

# A GENERALIZATION OF RANDOM MATRIX ENSEMBLE I: GENERAL THEORY<sup>1</sup>

JINPENG AN, ZHENG DONG WANG, AND KUIHUA YAN

**Abstract.** We give a generalization of the random matrix ensembles, including all classical ensembles. Then we derive the joint density function of the generalized ensemble by one simple formula, which give a direct and unified way to compute the density functions for all classical ensembles and various kinds of new ensembles. An integration formula associated with the generalized ensemble is also given. We also give a classification scheme of the generalized ensembles, which will include all classical ensembles and some new ensembles which were not considered before.

## 1. Introduction

One of the most fundamental problems in the theory of random matrices is to derive the joint density functions for the eigenvalues (or equivalently, the measures associated with the eigenvalue distributions) of various types of matrix ensembles. In his monograph [12], Mehta summarized the classical analysis methods by which the density functions for various types of ensembles were derived case by case. But a systematic method to compute the density functions was desired.

The first achievement in this direction was made by Dyson [8], who introduced an idea of expressing various kinds of circular ensemble in terms of symmetric spaces with invariant probability measures. From then on, guided by Dyson's idea, many authors observed new random matrix ensembles in terms of Cartan's classification of Riemannian symmetric spaces, and obtained the joint density functions for such ensembles using the integration formula on symmetric space (see, for example, [3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 13, 15]). Here we mention the recent work of Duzeze [7] briefly. Duzeze explored the random matrix ensembles which correspond to infinite families of compact irreducible Riemannian symmetric spaces of type I, including circular orthogonal and symplectic ensembles and various kinds of Jacobi ensembles. Using an integration formula associated with the KAK decomposition of compact groups, he obtained the induced measure on the space of eigenvalues associated with the underlying symmetric space, and then derived the eigenvalue distribution of the corresponding random matrix ensemble. These methods of deriving the eigenvalue distributions of random matrix ensembles by means of Riemannian symmetric spaces were summarized by the excellent review article of Caselle and Magnea [6].

<sup>1</sup>This work is supported by the 973 Project Foundation of China (JTG1999075102).

**Keywords:** Random matrix ensemble, Lie group, Integration formula.

**AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 15A52 (Primary); 58C35, 57S25 (Secondary)

In this paper we provide a generalization of the random matrix ensembles, including all classical ensembles, and then give a unified way to derive the joint density function for the eigenvalue distribution by one simple formula. The proof of this formula make no use of integration formula. In fact, the corresponding integration formula can be derived from this formula as corollary. We also give a classification scheme of the generalized random matrix ensembles, which will include all classical ensembles and some new ensembles which were not considered before.

More precisely, Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow X \rightarrow X$  be a smooth action of a Lie group  $G$  on a Riemannian manifold  $X$ , preserving the induced Riemannian measure  $dx$ . Let  $p(x)$  be a  $G$ -invariant smooth function on  $X$ , and consider the measure  $p(x)dx$  on  $X$ , which is not necessarily a finite measure. We choose a closed submanifold  $Y$  of  $X$  consisting of representation points for almost all  $G$ -orbits in  $X$ . The Riemannian structure on  $X$  induces a Riemannian measure  $dy$  on  $Y$ . Let  $K$  be the closed subgroup of  $G$  which fixes all points in  $Y$ , then the map  $\phi$  reduces to a map  $\psi: G/K \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ . Suppose there is a  $G$ -invariant measure  $d$  on  $G/K$ , and suppose  $\dim(G/K \rightarrow Y) = \dim X$ , then it can be proved that the pullback measure  $\psi^*(p(x)dx)$  of the measure  $p(x)dx$  is of the form  $\psi^*(p(x)dx) = d$  for some measure  $d$  on  $Y$ , which is just the measure associated with the eigenvalue distribution. The measure  $d$  can be expressed as the form  $d(y) = P(y)dy$  for some function  $P(y)$  on  $Y$ , which is just the joint density function. We write  $P(y)$  as the form  $P(y) = p(y)J(y)$ , then under some orthogonality condition (that is  $T_y Y \perp T_y O_y$  for almost all  $y \in Y$ ), we can compute the factor  $J(y)$  by the following formula

$$(1.1) \quad J(y) = C \cdot \text{jdet}_{\mathfrak{g}} \dot{\gamma}$$

where  $C$  is a constant, which can also be computed explicitly. This formula is the main result of this paper, the density function  $P(y)$  and the eigenvalue distribution  $d$  are determined by it. Here the map  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_y O_y$  is defined by

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \exp t \cdot \dot{\gamma};$$

where  $\mathfrak{l}$  is a linear subspace of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the Lie algebra of  $K$ . We call the system  $(G; \phi; X; p(x)dx; Y; dy)$  a generalized random matrix ensemble. The measure  $d$  and the function  $P(y)$  on  $Y$  are called generalized eigenvalue distribution and generalized joint density function, respectively. Using Formula (1.1), one can derive the joint density function for Gaussian ensemble, chiral ensemble, new transfer matrix ensembles, circular ensemble, Jacobi ensemble, and some other new generalized ensembles. The precise deriving process will be the content of a sequel paper [2]. Here we should point out that the proof of Formula (1.1) is not difficult, but this formula is very effective and available. The derivation of all concrete examples in [2], including all classical random matrix ensembles, will be based on it.

Once the eigenvalue distribution  $d$  is derived by Formula (1.1), under a covering condition, we can get the associated integration formula. The Weyl integration formula for compact Lie groups, the Harish-Chandra's integration formula for complex semisimple Lie groups and real reductive groups, the integration formulae on Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact and compact types which were appeared in [9], as well as their Lie algebra versions are all of particular cases of it (see [2]).

