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#### Abstract

The phenom enon of $m$ acroscopic hom ogenization is ilhustrated $w$ ith a sim ple exam ple of di usion. W e exam ine the conditions under which a d\{dim ensional sim ple random walk in a sym $m$ etric random $m$ edia converges to a B rownian $m$ otion. For $d=1$, both the $m$ acroscopic hom ogeneity condition and the di usion coe cient can be read from an explicit expression for the $G$ reen's function. Except for this case, the two available form ulas for the e ective di usion $m$ atrix do not explicit show how $m$ acroscopic hom ogenization takes place. U sing an electrostatic analogy due to A nshelevich, $K$ hanin and Sinai $\left.{ }_{[A-}^{A} \bar{K} \bar{S}_{-1}\right]$, we discuss upper and lowerbounds on the di usion coe cient ford>1.


## 1 Introduction

The long tim e behavior of random walks on a random environm ent is reviewed. W e focus $m$ ainly on the follow ing question:

W hat are the conditions under which a properly scaled random walk on a non \{hom ogeneous $m$ edium converges to $a B$ rownian $m$ otion.

This and related phenom ena are usually nam ed macroscopic hom ogenization (and the environm ental conditions are called $m$ acroscopic hom ogeneity conditions) because such system looks hom ogeneous at m acroscopic scales. The discussion willbe restricted to sim ple random walks w ith non \{vanishing transition probabilities (or rates) $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{hxyi}} \mathrm{g}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hxyi}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hyxi}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all nearest neighbor sites hxyi of a $d$ \{dim ensional lattice $Z^{d}$. The so \{called sym $m$ etric $m$ edium has been considered by several authors (see e.g. references therein).

[^0]Except for a basic lem m a, the general schem e of our presentation will be dim ensional independent. H ow ever, the one\{dim ensional problem plays a central role in this work sinœe, in this case, the $m$ acroscopic hom ogeneity condition can be read from an explicit formula.
 concemed $w$ th asym $m$ etric random walks $w$ th transition probabilities $w_{x ; x+1}=1 \quad w_{x_{i x}}{ }_{1}, \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{Z}$, being independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables (note that $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}+1} \in \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{x}}$ ). $T$ he trajectories $\mathrm{fX}(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{t}>0 \mathrm{jX}(0)=\mathrm{X}_{0} \mathrm{~g}$ of asymmetric random walks were shown to behave very anom alously. Sym $m$ etric random walks began to be discussed in a series of papers (see e.g.
 (see D yson's integral equation $\left.\mathbb{D}_{\underline{1}}{ }^{\prime}\right]$, these authors derived the follow ing asym ptotic behavior for the tra jectories: if

$$
\begin{equation*}
E w_{x ; x+1}^{1}<1 ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $E$ denotes the expectation value $w$ ith respect to the i.i.d. random variables $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}+1} \mathrm{~g}$, then

$$
\operatorname{EX}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \quad 2 \mathrm{E} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}+1}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{t} ;
$$

ast! 1 , and the convergence of $X(t)=\bar{p} \bar{t}$ to the $G$ aussian random variable $w$ ith zero $m$ ean and variance $2 \mathrm{Ew}_{\mathrm{x} ; \times \mathrm{x}+1}^{1}{ }^{1}$ is implied. In addition, if condition ( $(\overline{1}-2)$ is violated, then EX ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{t})$ grow s as $t$ with an exponent $<1$ depending on the divergence of the distribution at $w_{x ; x+1}=0$.

A $m$ athem atical proof of convergence to $B$ row nian $m$ otion for $d=1$ sym $m$ etric random walks was given by A nshelevich and Vologodski [A' $\left.\bar{A} \bar{V}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Ford 2 there are at least tw $o$ di erent proofs and both require $m$ acroscopic hom ogeneity conditions $m$ ore stringent than (12). A nshelevich, $K$ hanin and Sinai $\left[\begin{array}{l}-\bar{K} \\ -1 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$ proved the result by developing an expansion for the expected value of the inverse of a non-hom ogeneous discrete Laplacian. K unnem ann "ikid has proven this result by extending
 hom ogeneity condition ford 2, rem ains, to our know ledge, an open problem.

The present paper is inspired by the work of A nshelevich, K hanin and Sinai. W e use the logic of this proof in order to sim plify the A nshelevich and Vologodski's proofs for $\mathrm{d}=1$. O ur proof, in particular, elim inates the technical hypothesis of $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}+1}$ to be strictly positive and illustrates w ith textbook's $m$ athem atical $m$ ethods the $m$ acroscopic hom ogeneity condition ( $\overline{1}-2)$ ).

A Brownian motion is described by the di usion equation. The random environm ent induces an e ective di usive matrix whose elem ents are given by lim t! $1 \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t})\right)=\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{i} ; j=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{d}$. For the one\{dim ensionalproblem, the reciprocal of this constant is given by the m acroscopic hom ogeneity condition: ${ }^{1}=\mathrm{Ew}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}+1}^{1}$. Ford 2, the two available form ulas for the e ective di usion
 this $m$ akes it di cult to obtain estim ates. In this review (see also $A_{-}^{A} \bar{K} \bar{S} \cdot \bar{j}$ ) the upper bound

$$
E w_{h y y i}
$$

will be shown to hold if $1 \quad w_{\text {hxyi }}=\mathrm{Ew}_{\text {hxyi }} \quad<1=2$. W e also discuss how a lower bound can be obtained using the electrostatic equivalence of the di usion problem as form ulated in An

The outline of the present work is as follow. In Section 'i, we form ulate the problem and state our results. The proofs w ill be given in Section 'ī-1 under the assum ption that the eigenvalues and
eigenvectors of the sem i\｛group generator of the process converge to the eigenvalues and eigenfunc－
 which w illbe proven in Section＇ī1 ford $=1$ by $G$ reen $s$ function $m$ ethod．The spectral perturbation theory w illbe presented in Section 煦．Finally，the di usion coe cient w ill be exam ined in Section亩。

## 2 Statem ent of R esults

Let $B$ denote the set of bonds of the regular lattice $Z^{d}$ and let $w=f w_{b} g_{b 2 B}$ be an assignm ent of positive num bers．E ach com ponent $w_{b}$ represents the transition rate of a random walk to go from the site x to y along the bond $\mathrm{b}=$ hxyi．The assignm ent w de nes an sym $m$ etric environm ent on $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}}$ if the transition rates satisfy $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hxyi}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hyxi}}$ ．

G iven an environm ent w and a nite set $\quad Z^{d}$ ，a continuous time random walk on, with absorbing boundary condition，is a $M$ arkov process fX ；w（ $t$ ；$t \quad 0 \mathrm{~g}$ with di erential transition $m$ atrix $W=W \quad(w)$ de ned by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(\quad, w)_{x}+u_{x} \quad{ }^{X} \quad w_{h x y i} ;  \tag{2.3}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
y^{2} z^{d}: \\
x_{x} y=1
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left({ }_{i w} u\right)_{x}=X^{X} \quad w_{\text {hxyi }}\left(u_{y} \quad u_{x}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

for all x 2 and $u$ such that $u_{y}=0$ if $y 2 Z^{d} n$ ．
$N$ ote that iw is a positive $m$ atrix，
and，if $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}=\overline{\mathrm{w}}$ for all b $2 \mathrm{~B}, \quad \overline{\mathrm{w}}=\overline{\mathrm{w}} \quad \mathrm{where}$ is the nite di erence Laplacian w th $0\{$ D irichlet boundary condition on ．From here on，is taken to be the hypercube centered at origin with size $j j=(2 L \quad 1)^{d}, L 2 N: \quad=x=\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{d}\right) 2 Z^{d}: \sup _{i} j_{i} j<L$ ，and allquantities depending on $w$ illbe indexed by $L$ instead．

