (f (z (p)))^{1} f^{0} (z (p))^{1} = (p) (p) (3.10)$$

satis es the cocycle condition. Clearly f  $\;$  g are just the transitions functions of the tangent space of M  $\;$  .

The canonical bundle is used to study the geometry of M by considering the exact sequence of sheaves

$$O ! Z ! # \frac{e}{!} # ! O;$$
 (3.11)

with  $e(f) = \exp(2 i f)$  for f 2 #. Corresponding to this sequence there is the exact cohomology sequence

$$O ! H^{1}(M;\#)=H^{1}(M;Z) ! H^{1}(M;\#) ! H^{2}(M;Z) ! O;$$
(3.12)

c:H<sup>1</sup>(M;#)! H<sup>2</sup>(M;Z) is the characteristic hom on orphism and for each line bundle 2 H<sup>1</sup>(M;#) the image c() is the chern class of the line bundle . A speci c representation of c() can be formed by taking considering the C<sup>1</sup> function r de ned on U such that for p 2 U  $\langle U, r (p) = r (p) j = j^2$ . Then

$$c() = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{M}^{Z} \frac{\theta}{\theta z} \frac{\theta}{\theta z} \log r \, dz dz; \qquad (3.13)$$

U sing this, one  $\mbox{ nds that for any function f 2 (M ; M ), the chem class of that bundle will be$ 

c() = 
$$\sum_{p \ge M}^{X} (f);$$
 where  $d(f) = \sum_{p \ge M}^{X} (f)p;$  (3.14)

It is important to note that the chern class of the bundle will have the same value for every function f in (M ; M ()).

As we shall see in the next section, the chem class of the bundle is identi ed with the net charge of the vortices. Because we are primarily interested in the super uid phase transition, we shall restrict our attention to bundles whose chem class vanishes. Referring back to the exact cohom ology sequence, we not that the subset of H  $^1$  (M ;# ) with vanishing chem class is

$$f 2 H^{1}(M;\#)jc() = 0g \quad \frac{H^{1}(M;\#)}{H^{1}(M;Z)} \quad \frac{H^{1}(M;C)}{H^{1}(M;Z) + M;\#^{1;0}}$$
(3.15)

where  $\#^{1;0}$  is the sheaf of germ s of holom orphic 1-form s. The line bundles whose chem class vanishes are precisely those whose representative cocycles f g consists only of constant functions.

Finally, we note that from the Riem ann {Roch theorem, dim H<sup>1</sup>(M;C) = 2g where g is the genus of the surface M. A g = 0 surface is a sphere while a g = 1 surface is the complex torus. It is known that H<sup>1</sup>(M;Z) form s a lattice subgroup of H<sup>1</sup>(M;C). This means that any 2g generators of H<sup>1</sup>(M;Z) form s a basis for H<sup>1</sup>(M;C). The quotient group H<sup>1</sup>(M;C)=H<sup>1</sup>(M;Z) = (R=Z)<sup>2g</sup>, which is the g-torus. In fact, (R=Z)<sup>2g</sup> form s an abelian complex Lie group. The subgroup of H<sup>1</sup>(M;#) with vanishing chem class is isom orphic to an abelian complex Lie group.

## 4 Application of sheaf theory

We have seen in the previous sections that sheaf theory provides a natural fram ework for describing and analyzing the behavior of vortices in two dimensional super uids. In this section we will apply the results of sheaf theory and structure of the phenom enological theories of the super uid phase transition in two dimensions to construct an order parameter for the transition. To do so we begin by identifying the con guration space of the Bose liquid with the R iem ann surface M. Because all the cohom ology groups of a paracom pact manifold vanishes, for vortices to be present M m ust be a compact manifold. Then at each time t there is a speci c con guration of vortices and a corresponding constant of the motion M identied with the divisor d of an equivalence class of functions f 2 M . The net charge of the system is identied with the chem class of the line bundle , which is in turn interpreted as the internal symmetry space for the system. Since must be constants, the symmetry group must be global and, as we shall see later, will be of the form U (1)X XU (1).

