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## A bstract

W e consider the Laplacian in curved tubes of arbitrary cross-section rotating together w ith the Frenet fram e along curves in Euclidean spaces of anbitrary dim ension, sub ject to D irich let boundary conditions on the cylindrical surface and $N$ eum ann conditions at the ends of the tube. W e prove that the spectral threshold of the Laplacian is estim ated from below by the low est eigenvalue of the $D$ irichlet Laplacian in a torus determ ined by the geom etry of the tube.

## 1 Introduction

Problem s linking the shape of a region to the spectrum of the associated Laplacian, sub ject to various boundary conditions, have been considered for $m$ ore than a century. W hile classicalm otivations cam e from theories of elasticity, acoustics, electrom agnetism, etc, in the quantum $m$ echanical context a strong fresh im petus is m ostly due to the recent technological progress in sem iconductor physics.
$M$ ore speci cally, the D irichlet Laplacian in in nite plane strips or space tubes of constant cross-section is widely used as a m athem aticalm odel for the Ham iltonian of a quantum particle in mesoscopic structures called quantum
 bound states in curved asym ptotically straight waveguides is probably the m ost interesting theoretical result for these system $s$ E CDE']. Indeed, these bound states, which are known to perturb the particle transport, are of pure quantum origin because there are no classical closed
trajectories in the tubes in question, apart from a zero $m$ easure set of initial conditions in the phase space. $M$ athem atically, one deals $w$ ith the discrete spectrum of the D irichlet Laplacian, which is a non-trivial property for unbounded regions. The principal ob jective of this paper is to establish a low er bound to the ground-state energies of curved quantum w aveguides.

W e proceed in greater generality by considering d-dim ensional tubes, unbounded or bounded, w ith any d 2 and arbitrary cross-section rotating along a reference curve together w th the Frenet frame. At the same time, we do not restrict ourselves to asym ptotically straight tubes, i.e., if the tube is unbounded, the estim ated spectralthreshold of the Laplacian $m$ ay not be a discrete eigenvalue, but rather the threshold of the essential spectrum ; this happens, for instance, if the tube is periodically curved.

To state the $m$ ain result of the paper, let us introduce som e notation. G iven a bounded or unbounded open interval I, let $: I!R^{d}$ be a unit-speed curve w th curvatures i : I ! R, i $2 \mathrm{f1}$;:::; d 1g, w.r.t. an appropriate sm ooth Frenet frame $\mathrm{fe}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{g}$, cf the assum ption hH1i below. G iven a bounded open connected set! $2 R^{d 1}$ w th the centre ofm ass at the origin, we de ne the tube by rotating! along the curve together w ith the Frenet fram e, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{I} \quad!) ; \quad \mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{u}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{d}}\right):=(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{u} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the repeated indiges convention is adopted throughout the paper, the Latin and $G$ reek indices run through $1 ; 2 ;::: ;$ d and 2 ;:::; d , respectively). W e m ake the assum ption hH 2ibelow (cf Rem ark $\left.\left(\frac{1}{-1}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ in order to ensure that $L: I$ ! ! is a di eom orphism. O ur ob ject of interest is the non-negative Laplacian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { on } L^{2}() ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

sub ject to $D$ irichlet boundary conditions on the cylindrical part of the boundary $L$ ( $I \quad!$ ) and, if @I is not em pty, $N$ eum ann boundary conditions on the rem aining boundary $L$ ((@I) !). O urm ain result reads as follow s.

Theorem 1. Suppose the assum ptions hH $1 i$ and $\mathrm{hH} 2 i$ are satis ed. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left(\operatorname{linf}_{0}\left(\sup _{1}\right) ; 0(\inf 1) g ;\right. \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 0 ( ) c>0 denotes the spectral threshold of in the tube of crosssection ! built either over a circle of curvature if 0 or over a straight line if $=0 ; \mathrm{c}$ is a constant depending only on ! and d.