Now let us give a sketch of each section of this paper. In §2 we will develop some geometrical preliminaries on the geometry of  $G$ -space which will be required to establish the generalized ensemble. After presenting four conditions, that is, the invariance condition, the transversality condition, the dimension condition, and the orthogonality condition, on which the definition of generalized ensemble will be based, we will prove in Theorem 2.5 a primary form of Formula (1.1).

§3 will be devoted to the integration over  $G$ -spaces, which will be needed when we derive the integration formula associated with the generalized random matrix ensemble. Based on the four conditions presented in §2 and a covering condition, we will prove an integration formula in Theorem 3.3, which converts the integration over a  $G$ -space to the integration by first integrating over each  $G$ -orbit, and then integrating over the orbits space. Two criterions on when the covering condition holds will also be given.

Prepared by the preliminaries of §2 and §3, in §4 we will give the precise definition of the generalized random matrix ensemble, as well as the associated generalized eigenvalue distribution and generalized joint density function. In Theorem 4.1 the Formula (1.1) will be presented, from which the associated eigenvalue distribution measure and density function will be derived for various concrete examples of the generalized ensemble in a unified way in §5.

In §5 we will give a classification scheme of generalized ensembles, that is, the linear ensemble, the nonlinear noncompact ensemble, the compact ensemble, the group and algebra ensembles, as well as the pseudo-group and pseudo-algebra ensembles. According to this classification scheme, Gaussian ensemble and chiral ensemble are included in linear ensemble, new transfer matrix ensembles are included in nonlinear noncompact ensemble, circular and Jacobi ensembles are included in compact ensemble. Some new ensembles which were not considered before will also be included.

## 2. Geometry of $G$ -spaces

In this section we develop some geometrical preliminaries which will be needed to establish our theory of the generalized random matrix ensembles.

First we make some preparation about measures on manifolds. Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold. A measure  $dx$  on  $M$  is called smooth (or quasi-smooth) if on any local coordinate chart  $(U; x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $M$ ,  $dx$  has the form  $dx = f(x) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$  where  $f$  is a smooth function on  $U$  and  $f > 0$  (or  $f \geq 0$ ),  $dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that the smooth measures on  $M$  are unique up to multiplying a positive smooth function on  $M$ , so the concept of set of measure zero makes sense, which is independent of the choice of smooth measure.

Let  $M, N$  be two  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifolds, and let  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map. If  $dy$  is a smooth (or quasi-smooth) measure on  $N$  which can be expressed locally as  $dy = g(y) dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n$  we can define the pullback  $\phi^*(dy)$  of  $dy$

locally as

$$(2.1) \quad f'(dy) = f'(f'(x)) \det \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

It is easily to check that the definition is compatible when we choose different coordinate charts, and  $f'(dy)$  is a quasi-smooth measure on  $M$ . We can not expect  $f'(dy)$  is smooth in general, even if  $dy$  is smooth, since  $f'$  may have critical points. But if  $f'$  is a local diffeomorphism and  $dy$  is smooth, then  $f'(dy)$  is smooth.

If  $M; N$  are Riemannian manifolds and  $dx; dy$  are the associated Riemannian measures, then we can express the pullback measure  $f'(dy)$  globally. To do this, first we need some comments on the "determinant" of a linear map between two different inner product vector spaces of the same dimension. Suppose  $V; W$  are two  $n$ -dimensional vector spaces with inner products. For  $n$  vectors  $v_1; \dots; v_n \in V$ , let  $a_{ij} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , and define  $\text{Vol}(v_1; \dots; v_n) = \sqrt{\det(a_{ij})}$ . Note that if  $v_1; \dots; v_n$  is an orthogonal basis, then  $\text{Vol}(v_1; \dots; v_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \|v_i\|$ . For vectors in  $W$  we define the same things. Suppose  $A: V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map, define

$$(2.2) \quad j\det A j = \frac{\text{Vol}(A v_1; \dots; A v_n)}{\text{Vol}(v_1; \dots; v_n)}$$

where  $v_1; \dots; v_n$  is a basis of  $V$ . It is easily to check that the definition is independent of the choice of the basis  $v_1; \dots; v_n$ . In the special case that  $v_1; \dots; v_n$  is an orthogonal basis of  $V$  and  $A v_1; \dots; A v_n$  are mutually orthogonal, then

$$(2.3) \quad j\det A j = \frac{\|A v_1\| \dots \|A v_n\|}{\|v_1\| \dots \|v_n\|}$$

Note that we can only expect the norm of the determinant  $j\det A j$  is well defined, since the sign " $\pm$ " depends on the choice of orientations of  $V$  and  $W$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose  $M; N$  are two  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with the associated Riemannian measures  $dx; dy$ , respectively. If  $f': M \rightarrow N$  is a smooth map, then

$$(2.4) \quad f'(dy) = j\det(df')_x j dx:$$

**Proof.** Suppose that in local coordinate charts the Riemannian metrics on  $M$  and  $N$  are  $ds^2 = g_{ij}(x) dx_i dx_j$  and  $de^2 = g_{ij}(y) dy_i dy_j$ , respectively, where  $g_{ij}(x) = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rangle$  and  $g_{ij}(y) = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \rangle$ . Then by definition, the Riemannian measures  $dx; dy$  are  $dx = \sqrt{\det g_{ij}(x)} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$  and  $dy = \sqrt{\det g_{ij}(y)} dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n$ . We