The probability distribution of $\mathrm{fX}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{t})$ ； $\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{g}$ is govemed by the sem $\mathrm{i}\left\{\mathrm{group} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ generated by $L$ ，w．If $X_{L, w}(t)$ denotes the position of a random walk at time $t$ ，then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(X_{L ; w}(t)=x_{X} X_{L ; w}(0)=0\right)=e^{t} L_{i ; w} u_{0} ; \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}=0 ; \mathrm{x}$ ．
$T$ he sem $i\left\{g r o u p \varliminf_{t}^{L}\right.$ is the solution of the initial value problem in $R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u}{d t} \quad L ; w u=0 \text {; } \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith initial condition $\mathrm{u}(0)=\mathrm{u}_{0}$.
The solution of (2.-G) exists for all tim es $t>0$ and all sizes $L<1$ but $m$ ay depend on the realization ofw and on the initialvalue. W e present the su cient conditions on the environm ent w by which the solution of $(\underline{2} \mathbf{- a})$, , under sultable scaling oftim e and space, converges alm ost everyw here in $w$ to the fundam ental solution of the heat equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ u}{@ t} \quad @^{2} u=0 ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $u\left(t_{;} @ D\right)=0$. H ere, $(\overline{2}-\overline{1})$ is de ned in the dom ain $t>0$ and $2 D:=(1 ; 1)^{d}$ w ith boundary $@ D=\mathrm{f}: \sup _{i} j_{i} j=1 g$, and $@^{2}=@^{2}()$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
@^{2}=@ \quad @=X_{i ; j=1}^{X^{d}} \frac{@^{2}}{@_{i} @_{i}}: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The heat kemel $T_{t}(;)=e^{@^{2}}(;)$ when de ned in $R^{d} \quad R^{d}$ gives rise to a $W$ iener process (or
 view of the boundary condition, $T_{t} y$ ields a B row nian motion $f B_{0}(t) ; t \quad 0 g$ on the dom ain $D$ w ith absorbing frontiers. T he probability density of $B_{0}(s+t) B_{0}(s)$ to be equal to can be obtained by solving equations ( $2 \overline{2} \bar{Z}_{1}$ ) by Fourier $m$ ethod

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t}(; 0)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{+}^{d}}^{\mathrm{X}} e^{\left({ }^{2}=4\right) n^{2} t^{Y^{d}}} \operatorname{CS}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i} i}=2\right) ; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n^{2}=n \quad n=P_{i ; j=1}^{d} n_{i} \quad{ }_{i j} n_{i}$ and $\operatorname{sc}\left(n_{i} x\right)$ stands for either $\cos \left(n_{i} x\right)$ or $\sin \left(n_{i} x\right)$ depending on $w$ hether $n_{i}$ is an odd or even num ber.

This discussion suggests the follow ing de nition.

Denition 2.1 The random walk in a random environm entw is said to converge to a B rownian $m$ otion if there exist a constant $=(w)$, the di usion coe cient, such that

$$
\lim _{L!1} e^{L^{2} t}{ }_{L ; w} u_{0}=e^{t @^{2}()} 0 \quad \text { ( ) }
$$

uniform ly in $t>0$, 2 D and 0 on the space of $n$ ite $m$ easures $M$ with support in $D$. For r $2 R^{d}$, $[r] 2 \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}}$ and has as com ponents the integer part of the components of $r$; and $u_{0} 2 \mathrm{R}$ is is vector given by

$$
\left(u_{0}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{d}}{\underset{\mathrm{x}}{1}=\mathrm{L}}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+1\right)=\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Z}_{\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}+1\right)=\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~d}_{0}(\mathrm{l}:
$$

It is im portant to note that, by the dom inant convergence theorem, this de nition im plies the convergence in distribution of the random walk process $f(1=L) X_{L ; w}\left(L^{2} t\right)$; $t \quad 0 g$ to the B row nian $m$ otion $\mathrm{fB}_{0}(\mathrm{t})$; $\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{Og}$ as it is know $n$ in P robability $T$ heory (w eak convergence of their distributions) : $X_{L}(t) \quad \frac{1}{L} X_{L ; w}\left(L^{2} t\right)$ ! $B_{0}(t)$ in distribution if, for any collection $0<s_{1}<\quad$ in sf positive num bers and any collection $f_{1} ;:: ; f_{n}$ ofbounded and continuous functions in $D, n 2 N$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E{ }_{0} f_{1}\left(X_{L}\left(S_{1}\right)\right) \quad{ }_{n}\left(X_{L}\left(S_{n}\right)\right) \quad!\quad E_{0} f_{1}\left(B_{0}\left(S_{1}\right)\right) \quad{ }_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{0}\left(S_{n}\right)\right) ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

asN! 1,where E $m$ eans the expectation of the process starting $w$ ith them easure $0 . N$ ote also that $X_{L}(t)$ has been scaled as in the central lim it theorem : $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{t})$ is a sum of about $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ independent increm entss divided up by L.
 tionary process such that the partial sum s

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{x}(w)=\frac{1}{X}_{z=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{w_{z ; z+1}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

converge as x! 1 to $1,0 \ll 1$, am ost everywhere in $w$, then a random walk in a random environm ent $w$ converges, for alm ost every $w$, to a B rownian $m$ otion $w$ ith di usion coe cient .

Theorem 2.3 (A nshelevich, K han in and Sinai $\hat{A}^{-1} \bar{K}^{-} \bar{S}^{\prime}$ ']) For any d 1 and $<1=2$, letw $=$ $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{~B}}$ be independent and identically distributed random variables such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \frac{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\mathrm{w}} \text {; } \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{w}=E \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}$. Then a random walk in a random environm ent w converges, for alm ost every w , to a B rownian motion $w$ ith di usion coe cientmatrix $=(d ;$; $)$.
 $T$ he positive condition has beon elim inated in our proof. C ondition (2.1' are i.id. random variables with $0<\mathrm{Ew}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{1}<1$. F in iteness of rst negative m om ent seem s to
 hom ogeneity condition since, otherw ise, the walk would spend a extrem ely large tim e betw een jum ps leading the process to be sub\{di usive.
2. W hether the hom ogeneity condition $0<\mathrm{Ew}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{1}<1$ is also su cient for $\mathrm{d}>1$ is, to our know ledge, an open problem. It would already be an im portant achievem ent to prove $T$ heorem '2.3 for any distribution whose support is $R$. It is unfortunate that both proofs (see require as a technical step $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}$ to be bounded aw ay from 0 and 1 .
3. Theorem ${ }_{2}^{2} . \overline{3}$, holds also if the transition probabilities $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{xy}} \mathrm{g}$ do no vanish for $\mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{x}$ belonging to a nite set $U$ that is able to generate $Z^{d}$ by translations. U nder the sam e sort of condition
 (2,
4. Theorem in can also be extended to B rownian motion on $R^{d}$ if one com bines the result $w$ ith both absorbing and periodic boundary conditions on @D (see details in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-A \\ K\end{array}\right]$

[^1]T heorem 2.5 U nder the conditions of $T$ heorem ${ }_{2}^{2}=1$ exist a nite constant C = C (d), such that the bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \% \overline{E w_{b}} \quad 1 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold with 1 being the $d \quad d$ identity $m$ atrix and \% a positive $m$ atrix such that

$$
\% \frac{4 \mathrm{C}(1+\mathrm{C})}{14(1+\mathrm{C})} ;
$$

in the sense of quadratic form s .

## 3 B asic Lem m a

 of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $L$;w to the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian operator $@^{2}$ on $D$. The uniform convergence follows from a classical result in perturbation theory which says the follow ing.

If $A_{1} ; A_{2} ;::: ; A_{n} ;:::$ is a sequence ofbounded operators in a $H$ illbert space $H$ which converge to A in the operator nom, then all isolated pieces of their spectrum and their respective pro jections converge uniform ly, as $n!1$, to those of $A$.

Because $\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w}$ and $@^{2}$ are unbounded operators we consider their inverse instead. To form ulate the results of this section, we need som e de nitions.

