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#### Abstract

We use AdS/CFT inspired methods to study the Racah coefficients for type I representations of the Lorentz group $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ with $d>1$. For such representations (a multiple of) the Racah coefficient can be represented as an integral of a product of 6 bulk-to-bulk propagators over 4 copies of the hyperbolic space $H_{d+1}$. To compute the integrals we represent the bulk-to-bulk propagators in terms of bulk-to-boundary ones. The bulk integrals can be computed explicitly, and the boundary integrations are carried out by introducing Feynman parameters. The final result is an integral representation of the Racah coefficient given by 4 Barnes-Mellin type integrals.


## 1. Introduction and the Main Result

Racah or Racah-Wigner (RW) coefficients or, as they are often called, $6 j$-symbols are important objects in group representation theory. They depend on 6 irreducible representations of the group and are obtained by multiplying 4 Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and summing over the basis labels. Explicit formulas for RW coefficients are available for the case of group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. They are given by a (finite) generalized hypergeometric series ${ }_{4} F_{3}(1)$ of unit argument [1]. RW coefficients for other compact groups, especially the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(n)$ and the rotation group $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ have also been computed. For non-compact groups these coefficients have received much less attention. This has to do with the envisaged physical application of the RW coefficients: they figure prominently in the lattice approach to QCD. As QCD concerns itself with compact gauge groups such as $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ there is no field theoretic motivation to compute the non-compact RW coefficients. This situation changed with the introduction of the spin foam models of quantum gravity [2]. Here of physical interest are exactly models with non-compact groups such as the Lorentz group. These models use RW and other similar coefficients in an essential way [3]. It thus became of importance to study and derive explicit expressions for the non-compact RW coefficients. Of particular interest are the Lorentz group RW coefficients. This is the problem that is considered in the present paper. Another obvious complication that arises in the non-compact case is that the unitary representations are infinite-dimensional. Sums of the compact case get replaced by integrals; the problem requires a more careful analytical treatment, including a careful analysis of the convergence of all the expressions. This paper gives such a treatment for $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ RW coefficients for type I representations, for $d>1$. Here $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ denotes the connected component of $\mathrm{SO}(1, d+1)$. We shall assume $d>1$ throughout the paper when not explicitly mentioned otherwise.

Motivations for considering the RW coefficients for type I representations are two-fold: (i) these are the representations that are of importance for quantum gravity applications [3] 4]; (ii) RW coefficients for type I representations can be
obtained from a certain integral over several copies of the hyperbolic space. As we show in this paper, these integrals and thus the RW coefficients can be given in terms of 4 Barnes-Mellin type integrals. One may be able to use this integral representation for an analytic continuation, and in this way obtain RW coefficients for other representations.

We recall here some basic facts about $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ representation theory 5 . Representations of type I form the most degenerate series of representations. At the same time they are the simplest and the most studied ones. These irreducible unitary representations appear in the decomposition of the space $L^{2}\left(H_{d+1}\right)$ of square integrable functions on the hyperbolic space $H_{d+1}$ into irreducible representations. Thus, type I representations can be realized in the space of $L^{2}\left(H_{d+1}\right)$ functions. It is this realization that will be the starting point for our analysis.

These representations can also be realized in the space of homogeneous functions on the light cone in Minkowski space $M^{1, d+1}$. We shall refer to minus degree of homogeneity by the conformal dimension $\Delta$ of the representation. For representations of type I:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=d / 2+i \rho, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is another important series of unitary representations with real integral $\Delta$. We shall not consider them here. We shall also require a notion of the dual representation. Its conformal dimension $\bar{\Delta}$ is such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta+\bar{\Delta}=d \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual representation is an equivalent representation.
A brief description of the logic of the paper is as follows. We represent the RW coefficient as an integral over 4 copies of the hyperbolic space of a product of 6 "propagators", the corresponding expression to be given in the main text. This way of representing the $6 j$-symbols was proposed in 4] and later explored in papers by many authors, in particular [3] and 6]. In paper [3] the bulk-to-bulk propagator was represented as a composition of two "bulk-to-boundary" ones. This representation will be of central importance for us in this paper. The terminology "bulk-to-boundary" and "bulk-to-bulk" is that used in the AdS/CFT context [7].

Replacing each bulk-to-bulk propagator by a composition of two bulk-to-boundary ones and using the usual field theoretic trick of Feynman parameters, all the integrals over the hyperbolic space as well as over the boundary can be taken. Moreover, as we shall see, all the integrals over the Feynman parameters can be taken as well, at the expense of introducing 4 Barnes-Mellin type integrals. The expression we end up with has these 4 integrals remaining. Our final result for the RW coefficient is:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(6 \Delta)=K_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}} K_{\Delta_{4}, \bar{\Delta}_{2}, \bar{\Delta}_{3}, \bar{\Delta}_{5}, \bar{\Delta}_{6}} \int \frac{d \lambda d s}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int \frac{d \lambda^{\prime} d s^{\prime}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \Gamma(-s) \Gamma(-\lambda) \Gamma\left(-s^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(-\lambda^{\prime}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
\Gamma(\gamma-s) \Gamma(\delta-\lambda) \Gamma(\alpha+s+\lambda) \Gamma(\beta+s+\lambda) \\
\Gamma\left(\gamma^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\delta^{\prime}-\lambda^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha^{\prime}+s^{\prime}+\lambda^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta^{\prime}+s^{\prime}+\lambda^{\prime}\right) \\
\pi^{d / 2} \frac{\Upsilon_{d}\left(\lambda-s^{\prime}-\lambda^{\prime}-A\right) \Upsilon_{d}\left(\lambda^{\prime}-s-\lambda+A\right)}{\Upsilon_{d}\left(-s-s^{\prime}\right)},
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon_{d}(x)=\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(d / 2-x)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration contour in each of the four variables is parallel to the imaginary axis at $\operatorname{Re}(z)=r$, where the parameter $r$ can be chosen freely in the interval $-d / 8<r<0$. Various quantities that appear in (1.3) are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2}, \quad \alpha^{\prime}=\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{4}+\bar{\Delta}_{6}-\bar{\Delta}_{2}}{2}, \\
& \beta=\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}{2}, \quad \beta^{\prime}=\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{4}+\bar{\Delta}_{3}-\bar{\Delta}_{5}}{2}, \\
& \gamma=\frac{\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}{2}, \quad \gamma^{\prime}=\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{2}-\bar{\Delta}_{3}+\bar{\Delta}_{5}-\bar{\Delta}_{6}}{2},  \tag{1.5}\\
& \delta=\frac{\Delta_{1}-\bar{\Delta}_{1}}{2}, \quad \delta^{\prime}=\frac{\Delta_{4}-\bar{\Delta}_{4}}{2}, \\
& A=\frac{\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{4}+\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{5}-d}{2}, \\
& K_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}^{2} \\
& =\frac{\pi^{3 d / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{5}+\Delta_{6}-d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{1}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{5}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{1}}{2}\right)}{4 \Gamma^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{5}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{6}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

As is shown in the main text, for type I representations the Barnes-Mellin integrals in (1.3) converge in absolute value, so that this formula gives a well-defined representation of the RW coeffcient. The expression (1.3) can potentially be used for numerical study of the $6 j$-symbol as well as for the study of the asymptotics. We do not consider these problems in the present paper.

