

On the Hamiltonian formulation of Yang-Mills gauge theories

Stefano Vignolo, Roberto Cianci

DIPTeM Sez. Metodologie Modellistiche, Università di Genova
Piazzale Kennedy, Pad. D - 16129 Genova (Italia)
E-mail: vignolo@diptem.unige.it, cianci@diptem.unige.it

Daniilo Bruno

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Genova
Via Dodecaneso 35 - 16146 Genova (Italia)
E-mail: bruno@dim.unige.it

Abstract

The Hamiltonian formulation of the theory proposed in [1, 2] is given both in the Hamilton (DeDonder) and in the Multi-momentum Hamiltonian geometrical approaches. (3+3) Yang-Mills gauge theories are dealt with explicitly in order to restate them in terms of Einstein-Cartan like field theories.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 70S05, 70S15, 81T13

Keywords: Yang-Mills gauge theories, Hamiltonian formalism, multisymplectic geometry

1 Introduction

In some of our recent works [1, 2, 3] a new geometrical framework for Yang-Mills field theories and General Relativity in the tetrad formalism has been developed.

The construction of the new geometrical setting started from the observation that even though the Lagrangian densities of the above theories are defined over the first jet bundle of the configuration space, they only depend of the antisymmetric combination of field derivatives in the space-time indexes. As for the Yang-Mills case, this is the reason for the singularity in the Lagrangian.

The idea consists in considering a suitable quotient of the first jet bundle, making two sections equivalent when they possess a first order contact with respect to the exterior covariant differentiation, instead of the whole set of derivatives. The fiber coordinates of the resulting quotient bundle are the antisymmetric combinations of the field derivatives that appear in the Lagrangian.

The geometry of the new space has been widely studied, in order to build as many usual geometric structures of the jet{bundle theory as possible, such as contact forms, jet{prolongations of sections, morphisms and vector fields. These are the geometric tools that are needed to implement variational problems in the Poincaré{Cartan formalism. Moreover, particular choices for the fiber coordinates have been shown to be possible: they consist in the components of the strength tensor for Yang{Mills theories and in the torsion and curvature tensors for General Relativity.

This resulted into the elimination of some un{physical degrees of freedom from the theory (represented by un-necessary jet{coordinates) and to even obtain a regular Lagrangian theory in the case of Yang{Mills fields.

This last consideration was the thrust that moved us to write the present work: the presence of a regular Lagrangian allows us to write a Hamiltonian version of the theory proposed in [1, 2]. The advantages arising from the present approach, with respect to the already existing formulations, based on singular Lagrangians (compare, for example, with [4, 5]), are striking. In fact, the singularity of the Lagrangian is the source of known drawbacks: the equations are defined on a constraint submanifold, there exist multiple Hamiltonian forms associated with the same Lagrangian and the equivalence between Euler{Lagrange and Hamilton equations is not a direct consequence of Legendre transform anymore.

On the contrary, the situation in the new geometrical framework is simpler and more elegant: the "Lagrangian" space and the phase{space have the same dimension and the Legendre transform is a (local) diffeomorphism. This ensures the direct equivalence of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations, both in the Hamilton{De Donder (section 3) and in the Multimomentum Hamiltonian approach (section 4).

Finally, we devoted the last section to study the peculiar $(3+3)$ Yang{Mills theory. Starting from the work made in [7, 8], we showed that a coordinate transformation in the phase{space, together with the Poincaré{Cartan approach in our new formalism, allows to describe a $(3+3)$ Yang{Mills theory by means of Einstein{Cartan like equations in 3 dimensions. In particular, in the case of a free $(3+3)$ Yang{Mills field the geometrical construction gives rise to a sort of first{order purely frame{formulation of a General Relativity like theory.

This result is interesting for its further developments: in fact we will show in a subsequent work that an analogous geometrical machinery may be applied to build a first{order purely frame{formulation of General Relativity in four dimensions [10].

2 The geometrical framework

The present section is devoted to revising the geometrical structure that has been introduced in [1, 2] to describe Yang{Mills theories.

Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a principal fiber bundle, with structural group G and let

$x^i; g$ denote a system of local bered coordinates on P . $J_1(P)$ denotes the first jet-bundle of $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ and it is referred to local coordinates $x^i; g; g_i = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i}$.