The low er bound of $T$ heorem $\frac{1}{11}$ 1' holds, of course, for other boundary conditions im posed on L (@I) ! ), cf Section
$N$ ote that $O()$ is the low est eigenvalue of the D irichlet Laplacian in a torus of cross-section ! if 0 or the threshold of the essential spectrum of the D irichlet Laplacian in an in nite straight tube of cross-section! (which is the low est eigenvalue 0 of the Dirichlet Laplacian in !) if $=0$, cf Section ${ }^{\prime} \overline{4} \overline{1}^{\prime}$. Thus the claim of $T$ heorem ${ }_{\underline{1}}^{11}$ in can be expressed illustratively as follow s: take
an \osculation torus" at each point of (i.e. the torus w ith the identical crosssection built over the osculation circle to at the point), then the bound ( $\overline{\mathrm{k}})$ corresponds to the sm allest of this tori spectral thresholds. The uniform low er bound given by the geom etric constant c is a consequence of the Faber-K rahn inequality, of P roposition $\overline{4} 1$

W e stress again that while the spectrum of $(\overline{2})$ is purely discrete whenever I is bounded, ( ) has in generalboth discrete and essential parts in the unbounded case. For instance, if $I=R,!=B$ (ball of radius a $>0$ ), 1 b but
 there are alw ays discrete eigenvalues in $(0 ; 0)$.
$W$ hile bounds on the eigenvalues for the Laplacian on bounded subsets ofR ${ }^{d}$ have been studied by $m$ any authors (see [-103] for an overview), to the best of our know ledge there is only one previous result on the low er bound to the spectral threshold of the Laplacian in unbounded tubes. U sing the PayneP olyaW einberger con jecture $\mathbb{P P W} 55_{1} \mathbb{P} P W$ 5] proved then in $[A B 91]$ (see also [AB 92]], M . S. A shbaugh and the rst author derived in AE 901] a lower bound in the situation when $I=R, d=2 ; 3$, the cross-section $w$ as circular and the discrete spectrum of was not empty but nite. As we discuss at the end of Section $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$, our $T$ heorem ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ provides a better bound and applies to tubes $w$ ith an in nite num ber, orw ithout any, discrete eigenvalues, too. O $n$ the other hand, the approach of $[\bar{A} \bar{E} \overline{9} \overline{0}]$ applies to $m$ ore general form $s$ of than the regular tubes considered here. Let us also $m$ ention that one can use the results of $\left[\mathcal{E P W}^{-1} \mathrm{O}_{1}^{1}\right]$ to derive a Lieb-T hirring-type inequality for

The heuristic idea behind the proofoft heorem '11 is as follow s. For a m om ent, let us assum e that 1 is piece-w ise constant and all $=0$, so that I is a closure of the union of $L$ (possibly $L=1$ ) open subintervals $I_{1},{ }^{\prime} 2 f 1_{i}::: ; \mathrm{Lg}$, and each $\cdot:=(I$,$) is a circular or straight segm ent. W e have \quad{ }^{L} \quad \underset{=}{ }$ ( $\quad$ ); where each `is the Laplacian on \(L^{2}(L\) ( \(I, ~!)\) ) w th \(D\) irichlet boundary conditions on \(L\) ( \(I, ~ @!)\) and the \(N\) eum ann oneson \(L((@ I)!\),\() . N ote that inf ( `)\) does not depend on the length of , because the rst (generalised) eigenfunction of the D irichlet Laplacian in a torus or an in nite straight tube is invariant w r.t. to rotations or translations, respectively. Consequently, inf $\left({ }^{`}\right)=0\left(i_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, where $i$ denotes the rst curvature of $\quad$. The spectral threshold of is thus estim ated from below by $m$ in , $O$ ( $i_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and an analysis of the properties of the rst eigenvalue in the torus (Section '(1) show sthat this mim um is equal to $\mathrm{m} \inf 0(\mathrm{max}, i)$; $0\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$ in, $\left.i_{i}\right) \mathrm{g}$ (note that $\eta \quad 0() \mathrm{m}$ ay not be even for a general cross-section !). A $n$ im portant consequence of (geom etric) Lem ma ' 11 below is that this low er bound is not a ected by higher curvatures. Then the general result of $T$ heorem ${ }_{1}^{111}$, follow s by the above procedure at once if one considers the Laplacian through its quadratic form (because the supplem entary $N$ eum ann conditions do not appear explicitly in the form dom ain).

