## Supersymmetry and M odels for Two K inds of Interacting Particles

Thom as Guhry and Heiner Kohlerz

y M atem atisk Fysik, LTH, Lunds Universitet, Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden

z Institut fur theoretische Physik, Universitat Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 19, Heidelberg, Germany

(D ated: M arch 27, 2024)

We show that Calogero {Sutherland models for interacting particles have a natural supersymmetric extension. For the construction, we use Jacobians which appear in certain superspaces. Some of the resulting H am iltonians have a direct physics interpretation as models for two kinds of interacting particles. One model may serve to describe interacting electrons in a lower and upper band of a quasi{one{dimensional sem iconductor, another model corresponds to two kinds of particles con ned to two di erent spatial directions with an interaction involving tensor forces.

PACS num bers: 05.30.-d,05.30 Fk,02.20.-a,02.30 Px K eywords: interacting particles, supersymmetry, statisticalmechanics

Calogero [1, 2] and Sutherland [3] introduced models for interacting particles, which have much in common with a group theoretical construction by D yson [4]. Various modi cations of these models have been studied, see the detailed reviews in R efs. [5, 6] and references therein. A typical model of the Calogero {Sutherland type is dened by the Schrodinger equation for N particles in one dimension at positions  $x_n$ ; n = 1; :::; N,

$$H_{N}^{()}(\mathbf{x}; ) = \begin{array}{c} X^{N} & : \\ & 2 & () \\ & n & N \end{array}$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{X^{N}}{\mathop{}_{n=1}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{\mathcal{Q} \mathbf{x}_{n}^{2}} + \frac{2}{2} = 1 \frac{X}{\mathop{}_{n < m}} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \mathbf{x}_{m})^{2}} : (2)$$

The many particle wavefunction  $\binom{()}{N}$  (x; ) depends on N quantum numbers n; n = 1;:::;N whose squares add up to the energy on the right hand side of Eq. (1). The Ham iltonian (2) consists of a kinetic and a distance dependent interaction term. The strength is measured by the parameter , the interaction vanishes for = 0 and = 2. U sually, one adds N con ning potentials to the Ham iltonian (2). This renders the system a bound state problem . A part from this, they do not signi cantly a ect the structure of the m odel. Thus, we will not work with con ning potentials in the sequel.

In this contribution, we present a most natural extension of these Calogero {Sutherland models. In our construction, we employ superspaces. We will arrive at various new models which are likely to be exactly solvable. Calogero {Sutherland models were related to supersym metric quantum mechanics in Refs. [7, 8]. Our approach and the ensuing models are di erent from this. Our models also extend a recent supersymmetric construction [9, 10]. Importantly, our models allow for a physics interpretation which is also most natural. One of the models describes a quasi{one{dim ensional problem, the other one a two{dim ensional one. One has previously tried to generalize the models of the type (1) to higher space dim ensions [11, 12]. Again, our construction and the results are di erent from this.

To prepare for the derivation of the new models in superspace, we brie y sketch the group theoretical connection for the models in ordinary space. W ith the Vandem onde determ inant  $_N(x) = \int_{n < m} (x_n - x_m)$ , the Jacobian on the space of the real(symmetric N - N, the Herm itean N - N and the quaternion self(dual 2N - 2N m atrices labeled with the parameter = 1;2;4, respectively, takes the form j \_ N(x) j. These spaces are non{ com pact forms of the symmetric spaces U(N)=0(N), U(N)=1 and U(2N)=Sp(2N). The corresponding radial Laplace{Beltram ioperator reads

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{1}{j_{N}(x)j} \frac{\theta}{\theta x_{n}} j_{N}(x)j \frac{\theta}{\theta x_{n}} : \qquad (3)$$

The equation  $x \stackrel{()}{N}(x; ) = P_{N-2} \stackrel{()}{n=1} \stackrel{()}{n} (x; )$ for the eigenfunction  $\stackrel{()}{N}(x; )$  is mapped onto the N particle Schrödinger equation (1) with the ansatz  $\stackrel{()}{N}(x;k) = \stackrel{()}{N}(x;k) = \stackrel{=2}{N}(x) \stackrel{=2}{N}(x)$ . The parameter is now viewed as positive and continuous, such that the coordinates  $x_n; n = 1; \dots; N$  span a space more general than the (radial parts of) the symmetric spaces.

