Colored Hofstadter butter ies

J.E.Avron

Department of Physics, Technion, 32000 Haifa, Israel

M arch 28, 2022

A bstract

I explain the therm odynam ic signi cance, the duality and open problem s associated with the two colored butter ies shown in gures 1 and 4.

1 0 verview

M y aim is to explain what is known about the therm odynam ic signi cance of the two colored butter ies shown in gures 1 and 4 and what remains open. Both diagram swere m ade by my student, D.O sadchy [14], as part of his M Sc. thesis. I shall explain their interpretation as the T = 0 phase diagram s of a two dimensional gas of charged, though non-interacting, fermions. Fig. 1 is associated with weak magnetic elds (and strong periodic potentials) while Fig. 4 with strong magnetic elds (and weak periodic potentials). The two cases are related by duality. The duality, which is further discussed below, is manifest if colors are disregarded.

The horizontal coordinate in both gures is the chemical potential and the vertical coordinate is proportional to the magnetic induction B in g.1 and 1=B in g.4. The colors represent the quantized values of the Hall conductance, i.e. represent integers¹. Warm colors represent positive multiples and cold colors represent negative ones: 0 range represents 2, red 1, white 0, blue 1 etc.

R em ark: It is problem atic to represent integers by colors with good contrast between nearby integers. This is related to the fact that colors are not ordered on the line but rather are represented by the sim plex (r;g;b) with r+g+b=1. (Pure colors are located on the boundary of the sim plex). The assignment in the gures becomes problem atic for large, positive or negative, integers: Large positive integers are not represented anymore by warm colors but rather by yellow and green.

I shall also present an open problem . N am ely, how do these diagram s change if one replaces the m agnetic induction B by the m agnetic eld H as the therm odynam ic coordinate.

 $^{^1 \, {\}rm T}\, {\rm he}\, {\rm quantum}\,$ unit of conductance, e^2=h, is 1=2 , in natural units where e = $\, \sim$ = 1.

2 Som e history

That the Hall conductance took di erent signs in di erent metals was an embarrassment to Sommerfeld theory. Since charge is carried by the electrons one sign was predicted. The wrong sign was called the anomalous Hall e ect and was explained by R.Peierls [5] who showed that the periodicity of the electron dispersion (k) plus the Pauli principle allow for either sign, depending on . This subsequently lead to the important concept of holes as charge carriers | a term not used by Peierls in his original work.

The electron-hole anti-symmetry of the Hall conductance is seen in Fig. 1 where cold and warm colors are interchanged upon relection about the vertical axis. However, the gure is much more complicated than what Peierls had in mind.

M ark A zbel [2] realized that the Schrödinger equation in a periodic potential and m agnetic eld had tantalizing spectral properties. But it was the graphic rendering of the spectrum by D. Hofstadter [9], shown in Fig. 2, (and his scaling rules,) that brought the problem into limelights. The richness of spectral properties is a result of competing area scales: O ne dictated by the unit cell of the underlying periodic potential and the other by the area that carries one unit of m agnetic ux. W hen is rational the two areas are commensurate, when it is irrational, they are not. At T = 0 the electrons gas is coherent on large distance scales and commensuration lead to interference phenomena that a ect spectral properties at very sm all energy scales. The delicate spectral properties attracted considerable attention of a community of spectral analysts. R efference [1] is a pointer to a rich and wonderful literature on the subject.

In a sem inalwork, TKNN [18] realized that the H all conductance of the H ofstadter m odel adm its a topological characterization in term s of C hem num bers. This discovery has an an interesting piece of lost history. In fact S. N ovikov was apparently the rst to realized the topological signi cance of the spectral gaps for B loch electrons in m agnetic elds [13]. How ever, he m issed their significance as H all conductance. TKNN [18] were not aware of the work of N ovikov. Instead, they were m otivated by a puzzle that follow from applying the Laughlin argument for the quantization of the H all conductance to the H ofstadter m odel. By essentially reinventing the proof of integrality of C hem num bers in a special case, they showed that whenever the Ferm ienergy is in a gap the H all conductance is quantized.

In the two diagrams, gs. 1,4, the H all conductance is quantized almost everywhere. The set of points where the H all conductance is not quantized is a set of zero measure and so invisible. This is related to the fact that the spectrum is a set of measure zero (see e.g. [1] and references therein).