The organisation of the paper is as follows. The tube and the corresponding Laplacian are properly de ned in the prelim inary Section 2_1' In Section ${ }_{12}^{1}$, we prove the geom etric Lem m a ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$ and an interm ediate low er bound,


Theorem $\overline{1} \overline{2}$ and results in Section $\overline{4} \overline{4}$, which is devoted to a detailed analysis of spectral properties of in the case where the reference curve is a circular segm ent. F inally, in Section ' ${ }^{5}$ '1, we sum $m$ arise the results obtained, discuss possible extensions and refer to som e open problem s. W e conclude the paper by com paring our result $w$ th the low er bound found in $[\bar{E} \bar{E} 90 \overline{0}]$ for a special case of in nite tubes in two and three dim ensions.

## 2 Prelim inaries

### 2.1 The reference curve

G iven an open interval I $R$ and an integer $d$ 2, let : I ! $R^{d}$ be a unit-speed $C^{d 1}-s m$ ooth curve satisfying
hH 1i possesses a positively oriented $C^{1}-$ sm ooth Frenet fram e $f e_{1} ;::: ; e_{d} g$ with the properties that $e_{1}=-$ and

$$
\text { 8i2 f1;:::;d } 1 g ; 8 s 2 I ; \quad e_{i}(s) \text { lies in the span of } e_{1}(s) ;::: ; e_{i+1}(s):
$$

Rem ark 1. W e refer to su cient condition to ensure the existence of the Frenet fram e of hH $1 i$ is to require that for all $s .2 R$, the vectors $-(s)$; ${ }^{(2)}(s) ;::: ;{ }^{(d)}{ }^{1)}$ (s) are linearly independent, cf $\mathbb{k}] i=1$, $P$ rop. 122 . $T$ his is alw ays satis ed if $d=2 . H$ ow ever, we prefer not to assum e a priori this non-degeneracy condition ford 3 because then one excludes the curves such that $I_{1}$ lies in a low er-dim ensionalsubspace of $R^{d}$ for som e open $I_{1} \quad$ I. Further com $m$ ents on the assum ption hH 1i w ill be given in the closing section.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{e}_{i}=k_{i j} e_{j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K \quad\left(K_{i j}\right)$ is the skew -sym $m$ etric $d \quad d m$ atrix de ned by

Here $i$ is called the $i^{\text {th }}$ curvature of which is, under our assum ptions, a continuous function of the arc-length param eters 2 I.

### 2.2 Tubes

Let ! be an arbitrary bounded open connected set in $R^{d 1}$. W thout loss of generality, we assum e that! is translated so that its centre of $m$ ass is at the origin. Put $0:=I \quad$ ! and $u:=\left(u_{2} ;::: ; u_{d}\right) 2$ !. We de ne the tube built over as the im age of them apping $L: 0!R^{d}$ de ned in (1, ), i.e. $=L(0)$. A ssum ing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}: 0!\quad: f(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{u}) \mathrm{y} \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{u}) \mathrm{g} \text { is a } \mathrm{C}^{1} \text {-di eom onphism ; } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can identify $w$ ith the $R$ iem annian $m$ anifold ( $0 ; G)$, where $G \quad\left(G_{i j}\right)$ is the $m$ etric tensor induced by the im mersion $L$, i.e. $G_{i j}:=L_{i i} \quad L_{i j}$. (Here and in the sequel, the dot denotes the scalar product in $R^{d}$ and the com $m$ a $w$ th an index im eans the partial derivative w r.t. $\left.x_{i}, x \quad(s ; u) 2 \quad 0.\right) U$ sing (4, (il), we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{h}_{1}:=\mathrm{h}^{2}+\mathrm{hh} \text {; } \\
& h(s ; u)=1 \quad{ }_{1}(s) u_{2} \text {; }  \tag{7}\\
& \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{u})=\mathrm{K} \quad(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{u} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