This mathematical structure is rich enough to encompass any conguration of vortices. Every member of M has the same chem class; M thus contains functions representing not only all possible positions of vortices, but also all possible number of vortices. As yet, is not specic enough to single out any one specic c conguration of vortices. It is treated instead as a parameter that will determ ine the existence, and, perhaps, genesis of vortices. As with all physical parameters should arise from the partition function

$$Z = \int_{(M \not C^{1}())}^{Z} d d^{y} exp \qquad F(; y) d^{2}x \qquad (4.1)$$

where the functional integration is over are sections  $(M; C^{-1}())$  of the sheaf  $C^{-1}()$  and F is the free energy functional of an elective eld 2  $(M; C^{-1}())$  for the system. The diculty in determining lies in the observation that the domain of the functional integration and, to a lesser extent, M itself, is determined by . To ind Z, we must ind . Unfortunately, to ind , we need Z. To decouple the system, we expand the free energy about its minimum

$$Z = \exp \begin{bmatrix} Z & Z \\ F_{m in} d^{2}x & D & D \end{bmatrix}^{Y}$$

$$\exp \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & M & \mathcal{L}^{2}F \\ & M & \mathcal{L}^{2}F \\ & M & \frac{1}{2}\frac{2^{2}F}{2} & (m in)^{2} + \frac{2^{2}F}{y} & j(m in)^{2} \\ & & m in \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{2^{2}F}{y^{2}} & (y & y \\ & & m in \end{bmatrix}^{2} + d^{2}x : \qquad (4.2)$$

where min is determined by the solution of

$$\frac{F}{m} = 0; (4.3)$$

and is the order param eter.

In principle, the exact form of F can be determined by the microscopic, or \bare" elds  $_{bare}$  and the corresponding microscopic H am iltonian. W hen the strong interaction between helium atoms is taken into account,  $_{bare}$  is replaced by the eld edd that takes into account interactions. Consequently, the detailed form of F can be very complicated. Nevertheless, we can expand F in a power series in j j<sup>2</sup>,

F(; 
$$^{y}$$
) =  $\frac{1}{2m}$  jr  $j^{2}$  + a(T) j  $j^{2}$  + b(T) j  $j^{4}$  + c(T) j  $j^{6}$  + (4.4)

where the parameters a(T), b(T), c(T), ::: depend on the tem perature T as well as the detail form of the interaction H am iltonian between the helium atom s. Let us rst cut o the expansion at the second order term; we will consider the a ects of higher order term s later. We then have a Landau (G inzberg type of free energy, and eq. (4.3) becomes

$$0 = \frac{1}{2m}r^{2} _{min} + a(T) _{min} + 2b(T)j_{min}j^{2} _{min}; \qquad (4.5)$$

Requiring that min be harm onic

$$0 = \min_{\min} a(T) + 2b(T) j_{\min} j^{2}; \qquad (4.6)$$

The general solution of eq. (4.5) is

$$m_{in} = 0$$
 or  $m_{in} = \frac{a(T)}{2b(T)} = \frac{a(T)}{m_{in}}$  (4.7)

W hile the eld does not have to be a global function, the free energy functional must be. Consequently, j = 1. From the Maximum Modulus Theorem, the only such

holom orphic function is the constant function. We will therefore de ne as the abelian group generated by  $e^{i_{min}}$ . The exact form of , as well as the phase of  $m_{in}$ , was chosen so that j = 1.

Vortices exist because of non-trivial di erences in the phase of the macroscopic eld in various regions of the Bose liquid. To each con guration of vortices there is an open covering of M and a set f g subordinate to the intersection  $U \setminus U$ . A non-trivial choice, for example

$$= e^{i}$$
 (4.8)

would result in the presence of a vortex with strength 3 within this intersection. Going from U to U changes the phase of by . The exact value of m in does not matter as long as it is non-zero.

In the phenom enological theory given in [17] the super uid state is marked by the presence of vortices while the normal uid state does not have vortices. Consequently,  $= 1 \text{ when } T > T_c$  and when  $T < T_c$ ,  $\notin 0$ . From eq. (4.6), necessarily b(T) > 0 for  $T > T_c$ , corresponding to = 0, while for  $T < T_c$ , a(T)=b(T) < 0. This is the standard result expected from a Landau (G inzberg theory, but now it is necessitated by the presence and role that vortices play in the super uid transition.

We could have chosen to include a higher order term in the expansion of F, say to third order. Then it is possible that other linearly independent solutions could exist for the free energy m inim a, and each additional solution would enlarge the gauge group, although it will still remain abelian. For example, the gauge group for the quadratic free energy is a global U (1), for the third order polynom ial one could have is U (1)XU (1) and so on. However, each time the gauge group is enlarged, the genus of M necessarily increases. Going back to eq. (2.4), we see that the ham iltonian admits a ne transform ations of the coordinates  $fz_kg$ . The transition functions of the manifold M must thus be a ne. A compact R ism ann surface M admits a ne transform ations if and only if the chem class of the canonical bundle vanishes. As c() = 2(g - 1), we nd that M must be a 1-torus, From this argument then the only physically relevant free energy for super uidity in two dimensions can have at most one non-trivial root. Any additional term s in F of an order higher that j j<sup>4</sup> will not introduce any additional physically relevant solutions at any temperature.