Let it, be an isom etry of the vector space $R$ into the piecew ise constant functions in the vector space $L_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$, of square $\left\{\right.$ integrable functions $f$ on $D=(1 ; 1)^{d} w$ th $f(@ D)=0$ :

$$
i_{\mathrm{L}}: \mathrm{R} \quad!\quad \mathrm{L}_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{D}) ;
$$

given by

$$
\left(i_{\Perp}, u\right)()=\begin{array}{lll}
u_{\llbracket} & \text { if } & 2(1 ; 1)^{d}  \tag{3.14}\\
0 & \text { if } & 2 @ D ;
\end{array}
$$

w th $[x]$ as in $D e$ nition 2 İ...
The adjoint operator $i_{\dot{2}}^{y}: L_{0}^{2}(D)!R$,
is de ned by the equation

$$
\text { hf ; } \dot{i}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{ui}=\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{u}\right) ;
$$

w ith the inner product in $R$ and $L_{0}^{2}(D)$ given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u ; v)={\frac{1}{L^{d}}}_{x 2}^{X} u_{x} v_{x} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \text { hf;gi= } \underset{[1 ; 1]^{d}}{f(x) g(x) d x: ~} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

W e shall denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{1}:=L^{2} \quad \text { iL } \quad \underset{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w}}{1} \dot{\mathrm{Y}}_{\mathrm{L}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

the scaled inverse of L ;w. The operator kemel of $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ is a step function $w$ ith step \{ $w$ idth $1=\mathrm{L}$ which, as we shall see in the next lem $m$ a, approxim ates the kemel $\left(\mathbb{@}^{2}\right)^{1}(r ; s)$ in the operator norm induced by $\mathrm{L}_{0}^{2}$ \{norm :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k A k:=\sup _{f: k f k_{2}=1} k A f k_{2} ; \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k f k_{2}^{2}:=h f ; f i$.
Lem ma3.6 (B asic Lem ma) U nder the condition of $T$ heorem sin and 2 num ber $\mathrm{L}_{0}=\mathrm{L}_{0}(\mathrm{w})$ such that, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1} ; \mathrm{L}>\mathrm{L}_{0} \mathrm{~g}$ is a sequence of bounded selffadjoint operator in $\mathrm{L}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{D})$ which converges

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{L}^{1} \quad\left(@^{2}\right)^{1} k \quad!\quad 0 ; \tag{320}
\end{equation*}
$$

as L! 1, in the operator norm topology.
It thus follow s from perturbation theory (see e.g. 害']) :
C orollary 3.7 If is an isolated eigenvalue of ( $\left(^{2}\right)^{1}$ and $E$ the orthogonal projection in its eigenspace $E$, one can nd a subspace $E \quad L_{0}^{2}(D)$, invariant under ${ }_{L}{ }^{1}$, and a corresponding orthogonal projection $E^{\text {L }}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{kE}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Ek}!0 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{~L}^{1} \quad \mathrm{I} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{L}} \text { ! } 0 \text {; } \tag{321}
\end{equation*}
$$

as L! 1
 nian $m$ otion lim it problem to the convergence of the inverse $m$ atrix ${ }_{L}{ }_{w}$ to the inverse Laplacian

 ' : R ! R be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime()=e^{t=} \tag{322}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that' is uniform ly continuous at $=0 \mathrm{w}$ th ${ }^{\prime}(0)=0 . \mathrm{W}$ e have

$$
T_{t}=\prime\left(\left(@^{2}\right)^{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad T_{t}^{L}=e^{t}=L^{1}:
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{2 \mathrm{M}}^{\sup }\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{L}}\right) 0(1)! \tag{323}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]as L! 1.We shall prove an equivalent statem ent:
$$
\lim _{\mathrm{L}!1} k T_{t} T_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{k}=\lim _{\mathrm{L}!1} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbb{(}^{2}\right)^{1}\right) \quad, \quad \mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{k}=0:
$$

The inverse Laplacian $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{1}$ on D w th 0 \{D irichlet boundary condition is a com pact operator w ith spectral decom position given by (recallequation (2..

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{1}={\underset{n}{n 2 N_{+}^{d}}}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{324}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=(=2)^{2}{ }^{X^{d} ; j=1} n_{i \quad i ; j} n_{j} \quad 4=\left({ }^{2} n^{2}\right)$ and $e_{n}()=Y_{i=1}^{Y^{d}} \operatorname{sc}\left[(=2) n_{i} \quad i\right], n 2 N_{+}^{d}$, are eigenvalues and associate eigenfunctions of $\left(@^{2}\right)^{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{he}_{\mathrm{n}} ; f i \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{325}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because 0 is an accum ulation point, we introduce an integer cut\{o $N<1$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)^{1}={ }_{\substack{\mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{+}^{d}: \\ \mathfrak{n} j \mathrm{~N}}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{326}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have
which can be m ade as sm all as we wish by letting $N$ ! 1 . M ore generally, the uniform continuity of' at 0 m eans the follow ing: given " > 0 and a non \{positive bounded operators $A$, we can nd $>0$ such that if $k A k<$ we have $k^{\prime}(A) k<"=3$. W e shall use this fact often in the sequel.
From C orollary 'ī̄: $\overline{1}$, , there exist a pro jector

$$
\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{L} ; N}=\mathrm{X}_{\substack{\mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{+}^{3}: \\ \mathrm{njj} \mathrm{~N}}}^{\mathrm{X}} ;
$$

where $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ is, analogously to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$, the pro jector on the invariant subspace: ${ }_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \mathrm{W}$ riting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{L} ; \mathbb{N}}{1}=\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{L} ; \mathbb{N}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{L} ; \mathbb{N}} \tag{328}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the fact that $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{L} \text {;N }}$ is an orthogonal pro jector, we have
(here' $\left(A^{1}\right)$ is de ned by its power series $I+t A+t^{2} A^{2}=2+\quad$ ).
W e now show that $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{L}^{1} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{N}}^{1} \mathrm{k}$ can be m ade m aller then $=(")$ if L and N are chosen su cient large. By Lem m a 3.-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1} \quad\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{1} \mathrm{k}<\frac{-}{3} ; \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $L>L_{1}$. From $(\underline{3}-2 \overline{2})$ and $(\underline{3} \overline{2}-\overline{6})$, there exist $N_{1}=N_{1}$ ( ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}\left(@^{2}\right)^{1} \quad\left(@_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)^{1} \mathrm{k}<\frac{-}{3} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $N>N_{1}$. By Lemm a ${ }^{1}$.a, there exist $L_{2}>L_{0}, L_{2}=L_{2}()$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)^{1} \quad \stackrel{1}{\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{N}} \mathrm{k}<\frac{-}{3} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\mathrm{L}>\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{w}$ th N xed.
If $L>\max \left(L_{1} ; L_{2}\right)$ and $N>N_{1}$, equations (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1} \quad \underset{\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{N}}{1} \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1} \quad\left(@^{2}\right)^{1} \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2}\right)^{1} \quad\left(@_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)^{1} \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}\left(@_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)^{1} \quad \underset{\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{N}}{1} \mathrm{k}<\text {; } \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im plies, due the continuity of' and the orthogonal relation ( $\overline{3}-\overline{2} \overline{2}$ ) $)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{k}^{\prime}(\mathrm{L}) \quad \text { ( } \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{N}\right) \mathrm{k}<\frac{"}{3}: \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By uniform continuity of ${ }^{\prime}$ and ( $\left.\overline{3}_{2} \overline{3} \bar{Z}^{\prime}\right)$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\left(\left(\left(^{2}\right)^{1}\right) \quad,\left(\left(@_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)^{1}\right) \mathrm{k}<\frac{\text { " }}{3}:\right. \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, using the spectral decom position of $\left(@_{N}^{2}\right)^{1}$ and $\underset{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{N}}{1}$, and taking into account

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}} k \quad j_{\mathrm{n}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k}+j_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad E_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}} k \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $L e m m$ a

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)^{1}\right) \quad,\binom{1}{\mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{L}} \mathrm{k}<\frac{\text { " }}{3}: \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)^{1}\right) \quad \text { ' }(\underset{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{L}}{1}) \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{L}^{1}\right) \quad \text { ' }(\underset{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{N}}{1}) \mathrm{k}<\boldsymbol{\prime} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$


$R$ em ark 3.8 The introduction of the cut $0 \quad \mathrm{~N}$ in $(3)-5)$ is necessary even for hom ogeneous environm ent. In this case, the eigenvalues $\underset{n}{\mathrm{~L}}$ and eigenvectors $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ of $\mathrm{L}^{2} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1}$ can be com puted explicitly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n}^{L}=4 L^{2} X^{X^{d}} \sin ^{2} \frac{L_{1}}{4 L} n_{i}^{1} \quad \text { and } \quad e_{n}^{L}(x)=\underbrace{Y^{d}}_{i=1} \operatorname{sc} \frac{1}{2 L} n_{i} x_{i} \quad \text {; } \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n 2=f 1 ; 2 ;::(2 L \quad 1) g^{d}$ (recall sc $\left(n_{i} x\right)$ stands for $\cos \left(n_{i} x\right)$ or $\sin \left(n_{i} x\right)$, depending on whether $n_{i}$ is an odd or even num ber). N ote that $j n \quad{ }_{n} j$ with $n$ given by $\left(3, \bar{n}_{1}^{4}\right)$, m ay not be sm all if $\mathfrak{j} j=O(\mathrm{~L})$. W e always pick $N$ large but $x e d$ and let $L!1$ in order !