The space of principal connections on P is identified with the quotient bundle $E = J_1(P)/G$ with respect to the (jet-prolongation of) the right action R_h of the structural group on P . If $V(g; h)$ represents the differential of the right multiplication R_h in $g \in G$, a set of local coordinates in the quotient space is provided by $x^i; a_i = g_i V(g; g^{-1})$, subject to the following transformation laws:

$$x^i = x^i(x^j); \quad a_i = \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) a_j + W(g^{-1}; g) \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x^i} \quad (2.1)$$

where Ad and W denote respectively the adjoint representation of G and the differential of the left multiplication in G , while $\pi: U \rightarrow M \rightarrow G$ (U open set) is an arbitrary smooth map.

As a consequence, the bundle $E \rightarrow M$ has the nature of an affine bundle, whose sections represent principal connections over $P \rightarrow M$. In fact, every section $\omega: M \rightarrow J_1(P)/G$ yields a connection 1-form on P , locally described as:

$$\omega(x; g) = \omega(x; g) \otimes = \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) a_i(x) dx^i + W(g^{-1}; g) dg \otimes \quad (2.2)$$

where $\otimes = 1; \dots; r$ indicate a basis of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G .

Finally, let $\omega \in J_1(E) \rightarrow E$ be the first jet-bundle associated with the bundle $E \rightarrow M$, described by the set of local coordinates $x^i; a_i; a_{ij} = \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^j}$.

In order to provide a better geometrical framework to describe Yang-Mills gauge theories, the following equivalence relation is introduced in $J_1(E)$: let $\omega_1 = (x^i; a_i; a_{ij}); \omega_2 = (x^i; a_i; \hat{a}_{ij}) \in J_1(E)$ be such that $\omega_1 = \omega_2$, then:

$$\omega_1 = \omega_2, \quad (a_{ij} - a_{ji}) = (\hat{a}_{ij} - \hat{a}_{ji}) \quad (2.3)$$

This means that two sections are declared equivalent if their skew symmetric derivatives are equal. In more geometric terms, being every section of the bundle $E \rightarrow M$ represented by a connection 1-form, the first jet-bundle has been constructed assuming that the equivalence between sections having a first order contact is evaluated through the exterior differentiation (or, equivalently, the covariant exterior differentiation), instead of the whole set of partial derivatives.

Let $J(E) = J_1(E)/\sim$ denote the quotient bundle with respect to the above defined equivalence relation and $\pi: J_1(E) \rightarrow J(E)$ the canonical (quotient) projection. The bundle $J(E)$ is endowed with a set of local bered coordinates $x^i; a_i; A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{ij} - a_{ji})$ ($i < j$), subject to the following transformation laws:

$$A_{ik} = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^h}{\partial x^k} \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) A_{jh} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \text{Ad}(g^{-1})}{\partial x^h} a_j - \frac{\partial \text{Ad}(g^{-1})}{\partial x^j} a_h + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x^h} \frac{\partial a_h}{\partial x^i} \quad (2.4)$$

where $j(x) = W^{-1}(x); (x))^{\frac{\partial(x)}{\partial x^j}}$.

This newly defined geometrical framework is endowed with the most common features provided by a standard jet{bundle structure.

J-extension of sections. Given a section $s:M \rightarrow E$ its J-extension is defined as $J s = j s : M \rightarrow J(E)$, namely projecting the standard jet{prolongation to $J(E)$ by means of the quotient map. Conversely, every section $s:M \rightarrow J(E)$ will be said to be holonomic if there exists a section $\tilde{s}:M \rightarrow E$ such that $s = J \tilde{s}$.

Contact forms. Let us define the following 2-forms on $J(E)$:

$$\omega = da_i \wedge dx^i + A_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j \quad (2.5)$$

They undergo the transformation laws $\omega = Ad(\tilde{A}^{-1}) \omega$. The vector bundle which is locally spanned by the 2-forms (2.5) will be called the contact bundle $C(J(E))$ and any section $\alpha:J(E) \rightarrow C(J(E))$ will be called a contact 2-form. Contact forms are such that $\alpha(s) = 0$ whenever $s:M \rightarrow J(E)$ is holonomic.

J-prolongations of morphisms and vector fields. A generic morphism $\phi:E \rightarrow E$, fibered over M , can be raised to a morphism $J\phi:J(E) \rightarrow J(E)$ considering its ordinary jet{prolongation and restricting it to $J(E)$ through the quotient map, namely:

$$J\phi(z) = j\phi(w) \circ w^{-1}(z); z \in J(E)$$

As a matter of fact, not every morphism $\phi:E \rightarrow E$ commutes with the quotient map and produces a well defined prolongation (i.e. independent of the choice of the representative in the equivalence class), but it has to satisfy the condition:

$$j\phi(w_1) = j\phi(w_2) \circ w_1^{-1}(z) \quad (2.6)$$

Referring to [1] for the proof, it is easy to see that the only morphisms satisfying condition (2.5) are necessarily of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x^i) &= x^i(x^j) \\ \phi(b_i) &= b_i(x^j; a_j) = (x) \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial y^i} a_r + f_i(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where (x) and $f_i(x)$ are arbitrary local functions on M . Their J-prolongation is:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y^i) &= y^i(x^k) \\ \phi(b_i) &= (x) \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial y^i} a_r + f_i(x) \\ \phi(B_{ij}) &= A_{ks} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial y^j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial y^j} a_r + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^j} - \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^i} \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way (compare with [1]), it is easy to prove that the only vector fields of the form

$$X = x^i(x^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} a_k + D(x^j) a_q + G_q(x^j) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial a_q} \quad (2.8)$$

(where $x^i(x)$, $D(x)$ and $G_q(x)$ are arbitrary local functions on M) can be J -prolonged to vector fields over $J(E)$ as follows:

$$J(X)(z) := x^i(z) (j_1(X)) \quad \forall z \in J(P) \quad (2.9)$$

The resulting vector field has the form :

$$J(X) = x^i(x^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} a_k + D(x^j) a_q + G_q(x^j) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial a_q} + \sum_{i < j} h_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_{ij}}$$

where

$$h_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial D}{\partial x^j} a_i - \frac{\partial D}{\partial x^i} a_j + \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial G_j}{\partial x^i} \right) + D A_{ij} + A_{ki} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - A_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

Finally, in order to adapt the geometrical framework to the presence of the covariant differentiation induced by connections, it is useful to introduce a set of new bered local coordinates over $J(E)$ of the form :

$$x^i = x^i \quad a_i = a_i \quad F_{ij} = 2A_{ji} + a_j a_i C \quad (2.10)$$

where C are the structure coefficients of the group G . The latter are subject to the following transformation laws:

$$F_{ik} = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^h}{\partial x^k} A d(\quad) F_{jh} \quad (2.11)$$

Using the new coordinates, every Yang-Mills Lagrangian m {form can be expressed as

$$L = L(x^i; a_i; F_{ij}) ds \quad (2.12)$$

Moreover, it is possible to define a corresponding Poincare-Cartan m -form over $J(E)$, expressed as

$$L := L ds + \frac{1}{2} \wedge P \quad (2.13)$$

where $P := \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ij}} ds_{ij}$, $ds_{ij} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \lrcorner \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \lrcorner ds$.

The presence of the Poincare-Cartan form allows to deduce the evolution equations for Yang-Mills fields looking for the stationary points of the functional

$$A_L(\quad) := \int_D (L) \quad \forall D : D \rightarrow M \quad J(E) \quad (2.14)$$

The stationarity condition for A_L (taking null variations at the boundary of the compact domain D) is equivalent to the conditions (compare with [1, 2]):

$$(\quad) = 0 \quad (2.15a)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_i} - D_j \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ji}} = 0 \quad (2.15b)$$

The first equation ensures the kinematic admissibility of the critical section, while the second represents the field equations of the problem. As a matter of fact, the kinematical admissibility is directly obtained from the variational principle and is not imposed as an a-priori condition, as a consequence of the regularity of the Lagrangian L within the new framework provided by $J(E)$.

3 The Hamiltonian framework

Let $\mathcal{M}^m(E)$ denote the modulus of m -forms over E , and let $\mathcal{M}_r^m(E) \subset \mathcal{M}^m(E)$ ($r < m$) be the sub-bundle consisting of those m -forms on E vanishing when r of its given arguments are vertical vectors over the bundle $E \rightarrow M$. It is obvious that the above defined bundles form a chain of vector bundles over E such that:

$$0 \subset \mathcal{M}_1^m(E) \subset \mathcal{M}_2^m(E) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{M}_r^m(E) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{M}^m(E)$$

In particular the attention will be focussed on the first two sub-spaces. Given a system of local coordinates over E and let $ds = dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m$, they can be respectively described as:

$$\mathcal{M}_1^m(E) \ni f \wedge \mathcal{M}^{m-1}(E) \ni p ds_g \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_2^m(E) \ni f \wedge \mathcal{M}^{m-2}(E) \ni p ds + {}^{ji} da_i \wedge ds_j g \quad (3.2)$$

where $ds_j \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \lrcorner ds$. It is then possible to assume $fx^i; a_i; p; {}^{ij}g$ as a system of local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_1^m(E)$, subject to the transformations laws $p = Jp$ (where $J \equiv \det \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^k}$).