х

For the supersymmetric generalization, we consider the two sets of  $k_1$  variables  $s_{p1}$ ;  $p=1;\ldots;k_1$  and of  $k_2$  variables  $s_{p2}$ ;  $p=1;\ldots;k_2$  and the function

$$B_{k_1k_2}(s) = \frac{Q_{p < q}(s_{p1} - s_{q1})^{-1} Q_{p < q}(s_{p2} - s_{q2})^{-2}}{Q_{p < q}(s_{p1} - cs_{q2})^{-1} Q_{p < q}(s_{p1} - cs_{q2})^{-1}}; (4)$$

with the parameters  $_1$ ;  $_2$  0 and c = i. For certain values of these parameters, Eq. (4) is the Jacobian (or Berezinian) [13] on symmetric superspaces. In the case  $_1 = _2 = 2$  and c = +i, the function (4) is the Jacobian on Hermitean supermatrices, i.e. on the non{ compact form of U ( $k_1=k_2$ )=1 [14, 15], where U ( $k_1=k_2$ ) is

E lectronic address: thom as guhr@ m atfys.lth.se; E lectronic address: kohler@ tphys.uni-heidelberg.de

the unitary supergroup [13, 16, 17]. A part from an absolute value sign which is unimportant here, the choices  $_1 = 1$ ,  $_2 = 4$ , c = +i and  $_1 = 4$ ,  $_2 = 1$ , c = -i in Eq. (4) yield the Jacobians [14, 18] for the two forms of the symm etric superspace G  $l(k_1=2k_2)=0$  Sp  $(k_1=2k_2)$ , respectively. They are denoted A IJA II and A IIJA I in the classi cation of R ef. [19]. Here, G  $l(k_1=2k_2)$  is the general linear supergroup and 0 Sp  $(k_1=2k_2)$  is the orthosym plectic supergroup [13, 16, 17]. The imaginary unit in the parameter c stems from a W ick {type{of rotation of the variables  $s_{p2}$ , which was performed for a convergence reason [14]. A lthough not needed here, we keep it for now to m ake the notation com patible with the literature. The function (4) induces the operator

$$s = p \frac{1}{1} \frac{X^{k_{1}}}{1} \frac{1}{B_{k_{1}k_{2}}(s)} \frac{\theta}{\theta s_{p1}} B_{k_{1}k_{2}}(s) \frac{\theta}{\theta s_{p1}} + \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{2}} \frac{X^{k_{2}}}{1} \frac{1}{B_{k_{1}k_{2}}(s)} \frac{\theta}{\theta s_{p2}} B_{k_{1}k_{2}}(s) \frac{\theta}{\theta s_{p2}} : (5)$$

The prefactors  $1 = \frac{p_{-1}}{1}$  and  $1 = \frac{p_{-2}}{2}$  in front of the sum s are such that s becomes the radial Laplace{Beltram i operator on the three symmetric superspaces mentioned above for the corresponding choices of the parameters 1, 2 and c. However, we emphasize that arbitrary positive values for 1 and 2 will be considered in the sequel, while the parameter cremains restricted to c = i. We map the eigenvalue equation for the operator s with eigenfunctions  $\binom{(c_{i-1}; 2)}{k_{i,k_{2}}}$  (s;r) onto the equation

where the wavefunctions are related by the ansatz  $\binom{(c; 1; 2)}{k_1 k_2}(s;r) = \binom{(c; 1; 2)}{k_1 k_2}(s;r) = (B_{k_1 k_2}(s)B_{k_1 k_2}(r))^{1=2}$ . The resulting operator reads