Given the answer (1.3) for the RW coefficient one may ask if it is "as expected" from general considerations. For instance, it can be expected that the non-compact case answer can be obtained by some "analytic continuation" from the one of the compact case. By "analytic continuation" one could mean some procedure of rather free manipulation with the compact answer by replacing the finite sums with integrals and continuing the representation labels to complex values. For example, the answer for the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{RW}$ coefficient is given by a single finite sum. Should one expect the $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1,2)$, and more generally $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$, RW coefficient to be given by a single integral? Here we would like to argue against this expectation.

As is clear from the computation of the $6 j$-symbol given in [1], the fact that representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ are highest weight is used in an essential way. The idea of the computation is as follows. Consider a product of 3 Clebsch-Gordan coefficients contracted to form a "star-triangle". When the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are appropriately normalized, this star-triangle is proportional to a single ClebschGordan coefficient with the proportionality coefficient being the $6 j$-symbol:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m_{i j}} C_{m_{1} m_{12} m_{13}}^{l_{1} l_{12} l_{13}} C_{m_{2} m_{23} m_{12}}^{l_{2} l_{23} l_{12}} C_{m_{3} m_{13} m_{23}}^{l_{3} l_{13} l_{23}}=(6 j) C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}^{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $m$-labels should satisfy a conservation law for each Clebsch-Gordan coefficient:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=m_{12}+m_{13}, m_{2}=m_{23}+m_{12}, m_{3}=m_{23}-m_{13}, m_{2}=m_{1}+m_{3} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, there is only a single loop "momentum" to be summed over. Each ClebschGordan coefficient is also given by a finite sum, see [1]. Therefore, we have 4 sums on the left hand side and one sum times the $6 j$-symbol on the right hand side. What simplifies the computation considerably is that one can set the basis labels $m_{1}, m_{2}$ to be highest weight. For such values of $m$ the sum that gives the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient reduces to a single term. Thus, if we put two of the external states to be highest weight, we get only two sums remaining on the left hand side and no sum times the $6 j$ on the right. One of the summations on the left hand side can be carried out explicitly using Gauss's sum. One gets an expression for the $6 j$ given by a single sum.

Let us now return to the non-compact case. Going to a "momentum" basis, analogous to the $m$-basis of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, one can have momentum conserved at each vertex. One analogously will have to do only a single integral over the loop momentum. However, in the momentum basis the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are no longer as simple as in 4.13). One should expect an integral representation with at least one integral. Now, the type I representations are not highest or lowest weight. Thus, there is no simplification of the momentum basis Clebsch-Gordan coefficients that can be used in the computation of the $6 j$-symbol. The total number of integrals remains at least 4 on the right hand side and at least one on the left hand side. Thus, at the very best one expects the non-compact $6 j$ symbol for type I representations to be given by 3 integrals. We have tried to further simplify our answer (1.3) in line with this this expectation. Unfortunately, no summation theorems for the generalized hypergeometric series seem to be applicable, and we were not able to simplify the result further. It thus remains an interesting open problem to find a simpler representation for the RW coefficient than the one given by (1.3).

This paper is organized as follows. We start in section 2 by reviewing the bulk-to-bulk and bulk-to-boundary propagators. Section 3 gives a formula for the RW coefficients in terms of bulk-to-bulk propagators, as well as another convenient representation in terms of a boundary 4-point function. In section 4 we compute the 3 -point function, and in section 5 we discuss its normalization. An important regularization procedure is introduced here. In section 6 we compute the 4-point function. Finally, in section 7 we compute the remaining sphere integrals. Certain technical results are given in two appendices.

## 2. BULK-TO-BULK AND BULK-TO-BOUNDARY PROPAGATORS

In this section we allow $d$ to be any positive integer.
We consider the $d$-dimensional conformal group $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$. One of the associated homogeneous spaces is the hyperbolic space $H_{d+1}=\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1) / \mathrm{SO}(d+1)$. The space $L^{2}\left(H_{d+1}\right)$ of square integrable functions on $H_{d+1}$ decomposes into irreducible representations of the so-called type I series. More generally, the most degenerate series of representations of the conformal group is that in the space of homogeneous functions on the light cone in Minkowski space $M^{1, d+1}$. Functions of degree of homogeneity $-\Delta$ form an irreducible representation of "conformal dimension" $\Delta$. Not all of these representations are unitary. For unitary representations of type I the conformal dimension is given by (1.1).

Thoughout the paper, we label the representations by their conformal dimension $\Delta$. While the final results will be justified only for the type I values (1.1), several intermediate results remain valid for more general values, and we present
the intermediate results in this form in view of potential extensions beyond type I representations.

To proceed, we need the notions of bulk-to-bulk and bulk-to-boundary propagators [7]. We use the upper half-space model of $H_{d+1}$. Let the coordinates in the upper half-space be $\left(\xi_{0}>0, \xi_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, d$. The metric is then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\frac{1}{\xi_{0}^{2}}\left(d \xi_{0}^{2}+\sum_{i} d \xi_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary of $H_{d+1}$ is the set of points with $\xi_{0}=0$ and the point at infinity. When referring to points of the boundary we shall use a different letter $x: x_{i}=\xi_{i}$.

Following [7], we introduce the following function of a point on the boundary $x$ and a bulk point $\xi$ in $H_{d+1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Delta}(\xi, x)=\frac{\xi_{0}^{\Delta}}{\left(\xi_{0}^{2}+|\xi-x|^{2}\right)^{\Delta}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We refer to this function as the bulk-to-boundary propagator. Another, more familiar from mathematics literature, expression for this object is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Delta}(\hat{\xi}, \hat{x})=(\hat{\xi} \cdot \hat{x})^{-\Delta} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vectors $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{x}$ in Minkowski space $M^{1, d+1}$ are the representatives of respectively $\xi$ and $x$ in the hyperboloid model of $H_{d+1}$ and the dot product is the Minkowski pairing. $\hat{\xi}$ is unit timelike and $\hat{x}$ is null.

The bulk-to-bulk propagator is obtained by taking a product of two propagators (2.2), one for representation $\Delta$, another for the dual representation $\bar{\Delta}$, and integrating over the point on the boundary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\int_{S^{d}} d^{d} x K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, x\right) K_{\bar{\Delta}}\left(\xi_{2}, x\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have denoted the integration domain, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, by $S^{d}$ as a reminder of the boundary topology in the Poincare ball model of $H_{d+1}$. As the integrand is asymptotic to a constant times $|x|^{-2 d}$ at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, the integral converges for all $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$.

The bulk-to-bulk propagator (2.4) can be computed explicitly. To begin, assume $\operatorname{Re}(\Delta)>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\Delta})>0$. Using the Feynman representation reviewed in Appendix A we obtain:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\frac{\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)^{\Delta}\left(\xi_{2}^{0}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}}}{\Gamma(\Delta) \Gamma(\bar{\Delta})} \int_{S^{d}} d^{d} x \int d t d u t^{\Delta-1} u^{\bar{\Delta}-1}  \tag{2.5}\\
e^{-t\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)^{2}-u\left(\xi_{2}^{0}\right)^{2}-t\left|\xi_{1}-x\right|^{2}-u\left|\xi_{2}-x\right|^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Taking the integral over $x$ yields:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\frac{\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)^{\Delta}\left(\xi_{2}^{0}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}}}{\Gamma(\Delta) \Gamma(\bar{\Delta})} \int d t d u t^{\Delta-1} u^{\bar{\Delta}-1} \\
e^{-t\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)^{2}-u\left(\xi_{2}^{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{\pi^{d / 2}}{(t+u)^{d / 2}} e^{-\frac{t u}{t+u}\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