3 Therm odynam ics considerations

It is interesting to consider the colored butter ies from the perspective of therm odynam ics.

3.1 Gibbs phase rule

The rst and second laws of therm odynam ics constrain the shape of phase diagram s. The phase rules depend on the choice of the independent therm odynam ic coordinates X be they extensive, such as X = (E; V; N), or intensive, such as (P; T).

Let X = (E;V;N) be the extensive coordinates of a simple therm odynamic system .X and X with > 0 are therm odynamically equivalent system s, while X and Y \Leftrightarrow X are not. Mixing X and Y, in any proportion, is, in general, an irreversible process. The second law then says that the entropy of the mixed system is not smaller than the sum of the entropies of its constituents. Namely, for 0 1

$$S(X + {}^{0}Y) - S(X) + S({}^{0}Y) = S(X) + {}^{0}S(Y); {}^{0} = 1$$
 (1)

The rst law, conservation of energy, (plus conservation of number of particles and additivity of volumes), was used in the rst step and the extensivity of the entropy, S(X) = S(X), in the second. Eq. (1) says that the entropy S(X) is a concave function of its arguments. This embodies the basic laws of them odynam ics.

Equality in Eq. (1) holds if m ixing is reversible which is, of course, the case if a phase is m ixed with itself. It is also the case if coexisting phases are m ixed: C learly one can separate ice from waterby mechanical means alone. The geometric expression of equality in Eq. (1) is that S contains linear segment: For a pure phase this is the half line S(X) = S(X). When $X \notin Y$, are in coexistence S contains a two dimensional cone: $S(_1X + _2Y) = _1S(X) + _2S(Y)$; $_{12} > 0$. (This notion extends to multiple phase coexistence.)

Positivity of the temperature in plies that S is an increasing function of E. C onsequently, S (E;V;N) can be inverted to give the internal energy E (S;V;N). Since S is a concave function of its arguments E (S;V;N) is a convex function of its arguments (which are the extensive state variables). Its Legendre transform with respect to all its arguments, gives a function of the intensive variables T;P and alone which, by scaling, must be identically zero. This is the G ibbs-D uham el relation. It determines the pressure P as a convex function of the remaining intensive coordinates, (T;):

$$PV = N + TS E$$
 (2)

The pressure is a convenient object to consider because all the term son the rhs of Eq. (2) adm it a simple representation in statistical mechanics. P is sometimes called the grand potential, e.g. [12]. Since the pressure is the Legendre transform of the internal energy with respect to S and N. The convexity of E then implies the convexity of the pressure with respect to T and .

Now, it is a consequence of the duality of the Legendre transform that if E has a linear segment of length X then its Legendre transform P has a corresponding jump in gradient with (r P) = X. It follows that pure phases

correspond to points where P (T;) has a unique tangent, while two phase coexist at those points (T;) where P has two (linearly independent) tangents planes. (Triple points are similarly de ne.)

It is now a fact about convex functions that alm ost all points have a unique tangent while the set with multiple tangents has codimension 1 (in the sense of comparing Hausdor dimensions). A geometric proof of this fact can be found in [6]. This gives a weak version of the G ibbs phase rule: If one considers the pressure P as function of (T;), (or alternatively, chemical potential as function of (P;t),) then pure phases are the typical sets while phases coexist on exceptional, (i.e. sm all), sets.

3.2 M agnetic system s

At T = 0 the entropy term in Eq. (2) drops. For a system of non-interacting Ferm ions all single particle states below are occupied, while those above are empty. This says that for the single particle Ham iltonian H and area A the pressure is

$$P = \lim_{A \perp 1} \frac{1}{A} Tr \qquad H_{+} (A)$$
(3)

is the characteristic function of the area and $x_{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ = $x_{\scriptscriptstyle -}(x)$ with -a unit step function.

Let B denote the magnetic induction (i.e. the macroscopic average of the local magnetic eld [11]). The Ham iltonian is a function of B and so is the pressure. The density and the (speci c) magnetization M are then given by [11]

$$= \frac{@P}{@}; M = \frac{@P}{@B}:$$
(4)

The Hall conductance is therm odynam ically de ned by

$$_{\rm H} = \frac{\varrho}{\varrho_{\rm B}} = \frac{\varrho_{\rm M}}{\varrho} :$$
 (5)

It follows that, in the the wings of the butter ies where the Hall conductance is quantized P is given by:

$$P(;B) = _{g}B(_{g});$$
 (6)

where g is a discrete wing label. The wings represent pure phases since P has a unique tangent in the gaps.