$$\mathbf{f}^{\mathbf{f}} = \frac{1}{p} \frac{X^{k_{1}}}{\sum_{p=1}^{l} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta s_{p1}^{2}}} \frac{p}{p} \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{k_{2}}}{\sum_{p=1}^{l} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta s_{p2}^{2}}} + \frac{X^{k_{2}}}{\sum_{p=1}^{l} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta s_{p2}^{2}}} + \frac{Y^{k_{2}}}{\sum_{p < q} \frac{g_{22}}{(s_{p2} - s_{q2})^{2}}} \frac{X^{k_{2}}}{(s_{p1} - s_{q1})^{2}} + \frac{g_{22}}{\sum_{p < q} \frac{g_{22}}{(s_{p2} - s_{q2})^{2}}}$$
(7)

with constants

$$g_{jj} = \frac{p}{j} \frac{j}{2} 1 ; j = 1;2;$$

$$g_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{1} \frac{p}{2} \frac{p}{2} \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{12} + 1 : (8)$$

The constant on the right hand side of Eq. (6) is interpreted as energy later on. We write it in terms of two sets of variables  $r_{p1}$ ; p = 1; :::; $k_1$  and  $r_{p2}$ ; p = 1; :::; $k_2$  to which we refer as quantum num bers. It turns out convenient to take the scaling factors  $1 = \frac{1}{1}$  and  $1 = \frac{1}{2}$  into the de nition. In Ref. [9], a sim ilar construction was performed. However, the resulting operator depends on one parameter only. The natural dependence on two strength parameters 1 and 2 is an essential point in the present study. Thus, our approach contains the one in Ref. [9] as a special case.

The fam ily ofm odels (7) ought to be exactly solvable. For the parameter values corresponding to the symmetric superspaces, the wavefunctions can be written as supergroup integrals [15, 20, 21]. Thus, the wavefunctions are uniquely characterized by the two sets of quantum numbers  $r_{p1}$ ; p = 1; ...;  $k_1$  and  $r_{p2}$ ; p = 1; ...;  $k_2$ . This feature should extend to all values  $_1$ ;  $_2$  0, since the operators  $_s$  and if are analytic in the upper right quadrant of the complex plane  $_1 + i_2$ . However, a nal statem ent needs more mathematical work.

W e present a physical interpretation. The operators s and IP are not H erm itean, which is solely due to the W ick{type{of rotation mentioned above. W e consider c = + i and undo this rotation by replacing  $is_{p2}$  with  $s_{p2}$ . By also introducing the momenta  $_{p1} = i0 = 0 s_{p1}$  and  $_{p2} = i0 = 0 s_{p2}$ , we transform the operator (7) into the H erm itean H am iltonian for two kinds of  $k_1$  particles at positions  $s_{p1}$ ; p = 1;:::; $k_1$  and  $k_2$  particles at positions  $s_{p2}$ ; p = 1;:::; $k_2$  on the s axis,

$$H = \frac{X_{1}^{1}}{p=1} \frac{\frac{2}{p1}}{2m_{1}} + \frac{X_{2}}{p=1} \frac{\frac{2}{p2}}{2m_{2}} + \frac{X}{p < q} \frac{g_{11}}{(s_{p1} \ s_{q1})^{2}} \\ \frac{X}{q_{22}} \frac{g_{22}}{(s_{p2} \ s_{q2})^{2}} \frac{X}{p_{2q}} \frac{g_{12}}{(s_{p1} \ s_{q2})^{2}} ; (9)$$

with now canonical conjugate variables satisfying  $[p_j; s_{q1}] = p_{q-j1}$ . We notice that the mass  $m_1 = \frac{1-4}{1-4}$  is positive, while the mass  $m_2 = \frac{1-4}{2-4}$  is negative. The interactions are according to Eq. (8) repulsive or attractive, depending on the choices for 1 and 2. The particles of the same kind interact, this interaction vanishes for 1 = 2 or 2 = 2. Two particles of di erent kind also interact. This interaction vanishes for 1 = 2 or  $k_1 = 0$  or  $k_2 = 0$ , we recover the models (2).

The Ham iltonian (9) m ay also serve to model the motion of electrons in a quasi{one{dim ensional sem iconductor, see Fig. 1. The particles at positions  $s_{p1}$  with positive m ass m<sub>1</sub> are identi ed with the electrons subject to a periodic potential in the upper band close to the gap. The electrons in the lower band have a dispersion relation  $_{k}$  as function of the wave number k whose second derivative, i.e. the inverse m ass, is negative [22]. They are identi ed with the particles at positions  $s_{p2}$  that have negative m ass m<sub>2</sub>.