By construction, $K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ is invariant under the action of the Lorentz group and hence depends on $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ only through their hyperbolic distance $l$. Using this invariance, we can choose $\xi_{1}=\left(\xi_{1}^{0}, 0\right)$ and $\xi_{2}=\left(\xi_{2}^{0}, 0\right)$, in which case

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=\log \left(\xi_{1}^{0} / \xi_{2}^{0}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $\mu=e^{l}$ and rescaling the integration variables, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} \mu^{\Delta}}{\Gamma(\Delta) \Gamma(\bar{\Delta})} \int \frac{d t d u}{(t+u)^{d / 2}} t^{\Delta-1} u^{\bar{\Delta}-1} e^{-t \mu^{2}-u} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integrals over $t$ and $u$ can now be taken with the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{t}{t+u}, \quad d t d u=\frac{u d \lambda d u}{(1-\lambda)^{2}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\lambda<1$. We get:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} \mu^{\Delta}}{\Gamma(\Delta) \Gamma(\bar{\Delta})} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \lambda}{(1-\lambda)^{2-d / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d u}{u^{d / 2-1}}  \tag{2.9}\\
\left(\frac{\lambda u}{1-\lambda}\right)^{\Delta-1} u^{\bar{\Delta}-1} e^{-u\left(\frac{\lambda \mu^{2}}{1-\lambda}+1\right)}
\end{array}
$$

The integral over $u$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} \mu^{\Delta} \Gamma(d / 2)}{\Gamma(\Delta) \Gamma(\bar{\Delta})} \int_{0}^{1} d \lambda \lambda^{\Delta-1}(1-\lambda)^{d-\Delta-1}\left(1-\lambda\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\right)^{-d / 2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the integral representation of the hypergeometric function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b, c ; z)=\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} d t t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-t z)^{-a} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we finally obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} \mu^{\Delta} \Gamma(d / 2)}{\Gamma(d)} F\left(d / 2, \Delta, d, 1-\mu^{2}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By analytic continuation, the result (2.12) holds for all $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that there is no singularity when $\Delta$ is a non-positive integer; the hypergeometric series just terminates then. We also note that, in view of the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(c-a, c-b, c ; z)=(1-z)^{a+b-c} F(a, b, c ; z) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=K_{\bar{\Delta}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$. Thus, the bulk-to-bulk propagator is a nonoriented one. Indeed, the change of orientation is equivalent to the replacement $\Delta \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}$, but this does not change the propagator.

The result (2.12) can be expressed in terms of a Legendre function [8]. For $d=1$, the formula was given in [6]. For $d=2$, the result can be given in terms of an elementary function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Delta}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{\Delta-1} \frac{\sinh (\Delta-1) l}{\sinh l} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For type I representations this reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\rho}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{\rho} \frac{\sin \rho l}{\sinh l} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Racah-Wigner coefficients

We shall compute the RW coefficients through an object called the ( $6 \Delta$ ) symbol, given by an integral over 4 copies of $H_{d+1}$ of a product of 6 bulk-to-bulk propagators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(6 \Delta)=\int_{H_{d+1}} d \xi_{1} \ldots d \xi_{4} \prod_{i<j} K_{\Delta_{i j}}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $i, j=1, \ldots, 4$ enumerate the points integrated over and $\Delta_{i j}$ are the 6 representations that the $(6 \Delta)$ symbols depend upon. We begin formally with general $\Delta_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}$ but will eventually specialise to the type I values (1.1). Since the non-type-I representations are not realizable in $L^{2}\left(H_{d+1}\right)$, we do not expect that the integral (3.1) can be made convergent for them even after eliminating the infinite volume factor by the procedure of section 5

The relation between the ( $6 \Delta$ ) symbol (3.1) and the RW coefficients has been discussed in [4]. To make the present paper self-contained, we shall briefly recall the relation here.

By definition, the representations of type I (with respect to a subgroup $H$ ) are those which contain a vector invariant under $H$. When $G=\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$, the subgroup $H$ is taken to be the maximal compact subgroup $H=\mathrm{SO}(d+1)$. Let $\pi^{\rho}$ be a type I representation, labelled by the index $\rho$, and let $\omega$ be an $H$-invariant vector. We define on $G$ the function $\phi^{\rho}$ as the $\omega \omega$ matrix element of $\pi^{\rho}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\rho}(g):=t_{\omega \omega}^{\rho}(g):=\langle\omega| \pi^{\rho}(g)|\omega\rangle \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\phi^{\rho}$ is called a spherical function. Given $\phi^{\rho}$, we define the kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\rho}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right):=\phi^{\rho}\left(g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\omega$ is invariant under $H$, (3.2) shows that $\phi^{\rho}$ projects into a function on the double coset $H \backslash G / H$, and (3.3) then shows that the kernel projects into a function on two copies of the homogeneous space $G / H$. In the case of $G=\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ and $H=\mathrm{SO}(d+1)$, this homogeneous space is the hyperbolic space $H^{d+1}$, and from the explicit evaluation of the spherical function in section 9.3 .1 of [5] it is seen that the kernel (3.3) concides (up to a multiple that depends on the normalization of $\omega$ ) with the bulk-to-bulk propagator (2.12).

Next, we recall the definition of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Given two (irreducible) representations $V^{\rho_{1}}, V^{\rho_{2}}$ of $G$, one can decompose the tensor product representation $V^{\rho_{1}} \otimes V^{\rho_{2}}$ into irreducible representations $V^{\rho}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\rho_{1}} \otimes V^{\rho_{2}}=\oplus_{\rho_{3}} n\left(\rho_{3}\right) V^{\rho_{3}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(\rho)$ are multiplicities. The Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are the matrix elements of the intertwining map defined by this decomposition. Explicitly, if $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ are vectors in respectively $V^{\rho_{1}}$ and $V^{\rho_{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|i_{1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|i_{2}\right\rangle=\sum_{\alpha \rho_{3} i_{3}}{ }^{\alpha} C_{\rho_{1} i_{1} \rho_{2} i_{2}}^{\rho_{3} i_{3}}\left|i_{3}\right\rangle, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{i_{3}\right\}$ is a (generalised) basis in $V^{\rho_{3}}$, the sum on the right-hand side includes a sum over the multiplicity label $\alpha=1 \ldots n\left(\rho_{3}\right)$, and the sum over the other labels is understood in the sense of a sum or an integral depending on whether the labels are discrete or continuous. The $C$ 's on the right-hand side are then the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients in the respective bases.