3.3 The order of the transitions

P, and M are bi-linear in and B in the gaps. P and are actually also continuous functions of on the spectrum. For rational ux this is a consequence of F loquet theory. For irrational ux this can be seen by a limiting argument.

At the same time, the Hall conductance, being integer valued on a set of full measure, can not be extended to a continuous function 2 . (If fact, it is not even bounded.) The continuity of the rst derivative and the discontinuity of the second derivatives, makes the phase transitions in second order according to the Ehrenfest classic cation [3].

3.4 Phases and their boundaries

In the colored H ofstadter butter ies pure phases are open sets. The boundary of a given phase, say the red wing, is a curve; It is not a sm ooth curve as at rational values of B is has distinct tangents, but it is still a curve of H ausdorfdim ensions one [15]. This is rem iniscent of the G ibbs phase rule. Note, how ever, that the notion of the boundary of a pure phase, and the notion of phase coexistence, are distinct. The phase with H all conductance 1 m eets the phase 0 at a single point, at the tip of the butter y, not on a line, as one m ight expect by the G ibbs phase rule. This holds in general: The boundary of the phases i intersects the boundary of the phase j on a set of codim ension 2, not 1 [15]. M oreover, any sm all disc that contains two distinct phases of the butter y contain in nitely m any other phases.

3.5 M agnetic dom ains and phase coexistence

Is the fractal phase diagram of the butter y in con ict with basic therm odynam ic principles?

The G ibbs phase rule one nds in classical therm odynam ics [3] says that two phases meet on a smooth curve which is clearly not the case for the butter y. However, this strong version of G ibbs rule involves assumptions of smoothness of free energies that may or may not hold. Convexity alone gives a weaker version of the G ibbs phase rule, which we brie y discussed in section 3.1, which allows for all kind of wild behaviors, and does not rule out fractal phase diagrams like the butter y. ³.

M ore worrisom e is the lack of convexity of the pressure, P (;B) which is manifest in the periodicity of Fig.1 in B. This raises the question if this rejects a problem with the H ofstadter model. It does not. A little rejection shows that rather, it a consequence of choosing B, the magnetic induction, as the therm odynamic coordinate. In the remaining part of this section I shall explain why it is actually m ore natural to choose for the independent therm odynamic variable the magnetic eld H and the di culties in drawing the butter ies in the H plane.

In agine a two dimensional system with nite width which is broken to domains. Assume that the magnetic eld in each of the domains is perpendicular to the plane and is constant through the given domain. Since r = 0, the

 $^{^2\,{\}rm T}\,{\rm he}\,{\rm m}$ agnetization does not extend to a continuous function on the spectrum for rational uxes [7].

 $^{^3}$ Instructive examples are given in p.8 of [17]. I thank A emout van Enter for pointing out this example to m e and for a clarifying discussion on the G ibbs phase rule.

m agnetic eld H must be the same in adjacent dom ains. Hence the notion of constant magnetic eld is constant H, while B will not be constant if the system breaks into dom ain. The problem with H constant is more di cult because it is B, not H, that enters in the H am iltonian [11].

G iven the colored butter y as function of B what can one say about the colored butter y as a function of H ? Recall that B, H and the magnetization M are related by

$$B = H + 4 M \tag{7}$$

Since M is a function of B so is H. However, B may fail to be a (univalued) function of H. This is the case if 4 $Q_B M$ 1; If the magnetic susceptibility is su ciently negative. W hen this happens, the relation H (B) can not be inverted to a function B (H). Domains with di erent values of M and B may then form and coexist [11, 4].

The condition for coexistence is a stability condition: The system will pick a value of B, consistent with H, that will minimize the entropy. However, at T = 0 the entropy of a gas of Fermions vanishes, so the di erent solutions $B_j(H;)$ all give the same entropy, zero. This suggests that all the B_j represent phases at coexistence.