## 4 Proof of Lem m a for $d=1$

In this section we prove Lem m a $13 . . \mathrm{Z}$ for $\mathrm{d}=1$. W e consider the second \{order Sturm \{Liouville di erence equation

$$
\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{f}
$$

$w$ th $u(@ D)=0$, and use them ethod of reen to calculate them atrix elem ents of ${ }_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{w}}^{1}$. This gives,


The procedure starts by looking for two linear independent solutions of the hom ogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\binom{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{u}}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hx}} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{xi}^{\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}\right.} 1 \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hx} ; \mathrm{x}+1 \mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}+1}\right)=0 ; \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{f} \mathrm{L}+1$;:::; $\mathrm{L} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ and $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{L}}=0 . \mathrm{W}$ ithout loss of generality, we set $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hL}} 1 ; \mathrm{Li}=$ $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}+1 \mathrm{i}=$ 。

Proposition 4.1 Let $L 2 R^{2 L}{ }^{1}$ be a vector valued function of the environm ent w given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{L}+1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{x}}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{hy}}{ }^{1} 1_{\mathrm{ryi}} ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for allx $2 \mathrm{f} \mathrm{L}+1 ;::: ; \mathrm{L} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$, where

$$
L^{1}=X_{y=L+1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{L}}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hy}}{ }^{1} 1_{1 ; \mathrm{yi}}:
$$

$T$ hen $u_{1}=L$ and $u_{2}=1 \quad$ are two linear independent solutions of (4.1.1).

Proof. $u_{1}=$ is a solution of ( For this, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hx}} 1 ; \mathrm{xi}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{L} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniform ly in x , where $(\mathrm{ru})_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{I}_{1}$. It thus rem ains to verify that they are linear independent.

Let $W=W\left(u_{1} ; u_{2} ; x\right)$ be the $\backslash W$ ronskian" of the two solutions $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ given by the follow ing determ inant

It follow sthat two solutions $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are linear independent if $W\left(u_{1} ; u_{2} ; x\right) 0$ for all $x 2$ f $\mathrm{L} ;::: ; \mathrm{Lg}$. P lugging $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ into ( $\left.\overline{4}-\underline{I}^{\prime}\right)$ we have, in view of ( $\left.\overline{4}-\bar{z}\right)$ ),

$$
\left.W=\mathrm{L}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{L} \tag{4.5}
\end{array}\right)_{\mathrm{X}}+1 \quad(\mathrm{~L})_{\mathrm{X}}\right]=\mathrm{L} ;
$$

which concludes the proof of the proposition.

The inverse $m$ atrix $\quad{ }_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w}}^{1}$ can be calculated by the so called G reen's function $m$ ethod (see e.g.


$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 多 } \frac{(\mathrm{I})_{x}\left[1-(\mathrm{I})_{Y}\right]}{\mathrm{L}} \text { if } \mathrm{X} Y \\
& { }_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{~W}}^{1} \mathrm{xiy}=\frac{\left[1 \quad(\mathrm{I})_{\mathrm{x}}\right](\mathrm{L})_{\mathrm{Y}}}{\mathrm{~L}} \text { if } \mathrm{x}>\mathrm{y} \text { : } \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

To se this is true, we note $L_{i, w}^{1} z_{\text {; }}$ is the $z\{c o m$ ponent of a vector for each $y$ xed. So, by de nition

$$
\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}}}^{1}=0
$$

holds for all x y. For $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(L_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}+\left(1 \quad(\mathrm{~L})_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=1 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

by ( $4-3-3)$, verifying the assertion.
We are now ready to write the operator kemel of ${ }_{L}{ }^{1}$. In view of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(i^{y} g ; A i^{y} f\right)=\frac{1}{L}_{x ; y}^{X}\left(i^{y} g\right)_{x} A_{x i y}\left(i^{y} f\right)_{Y} \\
& =\frac{1}{L}_{x ; y 2}^{X} L_{x=L}^{Z} \operatorname{dx+1)=L} \operatorname{drg}(r) A_{x ; y} L_{y=L}^{Z}{ }_{(y+1)=L} \operatorname{dsg}(s)  \tag{4.7}\\
& Z_{1} \quad Z_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

 have
for any $1 \quad r ; s \quad 1$.
If new variables

$$
\mathrm{L}:=2 \mathrm{~L} \quad 1
$$

are introduced into equation ( $(\overline{4}-\bar{G})$, the operator kemel ( $(\bar{A} . \bar{d})$ can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{1}(r ; S)=\frac{1}{4 L ~} 1 \quad\left(L_{L}\right)_{\mathbb{L} r]} \quad\left(L_{L}\right)_{\mathbb{L}]} \quad(L)_{\mathbb{L}]}(L)_{\mathbb{L}]} ; \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in view of the fact that $(\mathrm{L})_{\mathrm{x}}$ is a m onotone increasing function of x .

By Schwarz inequality the operator norm kemel, the H ilbert\{Schm idt norm $\left.k k k j:=\int_{1} \mathrm{dr} \mathrm{ds}_{1} \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s})\right\}$. Since the functions in the H ibert space has com pact support, we have

$$
\text { kK k } \quad k K k j \quad 4 \sup _{1<r ; s<1} j k(r ; s) j
$$

and $k{ }_{L}{ }^{1} \quad\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{1} k \quad$ ! 0 is implied by the pointw ise convergence $L^{1}(r ; s) \quad$ ! $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{1}(r ; s)$ of the operator kemel. W e shall see that the latter convergence sense is consequence of the follow ing result.

P roposition 4.2 G iven " > 0 and w satisfying the hypothesis of $T$ heorem ${ }^{2}$.2. Then, there exist an integer num ber $L_{0}=L_{0}(" ; w)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{L})_{\mathbb{L r ]}} \quad r<" \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $L>L_{0}$ and $1<r<1$.

P roof. U nder the hypothesis of $T$ heorem ${ }^{12} 2 \overline{2}$ 2 the strong law of large num bers holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 L_{\text {ц }} \text { ! } \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$


for each $r 2(1 ; 1)$ and this gives $\left.(\overline{4} 1)^{\prime}\right)$.
The G reen's function $m$ ethod can also be used to com pute the integral kemel of ( $\left(^{2}\right)^{1}$ as an operator in the Hibert space $L_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. The two linear independent solutions of the hom ogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} f}{d r^{2}}=0 ; \quad 1<r<1 ; \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th boundary condition $\mathrm{f}(1)=\mathrm{f}(1)=0$ are $f_{1}=1+r$ and $f_{2}=1 \quad r$. Replacing $u_{1(2)}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{hx}}{ }_{1 ; \times \mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{1(2)}\right)$ by $\mathrm{f}_{1(2)}$ and $\left(\mathrm{df}_{1(2)}=\mathrm{dr}\right)$ in $(\overline{4}-\overline{4})$, gives $\mathrm{W}=2$. Substituting these into (


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(@^{2}\right)^{1}(r ; s)=\frac{1}{2} f 1 \quad j r \quad \text { sj } \quad r s g \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{1}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{C} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniform ly in r;s $2(1 ; 1)$ for all $L>L_{0}$.



$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{1}(r ; s) \quad\left(@^{2}\right)^{1}(r ; s) \quad 2 L_{L} \quad 1 \quad{ }^{1}{ }^{1}(r ; s)+b_{L}{ }^{1}(r ; s) \quad\left(@^{2}\right)^{1}(r ; s) ; \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1}(r ; s) \quad\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2}\right)^{1}(r ; s) & =\frac{1}{2} j_{\mathrm{L}}(r)_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{~s})+r_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{L}}(r)+j_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \mathrm{L}(r) j_{j} \\
& <\frac{2 "}{}+\frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{2} \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

uniform ly in r;s $2(1 ; 1) . W$ hen combined with ( 4

## 5 P erturbation of Spectra

 on the perturbation theory of H erm titian bounded operators developed by Friedrichs in [ring. Since it can be described shortly, we repeat the proof's derivation for com pleteness. O ur derivation, how ever, is m ore close to $\left.\bar{E}_{[1]}^{\prime}\right]$ in the sense that we perturb an interval of the spectrum. W hen the interval contains one single eigenvalue this reduces to the derivation of $[-\bar{A}-\overline{1}]$. T he generalization is how ever essential in dealing with intervals containing accum ulation point of the spectrum . This situation has to be considered in order to show that the spectrum projection in such intervals rem ains orthogonalw hen the perturbation is tumed on.