We will further need the orthogonality relation for the matrix elements $t_{i_{1} i_{2}}^{\rho}(g):=$ $\left\langle i_{1}\right| \pi^{\rho}(g)\left|i_{2}\right\rangle$. This relation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d g t_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\rho_{1}}(g) t_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\rho_{2}}(g)=\delta^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \delta_{i_{1} i_{2}} \delta_{j_{1} j_{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the reality of the matrix elements for $G=\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$, and the deltas on the right-hand side are understood as Kronecker or Dirac deltas as appropriate. For a compact group, whose representations are finite-dimensional, the right-hand side of (3.6) would usually be normalised to include the factor $1 / \operatorname{dim}\left(j_{1}\right)$, but in our case of a noncompact group the representations are infinite-dimensional and such a normalisation is not an option. Using (3.5) and (3.6), it is then possible to compute the integral of the product of three matrix elements, with the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d g t_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\rho_{1}}(g) t_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\rho_{2}}(g) t_{i_{3} j_{3}}^{\rho_{3}}(g)=\sum_{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} C_{\rho_{1} i_{1} \rho_{2} i_{2}}^{\rho_{3} i_{3}}{ }^{\alpha} C_{\rho_{1} j_{1} \rho_{2} j_{2}}^{\rho_{3} j_{3}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, when the the multiplicity of type I representations in the tensor product (3.4) of two such representations is equal to one, the multiplicity label on the type I Clebsch-Gordan coefficients becomes redundant, and it can be shown from (3.7) that the ( $6 \Delta$ ) symbol (3.1) equals the usual type I RW coefficient, constructed from the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, multiplied by four factors of the form $C_{\rho_{1} \omega \rho_{2} \omega}^{\rho_{3} \omega}$, one such factor coming from each $\xi$ in (3.1). In this case one can therefore regard the $(6 \Delta)$ symbol (3.1) as the type I RW coefficient up to a certain normalisation factor. When the multiplicity of type I representations in the tensor product of two such representations is not equal to one, on the other hand, the multiplicity label on the type I Clebsch-Gordan coefficients cannot be dropped. The (6 ) symbol (3.1) involves then factors of the form ${ }^{\alpha} C_{\rho_{1} \omega \rho_{2} \omega}^{\rho_{3} \omega}$ for each $\xi$, and there is a sum over the four independent multiplicity labels. As the type I RW coefficients then have a multiplicity label corresponding to each $\xi$, the ( $6 \Delta$ ) symbol (3.1) is no longer a simple multiple of the type I RW coefficients.

Thus, to establish the relation of the (6s) symbol (3.1) to the usual RW coefficients it remains to find the multiplicity of type I representations in the tensor product (3.4) of two such representations. For $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1,2)$ this multiplicity is known to be 2 [9]. The $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1,2)(6 \Delta)$ symbol (3.1) is therefore not a simple multiple of (any of the) RW coefficients. The $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1,2)(6 \Delta)$ symbol (3.1) can still be computed and used as a basis of a state sum model [6], but its group theoretic interpretation does not appear straightforward.

For $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ with $d>1$, the multiplicity of type I representations in the tensor product (3.4) of two such representations is one. To see this, we recall that the principal series representations can be obtained as the induced representations of the parabolic subgroup $M A N$, where $N$ is the $d$-dimensional Abelian group of null rotations, $A$ is the one-dimensional group of dilatations and $M=\mathrm{SO}(d)$, and in particular the type I representations are induced from the trivial representation of $M$ [10]. The claim then follows from Theorem 16 of 11] and the discussion of $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ on pages $182-183$ therein. This establishes the relation of our $(6 \Delta)$ symbol (3.1) to the usual RW coefficients for $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ with $d>1$.

To proceed with the analysis of the $(6 \Delta)$ symbol, we represent four of the six bulk-to-bulk propagators in (3.1) as in (2.4), while leaving the two bulk-to-bulk propagators corresponding to the opposite edges of the tetrahedron in their original form. By doing this one achieves the representation as is shown in Fig. 1


Figure 1. Expression for the Racah-Wigner coeffient in terms of the 4-point functions.

Thus, let us introduce the following object:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=  \tag{3.8}\\
\int_{H_{d+1}} d \xi d \eta K_{\Delta_{2}}\left(\xi, x_{2}\right) K_{\Delta_{3}}\left(\xi, x_{3}\right) K_{\Delta_{1}}(\xi, \eta) K_{\Delta_{5}}\left(\eta, x_{5}\right) K_{\Delta_{6}}\left(\eta, x_{6}\right)
\end{array}
$$

This quantity is a particular 4-point function on the boundary. With the help of this 4-point function, the RW coefficient can be written as:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(6 \Delta)=\int_{S^{d}} d^{d} x_{2} d^{d} x_{3} d^{d} x_{5} d^{d} x_{6}  \tag{3.9}\\
D_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right) D_{\Delta_{4}, \bar{\Delta}_{2}, \bar{\Delta}_{6}, \bar{\Delta}_{5}, \bar{\Delta}_{3}}\left(x_{2}, x_{6}, x_{5}, x_{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

This representation is much more convenient than the one given by (3.1), since the 4-point function $D_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)$ can be computed explicitly. Computing the RW coefficient then reduces to the computation of the $S^{d}$ integrals in (3.9). As we shall see in section 5 after a certain gauge fixing that is necessary to render the result finite, what one has is actually not 4 integrals but a single integral over the sphere. This makes the representation (3.9) very convenient for practical applications. We note that this representation is only available in the context of non-compact conformal groups, when there is a boundary and the associated representation (2.4). For compact groups like $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ one can also compute the RW coefficients for type I representations by integrating over the appropriate homogeneous manifold. However, there is no analog of the representation (3.9).

Thus, we would like to compute the 4 -point function given by (3.8). In order to do this, we use the representation (2.4) for the remaining bulk propagator. Thus, we represent the 4 -point function as:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=  \tag{3.10}\\
\int d^{d} x_{1} C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) C_{\bar{\Delta}_{1}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{1}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where we have defined the 3 -point function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\int_{H_{d+1}} d \xi K_{\Delta_{1}}\left(\xi, x_{1}\right) K_{\Delta_{2}}\left(\xi, x_{2}\right) K_{\Delta_{3}}\left(\xi, x_{3}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to find this 3-point function.

## 4. 3-POINT FUNCTION

We note in passing that the 3 -point function (3.11) is the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient when the representations are realised in the space of functions on the
boundary. Since $d>1$ by assumption, these Clebsch-Gordan coefficients have no multiplicity indices.

The conditions for the integral in (3.11) to converge are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i} \Delta_{i}\right)>d \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}\right)>0, \operatorname{Re}\left(\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{1}\right)>0, \operatorname{Re}\left(\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}\right)>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These conditions can be conveniently obtained by transforming to the Poincare ball model of $H_{d+1}$ : (4.2) comes from neighbourhoods of the three boundary points $x_{i}$, and (4.1) comes from the remaining part of the boundary. Note that (4.2) implies $\operatorname{Re}\left(\Delta_{i}\right)>0$ for all $i$. Note also that the convergence holds for type I representations.

In order to compute the bulk integral we use the Feynman parametrization (A.1) for each of the bulk-to-boundary propagators. We get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{1}\right)} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{3}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t_{1} d t_{2} d t_{3} t_{1}^{\Delta_{1}-1} t_{2}^{\Delta_{2}-1} t_{3}^{\Delta_{3}-1}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \xi_{0}}{\xi_{0}^{d+1}} \xi_{0}^{\sum_{i} \Delta_{i}} \int_{S^{d}} d^{d} \xi e^{-t_{1}\left(\xi_{0}^{2}+\left|\xi-x_{1}\right|^{2}\right)-t_{2}\left(\xi_{0}^{2}+\left|\xi-x_{2}\right|^{2}\right)-t_{3}\left(\xi_{0}^{2}+\left|\xi-x_{3}\right|^{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

We now use the formulas (A.2), A.3) of Appendix $\mathbb{A}$ to get:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sum_{i} \Delta_{i}-d}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{3}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t_{1} d t_{2} d t_{3} t_{1}^{\Delta_{1}-1} t_{2}^{\Delta_{2}-1} t_{3}^{\Delta_{3}-1}  \tag{4.4}\\
\left(S_{t}\right)^{-\left(\sum_{i} \Delta_{i}\right) / 2} e^{-\frac{1}{S_{t}}\left(\sum_{i<j} t_{i} t_{j}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