Furtherm ore, $\mathfrak{j} j:=\operatorname{det} G=h^{2}$ which de nes through dvol $:=h(s ; u) d s d u$ the volum e elem ent of ; here and in the sequel $d u=d u_{2}::: d_{d}$ denotes the (d 1)-dim ensional Lebesgue $m$ easure in !.

It can be checked by induction that the inverse $G^{1} \quad\left(G^{i j}\right)$ of the $m$ etric tensor (TI-1) satis es

Rem ark 2 (Low -dim ensional exam ples). $W$ hen $d=2$, the cross-section ! is an interval, the curve has only one curvature $=1$ and $G$ is diagonal w ith

$$
h(s ; u)=1 \quad \text { (s) } u:
$$

W hen $d=3$, one nds
where $:=1$ and $:=2$ denote the curvature and torsion of , respectively.
$R$ em ark $3(O n$ the assum ption $\overline{(G)}))$. Let $j u j:{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{u \quad u}$ and de ne

$$
a:=\sup _{u 2!} j u j:
$$

By virtue of the inverse function theorem, $L$ is a local C ${ }^{1}$-di eom onphism provided $h$ does not vanish on 0 . It becom es a global di eom onphism if it is required to be in jective in addition. Hence, $(\bar{G})$ holds true provided
$h H 2 i \quad$ (i) $12 L^{1}$ (I) andak ${ }_{1} k_{1}<1$,
(ii) does not overlap itself,
which we shall assum e henceforth. Let us point out two facts. First, if (I) w ere a com pact em bedded curve, then the condition (ii) could alw aysbe achieved for a su ciently sm all. Second, we do not need to assum e the condition (ii) if we consider ( 0 ; G ) as an abstract $R$ iem annian $m$ anifold where only the curve is em bedded in $R^{d}$.

For fiurther purposes, we introduce

$$
!:=f u 2 R^{d 1} j\left(u_{2} ; u_{3} ;::: ; u_{d}\right) 2!g
$$

i.e. the $m$ irror im age of! w.r.t. the hypenplane fu $2 R^{d 1} j u_{2}=0 g$.

### 2.3 T he Laplacian

Introducing the unitary transform ation $7 \quad \mathrm{~L}$; wem ay identify the H ilbert space $L^{2}() w$ th $H:=L^{2}(0$; dvol) and the Laplacian $\overline{2}) \mathrm{w}$ th the self-adjoint operator $H$ associated w ith the quadratic form $Q$ on $H$ de ned by


Here (x) for x 2 @ 0 m eans the corresponding trace of the function on the boundary.

W e have

$$
H=j^{\frac{1}{2}} @_{i} j^{j} \frac{1}{j^{2}} G^{i j} @_{j} ;
$$

which is a general expression for the Laplace-B eltram ioperator in a manifold equipped with a m etric G. H ow ever, we stress that the equality m ust be understood in the form sense if $i$ are not di erentiable (which is the case we are particularly concemed to dealw ith in this paper).