have

$$\begin{aligned}
 | \det(d' )_x |^2 &= \frac{\text{Vol} \left( (d' )_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right); \dots; (d' )_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) \right)^2}{\text{Vol} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}; \dots; \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^2} \\
 &= \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right)'(x); \dots; \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \right)'(x) \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}; \dots; \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \end{pmatrix}} \\
 &= \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_j} g_{kl}'(x) \end{pmatrix}}{\det g_{ij}(x)} \\
 &= \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_1} \dots \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_j} \end{pmatrix}^t g_{kl}'(x)}{\det g_{ij}(x)} \\
 &= \frac{\det \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right)^2 \det g_{ij}'(x)}{\det g_{ij}(x)} :
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 | (dy) | &= \frac{1}{\det g_{ij}'(x)} \det \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\
 &= | \det(d' )_x | \det g_{ij}(x) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\
 &= | \det(d' )_x | dx :
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we come to the main geometric problem which will be concerned in the following sections. Let  $G$  be a Lie group, which acts on an  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $X$ . The action is denoted by  $\cdot : G \times X \rightarrow X$ , and we denote  $g(x) = (g; x)$ . Our first goal is, roughly speaking, to choose a representation point in each  $G$ -orbit  $O_x = \{ g(x) : g \in G \}$ , and the representation points should depend smoothly on the orbits. But in general this aim can only be achieved partially. So suppose we have a closed submanifold  $Y$  of  $X$ , which consists of the representation points of the orbits in one's mind, such that  $Y$  intersects "almost all" orbits transversally. More precisely, we suppose there are closed zero measure subsets  $X_z \subset X$ ,  $Y_z \subset Y$ . Let  $X^0 = X \setminus X_z$ ,  $Y^0 = Y \setminus Y_z$ , and suppose that

$$(a) \quad (\text{invariance condition}) \quad X^0 = \bigcup_{y \in Y^0} O_y.$$

$$(b) \quad (\text{transversality condition}) \quad T_y X = T_y O_y + T_y Y, \quad \forall y \in Y^0.$$

It is clear that (a) implies  $Y^0 = Y \setminus X_z$ , and then  $Y_z = Y \setminus X_z$ . Notice that  $X^0$  and  $Y^0$  are open and dense submanifolds of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. So  $\forall y \in Y^0$ ,  $T_y X^0 = T_y X$ ,  $T_y Y^0 = T_y Y$ .

Let  $K = \{ g \in G : g(y) = y, \forall y \in Y \}$ , then  $K$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ . For  $g \in G$ , we denote  $[g] = gK$  in  $G/K$ . The map  $\cdot : G \times X \rightarrow X$  reduces to a map  $\cdot' : G/K \times Y \rightarrow X$  by  $\cdot'([g]; y) = g(y)$ , and then induces a map

$G=K \times Y^0 \rightarrow X^0$  by restriction, which we also denote by  $\rho$ . By the assumption above,  $\rho: G=K \times Y^0 \rightarrow X^0$  is surjective. For  $x \in X$ , let  $G_x = \{g \in G : \rho(g) = x\}$  be the isotropic subgroup associated with  $x$ . Then  $K \subset G_y$ ,  $\forall y \in Y$ . Let  $dx, dy$  be smooth measures on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. We suppose  $dx$  is  $G$ -invariant. In the following we suppose that

(c) (dimension condition)  $\dim G_y = \dim K$ ,  $\forall y \in Y^0$ .

This means that  $\forall y \in Y^0$ ,  $G_y$  and  $K$  have the same Lie algebras, and the only difference between  $G_y$  and  $K$  is that  $G_y$  may have more components than  $K$ . Then for some  $y \in Y^0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X &= \dim T_y X \\ &= \dim T_y Y + \dim T_y O_y \\ &= \dim Y + \dim G - \dim G_y \\ &= \dim Y + \dim G - \dim K : \end{aligned}$$

So  $\rho$  is a map between manifolds of the same dimension, and the pullback  $\rho^*(dx)$  of  $dx$  makes sense. Suppose also that there is a  $G$ -invariant smooth measure  $d$  on  $G=K \times Y$ , then the product measure  $d \, dy$  on  $G=K \times Y$  is smooth, so

$$(2.5) \quad \rho^*(dx) = J(g; y) d \, dy$$

for some  $J \in C^1(G=K \times Y)$  with  $J \geq 0$ .

Remark 2.1. The  $G$ -invariant smooth measure  $d$  on  $G=K$  exists if and only if  $\chi_G = \chi_K$ , where  $\chi_G$  and  $\chi_K$  are the modular functions on  $G$  and  $K$ , respectively, see, e.g., Knapp [11], Section 8.3. For concrete examples in the following sections, this condition always hold.

Proposition 2.2. The smooth function  $J \in C^1(G=K \times Y)$  is independent of the first variable  $g \in G=K$ . So we can rewrite formula (2.5) as

$$(2.6) \quad \rho^*(dx) = J(y) d \, dy$$

where  $J \in C^1(Y)$  with  $J \geq 0$ .

Proof. We denote the natural action of  $h \in G$  on  $G=K$  also by  $l_h$ , then one can easily verify that  $l_h \rho^* = \rho^* (l_{\rho(h)} \text{id})$ . By the  $G$ -invariance of  $dx$  and  $d$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & J(g; y) d \, dy \\ &= \rho^*(dx) \\ &= \rho^*_{l_h} (dx) \\ &= (l_h \text{id})^* \rho^*(dx) \\ &= (l_h \text{id})^* (J(g; y) d \, dy) \\ &= J(hg; y) (l_h(d) \text{id}(dy)) \\ &= J(hg; y) d \, dy : \end{aligned}$$

So  $J(g; y) = J(hg; y)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ , which means  $J$  is independent of the first variable.