The models (2) are based on the ordinary unitary group and on associated symmetric spaces. There are other models in ordinary space related to the ordinary



FIG.1: Electrons in the upper (black circles) and lower (open circles) band of a quasi(one(dimensional sem iconductor. The dispersion relations  $_k$  as function of the wave number k are indicated by the parabola and the inverted parabola. The particles are then m apped onto the s axis in the bottom part.

orthogonal and sym plectic groups [5]. They have a natural supersymm etric extension as well. We introduce the two sets of  $2k_1$  variables  $s_{p1}$ ; p = 1;:::; $k_1$  and of  $2k_2$  variables  $s_{p2}$ ; p = 1;:::; $2k_2$ . We notice that the num ber of variables in the second set has to be even. Instead of  $2k_1$ , we could also consider an odd num ber  $2k_1 + 1$ . A s the ensuing m odels di er only slightly, we restrict ourselves to  $2k_1$ . We study the function

$$\frac{C_{2k_{1}2k_{2}}(s) = Q_{p < q}(s_{p1}^{2} + s_{q1}^{2})^{-1} Q_{p < q}(s_{p2}^{2} + s_{q2}^{2})^{-2} Q_{p = 1}^{k_{2}} s_{p2}^{2}}{Q_{p = 1}^{2} (s_{p1}^{2} + s_{q2}^{2})^{-1}}; (10)$$

which is the Jacobian (or Berezinian) on the supergroup O Sp  $(2k_1=2k_2)$  for  $_1 = _2 = 2$ , see a derivation in Ref. [23]. For  $_1 = 1$ ,  $_2 = 4$  and  $_1 = 4$ ,  $_2 = 1$ , Eq. (10) gives the Jacobians on the two forms of the symmetric superspace O Sp  $(2k_1=2k_2)=G l(k_1=k_2)$  which are named C ID III and D IIIC I in Ref. [19]. We proceed exactly as before and derive an eigenvalue equation of the form (6) where the operator now reads

$$If = \frac{1}{p_{-1}} \frac{X^{i_1}}{e_{p-1}} \frac{e^2}{e_{p-1}^{i_1}} \frac{p_{-1}}{p_{-1}} \frac{X^{i_2}}{e_{p-1}^{i_1}} \frac{e^2}{e_{p-1}^{i_2}}$$

$$g_{11} \frac{X}{e_{p-1}} \frac{2s_{p1}^2 + 2s_{q1}^2}{s_{p1}^2 + s_{q1}^2}$$

$$+ g_{22} \frac{X}{e_{p-1}} \frac{2s_{p2}^2 + 2s_{q2}^2}{s_{p2}^2 + s_{q2}^2} + \frac{X^{i_2}}{e_{p-1}} \frac{1}{2s_{p2}^2}$$

$$g_{12} \frac{X}{e_{p-1}} \frac{2s_{p1}^2 - 2s_{q2}^2}{s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2} + \frac{X}{e_{p-1}} \frac{2h_{12}}{s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2}; (11)$$

with  $g_{11}$ ,  $g_{22}$  and  $g_{12}$  as given in Eq. (8) and with

$$h_{12} = \frac{1^p}{4} \prod_{j=2}^{p} p_{j=1} p_{j=2} p_{j=2}$$
 (12)

The operator (11) remains invariant when replacing any of the variables by its negative. Due to this symmetry,  $H^{\circ}$  itself is an H erm itean operator and can be viewed as a H am iltonian, there is no need to undo the W ick{type{of rotation. W e now come to a physical interpretation. In a straightforward calculation, the H am iltonian H = 2H^{\circ} can be cast into the form

