# on the surface pressure for the edwards-anderson model

Pierluigi Contucci, Sandro Gra

D ipartim ento di M atem atica Universita di Bologna, 40127 Bologna, Italy e-m ail: contucci@ dm .unibo.it, gra @ dm .unibo.it

June 3th, 2003. Revised: February 11th, 2004

#### A bstract

For the Edwards-Anderson model we introduce an integral representation for the surface pressure (per unit surface)  $_{(l)}$  in terms of a quenched moment of the bond-overlap on the surface. We consider free , periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions (by symmetry  $_{(l)}^{(n)} = _{(l)}^{(n)}$ ), and prove the bounds

We show moreover that at high temperatures  $\binom{()}{0}$  is close to  $^2=4$  and  $\binom{()}{0}$  is close to  $^2=4$  uniform ly in the volume .

## 1 Introduction

In statistical mechanics once the existence of the therm odynamic limit has been proved for the free energy per unit volume a natural subsequent question is to establish at which rate with respect to the volume such limit is reached. In particular it is interesting to determine the next term in the expansion

$$\ln Z = pjj + o(jj)$$
:

The problem has been analyzed since the pioneering work by Fisher and Lebow itz FL] on classical particle systems and followed by a series of results in both Euclidean quantum eld theories [G, GRS] and in ferrom agnetic spin models FC]. In those cases the basic properties of monotonicity and convexity of the thermodynamic quantities with respect to the strength of the interaction, namely the rst and second G ri the inequalities, made possible a rigorous proof of what thermodynamics suggests (see [Si]): for su ciently regular potentials and (say) free boundary conditions the pressure varies with the volum e as

$$\ln Z = p_{j} j_{+} j_{0} j_{+} o(j_{0} j); \qquad (1.1)$$

where p is the therm odynam ic lim it of the pressure per unit volum e

$$p = \frac{\ln Z}{jj}; \qquad (1.2)$$

and is the therm odynam ic lim it of the surface pressure per unit area

$$e = \frac{\ln Z \quad pjj}{j^2 j} : \tag{1.3}$$

The quantity , unlike p, depends in general not only on the interaction but also on the boundary conditions and represents the contribution to the pressure due to the interaction of the system with its boundary.

In this paper we analyze the surface pressure problem for the Edwards-Anderson model with Gaussian couplings in the quenched ensemble. Basing on the property of existence, self averaging and independence on the boundary conditions of the therm odynamic limit for the random pressure perparticle (see for instance [EH] and [CG]) we study the correction to the leading term for di erent boundary conditions (free, periodic and antiperiodic). O urm ain idea relies on an inequality which translates to random system s the contents of the rstGri ths inequality: in a ferrom agnet the free energy decreases with the strength of each interaction, in a spin-glass the free energy decreases with the variance of each random coupling. O ur technical tool is an interpolation m ethod (sim ilar to those in [GT] and [CG]) which plays, in spin glass statistical mechanics, the same role of the Gri ths interpolation m ethod [Si, Gr] in classical ferrom agnetic systems. O urmain result is an integral representation theorem for the surface pressure in the quenched ensemble for different boundary conditions and rectangular boxes. As an immediate consequence we nd that its value is bounded from above by 0 and from below by 1=4 and that for high tem peratures it is non-zero. We prove moreover that the surface pressure for periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions is larger than the free one and we provide an integral representation for their quenched di erence which we control at high tem perature.

# 2 De nitions and Results

Consider the Edwards-Anderson d-dimensional spin-glass model de ned by congurations of Ising spins  $_n$ , n 2  $Z^d$  for some d-parallelepiped. To be de nite we locate it in the positive quadrant of  $Z^d$  with a vertex in the origin. We denote  $L_1; L_2; ...; L_d$  the sides, j j the volume and j@ j the surface. The interaction is described by the potential

$$U (J; ) = \int_{(n,m^0)^{2B}(J)}^{X} J_{n,m^0 n n^0}; \qquad (2.4)$$

where the  $J_{n,n^0}$  are independent norm all aussian variables and the sum runs over all pairs of nearest neighbors sites jn  $n^0 j = 1$ . We use here the standard identication of the space of nearest neighbors with the d-dimensional bond-lattice b 2 B<sup>d</sup> with b = (n;n<sup>0</sup>) and denote B () the d-bond-parallelepiped associated to . Given two spin con gurations and introduce the notation  $b = n n^0$  and  $b = n n^0$ ; the local bond-overlap between and is

$$q_b(;) \coloneqq b_b; \qquad (2.5)$$

for every B B () we de ne

$$q_{B}(;) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\beta} j_{h_{2}B} q_{D}(;):$$
 (2.6)

