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# CONFORMALFRACTAL GEOMETRY <br> \& BOUNDARY QUANTUM GRAVITY 

Bertrand Duplantier


#### Abstract

This article gives a com prehensive description of the fractal geom etry of conform ally-invariant (C I) scaling curves, in the plane or half-plane. It focuses on deriving critical exponents associated $w$ ith interacting random paths, by exploiting an underlying quantum gravity ( $Q$ G ) structure, which uses KPZ m aps relating exponents in the plane to those on a random lattice, i.e., in a uctuating $m$ etric. This is accom plished $w$ ith in the fram ew ork of conform al eld theory (CFT), w ith applications to well-recognized criticalm odels, like $O(\mathbb{N})$ and Potts models, and to the Stochastic Low ner Evolution (SLE).Two fundam ental ingredients of the Q G construction are relating bulk and D irichlet boundary exponents, and establishing additivity rules for $Q \mathrm{G}$ boundary conform al dim ensions associated $w$ ith $m$ utually-avoiding random sets. From these we derive the non-intersection exponents for random walks (RW 's) or B row nian paths, self-avoiding walks (SAW 's), or arbitrary m ixtures thereof. $T$ he $m$ ultifractal (MF) function $f(;)$ of the harm onic $m$ easure (i.e., electrostatic potential, or di usion eld) near any conform ally invariant fractal boundary, is given as a function of the central charge c of the associated CFT. A B rownian path, a SAW in the scaling lim it, or a critical percolation cluster have identical spectra corresponding to the sam e central charge $c=0, w$ ith a $H$ ausdor dimension $D=\sup f(; c=0)=4=3$, which nicely vindicates $M$ andelbrot's con jecture for the B rownian frontier dim ension. The H ausdor dim ensions $D_{H}$ of a non-sim ple scaling curve or cluster hull, and $D_{E p}$ of its extemal perim eter or frontier, are show $n$ to obey the \superuniversal" duality equation ( $\left.D_{H} \quad 1\right)\left(D_{E P} \quad 1\right)=\frac{1}{4}$, valid for any value of the central charge c. H igher $m$ ultifractal functions, like the double spectrum $f_{2}\left(;{ }^{0}\right.$; c) of the double-sided harm on ic m easure, are also considered. The universalm ixed M F spectrum $f(; ; c)$ describing the localwinding rate and singularity exponent of the harm on ic $m$ easure near any C I scaling curve is given. T he fundam entalduality which exists betw een sim ple and non-sim ple random paths is established via an algebraic sym m etry of the K P Z quantum gravity map. An extended dualKPZ relation is then introduced for the SLE, which com m utes w ith the $!0^{0}=16=$ duality for SLE. This allow s us to calculate the SLE exponents from sim ple QG rules. These rules are established from the general structure of correlation functions of arbitrary interacting random sets on a random lattice, as derived from random $m$ atrix theory.
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## 1. Introduction

1.1. A B rief C on form al H istory .
1.1.1. B rownian $P$ aths, $C$ riticalP henom ena, and $Q$ uantum $F$ ield $T$ heory. B row nian motion is the archetype of a random process, hence its great im portance in probability theory. T he B row nian path is also the archetype of a scale invariant set, and in tw o dim ensions is a conform ally-invariant one, as show $n$ by $P$. Levy [1] 1$]$. It is therefore perhaps the $m$ ost natural random fractal ${ }_{2}$. On the other hand, B row nian paths are intim ately linked with quantum eld theory (QFT). Intersections of $B$ rownian paths indeed provide the random geom etricalm echanism underlying Q FT [ ${ }_{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$ ]. In a Feynm an diagram, any propagator line can be represented by a $B$ rownian path, and the vertices are intersection points of the $B$ rownian paths. $T$ his equivalence is w idely used in polym er theory $\left[\underline{4}^{[1}, 1,151\right]$, $]$ and in rigorous studies of second-order phase transitions and eld theories [6] [1]. Fam ilies of universal critical exponents are in particular associated w ith non-intersection probabilities of collections of random walks (RW's) or B row nian paths, and these play an im portant role both in probability theory and quantum eld theory ${ }_{17}^{\prime \prime}$,

A perhaps less known fact is the existence of highly non-trivial geom etrical, actually fractal (or m ultifractal), properties of B row nian paths or their subsets [2] [2]. $T$ hese types of geom etrical fractal properties generalize to all universality classes of, e.g., random walks (RW 's), loop-erased random walks (LERW 's), self-avoiding walks (SAW 's) or polym ers, Ising, percolation and Potts models, $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{N})$ m odels, which are related in an essential way to standard critical phenom ena and eld theory. The random fractal geom etry is particularly rich in two dim ensions.
1.12. Conform al Invariance and C oulomb G as. In two dim ensions (2D ), the
 \C oulom b gas techniques" have brought a w ealth of exact results (see, e.g., [1] $\underline{1}_{1}^{1}$信 $\overline{1}$ support to the con jecture that statistical system s at their critical point, in their scaling (continuum) lim 立, produce conform ally-invariant (C I) fractal structures, exam ples of which are the continuum scaling lim its of RW 's, LERW 's, SAW 's, critical Ising or P otts clusters. A prom inent role was played by C ardy's equation for the crossing probabilities in 2D percolation [2] 11]. To understand conform al invariance in a rigorous w ay presented a $m$ athem atical challenge (see, e.g., $\overline{2} \underline{L}_{2}^{1}, \overline{2} \underline{2}_{1}^{1,}$ "

In the particular case of planar $B$ row nian paths, $B$ eno $t M$ andelbrot ${ }^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \mathrm{m}$ ade the follow ing fam ous conjecture in 1982: in two dim ensions, the external frontier of a planar B rownian path has a $H$ ausdor dim ension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B} \text { row } \mathrm{n}: \mathrm{fr}:}=\frac{4}{3} ; \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

identical to that of a planar self-avoiding walk [1] [1]. This identity has played an im portant role in probability theory and theoretical physics in recent years, and w illbe a central them e in this article. W e shall understand this identity in the light of \quantum gravity", to which we tum now.
1.1.3. Q uantum G ravity and the K P Z Relation. A notherbreaktrough, not w idely notioed at the tim $e$, was the introduction of $\backslash 2 \mathrm{D}$ quantum gravity" $(Q G)$ in the statisticalm echanics of 2D critical system s. V.A.K azakov gave the solution of the
 nik, P olyakov, and Zam olodchikov of the $\backslash \mathrm{KPZ"m}$ ap betw een critical exponents in the standard plane and in a random 2D m etric exponents found in $\left[\underline{2}_{1}^{-}\right]$to those of O nsager (see also $\left.\left[\underline{2} \overline{T_{1}^{1}}\right]\right)$. The rst other explicit solutions and checks ofK P Z w ere obtained for SAW 's $\mathbf{2 d}_{1}^{1}$ ] and for the O ) m odel [2-9.1.
1.1.4. M ultifractality. T he concepts of generalized dim ensions and associated m ultifractal (M F ) m easures w ere developed in paralleltw o decades ago [3]-1. It w as later realized that $m$ ultifractals and eld theory have deep connections, since the algebras of their respective correlation functions revealstriking sim ilarities [

A particular exam ple is given by classicalpotential theory, i.e., that of the electrostatic or di usion eld near critical fractalboundaries, or near di usion lim ited aggregates (D LA). The self-sim ilarity of the fractalboundary is indeed re ected in a multifractal behavior of the $m$ om ents of the potential. In D LA, the potential, also called harm on ic $m$ easure, actually determ ines the grow th process $[3$ For equilibrium statistical fractals, a rst analytical exam ple ofm ultifractality was studied in Ref. [ $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{-}$] $]$, where the fractalboundary was chosen to be a simple RW, or a SAW, both accessible to renorm alization group $m$ ethods near four dim ensions. In tw o dim ensions, the existence of a m ultifractal spectrum for the B row nian path frontier $w$ as established rigorously [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} 9{ }_{1}^{-1}\right]$.

In 2D, in analogy to the sim plicity of the classicalm ethod of conform altransform $s$ to solve electrostatics of Euclidean dom ains, a universal solution could be expected for the distribution of potential near any C I fractal in the plane. It was clear that these $m$ ultifractal spectra should be linked w th the conform al invariance
classi cation, but outside the realm of the usual rationalexponents. $T$ hat presented a second challenge to the theory.
1.2. E laborating $C$ on form al $G$ eom etrical Structures.
12.1. B rownian Intersection Exponents. It was already envisioned in the $m$ ideighties that the critical properties of planar B row nian paths, whose conform al invariance w as well established [1, [1], could be the opening gate to rigorous studies of tw o-dim ensional critical phenom ena ${ }_{1}^{2}$. M ichael A izenm an, in a sem inar in the P robability Laboratory of U niversity of Paris V I in 1984, prom ised a good bottle ofB ordeaux $w$ ine for the resolution of the 2 exponent goveming in tw o dim ensions the non-intersection probability up to tim et, $\mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{t}{ }^{2}$, of tw o B row nian paths ${ }_{2}{ }^{2}$ '. T The precise values of the fam ily L goveming the sim ilar non-intersection properties of $L$ B rownian paths were later con jectured from conform al invariance and
 tral charge $\mathrm{c}=0$. Interestingly enough, how ever, their analytic derivation resisted attem pts by standard $\backslash \mathrm{C}$ oulom b-gas" techniques.
122. Spanning $T$ rees and LERW. The related random process, the \looperased random walk", introduced in [43 erased sequentially, could also be expected to be accessible to a rigorous approach. Indeed, it can be seen as the backbone of a spanning tree, and the C oulomb gas
 term inantalor $P$ fa an techniques by R. $K$ enyon 4 invariance of crossing probabilities [4] [1] They correspond to a CFT w ith central charge $\mathrm{c}=2$.
1.2.3. C onform al Invariance and B rownian C ascade $\mathrm{Relations}$. was follow ed by W . W emer [4] $B$ row nian path intersections, and on their general conform al invariance properties. $T$ hey derived in particular im portant \cascade relations" betw een B row nian intersection exponents of packets of $B$ row nian paths $[\overline{4} \overline{9}]$, but still w ithout a derivation of the con jectured values of the latter.

### 1.3. Q uantum G ravity.

1.3.1. Q G and Brownian P aths, SAW 's and Percolation. In the B row nian cascade structure the author recognized an underlying quantum gravity structure. $T$ his led to an analyticalderivation of the (non-) intersection exponents for B row $n-$ ian paths $\left[\frac{1501}{1}\right]$. The sam e Q G structure, properly understood, also gave access to exponents of m ixtures of RW 's and SAW 's, to the harm onic m easure m ultifractal spectra of the latter tw o [ $\left[\underline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{-1}\right]$, of a percolation chuster $\left[\underline{5} \underline{2}_{1}^{-1}\right]$, and to the rederivation
 also follow s from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B} \text { row } \mathrm{n}: \text { fr: }}=2 \quad 2_{\frac{3}{2}}=\frac{4}{3}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was also observed there that the whole class of B rownian paths, self-avoiding walks, and percolation clusters, possesses the sam e ham onic M F spectrum in two

[^0]dim ensions, corresponding to a unique central charge $c=0$. Higher M $F$ spectra


1.32. GeneralC I Curves and M ultifractality. The general solution for the potential distribution near any conform al fractal in 2D was nally obtained from the sam equantum gravity structure $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1571]}\end{array}\right]$. The exact $m$ ultifractalspectra describing the singularities of the ham onic $m$ easure along the fractalboundary depend only on the so-called central charge $c$, the param eter which labels the universality class of the underlying CFT.
1.3.3. D uality. A corollary is the existence of subtle geom etricalduality structure in boundaries of random paths or chusters [5] $\left.\bar{T}_{1}\right]$. For instance, in the Potts m odel, the extemal perim eter (EP) of a Fortuin K asteleyn cluster, which bears the electrostatic charge and is a sim ple (i.e., double point free) curve, di ers from the full cluster's hull, which bounces onto itself in the scaling lim it. TheEP's H ausdor dim ension $D_{E P}$, and the hull's $H$ ausdor dim ension $D_{H}$ obey a duality relation:
(1.3)

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{EP}}\right. & 1)\left(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{H}}\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

1) $=\frac{1}{4}$;

Where $D_{E P} \quad D_{H} . T$ his generalizes the case of percolation hulls $[5$ [ $\bar{D}_{\mathrm{EP}}^{-1}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{H}}=3=2$, gives the $m$ axim um dim ension of a sim ple conform ally-invariant random curve in the plane.
1.4. Stochastic Low ner E volution.
1.4.1. SLE and B rownian P aths. M eanw hile, $O$. Schram $m$, trying to reproduce by a continuum stochastic process both the conform alinvariance and $M$ arkov properties ofthe scaling lim it of loop-erased random walks, invented in 1999 the so-called \Stochastic Low ner E volution" (SLE ) [5] $\bar{g}_{1}$ ], a process param etrized by an auxiliary one-dim ensional B row nian $m$ otion of speed. It becam e quickly recognized as a breakthrough since it provided a pow erfillanalytical tool to describe conform allyinvariant scaling curves for various values of . It in particular describes LERW for $=2$, and hulls of critical percolation clusters for $=6$. M ore generally, it was clear that it described the continuum lim it of hulls of critical clusters, and that the param eter is actually in one-to-one correspondance to the usualC oulom b gas coupling constant $g, g=4=$ (see, e.g., $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[6]} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ ).

Law ler, Schram $m$ and $W$ emer were then able to rigorously derive the $B$ row nian intersection exponents [ $\left[\underline{6} 2_{1}^{1}\right]$, as well as M andelbrot's con jecture $\left[\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 6 \\ 3\end{array}\right]$ by relating them to the properties of SLE $=6$. S. Sm imov related the continuum lim it of site percolation on the triangular lattioe to the $S L E=6$ process $\left[\frac{-1}{6} 4\right]$, and derived C ardy's equation $[21]$ from it. O ther well-known percolation scaling behaviors follow from this $\left[65^{1}, 166^{1}\right]$. T he scaling lim it of the LERW has also been rigorously
 to correspond to $=8=3$ [ 6
1.42. ${ }^{0}=16$ Duality for the SLE . The SLE trace essentially describes boundaries of conform ally-invariant random clusters. For 4 , it is a simple path, while for $>4$ it bounces onto itself. O ne can establish a dictionnary betw een the results obtained by quantum gravity and Coulom.b gas techniques for Potts and $O(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{m}$ odels $\left[\frac{15}{-1}\right]$, and those conceming the SLE $\left[60_{1}^{-1}\right]$ (see below). The duality equation $[1]$

Hausdor dim ensions:

where

$$
D_{E P}()=D_{H}\left({ }^{0}=16=\right) ; \quad 0 \quad 4
$$

gives the dim ension of the frontier of a non-sim ple SLE $\quad 4$ trace as the $H$ ausdor dim ension of the sim ple SLE $_{16=}$ trace. A ctually, this extends to the whole m ultifractalspectrum of the harm on ic m easure near the SLE , which is identical to that
 tion that the frontier of the non-simple SLE ${ }_{4}$ path is locally a simple SLE ${ }_{16}=$


The SLE geom etrical properties per se are an active sub ject of investigations " $[-\overline{6} 9-1]$. The value of the $H$ ausdor dim ension of the SLE trace, $D_{\text {н }}()=1+=8$, has been obtained rigorously by V . Be_ ara
 $1+(=8) \#(4)+(2=) \#(4)$ for the dim ension of the SLE frontier $[170]$,
1.4.3. Recent $D$ evelopm ents. The m ixed $m$ ultifractal spectrum [1] $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ describing the local rotations and singularities of the harm onic $m$ easure near the SLE boundary hasbeen obtained [72
 ship ofSLE to standard conform al eld theory hasbeen pointed out and developed
 originally calculated via the Liouville eld theory 176 quantum gravity approach, have been recovered from discrete models on a random lattice $\left.{ }^{7810}\right]$. A description of collections of SLE 's in term s of y yson's circular ensem bles hàs been proposed $[\bar{\eta} \overline{9}]$. The two-param eter fam ily SLE ( ; ) has been studied further [ $[801]$, in particular in relation to the dually property $m$ entioned above $\left[\underline{8} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

1 .5. Synopsis. ${ }_{-1}^{{ }_{1}^{3} 1} T$ he aim of the present article is to give a com prehensive description of conform ally-invariant fractal geom etry, and of its underlying quantum gravity structure. In particular, we show how the repeated use of KPZ m aps betw een the critical exponents in the com plex plane $C$ and those in quantum gravity allow s the determ ination of a very large class of critical exponents arising in planar critical statistical system $s$, including the multifractal ones, and their reducing to sim ple irreducible elem ents. Two key elem ents are relating the bulk exponents in quantum gravity to the $D$ irichlet boundary ones, and establishing sim ple additivity rules for the latter. W ithin this unifying perspective, we coverm any well-recognized geom etricalm odels, like RW 's orSAW 's and their intersection properties, P otts and O (N) m odels, and the multifractal properties thereof.

W e also adapt the quantum gravity form alism to the SLE process, revealing there a hidden algebraic duality in the KPZ map itself, which in tum translates into the geom etrical ! ${ }^{0}=16=$ duality betw een simple and non-sim ple SLE

[^1]traces. This KPZ algebraic duality also explains the duality which exists w ithin the class of $P$ otts and $O(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{m}$ odels betw een hulls and extemal frontiers.

In section 'i'we rst establish the values of the intersection exponents of random walks or $B$ row nian paths from quantum gravity. T he com binatorialdetails are given in appendix ${ }^{[A]}$. In section sets $m$ ixing sim ple random $w a l k s$ or $B$ row nian paths and self-avoiding walks, $w$ th arbitrary interactions thereof. T he m ultifractal spectrum of the harm onic m easure near B row nian paths or self-avoiding ones is studied in section ' $\overline{4} \mathbf{L}$, including the case of the double-sided potential. Section ${ }_{1} \overline{1} 1$ y ields the related $m$ ultifractal spectrum for percolation clusters. T his com pletes the description of the universality class of central charge $\mathrm{c}=0$.

W e address in section ${ }_{1}^{6}$, the general solution for the $m$ ultifractal potential distribution near any conform al fractalin 2D, which allow s determ ination of the H ausdor dim ension of the frontier. Them ultifractalspectra depend only on the central charge c , which labels the universality class of the underlying C FT .

A nother feature is the consideration in section $\overline{17}$, of higherm ultifractality, which occurs in a natural way in the joint distribution of potential on both sides of a random C I scaling path, orm ore generally, in the distribution of potentialbetw een the branches of a star $m$ ade of an arbitrary num ber of CI paths. T he associated universalm ultifractal spectrum willdepend on two variables, or m ore generally, on $m$ variables in the case of an $m$-arm star.

Section $\overline{1} \overline{1}$ in describes the $m$ ore subtle $m$ ixed $m$ ultifractal spectrum associated w ith the local rotations and singularities along a conform ally-invariant curve, as seen by the harm onic $m$ easure $\left.\underline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}, \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime} \overline{2}_{1}^{1}\right]$. H ere quantum gravity and C oulomb gas techniques $m$ ust be fused.

Section ' ${ }^{9} \overline{1}$ focuses on the $O(\mathbb{N})$ and Potts models, on the SLE , and on the correspondence between them. This is exempli ed for the geom etric duality existing betw een their frontiers and full boundaries or hulls. T he various $H$ ausdor dim ensions of $(\mathbb{N})$ lines, P otts cluster boundaries, and SLE 's traces are given.

C onform ally invariant paths have quite di erent critical properties and obey di erent quantum gravity rules, depending on whether they are simple paths or not. T he next sections are devoted to the elucidation of this di erence, and its treatm ent within a uni ed fram ew ork.

A fundam ental algebraic duality which exists in the KPZ m ap is studied in section ${ }_{1}^{1} 10^{\prime}, 1$, and applied to the construction rules for critical exponents associated w th non-simple paths versus simple ones. These dual rules are established in appendices ' $B$ ', and ' $C$ ' from considerations of quantum gravity.

In section '11 1 , we construct an extended KPZ form alism for the SLE process, which is valid for all values of the param eter. It corresponds to the usualKPZ form alism for 4 (sim ple paths), and to the algebraic dual one for $>4$ (nonsim ple paths). The com position rules for calculating critical exponents involving several random paths in the SLE process are given, as well as som e short-distance expansion results. The $m$ ulti-line exponents for the SLE, and the equivalent ones for $O(\mathbb{N})$ and Potts $m$ odels are listed.

F inally, in section '12 ${ }^{1}$ ' the extended SLE quantum gravity form alism is applied to the calculation of allharm onicm easure exponents nearm ultiple SLE traces, near a boundary or in open space.


Figure 1. Three non-intersecting planar random walks crossing an annulus from $r$ to $R$.

A ppendix "A'] details the calculation of $B$ row nian non-intersection exponents in quantum gravity. A ppendix $\bar{B} \bar{B}$ ' describes the calculation of $O(\mathbb{N})$ m odel exponents from quantum gravity, and establishes the relation between boundary and bulk exponents and the additivity rules for D irichlet boundary ones. These Q G relations are actually su cient to determ ine all exponents w thout further calculations. Finally, appendix ${ }^{C l}$ í establishes the general relation betw een boundary and bulk exponents in quantum graviy, as well as the boundary additivity rules. They follow from a fairly universalstructure of correlation functions in quantum gravity.

The quantum gravity techniques used here are perhaps not widely know $n$ in the statisticalm echanics com $m$ unity at-large, since they originally belonged to string or random $m$ atrix theory. These techniques, $m$ oreover, are not yet $w$ ithin the realm of rigorous $m$ athem atics. H ow ever, the correspondence extensively used here, which exists betw een scaling law sin the plane, and on a random $R$ iem ann surface appears to be fiundam ental, and, in $m y$ opinion, illum inates $m$ any of the geom etricalproperties of conform ally-invariant random curves in the plane.

## 2. Intersections of $R$ andom $W$ alks

2.1. $N$ on-Intersection $P$ robabilities.
2.1.1. P lanar Case. Let us rst de ne the so-called (non-)intersection exponents for random walks or B row nian $m$ otions. $W$ hile sim pler than the $m$ ultifractal exponents considered above, in fact they generate the latter. C onsider a num ber L of independent random walks B ${ }^{(1)} ; 1=1 ; \quad ; L{ }^{2}$ in (8r B rownian paths in $\left.R^{2}=C\right)$; starting at xed neighboring points, and the probability
(2.1)

$$
P_{L}(t)=P^{n}\left[\left[_{1 ; 1^{0}=1}^{L}\left(B^{(1)}[0 ; t] \backslash B^{\left(1^{0}\right)}[0 ; t]\right)=; \quad ;\right.\right.
$$

 one expects this probability to decay as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L} \text { (t) } \quad t^{\mathrm{L}} \text {; } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Two mutually-avoiding random walks crossing a halfannulus from $r$ to $R$ in the half-plane $H$.
where L is a universal exponent depending only on L. Sim ilarly, the probability that the B row nian paths altogether traverse the annulus $D(r ; R)$ in $C$ from the inner boundary circle of radius $r$ to the outer one at distance $R$ (F ig.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathbb{R}) \quad(r=R)^{2} \text { i } \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

These exponents can be generalized to d dim ensions. Above the upper critical dim ension $d=4$, RW 's alm ost surely do not intersect and $L(d \quad 4)=0$. The existence of exponents $L$ in $d=2 ; 3$ and their universality have been proven $[\overline{4} 2 \overline{2}]$, and they can be calculated near $d=4$ by renorm alization theory $\left[\underline{1} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.
2.12. B oundary C ase. A generalization was introduced $\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ for $L$ walks constrained to stay in the half-plane $H$ w ith D irichlet boundary conditions on $@ H$, and starting at neighboring points near the boundary. T he non-intersection probability $P_{\mathrm{L}}^{\sim}(t)$ of their paths is govemed by a boundary criticalexponent $\tilde{I}^{\sim}$ such that
(2.4)
$P_{L}^{r}$ ( $t$ ) $t^{{ }^{2}}$ :

O ne can also consider the probability that the B row nian paths altogether traverse the half-annulus D $(r ; R)$ in $H$, centered on the boundary line $@ H$, from the inner boundary circle of radius $r$ to the outer one at distance R (Fig.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}(\mathbb{R}) \quad(r=R)^{2_{L}}: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.1.3. C on form al Invariance and $W$ eights. It was rst con jectured from conform alinvariance argum ents and num ericalsim ulations that in tw o dim ensions [ $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{h}_{0 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{c}=0)}=\frac{1}{24} 4 \mathrm{~L}^{2} \quad 1 ; \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the half-plane

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \widetilde{L}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{h}_{1 ; 2 \mathrm{~L}+2}^{(\mathrm{c}=0)}=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~L}(1+2 \mathrm{~L}) ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $h_{p ; q}^{(c)}$ denotes the $K$ ac conform alw eight

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p ; q}^{(c)}=\frac{[(m+1) p \mathrm{mq}]^{2} 1}{4 m(m+1)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

of a m inim alconform al eld theory of centralcharge $c=1 \quad 6=[m(m+1)] ; \mathrm{m} 2 \mathrm{~N}$ [12-1]. For B row nian $m$ otions $c=0$; and $m=2$ :
2.1.4. D isconnection Exponent. A discussion of the intersection exponents of random walks a priori requires a num ber $L 2$ of them. $N$ onetheless, for $L=1$, the exponent has a m eaning: the non-trivial value ${ }_{1}=1=8$ actually gives the disconnection exponent goveming the probability that the origin of a single B row nian path rem ains accessible from in nity w thout the path being crossed, hence stays connected to in nity. On a D irichlet boundary, $\tilde{1}_{1}$ retains its standard value $\tilde{1}_{1}=1$, which can be derived directly, e.g., from the $G$ reen function form alism. It corresponds to a path extrem ity located on the boundary, which alw ays stays acoessible due to $D$ irichlet boundary conditions.

### 2.2. Q uantum G ravity.

2.2.1. Introduction. To derive the intersection exponents above, the idea $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[50} \\ \hline 101\end{array}\right]$ is to m ap the original random walk problem in the plane onto a random lattice w ith planar geom etry, or, in other words, in presence of two-dim ensional quantum gravity [ $\left.{ }^{2} \mathbf{2}^{-1}\right]$. The key point is that the random walk intersection exponents on the random lattice are related to those in the plane. Furthem ore, the RW intersection problem can be solved in quantum gravity. Thus, the exponents i (eq. $\left.\left(2, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\tilde{L}^{2}\left(\right.$ eq. $\left.\left.(2,-1)^{-1}\right)\right)$ in the standard com plex plane or half-plane are derived from this $m$ apping to a random lattice or $R$ iem ann surface $w$ ith uctuating $m$ etric.
$R$ andom surfaces, in relation to string theory $\left[\frac{1}{-2} 2_{1}^{-1}\right]$, have been the sub ject and source of im portant developm ents in statisticalm echanics in two dim ensions. In particular, the discretization of string $m$ odels led to the consideration of abstract random lattioes $G$, the connectivity uctuations of which represent those of the m etric, i.e., pure 2D quantum gravity [
2.2.2.KPZ Relation. O ne can put any 2D statisticalm odel (e.g., Ising m odel [2-5; new criticalbehavior, corresponding to the con uence of the criticality of the in nite random surface $G$ w ith the critical point of the originalm odel. $T$ he critical system \dressed by gravity" has a larger sym $m$ etry under di eom onphism s. This allow ed
 the existence of a fundam ental relation betw een the conform aldim ensions ${ }^{(0)}$ of scaling operators in the plane and those in presence of gravity, :

where , the string susceptibility exponent, is related to the central charge of the statisticalm odel in the plane:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1 \quad 6^{2}=(1 \quad) ; \quad 0: \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sam e relation applies betw een conform alweights $\sim(0)$ in the half-plane $H$ and ~ near the boundary of a disk $w$ th uctuating $m$ etric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(0)=U \quad \sim \quad=\sim \frac{\sim}{1}: \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a m in im alm odel of the series $(2.8),=1=m$, and the conform alweights in the plane C or half-plane $H$ are ${ }_{p ; q}^{(0)}=h_{p ; q}^{(c)}$ :
2.2.3. Random W alks in $Q$ uantum G ravity. Let us now consider as a statistical m odel random walks on a random graph. W e know $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ that the central charge $c=0$, whence $m=2,=1=2: T$ hus the $K$ P Z relation becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(0)}=U=1=2()=\frac{1}{3}(1+2):=U() \text {; } \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has exactly the sam e analytical form as equation $[2.71)$ ! hus, from this K P Z equation one infers that the con jectured planar B rownian intersection exponents in the complex plane $C(2,1)$ and in $H(2,7)$ m ust be equivalent to the follow ing $B$ row nian intersection exponents in quantum gravity:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{L} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L} \frac{1}{2} ;  \tag{2.13}\\
\sim_{\mathrm{L}} & =\mathrm{L}: \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now sketch the derivation of these quantum gravity exponents [501]. A m ore detailed proof is given in appendix ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '. .'.
2.3. $R$ andom $W$ alks on a $R$ andom Lattice.
2.3.1. Random G raph P artition Function. C onsider the set of planar random graphs G, built up with, e.g., trivalent vertioes tied together in a random way. $T$ he topology is xed here to be that of a sphere (S) or a disk (D). The partition function is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(;)=X_{G()}^{X} \frac{1}{S(G)} e^{j G j} ; \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where denotes the xed Euler characteristic of graph G; = 2 (S);1 (D); $J^{j} j$ is the num ber of vertices of $G, S(G)$ its sym $m$ etry factor. $T$ he partition sum converges for all values of the param eter larger than som e critical_ . At ! ${ }_{c}^{+}$; a singularity appears due to the presence of in nite graphs in (2.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}(;) \quad(\mathrm{c})^{2} \operatorname{str}() \text {; } \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where str ( ) is the string susceptibility exponent, which depends on the topology of $G$ through the Euler characteristic. For pure gravity as described in ( $2.15_{1}^{\prime}$ ), the em bedding dim ension $d=0$ coincides with the central charge $c=0$; and $\left[\underline{0} \underline{1}_{1}^{1}\right.$ ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{str}()=2 \frac{5}{4} ;(c=0): \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular $\operatorname{str}(2)=\frac{1}{2}$ for the spherical topology, and $\operatorname{str}(1)=\frac{3}{4}$. The string susceptibility exponent appearing in K P Z form ula $(2,9)$ is the planar one

$$
=\operatorname{str}(=2):
$$

A particular partition function will play an im portant role later, that of the doubly punctured sphere. It is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[\bullet \cdot]:=\frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}} Z(;=2)=X_{G(=2)}^{X(G)} \frac{1}{S G j^{2} e^{j G j}: ~} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$


$F$ igure $3 . \mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{~m}$ utually-avoiding random walks on a random sphere.

O wing to $(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ it scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}\left[\bullet \bullet \quad(\quad \text { c })^{\operatorname{str}(=2)}:\right. \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.32. Random $W$ alk Partition Functions. Let us now consider a set of $L$ random $w a l k s B=f B{ }_{i j}^{(1)} ; l=1 ;:: ; \operatorname{Lg}$ on the random graph $G w$ th the special constraint that they start at the sam e vertex i2 $G$; end at the sam e vertex $j 2 \mathrm{G}$, and have no intersections in betw een. W e introduce the $L$ walk partition function on the random lattioe $\left[\underline{15} \mathbf{0}_{1}^{1}\right]$ :
where a fugacity $z$ is associated $w$ th the total num ber $\mathcal{B} j=\sum_{l=1}^{L} B^{(1)}$ of vertices visited by the walks (F ig. .
2.3.3. RW B oundary Partition Functions. W e generalize this to the boundary case where $G$ now has the topology of a disk and where the random walks connect tw o sites $i$ and $j$ on the boundary @ $G_{\text {: }}$
 a particular boundary partition function $w$ ill play a central role, that of the disk $w$ th tw o punctures on the boundary. It corresponds to the $L=0$ case of the $Z_{L}{ }^{\prime}$ ' s , and is de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z(\bigcirc)=Z_{L=0}\left(;^{0}\right)=e^{X} \quad{ }^{j G j} e^{\sim j Q G j}{ }^{\sim} G G j^{2}:  \tag{2.22}\\
& \text { disk G }
\end{align*}
$$

The double grand canonical partition functions (-2 $\overline{2} \bar{q})$ and ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ associated w ith non-intersecting RW 's on a random lattice can be calculated exactly $\left\{\frac{15010}{-1}\right]$. T he detailed calculations are given in appendix 'A'.'. O ne in particular uses an equivalent representation of the random walks by their forw ard (or backw ard) trees, which are


Figure $4 . \mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{~m}$ utually-avoiding random walks traversing a random disk.
trees uniform ly spanning the sets of visited sites. T his tums the RW 's problem into the solvable one of random trees on random graphs (see, e.g., [2-1] $\left[_{2}^{-1}\right.$ ).
2.3.4. Scaling Law s for P artition Functions. T he criticalbehavior of $Z_{L}$ is characterized by the existence of tw o critical values of the param eters, c where the random lattice size diverges, and $z_{C}$ where the set of sites visited by the random walks also diverges. The critical behavior of $Z_{L}(; z)$ is then obtained by taking the double scaling lim it ! $\quad+\quad$ (in nite random surface) and $z!z_{c}$ (in nite RW 's), such that the average lattice and RW 'S sizes respectively scale as
(2 23)
j j (
c) ${ }^{1} ; \beta j$
( $Z_{r}$
z) ${ }^{1}$ :
$T$ he analysis of the singular behavior in term $s$ of conform al weights is perform ed by using nite-size scaling (FSS)

O ne obtains in this regim e the global scaling of the fillpartition function $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[501]} \\ 0\end{array}\right]:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{L}(; z) \quad(\quad c)^{L} \quad J^{L} j^{L}: \tag{2,24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he interpretation ofpartition function $Z_{L} \quad(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{0})$ is the follow ing: It represents a random surface w ith two punctures where two conform aloperators, of conform al weight $L$, are located (here tw o vertices of $L$ non-intersecting RW 's), and, using a graphical notation, scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{Z}[\bullet \bullet] \quad \mathrm{G} j^{2} \mathrm{~L} ; \tag{2,25}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here the partition function of the doubly punctured surface is the second derivative of $\mathrm{Z}(;=2)(2.19)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[\bullet \bullet]=\frac{\varrho^{2}}{@{ }^{2}} Z(;=2): \tag{2,26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.19) we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \text { §G } \mathrm{j}^{\operatorname{str}(=2)} 2 \mathrm{~L}: \tag{2,27}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring the latter to $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{4})$ y ields
(2 28)

$$
2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \operatorname{str}(=2)=\mathrm{L} ;
$$

where we recall that $\operatorname{str}(=2)=1=2$. $W$ e thus get the rst previously announced result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad: \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.3.5. B oundary Scaling. For the boundary partition function $Z_{L}(\underline{2}-\overline{2} \overline{1})$ a $\operatorname{sim}-$ ilar analysis can be perform ed near the triple critical point ( $\left.c ;{ }_{c} ; z_{c}\right)$, where the boundary length also diverges. O ne nds that the average boundary length jeg j m ust scale $w$ ith the area $j \in j$ in a naturalway (see appendix $\mathbb{A}_{4}!$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { jog j } \quad \mathrm{IG}^{\mathrm{j}=2} \text { : } \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary partition function $Z_{L}$ corresponds to two boundary operators of conform alw eights $\sim_{\text {L }}$; integrated over $@_{G}$; on a random surface $w$ ith the topology of a disk, or in term s of scaling behavior

$$
\text { (2.31) } \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{Z} \longrightarrow\left(\mathrm{jag}^{2^{\sim_{\mathrm{L}}}}\right. \text {; }
$$

using the graphical representation of the two-puncture partition function (2 $2 \overline{2} \overline{2})$.
2.3.6. Bulk Boundary Relation. From the exact calculation of the boundary partition function (2-211), and of the boundary puncture disk one ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, one gets the further scaling equivalence to the bulk partition function $\left(\underline{2} 20^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{Z}(\because)} ; \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the equivalence holds true in term $s$ of scaling behavior. It intuitively $m$ eans that carving aw ay from the L-walk boundary partition function the contribution of one connected dom ain w ith two boundary punctures brings us back to the Lwalk bulk partition function. This_fundam ental scaling equivalence is explained in
 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{L}=2 \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad \operatorname{str}(=2): \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation between bulk and D irichlet boundary behavions in quantum gravity is quite general and will also play a fundam ental role in the study of other critical system $s$ in tw o dim ensions. It is studied in full detail in appendices 'Blal and 'C1. From (2.2) we nally nd the second annonced result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{L}=L: \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pplying the quadratic K P Z relation $(\underline{2} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ to $\quad(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{9})$ and $\sim_{\text {L }}(\underline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{4})$ above nally yields the values in the plane $C$ or half-plane $H$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} & =U=1=2(\mathrm{~L})=\frac{1}{24} 4 \mathrm{~L}^{2} 1 \\
2 \tilde{L} & =U=1=2 \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~L}(1+2 \mathrm{~L}) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

as previously annonced.