Corollary 2.3. There exists a quasi-smooth measure  $d$  on  $Y$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad \rho^*(dx) = d \, d :$$

The measure  $d$  is given by

$$(2.8) \quad d(y) = J(y)dy:$$

The factor  $J(y)$  can also be given by more general smooth measures  $u(x)dx$  and  $v(y)dy$  on  $X$  and  $Y$ . A direct calculation yields the following

**Proposition 2.4.** Suppose conditions (a), (b), and (c) hold. If the measures vary by  $dx^0 = u(x)dx$ ,  $dy^0 = v(y)dy$ , and  $d^0 = d$ , where  $u, v$  are positive smooth functions on  $X, Y$ , respectively,  $u$  is  $G$ -invariant, and  $c$  is a positive constant, then  $J(y)$  varies by

$$J^0(y) = \frac{u(y)}{v(y)} J(y):$$

Now we suppose that there is a Riemannian structure on  $X$  such that  $dx$  and  $dy$  are the induced Riemannian measures on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. We suppose the following orthogonality condition holds

$$(d) \quad (\text{orthogonality condition}) \quad T_y Y \perp T_y O_y, \quad \forall y \in Y^0.$$

Then we can compute the factor  $J(y)$  in a simple way by the following theorem.

Let  $l$  be a linear subspace of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus l$ , where  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the Lie algebra of  $K$ . Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/K$  be the natural projection, then  $(d\pi)_e: l \rightarrow T_e(G/K)$  is an isomorphism. We endow a Riemannian structure on  $G/K$  such that the associated Riemannian measure is  $d$ , then it also induces an inner product on  $T_e(G/K)$ . For  $y \in Y$ , we define a linear map  $\pi_y: l \rightarrow T_y O_y$  by

$$(2.9) \quad \pi_y(\cdot) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \exp t(\cdot)(y); \quad \forall \cdot \in l:$$

If  $y \in Y^0$ , then  $\dim l = \dim T_y O_y$ . We choose an inner product on  $l$ , and endow the inner product on  $T_y O_y$  induced from the Riemannian structure on  $X$ . Then the "determinants"  $j\det \pi_y$  and  $j\det((d\pi)_e)$  make sense.

**Theorem 2.5.** Under the above assumptions, we have

$$(2.10) \quad J(y) = C j\det \pi_y$$

for  $y \in Y^0$ , where  $C = j\det((d\pi)_e)^{-1}$  is a constant.

**Proof.** By the transversality condition (b), the tangent map

$$(d')_{([e], y)}: T_{([e], y)}(G \times Y) \rightarrow T_y X$$

of  $'$  at the point  $([e], y)$  ( $y \in Y^0$ ) can be regarded as

$$(d')_{([e], y)}: T_{[e]}(G/K) \oplus T_y Y \rightarrow T_y O_y \oplus T_y Y:$$

Denote  $e_y = (d')_{([e], y)}|_{T_{[e]}(G/K)}: T_{[e]}(G/K) \rightarrow T_y O_y$ , then it is obvious that  $\pi_y = e_y \circ (d\pi)_e$ , and one can easily show that in the matrix form,

$$(d')_{([e], y)} = \begin{pmatrix} e_y & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id} \end{pmatrix}:$$

Since  $d$  is the associated Riemannian measure on  $G=K$ , the product measure  $dx dy$  is the associated Riemannian measure on the product Riemannian manifold  $G=K \times Y^0$ . By Proposition 2.1 and the orthogonality condition (d),

$$\begin{aligned} J(y) &= \det(d'_{(e)y}) \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} e_y & 0 \\ 0 & id \end{pmatrix} \\ &= j\det e_y j \\ &= j\det(e_y((d|_e j)^{-1}))j \\ &= C j\det e_y j \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = j\det((d|_e j))j^{-1}$ . This proves the theorem.

Remark 2.2. Although formula (2.10) only hold on  $Y^0$ , since  $Y^0$  is dense in  $Y$  and  $J \in C^1(Y)$ , we can get  $J(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$  by smooth continuation.

### 3. Integrations over $G$ -spaces

Occasionally we will be interested in some kinds of integration formulae. In this section we give some preliminaries on integrations. The reader who has more interest in the eigenvalue distributions of the generalized random matrix ensembles may skip this section and go to §4 directly.

The following proposition generalizes the change of variables formula for multiple integration.

Proposition 3.1. Let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map between two  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifolds  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $dy$  a smooth measure on  $N$ . If  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism and is a  $d$ -sheeted covering map, then for any  $f \in C^1(N)$  with  $f = 0$  or with  $f \in L^1(N; dy)$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \int_N f(y) dy = \frac{1}{d} \int_M f(f^{-1}(x))' (dy):$$

Proof. It is a standard argument using partition of unity, the details is omitted here.

Remark 3.1. Formula (3.1) seems like a formula which relates degree of a map and integration of volume forms on manifold. When  $M, N$  are compact and oriented, then under the conditions of Proposition 3.1, up to a  $\pm$  sign, formula (3.1) says nothing but of this. But, in general, the integration of differential forms is not suitable for us. What we will need is a change of variables formula which should ignore the negative sign.

As in the previous section, Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space, where  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold,  $G$  is a Lie group. Then we have the reduced map  $f: G=K \times Y \rightarrow X$ . Suppose  $dx, dy$ , and  $d$  are smooth measures on  $X, Y$ , and  $G=K$ , respectively, with  $dx$  and  $d$  to be  $G$ -invariant. Our goal is to convert the integration over  $X$  to the integration over  $Y$ . Suppose the conditions (a), (b), and (c) hold. We hope the map  $f: G=K \times Y^0 \rightarrow X^0$  satisfies the conditions as given in Proposition 3.1.