Η

$$= \frac{\hat{X}^{k_{1}}}{p_{p=1}} \frac{\frac{2}{p_{1}}}{2m_{1}} + \frac{\hat{X}^{k_{2}}}{p_{p=1}} \frac{\frac{2}{p_{2}}}{2m_{2}} + \frac{\hat{X}^{k_{1}}}{p_{p=1}} \frac{f_{1}}{s_{p1}^{2}} + \frac{\hat{X}^{k_{2}}}{p_{p=1}} \frac{f_{2}}{s_{p2}^{2}} + \frac{X}{p_{p=1}} \frac{h_{11}}{s_{p1}^{2}} + \frac{X}{p_{q=1}} \frac{h_{22}}{(s_{p2} - s_{q2})^{2}} \\ \times \frac{h_{12}}{p_{pq}} \frac{s_{p1}^{2} + s_{q2}^{2}}{s_{p1}^{2} + s_{q2}^{2}} + \frac{X}{p_{q=1}} \frac{h_{q=1}}{s_{p1}^{2} + s_{q2}^{2}} : (13)$$

W e exploit the sym m etry by writing H as a H am iltonian for  $2k_1$  plus  $2k_2$  particles, i.e.  $k_1$  plus  $k_2$  pairs of particles sitting at positions ( $s_{p1}$ ; +  $s_{p1}$ ) on the  $s_1$  axis and ( $s_{p2}$ ; +  $s_{p2}$ ) on the  $s_2$  axis. This is a two{dimensional situation. Here, we assume that the initial condition is invariant under m intor me ection of the positions  $s_{p1}$  and  $s_{p2}$ . Each particle on the  $s_j$  axis carries a (xed and non{ quantized) dipole vector  $\sim_j = (\cos \#_j; \sin \#_j); j = 1; 2$ . The masses  $m_j = \underbrace{p_j = 1; 2}_{j=4}; j = 1; 2$  are both positive. The interaction comprises three parts. First, there are central forces with strengths

$$f_1 = +\frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{2} = 1$$
 and  $f_2 = -\frac{2}{8} - \frac{2}{2} = 1$  :  
(14)

Second, there are distance dependent forces between the particles on the same axis with strengths

$$h_{jj} = {p - j \over j} {j - 1 + 2 \cos 2\#_j}; j = 1;2$$
 (15)

and there is a distance dependent force between the particles on di erent axes with strength  $h_{12}$  as given by Eq. (12). Third, there are tensor or dipole{dipole forces. The last term of the Ham iltonian (13) is a two{ dimensional dipole (dipole interaction [24] between the particles on di erent axes. The unit vector  $\mathbf{e}_{pq}$  points from the particle p on the  $s_1$  axis to the particle q on the  $s_2$  axis. The strength of the dipoles follows from the relation  $2\cos(\#_1 + \#_2) = 2g_{12}$  with  $g_{12}$  as de ned in Eq. (8). Consistently, the tensor force also acts between the dipoles on the same axis. As the latter are parallel, the tensor force acquires a form identical to the distance dependent interaction. This explains the additional term in the constants  $h_{jj}$ ; j = 1;2 as compared to the constants  $g_{ij}$ . In Fig. 2 we illustrate the model. O ther choices of the param eters are also possible, leading, for example, to di erent angles of the dipoles on the positive and negative side of the same axis, see Fig. 2.



FIG. 2: Two realizations of the two{dimensional model. Left:  $2k_1 = 4$  particles on the  $s_1$  axis and  $2k_2 = 6$  particles on the  $s_2$  axis. The dipole vectors on the same axis have the same direction. Tensor forces are indicated as thicker and thinner dashed lines, corresponding to the strength of the force. R ight: a case with di erent directions of the dipole vectors on di erent sides of the same axis.

Again, the fam ily of models (13) should be exactly solvable. For the parameter values corresponding to  $0 \text{ Sp}(2k_1=2k_2)$ , the wavefunctions are supergroup integrals [23]. Analytical continuation in  $_1 + \text{i}_2$  should be possible. A rigorous proof has yet to be given.