Figure 5. Padkets of $n_{1}=3 ; n_{2}=3$, and $n_{3}=2$ independent planar random walks, in a mutually-avoiding star con guration, and crossing an annulus from $r$ to $R$.
2.4. N on-Intersections of P ackets of W alks.
2.4.1. De nition. C onsidercon gurationsm ade ofL m utually-avoiding bunches $\mathrm{l}=1$; ; L , each of them $m$ ade $\operatorname{afank}$. transparent to each other, i.e., $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ independent RW 's [481]. A $l l$ of them start at neighboring points ( F ig. . $\mathrm{I}_{15}^{\prime \prime}$ ). The probability of non-intersection of the $L$ packets up to tim etscales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ;} \quad \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{r} \mathrm{t}\right) \quad \mathrm{t}^{\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{n}^{2} ;\right.} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and near a D irichlet boundary (Fig. . ', ',
(2.36)

$T$ he original case of $L m$ utually-avoiding $R W$ 's corresponds to $n_{1}=::=n_{L}=1$. $T$ he probability for the sam e L B row nian path packets to cross the annulus $D(r ; R)$ in C ( $F$ ig. , $_{1-1}$ ) scales accordingly as

and, near a D irichlet boundary in H (F ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ 'G|), as

The generalizations of form er exponents $L$, as well as $\tilde{I}^{2}$, describing these $L$ packets can be written as conform alw eights

$$
\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{L}\right) ; \mathrm{n} \quad{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{fn}_{1} g
$$

in the plane $C$, and

$$
2^{\sim}\left(n_{1} ; \quad \text { L }\right) ; n^{\sim(0)}{f n_{1} g}
$$

in the half-plane $H$. They can be calculated from quantum gravity, via their con-
 the $m$ ain steps.

$F$ igure 6. Packets of $n_{1}=3$, and $n_{2}=2$ independent random walks, in a m utually-avoiding star con guration, and crossing the half-annulus from $r$ to $R$ in the half-plane $H$.
2.42. B oundary Case. O ne introduces the analogue $Z \mathrm{fn}_{1} ; \quad$ i g;of partition function $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1} 1)$ for the $L$ packets of walks. In presence of gravity each bunch contributes its own nom alized boundary partition function as a factor, and this

where the star product is to be understood as a scaling equivalence. G iven the de nition of boundary conform al weights (see ( fraction is to be identi ed with $\mathrm{jag}^{2 \sim \mathrm{fn}_{1} ; ~ \mathrm{Im}}$, while each norm alized factor $Z\left(n_{1}\right)=Z(\cdot)$ ) is to be identi ed with $\mathrm{jgG}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{\sim\left(n_{1}\right)}$. H ere ${ }^{\sim}(\mathrm{n})$ is the boundary dim ension of a single packet ofn $m$ utually transparent $w a l k s$ on the random surface. $T$ he factorization property ( $\overline{2} \overline{-} \overline{\overline{3}} \overline{9})$ ) therefore im $m$ ediately im plies the additivity of boundary conform al dim ensions in presence of gravity
(2.40)

$$
\sim_{f_{1}} ; \quad \quad \lg ; \neq 1 \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{L}} \sim_{\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)}:
$$

In the standard plane $C$, a packet of $n$ independent random walks has a trivial boundary conform al dim ension ${ }^{\sim(0)}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}^{\sim(0)}(1)=\mathrm{n}$; since for a single walk ${ }^{(0)}(1)=1$; as can be seen using the $G$ reen function form alism. W e therefore know $\sim(n)$ exactly, since it su ces to take the positive inverse of the KPZ map (2. $\left.\overline{-1} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(n)=U \quad \stackrel{1}{=}{ }_{1=2}(n)=\frac{1}{4}(\overline{24 n+1} \quad 1): \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne therefore nds:

2.4.3. Relation to the Bulk. O ne sim ilarly de nes for L m utually-avoiding packets of $\mathrm{n}_{1}$; $\quad$ I İredependent walks the generalization $\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{fn}_{1}$; L g;of the bulk partition function ( $\left(\frac{2}{-2} \mathbf{0}^{\prime}\right)$ for $L$ walks on a random sphere. O ne then establishes on
a random surface the identi cation, sim ilar to $(\overline{2}-\overline{3} 2)$, of this bulk partition function w th the nom alized boundary one (see appendix (A.1):

By_de nition of quantum conform al weights (appendix $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{2}$ ), the lefthand term of (2.-
 we thus get the identity existing in quantum gravity between bulk and boundary con form al weights, sim ilar to (2-28):
(2.44)

$$
2 \mathrm{fn}_{1} ;
$$

$$
\mathrm{Lg} ; \mathrm{n} \operatorname{str}(=2)=\sim_{f n_{1}} ;
$$

L $9 \pi n$
w th $\operatorname{str}(=2)=\frac{1}{2}$ for pure gravity.
2.4.4. Back to the $C$ omplex Plane. In the plane, using once again the KPZ relation $(\underline{2} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ for $\sim_{\text {fn }} g$ and fn $1 g$, we obtain the general results $\left[\overline{5} \overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$
where we set $\mathrm{U}:=\mathrm{U}=1=2$. O ne can nally w rite, using $(\underline{2} \cdot \overline{4} \overline{1})$ and $(\underline{2} \overline{4} \overline{2})$
(2.45) $\quad 2^{\sim}\left(n_{1} ; \quad\right.$ L $) ; n=\quad U(x)=\frac{1}{3} x(1+2 x)$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\left(n_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{L}\right) ; \mathrm{n} & \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{U} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{x} \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{24}\left(4 \mathrm{x}^{2}\right. \\
\mathrm{x} & \left.=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{p} \frac{24 \mathrm{n}_{1}+1}{} \quad 1\right): \tag{2.47}
\end{array}
$$

Law ler and $W$ emer $\left[\overline{4} \bar{g}_{1}\right]$ established the existence of two functions $U$ and $V$ satisfying the structure ( $2, \overline{4}, 1-\overline{2}, \overline{1})$ by purely probabilistic $m$ eans, using the geom etrical conform alinvariance of $B$ row nian $m$ otions. The quantum gravity approach hereexplains this structure in term $s$ of linearity ofboundary quantum gravity (2.4 and yields the explicit functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& U(x)=U=1=2(x)  \tag{2.48}\\
& V(x)=U \frac{1}{2} x \frac{1}{2} ;
\end{align*}
$$

as $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{aps}(2.4 \overline{4},(12 . \overline{4} \overline{6})$. The sam e expressions for these functions has also been derived in probability theory from the equivalence to SLE 6 枟2
2.4.5. P articular Values and M andelbrot's C on jecture. The rst few values are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim(n=1)=U \quad \stackrel{1}{=} 1=2(1)=1 \\
& \sim(n=2)=U \quad \stackrel{1}{=} \quad 1=2(2)=\frac{3}{2} \\
& \sim(n=3)=U \quad{ }_{1=2}^{1}(3)=\frac{1}{4}(\overline{73} \quad 1):
\end{aligned}
$$

 star con guration. Then the exponent $\left(2 ; 1^{(L)}\right)$ describing a tw o-sided walk and $L$ one-sided walks, allm utually-avoiding, has the value

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2 ; 1^{(L)}\right) & =V L U^{1}(1)+U^{1}(2)=V\left(L+\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& =L+\frac{3}{2}=\frac{1}{6}(L+1)(L+2):
\end{aligned}
$$

For $L=1, \quad(2 ; 1)=L_{=5=2}=1$ correctly gives the exponent goveming the escape probability of a RW from a given origin near another RW [8 $5_{1}^{1}$ ]. (By construction the second one indeed appears as $m$ ade of two independent RW 's di using aw ay from the origin.)

For $L=0$ one nds the non-trivial result

$$
\left(2 ; 1^{(0)}\right)=L=3=2=1=3 ;
$$

which describes the accessible points along a RW. It is form ally related to the $H$ ausdor dim ension of the $B$ rownian frontier by $D=2 \quad 2$, for the dim ension of the $B$ row nian frontier $\left[5 \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Br}: f \mathrm{fr}:}=2 \quad 2_{\frac{3}{2}}=\frac{4}{3} ; \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the fam ous $M$ andelbrot con jecture. $N$ otioe that the acoessibility of a point on a $B$ row nian path is a statistical constraint equivalent to the non-intersection of $L=$ $3=2$ paths. ( $T$ he relation ofthis $H$ ausdor dim ension to the exponent $3=2=1=3 \mathrm{w}$ as actually $m$ ade in D ecem ber 1997, after a discussion in the Institute for A dvanced Study at P rinceton with M.A izenm an and R. Langlands about the meaning of half-integer indices in critical percolation exponents.) The $M$ andelbrot conjecture was later established in probability theory [ $\left.633^{\prime}\right]$, using the analytic properties of the non-intersection exponents derived from the stochastic Low ner evolution SLE 6 [591].
$T$ he quantum geom etric structure $m$ ade explicit here allow $s$ generalizations to self-avoiding walks and percolation, which we now describe.

## 3. $M$ ixing $R$ andom \& Self-Avoiding $W$ alks

W e now generalize the scaling structure obtained in the preceding_section to aroitrary sets of random or self-avoiding walks interacting together [5] [1] (see also (
3.1. G eneral Star $C$ on gurations.
3.1.1. Star A lgebra. C onsider a general copolym er $S$ in the plane $C$ (or in $Z^{2}$ ), $m$ ade of an arbitrary $m$ ixture of RW 's or B rownian paths (set B) ; and SAW 's or polym ers (set P ), all starting at neighboring points, and di using aw ay, ie., in a star con guration. In the plane, any successive pair $(\mathbb{A} ; B)$ of such paths, A;B 2 B or P; can be constrained in a speci c way: either they avoid each other ( $\mathrm{A} \backslash \mathrm{B}=$; ; denoted $\mathrm{A} \wedge \mathrm{B}$ ) ; or they are independent, i.e., \transparent" and can cross each other (denoted A _ B ) $\left[\overline{5} \overline{1}, \overline{1}, \overline{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right]$. T his notation allow $s$ any nested interac-
 of an L-star polym er, allm utually-avoiding, further avoid a collection of B row nian
paths $\mathrm{fB}_{\mathrm{k}} 2 \mathrm{~B} g_{\mathrm{k}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}}$; alltransparent to each other, which structure is represented by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\quad{ }_{\imath=1}^{L} P, \quad \wedge \quad-{ }_{k=1}^{n} B_{k}: \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A prioriin 2D the order of the branches of the star polym erm atters and is intrinsic to the ( ${ }^{\text {; }}$ ) notation.
3.12. C on form alO perators and Scaling D im ensions. To each speci c star copolym er center $S$ is attached a local conform al scaling operator $s$, which represents
 the star is constrained to stay in a half-plane H, w ith D irichlet boundary conditions, and its core placed near the boundary @H, a new boundary scaling operator
 scaling, one has to construct the partition functions of $B$ row nian paths and poly$m$ ers having the sam e $m$ ean size $R$ [ $\left[10_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. T hese partition functions then scale as pow ers of $R$, $w$ ith an exponent which $m$ ixes the scaling dim ension of the star core ( $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{S}$ ) or $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{S})$ ), w ith those of star dangling ends.
3.1.3. Partition Functions. It is convenient to de ne for each star $S$ a grand
 lengths $\mathcal{B}$ jand $P$ jofRW or SAW paths:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{R} \quad(S)=Z_{B ; P \quad S} z^{j B j} z^{O P j} 1_{R} \quad(S) ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where one sum sover all RW and SAW con gurations respecting the m utual-avoidance constraints built in star $S$ (as in ( 3.1 $1_{R}(S)$ to stay $w$ thin a disk of radius $R$ centered on the star. At the critical values $z_{C}={ }_{B}{ }^{1} ; z_{C}^{0}={ }_{P}{ }^{1}$; where $B$ is the coordination num ber of the underlying lattice for the RW 's, and $P$ the e ective one for the SAW ' $S, Z_{R}$ has a power law decay [18-1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{R}(S) \quad R^{x(S)} x: \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{S})$ is the scaling dim ension of the operator $s$, associated only w ith the singularity occurring at the center of the star where all critical paths $m$ eet, while $x$ is the contribution of the independent dangling ends. It reads $x=k B k x_{B ; 1}+$ $k P k x_{P} ; 1 \quad 2 \mathrm{~V}$; where $k B k$ and $k P k$ are respectively the totalnum bers ofB row nian or polym er paths of the star; $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B} ; 1}$ or $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{P} ; 1}$ are the scaling dim ensions of the extrem ities
 in which $V=k B k+k P k$ is the num ber of dangling vertioes, corresponds to the integration over extrem ity positions in the disk of radius R .

W hen the star is constrained to stay in a half-plane w ith its core placed near the boundary, its partition function scales as $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}, 140_{1}^{-1}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}_{R}(S) \quad R^{*(S)} x ; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{S})$ is the boundary scaling dim ension, x staying the sam e for star extrem ities in the bulk.
3.2. Q uantum G ravity for SAW 'S \& RW's.
32.1. Scaling $D$ im ensions and $C$ onform al $W$ eights. A ny scaling dim ension $x$ in the plane is tw ice the conform alweight _ ${ }^{(0)}$ of the corresponding operator, while near a boundary they are identical $\left[111_{1}^{\prime}, 1\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=2^{(0)} ; \quad \mathrm{x}=\sim(0): \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

322. $\mathrm{KPZ} \mathrm{map.As}$ in section ${ }_{2}$, the idea is to use the representation where the RW 's or SAW 's are on a 2D random lattice, or a random $R$ iem ann surface, i.e., in the presence of 2 D quantum gravity $(\mathrm{QG})$ 261]. The general relation (2.12) for $B$ row nian paths depends only on the central charge $c=0$, which also applies to self-avoiding walks or polym ers. For a critical system with central charge $c=0$, the tw o universal functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=U=\frac{1}{2}(x)=\frac{1}{3} x(1+2 x) ; V(x)=\frac{1}{24} 4 x^{2} \quad 1 ; \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}):=\mathrm{U} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{x} \quad \frac{1}{2}$, generate all the scaling exponents. T hey transform the conform alweights in bulk quantum gravity, , or in boundary Q G, ~, into the plane and half-plane ones ( 3 (3)
${ }^{(0)}=U() ; \sim^{(0)}=U(\eta$;
${ }^{(0)}=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{M}$ :
3.2.3. C om position Rules. C onsider two stars A; $B$ joined at their centers, and in a random mutually-avoiding star-con guration $A \wedge B$. Each star ism ade of an arbitrary collection of $B$ row nian paths and self-avoiding paths w ith aroitrary interactions of type ( 3.11 ). T heir respective bulk partition functions ( $3-2$ ary partition functions (3,4) have associated planar scaling exponents $x$ ( A ) ; $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{B})$; or planar boundary exponents $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{A})$; $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{B})$. The corresponding scaling dim ensions in quantum gravity are then, for instance for $A$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(A)=U^{1}(x(A)) ; \quad(A)=U \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} x(A) ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U{ }^{1}(x)$ is the positive inverse of the $K P Z \mathrm{~m}$ ap U

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{1}(x)=\frac{1}{4}{ }^{p} \overline{24 x+1} \quad 1: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The key properties are given by the follow ing propositions:
In $c=0$ quantum gravity the boundary and bulk scaling dim ensions of a given random path set are related by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(A)=2 \quad(A) \quad \operatorname{str}(C=0)=2 \quad(A)+\frac{1}{2}: \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his generalizes the relation $\left(\underline{2}-33_{-1}\right)$ for non-intersecting $B$ row nian paths.
In quantum gravity the boundary scaling dim ensions of tw o $m$ utually-avoiding sets is the sum of their respective boundary scaling dim ensions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(A \wedge B)=\sim(A)+\sim(B): \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It generalizes identity ( $(\underline{-A} \bar{\prime})$ form utually-avoiding packets of $B$ row nian paths. The

 studied in detail in appendioes 'A.' and '

The planar scaling exponents $x(A \wedge B)$ in $C$, and $x(A \wedge B)$ in $H$ of the two mutually-avoiding stars $A \wedge B$ are then given by the $K P Z \mathrm{map}(3,7)$ in term $s$ of (3.11)

$$
\begin{align*}
& x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=2 V^{h} \sim(A \wedge B)^{i}=2 V^{h} \sim(A)+\sim_{(B)^{i}}^{i} \\
& x\left(A^{\wedge} A^{h}\right)=U^{h} \sim(A \wedge B)^{i}=U^{h} \sim(A)+\sim(B)^{i}: \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=2 V^{1}\left(x^{1}(A)\right)+U^{1}(x(B))  \tag{3.14}\\
& x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=U U^{1}\left(x^{(A)}\right)+U^{1}\left(x^{(B)):}\right. \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

On a random surface, $U^{1}\left(x^{1}\right)$ is the boundary conform al weight corresponding to the value $x$ in the upper-half $p l a n e ~ H$, and the sum of $U{ }^{1}$ functions in eq. (3는) linearly represents the mutually-avoiding juxtaposition $A \wedge B$ of tw o sets of random paths near the random frontier, i.e., the operator product oftw o $\backslash$ boundary operators" on the random surface. The latter sum is $m$ apped by the functions $U$, V , into the scaling dim ensions in H or C [51].
 exponents are already apparent in the derivation of non-intersection exponents for B row nian paths given in section $\overline{1}$ and appendix ${ }^{A} 1.1$. They also apply to the $0(\mathbb{N})$ m odel, as show in appendix 'B,' and are established in all generality in appendix "C.'. They can also be seen as recurrence \cascade" relations in C betw een successive conform alR iem ann $m$ aps of the frontiers ofm utually-avoiding paths onto the halfplane boundary $@ H$, as in the originalw ork [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}-\overline{9} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ on $B$ row nian paths.

W hen the random sets A and B are independent and can overlap, their scaling dim ensions in the standard plane or half-plane are additive by trivial factorization of partition functions or probabilities [5] ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$ ]

$$
\text { (3.16) } \quad x\left(A \_B\right)=x(A)+x(B) ; \quad x(A-B)=x(A)+x(B):
$$

This additivity no longer applies in quantum gravity, since overlapping paths get coupled by the uctuations of the $m$ etric, and are no longer independent. In contrast, it is replaced by the additivity rule ( $\left.\overline{3} . \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ for m utually-avoiding paths (see appendix C ', for a thorough discussion of this additivity property).

It is clear at this stage that the set of equations above is com plete. It allow sfor the calculation of any conform aldim ensions associated $w$ ith a star structure $S$ of the $m$ ost general type, as in $(\overline{3} \cdot \overline{1})$, involving ( ${ }^{\prime}$; ) operations separated by nested parentheses [51, ]. H ere follow som e exam ples.
3.3. RW -SAW Exponents. The single extrem ty scaling dim ensions are for a RW or a SAW neara D irichlet boundary @H [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)=\underset{\mathrm{B}}{\sim(0)}(1)=1 ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{P}}(1)=\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\sim}(0)(1)=\frac{5}{8} ; \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in quantum gravity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{B}(1)=U^{1}(1)=1 ; \sim_{P}(1)=U^{1} \frac{5}{8}=\frac{3}{4}: \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

B ecause of the star algebra described above these are the only num erical seeds, i.e., generators, we need.

C onsider packets of $n$ copies of transparent RW 's or m transparent SAW 's. Their boundary conform al dim ensions in H are respectively, by using ( $\overline{3} . \overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) and $\left(\overline{3} . \overline{1}_{1}\right), \sim_{B}^{(0)}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}$ and $\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\sim}(\mathrm{m})=\frac{5}{8} \mathrm{~m}$. The inverse $m$ apping to the random surface yields the quantum gravity conform alweights $\sim_{B}(n)=U{ }^{1}(n)$ and $\sim_{P}(m)=U{ }^{1} \frac{5}{8} m \quad: T$ he star $m$ ade of $L$ packets ' $2 \mathrm{f} 1 ;:: ; \mathrm{L} g$, each of them $m$ ade of $n$, transparent RW 's and of m , transparent SAW 's, w th the L packets $m$ utually-avoiding, has planar scaling dim ensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{fn} \cdot ; \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{U} \sim \mathrm{fn}^{\sim} \cdot ; \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{~g} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{fn} \cdot ; \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{V} \sim_{\mathrm{fn}} \cdot ; \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{~g} \text {; } \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim \mathrm{fn} \cdot ; \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{X}_{\imath=1}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{U}^{1} \mathrm{n} \cdot+\frac{5}{8} \mathrm{~m},
\end{aligned}
$$

Take, as an exam ple, a copolym er star $S_{L ;} ;{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{m}$ ade of $L$ RW 's and $L^{0}$ SAW 's, all

 $\mathrm{L}+\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~L}^{0}$. By the U and $\mathrm{V} \mathrm{maps} ,\mathrm{it} \mathrm{gives} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{scaling} \mathrm{dim} \mathrm{ensions} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{@H} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{C}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim(0) \\
&\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}^{0}}\right)=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~L}+\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~L}^{0} \\
& \text { " } 1+2 \mathrm{~L}+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{~L}^{0} \\
&{ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\left.\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}^{0}\right)}\right.=\frac{1}{24} 4 \mathrm{~L}+\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~L}^{0}{ }^{2} 1 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

recovering for $L=0$ the SAW star-exponents $\left[\overline{1}_{1} \bar{g}_{1}\right]$ and for $L^{0}=0$ the RW nonintersection exponents in $@ \mathrm{H}$ and C obtained in section

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \tilde{L} & =\sim^{(0)}\left(S_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}^{0}=0}\right)=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~L}(1+2 \mathrm{~L}) \\
\mathrm{L} & ={ }^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}^{0}=0}\right)=\frac{1}{24} 4 \mathrm{~L}^{2} 1:
\end{aligned}
$$

This encom passes all previously known exponents for RW 's and SAW 's $\overline{4}_{4} \overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}$ '18, walk is exactly equivalent to $5=8$ of a B rownian $m$ otion [5] ${ }_{1}^{1}$ ]. Sim ilar results were later obtained in probability theory, based on the general structure of \com pletely conform ally-invariant processes", which correspond exactly to c $=0$ centralcharge conform al eld theories $\left[\begin{array}{l}-5 \\ 5\end{array}, 1621\right]$. The construction of the scaling lim it of SAW 's still eludes a rigorous approach, though it is predicted to correspond to \stochastic Lowner evolution" SLE with $=8=3$, equivalent to a Coulomb gas w ith $g=4==3=2$ (see section $\overline{1}$, below $)$.
4. Harmonic M easure of B rownian and Self-Avoiding Paths
4.1. H arm on ic M easure and Potential.
4.1.1. Introduction. The harm onic $m$ easure, i.e., the di usion or electrostatic potential eld near an equipotential fractal boundary $[\overline{8} 8 \sqrt{1}]$, or, equivalently, the electric charge appearing on the frontier of a perfectly conducting fractal, possesses a self-sim ilarity property, which is re ected in a multifractalbehavior. C ates and W itten [ walk, or near a self-avoiding walk. T he associated exponents can be recast as those of star copolym ens $m$ ade of a bunch of independent RW 's di using aw ay from a generic point of the absorber. T he exact solution to this problem in tw o dim ensions is as follow s [5] $\overline{1}_{1}^{-1}$. From a m athem atical point of view, it can also be derived from

4.12. H arm onic M easure. Consider a two-dim ensional very large \absorber" $S$. One de nes the harm onic $m$ easure $H$ ( $w$ ) as the probability that a random walker launched from in nity, nst hits the outer \hulls frontier" or accessible frontier F ( S ) at point w $2 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~S})$. For a given point w 2 F , let B (w;a) be the ball (i.e., disk) of radius a centered at w. Then $H(F \backslash B(w ; a)$ ) is the total harm onic $m$ easure of the points of $F$ inside the ball $B(w ; a)$.
4.1.3. P otential T heory. O ne can also consider potential theory near the sam e fractal boundary, now charged. O ne assum es the absorber to be perfectly conducting, and introduces the ham onic potential $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{z})$ at an exterior point z 2 C , w ith D irichlet boundary conditions $H$ (w 2 F ) $=0$ on the outer ( $s$ im ply connected) frontier F , and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{w})=1$ on a circle \at 1 ", i.e., of a large radius scaling like the average size R of F . As is well-known from a theorem due to K akutani $\left[\frac{8}{-1} \overline{9}_{1}^{-1}\right.$, $H(z)$ is identical to the probability that a B row nian path started at $z$ escapes to $\backslash 1$ " w thout having hit F. The harm onicm easure H ( $\mathrm{F} \backslash \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{a})$ ) de ned above then also appears as the integral of the Laplacian of $H$ in the disk B (w ; a), i.e., the boundary charge in that disk.
4.1.4. M ultifractalLocalB ehavior. T hem ultifractal form alism $\overline{3} \overline{0}, 1,1$ further involves characterizing subsets F of sites of the frontier F by a H older exponent ; such that the $H m$ easure of the frontier points in the ball $B$ ( $w$; $a$ ) of radius a centered at w 2 F scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(F \backslash B(w ; a)) \quad(a=R): \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hausdor or \fractaldim ension" $f()$ of the set $F$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} \text { ard } \mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{f}()} \text { : } \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the localbehavior of the potential near point w 2 F ,
H (z! w ) r; r= j w j;
scales w th the sam e exponent as the harm onic m easure (4 4 and $f()=\operatorname{dim} F$ thus appears as the Hausdor dim ension of boundary points inducing the localbehavior (4.3).
4.1.5. H arm on ic M om ents. O ne then considers a covering off by balls $B$ ( $w ; a$ ) of radius $a$, and centered at points $w$ form ing a discrete subset $F=$ a of $F$. We are


Figure 7. Representation of $m$ om ents 4.4 by a packets of $n$ independent $B$ row nian paths di using aw ay of a SAW, from short distance a to large distance $R$.


$$
Z_{n}=H_{w 2 F=a}^{n}(F \backslash B(w ; a)) ;
$$

where $n$ is, a priori, a real num ber. For very large absonbers $S$ and frontiens $F$ ( $S$ ) of average size $R$; one expects these $m$ om ents to scale as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{R})^{(\mathrm{n})} ; \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the radius a serves as a $m$ icroscopic cut-o, rem iniscent of the lattice structure, and where the $m$ ultifractal scaling exponents ( $n$ ) encode generalized dim ensions

D $(\mathrm{n})=\frac{(\mathrm{n})}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}$;
which vary in a non-linear w ay w ith $n\left[\begin{array}{ll}\overline{3} \\ 0\end{array}\right.$, known. D (0) is the $H$ ausdor dim ension of the accessible frontier of the fractal. By construction, $H$ is a nom alized probability $m$ easure, so that ( 1 ) $=0: M$ akarov's
 gives the so-called inform ation dim ension ${ }^{0}(1)=\mathrm{D}(\underline{1})=1$.

The multifractal spectrum $f()$ appearing in ( $\mathbf{A}_{-1}$ ) is given by the sym $m$ etric Legendre transform of $(n)$ :
$=\frac{d}{d n}(n)$;
$(\mathrm{n})+\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{)}=\mathrm{n} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{df}}{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{)}:\right.$

Because of the statistical ensemble average ( $4 . \frac{4}{4}$ ), values of $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{l})$ can becom e negative for som e dom ains of $\left.{ }^{3} \bar{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. (T he existence of the harm onic $m$ ultifractal spectrum $f\left(\right.$ ) for a B row nian path has been rigorously established in ref. |3 $\left.{ }^{-1}{ }^{9}\right]$.)

### 4.2. M ultifractal Exponents.

42.1. Representation by Random $W$ alks. By the very de nition of the $H$ $m$ easure, $n$ independent RW 's di using aw ay from the absorber give a geom etric representation of the $n^{\text {th }} m$ om ent $H^{n}$; for $n$ integer, and convexity argum ents give the com plete continuation to real values ( F ig. $\underline{1}_{1 / \overline{1}}^{1}$ ).

W hen the absonber is a RW or a SAW of size R ; the site average of its m om ents $H^{n}$ is represented by a copolym er star partition function $Z_{R}\left(S^{\wedge} n\right.$ ) of the type $(\overline{3}-\overline{2})$, where we have introduced the short-hand notation $S^{\wedge} n=S{ }^{\wedge}(B)^{n}$ for describing the copolym er star $m$ ade by the absorber $S$ hit by the bunch (B) ${ }^{n}$ at
 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { * } \mathrm{X}+ \\
& Z_{n=1}={ }_{W 2 F=a} H(F \backslash B(w ; a))=1 ; \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

since $H$ is a ( rst hit) probability. T he correct nom alization is therefore:

$$
\mathrm{DX}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{w})^{\mathrm{E}}=Z_{R}\left(S^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)=Z_{R}\left(S^{\wedge} 1\right):
$$

B ecause of the scaling $(3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{w})^{E} \quad(a=R)^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{S}^{\wedge} 1\right): \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he nom alizing star partition function $Z_{R}\left(S^{\wedge} 1\right)$ is govemed by an exponent which is identically $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\wedge} 1\right)=2: \mathrm{n}=1$ indeed corresponds to a single brow nian path escaping from the absorber, which represents the potential itself, and this identity can be seen as a consequence of $G$ auss's theorem in two dim ensions [ $\left[3-1{ }_{-1}^{1}\right]$. $W$ e therefore conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right): \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

O w ing to eqs. $(\overline{4}-\overline{1}, \overline{1})$, and $(\overline{4}-\overline{1})$, we get the scaling relation

$$
(4.12) \quad(n)=x\left(S^{\wedge} n\right) \quad x\left(S^{\wedge} 1\right)=x\left(S^{\wedge} n\right) \quad 2:
$$

42_2. $Q$ uantum $G$ ravity Form alism. The absorber $S$ near the ball center $w$ (Fig. $\bar{i}_{1}$ ) is either a twoRW star $S=B \quad B$, where the two strands are independent and mutually-intersecting, or a two-SAW star $S=P \wedge P ; m$ ade of two non-intersecting SAW I's.

O ur form alism (3, 1 avoiding set $S^{\wedge} n$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)=2 \mathrm{~V} \quad \sim(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{U} \quad{ }^{1}(\mathrm{n}) ; \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\sim}(S)=U{ }^{1}\left(x^{(S)}\right)$ is as above the quantum gravity boundary conform al dim ension of the absorber $S$ alone. The quantity $U^{1}(n)$ represents the quantum gravity boundary conform aldim ension (2. For a RW absorber, we have

$$
\sim(S)=\sim(B-B)=U \quad 1 \quad(2)=\frac{3}{2} ;
$$



$$
\sim(S)=\sim(P \wedge P)=2 \sim_{P}(1)=2 U{ }^{1} \frac{5}{8}=\frac{3}{2}:
$$



Figure 8. Harm onic multifractal dimensions ( $n$ ) of a twodim ensionalRW or SAW .


Figure 9. Harm onic multifractal spectrum $f()$ of a twodim ensionalRW or SAW .

T he coincidence of these tw o values gives us the general result: In two dim ensions the ham onic multifractal exponents ( $n$ ) and spectra $f()$ of a random walk and a self-avoiding walk are identical.


$$
(\mathrm{n})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1 \tag{4.14}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{5}{24} \mathrm{p} \overline{24 \mathrm{n}+1} \quad 5 ;
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(n)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{5}{24 n+1+5} ; \text { n } 2 \quad \frac{1}{24} ;+1 \quad: \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Legendre transform ( $\bar{A} \cdot \overline{-7}$ ) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{d}{d n}(n)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{5}{2} p \frac{1}{24 n+1} ; \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f()=\frac{25}{48} \quad 3 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{24} ; \quad 2 \quad \frac{1}{2} ;+1 \quad: \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

42.4. G eom etrical $P$ roperties of $M$ ultifractal $C$ urves. The corresponding universal curves are show $n$ in $F$ igures ' $\overline{1}$, and $\overline{1} 9$ hyperbola. $D(1)={ }^{0}(1)=1$ is $M$ akarov's theorem. The singularity at $=\frac{1}{2}$ in the $m$ ultifractal functions $f($ ) corresponds to points on the fractalboundary $F$ where the latter has the local geom etry of a needle. T he $m$ athem atical version of this statem ent is given by B eurling's theorem [92"], which states that at distance from the boundary, the ham onic $m$ easure is bounded above by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H\left(z: \inf _{\mathrm{w} 2 \mathrm{~F}} \dot{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{wj} \quad\right)\right) \quad \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{j}=2} \text {; } \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant. This insures that the spectrum of multifractal Holder exponents is bounded below by $\frac{1}{2}$. The right branch of the $f()$ curve has a linear asym ptote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!+1} \underline{1}_{f}()=\frac{1}{24}: \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its linear shape is quite rem in iscent of that of them ultifractal function of the grow th probability as in the case of a 2D D LA cluster [ $\left.9 \overline{3}_{1}^{1}\right]$. The dom ain of large values of corresponds to the low est part $\mathrm{n}!\mathrm{n}=\frac{1}{24}$ ofthe spectrum ofdim ensions, which is dom inated by alm ost inaccessible sites, and the existence of a linear asym ptote to the $m$ ultifractal function $f i m$ plies a peculiar behavior for the num ber of those sites in a lattice setting. Indeed de neN (H) as the num ber of sites having a probability H to be hit:
(420) $\quad N(H)=C$ ard fw $2 \mathrm{~F}: H(w)=\mathrm{Hg}:$
$U$ sing the M F form alism to change from the variable $H$ to (at xed value of $a=R$ ), shows that $N$ (H) obeys, for H ! 0; a power law behavior

$$
N \quad(H) j_{H}: 0 \quad H
$$

w th an exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1+\lim _{!+1} \underline{1}_{f}()=1+n: \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we predict

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{23}{24}: \tag{423}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us rem ark that $\quad(0)=\sup f()=f(3)=\frac{4}{3}$ is the $H$ ausdor dim ension of the B rownian frontier or of a SAW. ThusM andelbrot's classical con jecture identifying the latter two is derived and generalized to the whole $f()$ ham onic spectrum .
4.3. An Invariance $P$ roperty of $f()$. The expression for $f()$ simpli es if one considers the com bination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{)} \quad=\frac{25}{24} 1 \frac{1}{2} 2 \quad 1+\frac{1}{2} \quad:\right. \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hus the $m$ ultifractal function possesses the invariance sym $m$ etry $\left[\underline{9} \underline{A}_{1}^{1}\right]$

$$
f() \quad=f\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad 0^{0} ;
$$

for and ${ }^{0}$ satisfying the duality relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \quad 1)\left(2^{0} \quad 1\right)=1 ; \tag{4,26}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 10. D ouble distribution of ham onic potentialH on both sides of a sim ple scaling curve (here a SAW, courtesy of T.G. K ennedy). The local exponents on both sides of point $w=w$; 0 are and ${ }^{0}$. The Hausdor dim ension of such points along the SAW is $\mathrm{f}_{2}\left({ }^{0}{ }^{0}\right)$.
or, equivalently
(427)

$$
{ }^{1}+0^{1}=2:
$$

W hen associating an equivalent electrostatic wedge angle $==$ to each local singularity exponent (see section '(G), one gets the com plem entary rule for angles in the plane $\left[\underline{9} \underline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+{ }^{0}=-+-0=2: \tag{428}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that by de nition of the $m$ ultifractaldim ension $f(), R^{f()}$ is the total ham onicm easure content of points oftype or equivalent angle $=$ along the $m$ ultifractal frontier. The sym $m$ etry ( 42 is invariant when taken at the com plem entary angle in the plane 2. The basic sym $m$ etry ( $(4-\overline{2}-1)$ thus seem $s$ to re ect that of the frontier itself under the exchange of interior and exterior dom ains (ref. [9] ${ }^{-1}$

It is also interesting to note that, ow ing to the explicit form $s(\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{0})$ of and ( $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{5})$ of $(n)$, the condition ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ becom es, after a little algebra,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(n)+D\left(n^{0}\right)=2: \tag{429}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.4. H igher M ultifractality for $B$ row $n$ ian or Self-A voiding $P$ aths. It is interesting to note that one can de nehigherm ultifractalspectra as those depending on several variables $\left[\frac{1}{5}\right.$ the harm onic $m$ easure on both sides of a random fractal, taken here to be either a

B row nian $m$ otion or a self-avoiding walk. (T he generalcase w illbe further described in section (1, '1.
4.4.1. D ouble-Sided P otential. $W$ hen it is sim ple, ie., double point free, a conform ally scaling curve F can be reached from both sides. A SAW is naturally such a sim ple curve, therefore accessible from both sides. For a B row nian m otion, one can consider the subset of the pinching or cut points, of $H$ ausdor dim ension $D=2 \quad 2_{2}=3=4$, where the path splits into two non-intersecting parts. The B row nian path is then locally accessible from both directions.