The reason to introduce the bond overlap is related to the mathematical structure of the Hamiltonian (2.4): as a sum of Gaussian variables it is, for each -con guration, a Gaussian variable itself and thus by the W ick theorem completely identied by its covariance matrix which is proportional to the bond-overlap  $q_{B()}(;)$ . Denoting Av the Gaussian average we have in fact:

$$Av(U (J; )U (J; )) = X Av(J_{b}J_{b^{0}}) = b^{b^{0}}$$

$$= b^{b^{0}}_{X} B^{b^{0}} = B()j_{B()}(; ): (2.7)$$

De nitions.

For assigned boundary conditions we consider

1. the random partition function,

$$Z^{()}(J) \coloneqq e^{U^{()}(J)};$$
 (2.8)

2. the random pressure

$$P^{()}(J) := \ln Z^{()}(J); \qquad (2.9)$$

3. the quenched pressure

$$P^{()} \coloneqq Av \ln Z^{()}(J) ; \qquad (2.10)$$

4. the product (over the sam e disorder) random G ibbs-Boltzm ann state

$$!^{()}() \coloneqq \frac{P}{\mathbb{Z}^{()}} + \frac{e^{U^{()}()+U^{()}()}}{\mathbb{Z}^{()}}; \qquad (2.11)$$

5. the quenched equilibrium state

$$< >^{()} = Av ! ()^{()} ;$$
 (2.12)

6. the random surface pressure

7. and the quenched surface pressure

$$T^{()} := Av T^{()}(J) :$$
 (2.14)

We will consider three types of boundary conditions. The free ones in which the partition sum runs over all the spins inside the parallelepiped :

$$Z^{()}(J) \coloneqq e^{U^{(;J)}}: \qquad (2.15)$$

The periodic boundary conditions in which the partition sum runs over all the spin values in the torus  $= Z^{d} = :$ 

$$Z^{()}(J) \coloneqq e^{U^{(j)}(J)}$$
: (2.16)

The anti-periodic conditions are de ned summing over the spin congurations with the condition, for instance in d = 1, that  $_1 = _{N+1}$ . This is clearly equivalent, for a given choice of J, to consider a sistem with periodic boundary conditions and with a changed sign of  $J_{1,N+1}$ . In d dimensions the general de nition is given as follows: consider the standard orthogonal cut of the torus which unfolds to i.e. the set @B () de ned as the collection of b =  $(n;n^0)$  with  $n < n^0$  (according to the lexicographic order) and  $n = (n_1; n_2; :::; n_k)$  in which  $n_i = 1.8i \in k$  and  $n_k = 0$ . Given

$$b = \begin{cases} 8 \\ < 1; \text{ if b 2 (B ();} \\ \vdots 1; \text{ otherwise;} \end{cases}$$
(2.17)

and the potential

$$U (;J) = \bigcup_{b2B()} J_{b b}; \qquad (2.18)$$

the anti-periodic boundary condition partition sum runs over all the spins in the torus  $= Z^{d} =$ 

$$Z^{()}(J) := e^{U^{(J)}}:$$
 (2.19)

To state our results we rst establish som e further notation. Consider the boundary bond-overlap

$$q_{0B()} = \frac{1}{j_{0B()}j_{b2(0B())}} X_{b2(0B())} q_{b}:$$
 (2.20)

Let k be the k-m agni ed de ned, for each positive integer k, as the d-parallelepiped of sides  $kL_1; kL_2; :::; kL_d$  and consider the m agni cated torus

$$_{k} = Z^{d} = k$$
 : (2.21)

De ne the set

$$C_{k} \coloneqq B(_{k})n \underset{s=1}{\overset{k^{\alpha}}{B}} B(_{s}): \qquad (2.22)$$

and associate with k the interpolating potential

$$U_{k}(t) = \sum_{b2B(k)}^{X} p_{t_{b}} J_{b b}; \qquad (2.23)$$

with

$$t_{b} = \begin{cases} 8 \\ < t; \text{ if } b 2 C_{k}; \\ \vdots \\ 1; \text{ otherw ise}; \end{cases}$$
(2.24)

Finally let <  $>_{t}^{(k)}$  be the corresponding quenched state.