## 3 A $n$ interm ediate low er bound

In this section, we derive an intem ediate low er bound to the spectral threshold of which is crucial for the proof of $T$ heorem $\underline{I}_{1}^{-1}$

It is w orth to notice that one has the decom position

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{h}^{2} ; 1 ;::: ; 1\right)+\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{~T} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ atrix $T$ depends on the higher curvatures, but not on $1_{1}$, in such a way that $T=0$ if $=0$. Hence, if the reference curve is planar (i.e. $=0$ ) then the nom of a covector $2 T_{(s ; u)} 0 w$ r.t. the $m$ etric $G$ is clearly estim ated from below by the norm of its projection to $T_{u}$ ! w r.t. the Euclidean norm, i.e. ${ }_{i} \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{ij}}{ }_{j}$. An important observation is that this property is not in uenced by the presence of higher curvatures:

Lem ma1.One has

$$
G^{1} \quad \operatorname{diag}(0 ; 1 ;::: ; 1)
$$

in the $m$ atrix-inequality sense.
Proof. In view of $(\bar{q})$ and $(\underline{1} \underline{\underline{d}})$, one has $\mathrm{G}^{1} \quad \operatorname{diag}(0 ; 1 ;::: ; 1)=h^{2} A$ where $A:=\operatorname{diag}(1 ; 0 ;::: ; 0)+T$ is positive de nite since

$$
{ }_{i} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{j} \quad{ }_{1}^{2} \quad 2{ }_{1} \mathrm{~h} \quad+(\mathrm{h} \quad)^{2}=\left({ }_{1}+\mathrm{h} \quad\right)^{2} 0
$$

for any $2 R^{d}$.
Lem ma ${ }_{1}^{\prime 1}{ }_{1}^{\prime 1}$ ' has the follow ing crucial corollary. Theorem 2. Suppose the assum ptions hH $1 i$ and $\mathrm{hH} 2 i$ are satis ed. T hen

$$
\inf (\quad) \inf _{s 2 I} 0 \quad 1(s) ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0():=\inf _{2 w_{0}^{1 ; 2}(!)} \frac{R \overline{R^{i}(u)} ;(u)(1}{} u_{2}\right) d u\left(u^{j(u)\}^{f}(1} u_{2}\right) d u \quad: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ds } 0\left({ }_{1}(s)\right) \quad d u j(s ; u) j^{2}\left(1 \quad 1(s) u_{2}\right) \\
& \text { I } \quad Z \quad \text { Z } \\
& \inf _{s 2 I} 0 \quad 1(s) \quad \text { ds } d u j(s ; u) j^{2} \quad\left(1 \quad 1(s) u_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any 2 D om $Q$.

## 4 Toroidal segm ents

In this section, we give a geom etricalm eaning to the quantity ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{2}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ and exam ine its properties, which then yield $T$ heorem $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1} 1$ In particular, the $m$ onotonicity properties of P roposition '1, below establish the bound ( $\left.{ }^{(31}\right)$ ') of $T$ heorem $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$, while the uniform low er bound follows from $P$ roposition , '4, below .

Consider now the situation when $I$ is bounded, $:=1$ is constant and all
$=0$, i.e. is either a circular segm ent of length 䇇jand radius $1=j$ jif $\in 0$ or a straight line of length $\bar{j} j$ jif $=0$. The assum ption hH $2 i$ holds true provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
a j j<1 \quad \text { and } \quad j j \quad 2=j j: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $=2=j j$ then is a circle $w$ th one point rem oved and is a torus of cross-section ! about it ( $m$ ore precisely, depending on the sign of , can be identi ed eitherw ith (C ! ) n ( $f 0 \mathrm{~g}$ !) or (C ! ) n ( $f 0 \mathrm{~g}$ ! ), where C stands for the one-dim ensional sphere of radius $1=j 1$ ).

Let $H$ denote the operator associated w ith ( $\overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{( 1 )}$ ) in this constant case. The spectrum of $H$ consists of discrete eigenvalues which we denote by
where the rst one is positive. Since $K=0$ and 1 is constant, the metric ( $\left.\bar{T} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ is diagonal and independend of the \angular" variable s. C onsequently, the coe cients of $H$ do not depend on $s$ either and the Laplacian can be decom posed w r.t. the angularm om entum subspaces represented by the eigenfunctions of $\frac{I}{N}$, i.e. the $N$ eum ann Laplacian on $L^{2}(I)$.