Taking D irichlet boundary conditions on a random curve, one can then consider the joint distribution of potential on both sides, nam ely $H_{+}$on one side, and $H$ on the other, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{+}(\mathrm{z}!\mathrm{w} ; 0) \quad \mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{H} \quad(\mathrm{z}!\mathrm{w} ; 0) \mathrm{r}^{0} \text {; } \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

when approaching a point $w ; 0$ of the subset $F$; 0 at distance $r=j \quad w ; ~ j$
 H ausdor dim ension of the set of points of type ( ; ${ }^{0}$ ).
4.42. D ouble H arm onic M om ents. A s before, instead of considering directly the potential $H$, one can consider equivalently the harm onic $m$ easure content of a covering by sm all balls centered along the random fractal. Let us now de ne:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z_{n ; n^{0}}=\sum_{w 2 F=a}[H+(w ; a)]^{n} \mathbb{H} \quad(w ; a)\right]^{n^{0}} ; \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $H_{+}(w ; a):=H+(F \backslash B(w ; a))$ and $H \quad(w ; a): H \quad(F \backslash B(w ; a))$ are respectively the harm onic $m$ easure contents of the sam e ball B (w; a) on \left"or \right" sides of the random fractal. The ball's center w is taken in a discrete set of pointsw $2 \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{a}$.
$T$ hey are represented by tw o packets of $n$ and $n^{0}$ independent $B$ row nian paths di using aw ay from the fractalob ject ( $F$ ig. . $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ) . T hese $m$ om ents have a $m$ ultifractal scaling behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{R})^{2\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}\right)} ; \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the exponents $2\left(n ; n^{0}\right)$ now depend on two $m$ om ent orders $n$ and $n^{0}$.
4.4.3. D ouble Legendre Transform . The generalization of the Legendre transform (4.7.7) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{@ a_{2}}{@ n}\left(n ; n^{0}\right) ; \quad{ }^{0}=\frac{@ a_{2}}{@ n^{0}}\left(n ; n^{0}\right) ; \\
f_{2}\left(;{ }^{0}\right) & =n^{0}+{ }^{0}{ }_{2}\left(n^{0} n^{0}\right) ;  \tag{4.33}\\
\mathrm{n} & =\frac{@ f_{2}}{@}\left(;{ }^{0}\right) ; n^{0}=\frac{@ f_{2}}{@(; 0}(;
\end{align*}
$$

It yields the dim ension ofthe subset F ; 0 offrontier points $w ; 0$, where the potential H scales as in eqs. ( $\left.4.3 \mathbf{I}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, or where the ham onic content of a ball $B$ ( $\mathbf{w} ; 0 ; a$ ) scales as ( $a=R$ ) on one side, and ( $a=R$ ) on the other.
4.4.4. Star Fusion A lgebra. We nd the exponents from the star algebra (3.14):

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(n ; n^{0}\right)=2 V \quad a^{0}+U^{1}(n)+U^{1}\left(n^{0}\right) \quad 2 ; \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a^{0}$ corresponds to the quantum gravity boundary scaling dim ension of the fractal set near where the potential or ham onic $m$ easure is evaluated, i.e., the


Figure 11. Representation of the double $m$ om ents ( packets of $n$ and $n^{0}$ independent $B$ rownian paths di using aw ay from a SAW .
sim ple SAW curve or the B rownian cut-point set. For B row nian m otion near a cut-point, the tw o-strands appear as tw o m utually-avoiding parts B $\wedge B$, (further separated by the two sets of auxiliary B row nian motions which represent the har-


$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{B}^{0}=\sim\left(B^{\wedge} B\right)=2 \quad \sim_{B}(1)=2 U^{1}(1)=2: \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ear a point on a self-avoiding $w a \mathbb{k}$, the latter appears by constnuction as $m$ ade of


$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{P}^{0}=\sim(P \wedge P)=2 \quad \sim_{P}(1)=2 U{ }^{1} \frac{5}{8}=\frac{3}{2}: \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter perform ing the double Legendre transform and som e calculations (generalized and detailed in section $\overline{7}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(;{ }^{0}\right)=2+\frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{3} a^{0^{2}} 1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{0} \\
\frac{1}{24}\left(+{ }^{0}\right) ;  \tag{4.37}\\
=2 p \frac{1}{24 n+1} a^{\infty}+\frac{1}{4} p \frac{1}{24 n+1}+\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{24 n^{0}+1} ; \tag{4.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

and a sim ilar sym $m$ etric equation for ${ }^{0}$. Here $a^{00}$ has the shifted values:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\infty}=a^{0}+=a^{0} \frac{1}{2}  \tag{4.39}\\
& a_{B}^{\infty}=\frac{3}{2}(R W) ; \text { or } a_{P}^{\infty}=1 \text { (SAW ): } \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

These doubly multifractal spectra thus are di erent for RW 's and SAW 's. The SAW spectrum possesses the required property

$$
f_{P}()=\sup o f_{P}\left(;{ }^{0}\right)=f() ;
$$

where $f()$ is $(\overline{-1} \overline{1})$ above. For a B row nian path, the one-sided spectrum

$$
f_{B}():=\sup \circ f_{B}\left(;{ }^{0}\right)=2 \quad \frac{45}{48} \quad \frac{49}{48} \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{24} ;
$$

such that $f_{B}()<f()$, gives the $M F$ spectrum of cut-points along the $B$ row nian frontier. This set of $H$ ausdor dim ension $\frac{3}{4}<1$ is disconnected, and $f_{B}(=1)(=$ $\left.\frac{49}{48}\right) \in 1$, in contrast to $M$ akarov's theorem, $f(=1)=1$, for any connected set in the plane.
4.4.5. P oly -M ultifractality. The results above can be generalized to a star conguration $m$ ade ofm random walks orm self-avoiding walks, where one looks at the sim ultaneousbehavior of the potentialin each sectorbetw een the $m$ utually-avoiding am s of the star (see section $\frac{17}{1}$ below for a precise description and calculation in the general case). The quantum gravity boundary dim ensions of these stars are respectively from $(\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ and $(\overline{3} . \overline{1} \bar{q})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.a^{0}=a_{B}^{0}(m)=\sim \sim_{B} \bar{z}^{\wedge}\right\}^{m} \mid \mathcal{L}^{\{ }{ }^{\{ } B\right)=\widetilde{m}_{B}(1)=m U^{1}(1)=m ; \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

form -cut $B$ row nian paths, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a^{0}=a_{P}^{0}(m)=\left.\sim \mathcal{Z}^{z} \mathcal{M}^{m}\right|^{\{ } \wedge P\right)=\tilde{m}_{P}(1)=m U^{1} \frac{5}{8}=\frac{3}{4} m ; \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a star $m$ ade of $m$ self-avoiding walks, all being mutually-avoiding. W e give here only these poly-m ultifractal results, which read for B rownian cut-points or self-avoiding paths:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{m}\left(f_{i=1 ;::: ; m} g\right)= & 2+\frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{3} a^{\infty^{2}}(m) 1 \frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{n}}{ }_{i}^{1} \\
& \frac{1}{24}_{i=1}^{X^{m}}{ }_{i} ; \tag{4.43}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
{ }_{i}=2 p \frac{1}{24 n_{i}+1} a^{\infty}(m)+\frac{1}{4}_{j=1}^{X^{m}} p \frac{1}{24 n_{j}+1} A ;
$$

and where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\infty}(m)=a_{B}^{\infty}(m)=a_{B}^{0}(m) \quad \frac{1}{4} m=\frac{3}{4} m ; \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m$ random walks pinched in a $m$ utually-avoiding $m$-star con guration, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\infty}(m)=a_{p}^{\infty}(m)=a_{p}^{0}(m) \quad \frac{1}{4} m=\frac{1}{2} m ; \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

form self-avoiding walks in a m utually-avoiding star con guration. The two-sided case ( $(\overline{4}-\overline{3} \bar{T})(\overline{4} .401)$ above is recovered for $m=2$. The dom ain of de nition of the poly-m ultifractal function $f$ is given by
$1 \frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{m}} i^{1} 0 ;$
as veri ed by eq. ( $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{4})$.

## 5. Percolation C lusters

5.1. C luster H ull and External P erim eter. Let us consider, for de niteness, site percolation on the 2 D triangular lattioe. By universality, the results are expected to apply to other 2D (e.g., bond) percolation $m$ odels in the scaling lim it. $C$ onsider then a very large tw o-dim ensional incipient cluster $C$, at the percolation threshold $p_{c}=1=2$. F igure $1 \frac{1}{2}$ depicts such a connected cluster.
5.1.1. Hull. The boundary lines of a site percolation cluster, i.e., of connected sets of occupied hexagons, form random lines on the dual hexagonal lattioe. (T hey are actually know $n$ to obey the statistics of random loops in the $O(\mathbb{N}=1) \mathrm{m}$ odel, where $N$ is the loop fugacity, in the so-called \low -tem perature phase", or ofboundaries of Fortuin $K$ asteleyn clusters in the $Q=1$ Potts model [201].) Each critical connected cluster thus possesses an extemal closed boundary, its hull, the fractal dim ension of which is known to be $D_{H}=7=4$ 2 $\overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}$ ].

In the scaling lim it, how ever, the hull, which possesses $m$ any pairs of points at relative distances given by a nite num ber of lattice $m$ eshes a, coils onto itself to becom e a non-sim ple curve $\left[\frac{5}{-1}\right]$; it thus develops a sm oother outer (accessible) frontier $F$ ( $C$ ) or extemal perim eter ( $E P$ ).
5.12. E xtemal P erim eter and C rossing $P$ aths. $T$ he geom etrical nature of this extemal perim eter has recently been elucidated and its H ausdor dim ension found to equald $E P=4=3[\overline{5} \overline{3} 1]$. For a site $w=(\quad)$ to belong to the accessible part of the hull, it $m$ ust rem ain, in the continuous scaling lim it, the source of at least three nonintersecting crossing paths, noted $S_{3}=P{ }^{\wedge} P_{1} \wedge P_{2}$, reaching to a (large) distance $R$ ( $F$ ig. $\cdot \overline{1} \underline{2}^{\prime}$ ) . (Recall the notation $A \wedge B$ for tw o sets, $A, B$, of random paths, required to be mutually non-intersecting, and A _ B for two independent, thus possibly intersecting, sets.) Each of these paths is $\backslash m$ onochrom atic": one path $P$ runs only through occupied sites, which simply means that $w$ belongs to a particular connected cluster; the other two dual lines $P_{i=1 ; 2}$ run through em pty sites, and doubly connect the extemalperim eter site w to \in nity" in open space $\left.\sqrt{5} 3_{1}^{1}\right]$. The de nition of the standard hull requires only the origination, in the scaling lim it, of a \bichrom atic" pair of lines $S_{2}=P \wedge P$, w ith one path running on occupied sites, and the dual one on em pty ones. Such hull points lacking a second dual line will not necessarily rem ain accessible from the outside after the scaling lim it is taken, because their single exit path becom es a strait pinched by parts of the occupied cluster. In the scaling lim it, the hull is thus a self-coiling and conform ally-invariant (C I) scaling curve which is not simple, while the extemal perim eter is a sim ple C I scaling curve.


Figure 12. An accessible site ( ) on the extemal perim eter for site percolation on the triangular lattice. It is de ned by the existence, in the scaling lim it, of three non-intersecting, and connected paths $S_{3}$ (dotted lines), one on the incipient cluster, the other tw o on the dual em pty sites. The entrances of fjords close in the scaling lim it. P oint ( ) is rst reached by three independent RW 's (red, green, blue), contributing to $H^{3}$ ( ). The hull of the incipient cluster (golden line) avoids the outer frontier of the RW 's (thick blue line). A $R$ iem ann $m$ ap of the latter onto the real line $@ H$ reveals the presence of an underlying ' $=3$ path-crossing boundary operator, i.e, a tw o-cluster boundary operator, w ith dim ension in the half-plane $\mathrm{x}_{=3}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}=2}^{\mathrm{C}}=2:$ B oth accessible hulland B row nian paths have a frontier dim ension $\frac{4}{3}$.

The (bichrom atic) set $S_{3}$ of three non-intersecting connected paths in the percolation system is govemed by a new critical exponent $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)(=2=3)$ such that $D_{E P}=2 \quad x\left(S_{3}\right)$, while a bichrom atic pair of non-intersecting paths $S_{2}$ has an exponent $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)(=1=4)$ such that $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{H}}=2 \quad \mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ (see below).
5.2. H arm onic $M$ easure of Percolation Frontiers. De ne H (w ;a) := $H(F \backslash B(w ; a))$ as the probability that a random walker, launched from in nity, rsthits the outer (accessible) percolation hull's frontier or extemal perim eter $F$ (C) in the ballB ( $\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{a}$ ) centered at pointw 2 F (C). Them om ents $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of H are averaged

For very large chusters $C$ and frontiers $F$ (C) of average size $R$; one expects again these $m$ om ents to scale as in eq. (4.5i): $Z_{n} \quad(a=R)^{(n)}$. These exponents ( $n$ ) have been obtained recently $\left[52{ }^{2}\right]$, and we shall see that they are identical to those obtained in the preceding section $\overline{A-1,1}$ for B row nian paths and self-avoiding walks.

A s before, by the very de nition of the $H \rightarrow m$ easure, $n$ independent RW 's diffusing aw ay or tow ards a neighborhood of a EP point w, give a geom etric representation of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{m}$ om ent $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{w})$; for n integer. The values so derived for n 2 N will be enough, by convexity argum ents, to obtain the analytic continuation for arbitrary $n$ 's. Figure 12 depicts such $n$ independent random walks, in a bunch, rst hitting the extemal frontier of a percolation cluster at a site $w=(): T$ he packet of independent RW 's avoids the occupied cluster, and de nes its ow n envelope as a set of tw o boundary lines separating it from the occupied part of the lattioe. The $n$ independent RW' $s$, or $B$ row nian paths $B$ in the scaling lim it, in a bunch denoted $(B)^{n}$; thus avoid the set $S_{3}$ of three non-intersecting connected paths in the percolation system, and this system is govemed by a new fam ily of critical exponents $x\left(S_{3} \wedge n\right)$ depending on $n: T$ he $m$ ain lines of the derivation of the latter exponents by generalized conform al invariance are as follow s.
5.3. H arm onic and P ath C rossing Exponents.
5.3.1. G eneralized H arm onic C rossing E xponents. The n independent B rownian paths $B$, in a bunch $(B)^{n}$; avoid a set $S, ~=(\wedge P)$ ' of ' non-intersecting crossing paths in the percolation system. They originate from the sam e hull site, and each passes only through occupied sites, or only through em pty (dual) ones [53]']. The probability that the B rownian and percolation paths altogether traverse the annulus $D(a ; R)$ from the inner boundary circle of radius a to the outer one at distance $R$, i.e., are in a \star" con guration $S, ~ \wedge ~(B)^{n}$, is expected to scale for $a=R$ ! 0 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{R}(S, \wedge n) \quad(a=R)^{x(S, \wedge n)} ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $S, \wedge^{\wedge} n=S, \wedge(B)^{n}$ as a short hand notation, and where $x\left(S,{ }^{\wedge} n\right)$ is a new critical exponent depending on ' and $n$. It is convenient to introduce sim ilar boundary probabilities $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{S}, ~ \wedge \mathrm{n}) \quad(a=R)^{\star(S, \wedge n)}$ for the sam e star con guration of paths, now crossing through the half-annulus $D^{\sim}(a ; R)$ in the half-plane $H$.
5.32. B ichrom atic $P$ ath $C$ rossing Exponents. W hen $n!0$, the probability $P_{R}(S)=,P_{R}(S, \wedge 0) \quad(a=R)^{x `} \quad\left[r e s p . P_{R}^{r}(S)=,P_{R}^{\sim}(S, \wedge 0) \quad(a=R)^{x}\right]$ is the probability of having ' sim ultaneous non-intersecting path-crossings of the annulus D ( $a ; R$ ) in the plane $C$ [resp. half-plane $H$ ], with associated exponents $x$, $:$ $x(S, \wedge 0)$ resp. $x, ~:=x(S, \wedge 0)]$. Since these exponents are obtained from the lim it $n$ ! 0 of the harm onic $m$ easure exponents, at least tw o paths run on occupied sites or em pty sites, and these are the bichrom atic path crossing exponents $\left[\frac{5}{5} 3_{1}^{-1}\right]$. T he m onochrom atic ones are di erent in the bulk $\mid$
5.4. Q uantum $G$ ravity for $P$ ercolation.
5.4.1. $\mathrm{c}=0 \mathrm{KPZ} \mathrm{m}$ apping. C ritical percolation is described by a conform al eld theory w ith the sam e vanishing central charge $c=0$ as RW's or SAW's (see, e.g., $\left.\left.\overline{9} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right]\right)$. U sing again the fundam ental $m$ apping of this conform al eld theory (CFT) in the plane $C$, to the CFT on a uctuating random $R$ iem ann surface, i.e., in presence of quantum gravity $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[21} \\ \sigma_{1}\end{array}\right]$, the two universal functions $U$ and $V$ only depend on the central charge c of the CFT, and are the same as for RW 's, and SAW 's:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=\frac{1}{3} x(1+2 x) ; V(x)=\frac{1}{24} 4 x^{2} \quad 1 ; \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{U} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad:$
They su ce to generate all geom etrical exponents involving $m$ utual-avoidance of random star-shaped sets of paths of the critical percolation system. C onsider tw o arbitrary random sets A;B; involving each a collection of paths in a star conguration, w ith proper scaling crossing exponents $x(A) ; x(B)$; or, in the halfplane, crossing exponents $x(A)$; x ( $B$ ) : If one fuses the star centers and requires $A$ and $B$ to stay $m$ utually-avoiding, then the new crossing exponents, $x(A \wedge B)$ and


$$
\begin{align*}
& x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=2 V^{1} U^{1}\left(x^{(A)}\right)+U^{1}\left(x^{(B)}\right) \\
& x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=U U^{1}\left(x^{(A))+U^{1}\left(x^{(B)}\right)}\right. \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U{ }^{1}(x)$ is the inverse function

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{1}(x)=\frac{1}{4} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{24 x+1} \quad 1: \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his structure im $m$ ediately gives both the percolation crossing exponents $x \cdot ; x$. [53
5.4 2. P ath $C$ rossing E xponents. F irst, for a set $S,=(\wedge P)$ ' of ${ }^{\prime}$ crossing paths, we have from the recurrent use of $(5,5)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x},=2 \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{U} \mathrm{~V}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right): \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For percolation, two values of half-plane crossing exponents $x$, are known by el
 $\frac{1}{2} U^{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{3} U^{1}\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$; (thus $\left.\left.x_{1}=\frac{1}{3}[1] 1\right]\right)$, which in tum gives

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{\prime}}=2 \mathrm{~V} \quad \frac{1}{2}, \quad=\frac{1}{12} \quad 2 \quad 1 ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{\prime}}=\mathrm{U} \quad \frac{1}{2},=\frac{\grave{v}}{6}(`+1):
$$

 exponents of the associated $O(\mathbb{N}=1)$ loop $m$ odel, in the $\backslash$ low tem perature phase". For $L$ even, these exponents also govem the existence of $k=\frac{1}{2} L$ spanning clusters, w ith the identity $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{\prime}}=2 \mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{12} 4 \mathrm{k}^{2} \quad 1$ in the plane, and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{v}}=2 \mathrm{k} \quad 1=$ $\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{k}\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 \mathrm{k} & 1\end{array}\right)$ in the half-plane $[20,144$,
5.4.3. Brownian $N$ on-Intersection Exponents. The non-intersection exponents $(\underline{2} . \overline{2})$ and $(\overline{2}-\overline{2}-\overline{1})$ ) of B B row nian paths seen in section path crossing exponents for

$$
\begin{equation*}
2_{\mathrm{L}}=x \cdot 2_{\mathrm{L}}=x \cdot ; \quad \imath=2 L ; \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we obtain a com plete scaling equivalence between a B rownian path and two percolating crossing paths, in both the plane and half-plane [52i].
5.4.4. H arm onic C rossing Exponents. F inally, for the harm onic crossing exponents in ( $(5-\overline{2})$, we fuse the two ob jects $S$, and $(B)^{n}$ into a new star $S, \wedge n$, and use (5.4). W e just have seen that the boundary '-crossing exponent of $S$, $x$, obeys $U^{-1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot: T$ he bunch of $n$ independent $B$ rownian paths have their ow $n$ halfplane crossing exponent $\left.x(L B)^{n}\right)=n *(B)=n$; since the boundary conform al weight of a single $B$ row nian path is trivially $x(B)=1$. T hus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{~S}, \wedge^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)=2 \mathrm{~V} \quad 12^{`}+\mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{n}): \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
x\left(S_{3} \wedge n\right)=2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1
\end{array}\right)+\frac{5}{24} p \overline{24 n+1} \quad 5:
$$

5.5. M ultifractality of $P$ ercolation $C$ lusters.
5.5.1. M ultifractald im ensions and Spectrum. In term sofprobability (5) 2), the
 leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n)=x\left(S_{3} \wedge n\right) \quad 2: \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
(\mathrm{n})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1 \tag{5.10}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{5}{24} \mathrm{p} \overline{24 \mathrm{n}+1} \quad 5
$$

is found to be identical to $(\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{4})$ for RW 's and SAW 's; the generalized dim ensions D ( $n$ ) are then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(n)=\frac{1}{n} 1 \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\mathrm{n})=\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{p} \frac{5}{24 \mathrm{n}+1}+5$ n $2 \frac{1}{24} ;+1$;
valid for all values of $m$ om ent order $n$; $n \quad \frac{1}{24}$ : The Legendre transform reads again exactly as in eq. (4.17) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f()=\frac{25}{48} \quad 3 \quad \frac{1}{2 \quad 1} \quad \frac{1}{24} ; \quad 2 \frac{1}{2} ;+1 \quad: \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.52 . C om parison to $N$ um erical Results. O nly in the case of percolation has the harm onic $m$ easure been system atically studied num erically, by $M$ eakin et al.
 num erical results forn 2 f2; :::; 9 g ( $\overline{98} \overline{1}]$, show ing fairly good agreem ent.

The average number $N$ ( H ) (421) has been also determ ined num erically for percolation clusters in $[\overline{9} 9 \overline{9}]$, and for $\bar{C}=0$, ourprediction ( $\overline{4}-\overline{2} \overline{3}) \quad=\frac{23}{24}=0: 95833::$ : com pares very well w ith the result $\quad=0: 951 \quad 0: 030$, obtained for $10{ }^{5} \mathrm{H}$ $10^{4}$.

The dim ension of the $m$ easure's support $D(0)=\frac{4}{3} \in D_{H}$; where $D_{H}=\frac{7}{4}$ is the $H$ ausdor dim ension of the standard hull, i.e., the outer boundary of critical percolating clusters $[\underline{[201]}]$. The value $D(0)=\frac{4}{3}$ corresponds to the dim ension of the accessible external perim eter. A direct derivation of its exact value is given in [1531]. $T$ he com plem ent of the accessible perim eter in the hull is $m$ ade ofdeep fjords, which do close in the scaling lim it and are not probed by the harm onic m easure. This is in agreem ent w ith the instability phenom enon observed on a lattice for the hull dim ension [ $\left[\overline{1} \overline{8}_{1}^{1}\right]$. A striking fact is the com plete identity of the $m$ ultifractal spectrum for percolation to the corresponding results, eqs. ( 4 and self-avoiding walks. Seen from outside, these three fractalsim ple curves are not distinguished by the harm onic $m$ easure. In fact they are the sam $e$, and one of the

$F$ igure 13. Universal generalized dim ensions $D(n)$ as a function of $n$, corresponding to the harm onic $m$ easure near a percolation cluster, or to self-avoiding or random walks, and com parison w ith the num erical data obtained by $M$ eakin et al. (1988) for percolation.
$m$ ain conclusions of this study is that the extemal frontiers of a planar B rownian $m$ otion, or of a critical percolation cluster are, in the scaling lim it, identical to a critical self-avoiding walk, w ith H ausdor dim ension $D=\frac{4}{3}$. A s we have seen, th is fact is linked to the presence of a single universal conform al eld theory (w ith a vanishing centralcharge $c=0$ ), and to the underlying presence ofquantum gravity, which organizes the associated conform aldim ensions. $N$ ote that in a recent work, Sm imov $\left[\frac{6}{6} 4_{1}^{1}\right]$ proved that critical site percolation on the triangular lattice has a conform ally-invariant scaling lim it, and that the discrete cluster interfaces (hulls) converge to the sam e stochastic Low ner evolution process as the one involved for B row nian paths, opening the w ay to a rigorous derivation of percolation exponents

5.5.3. D ouble Layer Im pedance. Let us nally consider the di erent, but related, problem of the double layer im pedance of a rough electrode. In som e range of frequencies!, the im pedanc contains an anom alous \constant phase angle" (CPA) term (i!) , where < 1. From a naturalRW representation of the im pedance, a scaling law was recently proposed: $=\frac{D(2)}{D(0)}$ (here in 2 D ), where D (2) and D (0) are the $m$ ultifractal dim ensions of the $H \rightarrow m$ easure on the rough electrode $\left[10 \bar{o}_{1}^{1}\right]$. In the case of a 2 D porous percolative electrode, our results (5.11) give D $(\overline{2})=\frac{11}{12}$; D $(0)=\frac{4}{3}$, whence $=\frac{11}{16}=0: 6875$ : This com pares very well w ith a num erical RW algorithm result [999] , which yields an e ective CPA exponent $\quad 0: 69$; nioely vindicating the multifractal description $\left[100^{-1}\right]$.

## 6. Conformally Invariant Frontiers and $Q$ uantum Gravity

In the next sections, we present a univensaldescription ofm ultifractal functions for arbitrary conform ally-invariant curves. They are derived from conform al eld
theory and quantum gravity. The geom etrical ndings are described in detail, including cases like Ising clusters, or $Q=4 \mathrm{P}$ otts Fortuin $-K$ asteleyn clusters, which are of particular interest. $W$ e also $m$ ake explicit the relation betw een a conform allyinvariant scaling curve w ith CFT central charge c [5]'], and the stochastic Low ner process SLE [59] . A fundam ental geom etric duality property for the extemal boundaries in $O(\mathbb{N})$ and Potts $m$ odels, and SLE is obtained. For several sim ple paths we also de ne and calculate higher m ultifractal spectra.
6.1. H arm on ic $M$ easure and $P$ otential near a Fractal $F$ rontier.
6.1.1. LocalB ehavior of the $P$ otential. $C$ onsider a single (conform ally-invariant) critical random chuster, generically called C. Let H (z) be the potential at an exterior point z 2 C , w ith D irichlet boundary conditions H ( $\mathrm{w} 2 \mathrm{@C}$ ) $=0$ on the outer (sim ply connected) boundary @C of C, (or frontier F : $=@ C$ ), and $H(w)=1$ on a circle \at 1 ", i.e., of a large radius scaling like the average size R of C. As is well-know $n$ [ $\overline{[ } \bar{q} \overline{1}], H(z)$ is identical to the harm onic $m$ easure of the circle \at 1 " seen from $z$, ie, the probability that a random walker ( $m$ ore precisely, a B row nian $m$ otion) launched from $z$, escapes to 1 w thout having hit C.
 ary sites by a Holder exponent ; and à H ausdor dimension $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{)}=\operatorname{dim}$ (@ C ), such that their potential locally scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { H (z! w } 2 \text { @C ) (j w w } \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the scaling lim it $a \quad r=j \quad w j \quad R$ ( $w$ ith a the underlying lattice constant if one starts from a lattioe description before taking the scaling lim it a! 0).
6.12. Equivalent $W$ edge Angle. In 2D the com plex potential' (z) (such that the electrostatic potential $H(z)==^{\prime}(z)$ and the eld'smodulus $F(z) j=j^{0}(z) j$ for a wedge of angle, centered at w , is

$$
{ }^{\prime}(z)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & w \tag{62}
\end{array}\right)^{=}:
$$

By eq. [ 6.1 .11) a H older exponent thus de nes a local equivalent \electrostatic" angle $==$; and the MF dimension $\hat{f}()$ of the boundary subset w ith such is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}()=f(==): \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.1.3. M om ents. It is convenient to de ne the harm onic m easure $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{r})=$ $\mathrm{H}(@ \mathrm{C} \backslash \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{r})$ ) in a ballB ( $\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{r}$ ) of radius r centered arw 2 @C, as the probability that a B row nian path started at in nity rst hits the frontier $F=@ C$ inside the ball $B$ ( $w ; r$ ). It is the integral of the Laplacian of potential $H$ in the ball $B$ ( $w ; r$ ), i.e., the boundary charge in that ball. It scales as $r$ w ith the sam e exponent as in (6.1.1).

Of special interest are the $m$ om ents of $H$, averaged over all realizations of $C$, and de ned as

where points w 2 @C=r are the centers of a covering of the frontier @C by balls of radius $r$, and form a discrete subset @C=r @C. Them om ent order $n$ can be a real num ber. In the scaling lim it, one expects these $m$ om ents to scale as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{R})^{(\mathrm{n})} ; \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the m ultifractal scaling exponents ( $n$ ) vary in a non-linear way with $n$信 $\overline{1}, 1,1$ $\overline{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{I})=\overline{\mathrm{n}}$; $\overline{\mathrm{w}}$ ith $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{f}^{0}(\mathrm{I}) ;={ }^{0}(\mathrm{n})$. By norm alization: (1)=0 : As noted above, because of the ensem ble average ( 6.41 ), values of $f()$ can becom e negative for som e dom ains of
6.2. C alculation of $E$ xponents from $Q$ uantum $G$ ravity. Let usnow give the $m$ ain lines of the derivation of exponents $(n)$, hence $f()$, via generalized conform al invariance.
62.1. B rownian Representation of $M$ om ents. A s above, $n$ independent RW 's, or $B$ row nian paths $B$ in the scaling lim it, started at the sam e point a distance $r$ aw ay from the cluster's hull's frontier @C, and di using w ithout hitting @C, give a geom etric representation of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{m}$ om ent; $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}$; in eq. (4.4) forn integer. C onvexity $y$ ields the analytic continuation to arbitrary n's. Let us recall the notation $A \wedge B$ for tw o random sets required to traverse, w ithout $m$ utual intersection, the annulus $D(r ; R)$ from the inner boundary circle of radius $r$ to the outer one at distance $R$, and $A$ _ $B$ for two independent, thus possibly intersecting, sets $\left[\begin{array}{l}11 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. W ith this notation, one can de ne, as in eq. ( $\overline{3}=31)$, a grand canonical partition function which describes the star con guration of the $B$ row nian paths and cluster: @ $\mathrm{C}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}:=$ @ ${ }^{\wedge}(\mathrm{LB})^{\mathrm{n}}$. At the criticalpoint, it is expected to scale for $r=R!0$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}\left(@ \mathrm{C}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right) \quad(\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{R})^{\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n})+} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scaling exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}):=\mathrm{x}\left(@ \mathrm{C}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends on n and is associated w th the conform aloperator creating the star vertex $@ C \wedge n$. The dots after exponent $x(n)$ express the fact that there $m$ ay be an additional contribution to the exponent, independent of $n$, corresponding to the entropy associated w th the extrem ities of the random frontier (see, e.g., (3)

By norm alization, this contribution actually does not appear in the $m$ ultifractal m om ents. Since $H$ is a probability $m$ easure, the sum $6.6^{4}$ ) is indeed norm alized as in (4.8)
(6.8)

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}=1}=1 ;
$$

or in term $s$ of star partition functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}\left(@ \mathrm{C}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}\left(@ \mathrm{C}^{\wedge} 1\right): \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he scaling behavior (6. $\overline{6}$ ) thus gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{R})^{x(\mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{x}(1)}: \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he last exponent actually obeys the identity $\mathrm{x}(1)=\mathrm{x}\left(@ \mathrm{C}^{\wedge} 1\right)=2$, which will be obtained directly, and can also be seen as a consequence of $G$ auss's theorem in tw o dim ensions $\left[\frac{1}{3} 8_{1}^{1}\right]$. T hus we can also w rite as in ( 5

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{r})^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}}\left(@ \mathrm{C}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right) ; \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $P_{R}\left(@ C^{\wedge} n\right)$ is a (grand-canonical) excursion $m$ easure from $r$ to $R$ for the random set $@ C{ }^{\wedge} n$, w th proper scaling $P_{R} \quad(r=R)^{x(n)}$. The factor $(R=r)^{2}$ is the area scaling factor of the annulus $D(r ; R)$.

O w ing to eqs. (6.5) (6. $\left.\overline{\mathrm{\sigma}} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I}^{\prime}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n)=x(n) \quad x(1)=x(n) \quad 2: \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

622. Q uantum G ravity. To calculate these exponents, we again use the funda$m$ ental $m$ apping betw een the conform al eld theory, describing a critical statistical system in the plane C or half-plane H, and the same CFT on a random planar
 $U$ and $V$, which now depend on the central charge $c$ of the CFT, describe the K P Z m ap betw een conform aldim ensions in bulk orboundary Q G and those in the standard plane or half-plane:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{U} \quad(\mathrm{x}):=\mathrm{x} \frac{\mathrm{x}}{1} ; \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{V} \quad(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{x}^{2}{ }^{2}}{1} ; \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}):=\mathrm{U} \quad \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{x}+\quad): \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The param eter is the string susceptibility exponent of the random 2D surface (of genus zero), bearing the C F T of central charge c $[\underline{2} \overline{6}]$; is the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1 \quad 6^{2}(1 \quad)^{1} ; \quad 0: \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to sim plify the notation, we shallhereafter in this section drop the subscript from functions $U$ and $V$.
$T$ he function $U \mathrm{~m}$ aps quantum gravity conform alweights, whether in the bulk or on a boundary, into their counterparts in C or H , as in $\left.(2.9)(2.1)^{-}\right)$. T he function V has been tailored to m ap quantum gravity boundary dim ensions to the corresponding conform aldim ensions in the fullplane $C$, as in $(2,-\overline{-})(\overline{2}, \overline{4} \overline{1})$. The positive inverse function of $U, U^{1}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \quad p \overline{4(1 \quad) x+{ }^{2}}+; \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and transform s conform alweights of a conform aloperator in C or H into the conform al weights of the sam e operator in quantum gravity, in the bulk or on the boundary. $N$ ote the shiff relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~V}^{1}(\mathrm{x})+\frac{1}{2} \quad ; \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the inverse of $V$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{1}(x)=P \overline{p\left(1 \quad x+{ }^{2}\right.} ; \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

transform s the bulk conform al weight in C of a given conform al operator into the boundary conform al weight of the corresponding boundary operator in quantum gravity (see appendix $\overline{C l}^{-1}$ ).
62.3. Boundary Additivity Rule. C onsider two arbitrary random sets A; B; $w$ ith boundary scaling exponents $x(A) ; x(B)$ in the half-plane $H$ with $D$ irichlet boundary conditions. $W$ hen these tw o sets are $m$ utually-avoiding, the scaling exponent $x(A \wedge B)$ in $C$, as in $(6.7)$, or $x(A \wedge B)$ in $H$ have the universal structure京 $11,1, ~$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=2 V U^{1}(x(A))+U^{1}(x(B)) ;  \tag{6.19}\\
& x(A \wedge B)=U U^{1}(x(A))+U^{1}(x(B)):
\end{align*}
$$

(620)

W e have seen these fundam ental relations in the c = 0 case above; they are established for the general case in appendix $\mathrm{C}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{x})$ is, on the random disk w ith


Figure 14. Tlustration of the additivity rule $(\overline{6} \overline{2} 2 \overline{2})$ : each of the two non-intersecting strands of a simple random path lives in its ow $n$ sector of the random disk near the $D$ irichlet boundary.
$D$ irichlet boundary conditions, the boundary scaling dim ension corresponding to $x$ in the halfplane $H$, and in eqs. $(\overline{6}-\overline{1} 9)\left(\overline{6} 20^{\prime \prime}\right)$

$$
(621)
$$

$$
U^{1}\left(x^{\wedge}\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)\right)=U^{1}\left(x^{(A)}\right)+U^{1}(x(B))
$$

is a linear boundary exponent corresponding to the fusion of tw o \boundary operators" on the random disk, under the $D$ irichlet $m$ utual avoidance condition $A^{\wedge} B$. $T$ his quantum boundary conform aldim ension is $m$ apped back by $V$ to the scaling dim ension in $C$, or by $U$ to the boundary scaling dim ension in $H$ [J工 $\left.\underline{Z}_{1}^{1}\right]$ (see appendix Cill
62.4. Exponent $C$ onstruction. For determ ining the harm onic exponents $x(n)$ $\left(\overline{6} \overline{-}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$, we use $(\overline{6} . \overline{1} \overline{9})$ for $A=@ C$ and $B=(B)^{n}$.

W e rst need the boundary (conform al) scaling dim ension (bs.d.) w $=$ ( $(\mathrm{C}$ ) associated w ith the presence of the random frontier near the $D$ irichlet boundary H. Since this frontier is simple, it can be seen as made of two non-intersecting sem i-in nite strands ( $F$ ig. (1914). Its.b.s.d. in quantum gravity thus obeys ( $\overline{6} \mathbf{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=2 U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right) ; \tag{622}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}$ is the boundary scaling dim ension of a sem i-in nite frontier path originating at the boundary $H$.
$T$ he packet of $n$ independent $B$ rownian paths has $\left.x\left(L^{n}\right)\right)=n$; since $x(B)=1$.
From ' $-(6,1)$ the Q G boundary dim ension of the whole set is (see Figit $\underline{1}_{1}^{1} 5$ ):
(623) $\quad \sim=U{ }^{1}\left[x_{x}\left(@ C^{\wedge} n\right)\right]=2 U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+U^{1}(n):$

Its associated Q G bulk conform aldim ension is therefore $=\frac{1}{2}(\sim+)$ (appendix


$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}) & =2 \mathrm{U}()=2 \mathrm{~V}\left(y^{2}\right. \\
& =2 \mathrm{~V} 2 \mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{n}): \tag{6,24}
\end{align*}
$$

T he whole construction is illustrated in Fig. ${ }^{11}$-15.


$$
x(n)=2 \mathrm{U}(\Delta)
$$

$$
\Delta=\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta}+\gamma)
$$

Figure 15. The quantum gravity construction ( $\overline{6}-\overline{2} \overline{2})(\overline{6}-\overline{2} \overline{3})$ of exponents (624).
$T$ he value of the Q G b.s.d. of a sim ple sem i-in nite random path is (6.25)

$$
\mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad):
$$

 $m$ odel, or of the SLE. It can be directly derived from $M$ akarov's theorem :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n=1)={ }^{0}(n=1)=\frac{d x}{d n}(n=1)=1 ; \tag{6,26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, applied to $(\bar{\sigma} \overline{2} \overline{4} \overline{4})$, leads to the sam e result. We thus nally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n})=2 \mathrm{~V} 1 \quad+\mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{n})=2 \mathrm{U} \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{n}) \quad: \tag{627}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result satis es the identity: $\mathrm{x}(1)=2 \mathrm{U}(1)=2$, which is related to G auss's theorem, as m entioned above.
62.5. M ultifractal Exponents. The multifractal exponents (n)'- (6.72) are


$$
\begin{align*}
(n) & =x(n) 2 \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{2}(n \quad 1)+\frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1}\left[\frac{p}{4(1}\right) n+{ }^{2} \quad(2 \quad)\right]: \tag{628}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilar exponents, but associated $w$ ith $m$ om ents taken at the tip, later appeared in
 random walks.) The whole fam ily will be given in section $1 \bar{L} \mathbf{I}_{1}$.