There is no reason why this degeneracy willhold if T is not strictly 0. Then, for most values of H a distinguished solution of B_0 (H;) will be picked. The simple scenario is that that B_0 (H;) will depend, for most H, continuously on H. In these intervals, the phases of the colored butter y in (;H) will be a deformed version of the phases in (;B). However, since a values of B_0 (H;) is picked by a m inimization procedure, there is no guarantee for continuity and B_0 (H;) will be, in general, a discontinuous function of its arguments. At the discontinuities, major qualitative changes in the diagram will take place and it is interesting to investigate the colored butter y in the H plane.

A nother open problem in this context is to analyze the dom ain structure for coexisting phases. The quasi-periodic character of the electronic problem for irrational uxes suggest that the dom ain structure could be rich and interesting as well, (e.g. a quasi-periodic dom ain structure for irrational uxes).

4 Duality

W e now turn to the duality relating the two diagram s.

4.1 W eak magnetic elds

Consider the \B loch band" dispersion relation

$$(k) = \cos(k \quad a) + \cos(k \quad b) \tag{8}$$

on the two dimensional Brillouin zone. a; b are the unit lattice vectors. The Ham iltonian describing a weak external magnetic eld is obtained by imposing the canonical commutation relation

$$[k \ a; k \ b] = ia \ b \ B = i :$$
 (9)

This procedure is known as the Peierls substitution [16]. The model is known as the Harpermodel, after a student of Peierls. The spectrum, plotted in g.2, is a set of measure zero and so invisible in g.1. Figs. 1,2 describe disjoint and complementary sets, whose union is the plane.

A lthough there is considerable interest in H ofstadter m odel for its own sake (see e.g. [1] and references therein) its physical signi cance to the two dimensional electron gas is limited. One reason is that the ux , even for the strongest available m agnetic elds, is tiny and only a horizontal sliver of the diagram in Fig. 1 near zero ux can be realized. M oreover, of order one is presum ably outside the region of weak eld for which the m odel approximates the Schrödinger equation.

By gauge invariance, tim e-reversal and electron-hole sym m etry, the pressure satis es [7]

$$P(;) = P(;) = P(; + 1) = + P(;)$$
 (10)

This give Fig. 1 its sym metry.

4.2 Strong magnetic elds

A classical charged particle in hom ogeneous magnetic eld moves or a circle. The center of the circle is, classically,

$$c = x + \frac{v B}{B^2}$$
(11)

c com m utes with v, but the com ponents of the center do not com m ute, rather they satisfy the canonical com m utation relations

$$[c \ a; c \ b] = i \frac{B \ a \ b}{B^2} = i \frac{(2)^2}{(2)^2}$$
: (12)

(a ;b) are dual vectors to (a;b).

If the wave function belongs to a given Landau level then the shifts e^{ic} , for 2 R², span the spectral subspace of that level. This means that the H am iltonian

$$\cos(c a) + \cos(c b);$$
 (13)

acts within Landau levels. For large B it approximates the periodic potential $\cos(x \ a) + \cos(x \ b)$, which couples di erent Landau levels. This is seen from the fact that in a given Landau level v = 0 (\overline{B}) hence, by Eq. (11), c x for large B. The Ham iltonian in Eq. (12) is the same as that of Eq. (8), except that in the commutator =2 of Eq. (9) is replaced by 2 = of Eq. (12).

A lthough the spectral problem of the two models is essentially the same the phase diagram s are di erent. This is explained in the next subsection.

Unlike the tight-binding model which is mostly of academ ic interest, the model of a split Landau level can be realized in articial superlattices that accommodate a unit of quantum ux at attainable elds.

4.3 Therm odynam ic duality

The pressure of a split Landau level, P_1 , and split B loch band P_b , for any temperature T, are related by [7]

$$P_1(T; ; =2) = \frac{1}{2} P_b(T; ;2 =)$$
 (14)

This is a duality transform ation: It is symmetric under the interchange body symmetric under the interchange body and its of the split B loch band determ ine the thermodynamics of a split Landau level and vice versa. The factor on the right is the reason that P_1 is not periodic, although P_b is.

A check on the factor =2 comes by considering large . Then, the tight binding model has all sites occupied and the electron density is $_{\rm b}$! 1. This implies P_b! . In contrast, a full Landau level, has electron density that is proportional to the ux through unit area: $_1$! =2 so P₁! =2.