**Proposition 3.2.** Suppose conditions (a), (b) and (c) hold. Then  $\pi : G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0$  is a local diffeomorphism.

**Proof.** Let  $e$  be the unit element in  $G$ . For  $([g]; y) \in G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0$ ,  $d'_{([g]; y)}(0; v) = v; 8v \in T_y Y^0$ , so  $T_y Y^0 \subset \text{Im}(d'_{([g]; y)})$ . Furthermore,  $\pi_{G \rightarrow K} \circ \pi : G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0$  is a local diffeomorphism, so  $T_y O_y \subset \text{Im}(d'_{([g]; y)})$ . Thus  $d'_{([g]; y)}$  is surjective. But  $\dim(G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0) = \dim X^0$ , so  $d'_{([g]; y)}$  is in fact an isomorphism. For general  $([g]; y) \in G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0$ , notice that  $\pi_{G \rightarrow K} \circ \pi = \pi_{G \rightarrow K} \circ \pi$ , where  $\pi_{G \rightarrow K}([h]; y) = ([gh]; y)$ , so  $d'_{([g]; y)} \circ d'_{([h]; y)} = d'_{([gh]; y)} \circ d'_{([h]; y)}$ , and  $d'_{([h]; y)}$  is isomorphic implies  $d'_{([g]; y)}$  is isomorphic. Thus  $\pi$  is everywhere regular, and hence is a local diffeomorphism.

To make Proposition 3.1 available, we endow the following covering condition.

(e) (covering condition) The map  $\pi : G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow X^0$  is a  $d$ -sheeted covering map, with  $d < +\infty$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose conditions (a), (b), (c), and (e) hold. Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \int_X f(x) dx = \frac{1}{d} \int_{Y^0} \int_{G \rightarrow K} f(g(y)) d([g]) J(y) dy$$

for all  $f \in C^1(X)$  with  $f \geq 0$  or with  $f \in L^1(X; dx)$ , where  $J \in C^1(Y)$  is determined by Formula (2.6).

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.2,  $\pi : G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow X^0$  is a local diffeomorphism. By the covering condition (e),  $\pi$  is a  $d$ -sheeted covering map. So by Proposition 3.1, for  $f \in C^1(X)$  with  $f \geq 0$  or  $f \in L^1(X; dx)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f(x) dx &= \int_{X^0} f(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \int_{G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0} f(\pi([g]; y))' (dx) \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \int_{G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0} f(g(y)) J(y) d([g]) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \int_{Y^0} \int_{G \rightarrow K} f(g(y)) d([g]) J(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \int_Y \int_{G \rightarrow K} f(g(y)) d([g]) J(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.4.** Under the same conditions as in the above Theorem, if furthermore  $f(g(x)) = f(x); 8g \in G; x \in X$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad \int_X f(x) dx = \frac{|G \rightarrow K|}{d} \int_Y f(y) J(y) dy:$$

To make the above conclusion more available, we give some criterions on when the map  $\pi : G \rightarrow K \times Y^0 \rightarrow X^0$  is a covering map.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $M, N$  be smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifolds. Then an everywhere regular smooth map  $\pi : M \rightarrow N$  is a  $d$ -sheeted covering map if and only if for each  $y \in N$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  has  $d$  points.

**Proof.** The " $\Rightarrow$ " part is obvious. We prove the " $\Leftarrow$ " part.

For  $y \in N$ , let  $\pi^{-1}(y) = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ . Since  $\pi$  is everywhere regular, there exists open neighborhood  $U_i$  of  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , such that  $\pi|_{U_i} : U_i \rightarrow \pi(U_i)$  is a diffeomorphism. Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^d \pi(U_i)$ , and let  $V_i = \pi^{-1}(V)$ , then  $\pi|_{V_i}$  is also a diffeomorphism onto  $V$ . We conclude that  $\pi^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{i=1}^d V_i$ . In fact,  $z \in \pi^{-1}(V)$ , let  $z_i = \pi^{-1}(\pi(z))$ , then  $z_i \in \pi^{-1}(\pi(z))$  and  $z_i \in V_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . But  $z \in \pi^{-1}(\pi(z))$  and  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(z)) \cap V_i = \{z_i\}$ , this force  $z = z_{i_0}$  for some  $i_0$ . Hence  $z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^d V_i$ . Therefore  $\pi^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{i=1}^d V_i$ . The Lemma is proved.

**Corollary 3.6.** Suppose conditions (a), (b) and (c) hold. If furthermore  $d \geq 2$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap Y^0$ ,

- (1) the isotropic subgroup  $G_y = K$ , (2)  $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap Y^0 = \{y\}$ ,

then  $\pi : G \rightarrow K \times Y^0 \rightarrow X^0$  is a  $d$ -sheeted covering map.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.2,  $\pi$  is a local diffeomorphism. So by the above Proposition, we need only to show that for each  $x \in X^0$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  has  $d$  points.

For  $x \in Y^0$ , suppose  $O_x \cap Y^0 = \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$ . Then there exists  $g_i \in G$  such that  $g_i(y_i) = x$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Then  $g_i \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ . On the other hand, if  $(g, y) \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , then  $y = y_{i_0}$  for some  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Now we have  $g(y_{i_0}) = x$ , that is  $g y_{i_0}^{-1} \in G_x = K$ , so  $g = [g_{i_0}]$  and  $(g, y) = ([g_{i_0}], y_{i_0})$ . Thus  $\pi^{-1}(x) = \{([g_1], y_1), \dots, ([g_d], y_d)\}$ .