The Legendre transform is easily perform ed to yield:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \left.=\frac{d}{d n}(n)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} p \frac{2}{4(1}\right) \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{c}^{2}
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n}) \\
& =\frac{1}{8} \frac{(2 \quad \mathrm{f}}{1} 3 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1} ;  \tag{6.30}\\
& \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

It is convenient to express the results in term s of the central charge $\mathrm{c} w$ ith the help of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \frac{(2 \quad \mathcal{f}}{1}=\frac{25 \quad c}{24} ; \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1}=\frac{1 \quad c}{24}: \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We nally nd the
M ultifractal Exponents

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathrm{n})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1
\end{array}\right)+\frac{25 \quad \mathrm{c}}{24} \quad \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{24 \mathrm{n}+1}{25 \mathrm{c}}} \quad 1 \text {; }  \tag{6,32}\\
& D(n)=\frac{(n)}{n \quad 1}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{24 \mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{C}}{25 \mathrm{c}}+1} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{l}} \text {; }  \tag{6.33}\\
& \text { n } 2 \\
& \mathrm{n}=\frac{1 \mathrm{C}}{24} ;+1 \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

## M ultifractal Spectrum

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dn}}(\mathrm{n})=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{r} \frac{25}{24 \mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{c}}  \tag{6.34}\\
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{)} & =\frac{25 \mathrm{c}}{48} 3 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{24} \mathrm{c} \\
& 2 \frac{1}{2} ;+1 \quad
\end{align*}
$$

62.6. Other M ultifractal Exponents. This form alism im m ediately allow s generalizations. For instance, in place of a packet of $n$ independent random walks, one can consider a packet of $n$ independent self-avoiding walks $P$, which avoid the fractal boundary. The associated multifractal exponents $\mathrm{x}\left(@ \mathrm{C} \wedge(\mathrm{L})^{\mathrm{n}}\right.$ ) are given by $\left(\overline{6} \overline{2}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$, w th the argum ent $n$ in $U{ }^{1}(n)$ sim ply replaced by $\left.x(L P)^{n}\right)=n x(P)=\frac{5}{8} n$ \$511]. T hese exponents govem the universalm ultifractal behavior of the m om ents of the probability that a SAW escapes from C.O ne then gets a spectrum $f()$ such that

$$
f(=x(P))=f(==)=\hat{f}() ;
$$

thus unveiling the sam e invariant underlying wedge distribution as the ham onic $m$ easure (see also $\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]\right)$.
6.3. G eom etricalA nalysis of M ultifractal Spectra.
6.3.1. M akarov's Theorem. T he generalized dim ensions $D(n)$ satisfy, for any $C$, ${ }^{0}(\mathrm{n}=1)=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{n}=1)=1$, or equivalently $\mathrm{f}(=1)=1$, i.e., $M$ akarov's theorem $\left[\begin{array}{l}-9 \\ 9\end{array}\right]$, valid for any sim ply connected boundary curve. From $(\overline{6} \overline{6} \overline{3} \overline{3}), ~(\overline{6} \overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ we also note a fundam ental relation, independent of $c$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
32 \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{n})=1===\text { : } \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e also have the superuniversalbounds: $8 \mathrm{c} ; 8 \mathrm{n} ; \frac{1}{2}=\mathrm{D}(1) \quad \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{n})=\frac{3}{2}$, corresponding to $0 \quad 2$.
6.32. Sym $m$ etries. It is interesting to note that the generalm ultifractal function ( 6

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{)} \quad=\frac{25 \quad \mathrm{c}}{24} 1 \frac{1}{2} 2 \quad 1+\frac{1}{2} \quad:\right. \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them ultifractalfunctions $f()=\hat{f}()$ - thuspossess the invariance property (

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=+{ }^{0}=-+\underset{0}{ } \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

this corresponds to the com plem entary dom ain of the wedge . T This condition reads also $D(n)+D\left(n^{0}\right)=2: T$ his basic sym $m$ etry, rst observed $\left.\overline{9}_{-1}^{1} \overline{4}_{1}^{\top}\right]$ for the $c=0$ result

6.3.3. Equivalent $W$ edge $D$ istribution. T he geom etrical m ultifractal distribution of wedges along the boundary takes the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{f}}()=\mathrm{f}-=-\frac{25}{12} \frac{\mathrm{c}\left(\quad \frac{3}{(2}\right)}{(2} \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rem arkably enough, the second term also describes the contribution by a wedge to the density of electrom agnetic $m$ odes in a cavity $\left[1 \overline{1} 0 \overline{3}_{1}^{1}\right]$. T he sim ple shift in $(\overline{6}, \overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{9})$,

25 ! 25 c , from the $\mathrm{c}=0$ case to general values of c , can then be related to results of conform alinvariance in a wedge [10 $\left[1-\overline{4}_{1}^{-1}\right]$. The partition function for the tw 0 sides of a wedge of angle and size R, in a CFT of central charge c, indeed scales as $\left[10 \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{\mathrm{Z}}(; \mathrm{C}) \quad \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{c}( } \quad\right)^{2}=12 \quad(2 \quad): \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hus, one can view the c dependance of result $(\overline{6} \cdot \overline{3} \overline{9})$ as follow s: the num ber of sites, $\mathrm{R}^{\hat{f}(; c)}$, w ith localwedge angle along a random path $w$ th centralcharge $c$, is the same as the num ber of sites, $\mathrm{R}^{\hat{\mathrm{f}}(; c=0)}$, w th wedge angle along a self-avoiding walk $(c=0)$, renorm alized by the partition function $\hat{z}()$ representing the presence of a C-CFT along such wedges:

$$
\mathrm{R}^{\hat{\mathrm{f}}(; \mathrm{c})} / \mathrm{R}^{\hat{\mathrm{f}}(; c=0)}=\hat{\mathrm{Z}}(; \mathrm{c}):
$$

6.3.4. H ausdor D im ension of the ExtemalP erim eter. Them axim um of $f()$ corresponds to $n=0$, and gives the H ausdor dim ension $D_{E P}$ of the support of the $m$ easure, i.e., the accessible or extemal perim eter as:

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{E P} & =\sup f()=f((n=0))  \tag{6.41}\\
& \left.=D(0)=\frac{3}{2(1}\right)=\frac{2}{2} \quad \frac{1}{24} p \overline{1} \mathrm{p} \frac{1}{25 \quad c} p \overline{1} c:
\end{align*}
$$

T his corresponds to a typical sigularity exponent
(6.43)

$$
\wedge=(0)=1 \quad \frac{1}{=}=\frac{1}{12} p \bar{C}_{1} \mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{C}}{25 \quad \mathrm{C}} \overline{1 \quad \mathrm{C}} \quad{ }^{1}=\left(3 \quad 2 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{EP}}\right)^{1} ;
$$

and to a typicalwedge angle

$$
\text { (6.44) } \quad{ }^{\wedge}==^{\wedge}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 2 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{E}}
\end{array}\right):
$$

6.3.5. P robability D ensities. The probability P ( ) to nd a singularity exponent or, equivalently, $\hat{P}()$ to nd an equivalent opening angle along the frontier is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P()=\hat{P}() / R^{f() f(\wedge)}: \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the values found above, one can recast this probability as (see also $\left[\begin{array}{l}\left.\left.\overline{5} \bar{\sigma}^{-1}\right]\right)\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P()=\hat{P}() / \exp \frac{1}{24} \ln R \quad \mathrm{p} \underset{1}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{P}{\overline{25} C^{\prime}}_{2^{2}!}^{2}}{}{ }^{\#} ; \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
!=\quad \frac{1}{2}=-\quad \frac{1}{2}:
$$

6.3.6. U n iversal M ultifractal D ata. The m ultifractal exponents ( n ) ( F ig. ${ }_{1}^{1} 1$ or generalized dim ensions D ( n ) ( F ig. ${ }^{1} \mathcal{I}_{1}$ ) appear quite sim ilar for various values of $c$, and a num erical sim ulation would hardly distinguish the di erent universality classes, while the f ( ) functions, as we see, do distinguish these classes, especially for negative $n$, i.e. large. In $F$ igure $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ we display the $m$ ultifractal functions $f$, eq. ( $6,3 \overline{3})$, corresponding to various values of $2 \mathrm{c} \quad 1$, or, equivalently, to a num ber of com ponents $\mathrm{N} 2[0 ; 2]$, and $\mathrm{Q} 2[0 ; 4]$ in the $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{N})$ or Potts m odels (see below).


Figure 16. Universalmultifractal exponents ( $n$ ). The curves are indexed by the central charge $c$, and correspond to the sam e colors as in F igure, $1 \overline{1} 8$ green: self-avoiding or random walks, and percolation ( $c=0$ ); blue: Ising chusters or $Q=2$ Potts clusters ( $\mathrm{c}=\frac{1}{2}$ ); red: $\mathrm{N}=2$ loops, or $Q=4$ Potts clusters ( $c=1$ ). The curves are alm ost indistinguishable at the scale shown.

$F$ igure 17. Universal generalized dim ensions $D(n)$. The curves are indexed by the sam e colors as in $F$ igure ig below but are alm ost indistinguishable at the scale show $n$.
6.3.7. N edles. The singularity at $=\frac{1}{2}$, or $=2$, in the $m$ ultifractal functions $f$, or $\hat{f}$, corresponds to boundary points $w$ ith a needle local geom etry, and B eurling's theorem $\left[\frac{9}{9} 2_{-1}^{-1}\right.$ indeed insures that the H older exponents are bounded below by $\frac{1}{2}$. This corresponds to large values of $n$, where, asym ptotically, for any universality class,

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 C ; \lim _{n!1} D(n)=\frac{1}{2}: \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$


$F$ igure 18. Universal harm onic $m$ ultifractal spectra $f()$. The curves are indexed by the central charge $c$, and correspond to: 2D spanning trees ( $c=2$ ); self-avoiding or random walks, and percolation $(c=0)$; Ising clusters or $Q=2$ Potts chisters ( $\left(c=\frac{1}{2}\right)$; $\mathrm{N}=2$ loops, or $\mathrm{Q}=4 \mathrm{P}$ otts clusters $(\mathrm{c}=1)$. The maxim al dim ensions are those of the accessible frontiens. T he left branches of the various $f()$ curves are largely indistinguishable, while their right branches split for large, corresponding to negative values ofn.
6.3.8. F jords. The right branch of $f()$ has a linear asym ptote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!1} \mathrm{f}()=\mathrm{n}=(1 \quad \mathrm{c})=24: \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ! 1 behavior corresponds to $m$ om ents of lowest order $n!n$, where $D(n)$ reaches its $m$ axim al value: $8 c ; D(n)=\frac{3}{2}$, com $m$ on to all sim ply connected, conform ally-invariant, boundaries.
$T h$ is describes alm ost inaccessible sites: De ne $N$ (H) as the num ber ofboundary sites having a given probability $H$ to be hit by a RW starting at in nity; the MF form alism yields, for $H$ ! 0; a power law behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \quad(\mathrm{H}) \dot{j}_{1}!0 \quad H^{(1+\mathrm{n})} \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith an exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\mathrm{n}=\frac{23+\mathrm{c}}{24}<1: \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.3.9. Ising C lusters. A critical Ising chuster ( $c=\frac{1}{2}$ ) possesses a multifractal spectrum with respect to the harm onic $m$ easure:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1
\end{array}\right)+\frac{7}{48} p \overline{48 n+1} \quad 7 \text {; }  \tag{6.51}\\
& f()=\frac{49}{96} 3 \frac{1}{2} 1 \quad \frac{18}{48} ; 2 \frac{1}{2} ;+1 \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the dim ension of the accessible perim eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{E P}=\sup f\left(; c=\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{11}{8}: \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

63.10. $Q=4$ Potts C husters, and \ultim ate $N$ orway". The lim it $m$ ultifractal spectrum is obtained for $c=1$, which is an upper or lower bound for all $c^{\prime}$ s, depending on the position of $w$ ith respect to 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}(; \mathrm{c}<1)<\mathrm{f}(; \mathrm{c}=1) ; 1< \\
& \mathrm{f}(=1 ; \mathrm{C})=1 ; 8 \mathrm{C} ; \\
& \mathrm{f}(; \mathrm{c}<1)>\mathrm{f}(; \mathrm{c}=1) ;<1:
\end{aligned}
$$

This M F spectrum provides an exact exam ple of a lett-sided M F spectrum, w ith an asym ptote $\mathrm{f}(!1 ; \mathrm{c}=1)!\frac{3}{2}$ ( F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{(18)}$ ). It corresponds to singular boundaries where $\hat{f}(!0 ; C=1)=\frac{3}{2}=D_{E P}$, i.e., where the extemal perim eter is everyw here dom inated by \fjords", with typical angle ${ }^{\wedge}=0$. It is tem pting to call it the \ultim ate N orw ay".

The frontier of $Q=4$ Potts Fortuin $K$ asteleyn cluster, or the SLE $=4$ provide
 TheMF data are:

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathrm{n}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1
\end{array}\right)+\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{n}} 1 ;  \tag{6.54}\\
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r}) & =\frac{1}{2} 3 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 2 \frac{1}{2} ;+1 ; \tag{6.55}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith accessible sites form ing a set of H ausdor dim ension

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{E P}=\sup f(; C=1)=\frac{3}{2} ; \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also the $m$ axim alvalue com $m$ on to all $m$ ultifractal generalized dim ensions $D(n)=\frac{1}{n} 1 \quad(n) . T$ he extemal perim eter which bears the electrostatic charge is a non-intersecting sim ple path. W e therefore arrive at the striking conclusion that in the plane, a conform ally-invariant scaling curve which is sim ple has a $H$ ausdor dim ension at $m$ ost equal to $D_{E P}=3=2\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. The corresponding $Q=4 \mathrm{P}$ otts frontier, while still possessing a set of double points of dimension 0 , actually develops a logarithm ically grow ing num ber of double points [10

## 7. H igher Universal M ultifractal Spectra

7.1. D ouble-S ided Spectrum .
7.1.1. Sim ple Random Paths \& D ouble-Sided P otential. A s in section 'A. $\bar{A}$, we consider here the speci c case where the fractal set $C$ is a (conform ally-invariant) sim ple scaling curve, that is, it does not contain double points. The frontier @C is thus identicalw th the set itself: @C = C. Each point of the curve can then be reached from in nity, and we address the question of the sim ultaneous behavior of the potential on both sides of the curve. N otice, how ever, that one could also address the case of non-sim ple random paths, by concentrating on the double-sided potential near cut-points, as we did in section 4.4 for cut-points in B row nian paths.

The potential H scales as
when approaching $w$ on one side of the scaling curve, while scaling as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { H z! w } 2 \text { @C; o j w j } \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the other side. The multifractal form alism now characterizes subsets $C$; of boundary sites w w th two such H older exponents, ; ${ }^{0}$, by their $H$ ausdor dim ension $f_{2}\left(;{ }^{0}\right):=\operatorname{dim}(C ; 0)$. The standard one-sided multifractal spectrum $f()$ is then recovered as the suprem um:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f()=\sup \circ f_{2}\left(;^{0}\right): \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

7.12. Equivalent $W$ edges. A s above, one can also de ne two equivalent \electrostatic" angles from singularity exponents ; ${ }^{0}$, as $=\boldsymbol{j}^{0}={ }^{0}$ and the MF dim ension $\hat{\mathrm{f}_{2}}\left(;^{0}\right)$ of the boundary subset w ith such $;{ }^{0}$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{f}_{2}}\left(;{ }^{0}\right):=\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(=;^{0}={ }^{0}\right): \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

7.1.3. H arm onic $M$ om ents. C onsider the harm onic $m$ easure (as seen from innity) $H(w ; r):=H(C \backslash B(w ; r))$ of the intersection of $C$ and the ball $B(w ; r)$ centered at point w 2 C, i.e., the probability that a B row nian path, launched from in nity, rst hits the frontier C inside the ball B (w ; r). Let us consider a covering of the frontier by such balls centered at points form ing a discrete subset $C=r$ of $C$.
$T$ he double $m$ ultifractal spectrum $w$ ill be com puted from the double $m$ om ents of the harm onic $m$ easure on ${ }_{\star}$ both sides of the random fractal curve:
where $H_{+}(w ; r)$ and $H(w ; r)$ are respectively the harm onic $m$ easures on the \left" or \right" sides of the random fractal. These double $m$ om ents have a m ultifractal scaling behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}} \quad(\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{R})^{2\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}\right)} ; \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the exponent $2\left(n ; n^{0}\right)$ now depends on two $m$ om ent orders $n ; n^{0}$. A $s$ in section 4.4 , the $H$ ausdor dim ension is given by the double Legendre transform :

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{@ a_{2}}{@ n}\left(n ; n^{0}\right) ; \quad{ }^{0}=\frac{@ a_{2}}{@ n^{0}}\left(n ; n^{0}\right) ; \\
f_{2}\left(;{ }^{0}\right) & =n^{0}+n^{0} \quad 2\left(n ; n^{0}\right) ;  \tag{7.7}\\
n & =\frac{@ f_{2}}{@}\left(;{ }^{0}\right) ; n^{0}=\frac{@ f_{2}}{@}\left(;{ }^{0}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

 exponents

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{n})=2\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}=0\right) ; \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and putting these values in the Legendre transform $[7.7)$ yields identity $[7$ must.
7.2. H igher M ultifractality of $P$ ath $V$ ertices.
72.1. De nition. O ne can consider a star con guration $S_{m}$ of a number $m$ of sim ilar sim ple scaling paths, all originating at the sam e vertex $w . H$ igher m om ents $Z_{n_{1} ; n_{2} ;::: ; n_{m}}$ are then de ned as

where

$$
H_{i}(w ; r)=H_{i}(C \backslash B(w ; r))
$$

is the harm onic $m$ easure (or, equivalently, local potential at distance $r$ ) in the ith sector of radius r located between paths $i$ and $i+1$, with $i=1$; ;m, and by periodicity $m+1 \quad 1$. These higher $m$ om ents have a $m$ ultifractal scaling behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}} \quad(\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{R})^{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ;:: ; ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) ; \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the exponent $m\left(n_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ;::: \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ now depends on the set of m om ent orders $\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$. The generalization of the usual Legendre transform of m ultifractal form alism eqs. (4,7) (7, in involves a multifractal function $f_{m}(1 ; 2 ; \quad m)$; depending on $m$ local exponents $i$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
i & =\frac{@ m}{@ n_{i}}\left(\mathrm{fn}_{j} g\right) ; \\
\left.{ }_{i} g\right) & =X^{m}{ }_{i=1}{ }^{n_{i}} \quad m\left(f n_{j} g\right) ; \\
n_{i} & =\frac{@ f_{m}}{@}(f \quad j g): \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

722. Sum $m$ ing over $C$ ontact $P$ oints. At this point, a caveat is in order. The reader $m$ ay wonder about the $m$ eaning of the sum over points $w$ in $(7.9)$, since there is only one $m$-vertex in a star. This notation is kept for consistency w ith the $m=2$ case, and can be understood as follow s. A long a scaling path, one can consider the subset $S_{m}$ of contact points of orderm, where the path folds onto itself several tim es on large ( $m$ acroscopic) scales $R$, and retums to itself at a short scale $r$, thereby form ing localstars of orderm. The sum in $m$ om ent (7. $\overline{7}$. $)$ runs over such higher contact points along the path, and for $n_{i}=0 ; 8 i$, their num ber in a dom ain of size $R$ then scales for $r=R$ ! 0 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0 ; 0 ;:: ; ; 0} \quad(r=R)^{m}(0 ; 0 ;::: ; 0) \quad R^{D_{m}} ; \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the form al $H$ ausdor dim ension $D_{m}$ associated $w$ ith this set of order $m$ contact points is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{m}:=m(0 ; 0 ;::: ; 0)=\sup _{f}{ }_{i g} f_{m}(f i g): \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can equivalently consider the density, or the probability for these points to appear: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}}(r) \quad(r=\mathrm{R})^{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}}(0 ; 0 ;:: ; ; 0)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{0 ; 0 ;:: ; ; 0} \quad(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{r})^{2} \mathrm{P}_{S_{m}}(r) ; \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence $m(0 ; 0 ;:: ; 0)=x_{m}(0 ; 0 ;::: 0)$ 2. Form large enough, the density vanishes for $r$ ! 0 fast enough, so that $x_{m}(0 ; 0 ;:: ; 0) \quad 2$, and $D_{m} \quad 0$ (see below ).
72.3. LocalM om ents. A ltematively, one can de ne shifted exponents

$$
\widetilde{m}_{\mathrm{m}}:=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \quad \mathrm{m}(0 ; 0 ;::: ; 0) ;
$$

which describe the scaling of local averages at a given $m$-vertex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.h \mathbb{H}_{1}(w ; r)\right]^{n_{1}} \mathbb{H}_{2}(w ; r)\right]^{n_{2}} \quad m(w) r y\right]^{n_{m}} i \quad(r=R)^{\sim_{m}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ;:: ; n_{m}\right)}: \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Legendre transform ( $\overline{\underline{I}} . \overline{1} \overline{1})$ ) these exponents give the subtracted spectrum
 the probability P ( $f$ ig) to nd a set of local singularity exponents $f i g$ in the $m$ sectors of an $m$-arm star scales as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}(f i g) / R^{f_{m}(f i g)}=R^{\sup f_{m}}: \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

72.4. Recursion between Spectra. From de nition $(7 . \overline{7})$ and eq. (7 $\overline{7} \overline{10} \overline{10})$, we get the lower ( $m$ 1)-multifractal spectrum as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}^{[\mathrm{m}}{ }^{1]}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{m} \text { inf }:=m\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{m} ; \underline{i} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}=0\right):\right. \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these exponents, the subscript $m$ stays unchanged since it counts the num ber of arm s of the star, while the potential is evaluated only at $m \quad 1$ sectors am ongst the m possible ones. M ore generally, one can de ne exponents

$$
m^{[p]}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ; \quad p\right) ; m^{m}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ; \quad p ; m_{p+1}=0 ; \quad m \text {; } n 0\right) \text {; }
$$

where $p$ takes any value in $1 \quad p \quad m$. N ote that according to the com $m$ utativity of the star algebra for exponents betw een $m$ utually-avoiding paths (see eq. ( $\left(\frac{1}{-1} \overline{1} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and below ), the result does not depend on the choice of the $p$ sectors am ongst $m$.
 the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m}^{[p]}(1 ; \quad p) \div \sup _{p+1} ; \quad{ }_{m} ; f_{m}\left(1 ; 2 ; \quad p ; ;_{p+1} ; \quad m\right) ; \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the usual one-sided spectrum is in this notation $f()=f_{2}^{[1]}()$.
7.3. Explicit $H$ igher $M$ ultifractal Exponents and Spectra.
7.3.1. Scaling $D$ im ensions of $M$ ultiple-Sided $P$ aths. In analogy to eqs. $(\overline{6} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ ), $(624)$, the exponent $2\left(n ; n^{0}\right)$ is associated $w$ th a scaling dim ension $x_{2}\left(n ; n^{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{x}_{2}\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}\right) 2 \\
& x_{2}\left(n ; n^{0}\right)=2 V 1+U^{1}(n)+U^{1}\left(n^{0}\right): \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, the $m$-order case is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ;::: ; n_{m}\right) & =x_{m}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ;::: ; n_{m}\right) \\
x_{m}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ;:: ; n_{m}\right) & =2 V{ }_{m}{ }_{m}+U^{1}\left(n_{1}\right)+U^{1}\left(n_{2}\right)+:::+U^{1}\left(n_{m}\right)^{i}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\sim_{m}$ is the quantum gravity boundary scaling dim ension of the $m$-star $S_{m}$ $m$ ade of $m$ (sim ple) scaling paths. A ccording to the star algebra ( $\overline{6} \overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ valid for sim ple paths, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{m}=m U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{m}{2} U^{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=m \frac{1}{2} ; \tag{720}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ is the boundary scaling dim ension of a scaling path, i.e., a 2 -star, already considered in eq. ( $\left(\overline{6} 22^{2}\right)$, and such that $U^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=1$
7.32. M ultiple Legendre Transform . The calculation of the $m$ ultiple Legendre transform eq. (7.7) is as follow s. W e start from a total (boundary) quantum scaling dim ension of the $m$-path $S_{m}$ dressed by B row nian paths

$$
\begin{equation*}
=m \frac{1}{2}+X_{i=1}^{X^{m}} U^{1}\left(n_{i}\right) ; \tag{721}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=2 \mathrm{~V}(): \tag{722}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the shift identity $(\overline{6}, \overline{1} \overline{1})$

$$
U^{1}(n)=\frac{-}{2}+\frac{1}{2} V^{1}(n) ; V^{1}(n)=P \overline{4(1 \quad) n^{2}} ;
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{m}{2}+\frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{m}} V^{1}\left(n_{i}\right) ; \tag{723}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\frac{@ x_{m}}{@ n_{i}}=2 V^{0}() \frac{@}{@ n_{i}}=V^{0}() V^{1}\left(n_{i}\right)^{0}: \tag{724}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
V^{0}()=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} ;
$$

we get
(725)

$$
i=p \overline{\left.M_{1}\right) n_{1}+2^{2}}=\frac{}{V^{1}\left(n_{i}\right)} ;
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{1}\left(n_{i}\right)=\underset{i}{-} ; \quad n_{i}=V \quad-\quad: \tag{726}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne gets from eqs. $(\overline{7} \overline{2} \overline{3})$ and $(\overline{1}-\overline{2})$ the useful identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{m}{2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{n}} i^{1}: \tag{727}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his yields the sim ple expression for $f_{m}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m} \quad\left(f_{i} \quad{ }^{\prime}\right)=2 \quad V() X_{i=1}^{X^{m}} \quad{ }_{i} V \quad-\quad: \tag{728}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling $(\overline{6} \overline{\mathbf{6}} \overline{3} \overline{-1})$, collecting the term $s$, and using identity ${ }^{\prime}(\overline{7}-\overline{2} \overline{7})$ for , nally gives after som e-calculations the explicit form ulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.f_{m}\left(f_{i=1 ;::: m \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}\right)=2+\frac{2}{2(1}\right) \frac{1}{2\left(1 \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{m}}\right.} \mathrm{m}^{2} 1 \frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{i}^{1}{ }^{1} \\
& \overline{4(1)}_{i=1} \quad \text { i; }
\end{aligned}
$$

w ith

$$
i=p \frac{1}{4(1 \quad) n_{1}+2^{2}} @ \frac{m}{2}+\frac{1}{2}_{j=1}^{X^{m}} \text { q } \frac{1}{4(1} \quad n_{j}+2 A:
$$

Substituting expressions $\left(\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 6\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{m}\left(f_{i=1 ;::: m} g\right)= & \frac{25 c}{12} \frac{1}{8(1} m^{2} 1 \frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{m}} i^{1}{ }^{1} \\
& {\frac{1}{24} c_{i=1}^{X^{n}}}_{i} ; \tag{7.31}
\end{align*}
$$

 self-avoiding walk case ( $\left.(4.43)^{-7}\right)$ is recovered for $c=0 ;=1=2$.
$T$ he dom ain of de nition of the poly m ultifractal function f is independent of c and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{m}} i^{1} 0 ; \tag{7.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

as veri ed by eq. (77 $\left.\overline{3} \mathbf{o l}^{\prime}\right)$.
73.3. O ne and Two-Sided C ases. N otice that the $\mathrm{m}=1$ case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f_{1}()=\frac{25 c}{12} \frac{1}{8(1}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1 \quad c}{24} ; \tag{7.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponds to the potential in the vicinity of the tip of a conform ally-invariant scaling path, and naturally di ers from the usualf ()$=\sup o f_{2}\left(;{ }^{0}\right)$ ) spectrum, which describes the potential on one side of the scaling path.
$T$ he two-sided case is obtained for $m=2$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(;^{0}\right)=\frac{25 \mathrm{c}}{12} \frac{1}{2(1}\right) 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{0} \\
& \frac{1 \quad c}{24}\left(+{ }^{0}\right) \text {; }  \tag{7.34}\\
& =p \frac{1}{4(1 \quad) \mathrm{n}+{ }^{2}} 1+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{4\left(1 \quad \mathrm{n}+{ }^{2}\right.}+\mathrm{p} \overline{4(1 \quad) \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{P}}+{ }^{2}} \quad ;
\end{align*}
$$

This doubly m ultifractal spectrum possesses the desired properties, like

$$
\sup { }_{0} f_{2}\left(;{ }^{0}\right)=f() \text {; }
$$

where $f()$ is $(\overline{6}=\overline{3} \overline{1})$ above.
73.4. Local $W$ edge $D$ escription. $W$ e can also substitute equivalent \electrostatic" angles $i==_{i}$ for the variables $i$. This gives a new distribution:
$\left.\left.\hat{f}_{m}\left(f_{i=1 ;::: ; m} g\right)=f_{m}\left(f_{i=1 ;::: ; m} g\right)=2+\frac{2}{2(1}\right) \frac{2}{4(1}\right)_{i=1}^{X^{m}}{ }_{i}^{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{8(1-)^{2}} 1 \quad \frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{n}} \quad: \tag{7.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dom ain of de nition of distribution $\hat{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}}$ is the im age of dom ain $(\overline{7} \overline{3} \overline{2})$ in variables:

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{m}  \tag{7.37}\\
& i=1
\end{align*}
$$

The total electrostatic angle is thus less than 2 , which sim ply accounts for the electrostatic screening of local w edges by fractal random ness, as expected.
7.3.5. M axim a and G bbal $H$ ausdor $D$ im ension. Them axim a of $f_{m}$ or $\hat{f_{m}}$ are by construction obtained for $n_{i}=0 ; 8 i=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{m}:$ Eq. (ī $\left.\overline{3} \overline{0}\right)$ gives the values of typical singularity exponents ${ }^{\wedge}$ at the $m$ axim um of $f_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge_{i}=\frac{}{\hat{i}}=\frac{m}{2} \quad 1 \quad \underline{1}^{1} ; 8 i=1 ;::: ; m ; \tag{7.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to a $m$ axim al value of $f_{m}$ or $\hat{f_{m}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}} & =\operatorname{supf}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{f}^{\wedge}{ }_{\mathrm{i}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~g}\right)=\hat{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}} \quad \mathrm{f}^{\wedge}{ }_{\mathrm{i}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~g} \\
& \left.=2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~V}\left(r_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\frac{(2(1 \quad)}{2(1}\right) \frac{1}{8}(1 \quad) \mathrm{m}^{2}:
\end{aligned}
$$

A s anticipated, for $m$ large enough, i.e., $m \quad 2 \frac{2}{1}$, this $H$ ausdor dim ension $D_{m}$ (7.1-1 $\overline{1}$ ) form ally becom es negative. Since $1 \quad 0$, and $m$ integer, this already happens for $m 3$ (3-stars), except for $=0$, which gives the condition $m \quad 4$ and again corresponds to the $\mathrm{c}=1$ \ultim ate N orw ay" fractalboundary.
7.3.6. Local P robabilities and Shiffed Spectrum . A s m entioned above, the follow ing intenpretation of the poly-m ultifractal spectrum holds. The probability $P\left(f{ }_{i} g\right) \hat{P}\left(f{ }_{i} g\right)$ to nd a set of local singularity exponents $f$ ig or equivalent angles $f{ }_{i} g$ in the $m$ sectors of an $m$-am star is given by the ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}\left(f_{i} G\right) / R^{f_{m}\left(f_{i} g\right)}=R^{\sup f_{m}} \tag{7.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the respective num ber of con gurations to the total one. W e therefore arrive at a probability, here w ritten in term $s$ of the equivalent electrostatic angles:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{P_{m}}\left(f_{i g}\right) / R^{\hat{f_{m}}\left(£_{i g}\right)} \hat{f_{m}}\left(f_{i}{ }_{i}\right) ; \tag{7.40}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left.\frac{2}{4(1}\right)_{i=1}^{X^{m}}}_{i}:  \tag{7.41}\\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{align*}
$$

For a large scaling star, the typical set of singularity exponents $f{ }^{\wedge}{ }_{i} g$, or wedge angles $f^{\wedge}{ }_{i} g$, is thus given by the sym $m$ etric set of values $(7,3 \overline{1})$.

## 8. W inding of Conformally Invariant Curves

A nother im portant question arises conceming the geom etry of the equipotential lines near a random (C I) fractal curve. These lines are expected to rotate w ildly, or wind, in a spiralling $m$ otion that closely follow s the boundary itself. T he key geom etrical object is here the logarithm ic spiral, which is conform ally invariant (Fig. 119). The M F description should generalize to a m ixed m ultifractal spectrum,

$F$ igure 19. A double logarithm ic spiralm im icking the local geom etry of the tw o strands of the conform ally-invariant frontier.
accounting for both scaling and winding of the equipotentials $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$.
In this section, we describe the exact solution to this m ixed M $F$ spectrum for any random C I curve [721]. In particular, it is show $n$ to be related by a scaling law
to the usual harm onic M F spectrum . W e use the sam e conform al tools as before, fusing quantum gravity and Coulomb gas m ethods, which allow the description of B row nian paths interacting and winding w ith C I curves, thereby providing a probabilistic description of the potentialm ap near any C I random curve.
8.1. H arm on ic $M$ easure and $R$ otations. Consider a single (CI) critical random cluster, generically called C. Let $H$ ( $z$ ) be the potential at an exterior point z 2 C , w th D irichlet boundary conditions $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{w} 2 @ C$ ) $=0$ on the outer (sim ply connected) boundary @C of C, and $H(w)=1$ on a circle lat 1 ", i.e., of a large radius scaling like the average size $R$ of $C$. The potential $H(z)$ is identical to the probabilly that a B row nian path started at z escapes to $\backslash 1$ " w ithout having hit C.

Let us now consider the degree with which the curves wind in the com plex plane about point $w$ and call ${ }^{\prime}(z)=\arg (z \quad w)$. In the scaling lim it, the multifractal form alism, here generalized to take into account rotations [1]1], characterizes subsets @C ; ofboundary sites by a Holder exponent, and a rotation rate, such that their potential lines respectively scale and logarithm ically spiral as

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { H (z ! w } 2 \text { @C ; ) } & \text { r ; } \\
\text { ( (z ! w } 2 \text { @C ; ) } & \ln r \text {; } \tag{8.1}
\end{array}
$$

in the lim it $r=j \quad w j!~ 0$. The Hausdor dimension dim (@C; ) $=f(;)$ de nes the $m$ ixed M F spectrum, which is CI since under a conform alm ap both and are locally invariant.

As above, we consider the harm onic $m$ easure $H$ (w ; r), which is the integral of the Laplacian of $H$ in a disk $B(w ; r)$ of radius $r$ centered at w 2 @C, ie., the boundary charge in that disk. It scales as $r$ w th the sam e exponent as in ( $(8.11)$, and is also the probability that a B rownian path started at large distance R rst hits the boundary at a point inside B (w;r). Let ' (w;r) be the associated winding angle of the path dow $n$ to distance $r$ from $w$. The $m$ ixed $m$ om ents of $H$ and $e^{\prime}$, averaged over all realizations of $C$, are de ned as

where the sum runs over the centers of covering of the boundary by disks of radius $r$, and where $n$ and $p$ are real num bers. A s before, the $n^{\text {th }} m$ om ent of $H$ ( $w ; r$ ) is the probability that $n$ independent B row nian paths di use along the boundary and all rst hit it at points inside the disk $B$ ( $w ; r$ ). The angle' (w ; r) is then their com $m$ on $w$ inding angle dow $n$ to distance $r$ ( $F$ ig. $\bar{i}_{2}^{\prime}$ )
$T$ he scaling lim it in ( 8 vary in a non-linear way with $n$ and $p$. They give the m ultifractalspectrum $f($; ) via a sym $m$ etric double Legendre transform:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{@}{@ n}(n ; p) ; \quad=\frac{@}{@ p}(n ; p) ; \\
f(;) & =n+p \quad(n ; p) ; \\
n & =\frac{@ f}{@}(;) ; p=\frac{@ f}{@}(;): \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of the ensem ble average $\left.[8)^{2}\right)$, $\mathrm{f}(;)$ can becom e negative for som e ; .