Lem ma2. Let $n, n 2 N$, denote the norm alised eigenfunction corresponding
 equivalent to the direct sum ${ }_{n 2 N} H_{n}$; where each $H_{n}$ acts on $f_{n g} L^{2}$ !; (1
$\left.u_{2}\right) d u$ and it is de ned in the form sense by
$H_{n}:=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\left(1 \mathrm{u}_{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{1 \mathrm{u}_{2}} @\left(1 \quad \mathrm{u}_{2}\right) @ ; \quad \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}: \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G} \mathrm{W}_{0}^{1 ; 2}(!):$
Furtherm ore, each $H_{n}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator $\hat{H}_{n}$ on $f{ }_{n} g L^{2}$ (!) de ned in the form sense by

$$
\hat{H}_{\mathrm{n}}=1 \quad(\quad \vdots \quad \mathrm{D})+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \quad \mathrm{D} \text { om }\left(\hat{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}:=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{1 ; 2}(!) ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right):=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad{ }^{2}=4}{\left(1 \quad \mathrm{u}_{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad \frac{!}{D}$ denotes the $D$ irichlet Laplacian on $L^{2}(!)$.

Proof. Since is constant, $h(s ; u)$ is independent of $s$ and we have the follow ing natural isom orphism s

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H , } L^{2}(I) \quad L^{2}\left(!;\left(1 \quad u_{2}\right) d u\right) \text {; } \\
& \operatorname{Dom} Q \quad, \quad \operatorname{Dom}\binom{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{~N}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{1 ; 2}\left(!;\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.\left.\mathrm{u}_{2}\right) d \mathrm{~d}\right) \text { : }
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the fam ily $f_{n} g_{n 2 N}$ form $s$ a complete orthonom al basis in $L^{2}(I)$, the $H$ ibert space $H$ adm its a direct sum decomposition $H={ }_{n 2 N} H_{n}$; where $H_{n}:=f_{n}{ }^{2} L^{2}\left(!;\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & u_{2}\end{array}\right) d u\right): N$ oticing that the spacesW ${ }_{0}^{1 ; 2}\left(!;\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & u_{2}\end{array}\right) d u\right)$ and $W_{0}^{1 ; 2}$ (!) can be identi ed as sets, we arrive at the rst claim of the Lem ma because Q [ ] = ( $; \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) for any 2 D om $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The second claim follow sby $m$ eans of the transform ation $\quad 7 \quad\left(1 \quad u_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$; which is unitary from $H_{n}$ to f $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{L}^{2}(!)$ and leaves invariant D om $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Let us recall that the spectrum of $\quad \stackrel{!}{D}$ consists ofdiscrete eigenvalues which we denote by

$$
0<1 \quad \text { n } \quad::: ; \quad \text { n } 2 \mathrm{~N} \text {; }
$$

where the low est eigenvalue 0 is positive.
Lem man is usefiul in order to investigate the spectrum of $H$. Here we employ it just to establish som e properties of the rst eigenvalue. Since the spectrum of a direct sum of self-adjint operators is given by the sum of the
 eigenvalue of $\hat{H}_{0}$ (and $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ ).