In general for  $x \in X^0$ , suppose  $h(x) \in Y^0$  for some  $h \in G$ , then the relation  $\pi^{-1}(h(x)) = h(\pi^{-1}(x))$  reduces the general case to the above one.

Both Proposition 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 will be used in the following sections when we consider concrete examples.

**Remark 3.2.** The converse of Corollary 3.6 is not true. That is, the isotropic subgroups  $G_y$  associated with  $y \in Y^0$  may vary "suddenly", even if  $Y^0$  is connected. For example, The group  $SO(n)$  acts on  $RP^n$  smoothly if we regard  $RP^n$  as the quotient space by gluing the opposite points on the boundary of the closed unit ball  $B^n$ . Let  $X_z$  be the image of  $f(0, y)$ ,  $Y$  be the image of the segment  $f(x, 0; \dots, 0; x)$ , then the conditions (a), (b), (c), and (e) hold. The isotropic subgroup associated with the image of a point in  $Y^0$  which is an interior point of  $B^n$  is  $\text{diag}(1, SO(n-1))$ ,

but for the image of the point  $(1; 0; \dots; 0)$ , its isotropic subgroup is  $\text{diag}(1; 0; \dots; 0)$  (here  $O(n-1) = \{g \in O(n-1) : \det g = 1\}$ ). Other examples with the similar phenomena will appear in [2] when we consider the group ensemble associated with complex semisimple Lie groups. When the phenomena of sudden variation of the isotropic subgroups happens, whether we can in general make them to be of the same by enlarging the set  $X_z$  is an open problem.

#### 4. Generalized random matrix ensembles

Now we are prepared to establish the generalized random matrix ensembles.

Let  $G$  be a Lie group which acts on an  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $X$  by  $\pi: G \times X \rightarrow X$ . For the convenience, we suppose  $X$  is a Riemannian manifold. Suppose the induced Riemannian measure  $dx$  is  $G$ -invariant (note that we do not require the Riemannian structure on  $X$  to be  $G$ -invariant). Let  $Y$  be a closed submanifold of  $X$  which is endowed the induced Riemannian measure  $dy$ , and let  $K = \{g \in G : g(Y) = Y\}$ . As in x2, we form the map  $\pi': G \times Y \rightarrow Y$  by  $\pi'([g], y) = g(y)$ . Let  $X_z \subset X, Y_z \subset Y$  be closed zero measure subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Denote  $X^0 = X \setminus X_z, Y^0 = Y \setminus Y_z$ . We suppose the conditions (a), (b), (c), and (d) of x2 hold. For the reader's convenience, we list them below.

- (a) (invariance condition)  $X^0 = \bigcup_{y \in Y^0} O_y$ .
- (b) (transversality condition)  $T_y X = T_y O_y \oplus T_y Y, \quad \forall y \in Y^0$ .
- (c) (dimension condition)  $\dim G_y = \dim K; \quad \forall y \in Y^0$ .
- (d) (orthogonality condition)  $T_y Y \perp T_y O_y, \quad \forall y \in Y^0$ .

Suppose  $d$  is a  $G$ -invariant smooth measure on  $G=K$ , and suppose  $p(x)$  is a  $G$ -invariant smooth function on  $X$ . Then by Corollary 2.3, there is a quasi-smooth measure  $d$  on  $Y$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_G p(x) dx = \int_Y d$$

**Definition 4.1.** Let the conditions and notations be as above. Then the system  $(G; \pi; p(x)dx; Y; dy)$  is called a generalized random matrix ensemble. The manifolds  $X$  and  $Y$  are called the integration manifold and the eigenvalue manifold, respectively. The measure  $d$  on  $Y$  determined by (4.1) is called the generalized eigenvalue distribution.

Recall that in x2 we have defined the map  $\pi_y: l \rightarrow T_y O_y$  by

$$\pi_y(l) = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \exp t(Y); \quad \forall l \in l;$$

where  $l$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus l$ . Thanks to the preliminaries in x2, we can compute the generalized eigenvalue distribution directly according to the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let  $(G; \int_X p(x)dx; Y; dy)$  be a generalized random matrix ensemble. Then the generalized eigenvalue distribution  $d$  is given by

$$(4.2) \quad d(y) = P(y)dy = p(y)J(y)dy;$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad J(y) = C |\det y|^{-1}$$

here  $C = |\det((d_e)_e)|^{-1}$ .

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 2.2, Corollary 2.3, Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 2.5.

The function  $P(y) = p(y)J(y)$  determined by formula (4.2) is called the generalized joint density function.

One of the most fundamental problems in the random matrix theory is to compute the eigenvalue distribution  $d$ . In our generalized scheme, it is given by formulae (4.2) and (4.3). Note that the power of (4.3) is reflected by the fact that it provides a direct and unified method to compute the eigenvalue distributions of various kinds of random matrix ensembles. In the sequel paper [2], we will see that all the classical ensembles are included in the generalized scheme, and the corresponding eigenvalue distributions can be derived from (4.2) and (4.3). We will also present various kinds of generalized ensemble which were not considered before, and compute their eigenvalue distributions explicitly.

Now we consider the integration formula associated with the generalized random matrix ensemble. As in [3], we assume the following covering condition holds.

(e) (covering condition) The map  $\gamma: G \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow X^0$  is a  $d$ -sheeted covering map, with  $d < +\infty$ .