Theorem 1 (Integral representation for  $T^{()}$ ) The surface pressure per unit surface adm its the representation

$$T^{()} = \frac{j_{2}j_{1}}{4} \lim_{k! = 1}^{Z_{1}} 1 < q_{2B()} > t^{(k)} dt; \qquad (2.25)$$

in particular the quantity

 $_{e}^{()} = \frac{T^{()}}{je} j$ (2.26)

adm its the bounds

$$\frac{1}{4}$$
 () 0: (2.27)

Theorem 2 (Integral representation for  $T^{()}$  and  $T^{()}$ ) For every the symmetry of the Gaussian distribution implies

$$T^{()} = T^{()}$$
: (2.28)

Consider in the torus the interpolating potential

$$U^{()}(t) = \sum_{b2B()}^{X} p_{\overline{t}_{b}} J_{b \ b}; \qquad (2.29)$$

with

$$t_{b} = \begin{cases} 8 \\ < t; \text{ if b 2 (B ();} \\ : 1; \text{ otherwise;} \end{cases}$$
(2.30)

and let <  $>_{t}^{(-)}$  be its quenched state . Then

$$T^{()} = T^{()} + \frac{j_{e}j^{Z_{1}}}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} (1 < q_{eB()} > t^{()}) dt: \qquad (2.31)$$

In particular the quantity

$${}_{0}^{()} = \frac{T^{()}}{j_{0}^{()}j_{j}}$$
 (2.32)

adm its the bounds

Theorem 3 (High tem peratures) Consider the potential

$$U (J; ) = \begin{matrix} X \\ J_{n,m^0 n n^0} \end{matrix} (2.34)$$

Then:

(1) There exist and C > 0 depending only on d such that for all

$$\frac{\frac{0}{2}}{2}$$
 C < 0 (2.35)

(2) For any " > 0 there exists (") > 0 such that for all (")

$$\frac{\binom{1}{2}}{\frac{2}{2}} = \frac{1}{4} (1 \quad ");$$
 (2.36)

and equivalently

$$\frac{()}{2}^{()} = \frac{1}{4}(1 );$$
 (2.37)

uniform ly in .

### 3 Proof of the results

#### W e start by stating and proving the basic result.

Lem m a 3.1 (M onotonicity in the variance) Let  $t_b = 0.8b \ 2B$  () and  $J_b$  be a norm alG aussian variable. The G aussian variable  $p_{\overline{t}_b}J_b$  has variance  $t_b$ . Consider the potential  $U = p_{\overline{b}2B()} p_{\overline{t}_b}J_{b-b}$  with its associated quenched therm odynam ics. The quenched pressure P is monotone increasing with respect to all the variances  $t_b$ :

$$\frac{d}{dt_{b}}P = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{t_{b}}Av(J_{b}(b)) = \frac{1}{2}(1 < q_{b} > 0) = (3.38)$$

Proof of Lem m a 3.1.

The rst equality is the chain rule on the logarithm of an exponential of a square root:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}_{\mathrm{b}}} \mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{b}}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{b}} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{U}(\mathbf{r})}}{\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{U}(\mathbf{r})}}$$
(3.39)

Next we recall the integration by parts form ula for norm al G aussian variables

$$Av (Jf (J)) = Av \frac{df (J)}{dJ} ; \qquad (3.40)$$

the correlation derivative form ula

$$\frac{d! (_{b})}{dJ_{b}} = {}^{p} \frac{1}{t_{b}} 1 ! (_{b})^{2} 0; \qquad (3.41)$$

and the identity

$$! (_{b})^{2} = \frac{P}{e^{U()}}^{be^{U()}}^{2} = \frac{P}{P; b b}^{be^{U()+U()}}^{2} = (q_{b}):$$
(3.42)

By applying successively (3.40), (3.41) and (3.42) we obtain  $\lim market a 3.1$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.

G iven the d-parallelepiped consider its magni cation k de ned, for each positive integerk, as the d-parallelepiped of sides  $kL_1$ ;  $kL_2$ ; ...;  $kL_d$ . C learly k and <sub>k</sub> are partitioned into k<sup>d</sup> non-empty disjoint cubes <sub>s</sub> all congruent to as explained in the de nitions before Theorem 1. In nite volume and with free boundary conditions we have by de nition

$$P^{()} = Av(\ln Z) = k^{d}Av \ln Z^{k^{d}}$$
: (3.43)

The limiting pressure per particle is independent on the boundary conditions. Hence:

$$pjj = \lim_{k! \ 1} k^{d} A v \ln Z_{k}^{()}$$
(3.44)