Finally, for g = 0, dim H<sup>1</sup> (M; C) = 0 and there are no lines bundles whose chem class vanishes. Since the total charge of the system must vanish, we conclude that vortex precipitation cannot occur on the surface of a sphere. Vortex precipitation can, however, occur on the surface of a rectangular plane which can be compactified by identifying opposite sides. In doing so, we have m apped the plane into a torus, which is exactly the structure required by the Riem ann {R och theorem .

### 5 Summary

In sum m ary, we have shown that the presence of vortices in the super uid state necessitates the existence of an order parameter for the two dimensional vortex system. Since the net charge of the system is constrained to be zero, these vortices cannot precipitate on the surface of a sphere or any other surface hom eom orphic to it. This result has been seen experim entally [10] and analysed in detail theoretically [19]. Furtherm ore, the sym m etries of the ham iltonian require that the free energy be a functional of at m ost one m acroscopic edd. In addition, for the phase transition to take place, there can be at m ost one nontrivial solution of the equations m inim izing F at any tem perature.

#### References

- C reswick R J, and M orrison H L, On the Dynamics of Quantum Vortices, Phys. Lett. A 76 (1980), 267{268.
- [2] Fetter A and W alecka JD, Quantum Theory of Many-Particle System s, M cG raw -H ill Book C om pany, New York, 1971.
- [3] Friedrichs K O, Special Topics in Fluid Dynamics, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1966.
- [4] Haag R, The M athem atical Structure of the Bardeen {Cooper{Schrie er M odel, Il N uovo C im ento 25 (1962), 287{299.
- [5] Hohenberg P C, Existence of Long-Range Order in One and TwoD in ensions, Phys. Rev. 158 (1967), 383 (386.
- [6] Garrison J C, W ong J and M orrison H L, Absence of Long-Range Order in Thin Films, J.M ath. Phys. 13 (1972), 1735 (1742.
- [7] Gri ths P and Harris J, Principles of A lgebraic G eom etry, John W iley and Sons, New York, 1978.
- [8] Gunning R C, Lectures on Riem ann Surfaces, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1966.
- [9] Kosterlitz J M and Thouless D J, Ordering, M etastability, and Phase Transitions in Two D in ensional System s, J. Phys. C 6 (1973), 1181 (1203.
- [10] Kotsubo V and W illiam s G A, Kosterlitz-Thouless Super uid Transition for Helium in Packed Powders, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984), 691 (694.
- [11] Merm in N D and Wagner H, Absence of Ferrom agnetism or Antiferrom agnetism in One-or Two-D in ensional Isotropic Heisenberg M odels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17 (1966), 1133{1136.
- [12] Merm in ND, Crystalline Order in Two Dimensions, Phys. Rev. 176 (1968), 250 (254.
- [13] M innhagen P, The Two-D imensional Coulomb Gas, Vortex Unbinding, and Super uid-Superconducting Films, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59 (1987), 1001 (1066.
- [14] M orrison H L A Ibertin U K, and Lindesay JV, Quantum Theory of the 2-D in ensional Bose Liquid, Phys. Lett. 108A (1985), 397 (400.
- [15] Nelson D R and Kosterlitz J M, Universal Jump in the Super uid Density of two-D in ensional Super uids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39 (1977), 1201 (1205.
- [16] Nelson D R, in Phase Transition and Critical Phenomena, Vol. 7, Editors: Domb C and Lebow itz J, A cadem ic Press, New York, 1983, 1{100.
- [17] Speliotopoulos A D and Morrison H L, On the theory of super uidity in two dimensions, J. Phys. A 24 (1991), 5029{5042.

- [18] Speliotopoulos A D and M orrison H L, O bærvations on the D ynam ics of the Two D in ensional Vortex G as on C om pact R iem ann Surfaces, J. Phys. A 26 (1993), 3527{3543.
- [19] Speliotopoulos A D and Morrison H L, On the Kosterlitz{Thouless Phase Transition on C om pact R iem ann Surfaces, M od. Phys. Lett. B 7 (1993), 171{182.