Figure 20. Two-sided boundary curve @C and B row nian n-packet $w$ inding together from the disk of radius $r$ up to distances of order R , as $m$ easured by the $w$ inding angle $(\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{r})=\arg \left(@ \mathrm{C}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)$ as in

8.2. Exact $M$ ixed $M$ ultifractal Spectra. The 2 D conform ally invariant random statistical system is labelled by its central charge $c, C \quad 1$ [1] 1 result is the follow ing exact scaling law $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 \\ -1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ :
(8.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}(;) & =\left(1+\mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{~b}^{2}}{1+2^{2}} \\
\mathrm{~b} & =\frac{25 \mathrm{c}}{12} 2 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f()=f(;=0)$ is the usual harm onic M $F$ spectrum in the absence of
 can be recast as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r} & =+\mathrm{b} \frac{\mathrm{~b}^{2}}{2} ;  \tag{8.5}\\
\mathrm{b} & =\frac{25 \mathrm{c}}{12}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e thus arrive at the very sim ple form ula for the $m$ ixed spectrum :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(;)=+\mathrm{b} \frac{\mathrm{~b}^{2}}{2}: \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that by conform al sym $m$ etry

$$
\sup \mathrm{f}(;)=\mathrm{f}(;=0) \text {; }
$$

i.e., the $m$ ost likely situation in the absence of prescribed rotation is the sam e as
$=0$, i.e. $w$ inding-free. The dom ain ofde nition ofthe usualf ( ) (i8.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(1+{ }^{2}\right) \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$



We have seen in section an angle with opening , $=^{-1}=$, the quantity $=$ can be regarded as a local generalized angle with respect to the harm onic $m$ easure. The geom etrical M F spectrum of the boundary subset w ith such opening angle and spiralling rate reads from (8.0.

$$
\hat{f}(;) \quad f(=-;)=-+b \quad b-\quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\frac{2}{1++^{2}}} \text { : }
$$

As in $\left[8.77^{-1}\right)$, the dom ain of de nition in the variable is

$$
0 \quad() ; \quad()=2=\left(1+^{2}\right):
$$

The maxim um is reached when the two frontier strands about point w locally collapse into a single -spiral, whose inner opening angle is ( ) '[9] ].

In the absence of prescribed winding $(=0)$, the $m$ axim um $D_{E P}=D_{E P}(0)=$ $\sup \mathrm{f}(;=0)$ gives the dim ension of the extemal perim eter of the fractalchster, which is a sim ple curve without double points, and may di er from the full hull


$$
D_{E P}=\frac{1}{2}(1+b) \quad \frac{1}{2} P \overline{b(b \quad 2)} ; \quad b=\frac{25}{12}:
$$

It corresponds to typical values ${ }^{\wedge}=(n=0 ; p=0)$ and ${ }^{\wedge}==^{\wedge}=\left(3 \quad 2\right.$ Dep $\left.^{\prime}\right)$ :
For spirals, the $m$ axim um value $D_{E f}()=\sup f(;)$ still corresponds in the Legendre transform $[8]$ the extemal perim eter made of logarithm ic spirals of type. O wing to (8.4) we m $m$ ediately get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{E P}()=\left(1+{ }^{2}\right) D_{E P} \quad b^{2}: \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to typical scaled values

$$
\wedge()=\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)^{\wedge} ;{ }^{\wedge}()={ }^{\wedge}=\left(1+{ }^{2}\right):
$$

Since b 2 and $D_{E P} \quad 3=2$, the EP dim ension decreases $w$ ith spiralling rate, in a sim ple parabolic way.

F ig. '211. displays typicalm ultifractal functions $f(; \quad$; $)$. The exam ple choosen, $c=0$, corresponds to the cases of a SAW, or of a percolation EP, the scaling
 inal singularity at $=\frac{1}{2}$ in the rotation free MF functions $f(; 0)$, which describes boundary points with a needle local geom etry, is shifted for 0 towards the $m$ inim al value (8.71). The right branch of $f(;)$ has a linear asym $p-$ tote $\lim !+1 \mathrm{f}(;)==(1 \quad c)=24: T$ hus the -curves all becom e parallel for
! + 1 , i.e., ! $0^{+}$, corresponding to deep fjords where winding is easiest.
Lim it multifractalspectra are obtained for $c=1, w h i c h$ exhibit exact exam ples of left-sided M F spectra, w th a horizontal asym ptote $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{l}+1$; ; $\mathrm{c}=1)=\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}^{2}$ ( F ig. $\mathrm{V}_{2} \overline{2}_{1}$ ). This corresponds to the frontier of a $Q=4$ P otts cluster (i.e., the SLE = 4), a universal random scaling curve, with the maxim um value $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Ef}}=$ $3=2$, and a vanishing typical opening angle ${ }^{\wedge}=0$, i.e., the \ultim ate $N$ orw ay"
 dim ension $D_{E P}()$ as a function of the rotation rate , for various values of $C$ 1, corresponding to di erent statisticalsystem s . A gain, the $\mathrm{c}=1$ case show s the least decay w ith , as expected from the predom inence of fjords there.

$F$ igure 21. Universal multifractal spectrum $f(;)$ for $c=0$ (B rownian frontier, percolation EP and SAW ), and for three different values of the spiralling rate. Them axim um $f(3 ; 0)=4=3$ is the $H$ ausdor dim ension of the frontier.
8.3. C onform al Invariance and $Q$ uantum G ravity. W e now give the $m$ ain lines of the derivation of exponents $(n ; p)$, hence $f(;)$ in $\overline{2}]$. As usual, $n$ independent $B$ row nian paths $B$, starting a sm all distance $r$ aw ay from a point w on the frontier @C, and di using w ithout hitting @C, give a geom etric representation
 C onvexity yields the analytic continuation to arbitrary n's. Let us introduce an abstract (conform al) eld operator @c^n characterizing the presence of a vertex where $n$ such $B$ row nian paths and the chuster's frontier di use aw ay from each other in them utually-avoiding con guration @C^n $\left.\sqrt{5} 1_{1}^{1}, 1,12_{1}^{-1}\right]$; to this operator is associated a scaling dim ension $x(n)$. To $m$ easure rotations using the $m$ om ents ( 8 to consider expectation values $w$ ith insertion of the $m$ ixed operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ C^{\wedge} n e^{p \arg (@ C \wedge n)} \quad!x(n ; p) ; \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\arg \left(@^{\wedge} n\right)$ is the $w$ inding angle com $m$ on to the frontier and to the $B$ row nian paths (see Fig. (2d)), and where $x(n ; p)$ is the scaling_dim ension of the operator $@ C^{\wedge} n e^{p \arg \left(@ C^{\wedge} n\right)^{2}}$. It is directly related to $(n ; p)$ by ${ }^{[5]}$

$$
\text { (8.10) } x(n ; p)=(n ; p)+2:
$$

For $n=0$, one recovers the previous scaling dim ension

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(n ; p=0) & =x(n) ; \\
(n ; p=0) & =(n)=x(n) \quad 2:
\end{aligned}
$$

A $s$ in section $\overline{\text { G/ }}$, we use the fundam entalK P Z m apping of the CFT in the plane $C$ to the CFT on $\bar{a}$ uctuating abstract random $R$ iem ann surface, i.e., in presence of 2 D quantum gravity $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-6 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$, and the universal functions $U$ and $V$, acting on conform al

$F$ igure 22 . Left-sided $m$ ultifractal spectra $f(;)$ for the lim it case $c=1$, the \ultim ate $N$ orway" (frontier of a $Q=4$ Potts cluster or SLE =4).
weights, which describe the m ap:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=x \frac{x}{1} ; V(x)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{x^{2}{ }^{2}}{1}: \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{U} \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{x}+)$. As before, the param eter is the solution of $\mathrm{c}=$ $1 \quad 6^{2}(1 \quad)^{1} ; \quad 0$ :

For the purely harm onic exponents $x(n)$, describing the $m$ utually-avoiding set $@ \mathrm{C} \wedge \mathrm{n}$, we have seen in eqs. $(\overline{6} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{7})$ and $\left(\overline{6} \overline{2}_{2}^{2}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n})=2 \mathrm{~V} 2 \mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{n}) ; \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $U{ }^{1}(x)$ is the positive inverse of $U$,

$$
2 U^{1}(x)=P \overline{4(1 \quad) x+2^{2}}+:
$$

In $(\overline{8} \overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, we recall that the argum ents $x_{1}$ and $n$ are respectively the boundary scaling dim ensions (b s.d.) (622) of the sim ple path $S_{1}$ representing a sem i-in nite random frontier (such that $@ \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ ), and of the packet of $n B$ row nian paths, both di using into the upper half-plane $H$. The function $U{ }^{1}$ transform $s$ these half-plane b.s.d's into the corresponding b.s.d.'s in quantum gravity, the linear com bination of which gives, still in $Q G$, the bs.d. of the mutually-avoiding set $@ \mathrm{C} \wedge \mathrm{n}=\left(\wedge \mathrm{S}_{1}\right)^{2} \wedge \mathrm{n}$. The function V nally m aps the latter b .s.d. into the scaling dim ension in C. The path b.s.d. $x_{1}(\underline{6} 2 \overline{2})$ obeys $U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=(1 \quad)=2$.

It is now useful to consider $k$ sem i-in nite random paths $S_{1}$, joined at a single vertex in a m utually-avoiding star con guration $\left.\left.S_{k}=S_{1} \wedge S_{1}\right\}^{k} \mid-\quad L_{1}=\$ S_{1}\right)^{k}$. (In this notation the frontier near any of its points is a tw o-star @C $=S_{2}$.) The scaling


Figure 23. D im ensions $\mathrm{EfP}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{I})$ ofthe extemalfrontiers as a function of rotation rate. T he curves are indexed by the central charge c, and correspond respectively to: loop-erased RW ( $c=2$; $\mathrm{SLE}_{2}$ ); $B$ row nian or percolation extemal frontiers, and self-avoiding walk $\left(\mathrm{c}=0 ;\right.$ SLE $\left._{8=3}\right)$; Ising clusters $\left(\mathrm{c}=\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{SLE}_{3}\right) ; Q=4 \mathrm{P}$ otts chusters ( $\mathrm{C}=1 ; \mathrm{SLE}_{4}$ ).
dim ension of $S_{k}$ can be obtained from the sam ebs.d. additivity rule in quantum


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=2 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{kU}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right): \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scaling dim ensions ( $\overline{8} \cdot \overline{1} 2 \overline{-1})$ and ( $\left.\overline{8} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ coincide when

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(n)=x\left(S_{k(n)}\right)  \tag{8.14}\\
& x(n)=2+\frac{U^{1}(n)}{U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}: \tag{8.15}
\end{align*}
$$

T hus we state the scaling star-equivalence

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ C^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}() \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{n})} ; \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

of two m utually-avoiding simple paths $@ C=S_{2}=S_{1} \wedge S_{1}$, further avoiding $n$ B rownian motions, to $k(n)$ sim ple paths in a $m$ utually-avoiding star con guration $S_{k(n)}$ (Fig. $\left.{ }^{2} \underline{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. This equivalence plays an essential role in the com putation of the com plete rotation spectrum (
8.4. R otation Scaling Exponents. The Gaussian distribution of the $w$ inding angle about the extrem ity of a scaling path, like $S_{1}$, w as derived in [10 $\left.\overline{0}_{1}^{1}\right]$, using exact $C$ oulom b gas $m$ ethods. $T$ he argum ent can be generalized to the $w$ inding angle of a star $S_{k}$ about its center [11], where one nds that the angular variance is reduced by a factor $1=\mathrm{k}^{2}$ (see also $\left.\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right]\right)$. The scaling dim ension associated w ith the rotation scaling operator $s_{k} e^{\left.\mathrm{p} \bar{a}^{-} \overline{( } \bar{s}_{k}\right)}$ is found by analytic continuation of the


Figure 24. Equivalence ( $(\overline{8} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{5})$ ) betw een two simple paths in a m utually-avoiding con guration $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{S}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~S}_{1}$, further avoided by a packet of $n$ independent $B$ row nian $m$ otions, and $k(n)$ sim ple paths in a mutually-avoiding star con guration $S_{k(n)}$.

Fourier transform s evaluated there $\left[\underline{1} \underline{2}_{2}^{1}\right]:$

$$
\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{p}\right)=\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \quad \frac{2}{1} \frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}} ;
$$

i.e., is given by a quadratic shift in the star scaling exponent. To calculate the
 conclude that

$$
x(n ; p)=x\left(S_{k(n)} ; p\right)=x(n) \quad \frac{2}{1} \frac{p^{2}}{k^{2}(n)} ;
$$

which is the key to our problem . U sing eqs. ( $\overline{8} \overline{-1} \overline{-1}),(\overline{-12})$, and $(\overline{-1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ gives the useful identity:

$$
\frac{1}{8}(1 \quad) k^{2}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}) \quad 2+\mathrm{b} ;
$$

w ith $b=\frac{1}{2} \frac{(2)^{2}}{1}=\frac{25 \mathrm{c}}{12}$. Recalling $(\overline{8} \overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{0})$, we arrive at the $m$ ultifractal result:
(8.17)
$(n ; p)=$
(n) $\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{(\mathrm{n})+\mathrm{b}}$;
where $(n)=x(n) \quad 2$ corresponds to the purely harm onic spectrum with no prescribed rotation.
8.5. Legendre Transform. The structure of the full -function '(1). (i) leads by a form allegendre transform ( $\left(8-\mathbf{B}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ directly to the identity

$$
\mathrm{f}(;)=\left(1+{ }^{2}\right) \mathrm{f}() \quad \mathrm{b}^{2} ;
$$

where $f() \quad n \quad(n)$, with $=d \quad(n)=d n$, is the purely harm onic MF function. It depends on the natural reduced variable a la Beurling ( $2 \frac{1}{2} ;+1$ )

$$
=\frac{{ }^{2}}{1+r^{2}}=\frac{d x}{d n}(n)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \overline{\frac{b}{2 n+b}}
$$


It is interesting to consider also higherm ultifractalspectra '60']. For a conform allyinvariant scaling curve which is sim ple, i.e., $w$ thout double points, like the extemal frontier @C, here taken alone, de ne the universal function $f_{2}\left(;{ }^{0}\right.$; ) which gives the H ausdor dim ension of the points where the potential varies jointly $w$ ith distance $r$ as $r$ on one side of the curve, and as $r{ }^{\circ}$ on the other, given a $w$ inding at rate. T his function is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(;{ }^{0} ;\right)=\mathrm{b} \frac{1}{2(1}\right) \frac{1}{1+2^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2^{0}} \\
\frac{\mathrm{~b}}{2}\left(+{ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{8.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

and satis es the generalization of scaling relation (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(;{ }^{0} ;\right)=\left(1+{ }^{2}\right) \mathrm{f}_{2}\left(;{ }^{0} ; 0\right) \quad \mathrm{b}^{2}: \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This double m ultifractality can be generalized to higher ones [6] ering the distribution of potential_betw een the arm $s$ of a rotating star $S_{k}$, $w$ ith the follow ing poly-m ultifractal result [1] $\left.\overline{1} \overline{3}_{1}^{1}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{f}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~g} ;\right)=\mathrm{b}{\left.\frac{1}{8(1}\right)^{2}}_{\mathrm{k}^{2}}^{1+\mathrm{m}^{2}}{ }_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{k}}} \frac{1}{2_{\mathrm{i}}} \\
&{\frac{\mathrm{~b} 2^{\mathrm{X}^{k}}}{\mathrm{i}}}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{i}}: \tag{8.20}
\end{align*}
$$

9. Duality for $O(N)$ and Potts $M$ odels and the Stochastic Lowner Evolution
9.1. G eom etric D uality in $O(\mathbb{N})$ and Potts C luster Frontiers.
9.1.1. O $(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{M}$ odel. The $O(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{m}$ odel partition function is that of a gas $G$ of self-and $m$ utually-avoiding loops on a given lattice, e.g., the hexagonal lattice 愔5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{O(N)}=X_{G}^{X} K^{N_{B}} N^{N_{P}} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ and $N$ are tw ofingacities, associated respectively $w$ th the totalnum ber of occupied bonds $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$, and w ith the total num ber of loops $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{P}}$, i.e., polygons draw n on the lattice. For N 2 [ 2;2], this model possesses a critical point (CP), $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$, while the whole \low-tem perature" (low -T ) phase, i.e., $K_{c}<K$, has criticaluniversal properties, where the loops are denser than those at the critical point [1] $\overline{1} 1]$.
9.12. P otts $M$ odel. $T$ he partition function of the $Q$-state $P$ otts $m$ odel on, e.g., the square lattice, w th a second order criticalpoint for $Q 2[0 ; 4]$, has a Fortuin$K$ asteleyn representation at the $C P: Z_{\text {Potts }}={ }_{[(C)} Q^{\frac{1}{2} N_{P}}$; where the con gurations [ (C) are those of unions of clusters on the square lattice, $w$ ith a total num ber $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{P}}$ of polygons encircling all clusters, and lling the $m$ edial square lattioe of the original lattioe $\left[\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}, 1\right.$ w ith loop fugacity $\bar{N}=Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, while one can show that its tricritical point $w$ ith site

9.1.3. C oulom b G as. Theo $(\mathbb{N})$ and $P$ ottsm odels thuspossess the sam e $\backslash C$ oulom b


$$
N=\bar{Q}=2 \cos g ;
$$

w ith $\mathrm{g} 2\left[1 ; \frac{3}{2}\right]$ for the $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{CP}$, and g $2\left[\frac{1}{2} ; 1\right]$ for the low $-\mathrm{T} O(\mathbb{N})$ or criticalP otts, xv m odels; the coupling constant $g$ of the Coulomb gas also yields the central charge:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1 \quad 6\left(1 \quad g^{2}=g:\right. \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otice that from the expression $(\overline{6} \cdot \overline{15})$ of $c$ in term $s$ of 0 one arrives at the sim ple relation:
(9.3)

$$
=1 \quad g ; g \quad 1 ; \quad=1 \quad 1=g ; g \quad 1:
$$

$T$ he above representation for $N={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{Q}} 2$ [0;2] gives a range of values $2 \quad \mathrm{C} \quad 1$; our results also apply forc2 ( 1 ; 2], corresponding, e.g., to the $O(\mathbb{N} 2$ [ 2;0]) branch, w th a low $-T$ phase for $g 2\left[0 ; \frac{1}{2}\right]$, and CP for $g 2\left[\frac{3}{2} ; 2\right]$ :
9.1.4. H ausdor $D$ im ensions of H ull Subsets. The fractal dim ension $D_{\text {EP }}$ of the accessible perim eter, eq. $(\overline{6} . \overline{2} \overline{2})$, is, like $c(g)=c\left(g^{1}\right)$, a sym m etric function of $g$ and $g^{1}$ once rew ritten in term s of $g$ :

$$
D_{E P}=1+\frac{1}{2} g^{1} \#\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & g^{1}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{2} g \#\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & g \tag{9.4}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

where \# is the H eaviside distribution. Thus $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Ef}}$ is given by two di erent analytic expressions on either side of the separatrix $g=1$. The dim ension of the full hull, i.e., the com plete set of outer boundary sites of a cluster, has been determ ined for $\bigcirc(\mathbb{N})$ and Potts chusters $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$, and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{H}}=1+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~g}^{1} ; \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the entire range of the coupling constant $g 2\left[\frac{1}{2} ; 2\right]$. C om paring to eq. (9.4) , we therefore see that the accessible perim eter and hull H ausdor dim ensions coincide for $g \quad 1$, i.e., at the $O(\mathbb{N})$ CP (or for tricritical P otts clusters), whereas they di er, nam ely $D_{E P}<D_{H}$, for $g<1$, i.e., in the $O(\mathbb{N})$ low $-T$ phase, or for critical P otts clusters. This is the generalization to any P otts m odel of the e ect originally found in percolation $\left[\frac{15}{-1}\right]$. This can be directly understood in term s of the singly connected sites (or bonds) where fjords close in the scaling lim it. Their dim ension is given by $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[20} \\ 120\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{S C}=1+\frac{1}{2} g^{1} \quad \frac{3}{2} g: \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For criticalo $\mathbb{N})$ loops, $92(1 ; 2]$, so that $D_{\text {sc }}<0$; hence there exist no closing fjords, thereby explaining the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{E P}=D_{H}: \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast, one has $g 2\left[\frac{1}{2} ; 1\right)$ and $D_{s c}>0$ for critical $P$ otts clusters and for the O (N) low-T phase. In this case, pinching points of positive dim ension appear in the scaling lim it, so that $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{EP}}<\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{H}}$ (Table 1).

| Q | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -2 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $4 / 5$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{EP}}$ | $5=4$ | $4=3$ | $11=8$ | $17=12$ | $3=2$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{H}}$ | 2 | $7=4$ | $5=3$ | $8=5$ | $3=2$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{SC}}$ | $5=4$ | $3=4$ | $13=24$ | $7=20$ | 0 |

Table 9.1. D im ensions for the critical Q -state P otts m odel; $\mathrm{Q}=$ $0 ; 1 ; 2$ correspond to spanning trees, percolation and Ising clusters, respectively.

(9.8) ( $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{EP}} \quad 1$ ) $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{H}} \quad 1\right)=\frac{1}{4}$ :
$T$ he symmetry point $D_{E P}=D_{H}=\frac{3}{2}$ corresponds to $g=1, N=2$, or $Q=4$, where, as expected, the dim ension $D_{s c}=0$ of the pinching points vanishes.

For percolation, described either by $Q=1$, or by the low $-T \ldots(\mathbb{N}=1$ ) m odel w ith $\mathrm{g}=\frac{2}{3}$, we recover the result $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{EP}}=\frac{4}{3}$, recently derived in $\left[\underline{5} 3_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. For the Ising m odel, described either by $Q=2 ; g=\frac{3}{4}$, orby the $O(\mathbb{N}=1)$ CP with $g^{0}=g^{1}=\frac{4}{3}$, we observe that the EP dim ension $D_{E P}=\frac{11}{8}$ coincides, as expected, w th that of criticalo ( $\mathbb{N}=1$ ) loops, which in fact appear as EP's. This is a particular case of a further duality relation betw een the criticalP otts and CP O $(\mathbb{N})$ m odels:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{E P}(Q(g))=D_{H}\left[O\left(\mathbb{N}\left(g^{0}\right)\right)\right] ; \text { for } g^{0}=g^{1} ; g \quad 1: \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term s of this duality, the central charge takes the sim ple expression:

$$
c=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 2 g \tag{9.10}
\end{array}\right)(3 \quad 2 g):
$$

9.2. G eom etric D uality $P$ roperty of the SLE .
92.1. Relation of the SLE trace to $Q P$ otts frontiers or $O(N)$ lines. An introduction to the stochastic Low ner evolution process (SLE ) can be found in [10 $\overline{1} 1]$, [1071]. This process drives a conform ally-invariant random path, which essentially describes the boundaries of (P otts) clusters or hulls we have introduced above, or the random lines of the $O(\mathbb{N})$ model. The random path can be a simple or non sim ple path w th self-contacts. The SLE is param etrized by , which describes the rate of an auxiliary $B$ rownian $m$ otion, which is the source for the process. W hen
$2[0 ; 4]$, the random curve is simple, while for $2(4 ; 8)$, the curve is a self-coiling path. For 8 the path is space lling. The correspondence to ourparam eters, the central charge c, the string susceptibility exponent, or the C oulom b gas constant $g$, is as follow s.

In the original work by Schram m ['591], the variance of the $G$ aussian winding angle \# of the single extrem 壮y of a SLE of size R was calculated, and found to be

$$
\mathrm{h} \#^{2} \mathrm{i}=\ln \mathrm{R}:
$$

In $\left.[1] 0-1{ }^{-1}\right]$ we found, for instance for the extrem ity of a random line in the $O(N)$ m odel, the corresponding angular variance

$$
\mathrm{h} \#^{2} \mathrm{i}=(4=\mathrm{g}) \ln \mathrm{R} ;
$$

from which we im m ediately infer the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{4}{9}: \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The low-tem perature branch $g 2\left[\frac{1}{2} ; 1\right)$ of the $O(\mathbb{N})$ m odel, for $N 2 \quad[0 ; 2)$, indeed corresponds to $2(4 ; 8]$ and describes non simple curves, while $N 2$ [ 2;0];g $2\left[0 ; \frac{1}{2}\right]$ corresponds to $\quad 8$. The critical point branch $g 2\left[1 ; \frac{3}{2}\right] ; \mathrm{N} 2$ $[0 ; 2]$ gives $2\left[\frac{3}{3} ; 4\right]$, while $g 2\left[\frac{3}{2} ; 2\right] ; \mathrm{N} 2$ [ $\left.2 ; 0\right]$ gives $2\left[2 ; \frac{8}{3}\right]$. The range $2[0$;2) probably corresponds to higherm ulticriticalpoints with $g>2.0 w$ ing to eq. (9.3) for , we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
= & \frac{4}{-} ; & 4 ;  \tag{9.12}\\
= & \frac{-}{4} ; & 4:
\end{array}
$$

922. D uality. The central charge $(\overline{6} \overline{-15})$ or $(\overline{9} \overline{2})$ is accordingly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=1 \quad 24 \frac{-}{4} \quad 1^{2}=; \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

an expression which of course is sym $m$ etric under the duality $=4!4==0$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}=16 ; \tag{9.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

re ecting the sym $m$ etry under $g g^{0}=1\left[{ }^{5} \bar{T}_{1}^{1}\right]$. The self-dual form of the centralcharge is accordingly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\frac{1}{4}(6 \quad)(6 \quad 0): \tag{9.16}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{H}=1+\frac{1}{8} ;  \tag{9.17}\\
D_{E P}=1+\frac{2}{-} \#(\quad 4)+\frac{-}{8} \#(4 \quad) ; \tag{9.18}
\end{gather*}
$$


For 4 , we have $D_{E P}()=D_{H}()$. For 4 , the self-coiling scaling paths obey the duality equation (9.8) derived above, recast here in the context of the SLE process:

$$
\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{EP}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { ) }  \tag{9.19}\\
\left.1] \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{H}}() \quad 1\right]=\frac{1}{4} ; \quad 4 ; ~
\end{array}\right.
$$

where now

$$
D_{E P}()=D_{H}\left({ }^{0}=16=\right) \quad 0 \quad 4:
$$

Thus we predict that the extemalperim eter of a self-coiling SLE ${ }_{4}$ process is, by duality, the sim ple path of the $\left.\operatorname{SLE}_{(16=)}\right)=0{ }_{4}$ process.

The sym $m$ etric point $=4$ corresponds to the $O(\mathbb{N}=2) \mathrm{m}$ odel, or $\mathrm{Q}=4 \mathrm{P}$ otts m odel, w th $\mathrm{c}=1$. The value $=8=3 ; \mathrm{c}=0$ corresponds to a self-avoiding walk,
 that of a percolation hull $[5$

Let us now study m ore of the SLE's random geom etry using the quantum gravity $m$ ethod described here.

Up to now, we have described general conform ally-invariant curves in the plane in term s of the universalparam eters c (central charge) or (string susceptibility).

T hem ultifractal results described in the sections above thus apply to the SLE after substituting to or c. C are should be taken, how ever, in such a substitution since two dual values of (9.15) correspond to a sam e value of . The reason is that up to now we have considered geom etrical properties of the boundaries which actually were self-dual. An exem ple is the ham onic multifractal spectrum of the SLE 4 frontier, which is identicalto that of the $\operatorname{sm}$ oother ( $\operatorname{sim}$ ple) SLE (16= )= 04 path. So we actually saw only the set of sim ple SLE traces w ith 4. W hen dealing w ith higher m ultifractality, we assum ed the random curves to be sim ple. W hen dealing w th non sim ple random paths, boundary quantum gravity rules are to be $m$ odi ed as explained now.

## 10. Duality in K P Z

10.1. D ual D im ensions. It will be convenient to introduce the following notations. The standard K P Z m ap reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=U \quad()=\overline{1} ; \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is a planar conform aldim ension and its quantum gravity counterpart, and where we recall that is the negative root of

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1 \quad 6^{2}(1 \quad)^{1} ; \quad 0: \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e introduce the dualquantum dimension of , ${ }^{0}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}=\frac{}{1} \text {; } \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=U \quad()=\quad 0^{0}: \tag{10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, let us de ne the variable ${ }^{0}$, dual of susceptibility exponent, by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1 \quad)\left(1 \quad{ }^{0}\right)=1 ; \tag{10.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is sim ply the ( $\backslash$ non-physical") positive root of eq. (10-2) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}=1 \quad 6^{02}\left(1 \quad 0^{0}\right)^{1} ; 0 \text { : } \tag{10.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual equation of $(\underline{10}-\overline{-1})$ is then:
(10.7)

$$
=\frac{0 \quad 0}{1 \quad 0} ;
$$

By construction we have the sim ultaneous equations:
(10.8)

$$
=U \quad{ }^{1}(x) ; \quad{ }^{0}=\frac{U^{1}(x)}{1} ;
$$

w ith the positive solution
(10.9)
$U^{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \quad P \overline{4(1 \quad) x+{ }^{2}}+\quad:$

W e de ne a dualK P Z m ap U oby the sam e equation as (10.1), $w$ ith ${ }^{0}$ substituted for. It has the follow ing properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =U()=U 0\left({ }^{0}\right) ;  \tag{10.10}\\
0 & =U 0^{1}(x)=\frac{U^{1}(x)}{1} ;  \tag{10.11}\\
& =U{ }^{1}(x)=\frac{U_{0}^{1}(x)}{1}: \tag{10.12}
\end{align*}
$$

10.2. B oundary K P Z for non sim ple paths. The additivity rules in quantum gravity for the boundary scaling dim ensionsofm utually-avoiding random paths $A$ and $B$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim(A \wedge B)=\sim^{\sim}(A)+\sim^{\sim}(B) \quad \text { (sim ple paths), }  \tag{10.13}\\
& \sim 0(A \wedge B)={ }^{\sim}(A)+{ }^{\sim}(B) \quad \text { (non-sim ple paths) } . \tag{10.14}
\end{align*}
$$

For sim ple paths, like random lines in the $O(\mathbb{N}) m$ odel at its criticalpoint, or the SLE trace for 4 the boundary dim ensions are additive in quantum gravity, a fundam ental fact repeatedly used above. On the other hand, for non-sim ple paths, the dualdim ensions are additive in boundary quantum gravity. This is the case of random lines in the dense phase of the $O(\mathbb{N})$ m odel, or, equivalently, of hulls of Fortuin K asteleyn clusters in the Potts model, or of the SLE 4 trace. $T$ hese additivity rules are derived in appendices 'B', and 'C'1 from the consideration of partition functions on a random surface in the dilute or dense phases. In term s of standard dim ensions $\sim$ this reads:
(10.15) $\quad \sim(A \wedge B)=\sim(A)+\sim(B) \quad$ (sim ple paths),
(10.16) $\sim(A \wedge B)=\sim(A)+\sim(B) \quad$ (non-sim ple paths):

In the dilute phase the com position nule for boundary dim ensions in the upper half-plane $H$ reads accordingly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=U U^{1}(x(A))+U^{1}(x(B)): \tag{10.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the dense phase, the new rule $(\overline{10} \overline{10})$ in term $s$ of dual variables and functions, gives for com posite boundary dim ensions in H :

$$
\begin{align*}
x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right) & =U U^{1}(x(A))+U^{1}(x(B)) i  \tag{10.18}\\
& =U 0 U 0^{1}(x(A))+U_{0}^{1}(x(B)): \tag{10.19}
\end{align*}
$$

So we see that the com position rules $\left(\overline{10}-\overline{1}-\overline{1} \bar{l}_{1}\right)$ for non-sim ple paths are di erent from the ones for sim ple paths, w hen w ritten in term softhe standard string su sceptibility exponent, but that they are form ally identical in term $s$ of the dual exponent 0 , as show $n$ by eqs. $\left(\overline{1} 0 . \overline{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ and $(10 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$.
10.3. Bulk K P Z for non-sim ple paths. For determ ining the com plete set of scaling dim ensions, it rem ains to relate bulk and boundary dim ensions. In the dihute phase, i.e., for sim ple paths, we have seen the sim ple relation in a random $m$ etric:
(1020) $2={ }^{2}$;
 from boundary dim ension in quantum gravity to bulk dim ension in the plane reads accordingly
(10 21)

$$
x=2 \mathrm{U} \quad()=2 \mathrm{U} \quad \frac{1}{2}(\sim+\quad=2 \mathrm{~V} \quad(\eta) ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{x}^{2} \quad 2}{1} ; \tag{1022}
\end{equation*}
$$

an expression repeatedly used above. W hen dealing $w$ ith non-sim ple paths, these relations have to be changed to:
(10 23)

$$
2=\sim \text {; }
$$

as show $n$ in appendiges $\bar{B} \bar{B}$ and $\bar{C} \cdot \overline{1}$. At this stage, the reader $w i l l$ not be surp rised that this relation is just identical to the dual of $(102 \overline{2})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
20 \quad 0=\sim 0 ; \tag{1024}
\end{equation*}
$$

when now written in term s of both dual dim ensions and susceptibility exponent. A s a consequence, the scaling dim ension of a bulk operator in a dense system reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=2 \mathrm{U} \quad\left(\mathrm{t}=2 \mathrm{U} \quad \frac{1}{2} \sim \quad=\frac{1}{2} \sim \frac{\sim 2}{1} ;\right. \tag{1025}
\end{equation*}
$$

which by duality can necessarily be w ritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{x} & =2 \mathrm{~V} \circ\left(\sim{ }^{\sim}\right) ;  \tag{1026}\\
\mathrm{V} \circ(\mathrm{x}) & =\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{x}^{2} \quad 0^{2}}{1} ;
\end{align*}
$$

as can be checked easily.
A swe have seen before, the com position rule forbulk dim ensions ofsim ple paths

(10 28)

$$
\begin{align*}
x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right) & =2 U \frac{1}{2} U^{1}(x(A))+U^{1}(x(B))+  \tag{1027}\\
& =2 V U^{1}(x(A))+U^{1}(x(B)):
\end{align*}
$$

The com position rule for bulk dim ensions of non-sim ple paths (dense phase) in the plane $C$ di ens, according to $\left(10-1 \bar{\sigma}^{-1}\right),(1023)$ and $\left.(102)^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=2 U \quad \frac{1}{2} U^{1}(x(A))+U^{1}(x(B)) ; \tag{1029}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=2 V \circ U 0_{0}^{1}(x(A))+U 0_{0}^{1}(x(B)): \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is form ally the sam e as the rule $(1 \underline{1}-\overline{2} \overline{2})$ in the dilute phase, up to substitution of ${ }^{0}$ for , and it applies to the dense phase of the $O(\mathbb{N})$ m odel, or to P otts cluster boundaries, and in particular to the SLE 4.

In sum $m$ ary, the com position rules for planar scaling dim ensions, whether on a boundary or in the bulk, take a unique analytic form for both phases (sim ple or non-sim ple paths), provided one replaces the string susceptibility exponent in the sim ple case by its dual variable ${ }^{0}$ in the non-sim ple case; this can be seen in


## 11. SLE and KPZ

11.1. D uality for the SLE. W e have seen that the com position rules for dim ensions, while they change from the dilute to the dense phase when dealing with the proper KPZ form alism, scaling dim ensions and susceptibility exponent, stay invariant when expressed in dual variables. This duality is perfectly adapted to the param eterization of the SLE process. Indeed we have from $(9.12)$ and $\left(9.13_{1}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =1 \stackrel{4}{-} ;{ }^{0}=1 \quad \underset{4}{-} ; \quad 4 ;  \tag{11.1}\\
& =1 \frac{-}{4} ;{ }^{0}=1 \quad \underline{4} ; \quad 4 ;
\end{align*}
$$

so that the analytical form sof and its dual ${ }^{0}$ are sim ply exchanged when passing from simple paths ( 4) to non-simple ones ( > 4). Because of the equivalent dual equations $\left(\overline{1} 0 . \overline{1} \overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, by choosing either the - solution or the ${ }^{0}$-solution, depending whether 4 or 4 , we can write
w ith now a single function, valid for all values of param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
U()=\frac{1}{4}(+4): \tag{11.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
= & U \underbrace{1}_{1} \quad 4)(x)=U^{1}(x) ; \\
0 & =U_{0}^{1}(4)(x)=U^{1}(x) ; \tag{11.5}
\end{align*}
$$

again $w$ th a single expression of the inverse function, valid for any

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \quad P \overline{16 x+(4 f}+\quad 4: \tag{11.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

I em phasize that $U$ coincides with the KPZ map for 4 , while it represents the dual of the latter when 4 and then acts on the dual dim ension ${ }^{0}$. For instance, we have the im portant value at the origin

$$
U^{1}(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
j & 4 j+ & 4 \tag{11.7}
\end{array}\right]=1 \quad \underline{4} \#(\quad 4) ;
$$

which vanishes for sim ple paths, and is non-trivial for non-sim ple ones.
It rem ains to de ne the analogue of the $V$ function ( $\overline{1} 0 \underline{2}-\overline{2} \overline{2})$ or its dual $(\overline{1} 0-\overline{2} \bar{\sigma})$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
 \tag{11.8}\\
x= & 2 V_{1}(4)(\eta)=2 V \quad(\sim & 4 \\
2 V_{0}(4) & (\sim 0)=2 V(\sim 0) & 4,
\end{array}
$$

$w$ ith again a single function, valid for all values of param eter

$$
\begin{align*}
V() & =U \frac{1}{2}+1 \quad \underline{4} \\
& =\frac{1}{16} 2^{2} \quad(\quad 4\} ; \tag{11.9}
\end{align*}
$$

but acting on the boundary dim ension in quantum gravity or on its dual, depending on whether 4 or 4 .
11.2. C om position $R$ ules for SLE. Finally we can conclude with general com position rules for the SLE progess. Indeed, the boundary rules in $\left.\mathrm{H}(101)_{1}\right)$ or
 param eter :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{( }\left(A^{\wedge} B\right)=U U^{1}(x(A))+U^{1}(x(B)) ; \tag{11.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for the entire range of . Sim ilarly, the com position rules for SLE's in the plane $C$ are found from eqs. (10 10 ) or (10 $\overline{2}$ ), and recast according to $(11, \overline{1})$ and (11 1 -1 $)$ into a uni ed form ula, valid for any

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(A \wedge B)=2 V \quad U^{1}(x(A))+U^{1}(x(B)): \tag{11.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we see that by introducing dual equations, we have been able to unify the com position rules for the SLE in a unique way, which no longer depends explicitly on the range of .