By (3.43) and (3.44) we obtain

$$T^{()} = P^{()} pjj$$
  
=  $\lim_{k! \ 1} k^{d} Av \ln Z^{k^{d}} \ln Z^{()}_{k}$  : (3.45)

For each 0 t 1 we de ne the interpolating potential as in (2.23) with

$$t_{b} = \begin{cases} 8 \\ < t; \text{ if } b 2 C_{k}; \\ \vdots \\ 1; \text{ otherw ise}; \end{cases}$$
(3.46)

the interpolating partition function

$$Z^{(k)}(t) = e^{U^{(k)}(t)}; \qquad (3.47)$$

the interpolating pressure

$$P^{(k)}(t) := Av \ln Z^{(k)}(t) ;$$
 (3.48)

and the corresponding states  $t_{t}^{(k)}$  ( ) and  $< t_{t}^{(k)}$ . We observe that

$$Z^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{s=1}^{k^{d}} Z_{s}; \quad Z^{(k)}(1) = Z_{k}; \quad (3.49)$$

or equivalently

$$P^{(k)}(0) = k^{d}P$$
;  $P^{(k)}(1) = P_{k}$ ; (3.50)

and by (3.45)

$$T^{()} = \lim_{k \ge 1} k^{d} P^{(k)}(0) P^{(k)}(1) = \lim_{k \ge 1} k^{d} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d}{dt} P^{(k)}(t) dt: \quad (3.51)$$

W e remark now that

$$\frac{d}{dt}P^{(k)}(t) = \frac{X}{\sum_{b \geq C_{k}}} \frac{1}{2^{t}t} < J_{b \ b} > t^{(k)}; \qquad (3.52)$$

and by Lemma 3.1

$$\frac{d}{dt}P^{(k)}(t) = \frac{1}{2} X_{t}(1 < q_{b} > t^{(k)}): \qquad (3.53)$$

The translation symmetry over the torus and the equality

im ply by (3.51)

Proof of Theorem 2.We rst notice that the potential

$$U^{()}(;;J) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ {}_{bJ_{b}} J_{b}; \\ {}_{b2B()} \end{array} (3.55)$$

has a quenched pressure independent of for each choice of  $_{\rm b}$  = 1. That is a simple consequence of the symmetry  $J_{\rm b}$  !  $J_{\rm b}$  of the Gaussian distribution. The previous observations entail in particular (2.28). Consider in the torus the interpolating potential de ned in (2.29) with the relative pressure P<sup>()</sup>(t) and quenched state <  $>_{\rm t}^{()}$ . Since

$$P^{()}(0) = P^{()}; P^{()}(1) = P^{()}; \qquad (3.56)$$

and

$$P^{0}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b \ge QB(t)}^{X} (1 < q_{b} > t^{(-)}); \qquad (3.57)$$

we have

$$P^{()} P^{()} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b \ge QB()}^{X} (1 < q_b > t^{()}) dt; \qquad (3.58)$$

which immediately entails theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 3. The cluster expansion of [Be] (see also [FI, DKP]) overcomes the well known di culty due the in nite range of the Gaussian variable. We apply it to the present case to show that, regardless of the boundary conditions, each  $< q_b >$  is small for small and de nitely away from 1. Applying Proposition 1 of [Be] to our problem (see in particular the proof of Lemma 3) we may write

$$< q_{\rm b} > {}^{(\ {}_{\rm k}\ {})}_{\rm t} = A_{\rm k} (b; {}^{2};t) {}^{2} + C_{\rm k} (b; {}^{2};t);$$
 (3.59)

where:

(1) for every "we may choose

$$jC_k$$
 (b; <sup>2</sup>;t)j  $\frac{n}{2}$ ; (3.60)

uniform ly in all the variables and

(2)  $A_k$  (b; <sup>2</sup>;t) is bounded uniform ly in (;t) and is analytic in for <  $_0$ , where  $_0$  depends only on the dimension d and not on and t. Remark once again that the parity of the G aussian variables yields the parity in of each therm odynamic function so that the odd powers of the cluster expansion vanish.