We now make a series of changes of variables of integration. The first change is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=\left(S_{t}\right)^{1 / 2} t_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{j} t_{j}^{\prime}\right) t_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial t_{j}^{\prime}}\right)=2\left(S_{t}\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Removing the primes, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
= & \frac{\pi^{d / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sum_{i} \Delta_{i}-d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{3}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t_{1} d t_{2} d t_{3} t_{1}^{\Delta_{1}-1} t_{2}^{\Delta_{2}-1} t_{3}^{\Delta_{3}-1} e^{-\sum_{i<j} t_{i} t_{j} x_{i j}^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have introduced:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i j}=\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right| \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second change of variables is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} t_{2} \rightarrow \frac{t_{1} t_{2}}{x_{12}^{2}}, \quad t_{1} t_{3} \rightarrow \frac{t_{1} t_{3}}{x_{13}^{2}}, \quad t_{2} t_{3} \rightarrow \frac{t_{2} t_{3}}{x_{23}^{2}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral reduces to:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(x_{12}\right)^{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}\left(x_{13}\right)^{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}\left(x_{23}\right)^{\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{1}}}  \tag{4.9}\\
\frac{\pi^{d / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sum_{i} \Delta_{i}-d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{3}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t_{1} d t_{2} d t_{3} t_{1}^{\Delta_{1}-1} t_{2}^{\Delta_{2}-1} t_{3}^{\Delta_{3}-1} e^{-\sum_{i<j} t_{i} t_{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

It is now possible to take the remaining integral in Feynman parameters by the following change of variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} t_{2}=u_{3}, \quad t_{1} t_{3}=u_{2}, \quad t_{2} t_{3}=u_{1} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}^{2}=\frac{u_{2} u_{3}}{u_{1}}, \quad t_{2}^{2}=\frac{u_{1} u_{3}}{u_{2}}, \quad t_{3}^{2}=\frac{u_{1} u_{2}}{u_{3}}, \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial u_{j}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral over $t_{i}$ thus reduces to:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d u_{1} d u_{2} d u_{3} u_{1}^{\frac{\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{1}-2}{2}} u_{2}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}-2}{2}} u_{3}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}-2}{2}} e^{-u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}=  \tag{4.12}\\
\frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{1}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Thus, we get for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{C\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{12}\right)^{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}\left(x_{13}\right)^{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}\left(x_{23}\right)^{\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{1}}} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& C\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}\right)=  \tag{4.14}\\
& \frac{\pi^{d / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{1}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_{3}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. Normalization of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Gauge fixing PROCEDURE.

In this section we introduce a certain gauge fixing procedure that is necessary to render the integrals defining the RW coefficient finite. We do this considerering as an example the question of normalization fo the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. To this end, let us compute the so-called theta symbol given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}\right)=\int_{H_{d+1}} d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2} K_{\Delta_{1}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) K_{\Delta_{2}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) K_{\Delta_{3}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the expression for the $3 j$ symbol that we have just computed, this becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}\right)=C\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}\right) C\left(\bar{\Delta}_{1}, \bar{\Delta}_{2}, \bar{\Delta}_{3}\right) \int_{S^{d}} d^{d} x_{1} d^{d} x_{2} d^{d} x_{3} \frac{1}{x_{12}^{d} x_{13}^{d} x_{23}^{d}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral over $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ in (5.2) is divergent. This divergence is a manifestation of the obvious divergence in integrating over $H_{d+1}$ a function that is invariant under the isometries: The integral is proportional to the infinite volume of $H_{d+1}$. As the volume of $H_{d+1}$ is related to the volume of the Lorentz group, the divergence ultimately comes from the fact that the Lorentz group is non-compact.

In (5.1), the divergence can be removed by fixing one of the integration points and only integrating over the remaining one. To remove the divergence in (5.2), and in similar integrals with more integration points, we can use the action of the conformal group on the sphere to put three of the integration points to specific locations. For $d=2$ this procedure completely fixes the $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ invariance and hence eliminates the divergence [12]. For $d>2$ this procedure fixes the $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ invariance up to the $\mathrm{SO}(d-1)$ subgroup that leaves the three prescribed points invariant, but as this subgroup is compact, the divergence has again been eliminated.

To implement this procedure, one needs to find the Faddeev-Popov determinant that makes the result independent of the locations to which the three integration points are fixed. We shall do this in Appendix B by decomposing the Haar measure on $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ in terms of the locations of the three fixed points and the invariantlynormalised volume of the compact subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(d-1)$ (which is trivial for $d=2$ ). The result is the replacement

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\prod_{i} d^{d} x_{i} \rightarrow\left|x_{A}-x_{B}\right|^{d}\left|x_{A}-x_{C}\right|^{d}\left|x_{B}-x_{C}\right|^{d}  \tag{5.3}\\
\delta^{d}\left(x_{A}-x_{A}^{0}\right) \delta^{d}\left(x_{B}-x_{B}^{0}\right) \delta^{d}\left(x_{C}-x_{C}^{0}\right) \prod_{i} d^{d} x_{i}
\end{array}
$$

where $x_{A}, x_{B}$ and $x_{C}$ are the three integration points that are fixed respectively to the locations $x_{A}^{0}, x_{B}^{0}$ and $x_{C}^{0}$. For $d=2$, formula (5.3) reduces to that found in 12 .

In the divergent integral (5.2), the gauge fixing (5.3) simply removes the integral. This gives for the normalization of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}\right)=C\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}\right) C\left(\bar{\Delta}_{1}, \bar{\Delta}_{2}, \bar{\Delta}_{3}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Computation of the 4-Point function

Using the expression (4.13) for the 3-point function, the 4 -point function becomes:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}} C_{\bar{\Delta}_{1}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}} \int_{S^{d}} d^{d} x_{1}  \tag{6.1}\\
\frac{1}{\left(x_{12}\right)^{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}\left(x_{13}\right)^{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}\left(x_{23}\right)^{\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{1}}} \\
\frac{1}{\left(x_{15}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}\left(x_{16}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}\left(x_{56}\right)^{\Delta_{5}+\Delta_{6}-\bar{\Delta}_{1}}}
\end{array}
$$

In addition to the convergence conditions of section 4 for each of the 3-point functions, the conditions for the integral in (6.1) to converge are:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}\right)<d, & \operatorname{Re}\left(\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}\right)<d  \tag{6.2}\\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}\right)<d, & \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}\right)<d
\end{array}
$$

Note that these conditions are satisfied for the type I representations.
We see that only 4 of the quantities in the denominator involve $x_{1}$. In order to take the integral, let us use the Feynman representation for them. In other words, let us consider:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d t_{12} d t_{13} d t_{15} d t_{16}  \tag{6.3}\\
t_{12}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}{2}-1} t_{13}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2}-1} t_{15}^{\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}{2}-1} t_{16}^{\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}{2}-1} \\
\int_{S^{d}} d^{d} x_{1} e^{-t_{12} x_{12}^{2}-t_{13} x_{13}^{2}-t_{15} x_{15}^{2}-t_{16} x_{16}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

In terms of this function:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=\frac{I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)}{\left(x_{23}\right)^{\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{1}}\left(x_{56}\right)^{\Delta_{5}+\Delta_{6}-\bar{\Delta}_{1}}}  \tag{6.4}\\
\frac{C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3} C_{\bar{\Delta}_{1}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}}^{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}{2}\right)}}{} .
\end{array}
$$