The rstobservation is that $0(; i j)$ does not depend on $j$ jbecause $\mathrm{E}_{0}=0$. This fact is easy to understand because $0(; j \mathcal{H})$, with 0 , is nothing else than the rst eigenvalue of the D irichlet Laplacian in a torus of cross section ! and it is known that the corresponding eigenfunction is invariant w.r.t. the rotations around the point of sym $m$ etry ( $0(0 ; j)$ is the spectral threshold of an in nite straight tube of cross-section ! which is equal to o). In fact, as a direct consequence of a variational form ula for the low est eigenvalue of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, we get the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
0(; \text { 讧 } \overline{\text { V }}=0() ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the latter is given by (11-1).
H enceforth, we consider $7 \quad 0$ ( ) as a function on ( $1=a ; 1=a$ ) and ex$a m$ ine its properties by $m$ eans of the second part of Lem $m$ a ${ }_{\underline{L}}^{12}$ (an altemative, equivalent, approach is to $m$ ake the change of trial function $7 \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & u_{2}\end{array}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ directly in (111), which $m$ akes the denom inator of the $R$ ayleigh quotient independent of , while the potential $V_{0}$ appears in the num erator).
$T$ he follow ing result togetherw ith $T$ heorem (2, of $T$ heorem ${ }_{1}^{11}$ 그․

Proposition 1 ( $M$ onoton icity). The function $T \quad O()$ is
(i) continuous on ( $1=a ; 1=a)$;
(ii) increasing on ( $1=\mathrm{a} ; 0$ ];
(iii) decreasing on $[0 ; 1=a)$.

Proof. ad (i). This is im $m$ ediate from the $m$ inim ax principle applied to $\hat{H_{0}}$. ad (ii) and (iii). C alculating

$$
\frac{@ V_{0}}{@}\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right)=\overline{2\left(1 \quad \mathrm{u}_{2}\right)^{3}} ;
$$

we see that the potential $\left(\overline{1}_{13} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ as a function of is increasing for 0 and decreasing for 0 . Theclaim then follow seasily by them in $m$ ax principle.

The follow ing result follow sfrom the fact that the operator $\hat{H}_{0}$ is invariant $w$ r.t. the sim ultaneous change $\eta$ and $u_{2} \eta u_{2}$.

Proposition 2 (Sym m etry). If ! = ! , then the function $\eta \quad 0()$ is even on ( $1=a ; 1=a)$.

W e note that 0 , as an eigenvalue of the $D$ irichlet Laplacian, has the asym ptotics $0=O\left(a^{2}\right)$ as a ! 0 . Since one is dealing with $D$ irichlet boundary conditions on I @!, one expects the sam e behaviour from 0 ( ). W e derive the follow ing asym ptotics.

P roposition 3 ( $T$ h in -w idth asym ptotics). O ne has

$$
0()=0 \frac{1}{4}^{2}+O(a) \text { as a! } 0 \text { : }
$$

Proof. Since $V_{0}\left(u_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4}^{2}+O\left(u_{2}\right)$; the result im m ediately follow s by the $m$ inim ax principle.

Finally, applying the Faber-K rahn inequality to 0 ( ) w ith help of $P$ roposition '11, one obtains the uniform low er bound of $T$ heorem '11.

Proposition 4 (U niform bound). One has

$$
82(1=a ; 1=a) ; \quad 0() \quad c:=\frac{j^{d 1} j}{d j \delta^{1} j a j!j} j_{(d 2)=2 ; 1}^{2} ;
$$

where $j_{(d 2)=2 ; 1}$ denotes the rst zero of the Bessel function $J_{(d 2)=2}$.

## 5 C onclusions

Them ain goalofthispaperw as to derive a low erbound to the spectral threshold of the Laplacian $\left(\overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in curved tubes $(\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$. O ur T heorem ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ ' states that this bound is given by 0() , i.e. the lowest eigenvalue of the $D$ irichlet Laplacian in a torus of curvature, w ith being determ ined uniquely by the rst curvature of the reference curve and the tube cross-section. It follow $s$ from Section ' $\overline{4} 1$ that

70() is a decreasing function (cf P roposition '11'), i.e. bending dim in ishes
the lower bound (see also P roposition ${ }^{(131)}$ ). A nother interesting observation is that the low er bound does not depend on higher curvatures of the reference curve (technically, this is a consequence of Lem m a '1-1), i.e. tw isting does not dim in ish the lower bound.