After integrating in two take the k! 1 lim it of the previous relation (which exists by Theorem 1 of [Be] if  $< _0$ ), and sum over all bonds in (B (). We obtain:

$$_{0}^{()} = \frac{2}{4} 1 \quad (A (2)^{2} + C (2));$$
(3.61)

with

$$C({}^{2}) = \lim_{k! = 1}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dt \frac{1}{j^{2}B(j)j} \sum_{b \ge 0 \le (j)}^{X} C_{k}(b;{}^{2};t); \qquad (3.62)$$

and

$$A ({}^{2}) = \lim_{k! \ 1} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dt \frac{1}{j B () j} A_{k} (b; {}^{2};t); \qquad (3.63)$$

We rem ind that them ultiplicative <sup>2</sup> factor in (3.61) comes from the fact that the potential (2.34) has interactions coe cients J whose variance is <sup>2</sup>. From (3.60) we derive the bound jC j "=2.0 n the other hand since the correlation is bounded by one,  $j < q_b > t^{(k)}$  j 1, and  $A_k$  (b; <sup>2</sup>;t) is bounded uniform by in (;t), there is K > 0 independent of such that

$$j^{A}$$
 (<sup>2</sup>) $j < K$  : (3.64)

Hence there is a > 0 such that the quantity  $j^2A$   $\binom{2}{j} < C_1 < 1$  "=2 if < -, uniform ly in . Hence, by (3.61) we get the existence of C > 0 independent of such

$$\frac{()}{2}$$
 < C < 0 (3.65)

This proves Assertion (1).

To prove assertion (2), remark that, given "> 0, by (3.64) we can always choose (") in such a way that

$$\mathbf{\bar{A}}$$
 (<sup>2</sup>)<sup>2</sup> j "=2 (3.66)

uniform ly with respect to if < ("). Hence by (3.61) we can conclude

$$\frac{\binom{0}{2}}{2} = \frac{1}{4} (1 )$$
 (3.67)

if < ("). The proof of (2.37) is completely analogous.

0 utlook

O ur results show that the surface pressure has the expected surface size in dimension d. A change in the size dependence at low tem peratures is very unlikely. In fact our integral representation would force the quenched overlap moments < q > to be identically equal to one, a situation which is not generally expected in the mean eld picture [M PV] nor in the droplet one [FH]. A further step along the present line would be the understanding of the variance of the di erence of the pressure computed with two boundary conditions, for example periodic and antiperiodic. This would yield a surface tension like contribution. B ounds on the size dependence of such a quantity already exist (see ref [74] in [N S]) and it would be interesting to investigate if the interpolating method can be used to obtain the correct size; we hope to return elsewhere on that point and also on the existence of the therm odynam ic lim it for the quenched surface pressure especially in view to obtain an analogous of the second G ri ths inequality.

A cknow ledgm ents. W e thank M Aizenman, A Berretti, A Bovier, A C D van Enter, C.Giardina, F Guerra, J.Imbrie, C Newman, E O livieri and E Presutti for interesting discussions.

## References

- [Be] A Berretti, Jou. Stat. Phys., Vol. 38, Nos. 3/4, 483-496 (1985).
- [DKP] H.von Dreifus, A.K.lein, J.F.Perez, Commun.Math.Phys. 170, 21-39 (1995).
- [CG] P.Contucci, S.G.ra, http://arxiv.org/abs/m.ath-ph/0302013 To appear in Jou. Stat.Phys.
- [CDGG] P.Contucci, M. Degli Esposti, C.G. iardina and S.G. ra, Commun. in M ath. Phys. 236, 55-63, (2003)
- [EH] A C D van Enter, and J L van H em m en, Jou Stat Phys. 32, 141–152 (1983)
- [FC] M E Fisher and G Caginalp, Commun. Math. Phys., 56, no. 1, 11-56 (1977)
- [FH] D.S.Fisher and D.H.Huse, Phys. Rev. Lett., 56, 1601 (1986)
- [FI] JFrohlich, JZ.Imbrie, Commun.Math.Phys. 96, 145–180 (1984).
- [FL] M Fisher, J.Lebow itz, Commun. Math. Phys. 19, 251-272 (1970).
- [G] F.Guerra, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, no.18, 1213–1215, (1972)
- [Gr] RBGriths, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 17 (1969)
- [GRS] F.Guerra, L.Rosen, B.Simon, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare A 25, no.3, 231-334 (1976).
- [GT] F.G. uerra and F. Toninelli, Commun M ath Phys. 230, 71–79 (2002)
- [MPV] M Mezard, G Parisi, M A Virasoro, Spin G lass theory and beyond, W orld Scien. (1987)
- [NS] C M Newm nan D L Stein, http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-m at/0301403
- [Si] B Sim on, The statisticalm echanics of lattice gases. Princeton Univ. Press. (1992)