Let us now evaluate the function (6.3). The integral over $x_{1}$ is taken using (A.3):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{S^{d}} d^{d} x_{1} e^{-t_{12} x_{12}^{2}-t_{13} x_{13}^{2}-t_{15} x_{15}^{2}-t_{16} x_{16}^{2}}=  \tag{6.5}\\
\frac{\pi^{d / 2}}{\left(S_{t}^{1}\right)^{d / 2}} e^{-\left(t_{12} t_{13} x_{23}^{2}+t_{12} t_{15} x_{25}^{2}+t_{12} t_{16} x_{26}^{2}+t_{13} t_{15} x_{35}^{2}+t_{13} t_{16} x_{36}^{2}+t_{15} t_{16} x_{56}^{2}\right) / S_{t}^{1}}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{t}^{1}=t_{12}+t_{13}+t_{15}+t_{16} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now make a series of changes of variables. First, let us choose:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1 i}=\left(S_{t}^{1}\right)^{1 / 2} t_{1 i}^{\prime}, \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial t_{1 i}}{\partial t_{1 i}^{\prime}}\right)=2\left(S_{t}^{1}\right)^{2} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

After this change of variables we get:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=2 \pi^{d / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t_{12} d t_{13} d t_{15} d t_{16}  \tag{6.8}\\
t_{12}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}{2}-1} t_{13}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2}-1} t_{15}^{\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}{2}-1} t_{16}^{\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}{2}-1} \\
e^{-t_{12} t_{13} x_{23}^{2}-t_{12} t_{15} x_{25}^{2}-t_{12} t_{16} x_{26}^{2}-t_{13} t_{15} x_{35}^{2}-t_{13} t_{16} x_{36}^{2}-t_{15} t_{16} x_{56}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

The second change of variables is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{12} t_{13} \rightarrow \frac{t_{12} t_{13}}{x_{23}^{2}}, t_{12} t_{15} \rightarrow \frac{t_{12} t_{15}}{x_{25}^{2}}, t_{12} t_{16} \rightarrow \frac{t_{12} t_{16}}{x_{26}^{2}}, t_{13} t_{15} \rightarrow \frac{t_{13} t_{15}}{x_{35}^{2}} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the consequence we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{13} t_{16}=\frac{t_{13} t_{15} t_{12} t_{16}}{t_{12} t_{15}} \rightarrow \frac{x_{25}^{2}}{x_{35}^{2} x_{26}^{2}} t_{13} t_{16}, t_{15} t_{16}=\frac{t_{13} t_{15} t_{12} t_{16}}{t_{12} t_{13}} \rightarrow \frac{x_{23}^{2}}{x_{35}^{2} x_{26}^{2}} t_{15} t_{16} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or, equivalently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{12} \rightarrow t_{12} \frac{x_{35}}{x_{23} x_{25}}, t_{13} \rightarrow t_{13} \frac{x_{25}}{x_{23} x_{35}}, t_{15} \rightarrow t_{15} \frac{x_{23}}{x_{25} x_{35}}, t_{16} \rightarrow t_{16} \frac{x_{25} x_{23}}{x_{26}^{2} x_{35}} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the result of this transformation we get:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=  \tag{6.12}\\
\frac{2 \pi^{d / 2}}{\left(x_{23}\right)^{\Delta_{1}-\bar{\Delta}_{1}}\left(x_{25}\right)^{\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}\left(x_{26}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}\left(x_{35}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}} \\
\int_{0}^{\infty} d t_{12} d t_{13} d t_{15} d t_{16} e^{-t_{12} t_{13}-t_{12} t_{15}-t_{12} t_{16}-t_{13} t_{15}-t_{13} t_{16} u-t_{15} t_{16} v} \\
t_{12}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}{2}-1} t_{13}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2}-1} t_{15}^{\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}{2}-1} t_{16}^{\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}{2}-1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here we have introduced the cross-ratios:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{x_{25}^{2} x_{36}^{2}}{x_{26}^{2} x_{35}^{2}}, \quad v=\frac{x_{23}^{2} x_{56}^{2}}{x_{26}^{2} x_{35}^{2}} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two cross-ratios are related for $d=2$ but independent for $d>2$.
We now specialize to the type I representations.
To compute the integrals over the Feynman parameters we, following an analogous computation in [13], use the Mellin-Barnes integral representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-z}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{r-i \infty}^{r+i \infty} d s \Gamma(-s) z^{s}, \quad r<0, \quad|\arg z|<\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the integral over Feynman parameters becomes:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2} I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}(u, v):=\int \frac{d \lambda d s}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \Gamma(-\lambda) \Gamma(-s) u^{s} v^{\lambda}  \tag{6.15}\\
\int_{0}^{\infty} d t_{12} d t_{13} d t_{15} d t_{16} e^{-t_{12} t_{13}-t_{12} t_{15}-t_{12} t_{16}-t_{13} t_{15}} \\
t_{12}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}{2}-1} t_{13}^{\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2}+s-1} t_{15}^{\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}{2}+\lambda-1} t_{16}^{\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}{2}+s+\lambda-1} .
\end{array}
$$

To justify the interchange of integrals that has led to (6.15), we assume that the parameter $r$ in the two Mellin-Barnes contours is the same and satisfies $-d / 8<$ $r<0$. This guarantees that the exponent of each $t_{i j}$ in (6.15) has real part greater than -1 .

In the Feynman parameter integrals, we change variables by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{12} t_{13}=u_{1}, t_{12} t_{15}=u_{2}, t_{12} t_{16}=u_{3}, t_{13} t_{15}=u_{4} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{12}^{2}=\frac{u_{1} u_{2}}{u_{4}}, t_{13}^{2}=\frac{u_{1} u_{4}}{u_{2}}, t_{15}^{2}=\frac{u_{2} u_{4}}{u_{1}}, t_{16}^{2}=u_{3}^{2} \frac{u_{4}}{u_{1} u_{2}} . \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jacobian of this transformation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial t_{1 i}}{\partial u_{j}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 u_{1} u_{2}} . \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we get:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}(u, v)=\int \frac{d \lambda d s}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \Gamma(-\lambda) \Gamma(-s) u^{s} v^{\lambda}  \tag{6.19}\\
\Gamma(\alpha+s+\lambda) \Gamma(\beta+s+\lambda) \Gamma(\gamma-s) \Gamma(\delta-\lambda)
\end{array}
$$

where we have introduced the following quantities:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha=\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2} \\
\beta=\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}{2}  \tag{6.20}\\
\gamma=\frac{\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}{2} \\
\delta=\frac{\Delta_{1}-\bar{\Delta}_{1}}{2}
\end{array}
$$

Combining this, and the expressions (6.12), (6.4), we have for the 4 -point function:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=K_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}} \frac{I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}(u, v)}{\left(x_{25}\right)^{\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}}  \tag{6.21}\\
\frac{1}{\left(x_{23}\right)^{\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}-\bar{\Delta}_{1}}\left(x_{26}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}\left(x_{35}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}\left(x_{56}\right)^{\Delta_{5}+\Delta_{6}-\bar{\Delta}_{1}}},
\end{array}
$$

where we have introduced:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} C_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}} C_{\bar{\Delta}_{1}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{3}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{2}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{5}-\Delta_{6}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}+\Delta_{6}-\Delta_{5}}{2}\right)} \cdot( \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, essentially all non-triviality of the 4-point function is in the dependence of the function $I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}(u, v)$ given by (6.19) on the two cross-ratios $u, v$. The integral representation (6.19) that we have obtained may be used to derive power series expansions of $I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}(u, v)$ in $u$ and $v$ [13.