W e now introduce som e notation. Let $I_{0} 2 \mathrm{R}$ be an isolated closed interval of the spectrum (@ ${ }^{2}$ ) of @ ${ }^{2}$ de ned w th $D$ irichlet boundary condition on $D=(1 ; 1)$. There exist $0 \ll 1$ and an interval I $I_{0}$ such that I $\backslash\left(@^{2}\right)=\left(@^{2}\right) \backslash I_{0}$ and

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(I_{0} ; R n I\right)>\quad:
$$

Let $E_{0}$ denote the eigenspace spanfe $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} 2 \mathrm{I}_{0} \mathrm{~g} 2 \mathrm{~L}_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ associated with $I_{0}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ the spectral pro jection onto $E_{0}$. Let $E_{1=L}$ denote the subspace of the $H$ ilbert space $H:=L_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ invariant under the action of L and $\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}$ the projection (not necessarily orthogonal) onto $\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}$.

The pro jection $\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}$ is de ned by the follow ing set of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (I } \quad \mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}} \text { ) }{ }_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}=0 \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ie. $\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}$ is an invariant subspace) and the two conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{E}_{0}: \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{E}_{0}\right) \mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}\right)=\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}} ;
$$

which is consistent with $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ in the sense that $\lim _{\mathrm{L}!} \mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{E}_{0}$.
W e shall prove that, provided $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}:=\mathrm{L}^{1} \quad \mathrm{C}^{2}$ is bounded, $\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}$ depends analytically on $1=\mathrm{L}$
 integral equation. For sim plicity, we shall drop the index $L$ of the quantities ${ }_{L}{ }^{1}, V_{L}, E_{1=\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}$.

O ur stating point begin w ith equation

$$
\begin{align*}
(1 \quad E) @{ }^{2} E & =@^{2} E \quad E @{ }^{2} E \\
& =@^{2} E \quad \text { E @ }^{2} ; \tag{520}
\end{align*}
$$

which com es from the follow ing facts.
The operator @ ${ }^{2}$ commutes w th the spectral projector $E_{0}$. U sing this and equations (5.19), we have

$$
E @{ }^{2} E=E E_{0} @{ }^{2} E=E @^{2} E_{0} E=E @{ }^{2} E_{0}=E E_{0} @{ }^{2}=E @^{2} ;
$$




$$
\begin{equation*}
(1 \quad E) @^{2} E=@^{2} Q \quad Q @^{2}: \tag{521}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining ( 5

$$
\begin{align*}
@^{2} Q & =Q @^{2} & (I \quad E) V E \\
& =@^{2} Q & \left(I \quad E_{0} \quad Q\right) V\left(E_{0}+Q\right): \tag{522}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the interval $I_{0}$ is isolate from the rest of the spectrum, @ ${ }^{2}$ is an invertible bounded


$$
X f:=\begin{array}{ll}
@^{2} f & \text { if } f 2\left(I \quad E_{0}\right) H \\
0 & \text { otherw ise : }
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\mathrm{X} @{ }^{2}=@{ }^{2} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{E}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{kXk}<{ }^{1}$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=g(Q) ; \tag{523}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g(Q)=X @{ }^{2} Q \quad X\left(I+E_{0} \quad Q\right) V\left(Q \quad E_{0}\right):
$$

Proposition 5.3 The sequence $Q_{n} ; n=0 ; 1 ;::$ :, of projectors de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}=g\left(Q_{n \quad 1}\right) ; \tag{524}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith initial condition $Q_{0}=0$ satis es the conditions $\left[(5-19)\right.$ and converges, $Q=\lim _{n}!1 Q_{n}$, to the unique solution of equation ( $5 .-2 \overline{2}=1$ ).

Proof. W e have $k Q_{n} k \quad q<1$ for all n 2 N provided q is chosen sm all enough and $L$ is taken so large that if $k Q k \quad q$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{kX} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{kE} \mathrm{o}_{0} @{ }^{2} \mathrm{kq}+\quad{ }^{1}(1+\mathrm{q})^{2} \mathrm{kV}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{q}: \tag{525}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the $s m$ allness of $g$ depends on the sm allness of $V$. Since

$$
\mathrm{kX} \mathrm{kkE} \mathrm{o}_{0} @{ }^{2} \mathrm{k} \frac{\bar{J}_{0} j}{+\bar{J}_{0} j}=<1
$$

equation (525in) holds provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{kVk} \frac{(1 \quad)}{(1+\mathrm{q})^{2}} \mathrm{q}: \tag{526}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow, for xed value of $q$, it can be show n (see ref. $\bar{E}_{\mathbf{E}}^{\prime}$ ] for details)

$$
\dot{g}(Q) \quad g\left(Q^{0}\right) j \quad \text { º } \quad Q^{0} j
$$

also holds w ith $<1$ and this im plies P roposition in by the $B$ anach xed point theorem . 2
$W$ e have proven the existence of a unique projector $E_{1=L}$ such that $k Q_{1=L} k=k E_{1=L} \quad E_{0} k \quad q$.
 $\lim _{\mathrm{L}!1} \mathrm{kE}_{1=\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{k}=0$ and $\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}} \quad!\quad \mathrm{E}_{0}$ as L! 1 .

To com plete the proof of $T$ heorem $1 \overline{13}$. 6, we need to nd an orthogonalprojector $E{ }^{L}$ onto $E^{L}$ $\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}$ in order to get (3$\left.\overline{2} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$. T his is achieved by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}\right)^{1} \mathrm{E}_{1=\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \tag{5,27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and noting that the inverse operator ( $\left.E^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{E}\right)^{1}$ exist because $\mathrm{kQ} \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{q}$ im plies

$$
k E_{0} f k \quad k E f k+q k f k=k E f k+q k E_{0} f k ;
$$

for any f 2 H such that $\mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}$. As a consequence $\mathrm{kE} \mathrm{fk} \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{q}) \mathrm{kfk}$ and

$$
h f ; E^{y} E f i \quad(1 \quad q)^{2} k f k:
$$

O ne can show, in addition, that $\left[{ }^{1} ; E^{L}\right]=0$ for allL. Therefore, for any $\quad 2 I_{0} \backslash\left({ }^{2}\right)$,
$k E^{\mathrm{L}}\left({ }^{1}\right.$
I) $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}\left({ }^{1}\right.$
I) $E{ }^{L} k$
k (@ ${ }^{2}$
I) $E_{0}+\left(E^{L} \quad E_{0}\right) k+k V E^{L} k$
$k\left(@{ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{I}\right) \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k@}{ }^{2} \mathrm{kkE}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{kV}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{k}$ :

Since the right hand side goes to zero as L ! 1 this concludes the proof of C orollary $1 \overline{10} .7 .1$

## 6 D i usion Coe cient

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem ' throughout an expansion for the expectation of the inverse $m$ atrix, $E\left(L_{i}\right)^{1}$, $w$ ith $w$ satisfying the $m$ acroscopic hom ogeneity condition (2.12'). This is justi ed in ref. $A$ that ( $\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w})^{1}$, when properly scaled, converge to its expectation for alm ost all environm ent w. Thus, the formula ( $\left.\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{w}}\right)^{1}\right)^{1} \quad \mathrm{~L}$; is expect to hold in the lim it as L! $1 . \mathrm{W}$ ew ill see that very im portant cancellations take place by inverting the series expansion of $E(\mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{w})^{1}$.

A sim ple algebraic $m$ anipulation show $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\quad \mathrm{L} ; \overline{\mathrm{w}})^{1=2} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{w}}(\quad \mathrm{~L} ; \overline{\mathrm{w}})^{1=2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{I} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w}}} ; \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

L ;w can be taken.
Choosing $\overline{\mathrm{w}}=E \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and use (2.J. $\overline{-}$ ) to write $\mathrm{L} ; \overline{\mathrm{w}}=\overline{\mathrm{w}}$ L where L is the nite di erence Laplacian w th $0\{D$ irichlet boundary condtion on , equation ( 6

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{L ; w}=(\quad L)^{1=2} \quad L ; \quad(\quad L)^{1=2} ; \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=f$ ${ }^{\prime}$ g given by ${ }_{b}=w_{b}=\bar{w}$ 1, are i.i.d. random variables $w$ ith $m$ ean $E \quad b=0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{b} j<\frac{1}{2} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in view of (2120).
Equation ( $\overline{6}$. $\overline{-1})$ ) suggests us the use of $N$ eum ann series to develop a form alexpansion of ( L ; w $)^{1}$ in power of $D_{L, w}$ due to the $s m$ all param eter. The rem aining of this section is devoted to the pointw ise convergence of the $m$ atrix elem ent of $E\left(\begin{array}{ll}I & D_{L ; w}\end{array}\right)^{1}{ }^{1}$.