W e note that Proposition $\overline{1}$ yields inf ( ) $0\left(k_{1} k_{1}\right)$ provided ! $=!$, and P roposition ${ }_{1-1}^{13} \mathrm{im}$ plies asym ptotics of the low er bound for thin tubes.

It follow s im $m$ ediately from the $m$ inim ax principle that the low er bound of $T$ heorem '11 also applies to other boundary conditions im posed on L ((@) !), e.g., D irichlet, R obin, periodic, etc.

A dapting the approach of Section , $\overline{1 / 4}$ to the case ofD irichlet boundary conditions im posed everyw here on @ , one reveals interesting isoperim etric inequalities for the rst eigenvalue, denoted here by ${ }_{0}^{D}(; j \mathcal{H})$, of the Laplacian in a toroidal segm ent of curvature, length jijand cross-section !. In particu-
 whole torus w ith a supplem entary D irichlet condition im posed on a transverse cross-section ! (cf the beginning of Section ${ }_{(1,4)}^{(4)}$ ) This m inim um is equal to the rst eigenvalue o of the D irichlet Laplacian in ! and therefore it depends neither on $j \bar{j} j$ nor on the rotations of!. At the sametim e, it can be shown that
 if $d=2$, one can modify the proof of $T$ heorem 2 in [Uau98] and show that the $m$ axim um is attained for $=0$, i.e. when is a rectangle. An open problem is to prove (or disprove) the $m$ onotonicity on $\left[0 ; 4 a^{2}=j \frac{1}{3}\right]$.

Let us also $m$ ention that the lower bound of $T$ heorem '1 is optim al in the sense that the equality is achieved for a tube geom etry (a torus or a straight tube). H ow ever, the question about an optim al low er bound in an unbounded curved tube is m ore di cult and rem ains open.
 our hypothesis hH 1i allows us to consider som e curves which do not possess a distinguished Frenet fram e. H ow ever, there still exist curves for which the hypothesis hH 1i fails; see [Spilg, C hap. 1, p. 34] for an exam ple of such a (C ${ }^{1}$ sm ooth but not analytic) curve in $R^{3}$. W thout going into details, let us only $m$ ention that the hypothesis hH $1 i$ is not necessary for the low er bound ( $\bar{i}$ ) to hold. For instance, using a $N$ eum ann bracketing argum ent, it su ces to assum e that the hypothesis hH 1i is satis ed \piece-w ise"; this may happen if there are isolated points when som e of the curvatures vanish.

Let us conclude this paper by com paring the result of $T$ heorem ${ }_{1}^{11}$ w ith the low er bound established in $[A$ cross-section was circular and the discrete spectrum of was not em pty but nite. The results of [AE-90] read as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(3^{1 \mathrm{~N}} \quad\left(j_{0 ; 1}=j_{1 ; 1}\right)^{2} 0 \quad 3^{1 \mathrm{~N}} 0: 3939 \quad 0 \text { if } d=2\right. \text {; } \\
& \text { inf ( ) } \quad=j_{3=2 ; 1}{ }^{2} 0 \quad 0: 4888 \quad 0 \quad \text { if } d=3 ; N=1 \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

where N is the num ber of discrete eigenvalues (counting multiplicity). O ur
uniform low er bound given by P roposition $\overline{4}$ can be written as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{llllll} 
& \left(j_{0 ; 1}=\right)^{2} & 0 & 0: 5860 & 0 & \\
(2=(3 & )
\end{array}\right)^{2=3} \quad j_{1=2 ; 1}=j_{0 ; 1}{ }_{2}^{2}, ~ \begin{aligned}
& \text { if } d=2 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

which is evidently better and applies to tubes with an in nite num ber, or w ithout any, discrete eigenvalues, too; we also em phasise that we have com pared
 inf ( ) is contained in ( $\overline{3}$ ) of our $T$ heorem $\overline{1}$,
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