## 7. Computation of the Racah-Wigner coefficients

Having obtained the 4-point function we can use this result to compute the RW coefficients. Recalling (3.9), we get:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(6 \Delta)=K_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}} K_{\Delta_{4}, \bar{\Delta}_{2}, \bar{\Delta}_{3}, \bar{\Delta}_{5}, \bar{\Delta}_{6}} \int_{S^{d}} \frac{d^{d} x_{2} d^{d} x_{3} d^{d} x_{5} d^{d} x_{6}}{x_{23}^{d} x_{26}^{d} x_{35}^{d} x_{56}^{d}}  \tag{7.1}\\
\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)^{\frac{\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{4}+\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{5}-d}{2}} \\
I_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}}(u, v) I_{\Delta_{4}, \bar{\Delta}_{2}, \bar{\Delta}_{6}, \bar{\Delta}_{5}, \bar{\Delta}_{3}}(u / v, 1 / v) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here we have used the fact that under the exchange of $x_{3}, x_{6}$ we have: $v \rightarrow 1 / v, u \rightarrow$ $u / v$. We have also used the relation (1.2) between the conformal dimension and its dual and combined all powers of $x_{i j}$. Note that the integration measure in (7.1) is exactly right to give an invariant expression, as this measure can be verified to be invariant under conformal transformations of the $x_{i}$.

What remains is to compute the sphere integrals in (7.1). This integral is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{d}(a, b)=\int_{S^{d}} \frac{d x_{2} d x_{3} d x_{5} d x_{6}}{x_{23}^{d} x_{56}^{d} x_{26}^{d} x_{35}^{d}}\left(\frac{x_{23} x_{56}}{x_{26} x_{35}}\right)^{2 a}\left(\frac{x_{25} x_{36}}{x_{26} x_{35}}\right)^{2 b} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=-A+\lambda-s^{\prime}-\lambda^{\prime}, \quad b=s+s^{\prime}, \quad A=\frac{\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{4}+\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{5}-d}{2} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it stands, the integral (7.2) still diverges because of the volume of the gauge group. We eliminate this divergence by the replacement (5.3), leaving $x_{2}$ to be integrated over but fixing the locations of the other three points. The integral becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{d}(a, b)=\left|x_{3}^{0}-x_{6}^{0}\right|^{d}\left|\frac{x_{5}^{0}-x_{6}^{0}}{x_{3}^{0}-x_{5}^{0}}\right|^{2 a}\left|\frac{x_{3}^{0}-x_{6}^{0}}{x_{3}^{0}-x_{5}^{0}}\right|^{2 b} \tilde{I}_{d}(a, b), \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{I}_{d}(a, b)=\int_{S^{d}} d x_{2} \frac{\left|x_{2}-x_{3}^{0}\right|^{2 a-d}\left|x_{2}-x_{5}^{0}\right|^{2 b}}{\left|x_{2}-x_{6}^{0}\right|^{2 a+2 b+d}} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence conditions in (7.5) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}(a)>0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(b+d / 2)>0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(a+b)<0 \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and they are satisfied for our Mellin-Barnes contour choice, $-d / 8<r<0$.
To compute the integral over $x_{2}$ we use the Feynman parametrization. We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{I}_{d}(a, b)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(d / 2-a) \Gamma(-b) \Gamma(a+b+d / 2)} \int_{S^{d}} d x_{2} t_{3}^{d / 2-a-1} t_{5}^{-b-1} t_{6}^{a+b+d / 2-1}  \tag{7.7}\\
& e^{-t_{3}\left|x_{2}-x_{3}^{0}\right|^{2}-t_{5}\left|x_{2}-x_{5}^{0}\right|^{2}-t_{6}\left|x_{2}-x_{6}^{0}\right|^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

We have already dealt with essentially the same integral in section 4 formula (4.3). Thus, we shall be sketchy here. One first takes the $x_{2}$ integral using A.3). One then makes a series of rescalings of Feynman parameters $t_{i}$. The powers of these parameters in (7.7) are such that the rescaling (4.5) completely removes the quantity $S_{t}$ from the integral. Rescaling (4.8) takes the differences $\left|x_{i}^{0}-x_{j}^{0}\right|$ out of the integral, and their resulting powers are exactly such that they cancel the similar quantities in (7.4). The last change of variables (4.10) reduces all the integrals to those giving $\Gamma$-functions. The final result is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{d}(a, b)=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} \Gamma(a) \Gamma(d / 2+b) \Gamma(-a-b)}{\Gamma(d / 2-a) \Gamma(-b) \Gamma(a+b+d / 2)}=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} \Upsilon_{d}(a) \Upsilon_{d}(-a-b)}{\Upsilon_{d}(-b)} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced a dimension-dependent $\Upsilon$-function given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon_{d}(x)=\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(d / 2-x)} \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the values (7.3) of the parameters $a$ and $b$, our final result for the ( $6 \Delta$ ) symbol is:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(6 \Delta)=K_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}} K_{\Delta_{4}, \bar{\Delta}_{2}, \bar{\Delta}_{3}, \bar{\Delta}_{5}, \bar{\Delta}_{6}}  \tag{7.10}\\
\pi^{d / 2} \int \frac{d \lambda d s}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int \frac{d \lambda^{\prime} d s^{\prime}}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \Gamma(-s) \Gamma(-\lambda) \Gamma\left(-s^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(-\lambda^{\prime}\right) \\
\Gamma(\gamma-s) \Gamma(\delta-\lambda) \Gamma(\alpha+s+\lambda) \Gamma(\beta+s+\lambda) \\
\Gamma\left(\gamma^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\delta^{\prime}-\lambda^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha^{\prime}+s^{\prime}+\lambda^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta^{\prime}+s^{\prime}+\lambda^{\prime}\right) \\
\frac{\Upsilon_{d}\left(\lambda-s^{\prime}-\lambda^{\prime}-A\right) \Upsilon_{d}\left(\lambda^{\prime}-s-\lambda+A\right)}{\Upsilon_{d}\left(-s-s^{\prime}\right)} .
\end{array}
$$

Using Stirling's formula and the assumption $-d / 8<r<0$ for the Mellin-Barnes contours, it can be verified that the quadruple integral in (7.10) is convergent in absolute value. Equation (7.10) therefore gives a manifestly well-defined expression for the ( $6 \Delta$ ) symbol.
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## Appendix A. Integrals

In this appendix we give the formulas that are central to the methods of integration that we use. The same method was exploited in the context of AdS/CFT correspondence, see e.g. 13. The standard Feynman parameter method is based on the following representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{z^{\lambda}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{\lambda-1} e^{-t z} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall also need the following two integrals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \xi_{0}}{\xi_{0}^{d+1}} \xi_{0}^{\sum_{i} \Delta_{i}} e^{-\sum_{i} t_{i} \xi_{0}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{t}\right)^{\frac{d-\sum_{i} \Delta_{i}}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sum_{i} \Delta_{i}-d}{2}\right) \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{d}} d^{d} x e^{-\sum_{i} t_{i}\left|x-x_{i}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\pi^{d / 2}}{S_{t}^{d / 2}} e^{-\frac{1}{S_{t}}\left(\sum_{i<j} t_{i} t_{j}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}\right)} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both of these formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{t}=\sum_{i} t_{i} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Appendix B. Gauge-fixed integration measure

In this appendix we verify that the gauge-fixing procedure (5.3) results from decomposing the Haar measure on $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ as stated in section [5e assume $d>1$ as in the main text.