Theorem 4.2. Let  $(G; \int_X p(x)dx; Y; dy)$  be a generalized random matrix ensemble. Suppose the covering condition (e) holds. Then we have the following integration formula

$$(4.4) \quad \int_X f(x)p(x)dx = \frac{1}{d} \int_Y \int_{G=K} f(\gamma(y))d(\gamma) d(y)$$

for all  $f \in C^1(X)$  with  $f \geq 0$  or with  $f \in L^1(X; p(x)dx)$ . If moreover  $f(\gamma(x)) = f(x)$ , then

$$(4.5) \quad \int_X f(x)p(x)dx = \frac{(G=K)}{d} \int_Y f(y)d(y):$$

Proof. It is obvious by Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4.

In formula (4.5), if the measure  $p(x)dx$  is a probability measure, and we let  $f = 1$ , we get  $\frac{(G=K)}{d} \int_Y d(y) = 1$ . So if  $G=K$  is compact, we can normalize the measure  $d$  such that  $(G=K) = d$ , then the generalized eigenvalue distribution  $d$  is a probability measure.

Remark 4.1. The condition  $f \in C^1(X)$  in Theorem 4.2 is superfluous. In fact, it is sufficient to assume  $f$  is measurable. The same is true for Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3.

## 5. A classification scheme of generalized ensembles

In this section we give a classification scheme of the generalized random matrix ensembles, that is,

- (1) Linear ensemble,
- (2) Nonlinear noncompact ensemble,
- (3) Compact ensemble,
- (4) Group ensemble,
- (5) Algebra ensembles,
- (6) Pseudo-group ensemble,
- (7) Pseudo-algebra ensemble.

First we define the linear ensemble and the nonlinear noncompact ensemble. Let  $G$  be a real reductive Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the sense of Knap [1], Section 7.2. Then  $G$  admits a global Cartan involution  $\theta$ , which induces a Cartan involution  $\theta$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let the corresponding Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $K = \{g \in G : \theta(g) = gg\}$ ,  $P = \exp(\mathfrak{p})$ , then  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $P$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$  satisfies  $T_e P = \mathfrak{p}$ . The spaces  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $P$  are invariant under the adjoint action  $A = \text{Ad}_k$  and the conjugate action  $\theta$  of  $K$ , respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and let  $A$  be the connected subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $M = \{k \in K : A_k(\cdot) = \cdot; \theta_a = \text{Ad}_k(\cdot) = \cdot; \theta_a \in A\}$ . It can be shown that there are Riemannian structures on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $P$  inducing  $K$ -invariant Riemannian measures  $dx$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $dx$  on  $P$ . They also induce Riemannian measures  $dY$  on  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $da$  on  $A$ . There is also a  $K$ -invariant smooth measure  $d$  on  $K/M$ . Let  $p_1(\cdot)$  and  $p_2(x)$  be  $K$ -invariant positive smooth functions on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $P$ , then it can be proved that the systems  $(K; A; p; p_1(\cdot)dx(\cdot); a; dY)$  and  $(K; P; p_2(x)dx; A; da)$  are generalized random matrix ensembles, which we called linear ensemble and nonlinear noncompact ensemble, respectively. It can be shown that the Gaussian ensemble and the chiral ensemble are particular examples of linear ensemble, and the new transfer matrix ensembles are particular examples of nonlinear noncompact ensemble.

Next we define the compact ensemble. Let  $G$  be a connected compact Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Suppose  $\theta$  is a global involutive of  $G$  with the induced involution  $\theta = d\theta$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $K = \{g \in G : \theta(g) = gg\}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the eigenspace of  $\theta$  corresponding the eigenvalue  $-1$ . Let  $P = \exp(\mathfrak{p})$ , then  $P$  is invariant under the conjugate action  $\theta$  of  $K$ . It was proved in [1] that  $P$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$  satisfies  $T_e P = \mathfrak{p}$ , which is just the identity component of the set  $\{g \in G : \theta(g) = g^{-1}g\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and let  $A$  be the torus with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$ . There is a Riemannian structure on  $P$  induces a  $K$ -invariant Riemannian measure  $dx$  on  $P$  and a Riemannian measure  $da$  on  $A$ . Let  $M = \{k \in K : \text{Ad}_k(a) = a; \theta_a \in A\}$ , then there is a  $K$ -invariant smooth measure  $d$  on  $K/M$ . Let  $p(x)$  be a  $K$ -invariant positive smooth function on  $P$ , then it can be proved that the system  $(K; P; p(x)dx; A; da)$  is a generalized random matrix ensemble, which we call it compact ensemble. It can be shown that the circular ensemble and the Jacobi ensembles are particular examples of compact ensemble.

Let  $G$  be a unimodular Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then there are Riemannian structures on  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  inducing a  $\text{Ad}$ -invariant Riemannian measure  $dg$  on  $G$  and an  $\text{Ad}$ -invariant Riemannian measure  $dX$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\text{Ad}$  denotes the conjugate action of  $G$  on itself. Let  $p_1(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $p_2(\cdot)$  be two functions on  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$ , respectively, which are invariant under the corresponding actions of  $G$ . If there exists a closed submanifold  $Y$  of  $G$  such that  $(G; \text{Ad}; p_1(\mathfrak{g})dg; Y; dy)$  is a generalized random matrix ensemble, where  $dy$  is the induced Riemannian measure on  $Y$ , then we call it a group ensemble. And if there exists a closed submanifold  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $(G; \text{Ad}; p_2(\cdot)dX(\cdot); y; dY)$  is a generalized random matrix ensemble, where  $dY$  is the induced Riemannian measure on  $y$ , then we call it an algebra ensemble. Among all the unimodular Lie groups, the connected compact Lie group and the connected complex semisimple Lie group are of particular interest. For a connected compact Lie group  $G$ , we can let the submanifold  $Y$  of  $G$  be a maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$ , and let the submanifold  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $T$ . For a connected complex semisimple Lie group  $G$ , we can let the submanifold  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let the submanifold  $Y$  of  $G$  be the corresponding Cartan subgroup of  $G$ . For these cases, it can be proved that the systems  $(G; \text{Ad}; p_1(\mathfrak{g})dg; Y; dy)$  and  $(G; \text{Ad}; p_2(\cdot)dX(\cdot); y; dY)$  are generalized random matrix ensembles.