U sing ( $6 \overline{6}-\bar{z})$, we have

To write ( $\overline{6} \cdot \overline{-} \cdot \mathbf{- 1})$ in a $m$ ore convenient form, let $r_{L}: R \quad!R^{B_{L}}$ be the nite di erence operator:

$$
\left(r_{L} u\right)_{h x y i}=\left(r_{L} u\right)_{h y x i}=h_{x y i}\left(u_{y} \quad u_{x}\right):
$$

where the sign hxyi $={ }^{P}{ }_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}y_{i} & x_{i}\end{array}\right)=1$, according to whether hxyi is positively $(=1)$ or negatively $\left(=1\right.$ ) oriented. $r_{L} m$ aps a $0\left\{\right.$ form $u$ into a $1\left\{\right.$ form $s r_{L} u$. Let $r_{L}: R^{B_{I}}$ ! $R$ be its adjoint $\left(!; r_{L} u\right)=\left(r_{L}!; u\right)$, ie. the nite divergent operator which $m$ aps a 1 \{form ! into a $0\left\{\right.$ form $r_{L}$ ! given by
and let M : $R^{B_{L}} \quad!R^{B_{L}}$ be the multiplication operator by $:(M \quad!)_{b}:={ }_{b}!_{b}$.
W ith these notations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} ;=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its bilinear form reads

$$
(u ; i ; v)=(r u ; M \quad r v)={\frac{1}{L^{d}}}_{b}^{X} \quad \text { b }(r u)_{b}(r v)_{b} \text {; }
$$

recovering expression (2 $\overline{2}-\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ ) for the quadratic form .
De ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
=r_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{~L})^{1} r_{\mathrm{L}} ; \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that, since ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}}{ }^{1}$ is the C oulom b potentialbetw een two unit charges located at x and $y, \quad$ b;bo is the dipole interaction potentialbetw een tw o unit dipoles located at $b$ and $b^{\circ}$. $N$ ote that m aps 1 \{form into 1 \{form.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{I \quad D_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w}}}=I+\frac{X \quad X}{\mathrm{n} 12 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{L}}^{n}} \quad \mathrm{~W} \quad ; \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e$, for $=\left(b_{1} ; b_{2} ;:: ; b_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=Y_{k=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \text {; } \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
v ; W & u \tag{6.10}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{j j} \#_{b_{1}} \quad b_{1} ; b_{2} \quad b_{2} ; b_{3} \quad b_{n} 1 ; b_{n} \quad b_{n} ;
$$

with \# and being 1 \{form s given by $r(\quad \mathrm{~L})^{1=2} \operatorname{v}$ and $r\left(L_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{1=2} u$, respectively.
C oncem the convergence, as \% $Z^{d}$, of a generic term of the expansion ( $\left.\overline{6} . \overline{6}\right)$, the follow ing rem ark is now in order.
$R$ em ark 6.1 The asym ptotic behavior of the dipole potential $\quad$ b; $b^{\circ}$ for $L \gg$ dist $\left(b ; b^{0}\right) \gg 1$ can be estim ated by its spectrum decom position ${ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{b ; b^{0}}={\frac{1}{L^{d}}}_{n 2}^{X} \sim_{n}\left(r e_{n}^{L}\right)_{b}\left(r e_{n}^{L}\right)_{b^{0}} ; \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sim_{n}^{1}=4{ }_{k=1}^{X^{d}} \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{4 L} n_{k}
$$

[^3] whose com ponents are given by $z_{1}^{(k)}=z_{1}+_{k ;}{ }^{\prime}$, and make a change of variables, ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{i}=(=2 L) n_{i}$, $i=1 ;::: ; d$, we have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L!1} \quad b_{; 0^{0}}={\frac{1}{4^{d}}}_{[0 ;]^{d}}^{Z} d^{d,} \quad X^{d} \sin ^{2}\left(r_{k}=2\right) \quad r^{i^{i} j^{j}}{ }_{k=1}^{Y^{d}} \operatorname{Cos}\left(r_{k}\left(X_{k} \quad Y_{k}\right)\right) ; \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $r^{k} f(z)=f\left(z^{(k)}\right) \quad f(z)$ is the di erence operator in the $k\{$ th direction. The $j x y j \gg$ 1 behavior of b;bo is given by restricting the integral $(\overline{6}-\overline{1} \overline{1})$ around a "\{neighborhood of 0 with " $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{yj}=\mathrm{O}(1):$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\frac{1}{(2)^{d} \dot{x}} \quad y j^{t^{2}}}_{Z j \circ(1)}^{Z} d^{d} t \frac{t_{i} t_{j}}{t^{2}}  \tag{6.13}\\
& \frac{1}{\left[\text { dist }\left(b ; b^{0}\right)\right]^{d}} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

A s a consequence, b;bo is not sum $m$ able in absolute value,

$$
{\underset{b}{b^{2} B_{L}}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{b}^{\circ} j \quad \log L ;}
$$

 due to the dipole orientations (see ref.

W e shallexhibit in the follow ing anotherkind of cancellation due to the inversion of the expected value of ( $\overline{6}-\mathrm{B})$ ).

Inverting the expectation of ( $\overline{6} . \bar{d})$ gives

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{I} & \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{~W}} \tag{6.14}
\end{array}\right)^{1}=\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{~L} ;
$$

where
and $2 B_{L}^{n_{1}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{L}}} B \mathrm{~B}$ ith $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ 1. N ote that $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W} \mathrm{I}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~W}$.
To see how the log \{divergent term s in ( $\left.(\overline{6} 1]^{\prime}\right)$ cancelout, it is convenient to use graph $\{$ theoretical language. A graph $G$ consists of two sets $(V ; E): V=\mathrm{fv}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{g}$ is the vertex set and $\mathrm{E}=$ $f e_{1} ;::: ; e_{s} 0 g$ the connecting set of edges. To each edge $e$ its assigned an ordered pair of vertices ( $\mathrm{vv}^{0}$ ) (its extrem ities) which are called adjacent if v ( $\mathrm{v}^{0}$; otherw ise e is said to be a \loop". To the problem at our hand, we shall identify the bonds $f b_{1} ;::: ; b_{n} g$ as a the vertex set of a graph $G$ whose connectivity is determ ined by the presence of interactions b;bo .

Two graphs G and ${ }^{0}$ are isom orphic (denoted G $G^{0}$ ) if there is a one\{to \{one correspondence betw een their elem ents which preserves the incidence relation. A path on $G$ is an ordered sequence
$\mathrm{fv}_{\mathrm{i}_{0}} ; \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} ;::: ; \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{n}} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}_{n}} \mathrm{~g}$ of altemately vertioes and edges of $G$ such that $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{k}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}_{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}_{k}}$ holds for each k ; the edges $\mathrm{fe}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} ;::: ; \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{n}} \mathrm{~g}$ are the steps of the path and the vertioes $\mathrm{fv}_{\mathrm{i}_{0}} ;::: ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}_{n}} \mathrm{~g}$ are the points visited by the path. $m$ ay be identi ed w ith one of these ordered sets since it can be uniquely determ ined by each of them. Two vertices $v ; v^{0} 2 \mathrm{~V} m$ ay be connected by m ore than one path. A graph $G$ is said to be connected if any two vertioes $v ; v^{0}$ can be joined by at least one path on G . T he com ponents of a non \{connected graph are its $m$ axim um connected subgraphs. G iven two vertices $v ; v^{0}$, the disconnecting set of edges is a set whose rem oval from the graph $G$ destroys all paths betw een $v ; v^{0}$. A cut\{set is a minim al set of edges the rem oval of which from a connected graph $G$ causes it to fall into two com ponents $G_{1} ; G_{2}$.