To gain some rst intuition for the solutions of these models, we consider the simplest case  $k_1 = k_2 = 1$  and c = +i of Eq. (6). The operator (7) has then a simple structure which yields the wavefunction

- [1] F.Calogero, J.M ath. Phys 10, 2191 (1969).
- [2] F.Calogero, J.M ath. Phys 12, 419 (1971).
- [3] B.M. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A 5, 1372 (1972).
- [4] F.J.D yson, J.M ath. Phys 1, 1191 (1962).
- [5] M.A.Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelom ov, Phys. Rept. 94, 313 (1983).
- [6] F. Calogero, Many (Body Problems Amenable to Exact Treatments (Springer, Berlin, 2001).
- [7] M.V. Io e and A.I. Neelov, J. Phys. A 33, 1581 (2000).
- [8] P.D esrosiers, L.Lapointe, and P.M athieu, Nucl. Phys.
   B 606, 547 (2001).
- [9] A. N. Sergeev and A. P. Veselov, math-ph/0303025 (2003).
- [10] A.N. Sergeev, J.Nonlinear M ath. Phys. 8, 59 (2001).
- [11] P.K.Gosh, Phys.Lett.A 229, 203 (1997).
- [12] A.Khare, Phys. Lett. A 245, 14 (1998).
- [13] F.A. Berezin, Introduction to Superanalysis, vol. 9 of M PAM (D.Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987).
- [14] K.B.Efetov, Adv.Phys. 32, 53 (1983).
- [15] T.Guhr, J.M ath. Phys. 32, 336 (1991).
- [16] V.C.Kac, Commun.Math.Phys.53, 31 (1977).

 $\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{\text{H}}_{1}\underbrace{\text{ere, H}}_{2} = 2 + 1 \underbrace{=}_{2} \underbrace{\text{and we}}_{2}\underbrace{\text{use the dimensionless, complex}}_{1} \underbrace{\text{variable } z = (\underbrace{-2r_{11}}_{2} i \underbrace{1}_{1} i \underbrace{r_{12}})(s_{11} i \underbrace{s_{12}}) = (\underbrace{-2}_{2} \underbrace{r_{1}}). \end{array}$ The appearance of the dimensionless, even in the denominator in Eq. (16) is typical for superspaces, see Refs. [21]. In ordinary space, those dimensions are found in the numerator, see Refs. [26, 27]. In particular, this a ects the behavior of the wavefunctions at the origin. W ork on further analytical results is in progress.

In conclusion, we derived natural supersymmetric extensions of models for interacting particles. The corresponding physics is most natural as well, involving two kinds of particles. We presented two possible applications, a quasi(one(dim ensional and a two(dim ensional one. From a more general perspective, one might say that our results yield a conceptually new interpretation of supersymmetry. In high {energy physics, the physicalbosons and ferm ions are represented by commuting and anticommuting variables, see Ref. [28]. This is also so in the interacting boson {ferm ion model [29] of nuclear physics. In chaotic and disordered system s [14], the commuting and anticommuting variables serve to considerably reduce the numbers of the degrees of freedom, they are not seen as physical particles. Here, we showed that the radial coordinates on certain superspaces can be viewed as the positions of interacting particles.

This work was nished at the Centro Internacional de Ciencias (CIC) in Cuernavaca, Mexico. We thank Director Thom as Seligm an for hospitality. TG acknow ledges nancial support form the Swedish Research Council.

- [17] V.C.Kac, Adv.Math.26, 8 (1977).
- [18] T.Guhr, Ann. Phys. (NY) 250, 145 (1996).
- [19] M.R.Zimbauer, J.M ath. Phys. 37, 4986 (1996).
- [20] T.Guhr, Commun.Math.Phys. 176, 555 (1996).
- [21] T.Guhr and H.Kohler, J.M ath. Phys 43, 2741 (2002).
- [22] C.K ittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics (John W iley & Sons, New York, 1968), 3rd ed.
- [23] T.Guhrand H.Kohler, J.M ath. Phys. (in press) (2004).
- [24] A.Bohr and B.R.M ottelson, Nuclear Structure I (W. A.Benjam in Inc., New York, 1969).
- [25] M. Abram ow itz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of MathematicalFunctions (Dover, New York, 1972), 9th ed.
- [26] T.Guhr and H.Kohler, m ath-ph/0011007 (2000).
- [27] T.Guhr and H.Kohler, J.M ath. Phys 43, 2707 (2002).
- [28] D. A. Perkins, Introduction to High Energy Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000), 4th ed.
- [29] F. Iachello and P. Van Isacker, The Interacting Boson { Ferm ion Model (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991).