### 11.3. Short D istance Expansion (SDE).

11.3.1. B oundary SDE. C onsider the power law goveming the behavior of two $m$ utually-avoiding random paths A and B anchored at the D irichlet boundary line, and approaching each other at short distance $r$ along the line. T he probability of such an event scales like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A} ; B}(\mathrm{r}) / \mathrm{r}^{x_{A} ; B} ; r!0 \text {; } \tag{11.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the short-distance exponent reads $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 \\ 1\end{array}, 1\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{A} ; B=x(A \wedge B) \quad x(A) \quad x(B): \tag{11.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{B}=\overline{2}^{\mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) ; ~ ; ~} \tag{11.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e ~ w e ~ u s e ~ x_{A}=x(A)$ as a short-hand notation. In term $s$ of quantum gravity boundary dim ensions, or their dual, this SD E exponent splits into

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbb{x}_{A ; B}= & \overline{2} \underset{A}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim} & 4  \tag{11.15}\\
\underset{B}{\sim} & 4 .
\end{array}
$$

So we see that the short-distance expansion along the boundary off is govemed by the product of the quantum boundary dim ensions, or of their duals, depending on the phase we are in. In particular, if one chooses the set $B$ to be the chordalSLE trace itself, its boundary dim ension $x_{1}=(6 \quad)=2$ is such that $\sim_{1}=U{ }^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=$ $\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad)$ in the dilute phase, or ${ }^{\sim}{ }_{1}=U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}+\quad$ in the dense phase. That corresponds to the single expression $U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=2=\ldots$ which is $\sim_{1}$ for 4 or $\sim_{1}^{0}$ for 4 . In this case, the expressions (11.14) or (11.1

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{A} ; 1=U^{1}\left(x_{A}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{16 x_{A}+(4 \xi}+\quad 4  \tag{11.16}\\
& =\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{A}{\sim} \quad 4 .
\end{align*}
$$

This explains the observation $m$ ade in $\left.\overline{\overline{7}} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ that the boundary SD E of any operator w th the SLE trace $m$ ight be seen as exhibiting (boundary) quantum gravity. H ow ever, we see that if for 4 the SD E exponent (11.1) is indeed the K P Z solution ~ for 4 it necessarily transform sto the dualdim ension $\sim 0$ introduced above in (10-3) . M oreover, at this stage, this appearance of the quantum gravity dim ension m ight be seen as a coincidence, since the generalstructure of SD E exponent (11.15) is clearly still quadratic and given by the product of quantum gravity dim ensions or their dual.
11.32. Bulk SDE. O ne can also consider the SDE for random paths in the full plane, corresponding to the so-called radialSLE. C onsider the pow er law goveming the behavior of two mutually-avoiding random paths A and B approaching each other at short distance $r$ in the plane, $w$ ith probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{A ; B}(r) / r^{X_{A} ; B} ; r!0 ; \tag{11.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the short-distance exponent now reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{A ; B}=x\left(A^{\wedge} B\right) \quad x(A) \quad x(B): \tag{11.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

 get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{A} ; B=\frac{-}{4} U^{1}\left(x_{A}\right) U^{1}\left(x_{\mathrm{B}}\right)+\frac{(4)^{2}}{8}: \tag{11.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term sofquantum gravity boundary dim ensions, or their dual, this SD E exponent reads

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}}=\begin{align*}
& \left(\underset{4}{\sim_{A}} \sim_{B}+\frac{(4)^{2}}{\sim_{B}}\right.  \tag{1120}\\
& \overline{4} \underset{A}{\sim} \sim_{B}+\frac{(4)^{2}}{8}
\end{align*}
$$

So we see that the short-distance expansion in C is again govemed by the product of the quantum boundary dim ensions, or of their duals, plus a shift term. If one chooses in particular the set B to be the radialSLE trace itself, taken at a typical $m$ edialpoint, its boundary scaling dim ension $x_{2}$ is such that $\sim_{2}=U{ }^{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=1$
in the dihute phase, or $\sim_{2}=U^{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=1+\quad$ in the dense phase. $T$ hat corresponds to the single expression $U^{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=2 U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=4=\ldots$ which is $\sim_{2}$ for 4 or $\sim_{2}^{0}$ for $\quad 4$. In this case the expressions, (11.1p) or (112 2

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{A} ; 2 & =U^{1}\left(x_{A}\right)+\frac{(4)^{2}}{8} \\
& \left(\sim_{A}+\frac{(4)^{2}}{8}\right.  \tag{1121}\\
& \sim_{A}+\frac{(4)^{2}}{8}
\end{align*}
$$

So the SDE of the SLE trace with any operator A in the plane again generates the boundary dim ension of A in quantum gravity or its dual, modulo a constant shift. N otice that this shift is self-dual w ith respect to ${ }^{0}=16$ and reads also $\frac{(4)^{2}}{8}=\frac{1 \mathrm{c}}{12}$.
11.3.3. K ac Spectrum . The K ac spectrum of conform alw eights of a conform al eld theory of central charge $c()$ can be written w ith as a param eter
$N$ otice that if one substitutes the dual param eter ${ }^{0}$ to :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.h_{p ; q}^{( }{ }^{0}\right) & \left.=\frac{[(1}{}{ }^{0}\right) p \\
4(1 & \left.q^{2}\right]  \tag{1123}\\
\left.{ }^{0}\right) & 0^{2} \\
& =h_{q ; p}^{(1)}:
\end{align*}
$$

Hence in $m$ etric spaœ the dual dim ension is sim ply that $w$ ith exchanged indioes. $B y$ the inverse KPZ relation the dim ension $h_{p ; q}^{(1)}$ corresponds to a conform alw eight in quantum gravity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}}{( })=\mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}}^{( }\right)=\frac{j(1 \quad) \mathrm{p} \quad q j^{+}}{2}: \tag{1124}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual dim ension $(10-\overline{2})$ reads:
(11 25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{p ; q}{()^{0}}\left.=\frac{\begin{array}{c}
( \\
p ; q
\end{array}}{1}=\frac{j(1}{}{ }^{0}\right) q \mathrm{pj}+{ }^{0} \\
& 2 \\
&={ }_{q ; p}^{\left({ }^{0}\right)}=U 0_{0}^{1}\left(h_{q ; p}^{\left({ }^{0}\right)}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

 form aloperator $p ; q$, w ith conform alweights $\left.h_{p ; q}^{( }\right)$(or ${ }_{p ; q}^{()}$in $Q G$ ), and describing a given system of random paths, gets its indices $p$ and $q$ interchanged when going from the dilute phase to the dense phase. In the plane, we see from $\left(112 \overline{2} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ that this corresponds to keeping the sam e indioes and_form ally going to the dual string susceptibility, whereas in quantum gravity, (11 $\left.\overline{1} \bar{S}^{\prime}\right)$ show s that this corresponds to keeping the sam e indices, while perform ing the double operation of taking the dual dim ension together with the dual string susceptibility.

U sing $(\overline{9} \overline{1} \overline{2}),(\overline{9} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{3})$, the K ac spectrum ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ can be written in term $s$ of param eter as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{p ; q}^{( }{ }^{(4))}=\frac{(4 \mathrm{p} \quad q)^{2} \quad(\quad 4}{16}:=\sim_{p ; q} ; \quad 4 ;  \tag{1126}\\
& h_{p ; q}^{( }{ }^{(4))}=\frac{(\mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{q})^{2}(\quad 4\}}{16}=\sim_{q ; p} ; \quad 4 \text {; } \tag{1127}
\end{align*}
$$

where in this new notation $\sim_{p ; q}$ coincides with $h_{p ; q}^{()}$for 4 , and $w$ ith $\left.h_{\text {fip }}^{( }\right)$[w ith interchanged indioes] for 4. It also obeys the duality equation: $\tilde{\sim}_{\sim}^{0} ;{ }^{0}=16=\sim_{q ; p}$. $N$ otice nally that the convention for placing indices in $\sim_{p ; q}$ is the reverse of that of ref.

The quantum conform al weight (11 $\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{4})$ reads sim ilarly, depending on the range:


Let us also introduce a uni ed notation for the inverse im age of $\sim_{p ; q}(\underline{11} \overline{\underline{2}} \overline{\underline{G}})$ by the -dependent $m$ ap U ${ }^{1}$ (11. (1)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q} & =\mathrm{U}^{1} \sim_{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}} \\
& =\frac{j \not \mathrm{p} \underset{q j^{+}}{4}}{2} \tag{11.30}
\end{align*}
$$

W e therefore get, depending on the range:

so p;q is either a conform alweight ( 4), or a dual one ( 4).
11.4. Scaling $D$ im ensions for $M$ ulti-Lines in $O(\mathbb{N})$, $P$ otts $M$ odels and SLE P rocess. We shall need in the follow ing the scaling dim ensions associated w ith several ( $m$ utually-avoiding) random paths starting from a sam e sm all neighborhood, also called star exponents in the above. It is sim plest to rst give them for the $O(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{m}$ odel, before transferring them to the SLE. For com pleteness, these exponents are also derived explicitly from the random lattice approach in appendix

11.4.1. B oundary and Bulk $Q$ uantum $G$ ravity. N ear the boundary of andom surface $w$ ith $D$ irich let conditions, the conform aldim ensions read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}(1 \quad)=\stackrel{( }{\mathrm{L}+1 ; 1} ;  \tag{11.32}\\
& \sim \underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}+=\underset{1 ; \mathrm{L}+1}{(1)} ; \tag{11.33}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $\backslash \mathrm{D}$ " superscript stands for the dense phase. T he quantum bulk dim ensions read sim ilarly

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{4}(1 \quad)+\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2}=\underset{\mathrm{L}=2 ; 0}{(1)} ;  \tag{11.34}\\
& \mathrm{D}=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{4}+\frac{-}{2}=\substack{(1) \\
0 ; \mathrm{L}=2} \tag{11.35}
\end{align*}
$$

In term s of the SLE param eter, the dilute phase corresponds to ( $\overline{9} \overline{12})$ for 4 , while the dense one covers $(\underline{9} .13)$ w ith 4 :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rllll}
\sim_{L} & =\frac{2 L}{} ; & & L=\frac{1}{2}(2 L+ & 4) ;
\end{array} \quad 4\right\}
$$

By using dual dim ensions $\left(\underline{10} \mathbf{I N}_{2}^{-3}\right)$ for the dense phase, these results are uni ed into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{L}=\underline{2 L}=L+1 ; 1 ;  \tag{11.38}\\
& \mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2}(2 \mathrm{~L}+\quad 4)=\mathrm{L}=2 ; 0 \text {; }  \tag{11.39}\\
& \sim \underset{L}{D} 0=2 L(L+1 ; 1 ;  \tag{11.40}\\
& {\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{D}}}_{0}=\frac{1}{2}(2 \mathrm{~L}+\quad 4)=\underset{\mathrm{L}=2 ; 0}{ } \text {; } \tag{11.41}
\end{align*}
$$

H ence we again observe that in the dense phase the dual dim ensions play the role of the original ones in the dilute phase.
11.42. Scaling $D$ im ensions in $H$ and $C$. The scaling dim ensions $x_{L}$ in the standard complex half-plane $H$, or $x_{L}$ in the complex plane $C$, can now be obtained from the quantum gravity ones by the KPZU m ap (10.1), or, in the SLE form al ism, from the U (11 (11 2d) to (11. 11 stays the sam e in the two phases 4, and 4. Indeed we get:


W e are now in position to determ ine the $m$ ultifractal spectrum associated $w$ ith the harm onic $m$ easure near the $O(\mathbb{N}) m$ ulti-lines, or, equivalently, near the SLE frontier or special points. It also corresponds to the so-called derivative exponents in the SLE form alism [6] $\overline{2} 1$ ].

## 12. M ultifractal Exponents for the SLE

In sections ${ }_{1} \bar{\sigma}_{1}$ and ${ }_{1}{ }_{1} \overline{-1} \overline{3}$ above we have studied in detail the $m$ ultifractal spectrum associated $w$ th the harm onic $m$ easure near a conform ally-invariant frontier, generalized to a m ixed rotation spectrum in section, $\overline{1}$. W e also looked at the double-sided distribution of potential near a sim ple fractal curve. Further generalizations were given to higher m ultifractal spectra in betw een the branches of stars m ade of several sim ple paths (section $\overline{7}_{-1}$ ). O ne should note at this stage that we used there the quantum gravity form alism in term sof the susceptibility exponent, which is valid for the \dilute phase" of critical curves, ie., for sim ple C I curves. W e have seen in previous section "11 how to extend this form alism to the \dense phase", nam ely to non-sim ple curves, by using duality. W e shall now apply this extended form alism to the m ultifractal spectrum of the SLE trace. It would be tedious to repeat all previous calculations, so we shall rather concentrate on new extended spectra, and on the basic property of duality $\left(\overline{-9} .8_{1}^{\prime}\right)(\overline{9} . \overline{1} \overline{9})$ of the SLE trace, which plays an essential role in the construction ( 6.27$)(6,301)$ of the standard $m$ ultifractal spectrum $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{)}$ along any C I random curve.
12.1. B oundary M ultifractal Exponents.
12.1.1. De nition. Let us start w the exponents associated w ith geom etrical properties of C I curves at the boundary of the half-plane H. In the SLE language, this corresponds to the chordal case. W e look speci cally at the behavior of pow ers of the harm onic m easure, or in SLE tem $s$, to that of powers of the m odulus of the derivative of the $R$ iem ann conform alm ap which $m$ aps the SLE trace back to the


W e shallstart $w$ th the $m$ ultifractal exponents associated $w$ th the $L$-leg boundary operator ${ }^{\sim} S_{L}$ creating a starm ade ofL sem i-in nite random paths $S_{1}$, di using in the upper half-plane $H$ and started at a single vertex on the real line $@ H$ in a
m utually-avoiding star con guration

$$
\left.S_{L}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{S}_{1} \wedge S_{1}}\right\}\left._{1}^{\mathrm{I}}\right|_{1}\left\{=\$_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{L}}
$$



w ith the inversion form ula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{1}\left(x_{\mathrm{L}}\right)=\mathrm{L} \mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=\frac{2 \mathrm{~L}}{}=\mathrm{L}+1 ; 1 ; 8: \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s explained above in section 111 In the form alism has now been set up in such a way that the form ulae stay valid in both phases 4 or $>4$.
$W$ e now dress this $L$-star $S_{L}$ by a packet of $n$ independent $B$ rownian paths di using aw ay from the apex of the star, located on the boundary, while avoiding the random paths of the star (Fig. ${ }^{2} 25$ ).

In our standard notation, this reads:

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \wedge \mathrm{fB}_{\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{B}}^{\}\left._{-}^{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{-}\left\{\quad \mathrm{Bg}={ }_{1}\right)^{\operatorname{Ta}} S(\mathrm{~S})^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{~L} \wedge \mathrm{n}: ~}
$$

W e have, w ith a slight abuse of notation, introduced the short-hand notation: L ^ $\mathrm{n}:=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \wedge(\mathrm{B})^{\mathrm{n}}$. The corresponding boundary scaling dim ension $\left.\mathrm{x}_{(\mathrm{L}} \wedge \mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}\right)$ in H is given by repeated application of the boundary K P Z construction (1̄1 $\overline{1} \overline{-1})$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
x\left(L^{\wedge} n\right) & =U U^{1}\left(x\left(S_{L}\right)\right)+U^{1}\left[x\left((B)^{n}\right)\right] \\
& =U U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+U^{1}(n) \\
& =U L^{2}+U^{1}(n): \tag{12.3}
\end{align*}
$$

An explicit calculation w th (11.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(L \wedge n)=n+\frac{L}{L}+\frac{1}{2}^{p} \overline{16 n+(\quad 4 \rho}: \tag{12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for the exponent goveming the harm onic $m$ easure $m$ om ents near the origin of a single SLE trace on the boundary @ H we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}(1 \wedge \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{2}} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}+(\quad 4)}: \tag{12.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

12.12. B oundary D erivative Exponents. It is interesting to isolate in this exponent the contribution $x_{L}(\underline{12}-1.1)$ in ing from the $L$ random SLE paths, and which absorbs the non-linearity in $\bar{L}$, and w rite:
(12.6) $\left.\quad x_{(L} \wedge^{\wedge} n\right)=x_{L}+n+\frac{L}{2}^{h_{p}} \overline{16 n+(49}+\quad 4^{i}$
(12.7)

$$
=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{LU}^{1}(\mathrm{n}):
$$

The structure so obtained is in agreem ent w ith the short-distance expansion results (11.14) and (11.15); the mutualavoidance interaction betw een the random SLE paths and the random B row nian paths enhanœs the exponent of independent paths


Figure 25. Representation ofharm onicm om ents by packets ofindependent B row nian paths di using aw ay from a single SLE trace, hence a $L=1$ star $S_{1}$. T here are three locations to probe the har$m$ onic $m$ easure: at the SLE origin on the boundary, at the SLE tip in the plane, or along the fractal curve itself. T he corresponding scaling exponents are respectively $x\left(1^{\wedge} n\right)(12 . \bar{S}), x\left(1^{\wedge} n\right)\left(12 . \overline{1} \bar{q}_{1}\right)$, $x\left(2^{\wedge} n\right)(122$ d)
$x_{L}+n$ by L tim es a typical boundary KPZ term. Let us de ne the subtracted exponent:
(12.8)

$$
\sim\left(L^{\wedge} n\right):=x\left(L^{\wedge} n\right) \quad \text { x }:
$$

It reads explicitly
(12.9)

$$
\sim\left(L^{\wedge} n\right)=n+L U^{1}(n)=n+L q(; n) ;
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}(; \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{n})=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{h}} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}+(\quad 4 \rho}+\quad 4: \tag{12.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

12.1.3. B oundary D isconnection Exponents. $N$ otioe that forn $=0$ the exponent is not necessarily trivial:
(12.11)

$$
\sim(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{n}=0)=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{~L} \wedge 0)
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{1}(0)=1 \underline{4} \#(\quad 4): \tag{12.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence
(12.13)

$$
\sim(L \wedge 0)=\begin{array}{lll}
\sim \\
\sim
\end{array}(1 \quad 4=) \quad 4
$$

So the exponent ( $12 . \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ ) takes non-zero values for $>4$, i.e. for self-coiling random C I curves. This is typical of a disconnection exponent. C onsider a point z located along the boundary @H at short distance $r=j \quad w j$ from the origin $w w h e r e ~ a l l ~$ paths of the SLE star $S_{L}$ are started. The probability $P_{L}{ }_{L} \wedge$ that point $z$ stays connected to in nity w ithout being encircled by the collection of SLE traces scales like

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L \wedge}{ }_{L}(z) / r^{\left(L^{\wedge} 0\right)}=r^{L(1 \quad 4=)} ; r!0 ; \quad 4: \tag{12.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If 4 , the probability that the random SLE paths retum to the boundary is zero, and any point w stays connected to in nity, hence a vanishing disconnection exponent ${ }^{\sim}{ }_{4}(\mathrm{~L} ; 0)=0$.
12.2. P lanar M ultifractal Exponents.
12.2.1. C onstruction from $Q$ uantum $G$ ravity. In this section we dealw th exponents sim ilar to the $x\left(L^{\wedge} n\right.$ ) encountered above, but now for the harm onic m easure near the tip of a collection of $L$ random CI paths in the plane. In the SLE language, these w ill give derivative exponents, describing the pow er law decay of the $n^{\text {th }} m$ om ent of the $m$ odulus of the derivative of the uniform izing $R$ iem ann $m$ ap of the SLE traces to the unit disk $U$, this tim $e$ for chordalSLEs.
$W$ e still use the shorthand $L \wedge n=S_{L} \wedge(B)^{n}$. It then su ces to apply the


$$
\begin{align*}
x\left(L^{\wedge} n\right) & \left.=2 V U^{1}\left(x^{( }\left(S_{L}\right)\right)+U^{1}\left[x(L B)^{n}\right)\right] \\
& =2 V U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+U^{1}(n) \\
& =2 V L^{2}+U^{1}(n): \tag{12.15}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{~L} \wedge \mathrm{n})=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}+\mathrm{B} \quad(\mathrm{~L})+\mathrm{A} \quad(\mathrm{~L}) \underline{1}^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}+(\quad 4 f} ; \tag{12.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the various term s read
(12.17)

$$
\text { B }(L)=\frac{L}{4}(2 L+
$$

4) $\frac{1}{16}$ (
5) 

(12.18)

A $(L)=\frac{1}{4} L+\frac{-}{4} 1:$
Let us specify the rst tw o sets of exponents, corresponding respectively to the tip of the radialSLE $(L=1)$, or to the frontier of the SLE curve $(L=2)$ :

$$
\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{I}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}+\frac{4+{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}+(\quad 4 \rho}}{16}+\frac{(6 \quad)(2)}{8} ;
$$

(12.19)

$$
\mathrm{x}\left(2^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}+1+\frac{1}{4}_{\frac{1}{4}^{\mathrm{h}}} \quad 4+\mathrm{p}{\overline{16}+\left(4^{\mathrm{n}}\right.}^{\mathrm{i}}+1 \quad \overline{8}:
$$

(12 20)
In each equation, the last term corresponds to the scaling dim ension $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ of the operator $s_{1}$ or $s_{2}$.
12.2. D uality for $M$ ultifractal $D$ im ensions. It is interesting, at this stage, to retum at our original developm ents in term $s$ of quantum gravity, where the string susceptibility exponent appeared in a naturalway. U sing (11. dilute phase ( 4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(L \wedge n)=\frac{n}{2}+B \quad(L)+A \quad(L)^{p} \overline{4(1 \quad) n+{ }^{2}} \tag{1221}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the various coe cients read
(12 22)
$B(L)=\frac{L}{4} \frac{L}{2}(1 \quad)+\frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1}$
A $(\mathrm{L})=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~L}+\frac{}{1}:$

In particular for $L=1$ we nd:

$$
x\left(1^{\wedge} n\right)=\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{8}(1+\quad) \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{1} p \frac{1}{4(1 \quad) n+{ }^{2}}:
$$

For L = 2 we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\wedge}\left(2^{\wedge} n\right)=\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1} \quad \mathrm{p} \frac{1}{4\left(1 \quad n+{ }^{2}\right.} ; \tag{1225}
\end{equation*}
$$

which of course is the sam e as result $(\overline{6} 2 \overline{2})$ of section 7 above.
For the dense phase, one either uses ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ in $\left(\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$, or form ally substitutes everyw here in $(12 \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ the dual susceptibility exponent ${ }^{-\sigma}=(1 \quad)_{1}^{1}(\overline{1} 0.5)$ to , to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{D}\left(L^{\wedge} n\right)=\frac{n}{2}+B^{0}(L)+A^{0}(L)^{p} \overline{4\left(1 \quad n+{ }^{2}\right.} \tag{1226}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(12 27)
(12 28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{0}(L)=B \circ(L)=\frac{L}{4} \frac{1}{1} \frac{L}{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1} \\
& A^{0}(L)=\frac{1}{1} A \circ(L)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{L}{1}:
\end{aligned}
$$

For the rst cases $L=1$ and $L=2$ we respectively nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{D}(1 \wedge n)=\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{1} \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1}+\frac{1}{4} P \overline{4(1 \quad) n+{ }^{2}} ; \tag{1229}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{D} \quad\left(2^{\wedge} n\right)=\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{1} \quad p \quad \frac{1}{4\left(1 \quad n+{ }^{2}\right.}: \tag{12.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e thus observe that the expression for the two-line exponent $x\left({ }^{\wedge} n\right)$ is invariant betw een the tw o phases. This crucialpoint explains the fact that when approaching a C I fractal path from outside and converging tow ards a typical point associated w ith the two-line operator $\mathrm{s}_{2}$, one sees only the extemal frontier, since all the m ultifractal exponents $(12 \underline{2} \mathbf{2})$ and $(12-20)$ are identical. This identity expresses the screening of electrostatic interactions by the extemal perim eter of non-sim ple paths.

A nother way to express this situation is to require the duality identity in the SLE result (12.16)
(12.31)

$$
x \quad\left(L^{\wedge} n\right)=x_{16=}\left(L^{\wedge} n\right)
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(L)=B \quad 0=16=(L) \\
& A(L)=-A \quad 0=16=(L):
\end{aligned}
$$

O ne nds from (12.17) a unique solution for $L=2$, which is the geom etric duality of frontiers, already seen in sections $\left(\underline{\overline{9}}(\underline{1})\right.$ and $\left(\underline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. It ascribes the sim ple path SLE $0=16=$ as the extemal frontier of SLE 4 , which is a non-simple path.
12.2.3. $P$ lanar D erivative Exponents. A sa follow up ofeqs. $(\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{9})$ and $(12 \overline{2} \overline{2})$, it is interesting to separate in $\left(12.1 \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right)$ the contribution $x_{L}$ of the star $S_{L}$ itself

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{8} 4 \mathrm{~L}^{2} \quad\left(4 \quad \xi=\sim_{\mathrm{L}=2 ; 0} ;\right. \tag{12.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(L^{\wedge} n\right)=x_{L}+\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2} L+\frac{-}{4} \quad 1 U^{1}(n) ; \tag{12.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{1}(\mathrm{n})=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}+(\quad 49}+\quad 4: \tag{12.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In analogy to eq. (12-8), one is thus led to de ne a subtracted exponent
(12.35)
$\left(\mathrm{L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right):=\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)$
x:

O w ing to the results above, this set of exponents reads explicitly:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right) & =\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L}+\frac{-}{4} 1 \mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{n}) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L}+\frac{\overline{4}_{4}}{1 \frac{1}{2}}{ }^{h_{\mathrm{p}}} \frac{1}{16 \mathrm{n}+(4 \mathcal{q}}+\quad 4: \tag{12.36}
\end{align*}
$$

These exponents, directly related to the harm onic $m$ easure $m$ om ent exponents (12.15), generalize the so-called derivative exponents introduced in $\left[\frac{1}{6}-1\right]$. Indeed, for $\bar{L}=1$, we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{L}=1 ; \mathrm{n})=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}+\frac{1}{16}^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}+(\quad 4 \xi}+\quad 4 \text {; } \tag{12.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

 form al behavior of the grow th process near the tip of the SLE, or, equivalently, that of the harm onic $m$ easure seen from that tip. The class (12 for $L=2$, to the case of a typicalpoint along the frontier, and to higher branching points for $L>2$.

12 2.4. P lanar D isconnection Exponents. H ere again, the geom etricalsituation w ill strongly depend on the range of values for , the fractal sets being directly accessible or not, respectively for 4 and 4. Indeed, let us consider the values at $\mathrm{n}=0$, i.e., the set of disconnection exponents:

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{n}=0) & =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L}+\frac{-}{4} \quad 1 \mathrm{U}^{1}(0) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L}+\frac{-}{4} \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad \underline{4} \#(\quad 4): \tag{12.38}
\end{align*}
$$

This explicitly gives

$$
\left.(L \wedge 0)=\begin{array}{lll}
0 & & 4  \tag{12.39}\\
\frac{1}{2}(L & 1)(1 & \underline{4}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{8}(\quad 4) \quad 4 .
$$

For $L=1$, we nd the disconnection exponent associated $w$ th the tip of the radial SLE , or, equivalently, w ith the tip of a single line in the $O(\mathbb{N}) m$ odel:

$$
(1 ; 0)=\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 4  \tag{12.40}\\
( & 4)=8 & 4,
\end{array}
$$

a result also appearing in
C onsider a point z $2 C^{-}$located at distance $r=\dot{j} \quad w$ jfrom the origin $w w h e r e$ all paths of the SLE star $S_{L}$ begin. The probability $P_{L \wedge}{ }^{\prime}$ that the point $z$ stays connected to in nity w ithout being encircled by the collection of SLE traces scales like

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L^{\wedge} \wedge}(z) / r{ }^{\left(L^{\wedge} 0\right)} ; r!0: \tag{12.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If 4 , the random SLE paths are sim ple curves which cannot encircle any exterior point which thus stays connected to in nity, hence a vanishing disconnection exponent $\quad{ }_{4}(\mathrm{~L} ; 0)=0$.
12.3. D ouble-S ided Exponents.
12.3.1. De nition. For com pleteness, let us give the expression for the doublesided exponents corresponding to the double $m$ om ents of the harm on ic $m$ easure on both sides of an SLE trace, or, equivalently, to double-sided derivative exponents [-72 for $L=1 ; 2$. $W$ e thus have in $m$ ind the con guration $w$ here tw o packets of $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ B rownian paths di use on both sides of an $S_{L} m$ ultiple SLE trace, hence


$$
\mathrm{Bg}={ }^{\mathrm{n}(\mathbb{1} B)\left(\wedge \mathrm{S}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{L}} \wedge(\mathrm{~B})^{\mathrm{n}_{2}}=\mathrm{n}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}_{2}: ~: ~}
$$

W e have again, with a slight abuse of notation, introduced a short-hand notation: $\mathrm{n}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}_{2}:=(\mathrm{B})^{\mathrm{n}_{1}} \wedge \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{L}} \wedge(\mathrm{B})^{\mathrm{n}_{2}}$ 。
12.32. B oundary Exponents. Let us start w th the half-plane con guration, where allpaths start at the sam e origin $w$ on the half-plane boundary @H. A ccording to the com position rules $[11 . \overline{1})$, the associated boundary scaling exponent can be constructed im m ediately as:
(12.42)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{x}\left(n_{1} \wedge L^{\wedge} n_{2}\right) & =U U^{1}\left(n_{1}\right)+L U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+U^{1}\left(n_{2}\right) \\
& =U U^{1}\left(n_{1}\right)+\frac{2 L}{}+U^{1}\left(n_{2}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation gives:
$T$ his is the full boundary scaling dim ension of $L-S L E$ paths dressed by $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ Brownian paths. It is interesting to compare it to the dim ension $x_{L}$ ( $11.4 \overline{1}$ ) or (11.4) of the L-SLE s alone, and de ne:
(12.43)
$\sim\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}_{2}\right):=\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{x}:$

W e get for this exponent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{16} \stackrel{\mathrm{~h}}{4 \mathrm{~L}+} \quad 4+\mathrm{p} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}_{1}+(\quad 4)}+\mathrm{p} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}_{2}+\left(\quad 4^{f}\right.}{ }^{\mathrm{i}_{2}} \quad(4 \mathrm{~L}+4
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathrm{L}=1$, we nd:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \wedge 1^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{16}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}+\mathrm{p} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}_{1}+(\quad 4)^{2}}+\mathrm{p} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}_{2}+\left(\quad 4^{2}\right.}{ }^{\mathrm{i}_{2}} \quad(8 \quad q \quad ;
\end{aligned}
$$

also obtained in $[\underline{6} 2 \overline{1}]$.
12.3.3. D ouble-Sided B oundary D isconnection Exponents. The values of the generalized disconnection exponents associated w th the exponents above are obtained for $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=0$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}\left(0^{\wedge} L^{\wedge} 0\right)=U \quad \frac{2 L}{}+2 U^{1}(0): \tag{12.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the exponents (12.43) this gives $\sim(0 \wedge \mathrm{~L} \wedge 0)$ explicitly, with the help of $(1212) U^{1}(0)=1$ 正 $\#(4)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sim\left(0 \wedge L^{\wedge} 0\right) & =x(0 \wedge L \wedge 0) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(4 L+\quad \text { 4) } 1 \frac{4}{-} \#(\quad 4):\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

W e therefore nd as usuala quite di erent situation for 4 and 4 :

$$
\sim(0 \wedge \mathrm{~L} \wedge 0)=\begin{align*}
& 0  \tag{12.45}\\
& \frac{1}{2}(4 \mathrm{~L}+
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { 4) }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

$N$ otice that the bulk one-sided disconnection exponent (12 double-sided boundary one by the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L^{\wedge} \wedge 0\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sim(0 \wedge L \wedge 0): \tag{12.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x\left(n_{1} \wedge L^{\wedge} n_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{16}{ }^{h} 4\left(\begin{array}{ll}
L & 1
\end{array}\right)+\quad+\quad \mathrm{p} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}_{1}+(\quad 4)}+\mathrm{p} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}_{2}+(\quad 4)^{2}} \mathrm{i}_{2} \quad(\quad 4\}
\end{aligned}
$$

12.3.4. P lanar D ouble-Sided E xponents. This tim e we consider the case where the dressed con guration $n_{1} \wedge n_{2}$ is located aw ay from the boundary, nam ely in the plane. $T$ he associated dim ension is obtained from (11 $1 \overline{11})$ as:
(12.47)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x\left(n_{1} \wedge L^{\wedge} n_{2}\right) & =2 V U^{1}\left(n_{1}\right)+L U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+U^{1}\left(n_{2}\right) \\
& =2 V U^{1}\left(n_{1}\right)+2 L+U^{1}\left(n_{2}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

From (119.9) we nd:

$$
x\left(n_{1} \wedge L^{\wedge} n_{2}\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{8} 2 \mathrm{~L}+\quad 4+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}_{1}+(\quad 4)}+\frac{1 \mathrm{P}}{2} \overline{16 \mathrm{n}_{2}+\left(4^{f}\right.}{ }^{2} \quad\left(\quad 4^{\mathcal{q}}:\right.
$$

The subtracted -exponents read accordingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}_{2}\right):=\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{X} ; \tag{12.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we get explicitly

$$
\left.=\frac{1}{8}{\left(n_{1} \wedge L \wedge n_{2}\right)}_{2 L+}^{4+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{16 \mathrm{n}_{1}+(4)}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{16 \mathrm{n}_{2}+\left(4^{2}\right.}{ }^{2} 4 \mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)
$$

12.3.5. D ouble-Sided B ulk D isconnection Exponents. G eneralized disconnection exponents associated $w$ th the exponents above are obtained for $n_{1}=n_{2}=0$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(0^{\wedge} L^{\wedge} 0\right)=2 V \quad \frac{2 L}{}+2 U^{1}(0): \tag{12.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the exponent (12.4. ) this gives explicitly
12.4. W inding A ngle Variance of M ultiple SLE Strands. Let us nally retum to the $w$ inding angle variance at points where $k$ strands com $e$ together in a star con guration $S_{k}$. W e have seen in that the variance of $k$ paths up to distance $R$ is reduced by a factor $1=k^{2}$ w ith respect to the $k=1$ single path case, nam ely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \#^{2} i_{k}=\frac{-}{k^{2}} \ln R: \tag{12.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of non-sim ple paths ( $>4$ ), one can further consider the $w$ inding at points where $k$ strandsm eet together, am ongst which jadjacent pairs (w th $2 j \mathrm{k}$ ) are conditioned not to hit each other [1] 1-1]. In each pair the two strands, which

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(0^{\wedge} L^{\wedge} 0\right)=x\left(0^{\wedge} L^{\wedge} 0\right) \quad x^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(2 \mathrm{~L}+\quad 4) 1 \quad \underset{-}{\#} \text { ( } \\
& =\begin{array}{lll}
0 & & 4 \\
\frac{1}{2}(2 L+ & 4)(1 \quad \underline{4}) & 4 .
\end{array} \tag{12.50}
\end{align*}
$$

otherw ise would bounce on each other, are disconnected from each other, and that corresponds, in our notations, to a star con guration:

W ieland and W ilson m ade the interesting con jecture that in this case the w inding angle variance grow s like [1] $\left.\overline{1} \overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \#^{2} i_{k ; j}=\frac{}{\left(k+j \max (0 ;=2 \quad 2)^{f}\right.} \ln R: \tag{12.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his can be derived from the quantum gravity form alism as follow s . A generalization of eq. (8.15) gives the num ber of paths, $k(j)$, which is equivalent to $k$ strands in a star con guration $S_{k ; j}\left(\underline{12} . \underline{2} \underline{Z}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(j)=k+j \frac{U^{1}(0)}{U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}: \tag{12.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, one sim ply has to gauge the extra (quantum gravity) conform alweight $j$ $U^{1}(0)$, associated w th the $j$ disconnected pairs, by the $(Q G)$ boundary conform al weight $U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ of a single path extrem ity. This is entirely analogous, for instance, to the way the argum ent of $V$ in the double-sided disconnection exponent, eq. (12.

$$
U^{1}(0)=1 \quad \underline{4} \#(\quad 4) ;
$$

and the value $\binom{12-2}{-1}$

$$
U^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{2}{-} ;
$$

we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(j)=k+j \frac{1}{2} 2 \#(4) ; \tag{12.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives a variance

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \#^{2} i_{k ; j}=h \#^{2} i_{k(j)}=\frac{}{k^{2}(j)} \ln R ; \tag{12.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is just the con jecture $(\underline{1} 2-\overline{5}-\overline{3}), Q$ E D .
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## A ppendix A. Brownian Intersection Exponents from $Q$ uantum G ravity

A.1. N on-Intersection Exponents and KPZ.Consider a number L of independent random walks (or B rownian paths) $B^{(1)} ; 1=1$; $\quad ; L^{d}$ in( 8 r $R^{d}$ ); started at xed neighboring points, and the probability

$$
P_{L}(t)=P^{n}\left[{ }_{1 ; 1^{0}=1}^{L}\left(B^{(1)}[0 ; t] \backslash B^{\left(1^{0}\right)}[0 ; t]\right)=;\right.
$$

that their paths do not intersect up to timet. At large tim es and for $d<4$; one expects this probability to decay as $P_{L}$ ( $t$ ) $t^{\text {I }}$, where $L_{\text {( }}(d)$ is a universal exponent depending only on $L$ and $d$.