Tuming badk to equation $(\overline{6}=14)$, one $m$ ay interpret $=\mathrm{fb}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$ as a set of vertices visited by a path. In view of the fact that b has zero m ean, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E} \quad=0 \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

if there exist at least one bond $b_{i}$ which are not repeated in the list $=f b_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{b}_{n} g$.
The condition ( $\overline{6} \overline{1}-\overline{1} \bar{\sigma})$ says that the path must visit each vertex at least tw ige otherw ise its contribution to ( $\overline{6} \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) vanishes. The set of distinct bonds $V=\mathrm{fb}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} ;::: \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}_{5}} \mathrm{~g}$ and edges $\mathrm{E}=$ $f\left(b_{1} b_{2}\right) ;::: ;\left(b_{1} 1 b_{n}\right) g$ form a connected graph $G$ w ith even valency $V$ (b) 4 for each vertex $b 2 G$. $G$ raphs w ith this property w ill be called adm issible graphs. N ote that each path yields only one graph $G$ but there are possibly $m$ any $n\left\{\right.$ step paths covering each edge ( $b_{i} 1_{1} b_{i}$ ) of $G$ exactly once which starts at $b_{1}$ and ends at $b_{n}$. If we denote by []$_{G}$ the set of all paths satisfying these conditions for a given adm issible graph G , we have

P roposition 6.2 Equation ( $\overline{6} . \overline{1} \overline{1}$ ) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & A_{G} W_{G} ;
\end{array} \tag{6.17}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
A_{G}=\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}  \tag{6.18}\\
2\left[k_{G}=\left(1_{1 ;:: ; ~ s)}\right.\right.
\end{gather*} \quad(1)^{s+1}{ }_{1} \quad \mathrm{~s} ;
$$

where we sum over all sizes n $2 \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1$, all adm issible graphs $G$ of size $\ddagger j=n$, over all paths
in []$_{G}$ and over all decom positions of into $s ; s \quad 1$, successive paths ( $1 ;:::$; s), each of which capable of generating adm issible graphs $G_{i}$. H ere, with the notation of ( $\left(\overline{6} . \overline{1} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and footnote


$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \quad 1 \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n>1 w$ ith $b_{1} ; b_{1}=1$ for $n=1$ (the case that $G$ is the trivial graph (flo $g ;$ )).

W e shall in the sequel state tw $o l e m m$ as and prove $T$ heorem 12

Lem mat 6.3 If $G$ is an adm issible graph with at least one cut\{set contained one edge (i.e. G falls into two com ponents by cutting a single edge), then $A_{G}=0$.

Lem m a 6.4 There exista constant $C_{[G]}<1$, depending on the equivalence class [G] of isom orphic graphs $G$, such that

holds uniform ly in $L$ for all adm issible graph $G$ with $\mathcal{F}=n$ and cut\{sets with no less than two elem ents.
 II of Section 4). N ote that our estim ate ( $(\overline{6} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{\overline{1}})$ have not included the logarithm ic corrections which appears in that reference. To get rid of these one has to control the loop subgraphs of $G$ carefully
 G rem ains connected by cutting one single edge.

G raphs w ith single edge cut\{sets do not contribute to $(\overline{6} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1})$ due to the follow ing cancellation in Lemm a ' 6
 decom position of a path in $G$. E ther both $b_{i}$ and $b_{i+1}$ belongs to som $e j$ or they belong to tw o successive ones. We call the latter decom position type A and the form er type B. It tums out that there is an one\{to\{one correspondence betw een type A and type B decom positions di ering
 the contribution to ( $\overline{6}$. $\overline{1} \bar{d})$ ) of a pair of decom positions established by this correspondence have the
 two paths ${ }_{j}^{(1)}$ and ${ }_{j}^{(2)}$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(\left(v ; \text { L u)) j } \underset{b ; b^{0} 2_{B_{L}}}{\#_{b} \frac{K_{L}}{\left[1+\operatorname{dist}\left(b ; b^{0}\right)\right]^{2 d}} b^{0} ; ~ ; ~}\right.\right. \tag{621}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{L}=\begin{array}{lll}
X & X & A_{[G]} C_{[G]} ;
\end{array}  \tag{622}\\
& \text { n } 1 \quad \begin{array}{c}
{[G]: j \underset{j}{j=n} 1} \\
\\
\\
\text { adm issible }
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

w th the sum running over the equivalence classes [G] of isom onphic adm issible graphs $G$ of size $\Psi j=n$ and $C_{[G]}$ as in Lem m a ${ }^{\prime} \overline{6} \mathbf{A}^{\prime}$. . N ote that $A_{G}=A_{[G]}$.

N ow we show that, if one uses, as in refs. [AN

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{[G]} \quad C^{r} \tag{623}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e geom etric constant $C<1$ where $r=j J j$ is the num ber of vertioes in $G$, the equation


$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{1} \quad \mathrm{~s}^{j} \quad \mathrm{n} \tag{624}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniform ly in and equation ( 6 (62 $2 \overline{2} 2$.$) can be bounded by$

Here, we have identi ed each path $=f b_{1} ;::: ; b_{n} g$ in a given graph $G=(V ; E)$ of size $\mathcal{F} j=n \quad 1$ w th a partition $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{P}_{r}\right)$ of $f 1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{ng}$ into $r=j \mathrm{j}$ pairw ise disjoint subsets. This association is one\{to\{one since is an ordered set of elem ents. Note that each com ponent $P_{j}$ corresponds to one vertex $b_{i_{1}}=b_{i_{2}}=\quad \quad \overline{j_{1}} b f G$ visited $p=p_{j} j$ tim es by the path . One can thus replace the sum over all equivalent classes of graphs [G] and over all paths in [G] by the sum over all partitions P. The factor ( $n ; r$ ) counts the num ber of partitions of $f 1 ; 2 ;::: ; n g$ into $r$ subsets. The bound ( $\left.\overline{6} 2{ }_{2} \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ disregards the fact that $G$ is an adm issible graph. A lso, the consistency of each decom position $=\left({ }_{1} ;::: ;\right.$ s) into paths $i^{\prime}$ 's which gives rise to adm issible graphs has been not considered. The binom ial factor ${ }_{n}^{2 n}{ }_{n}^{1} \quad 4^{n}$ counts the decom position of w th n steps into any num ber of paths w th the num ber of steps n (the cardinality of the set f1 $\left.i_{1} i_{2} \quad n \quad 1 i n 1 g\right)$. In addition, for the upper lim it in the second sum we note that $r=\mathrm{JV} \mathrm{j}(2 \mathrm{~L} \quad 1)^{d}$ (the num ber of vertioes of $G$ cannot be larger than the num ber of sites in ).

Equation ( $\overline{6} \mathbf{2} \overline{2}^{-1}$ ) cannot be uniform ly bounded since, from the recursion relation $(n ; r)=$ ( $\mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{r} 1$ ) +r ( $\mathrm{n} 1 ; \mathrm{r}$ ) (se $\left[\mathrm{W}_{-}^{-}\right]$in we have

$$
(\mathrm{n} ; r) \quad r(\mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; r) \quad r^{n r}(r ; r)=r^{n} r^{r} ;
$$

which gives a factorial grow th

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (C } n=2)^{n=2} \text { if } n \quad j \dot{j} \\
& (C j \neq 2)^{n}{ }^{j \dot{F} 2} \text { if } n>j \dot{j} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

after replacing the sum by the term $w$ th $r=m$ in $(n ; j j)=2$.
A shanper upper bound for (

$$
C_{[G]}=\sup _{G^{0} G_{G}} \quad Y{\text { hbob }{ }^{0} 2 E(G)}^{\text {b; } ; 0^{0}}\left(1+\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(_{1} ; b_{n}\right)\right)^{2 d}:\right.
$$

A s one varies the partition $P$ off1;:::;ng, the graph $G$, and the path over it, varies accordingly and the decay of b;bo in this formula can be useful. $W$ e propose that $C_{[G]}=C_{n ; r}$ depends on the num ber of vertioes $r=j v$ jand edges $n=\mp j a s$ follow $s$.

C on jecture 6.6 Let $e_{(n ; r)}=C_{n ; r}(n ; r)$. There exist a geom etric constant $C<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.e_{(n ; r)} \quad e_{(n} \quad 1 ; r \quad 1\right)+C \quad e_{(n} \quad 1 ; r\right) \tag{627}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $n ; r 2 N, n \quad r w i t h ~ e ~(r ; r)=C{ }^{r}$.
 $C$ and ${ }^{e}(k ; l)=0$ if $k<l$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{r=1}^{n} e_{(n ; r)} \quad & \left.\left.X^{n} e_{(n} \quad 1 ; r \quad 1\right)+c{ }_{r=1}^{x^{1}} e_{(n} \quad 1 ; r\right) \\
= & \left.(1+C)^{x=2} e_{(n} \quad 1 ; r\right) \\
& C(1+C)^{r=1} ; \tag{628}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads ( $\overline{6} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{5}$ ) to be bounded by
where ${ }^{0}=4(1+C)$.
$T$ his concludes the prelim inaries and we are now ready to prove $T$ heorem ${ }^{1} \underline{2} .2 . \mathrm{T} . \mathrm{W}$ e observe at th is
 w ith sm all enough. H as C on jecture' $\overline{6} \overline{6}$. been proved one could work along sim ilar expansions to show that $(\mathrm{L}, \overline{\mathrm{w}})^{1=2}(\mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{w})(\mathrm{L}, \overline{\mathrm{w}})^{1=2}$ converges to $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{I} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{w}}\right)^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{w}$ ith probability 1. Proof of the upper bound ofin. $\left(\underline{2} . \mathbf{I}^{\prime}\right)$ it is a positive de nite m atrix and its square root is well de ned. We also have $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{w})=$
 exactly as $i\left(r_{I} \quad r_{I}\right){ }^{1} i^{\gamma}=L^{2}$ does.