Them agnetization and the Hallconductances of the twom odels are therefore related by:

$$m_{1}(;T;2 =) = \frac{1}{2} P_{b}(;T;=2) - \frac{1}{2} m_{b}(;T;=2);$$

$$m_{1}(;T;2 =) = \frac{1}{2} b(;T;=2) - \frac{1}{2} b(;T;=2) - \frac{1}{2} b(;T;=2)$$
(15)

W hen is large, $_{\rm b}$ = 0, since a full band is an insulator. At the same time, a full Landau level has a unit of quantum conductance, $_1$ = 1=2, in agreement with the Eq. (15).

A cknow ledgm ent: Ithank A .van Enter and O .G at for useful discussions and criticism . This work is supported by the Technion fund for promotion of research and EU grant HPRN-CT-2002-00277.

5 Appendix: D iophantine equation

Let me nally describe the algorithm of [18] for coloring the gaps in the butter y g. 1. Suppose that the magnetic ux through a unit cell is $\frac{p}{q}$. For p and q relatively prime, de ne the conjugate pair (m;n) as the solutions of

$$pm \quad qn = 1 \tag{16}$$

m is determined by this equation modulo q and n modulo p. The algorithm for solving Eq. (16) is the division algorithm of Euclid. (Standard computer packages for nding the greatest common divisor of p and q, yield also m and n such that pm + qn = gcd(p;q).) The Hall conductance k_j , associated with the jth gap, in the tight binding case, is given by [18]

$$k_{j} = jm \mod q; \quad jk_{j}j \quad q=2$$
(17)

In the case of split Landau band, Eq. (17) again determ ines k_j provided p and q are interchanged.

References

- [1] A .A vila and R .K rikorian, http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.DS/0306382;
 J.Puig, Cantor spectrum for the alm ost M athieu operator, mp-arc 03-145
- [2] M.Ya.Azbel, Sov.Phys.JETP 19 634-645 (1964)
- [3] H.B.Callen, Therm odynam ics and an introduction to therm ostatics, W iley (1985)
- [4] J.H. Condon, Phys. Rev. 145, 526, (1966)
- [5] R.H.Dalitz and Sir R.Peierls, Selected scienti c papers of Sir Rudolph Peierls, W orld scienti c
- [6] K.Flaconer, FractalGeometry, Wiley (1990)
- [7] O.Gat and J.Avron, Magnetic ngerprints of fractal spectra, New J.Phys. 5,44.1-44.8 (2003), http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0212647
- [8] O.Gat and J.Avron, Sem iclassical analysis and the magnetization of the Hofstadter model, http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0306318
- [9] D.Hofstadter, Phys. Rev. B 14, 2239-2249 (1976)
- [10] R. Israel, Convexity in the theory of lattice gases, Princeton (1979)
- [11] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Electrodynamics of continuosu media, Butterworht-Heinemann, (1983)
- [12] E M . Lifshitz and L.P.P itaevskii Statistical physics, Pergam on (1980)
- [13] S.P.Novikov, JETP 52 511 (1980)
- [14] D.Osadchy, M.Sc. thesis, Technion (2001).
- [15] D. O sadchy and J. Avron, Hofstadter butter y as quantum phase diagram, J. Math. Phys. 42 5665-5671 (2001); http://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0101019.
- [16] G. Panati, H. Spohn and S. Teufel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 250405 (2002); and http://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0212041
- [17] A W . Roberts and D E . Varberg, Convex functions, A cadem ic P ress (1973).
- [18] D.J.Thouless, M.Kohmoto, M.P.Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev.Lett. 49, 405-408 (1982)

Figure 1: Colored Hofstadter butter y for Bloch electrons in weak magnetic eld. The horizontal axis is the chemical potential; the vertical axis is the magnetic ux through the unit cell. The diagram is periodic in the ux and one period is shown. It adm its a therm odynam ic interpretation of a phase diagram.

Figure 2: The original, monochrom e, H ofstadter butter y, shows the spectrum, on the horizontal axis, as function of the ux which is the vertical axis. The spectrum is the complement of the colored set shown in g. 1.

Figure 3: S (E;V;N) is a concave function shown here for N \times xed. The strictly convex pieces are associated with pure phases. The ruled piece is where two phases coexists. The boundary of the region of coexistence is shown as a black line.

Figure 4: Colored H ofstadter butter y for Landau level split by a super-lattice periodic potential. The horizontal axis is the chem ical potential; the vertical axis is the average number of unit cells associated with a unit of quantum ux. A s the number increase by one the pattern repeats but with a di erent coloring codes.