Now we define the pseudo-group ensemble and the pseudo-algebra ensembles, which are related to real reductive groups. Let  $G$  be a real reductive group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\theta$  be a Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_m$  be a maximal set of mutually nonconjugate stable Cartan subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the corresponding Cartan subgroups  $H_1, \dots, H_m$  of  $G$ . Denote the sets of all regular elements in  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $G_r$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_r$ . Let  $H_j^0 = H_j \setminus G_r$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}_j^0 = \mathfrak{h}_j \setminus \mathfrak{g}_r$ . Then it is known that  $G_r = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcap_{g \in G} g(H_j^0)$  (see [11], Theorem 7.108),  $\mathfrak{g}_r = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcap_{g \in G} \text{Ad}_g(\mathfrak{h}_j^0)$  (see [14], Proposition 1.3.4.1), here the symbol  $\setminus$  means disjoint union. Each  $\bigcap_{g \in G} g(H_j^0)$  is an open set in  $G$ , and each  $\bigcap_{g \in G} \text{Ad}_g(\mathfrak{h}_j^0)$  is an open set in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $G_j = \bigcap_{g \in G} g(H_j^0)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_j = \bigcap_{g \in G} \text{Ad}_g(\mathfrak{h}_j^0)$ . It can be shown that some suitable Riemannian structures on  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  induce a  $\text{Ad}$ -invariant measure  $dg_j$  on  $G_j$  and an  $\text{Ad}$ -invariant measure  $dX_j$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  for each  $j$ , and they also induce a Riemannian measure  $dh_j$  on  $H_j$  and a Riemannian measure  $dY_j$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_j$ . It is known that  $Z(H_j) = \{g \in G : g(h) = h, \forall h \in H_j\}$ ,  $H_j = \{g \in G : \text{Ad}_g(\cdot) = \pm \text{id}\}$ . Let  $d_j^0, d_j$  be  $G$ -invariant measures on  $G = Z(H_j)$  and  $G = H_j$ , respectively. In general, the spaces  $G_j$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  may have singularities. But this doesn't matter, since they are closures of open submanifolds in  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$ , whose boundaries have measure zero. If we ignore this ambiguity, then it can be proved that  $(G; \text{Ad}; dg_j; H_j; dh_j)$  and  $(G; \text{Ad}; dX_j; \mathfrak{h}_j; dY_j)$  are generalized random matrix ensembles, which we called pseudo-group ensemble and pseudo-algebra ensemble, respectively.

Due to the generality of the definition, our classification could not exhaust all kinds of generalized ensemble. But it would include all kinds of classical random matrix ensembles and some new examples of generalized ensembles, which will be analyzed explicitly in the sequel paper [2].

## References

- [1] An, J., Wang, Z., On the realization of Riemannian symmetric spaces in Lie groups, preprint, math.GT/0502028.
- [2] An, J., Wang, Z., Yan, K., A Generalization of random matrix ensemble II: concrete examples and integration formulae, preprint.
- [3] Altland, A., Zirnbauer, M. R., Novel symmetry classes in mesoscopic normalconducting-superconducting hybrid structures, Phys. Rev. B, 55, 1142-1161, 1997.
- [4] Caselle, M., On the distribution of transmission eigenvalues in disordered wires, arXiv:cond-mat/9410097, 1994.
- [5] Caselle, M., A new classification scheme for random matrix theories, arXiv:cond-mat/9610017, 1996.
- [6] Caselle, M., Magnea, U., Random matrix theory and symmetric spaces, Phys. Rep. 394, 41-156, 2004.
- [7] Duenez, E., Random matrix ensembles associated to compact symmetric spaces, Comm. Math. Phys. 244, 29-61, 2004.
- [8] Dyson, F. J., Correlations between the eigenvalues of a random matrix, Comm. Math. Phys. 19, 235-250, 1970.
- [9] Helgason, S., Groups and geometric analysis: integral geometry, invariant differential operators, and spherical functions, American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [10] Ivanov, D. A., Random matrix ensembles in p-wave vortices, arXiv:cond-mat/0103089, 2001.
- [11] Knap, A. W., Lie groups beyond an introduction, 2nd edition, Birkhauser, Boston, 2002.
- [12] Mehta, M. L., Random matrices, Academic Press, San Diego, 1991.
- [13] Titov, M., Brouwer, P. W., Furusaki, A., Mudry, C., Fokker-Planck equations and density of states in disordered quantum wires, Phys. Rev. B, 63, 235318, 2001.
- [14] Warner, G., Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups, I, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
- [15] Zirnbauer, M. R., Riemannian symmetric superspaces and their origin in random-matrix theory, J. Math. Phys., 37(10), 4986-5018, 1996.

School of mathematical science, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, P. R. China  
E-mail address: anjinpeng@math.pku.edu.cn

School of mathematical science, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, P. R. China  
E-mail address: zdwang@pku.edu.cn

School of mathematics and physics, Zhejiang Normal University, Zhejiang Jinhua, 321004, P. R. China  
E-mail address: yankh@zjnu.cn