In view of this, we can apply Schwarz inequality to the follow ing identity $y_{1}^{41}$ :

$$
I=E(L, w)^{1=2}(L ; w)^{1=2} 2 E(\quad L ; w) E(\quad L ; w)^{1}
$$

in order to get

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{w} \tag{6.30}
\end{array}\right)^{1} \quad \overline{\mathrm{~W}}_{\mathrm{L}} \text {; }
$$

which im plies $\overline{\mathrm{w}}$ and concludes our assertion.
P roof of the lower bound of

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{w})^{1 \quad 1} & =\overline{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{~L} \quad \overline{\mathrm{~W}}(\mathrm{~L})^{1=2} \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{~L})^{1=2}  \tag{6.31}\\
& =\overline{\mathrm{W}} r \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{r} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{L}: R^{B_{L}} \quad!R^{B_{L}}$ is a $m$ atrix whose elem ents, in view of $(\overline{6} \overline{2} \overline{\overline{1}} \overline{1})$ and $(\overline{6} \overline{2} \bar{d})$, are bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{\mathrm{b} ; b^{0}} \quad \text { b; } 0^{0} \quad \frac{K_{L}}{\left[1+\operatorname{dist}\left(b ; b^{0}\right)\right]^{2 d}} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$



[^4]$U$ sing the isom etry operators $(\overline{3}-14)$ and $(\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ and the fact that $i \geqslant i$ is the identity $m$ atrix
 converging in $L_{0}^{2}(D)$ to the operator $@=\left(@=@{ }_{1} ;::: ; @=@{ }_{d}\right)$. In addition, we claim that the kemel of $i\left(I \quad R_{L}\right)$ iy converges in distribution, as $L!1$, to the delta function (; ) tim es a d d $m$ atrix $\%$, since the $m$ atrix elem ents of $R_{L}$ decay faster than $1=d i s t\left(b ; b^{0}\right)^{d}$ as dist $\left(b ; b^{0}\right)!1$. For this, note that $i\left(I R_{L}\right) i^{y}(;)=O L^{d}$ if and $=O L^{d}$ if $=$.

W hether \% is a diagonal matrix cannot be decided by our estim ates. The results from this


$$
=\overline{\mathrm{W}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \%
\end{array}\right)
$$

where 1 is the $d \quad d$ identity and \% is a positive $m$ atrix satisfying \% $\quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & { }^{\circ}\end{array}\right)$.

A cknow ledgm ent
W e wish to thank Luiz R. Fontes for helpfuldiscussions. R . da Sika was supported by CN P q under the P IB IC project and D H.U.M archettiwas partially supported by FAPESP and CNPq.

## R eferences

[ABO] S.A lexander J. Bemasconi and R.Orbach. \Spectral di usion in a one\{dim ensional percolation m odel", P hys. Rev. B 17, 4311-4314 (1978)
[ABSO] S. A lexander, J. Bemasconi, W. R . Schneider and R. O rbach. \Excitation dynam ics in random one\{dim ensional system s', Rev.M od. Phys. 53, 175-198 (1981)
[AK S] V.V.A nshelevich, K.M.Khanin and Ya.G.Sinai. \Sym metric random walks in random environm ents", C om m un. M ath. Phys. 85, 449-470 (1982)
[AV] V.V.A nshelevich and A.V.Vologodskii. \Laplace operator and random walk on one\{ dim ensional nonhom ogeneous lattice", Joum. Stat P hys. 25, 419-430 (1981)

BSW ] J. Bemasconi, W . R. Schneider and W . W yss. \D i usion and hopping conductivity in disordered one\{dim ensional lattice system s", Z. P hysik B 37,175-184 (1980)

D ] F.J.D yson. \T he dynam ics of a disordered chain of harm onic oscilators", Phys. Rev. 92, 1331-1338 (1953)

E ] K.O.Friedrichs. \Perturbation of spectra in Hibert space". AM S Lect. in A ppl. M ath., P rovidenœ, R hode Island (1965)
$\mathbb{E} \mathbb{I N}] \quad$ L.R.G.Fontes, M. Isopi and C.M.Newm an. \C haotic tim e dependence in a disordered spin system " , P reprint (1998)
[J] A louf Jirari. \Second-order Sturm Łiouville di erence equations and orthogonal polynom ials" M em oirs of A M S 542 (1995)
[K] P.W.K asteleyn. \G raph theory and crystal physics", in G raph theory and theoretical physics, ed.F.H arary, AP New York (1967)

KK S] H.Kesten, M.W.K oslow and F. Spitzer. \A lim it law for random walk in random environm ent", C om pos. M ath. 30, 145-168 (1975)
$\mathbb{K} u] \quad$ Rolf $K$ unnem ann. \The di usion lim it for reversible jump processes on $Z^{d}$ w ith ergodic random bond conductivities", Com mun.M ath. Phys. 90, 27-68 (1983)
[MM ] Elliot H.Lieb and D aniel C. M attis. $\backslash M$ athem atical physics in one\{dim ension: E xactly soluble m odels of interacting particles", A cadem ic P ress (1966)

M FGW ] A. de M asi, P.A. Ferrari, S. Goldstein an W.D.W idk. \An invariant principle for reversible M arkov processes. A plications to random m otions in random environm ents", Joum. Stat. P hys. 55, 787-855 (1989)

PPNM ] A ldo P rocacci, Em m anuelP ereira, A m ando G .M .N eves and D om ingos H .U .M archetti. \C oulomb interaction sym $m$ etries and the $M$ ayer series in two dim ensional dipole gas", Joum. Stat. P hys. 87, 877-889 (1997)

PV ] G.Papanicolaou and S.R.S.Varadhan. \D i usion with random coe cients", in Statistics and Probability: Essays in H onor of C . R . R ao", 547-552, N orth H olland (1982)
[S] B arry Sim on, \Functional integration and quantum physics", A cadem ic P ress (1979)
[Si] Ya. G. Sinai. \The lim iting behavior of a one\{dim ensional random walk in a random m edium ", Theo. of Prob. and its A ppl. 27, 256-268 (1982)
[So] F. Solom on. \R andom walks in a random environm ent", A nn. P rob. 3, 1-31 (1975)
[W ] H erbert S.W ilf. \G eneratingfunctionology", second edition, A cadem ic P ress (1994)


[^0]:    e-m ail: $m$ archett@ im e.usp br
    ${ }^{\text {y }}$ em ail: dasilva@ gibbs.if.usp br

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ A simple random walk w th continuum time jumps according to a Poisson process on timew ith rate 2 d and there $w$ illbe $2 \mathrm{dL}^{2} \mathrm{t} j \mathrm{mmp} \mathrm{P}$ in average after a tim $\mathrm{E}^{2} \mathrm{t}$. W ith the random environm ent, the P oisson process has a site dependent rate given by $y: j x y_{j}{ }^{W} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{hxyi}}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The isom etry it has been introduced to bring all operators to the sam e $H$ ilbert space $L_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. N ote, how ever, that $I^{1}$ and $T_{t}^{L}$ rem ain nite rank operators.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Since we have not rescaled the space $\quad Z^{d}$, it is convenjent to introduce a base $f e_{n}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n} 2}, \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{fl} ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{~L} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{d}}$, norm alized with respect to the scalar product $(u ; v))={ }_{x} u_{x} v_{x}=L^{d}(u ; v): \hat{E}_{n}^{L}=e_{n}^{L}=\overline{L^{d}}$. The spectrum resolution of the identity is written in term $s$ of this base.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ In the follow ing, for any tw o $m$ atriges $A$ and $B, A \quad B m$ eans ( $u ; A u$ ) ( $u ; B u$ ) for all vectors $u$.