## B.1. Notation.

We first recall some basic properties of the conformal group [10.
We view $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ as the matrix group in its defining representation, acting on $\mathbb{R}^{1, d+1}$ by matrix multiplication of a column vector. We write the points in $\mathbb{R}^{1, d+1}$ as $\left(t, y, z^{1}, \ldots, z^{d}\right)=:(t, y, z)$, where $z$ is in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

The action of $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ as the conformal group on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \cup\{\infty\}$ is obtained from that on the future null cone in $\mathbb{R}^{1, d+1}, t=\sqrt{y^{2}+z^{2}}$, by parametrising the cone as $(t, y, z)=s\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1+x^{2}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right), x\right)$, where $s \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \cup\{\infty\}$. The point $x=\infty$ corresponds to the null ray $t+y=0=z$.

If $q \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\lambda>0$, we define the $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ matrices

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{+}(q):=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\frac{1}{2} q^{2} & \frac{1}{2} q^{2} & q^{T} \\
-\frac{1}{2} q^{2} & 1-\frac{1}{2} q^{2} & -q^{T} \\
q & q & \mathbb{I}_{d}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{B.1}\\
N_{-}(q):=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\frac{1}{2} q^{2} & -\frac{1}{2} q^{2} & q^{T} \\
\frac{1}{2} q^{2} & 1-\frac{1}{2} q^{2} & q^{T} \\
q & -q & \mathbb{I}_{d}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{B.2}\\
A(\lambda):=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{-1}+\lambda\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{-1}-\lambda\right) & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{-1}-\lambda\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{-1}+\lambda\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbb{I}_{d}
\end{array}\right) \tag{B.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $q$ is viewed as a column vector and $\mathbb{I}_{d}$ is the $d \times d$ unit matrix. In terms of the action on $\mathbb{R}^{1, d+1}, N_{ \pm}(q)$ are null rotations and $A(\lambda)$ is a boost. The conformal action of $N_{+}(q)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is the translation $x \mapsto x+q$, the action of $N_{-}(q)$ is the proper conformal transformation $x /\left(x^{2}\right) \mapsto x /\left(x^{2}\right)+q$, and the action of $A(\lambda)$ is the dilatation $x \mapsto \lambda x$.

Finally, if $u$ and $v$ are two unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, u \neq-v$, we define the $\operatorname{SO}(d)$ matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}(v, u):=\mathbb{I}_{d}+2 v \otimes u^{T}-\frac{(u+v) \otimes(u+v)^{T}}{1+u \cdot v} \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\tilde{S}(v, u)$ is a rotation in the plane of $u$ and $v$ and takes $u$ to $v$, reducing to $\mathbb{I}_{d}$ when $u=v$. Given $\tilde{S}(v, u)$, we define the corresponding block-diagonal $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ matrix by $S(v, u):=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}, \tilde{S}(v, u)\right)$.

## B.2. Parametrisation.

Let $x_{A}, x_{B}$ and $x_{C}$ be three distinct points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{D}:=\frac{x_{A B}^{2} x_{A C}^{2}}{x_{B C}^{2}}\left(\frac{x_{B}-x_{A}}{x_{A B}^{2}}-\frac{x_{C}-x_{A}}{x_{A C}^{2}}\right) \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i j}:=\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|$ as in the main text. As $\left|x_{D}\right|=x_{A B} x_{A C} x_{B C}^{-1}$, it follows that $x_{D} \neq 0$.

Let $v$ be a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. When $x_{D} /\left|x_{D}\right| \neq-v$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(x_{A}, x_{B}, x_{C}\right):=S\left(v, x_{D} /\left|x_{D}\right|\right) A\left(1 /\left|x_{D}\right|\right) N_{-}(b) N_{+}(a) \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a:=-x_{A}$ and $b:=x_{A C}^{-2}\left(x_{A}-x_{C}\right)$. A direct computation shows that the conformal action of $h$ takes the triple $\left(x_{A}, x_{B}, x_{C}\right)$ to the triple $(0, v, \infty)$. Each $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ matrix $g$ whose conformal action takes $\left(x_{A}, x_{B}, x_{C}\right)$ to $(0, v, \infty)$ can therefore be uniquely written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=R h \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}, \tilde{R}\right)$ and $\tilde{R}$ is an $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ matrix in the $\mathrm{SO}(d-1)$ subgroup that fixes $v$. Note that this subgroup is trivial for $d=2$ but nontrivial for $d>2$.

If $v$ is considered fixed, the above discussion shows that formulas (B.6) and (B.7) give a unique parametrisation of an open subset in $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ by the triple $\left(x_{A}, x_{B}, x_{C}\right)$ and a group isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(d-1)$. This parametrisation does not cover all of $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$, but the missing subset is of measure zero and can be recovered by choosing a different $v$ and by limits in which one of $x_{A}, x_{B}$ and $x_{C}$ is taken to infinity.

Now, the right action of $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ on (B.7) induces on the triple $\left(x_{A}, x_{B}, x_{C}\right)$ the conformal $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ action of the main text. To eliminate the volume divergence of the main text, we therefore need to write the Haar measure on $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ in the decomposition (B.7) and identify the part of the measure that is associated with the noncompact factor $h$. If this measure is $\mu\left(x_{A}, x_{B}, x_{C}\right) d^{d} x_{A} d^{d} x_{B} d^{d} x_{C}$, the divergence will be eliminated by the replacement

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{d} x_{A} d^{d} x_{B} d^{d} x_{C} \rightarrow \frac{\delta^{d}\left(x_{A}-x_{A}^{0}\right) \delta^{d}\left(x_{B}-x_{B}^{0}\right) \delta^{d}\left(x_{C}-x_{C}^{0}\right)}{\mu\left(x_{A}, x_{B}, x_{C}\right)} d^{d} x_{A} d^{d} x_{B} d^{d} x_{C} \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the distinct points $x_{A}^{0}, x_{B}^{0}$ and $x_{C}^{0}$ can be chosen arbitrarily.

## B.3. Measure computation.

From (B.6) and (B.7) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A R)^{-1}\left(d g g^{-1}\right)(A R)=R^{-1} d R+d S S^{-1}+S Q S^{-1} \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=\left(\left|x_{D}\right|^{-1} d\left(\left|x_{D}\right|\right)+2 b \cdot d a\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{B.10}\\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \left(1+b^{2}\right) d a^{T}-2(b \cdot d a) b^{T}+d b^{T} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\left(1+b^{2}\right) d a-2(b \cdot d a) b+d b & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left(1-b^{2}\right) d a^{T}+2(b \cdot d a) b^{T}-d b^{T} \\
0 & \left(1-b^{2}\right) d a+2(b \cdot d a) b-d b & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2\left(b \otimes d a^{T}-d a \otimes b^{T}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The explicit form of the term $d S S^{-1}$ can be found from（B．4）．
To find the part of the Haar measure that corresponds to the noncompact fac－ tor $h$ ，we project the right－hand side of（B．9）to the subspace orthogonal（with respect to the Killing form）to the subspace generated by $R^{-1} d R$ ．Choosing $v$ for concreteness to be $(1,0,0, \ldots)$ ，this amounts to setting the lower－right $(d-1) \times(d-1)$ block to zero but leaving the other components unchanged．From the coefficients of the remaining $3 d$ Lie algebra elements we can then identify the $3 d \times 3 d$ determinant that gives the desired measure．Evaluating the determinant by elementary matrix algebra techniques，we find that this measure is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{d} x_{A} d^{d} x_{B} d^{d} x_{C}}{\left|x_{A}-x_{B}\right|^{d}\left|x_{B}-x_{C}\right|^{d}\left|x_{C}-x_{A}\right|^{d}} \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the gauge fixing procedure（5．3）follows．
As a check，it can be directly verified that the measure（B．11）is invariant under the conformal action of $\mathrm{SO}_{0}(1, d+1)$ ．
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