ForL walksw ith D irichlet boundary conditions in $H$, and started at neighboring points near the boundary, the non-intersection probability $P_{L}^{\sim}$ ( $(t)$ scales as $P_{L}^{\sim}$ ( $t$ ) $t \tilde{I}$, w ith a boundary exponent $\tilde{L}_{\mathrm{L}}$. In two dim ensions, the exponent values are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{h}_{0 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{c}=0)}=\frac{1}{24} 4 \mathrm{~L}^{2} \quad 1 ; \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the half-plane

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sim \tilde{L}^{\sim}=h_{1 ; 2 \mathrm{~L}+2}^{(\mathrm{c}=0)}=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~L}(1+2 \mathrm{~L}) ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $h_{p ; q}^{(c)}$ denotes the $K$ ac conform alw eight

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p ; q}^{(c)}=\frac{[(m+1) p \mathrm{mq}]^{2}}{4 m(m+1)} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of m inim alconform al eld theory of centralcharge $\mathrm{c}=1 \quad 6=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{m}+1) ; \mathrm{m} 2 \mathrm{~N}$ [1]2]. For B row nian $m$ otions $c=0$; and $m=2$ :
$T$ his appendix provides the $m$ ain lines of a derivation of these exponents. O ne considers the random walks on a random lattice w ith planar geom etry, or, in other words, in presence of two-dim ensionalquantum gravity $\left.\overline{2}_{\underline{2}}^{\underline{6}} 1\right]$. There, the conform al dim ensions of non-intersecting walks are obtained from an exact solution. W e then use the non-linear K P Z m ap which exists betw een conform alw eights on a random surface and ${ }^{(0)}$ in the plane $(\overline{2}-\overline{9})$,
(A.4)

$$
{ }^{(0)}=\mathrm{U} \quad()=\frac{(\quad)}{(1 \quad)} ;
$$

where , the string susceptibility exponent, is related to the central charge:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1 \quad 6^{2}=(1 \quad) ; \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

 B row nian motions $=1=2$, and the KPZ relation becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }^{(0)}=U_{( }=1=2\right)()=\frac{1}{3}(1+2) \text {; } \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indeed bears a striking resem blance to ( correspond to

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
8 & \mathrm{~L}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L} & \frac{1}{2} ; & \left.\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{U}_{( }=1=2\right)(\mathrm{L}) \\
: & \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{L} ; & & \left.2 \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=U_{( }=1=2\right)\left(\sim_{\mathrm{L}}\right): \tag{A.7}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 26. A planar random disk $w$ ith $n$ extemal legs.

A .2. $P$ lanar $R$ andom $G$ raphs. C onsider the set of planar random graphs $G$, built up with, e.g., trivalent vertioes and with a xed topology, here that of a sphere (S) or a disk (D). The partition function is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(;)={ }_{G}^{X} \frac{1}{S(G)} e^{j G j} ; \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where denotes the Euler characteristic $=2(S) ; 1(D) ; j$ is the number of vertices of $G, S(G)$ its sym $m$ etry factor. The partition sum converges for allvalues of the param eter larger than som e critical $c$. At ! $\quad{ }_{c}^{+}$; a singularity appears due to the presence of in nite graphs in ( $\bar{A}{ }^{-} . \mathbf{B}_{1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}\left(\text {; ) } \quad(\mathrm{c})^{2} \operatorname{str}()\right. \text {; } \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{str}()$ is the string susceptibility exponent. For pure gravity as described in (A. $\overline{-1}$ ), w ith central charge $c=0$; one has $\operatorname{str}()=2 \quad \frac{5}{4} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$

The two-puncture partition function will play an im portant role. It is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[\bullet \cdot]:=\frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}} Z(;)=\underbrace{X}_{G()} \frac{1}{S(G)} \mathrm{J}^{2} j^{j G j}: \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It scales as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@ 2} Z(;) \quad(\quad \text { c })^{\operatorname{str}()}: \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restricted partition function of a planar random graph w th the topology of a disk and a xed num ber $n$ of extemal vertices $\left(\overline{2}_{2} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{l})= \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

X

$$
\mathrm{n} \text { leg planar } \mathrm{G}
$$

can be calculated through the large $N$ lim it of a random $N \quad N m$ atrix integral [1] $0^{-} 9.1$. It has an integral representation
$Z_{b}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}()=\mathrm{d}()^{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure $27 . \mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{~m}$ utually-avoiding random trees on a random sphere.
where ( ) is the spectral eigenvalue density of the random $m$ atrix, for which the explicit expression is known as a function of ; $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[109} \\ \hline-1\end{array}\right]$. The support $[a ; b]$ of the spectraldensity depends on .
A.3. $R$ andom $W$ alks on $R$ andom $G$ raphs.

A 3.1. Representation by $T$ rees. Im agine putting a set ofL random walksB ${ }^{(1)} ; 1=$ $1 ;::: ; L$ on the random graph $G$ w ith the special constraint that they start at the same vertex i 2 G ; end at the same vertex j 2 G , and have no intersections in betw een. C onsider the set $B^{(1)}[i ; j]$ of the points visited on the random graph by a given walk $B^{(1)}$ between $i$ and $j$, and for each site $k 2 B^{(1)}[i ; j]$ the rst entry, i.e., the edge of $G$ along which the walk (l) reached $k$ for the rst tim $e$. The union of these edges form a tree $T_{i ; j}^{(1)}$ spanning all the sites of $B{ }^{(1)}[i ; j]$, called the forward tree. A $n$ im portant property is that the $m$ easure on all the trees spanning a given set of points visited by a RW is uniform [1] $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}]$. This $m$ eans that we can also represent the path of a RW by its spanning tree taken with uniform probability. Furtherm ore, the non-intersection property of the walks is by de nition equivalent to that of their spanning trees.
A.32. Bulk tree partition function. O ne introduces the $L$ tree partition fiunction on the random lattice ( F ig. . $\mathbf{I}_{2}^{\prime} \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ )
n ○
where $T_{i j}^{(1)} ; 1=1 ; \quad$ isa set of $L$ trees, all constrained to have sites $i$ and $j$ as end-points, and w ithout m utual intersections; a fugacity $z$ is in addition associated $w$ ith the total num ber $\mathfrak{j} j=\left[{ }_{l=1}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{T}^{(1)}\right.$ of vertices of the trees. In principle, the trees spanning the RW paths can have divalent or trivalent vertioes on $G$, but this is im $m$ aterial to the criticalbehavior, as is the choice of purely trivalent graphs $G$, so we restrict ourselves here to trivalent trees.
A.3.3. B oundary Partition Functions. W e generalize this to the boundary case where $G$ now has the topology of a disk and where the trees connect tw o sites $i$ and


Figure $28 . \mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{~m}$ utually-avoiding random trees traversing a random disk.
$j$ on the boundary @G (Fig. $\left.{ }^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{L}(; Z ; Z)= \\
& e^{j G j_{Z}{ }^{j G G} j^{X}}  \tag{A.15}\\
& \text { X } \\
& \text { i;j2@G } \begin{array}{c}
T_{i j}^{(1)} \\
l=1 ; \ldots ; L
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z$ is the fugacity associated $w$ ith the boundary's length.


$$
\begin{align*}
& Z\left(\cdot e^{j G j_{Z} j e G j} j \Omega G j^{2}\right.  \tag{A.16}\\
& \text { disk G } \\
& =Z_{L=0}(; z) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and form ally corresponds to the $L=0$ case of the $L$-tree boundary partition func-


A 3.4 . Integral representation. T he partition function $\left[\overline{\mathrm{A}}-\overline{1} 4 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ has been calcu-
 tw ofold grand canonical partition function is calculated rst by sum $m$ ing over the abstract tree con gurations, and then gluing patches of random fattioes in betw een these trees. A tree generating function is de ned as $T(x)={ }_{n} x^{n} T_{n}$; where $\mathrm{T}_{1} \quad 1$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the num ber of rooted planar trees w th n extemal vertices (exchuding the root). It reads $\left[\underline{1} 8_{1}^{-1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad p \overline{1 \quad 4 x}): \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result for $(\bar{A}-14)$ is then given by a m ultiple integral:
w ith the cyclic condition $\mathrm{L}+1 \quad 1$. The geom etrical intenpretation is quite clear ( g .1 ). Each patch $\mathrm{l}=1$; $\quad ; \mathrm{L}$ of random surface between trees ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{T}{ }^{(1)}$ contributes as a factor a spectraldensity ( 1 ) as in eq. (A. .13), while the backbone of the each tree $\mathrm{T}^{(1)}$ contributes an inverse \propagator" $\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z} \mathrm{l}+1\right)$; which couples the eigenvalues 1 ; $1+1$ associated $w$ th the two patches adjacent to $\mathrm{T}^{(1)}$ :
(A.19)
$T(x ; y)=[1$
T (x)
T (y) $]^{1}$ :


Figure 29. R andom trees on a random surface. The shaded areas represent portions of random lattioes $G$ w th a disk topology (generating function $(\bar{A} 12(1)$ each branch giving a generating function $T$ (A_17.1). Two possible topologies are represented: for the disk, the dashed lines represent the boundary, whereas for the sphere the top and bottom dashed lines should be identi ed w ith one another, as should the upper and low er grey patches.
$T$ he integral representation of the boundary partition function ( $(\mathbf{A}-15$ - 15

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { a } \quad \mathrm{l}=1 \quad \mathrm{l}=1 \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{L+1}
\end{array}\right)^{1} ; \tag{A20}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith two extra propagators $L$ describing the tw o boundary segm ents:
(A 21)

$$
L(z):=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z
\end{array}\right)^{1}:
$$

$T$ his gives for the two-puncture disk partition function ( $\bar{A}-1{ }^{-1}$ - $)$

$$
Z(\bigcirc)=\int_{a}^{Z} d \quad()\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Z} & z
\end{array}\right)^{2}:
$$

A.4. C ritical B ehavior.
A.4.1. Singularity A nalysis. T he criticalbehavior of the double grand canonicalpartition function $Z_{L}(; z)$ (18) is obtained by taking the double scaling lim it
! c (in nite random surface) and $z!z_{c}$ (in nite trees or RW 's). The latter critical fugacity is obtained as the m allest z where $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z} 1 ; \mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{l}+1)(\mathrm{A} .19$ ) diverges. $T$ his occurs near the upper edge of the support of ( ), i.e., when ${ }^{---}$! $b$, thus for $4 z_{c} b(c)=1\left(\right.$ see $\left.\left(\bar{A}-1 T_{1}\right)\right)$.

H ereafter we denote $c=:$, and z $z=: \quad z$. In the grand-canonical form alism, their inverses scale like the $m$ ean or typical sizes $j \in$ jof the lattice and j jof the trees:
(A 23)


Thus we are typically interested in the lim its ! 0 and $z!0$.
For ! b and for ! 0, one knows that has the singular behavior (up to constant coe cients) [ito9-1
(A.24)
$(;) \quad\left({ }^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(b)^{\frac{1}{2}}+(b)^{\frac{3}{2}}:$

Because of the coupling via propagators $T$ of the 'sto $z$, hence to $z!0$, and by hom ogeneity, each integration of a density in (A) (A) contributes a singular pow er behavior

Z
(A 25)

$$
\mathrm{d} \quad\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(\mathrm{z})^{\frac{3}{2}}+(\mathrm{z})^{\frac{5}{2}} ;
$$

 gularity

$$
(\mathrm{A} 26) \quad \mathrm{T} \quad(\mathrm{z})^{\frac{1}{2}}:
$$

W e therefore arrive at a form alpow er behavior

$$
\left(A \text { 27) } \quad Z_{L} r^{\frac{h}{1}}(z)+\left(z^{i^{2}}:\right.\right.
$$

The analysis of this singular behavior is best perform ed by using nite-size
 of $Z_{L}$ (A_2 $\bar{A}$ ) against each other so that
(A 28)
z $\quad\left({ }^{\frac{1}{2}}\right):$
$T$ his corresponds to a dilute phase where
(A 29)

so that the num ber of sites visited by the random walks grows like the square root of the lattioe size. T he partition function $(\bar{A}-2)$ ) then scales as
(A. 30)
$Z_{L}(; z)(c)^{L}$ :
_ A. 4.2 . Bulk $Q$ uantum $G$ ravity $C$ onform alW eights. The partition function $Z_{L}$ (A. A. ${ }^{-1}$ ) represents a random surface $w$ ith tw o punctures where tw o conform al operators of conform al weights L are located, here at the two vertices of $L$ nonintersecting RW's. It scales as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{\mathrm{L}} & \mathrm{Z} \bullet \bullet] \quad \mathrm{J}^{2} \mathrm{~L}=\frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}} Z=2() \quad \mathrm{J}^{2 \mathrm{~L}} ; \\
& \mathrm{jG} \mathrm{j}^{\operatorname{str}(=2)} 2 \mathrm{~L} ; \tag{A.31}
\end{array}
$$

 im m ediately gives
(A.32)
$2 \mathrm{~L} \operatorname{str}(=2)=\mathrm{L}$;
where $\operatorname{str}(=2)==1=2$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad ; \tag{A33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the rst of eqs. ( $\left(-A_{1}-1\right), Q E D$.
A.4.3. B oundary Exponents. For the boundary partition function $Z_{L}\left(\bar{A}-\overline{2} 0^{\prime}\right) a$ sim ilar analysis can be perform ed near the triple criticalpoint ( $c ; z_{c} ; z_{c}=1=b\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { c }\end{array}\right)$ ); where the boundary length also diverges through the singular behavior of its generating function $L$ (A) $21^{\prime}$ ). A triple nite-size scaling then occurs, $w$ th the further equivalence for $z=z_{c}^{-\quad} \quad z$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{z} \quad\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{A.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by hom ogeneity since in ( $\left.\left.\bar{A} \bar{A}^{-} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right) \quad z$ and $z$ are coupled to the sam e spectral param eters in propagators $T$ and $L$, hence $z \quad z$. This am ounts to the geom etrical triple scaling:
jog j jG 零 j j;
$w$ ith a naturalscaling of the boundary length $w$ ith respect to the area. $T$ he latter is characteristic of dihute system $S$, and is $m$ ore com plex for dense ones (like SLE ${ }_{4}$ ).
 be found, w ith applications to the $0(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{m}$ odel, and to the SLE .

The scaling behavior of $Z_{\mathrm{L}}\binom{(A)}{2}$ is obtained using the sym bolic notation
(A 36) $\quad Z_{L} \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{L}+1$
where the ? operation represents the factorisation of scaling com ponents in term $s$ of ( $\left(\begin{array}{ll}-251)\end{array}\right),(\bar{A}-26)$ and where the form alpow ers also represent repeated ? operations. O ne has
(A. 37)
$\mathrm{L} \quad(\mathrm{z})^{1}$ :

By analogy to eq. (AA 2h) one therefore arrives at a form al pow er behavior
(A.38) $\quad Z_{L} \quad Z \quad d \quad$ ? $T^{L} \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{Z}^{2}$ :

This can be sim ply recast as
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{L} \quad Z_{L} ? d \quad l^{2}: \tag{A.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otice that the last two factors precisely correspond to the scaling of the twopuncture boundary partition function (
(A.40)
$Z(\bigcirc)=Z_{0} d \quad ? L^{2}:$
A.4.4. B oundary $C$ on form alW eights. Partition function $Z_{L}$ ( $\left.\bar{A}-1 \bar{A}_{1}^{2}\right)$ corresponds to the insertion of tw o boundary operators of dim ensions ${ }^{\sim}{ }_{\mathrm{L}}$; integrated over the boundary @G; on a random surface with the topology of a disk, or in graphical term s:
(A.41)
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Z} \longmapsto\left(\mathrm{jQG} j^{2^{\sim} \mathrm{L}}:\right.$
 and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{Z}(\bigcirc) \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \tag{A.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equivalences hold true in term sofscaling behavior. $C$ om paring eqs. (A- $\bar{A} 1$ )
 boundary and bulk exponents:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{L}=2 \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad \operatorname{str}(=2): \tag{A.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the bulk conform alweight (
(A.44)

$$
\sim_{L}=L ;
$$

which is the second ofeqs. $(\bar{A} \cdot \overline{-1}), Q E D$.
A pplying KPZ relation (A) to A and $\sim_{\text {L }}$ yields exponents L ( 2~ ( $\overline{\text { A }} 2$ 2) , Q ED.

Notice the peculiar relation (AA. 43 ) betw een boundary and bulk exponents, which is also linear in term $s$ of the num bers of $D$ irichlet-like mutually-avoiding com ponents. $T$ his is a part of the general results established in appendioes $\bar{B} \bar{i}$ i and C:
A. 5. G eneralized $N$ on-Intersection Exponents.
 tions. Indeed the product of identigal propagators $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{L}}$ there can be replaced by a product of di erent propagators ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$, corresponding to di erent geom etrical ob jects, as obvious from the cyclic construction (see gure 291).

Consider in particular con gurations $m$ ade of $L m u t u a l l y$-avoiding bunches $l=1 ; \quad ; L$, each of them $m$ ade $_{1}$ offolks transparent to each other, i.e., $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ independent RW 's [4] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ;} ; \quad \mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{r}^{(\mathrm{t})} \quad \mathrm{t}^{\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{n} ;\right.} \tag{A.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and near a D irichlet boundary

The original case of $\mathrm{L} m$ utually-avoiding RW 's now corresponds to $\mathrm{n}_{1}=::=$ $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{L}}=1$. The generalizations of exponents $\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{L}\right) ;{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{fn}_{1} \mathrm{~g}$; as well as $2^{\sim}\left(n_{1} ; \quad\right.$ L $) ;{ }^{\text {n }}{ }^{\sim}{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{fn}_{1} g$; describing these $L$ packets can be calculated from quantum gravity.
A.52. Random Lattice $P$ artition Functions. On a random lattice, each bunch w ill contribute a certain inverse propagator $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}}$ and yield instead of (A)


Recall that the two-puncture boundary partition function reads
Z
(A.49)
$Z(\bigcirc) d \quad L^{2}$ :

In term sof scaling behavior, we can thus rew rite the above integral representations


A.5.3. Bulk and Boundary Q uantum G ravity Conform al W eights. From the de nition of bulk quantum gravity conform alweights, the bulk partition function has to be identi ed, as in $\left(\bar{A}-31_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, to
(A.52)
$Z \mathrm{fn}_{1} ;$
Lg;n Z $\because \bullet] \quad j j^{2} \mathrm{fn}_{1 g}$
$\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{j}^{\operatorname{str}(=2)} 2 \quad \mathrm{fn}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$;
while the norm alized boundary partition function scales, as in ( (AA $-4 \overline{1})$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{fn}}^{1} 1}{} ; \quad \mathrm{Lg} ; \mathrm{n} \mathrm{ZQG}^{2 \sim \mathrm{fn}_{1 g}}: \tag{A.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he relative scaling of the boundary length $w$ ith respect to the area, $j 0 G j j_{j}^{j}=2$, together $w$ ith ( $\bar{A}-50,1)$, $(\bar{A}-52)$, and ( $\left.\bar{A}-53^{\prime}\right)$, im $m$ ediately gives the relation betw een bulk and boundary conform alw eights:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{f n_{1}} ; \quad \mathrm{L} g ; \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{fn}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{L} 9 ; \mathrm{n} \operatorname{str}(=2) \tag{A.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the value $\operatorname{str}(=2)==1=2$ for pure quantum gravity ( $\mathrm{c}=0$ ).

A 5.4. Single Packet Partition Functions. Introduce now the partition functions corresponding to the existence of only one packet of $n_{1}$ independent random walks on the random lattice, nam ely $Z\left(n_{1}\right):=\mathrm{Zfn}_{1}=0 ; \quad$; $; \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{L} \underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n} 0 \mathrm{~g}}$ and
 (A. 481$)$, we write them as
(A.56)

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{b} \\
& Z\left(n_{1}\right)=d \quad() I_{n_{1}} ;  \tag{A.55}\\
& Z^{a}{ }_{b} Y^{2} \\
& Z\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)=\quad \mathrm{d}_{1} \quad\left({ }_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{2}: \\
& \text { a } \quad \mathrm{l}=1
\end{align*}
$$

A s before, these equations im ply in term s of scaling behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(n_{1}\right){\frac{Z}{}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)}_{\mathrm{Z}(\bigcirc)}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \quad \text { ? } \mathrm{T}: \tag{A.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

A 5.5. C on form alW eights. A susual, the de nition of the conform alw eights is expressed as:
$\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)$
$z[\bullet]$
$j_{G} j^{2\left(n_{1}\right)}$
$\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{str}( }(=2) \quad 2 \quad\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right) ;$

$$
\frac{Z\left(n_{1}\right)}{Z(\cdot \square)} \quad \mathrm{jag}^{2 \sim\left(n_{1}\right)} ;
$$

where now $(n)$ and $\sim(n)$ are the bulk and boundary quantum conform alw eights of a single bunch of $n$ transparent walks on the random surface. From (A) we conclude as in ( $\mathrm{A}-54$ ) that:
(A.60)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sim\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right) & =2\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right) \quad \operatorname{str}(=2) \\
& =2\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}:
\end{aligned}
$$

A.5.6. Factorization P roperties. The factorization property ( $\bar{A}-511$ ), together w ith ( $\left(\bar{A}-57_{1}\right)$, im $m$ ediately gives in term $s$ of scaling behavior as represented by the ? operation:
(A. 62)


Running these scaling relations backw ards, w ith the help of de nitions ( $(\mathbf{A}-521)$, (A form aldim ensions, or shifted bulk ones, in presence of gravity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=1 \\
& =\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{L}} 2\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}=2 \mathrm{fn}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{L} 9 ; \operatorname{tin} \frac{1}{2}:
\end{aligned}
$$

A 5.7. Exponents $\sim(n)$. It does not seem to be easy to calculate the random
 parent walks for $n \in 1$, since walks, as the forw ard trees spanning the visited sites, w ill overlap strongly and enclose anbitrarily $m$ any patches of random lattice. In otherwords, the explicit form of propagator $T_{n}$ is not easily accessible for $n 2$. For $n=1$ _ it given by the expression ( $\left(\bar{A}-1 \underline{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in term $s$ of the tree generating function (ABCII).

W e know, how ever, the exact value of the $D$ irichlet boundary exponent ${ }^{\sim}(\mathrm{n})$ in presence of quantum gravity corresponding to such a bunch of $n$ transparent random walks. Indeed, in the standard half-plane $H$, it must corresponds to a boundary conform alweight

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(0)(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{U} \quad \sim(\mathrm{n}) \quad ; \tag{A.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is its im age by the KPZ map (A.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U()=U(=1=2)()=\frac{1}{3}(1+2): \tag{A.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the half-plane $w$ ith $D$ irichlet boundary conditions, for $n$ independent $B$ row nian paths, one has by sim ple additivity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(0)(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}^{\sim(0)}(1)=\mathrm{n} \text {; } \tag{A.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

since for a single B rownian path one has by elem entary means: ${ }^{\sim}{ }^{(0)}(1)=1$. The inverse of KPZ m ap ( $\left(\overline{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{A}_{-}^{-}\right)$) then gives the result

$$
\sim(n)=U \quad{ }^{1}(n)=\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p  \tag{A.67}\\
24 n+1 & 1
\end{array}\right):
$$

This quantum gravity boundary conform al weight is highly non-trivial since the random walks (in the scaling lim it, the B rownian paths), while independent in a xed $m$ etric, are strongly coupled by the uctuations of the $m$ etric in quantum gravity.

A 5.8. B ack to the $C$ om plex ( H alf-) P lane. U sing once again the K P $Z$ relation (A). -65 ) for $\sim^{\sim}$ fn $_{1} g$ and $\mathrm{fn}_{1} g$ gives the general results in the standard com plex half-plane $H$ or plane C

U sing eqs. ( $\bar{A}-6 \overline{1})$ and $(\bar{A}-\overline{-} \overline{1})$ nally gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\sim}\left(n_{1} ; \quad \text { L }\right) ; m U \quad \sim \text { fn }_{1} ; \quad \text { L } 9 ; n \tag{A.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\stackrel{8}{<} U()=U(=1=2)()=\frac{1}{3}(1+2)
$$

$$
: V()=U \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad=\frac{1}{24}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 2 & 1 \tag{A.69}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

which is the quantum gravity geom etric structure annonced in section ${ }_{2}^{2}, 1$ Q ED .

A ppendix B.O $(\mathbb{N}) M$ odel M ulti-L ine Exponents from $Q$ uantum
G ravity
 (11 3 ) from their study on a random lattice. W e shall in particular focus on the relationship between boundary and bulk exponents. The approach will be sim ilar to the one followed in appendix 'A'A for multiple tree exponents. (See also refs.

B.1. R andom Lattice Partition \& TwoPoint Functions.
B.1.1. Partition Function. C onsider again the set of planar random graphs $G$, built up with, e.g., trivalent vertices and with a xed topology. The partition function of the $O(\mathbb{N})$-loop $m$ odel is de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{O(\mathbb{N})}(; K ;) & =\frac{X}{} \frac{1}{S_{(G)}^{G}} e^{\left.j G \mathcal{W}_{O(\mathbb{N}}\right)(G)}  \tag{B.1}\\
W_{O(\mathbb{N})}(G) & =X_{L 2 G} K^{j \operatorname{LJ} j_{N} C \text { ardL }} ; \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

where denotes the xed Euler characteristic of the lattioe $G=G() ; j j$ is the num ber of vertices of $G, S(G)$ its sym $m$ etry factor. In the $O(N)$ weight $W O_{(N)}$ ( $G$ ), the sum runsalso over allself-avoiding loop con gurations $L$ on $j \in$ with a fugacity $K$ for the total occupied length $\ddagger j$ and a fugacity $N$ for the total num ber of loops C ardL . N otice that the e ective fugacity associated with bonds occupied by $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{N}$ ) loops is

$$
\mathrm{z}:=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{~K}:
$$

The Euler characteristic is
(B.3)
$=2 \quad 2 \mathrm{H}$
B;
$w$ here $H$ and $B$ respectively are the num bers of handles and boundaries of $G$. In the follow ing we shallconsider explicitly the cases of the sphericaltopology ( $=2$ ), and of the disk ( = 1).

The partition function of the random lattice w th two punctures $w$ ill play an im portant role later. It is de ned by tw ide di erentiating the one above $w$ ith respect to the \chem icalpotential", or \cosm ological constant" ,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}} Z_{O(\mathbb{N})}(; K ;) & =X_{G()} \frac{1}{S(G)} j_{j}^{2} e^{\left.j G \mathcal{W}_{O(\mathbb{N}}\right)(G)}  \tag{B.4}\\
& =: Z_{O(\mathbb{N})}[\bullet \bullet] \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith an intuitively obvious graphical representation for this tw o-puncture partition function.

For a given , the partition sum $Z_{O_{(N)}}$ ) converges for all values of the param eter $K$ sm aller than som e critical $K_{c}()$. For K ! $K_{c}()$ the loops $l l$ the lattice and force it to become in nite: this is the dense phase. There is also a critical line
$=c(K)$, such that at $!{ }_{c}^{+}(K)$ a singularity appears, solely due to the presence of in nite graphs in $\left(\mathbb{B} \mathbf{B}_{1}^{-1}\right)$, and where the loops stay non-critical. This corresponds to pure gravity. The two critical regim es meet for $=$ such that
$=c \mathbb{K} c()]$. Then the loops, for $K!K_{c}()$, and the non-occupied part of the random lattice, for ! ; becom e in nite sim ultaneously: this is the dilute phase $\left[8_{1}^{-1}\right]$.
B.12. D isk G reen's Function. T he disk partition function, or ratherhere, G reen's function, associated w th a planar random graph w th the topology of a disk, and
 is de ned as


Figure 30. P lanar random disk with a sea of $(\mathbb{N})$ loops, and a xed num ber $n$ of extemal vertioes.

$F$ igure 31. The waterm elon con guration of $L=3$ random lines am ong $O(\mathbb{N}$ ) loops on the random sphere, building up partition function (B.
B.1.3. Bulk Two-P oint P artition Function. Now, let us consider a set ofL selfand mutually-avoiding walks $\mathrm{ij}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{(1)} ; \mathrm{l}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{Lg}$ on the random graph G w ith the constraints that they start at the sam e vertex i2 G (or near it, if L 4), end at (or near) the sam e vertex j 2 G , and have no mutual intersections in betw een ( $F$ ig. ${ }^{3} \bar{I}_{1}^{1}$ ). The $L-w a l k$ partition function on the random lattice $w$ ith a spherical topology reads $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[8} \\ 2\end{array}\right]$

a fugacity $z$ is associated w th the totalnum ber $j_{i j} j=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{L} & { }_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{(1)} \text { i) ofbondsoccupied }\end{array}\right.$ by the L walks. At a later stage, it will be convenient to keep $z$ distinct from the e ective fugacity $z=e \quad K$ associated with the bonds occupied by the $O(\mathbb{N})$ loops.
B.1.4. B oundary Two-P oint P artition Function. W e generalize th is to the boundary case where $G$ now has the topology of a disk and where the $L$ lines connect tw o

$F$ igure 32. The boundary waterm elon con guration of $L=3$ random lines am ong $O(\mathbb{N})$ loops on the random disk, building up partition function (B_-8).
sites $i$ and $j$ on the boundary @G ( $F$ ig. $\left.{ }^{(132}\right)$ :

where $z$ is the new fugacity associated w ith the boundary's length. T he partition function of the disk w ith tw o boundary punctures willplay a im portant role in the sequel. It corresponds to eq. (B) in the absence of the $L$ lines, and is de ned as


B .2. R andom M atrix and Spectral R epresentation.
B 2.1. D isk $G$ reen's Function. First, the disk partition function ( $\bar{B} \cdot \overline{6}$ ) can be calculated through the large $N$ lim it of a random $N \quad N$ m atrix integral [29]. It has an integral representation
$Z_{b}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\circ(\mathbb{N}) ; \mathrm{n}}(; \mathrm{K})=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~d} \circ(\mathbb{N})(; ; \mathrm{K})^{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\circ(\mathbb{N})(; ; K)$ is the spectraleigenvalue density of the random $m$ atrix $m$ odel as a function of ; , K and N. The support [a;b] of the spectral density depends on, K , and N . An explicit expression for $\mathrm{O}_{(N)}$ ( ) is known along the critical line $K=K_{c}()$ [2].

B 22. Spherical Two-P oint Partition Function. The partition function ( $\bar{B}$ ) w as calculated exactly in previousw ork [281]. T he tw o-fold grand canonicalpartition function is calculated rst by sum $m$ ing over the $L$-line con gurations, and then by gluing patches of random lattices in between these lines. In fact, it can also be obtained directly from the tree case of appendix AA, by rem arking that from each site belonging to one of the $L$ traversing lines, only a single edge of the random lattioe now grow $S$, instead of a rooted tree. T hat am ounts exactly to replacing the series giving the tree propagator $T(x)\left(\bar{A}-1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ of appendix '

The result for $\left(\bar{B}-\bar{B}_{1}\right)$ is then given by a m ultiple integral sim ilar to $(\bar{A} \cdot-18)$ :
with the cyclic condition $\mathrm{L}+1 \quad$ 1. Each patch $1=1$; $\quad$; L of random surface betw een lines $1 ; 1+1$ contributes as a factor an $O(\mathbb{N})$ spectraldensity $O(N)\left({ }_{1}\right)$ as
 sim ilar to ( $(\mathbb{A}-19)$ which couples the adjacent eigenvalues $1 ; 1+1$ :

$$
L(x ; y)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & y \tag{B.12}
\end{array}\right)^{1}:
$$

B 2.3. B oundary Two-P oint P artition Function. T he integralrepresentation of the boundary two-point partition function ( (-8) is sim ilarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{b Y^{+1}} \quad Y^{\text {L }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{L+1}
\end{array}\right)^{1} \tag{B.13}
\end{align*}
$$

w th two extra propagators $L\left(z_{1[o r L+1]}\right)$ describing the two boundary lines betw een $i$ and $j$. H ence one sees here that the exterior boundary lines and the $L$ interior random paths play very sim ilar roles. This is the case for D irichlet boundary conditions.

B 2.4. D isk Partition Function. The partition function of the disk with two boundary punctures (

$$
\left.Z_{O(\mathbb{N}}\right)(\bigcirc)=Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L=0}(; z ; \mathbb{Z})=\int_{a}^{Z_{b}} d \quad()\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z \tag{B.14}
\end{array}\right)^{2} ;
$$

where we again used the graphical representation of the boundary two-puncture partition function.

B .3. Scaling B ehavior.
B 3.1. E igenvalue Density. The critical behavior of the $O(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{m}$ odel corresponds, for the eigenvalue density , as usual to the vicinity of the end-point $b$ of the spectrum $\left[\begin{array}{c}-1 \\ \hline\end{array}, 1\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 9\end{array}\right]\right.$. The critical line $K_{c}() m$ entioned above is given by the criticale ective loop fugacity $z=z_{C}() w$ th

$$
z_{c}():=e \quad K_{c}()=(2 b)^{1}
$$

This can be seen also in the critical behavior of the double-grand canonical partition function $\left.Z_{0(N)}\right)$; ( ; z) (B) the $O(\mathbb{N})$ random lattioe. The critical point of the $L \mathrm{w}$ aterm elon lines is indeed
 diverges. This occurs near the upper edge b of the support of $0(\mathbb{N})()$, i.e., when
! $b$, thus again for $z=z_{c}()$, with $2 z_{c} b=1$ (see $\left.\left.\bar{B} \cdot 12\right)\right)$. At this critical value of the fugacity, both the intemalo $(\mathbb{N})$ loops and the I random lines becom $e$ in nite. A s explained above, for reaching the dilute phase a further double scaling is necessary. O nes can let ! + sim ultaneously, in a nite-size scaling schem e, or right aw ay set $=$, and afterw ards let $z!z_{C}(\quad)$.

The eigenvalue density is known exactly along the critical line $K=K_{c}()$ or, equivalently, $z=z_{C}$ ( ) [2] $]$. We shall only need its behavior for $\quad$ sm all and
near the upper end of the spectrum, i.e., for ! b . T There $0(\mathbb{N}$, has the double singular behavior (up to constant coe cients) [6]
(B.15)
$0(\mathbb{N})\left(; K_{C}()\right)$
( ) (b
(b) $)^{1}+(b)^{1+}$;
where param etrizes the fiugacity $N$ in the $O(\mathbb{N})$ m odel:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=2 \cos (\quad) ; 0 \quad 1: \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his behavior (B) will be su cient to determ ine the critical behavior of the various partition functions.
B.32. Spherical Two-P oint P artition Function. W e just have seen the scaling behavior of the eigenvalue density along the critical line $z=z_{c}()$, and in the vicinity the double scaling critical point, up to the double criticalpoint. In order for the two-point partition function $\left[\bar{B} .11_{1}\right)$ to stay nite, it is now crucial to keep the fugacity $z$ of the waterm elon lines in the propagators $L$ such that $z<(2 b)^{1}$, hence aw ay from the critical value $z=z_{C}()$ of the intemalloops. Let us thus introduce the shifted quantities

| z | $=z_{c}() \quad \mathrm{z}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $=\mathrm{y}$ |

in term sofw hich all the scaling analysis, to which we now tum, w ill be perform ed.
 hom ogeneity (pow er counting) a singular pow er behavior

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{z} & \mathrm{z} \\
& \mathrm{~d} \quad \mathrm{o}_{(\mathbb{N})}() \quad(\mathrm{l})(\mathrm{z})^{2}+(\mathrm{z})^{2+}: \tag{B.19}
\end{array}
$$

 larity
(B20)
L $\quad(z)^{1}$ :

W e therefore arrive at a form alpow er behavior

$$
\text { (B 21) } \quad Z_{0(\mathbb{N}) ; L}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad(\mathrm{z})^{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{Z} \quad(\mathrm{z})^{\text {L }} \text {; }
$$

where $[\overline{\mathbb{B}} \cdot-\overline{19})$ gives the explicit scaling:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\circ(\mathbb{N}) ; \mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{~h}(\quad)(z)^{1}+(z)^{1+} i_{\mathrm{L}}: \tag{B22}
\end{equation*}
$$

B 3.3. B oundary TwoP oint Partition Function. For the boundary partition function $Z_{0}(\mathbb{N})$; $1=\mathrm{b}$; where the boundary length also diverges. For D irich let boundary conditions, the scaling lim it requires the further equivalence of boundary and bu $\mathbb{k}$ loops, i.e., for the shifted boundary fugacity $z_{c} \quad z:=\quad z$ the equivalence:

$$
(\mathrm{B} \text { 23) } \quad \text { z } \quad z:
$$

The form al scaling of the boundary two-point partition function is therefore:
(B24) $\quad Z_{O(N) ; L} \quad Z \quad L+1 Z^{L}(z)^{2}$

(B 25)
(B 26)
$Z_{0(N) ; L}$
$Z \quad \mathrm{~L}+1$
$h^{h}(z)^{1}+(z)^{1+} i_{L+1}(z)^{1}:$

B .4. P artition Function Scaling Identities. In order to sim plify the scaling analysis, it is worth noticing several fundam ental scaling relations betw een partition functions, which are structural and do not require the precise know ledge of the eigenvalue density.
B.4.1. Bulk-Boundary Relation. O ne rst notioes that one can also rew rite (B2 $\mathbf{B}_{-1}^{2}$ ) as the scaling identity

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{Z} & \mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{Z}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L} \tag{B27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(z)^{1}$
$(z)^{2} ;$
where, ow ing to $\left[\begin{array}{l}-21 \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$ and $\left[\begin{array}{c}-2 \\ 2\end{array}\right)$, one respectively recognizes the sphere and the boundary two-point partition functions, which are thus linked by a fundam ental relation, rst observed in 5

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; \mathrm{L}} \frac{Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; \mathrm{L}}}{Z_{O(\mathbb{N})}(\square)}: \tag{B28}
\end{equation*}
$$

B.42. Recursion Relation. We can also write ( a ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L} \frac{R^{L+2}(z)^{(L+1)}(z)^{2}}{(z)^{2}}(z)^{1}: \tag{B29}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring to $\left.\bar{B}=-25^{\prime}\right)$ for $L+1$ gives a recursion relation betw een the two-point boundary partition functions at levels $L$ and $L+1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; \mathrm{L}} \frac{Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; \mathrm{L}+1}}{Z_{O(\mathbb{N})}(\because)} \quad(z)^{1} ; \tag{B.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

a recursion relation which $w$ ill prove very usefiul for an easy calculation of scaling exponents.
B.5. Q uantum $G$ ravity $C$ on form alw eights.

B .5.1. F in ite Size Scaling (FSS). In the grand-canonicalensemble, and in the critical regim e ! 0 for (B)

Let us denote by the set of lattice edges occupied by the $L$ waterm elon lines. Its average size hj $\ddot{j}$ in the grand-canonicalensem ble scales in the critical regim e z! 0 for (
(B.32)
hj ii $\quad(\mathrm{z})^{1}$ :
$F$ inally, the average size of the boundary line @G scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h j \mathfrak{G} G \nexists \quad(z)^{1}: \tag{B.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ereafterwe shall keep the sim pli ed notations j j jog jand j jfor those averages. $T$ he fugacities and $z$ associated $w$ ith the two random sets $G$ and are expected to have the relative scaling $\left[\underline{2}_{1}^{1}\right]$
(B 34)
$(z)^{2}$
;
where (denoted ${ }_{2} \mathrm{D}=2$ in $\left[\overline{2} \overline{8}_{1}^{1}\right]$ ) is a criticalexponent to be determ ined. It depends on the nature of the critical phase. Thus the sizes of the tw o random sets $G$ and are expected to have the relative scaling $\left[\underline{[28} \mathbf{8}_{1}^{-1}\right]$
(B.35)
$j$ j j j

For D irichlet boundary conditions, we have seen that the boundary line and

jag j $\quad(z)^{1}$
$(z)^{1}$
ј $;$
so that we dealw the nite-size scaling regim e
(B 37)
$(z)^{2}$
( z)
or, equivalently
(B.38)
jog 予
$j$ j
j j

B .52. String Susceptibility Exponent. Let us rst consider the partition func-
 by the scaling behavior of the partition function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0(\mathbb{N})}\left(; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}} ;\right) \quad( \}_{\operatorname{str}}() \text {; } \tag{B.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the exponent depends on the topology. Because of the scaling $\left[\bar{B}^{-}\right.$ also has

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0(\mathbb{N})}\left(; K_{c} ;\right) \quad j \in j^{\operatorname{str}}()^{2} \text {; } \tag{B.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he tw o-puncture partition function ('B. (1) scales accordingly as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}} Z_{0(\mathbb{N})}\left(; K_{c} ;\right) \quad(\quad)^{\operatorname{str}()} \quad j_{G} j^{\operatorname{str}()}: \tag{B.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of str can be derived from the exact solution of the $O(\mathbb{N})$ m odel
 from the scaling equations above). The general form ula for str is also known from the Liouville theory [ $\left.\underline{Z}_{1}^{\prime} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. It reads in term s of the central charge c:

$$
\operatorname{str}()=2 \quad \overline{24} \quad 25 \quad c+\begin{array}{llll}
p & \left(\begin{array}{lll}
(1 & c)(25 & c)
\end{array}:\right. \tag{B.42}
\end{array}
$$

A particularly im portant one is the string susceptibility exponent of the spherical topology $\operatorname{str}(=2)$, in term sofwhich the KPZ form ula is w ritten. For other topologies w e have:

$$
\text { (B.43) } \quad \operatorname{str}() \quad 2=\frac{-}{2}[\operatorname{str}(=2) \quad 2]=\frac{-}{2}(\quad 2):
$$

In particular, for the disk topology, $=1$, we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{str}(=1)=1+\frac{1}{2}: \tag{B.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

B .5.3. Bulk C onform ald im ensions. W_e rst consider the planar topology ( = 2). The two-puncture partition function $(\bar{B}-5)$ and $(\bar{B} . \overline{1})$ then scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0(\mathbb{N})}[\bullet \bullet] \quad j_{j} j^{\operatorname{str}(=2)}: \tag{B.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In quantum gravity, the bulk conform alweight $L$ is then de ned by the scaling of the two-point function $Z_{0(\mathbb{N})}$; , properly norm alized, with respect to the average size of the lattioe:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; \mathrm{L}} \quad Z_{O(\mathbb{N})}[\bullet \bullet] \quad \mathrm{G}^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}: \tag{B.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

This de nition takes into account the fact that the order $L$ two-point partition function $\left.Z_{0(N)}\right)$; contains, in addition to the insertion of two operators creating $L$ lines, the tw o-puncture partition function on the sphere. T huswe have by de nition:

$$
\text { (B.47) } \quad Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; \mathrm{L}} \quad j_{j} j^{\operatorname{str}(=2)} 2 \text { L }:
$$

B 5.4. B oundary C onform ald im ensions. Form easuring length scales along a uctuating boundary, there are tw o natural possibilities: use the boundary length $j 0 \mathrm{G}$ jor the square root $\bar{j}$ jof the uctuating area. They di er a priorisince we have seen that $j G j \quad j 0 G 3$, w th 1 . In boundary quantum gravity, the proper conform alw eights are de ned in term $s$ of the e ective length $\ddagger j j a g j$;

Let us rst consider the disk partition function $w$ th two punctures ( w rite its scaling as:

The rst power law accounts for the scaling of the disk partition function (B) for an Euler characteristic $=1$, w ith a susceptibility exponent str $(=1)$, while the second term takes into account the integration and the conform alweight $\sim_{0}$ of the two punctures along the boundary.

For the order L boundary partition function $Z_{0(\mathbb{N}) ; L}$, we sim ilarly de neboundary conform alweights $\sim_{L}$, such that:

W e thus have the sim ple scaling relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L}}{Z_{O(\mathbb{N})}\left(\cdot \int\right)}=\frac{Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L}}{Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L=0}} \quad P \overline{J j}{ }^{2 \sim_{0}}{2 \sim_{L}}^{L} \tag{B.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ easuring scaling behavior in term $s$ of the boundary length jag jleads to the de nition of another set ofboundary quantum gravity dim ensions $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\sim}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; \mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{j} G \mathrm{j}^{\operatorname{str}(=1)} 2 \quad \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{j}^{2 \sim}{ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{\sim} ; \tag{B.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L}}{Z_{O(\mathbb{N})}(\cdot \bigcirc)}=\frac{Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L}}{Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L=0}} \quad j \mathrm{QG}_{\mathrm{J}}^{2 \sim 0} 2_{\mathrm{D}}^{\sim}: \tag{B.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the FSS relation $[\bar{B}-38)$ we im $m$ ediately have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sim_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \quad 1=\tau_{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1\right): \tag{B.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

B 5.5. The Exponent . The scaling exponent can be related to the bulk conform alweight 2 and to the boundary conform al weight $\sim_{0}$ as follow s. The derivative operator $@=@ z$ inserts a factor $j$ j $\quad j j^{1=2}$ equal to the total length of the random lines in partition functions. But by de nition it also geom etrically represents the insertion of a puncture on the waterm elon lines, w ith a localG ibbs weight $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{J}}{ }^{2}$, since $L=2$ random strands originate from this puncture. $W e$ therefore conclude that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}=1 \quad 2: \tag{B.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, di erentiating a boundary partition function $w$ ith respect to the boundary fugacity $z$ w ith the operator $@=@ z$ gives a factor $j Q G j j_{G} j^{j=2}$ in that partition function. B ut it also represents the insertion of a puncture operator along the boundary line, with by de nition a localG ibbs weight $P \overline{J j j}^{1}{ }^{0}$. We therefore arrive at the second identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{1}=1 \quad \sim_{0}: \tag{B.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

A rst general identity follow s:
(B.56) $\quad 22_{2}=1+{ }^{\sim}{ }_{0}$;
which we see as characteristic ofD irichlet boundary conditions in quantum gravity. It $m$ eans that the fractaldim ension of random lines in the bulk is the sam e as that ofboundary lines.

B 5.6. Bulk-Boundary Relation between Conform alW eights. In the above we observed the scaling relation $\left(\bar{B} 28_{1}\right)$ betw een the two-point function on the sphere and those on the disk. Relations $\mathbb{B}$ identily betw een boundary and bulk exponents:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{L} \quad \sim_{0}=2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \operatorname{str}(=2): \tag{B.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

B 5.7. Recursion for $C$ onform al $W$ eights. $W$ e also observed the scaling recursion relation ( $\bar{B}=30$ ) betw een boundary partition functions, which, ow ing to ( and (B_3il) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L+1}}{Z_{O(N)}(\cdot \bigcirc)}=Z_{O(\mathbb{N}) ; L} \quad J_{j} j^{1=2}: \tag{B.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

 relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{0} \quad \sim_{L+1}=1 \quad \sim_{L}+[\operatorname{str}(=1) \quad 2] \frac{1}{2}: \tag{B.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

We nally use (B.43i) for $\operatorname{str}(=1) \quad 2=\frac{1}{2}[\operatorname{str}(=2) \quad 2]$, and the expression (B.55) of to arrive at the recursion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{\mathrm{L}+1}=\sim_{\mathrm{L}}+\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{h}} \sim_{0}+1 \quad \operatorname{str}(=2)^{i} ; \tag{B.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

which nally gives
(B.61)

$$
\sim_{L}=\sim_{0}+\frac{L}{2}^{h} \sim_{0}+1 \quad \operatorname{str}(=2)^{i}:
$$

The bulk conform alw eights im $m$ ediately follow from (B_57):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{L}{4}^{\mathrm{h}} \sim_{0}+1 \quad \operatorname{str}(=2)^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{str}(=2): \tag{B.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that for $L=2$, one has identically $2=\frac{1}{2}(0+1)$, in agreem ent $w$ ith


B 5.8. D ihute and D ense P hases. A th is stage, it is rem arkable that the $m$ ultiline exponents of the $O(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{m}$ odel $(\bar{B} .61)$ and $(\bar{B} .62)$ have been entirely determ ined from the existence and structure of the spectral representation of the two-point functions $\left(\bar{B} .11_{1}^{1}\right)$, and $\left.\bar{B} .13\right)$ im plying necessary scaling relations, and without using the precise scaling_behavior (B_15) of the eigenvalue density, nor the equivalent form $s$ ( 22 ) and $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{26}$ ).

The exponents so found $[\bar{B} .-\overline{1})$ and $[\bar{B} \cdot \overline{6})$ depend on only one unknown, the boundary conform alweight $\sim_{0}$ associated $w$ ith a boundary puncture on a random surface. In the Euclidean half-plane $H$, its scaling dim ension is given by the KP Z equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=U\left(\sim_{0}\right)=\sim_{0} \frac{\sim_{0}}{1} ; \tag{B.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\operatorname{str}(=2)$. It corresponds to a point insertion along $@ H$, and therefore is expected to vanish, so that $\sim_{0}$ is solution of $x_{0}=U \quad\left(\sim_{0}\right)=0$. O ne solution is trivially $\sim_{0}=0$, while the other is negative: $\sim_{0}=$ W e thus nd from $\bar{B}-55_{1}$ ) the tw o possibilities:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
< \\
< & \sim_{0}=0 ;  \tag{B.64}\\
: \quad \sim_{0}= & \text { (I) } \\
: & =\frac{1}{1} \quad \text { (II) } .
\end{array}
$$

$T$ his is where the nature of the critical phase enters. In case $I$ above, $=1$ gives $j{ }^{2} \mathrm{G} j \bar{j} j$ i.e., the boundary is sm ooth, w th a fractal dim ension half that of the random surface. This is what is expected for the dilute phase. A nother way to describe the dilute phase is to balance the two scaling term $s$ in $(\bar{B} 11)$ or $(\bar{B}-13)$, requiring the sam e scaling for both $\left[\overline{2} \bar{Z}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. $T$ his gives $(z)$, independently of
, thus from $\left.{ }_{(1 \text { B }}^{(B)}\right)=1$, as announced. Thus one is led to conclude that case II above m ust correspond to the dense phase.

B 5.9. Q uantum G ravity C on form alW eights. It is interesting to note that the relation $\bar{B} \cdot \overline{5} 7$ ) betw een bulk and boundary conform alweights then takes two different form S , depending on the nature of the critical phase:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \quad 8 \mathrm{~L} \quad=\sim_{\mathrm{L}} ; \quad \sim_{0}=0 ; 0=\frac{1}{2} ;=1 \text { (dilute) } \\
& : 2 \mathrm{~L}=\sim_{\mathrm{L}} ; \quad \sim_{0}=; \quad 0=\frac{1}{2} ; \quad=\frac{1}{1} \quad \text { (dense). } \tag{B.65}
\end{align*}
$$

The nal expressions of the boundary conform alw eights follow from (B. 6

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\gtrless}(1 \quad)=\underset{\mathrm{L}+1 ; 1}{(1)} ; \quad \sim_{0}=0 ; \quad=1 \text { (dihute) } \\
& \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=? \quad+\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}=\underset{1 ; \mathrm{L}+1}{(1)} ; \quad \sim_{0}=\quad ; \quad=\frac{1}{1} \quad \text { (dense), } \tag{B.66}
\end{align*}
$$

while the bulk conform alweights im $m$ ediately follow from (E-62):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{L}}{4}(1 \quad)=\underset{\mathrm{L}=2 ; 0}{(1)} \quad 0=\frac{1}{2} ; \quad=1 \text { (dilute) } \\
& \text { (B.67) } \mathrm{L}= \\
& \frac{1}{2}+\frac{L}{4}={\underset{0}{\prime}(\mathrm{~L}=2}_{(1)} \quad 0=\frac{1}{2} ; \quad=\frac{1}{1} \quad \text { (dense). }
\end{aligned}
$$

H enœe w e have established all the $O(\mathbb{N})$ w aterm elon conform alw eights in boundary and bulk quantum gravity, nam ely equations (11 32,
B.5.10. D ualC on form alW eights. In section 12 2.1, we introduced the dual ${ }^{0}$ of a conform al weight by eq. (10-3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}=\frac{}{1} ; \tag{B.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $U()={ }^{0}$ :These dualdim ensions are naturalin the description of the dense phase. Indeed, while we stated in $(\overline{1} 0 \overline{0} \overline{1} \overline{3})$ or $(\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{-1} \overline{1})$ ) that in the dilute phase (or for sim ple SLE paths) the boundary scaling dim ensions are additive, their duals retain the additivity property in the dense phase (or for non-sim ple SLE paths), a fact which willbe established in all generality in the next appendix.
$T$ he nature of these dualdim ensions rem ained slightly $m$ ysterious, how ever. It is interesting to observe their appearance in eq. (BII). Indeed, when measuring lengths there in term $s$ of the boundary length $j \mathrm{j} G \mathrm{j}$ instead of the square root $\overline{I G j} j_{j} G j$ of the area, a new exponent $\sim_{L}^{\sim}$ appeared, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{L}^{0}=1+\quad\left(\sim_{L} \quad 1\right)=\frac{\sim_{L} \quad \sim_{0}}{1 \quad \sim_{0}}: \tag{B.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the dilute phase, the tw o boundary lengths scale in the same way, $=1$, $\sim_{0}=0$, and the boundary conform alweights are unchanged: $\sim_{L}=\sim_{L}$ :

In the dense phase, we have found ${ }_{0}=; \quad=\frac{1}{1} \quad 1$, and the new


$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{L}=\frac{\sim_{L} \quad \sim_{0}}{1}=\frac{\sim_{L}}{1}: \tag{B.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furtherm ore, since the dual boundary puncture dim ension $\sim_{0}^{0}=0$ in the dense phase, the cyclicity of eq. $\left.\bar{B}-\overline{5} 2^{\prime}\right)$ clearly show s the dual exponents $\sim_{L}^{0}$ to be linear


$$
\sim_{\mathrm{L}}^{0}=\frac{1}{1} \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \tag{B.71}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{L}}{2} ;
$$

in agreem ent w ith duality eqs. (10 Q ED.

B 5.11. C oulom b G as Form ulae. Forpedagogicalpu poses, w e have given above a derivation of the exponents based on generalprinciples only, in term s of the susceptibilty exponent. W e could also have derived these results from expressions ( $B 22)$ and $(\overline{2}-26)$ in term $s$ of param eter $(B-1 \overline{1})$. This analysis again leads to distinguish the tw o cases of dihute and dense phases, and one respectively nds for bulk and boundary exponents:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \quad \mathrm{~L}=\frac{1}{4}(1+) \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad ; \quad=1 \quad \text { (dilute) } \\
& : \quad \mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~L} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1)} \quad=\quad \overline{1} ; \quad 1 \quad \text { (dense). } \tag{B.72}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CONFORMAL FRACTAL GEOMETRY \& BOUNDARY QUANTUM GRAVITY } \\
& \stackrel{8}{<} \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=2 \mathrm{~L} \quad=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L}(1+) ; \quad=\quad=1 \text { (dihute) } \\
& \text { (B.73). } \\
& \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=2 \mathrm{~L}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L} \quad \overline{(1)} ; \quad=\overline{1} ; \quad=1 \quad \text { (dense). }
\end{aligned}
$$

In term s of the C oulom b gas coupling constant, g , param etrizing the $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{m}$ odel, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}=2 \cos ;{ }_{8} 0 \quad 1  \tag{B.74}\\
& \text { < } \quad \text { 2 } 2 \text { 1;2] (dilute) } \\
& =2 \cos 9 \text { : }  \tag{B.75}\\
& g 2 \text { [0;1] (dense). }
\end{align*}
$$

W e thus have:
$\stackrel{8}{<} 1+2[1 ; 2] ;=1 \quad g \quad$ (dihute)

$$
\begin{equation*}
9=: 1 \quad 2[0 ; 1] ;=1 \quad 9^{1} \quad \text { (dense). } \tag{B.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the dilute and dense exponents can be recast in term s of the C oulom b gas coupling constant. The bulk ones read as
(B.77)

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
8 \\
< & \mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{gL}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{~g}
\end{array}\right) & =1 & \mathrm{~g} ; & =1 & \text { (dihute) } \\
: & \mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~L}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \mathrm{~g}^{1}
\end{array}\right)=1 & g^{1} ;=g & \text { (dense), }
\end{array}
$$

and the boundary ones
(B.78) :

$$
8 \quad \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=2 \mathrm{~L} \quad=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{gL} ; \quad=1 \quad \mathrm{~g} ; \quad=1 \text { (dihute) }
$$

$$
: \quad \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=2 \mathrm{~L}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L}+1 \quad \mathrm{~g}^{1} ; \quad=1 \quad \mathrm{~g}^{1} ; \quad=\mathrm{g} \text { (dense). }
$$

B.5.12. C onform al $W$ eights for the Stochastic Lowner Evolution. The quantum gravity conform alweights for the SLE are obtained either directly from the Coulom b gas ones above, by the sim ple substitution $=4=g$, or by using in eqs.
 phase, i.e., for sim ple paths SLE 4 , and $=1 \quad=4$ for the dense phase, ie., for non-simple paths SLE $\quad 4 . W$ e nd:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \underline{2 L}=L+1 ; 1 ; \quad=1( \\
& \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=  \tag{B.79}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{L} \\
\mathrm{~L} \\
2
\end{array} \mathrm{I} \quad \underset{4}{=}=\begin{array}{c}
0=16= \\
1 ; \mathrm{L}+1
\end{array} ; \quad=\underline{4}(\quad 4) ;
\end{align*}
$$

while the bulk conform al weights are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \underline{4}
\end{array}\right)+\underline{L}=\quad \mathrm{L}=2 ; 0 ; \quad=1( \\
& \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{l}, \frac{1}{2}(1 \quad \overline{4})+\frac{\mathrm{L}}{4}=\begin{array}{c}
0=16= \\
0 ; \mathrm{L}=2 ;
\end{array} ; \quad=\frac{4}{}(4) \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we recall the de nition ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \cdot \overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{1})$,

$$
\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}=\frac{\dot{j} \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{qj}+}{2} \stackrel{4}{\vdots}
$$



A ppendix C. Boundary Bulk Exponents \& Boundary Fusion Rules in Q uantum G ravity
C.1. Structural $C$ on form al $W$ eight $R$ elations. The aim of this last appendix is to establish tw o di erent basic scaling relations in quantum gravity, which we often encountered in calculating critical exponents.
C.1.1. From the Boundary to the Bulk. The rst one concems the relation betw een quantum gravity bulk conform alweights, and their D irichlet boundary counterparts ~:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \quad \operatorname{str}(=2)=\sim \quad \sim_{0} ; \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{str}(=2)=$ is the string susceptibility exponent of the random surface w ith the sphere topology, bearing a certain conform al eld theory of centralcharge $c()$, and where $\sim_{0}$ is the conform alw eight of the boundary puncture operator.
C.1.2. D irichlet Random Sets and $C$ on form alW eight A dditivity. The second one is the additivity property of boundary conform alweights, $\sim_{A}$ and $\sim_{B}$, corresponding to two random sets $A$ and $B$ living on the random disk, and mutuallyavoiding each other, nam ely experiencing $m$ utuald irichlet conditions, in addition to those felt at the disk boundaries. This restriction has been noted $A \wedge B$ throughout the paper. This additivity property reads, in its general setting:

$$
\text { (C 2) } \quad \sim_{A \wedge B} \quad \sim_{0}=\sim_{A} \quad \sim_{0}+\sim_{B} \sim_{0}:
$$

C.1.3. KPZ O riginaland -M odi ed M aps. W e recall the form of the function involved in the K P Z equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{U}()=\overline{1} ; \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ hich $m$ aps the quantum conform alweights onto (classical) K ac-like conform al weightsh in the plane or half-plane. For the SLE process, we introduced a m odi ed K P Z map:
(C. .4)

$$
U()=\frac{1}{4}(+4) ;
$$

w ith inverse:
(C.5)

$$
U^{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \quad p \overline{16 x+(4 \rho}+\quad 4:
$$

C.1.4. C on form alW eight of the $B$ oundary $P$ uncture. $W$ e have seen in appendix 'B', that ~ 0 satis es
(C.6)
$\mathrm{U}\left(\sim_{0}\right)=0$;
and takes one of the two values ( 0 ; ) , depending whether one is at a dilute critical point, or in a dense phase.
C.1.5. A dditivity Rule for the SLE $P$ rocess. A s shown in section 1111 , when using the $U^{1}$ inverse function, instead of the original one $U^{1}$, the additivity rule (든․․) can be recast in a unique form ula, independently of the value of $\sim_{0}$, and of the range of :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{1}\left(x_{A} \wedge \mathrm{~B}\right)=U^{1}\left(x_{\mathrm{A}}\right)+\mathrm{U}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) ; \tag{C.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the conform alweights $x$ are those on the boundary of the half-plane $H$
(C .8)

$$
x=U \quad(M:
$$



Figure 33. Two-point correlator of a random set A on a random sphere, corresponding to the partition function (Cill

C .2. Partition Functions.
C 2.1. Two-P uncture Partition Functions. W e consider a random lattice G bearing a given statistical system, whose criticalproperties correspond to a conform al eld theory w ith central charge c , and string susceptibility exponent. The two-puncture partition function in the spherical topology reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[\bullet \cdot]=\frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}} Z()=\underbrace{X(G)}_{p \operatorname{lanar} G} \frac{1}{S G j^{2} e^{j G} \dot{F}_{W}(G) ;} \tag{C.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereW (G) is the weight due to the background statistical system bore by the random lattioe, depending on som e unspeci ed fugacities, and where $Z$ is the partition function of the random lattice and statistical system in the absence of punctures. $T$ he two-puncture boundary partition function is, in a sim ilar way:
where $Z$ is the disk partition function $w$ thout punctures.
C 22. General Two-P oint P artition Functions. Im agine a random set A on a random lattioe $G$. W e have in $m$ ind random sets $A$ like the random trees ofappendix
 the SLE process, i.e., frontier hulls of P otts or $O(\mathbb{N})$ m odels. O ne can then de ne tw o-point partition functions, which connect tw $o$ arbitrary points $i$ and $j$ in $G$ ( $F$ ig.


The existence of the random set A at a given point i2 G is associated $w$ ith a conform al eld operator $A_{\text {a }}$ (i) creating the process at $i$, and belonging to the conform al eld theory bome by G. W ew rite the two-point partition function in a sym bolic way
where the average $h \quad i$ is calculated with grand-canonical $G$ ibbs weights; $W$ (G) again is the weight of the random lattice bearing the background statistical system,


Figure 34. Two-point boundary correlator of a random set A on a random disk, corresponding to the partition function (
depending on som e associated fugacities, and $z$ is a fugacity associated w the the num ber of sites occupied by random set $A_{i j}$ betw een $i$ and $j$.

Sim ilarly, the two-point boundary partition function reads as in (B) (Fig. (3424) :
(C.12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{A}=h^{X} \sim_{A}(i) \sim_{A}(j) i \\
& \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{j} 2 \text { @ }} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \\
& =\quad e^{j G} \text { 効 } \\
& \text { disk } G
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z$ is the new fugacity associated with the boundary's length.
C onsider now two sets A and B starting and ending at the sam e vertioes i and $j$ on $G$, and in a mutually-avoiding con guration $A \wedge B$ ( $F$ ig. $\left.{ }^{13} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Their tw o-point partition function is de ned as:
(C.13)
$w$ here a com $m$ on fugacity is attributed to the totalnum ber ofsites of $A \wedge B$ betw een $i$ and $j, ~ \nexists A \wedge B i_{j} j=A_{i j} j+\beta B_{i j} j$.
$T$ he boundary partition function is sim ilarly (Fig. ${ }^{3} \mathbf{3}$ (1) $)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{A \wedge B}=h \quad \sim_{A \wedge B}(i) \sim_{A \wedge B}(j) i \\
& \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{j} \text { @ } \tag{C.14}
\end{align*}
$$

C .3. Spectral representation.
C 3.1. Partition Function in SphericalTopology: In the random lattice representations by eigenvalue densities of random $m$ atrices like those used in appendices 'A' and 'B 'l the two-point partition function in spherical topology in general can be


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{d} \quad() \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{z} ; \quad ;) ; \tag{C.15}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 35. Two-point correlation of mutually-avoiding random sets A ^ B on a random sphere, as they appear in the partition function $\left.[\bar{C}-13)^{\prime}\right)$.


Figure 36. Two-point boundary correlation ofm utually-avoiding random sets $A^{\wedge} B$ on a random disk, as they appear in the partition function $\bar{C}=14)$.
where the patch of random surface outside set A contributes an spectral density
 (B.12), the form of which depends on the nature of set A. T he variables are the fugacity $z$ ofset A and the spectralparam eter , repeated here since it characterizes both sides of the random surface patch adjacent to $A$.

C 32. B oundary Two-P oint P artition Function sentation is sim ilarly

Z $Y^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{A}=\quad d_{1}\left({ }_{1}\right) T_{A}\left(Z_{1} ; 2_{2}\right)  \tag{C.16}\\
& \mathrm{l}=1 \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{1}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith tw o extra propagators $L\left(z_{1[o r 2]}\right)$ describing the tw o boundary lines betw een $i$ and $j$ :
(C. .17)

$$
Z_{\mathrm{A}}=
$$


$\mathrm{l}=1$
using the sim pli ed notation (see $\overline{\bar{B}} \mathbf{- 1 2})$ )

$$
L(x):=L(x ; 0)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \tag{C.18}
\end{array}\right)^{1}:
$$

C.3.3. Two-P uncture B oundary Partition Function: (1) (10) can be written as the $\lim$ it case where $A$ is a boundary puncture, noted :

$$
\begin{align*}
Z=Z(\cdot) & =d \quad()\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z
\end{array}\right)^{2} \\
& =d \quad() L^{2}(z): \tag{С.19}
\end{align*}
$$

C 3.4. $\quad D$ irichlet $M$ utually-A voiding Sets $A \wedge B: T$ he $m$ utualavoidance $A \wedge B$ requires the random sets $A$ and $B$ to be separated by a connected piece of random lattice $G$. Thus the spectral representation associated $w$ ith partition functions (C.13) and (C.14) requires to integrate over an extra eigenvalue density in between the tw o propagators $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$ associated $w$ th traversing random sets $A$ and $B$. $T$ hus partition functions ( $\bar{C} \cdot 13$ ) and ( 1414 ) can be written as

Z $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$
(C 20)
(C 21)
C.4. Q uantum G ravity $C$ on form al $W$ eights.
C.4.1. Bulk C on form ald im ensions. W e rst consider the planar topology ( = 2). The tw o-puncture partition function ( $\bar{C}-\overline{C l}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}[\bullet \cdot] \quad j_{j}^{\operatorname{str}}(=2): \tag{C22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In quantum gravity, the bulk conform alweight $A$ of operator $A$ is then de ned by the scaling of the two-point function $Z_{A}$, properly norm alized, with respect to the average size of the lattice:
(C 23) $\quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}} \quad \mathrm{Z}[\bullet \cdot] \quad \mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{A}}$ :
$T$ his de nition takes into account the fact that the two-point partition function $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$ contains, in addition to the insertion of two $A$ operators, the two-puncture partition function on the sphere. T hus we have by de nition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}} \quad \mathrm{j}^{\operatorname{str}}(=2)^{\mathrm{A}} \quad \text { : } \tag{C24}
\end{equation*}
$$

C.42. B oundary $C$ onform al $\mathrm{D} \underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}}$ ensions. Let us rst consider the disk partition function w ith tw o punctures (cin). W e w rite its scaling as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}(\bigcirc) \quad \mathbb{G} j^{\operatorname{str}( }(=1) 2 \quad P \overline{\mathbb{G}}^{2} 2^{\sim} 0 \tag{C25}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the disk partition function for an Euler characteristic $=1$, w ith a susceptibility

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{A \wedge B}=\quad d_{1}\left({ }_{1}\right) T_{A}(z ; 1 ; 2) T_{B}(z ; 2 ; 1) ; \\
& Z^{3} \\
& Z_{A} \wedge B=\quad d_{1}\left({ }_{1}\right) T_{A}(z ; 1 ; 2) T_{B}(z ; 2 ; 3) \\
& \mathrm{l}=1 \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{1} \\
& Y^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

exponent str $(=1)$, while the second term takes into account the integration and the conform alweight $\sim_{0}$ of the two punctures along the boundary.

For the boundary partition function $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$, we sim ilarly de neboundary conform al weights $\sim_{A}$, such that:

By using $[\bar{C}-25)$ we thus have the sim ple scaling relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Z_{A}}{Z(\cdot O)}=\frac{Z_{A}}{Z} \quad P \frac{Z_{j}}{Z} \tilde{j}_{0}^{\sim_{0} \sim_{A}}: \tag{C27}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can also $m$ easure the scaling behavior in term $s$ of the boundary length
 de nition of another set ofboundary quantum gravity dim ensions, $\sim_{A}^{0}$, such that
(C 28)
or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Z_{A}}{Z} \quad j \cap G \tilde{j}^{\sim} \sim_{0}^{0} \sim_{A}^{\sim}: \tag{C29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his gives as in $\left[-53\right.$ ) of appendix ${ }^{[ } \bar{B}$, the dim ension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{A}^{0} \quad 1=\left(r_{A} 1\right): \tag{C30}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{A}^{0}=\frac{\sim_{A} \sim_{0}}{1} ; \tag{C31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the dual dim ension $(\underline{10} \overline{-1})$ when $\sim_{0}=$, i.e., in the dense phase.
C .5. D erivation of $Q$ uantum $G$ ravity $S c a l i n g R e l a t i o n s . ~$
C.5.1. Relation between Bulk and Boundary Exponents. W e now have to characterize the scaling behavior of partition functions, as represented by spectralm ultiple integrals such as $(\bar{C}-15), ~(\bar{C} 17)$ or $(\bar{C} 19)$. Sim ilarly to the study done in appendix $\frac{B}{B}$, see, e.g., eqs. $(\mathbb{B} .19),(\mathbb{B} 20)$, and $\left.(\mathbb{B} 21)\right]$, it is useful to introduce a notation characterizing the various scaling factors in the m ultiple integrals. We thus w rite these partitition functions $(\mathbb{C}=1$

$$
Z(\bigcirc)=Z=? L^{2} ;
$$

where the ? notation naturally resembles that representing convolutions. Each factor $X$ of a?-product brings in its own contribution to the scaling behavior of the partition function. U ltim ately, this scaling behavior is $m$ easured in term $s$ of area IG $j$ and is form ally w ritten as
$X \quad j^{\operatorname{j}} j^{[x]}$
where the notation [ ] represents the partial scaling dim ension of factor X. A 11 partial scaling behaviors m ultiply, leading to the sim ple algebraic rules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{X} \text { ? } Y]=[\mathrm{X}]+[Y]: \tag{C.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e therefore nd:
Z
(C.37)

$$
\left[\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}\right]=\quad+\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}\right] ;
$$


(C.38)
(C.39)
$\left.\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}}+2 \mathbb{L}\right]:$
From de nition $(\bar{C}-351)$ we also have the sim ple rule for ratios:
(C.40)

$$
\frac{Z_{A}}{Z(\cdot O)}=\frac{Z_{A}^{\#}}{Z}=Z_{Z_{A}}^{i}{ }^{\#} Z^{i}:
$$

Eqs. $(\bar{C}-371),(\bar{C}-38)$, and $(\bar{C}-39)$ then im ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Z_{A}}{Z}={ }_{Z}^{\#} Z_{A}^{i} h^{i} Z^{i}=Z \quad+\left[T_{A}\right]: \tag{С..41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (

$$
\frac{" Z_{\mathrm{Z}}}{\mathrm{Z}}=\left[Z_{\mathrm{A}}\right]:
$$

H ence, as expected:
In quantum gravity, the two-point boundary partition function, norm alized by the two-puncture boundary one, scales in the sam e way as the two-point bulk partition function.
 de nitions $\left(\bar{C}-35_{1}\right)$, $(\bar{C}-241)$, and $\left(\bar{C}-27_{1}\right)$, we have
(C.43)
(C.44)

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{i} \quad\left[Z_{A}\right] & =2 \mathrm{~A} \quad \operatorname{str}(=2) \\
Z_{\lambda}=Z_{i} & =\sim_{\lambda}:
\end{aligned}
$$

From scaling identity $(\bar{C} .411)$ we conclude:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2_{\mathrm{A}} \quad \operatorname{str}(=2)=\sim_{A} \quad \sim_{0} ; \tag{С.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is relation ( $\left.\bar{C}-\bar{I}_{1}\right), ~ Q ~ E D$.
C 5.2. B oundary C onform alW eights of $D$ irichlet Sets $A \wedge B . U$ se is m ade of sam e block scaling analysis as above. The spectral representation ( $\bar{C}-2 \overline{1})$ of the boundary tw o-point partition function $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}} \wedge \mathrm{B}$ can be sym bolically w ritten as

Z 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{A} \wedge_{\mathrm{B}}=\quad ? \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}} ? \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{B}} ? \mathrm{~L}^{2}: \tag{С.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total scaling dim ension (
$h$ i
(C. 47)
$Z_{A}{ }_{A}{ }^{\wedge}=3+\left[T_{A}\right]+\left[T_{B}\right]+2[\mathbb{L}]:$

C onsidering $\underset{\text { " }}{\text { it }}$ ratio to the two-puncture boundary partition function gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Z_{A} \wedge_{B}}{Z^{Z}}=h_{Z_{A} \wedge B}^{i} h^{i}=2^{Z}+\left[T_{A}\right]+\left[T_{B}\right]: \tag{C.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where use was m ade of the scaling dim ension ( gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{" \# \# \# \# \#}{Z}=\frac{Z_{A} \wedge B}{Z}+\frac{Z_{B}}{Z}: \tag{С.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eq. $\left(\bar{C}-\overline{4} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ we conclude:
(C.50) $\quad \sim_{A \wedge B} \quad \sim_{0}=\sim_{A} \quad \sim_{0}+\sim_{B} \quad \sim_{0} ;$
which is eq. (Cَ-2), Q ED.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is perhaps interesting to note that P.-G . de G ennes originally studied polym er theory with the sam e hope of understanding from that perspective the broader class of critical phenom ena. It tumed out to be historically the converse: the $W$ ilson $F$ isher renorm alization group approach to spin $m$ odels with $O(\mathbb{N})$ sym $m$ etry yielded the polym er critical exponents as the special case of
    
    ${ }^{2}$ The C hateau M argaux 1982 bottle was nally drunk in 2001 C hez P an isse, B erkeley C A.

[^1]:     second part (sections singularities along C I scaling curves. It then focuses on SLE and quantum gravity, and on their various dualities, $m$ irrored in that relating sim ple and non-sim ple paths. F inally, it o ers detailed argum ents leading to the quantum gravity approach to interacting random paths.