A set of local coordinates for $\mathcal{M}_2^m(E)$ is provided by the functions $fx^i; a_i; p; {}^{ij}g$. The latter are subject to a set of transformation laws described by eqs. (2.1), together with:

$$p = J p + {}^{ji} \frac{\partial A d(\quad)}{\partial x^q} a_p + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x^q} \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial x^p}{\partial x^i} + {}^{ij} (A d(\quad) a_p + p) \frac{\partial^2 x^p}{\partial x^j \partial x^i} \quad (3.3a)$$

$$p^q = {}^{ij} A d(\quad) \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial x^p}{\partial x^i} J \quad (3.3b)$$

The bundle $\pi_2^m(E)$ is endowed with the canonical Liouville m -form, locally expressed as

$$\omega = p ds + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} da_i \wedge ds_j \quad (3.4)$$

whose differential

$$d\omega = dp \wedge ds + d \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} da_i \wedge ds_j \right) \quad (3.5)$$

is a multisymplectic $(m+1)$ form over $\pi_2^m(E)$.

A deeper geometrical insight in the problem can be given observing that eqs. (3.3) make π_1^m into a vector sub-bundle of π_2^m , thus allowing us to introduce the quotient bundle $\pi_2^m = \pi_1^m$. As a consequence of the definition, the latter has the nature of a vector bundle over E and is locally described by the system of coordinates $x^i; a_i; \epsilon^{ij}$. It is worth noticing that the transformation law (3.3a) makes $\pi_2^m(E) \rightarrow \pi_1^m(E)$ into an affine bundle.

The phase space is defined as the vector sub(bundle $\pi_1(E) \rightarrow \pi_2^m(E) = \pi_1^m(E)$ consisting of those elements $z \in \pi_2^m(E) = \pi_1^m(E)$ satisfying the requirement

$$\epsilon^{ij}(z) = \epsilon^{ji}(z) \quad (3.6)$$

Condition (3.6) is well-posed because of the transformation laws (3.3). A local system of coordinates for $\pi_1(E)$ is provided by $x^i; a_i; \epsilon^{ij}$ ($i < j$), subject to the same transformation laws (3.3b). Besides, being $\pi_1(E)$ a vector sub(bundle, the immersion $i: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow \pi_2^m(E) = \pi_1^m(E)$ is well defined and is locally represented by eq. (3.6) itself.

The pullback bundle $\pi^*: H(\pi_1(E)) \rightarrow \pi_1(E)$ defined by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\pi_1(E)) & \xrightarrow{\hat{i}} & \pi_2^m(E) \\ \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* \\ \pi^* \pi_1(E) & \xrightarrow{i} & \pi_1^m(E) \end{array} \quad (3.7)$$

will now be taken into account. A local coordinate system for $H(\pi_1(E))$ is provided by $x^i; a_i; \epsilon^{ij}$ ($i < j$); p , subject to transformation laws (3.3b), together with:

$$p = J p + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \frac{\partial A d(\epsilon^{ij})}{\partial x^q} a_p + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x^q} \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x^i} \quad (3.8)$$

the latter being the antisymmetric part of eq. (3.3a). The above transformation law shows that the bundle $\pi^*: H(\pi_1(E)) \rightarrow \pi_1(E)$ has the nature of an affine bundle over the phase space. Every section $h: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow H(\pi_1(E))$ will be called a Hamiltonian section, and will be locally described in the form:

$$h: p = H(x^i; a_i; \epsilon^{ij}) \quad (3.9)$$

The presence of the immersion $\hat{i}: H(E) \rightarrow \frac{m}{2}(E)$, endows $H(E)$ with the canonical m -form $\hat{i}^*(\omega)$, locally expressed as in eq. (3.4). The latter will be simply denoted as ω and will be called the Liouville form on $H(E)$.

The presence of the form ω on $H(E)$, allows to create a correspondence between the Hamiltonian and the Lagrangian viewpoints, based on the existence of a unique diffeomorphism $\pi: J(E) \rightarrow H(E)$ fibered over E satisfying the requirement:

$$\pi^*\omega = \omega_J \quad (3.10)$$

Such a diffeomorphism will be called the Legendre map. Given a set of local coordinates $x^i; a_i; F_{ij} (i < j)$ on $J(E)$ and $x^i; a_i; p^{ij} (i < j); p$ on $H(E)$, and taking eqs. (2.10) and (2.13) into account, the Poincaré-Cartan m -form can be written as

$$\omega_J = \omega_L - \frac{1}{2} F_{kr} + a_k a_r C - \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{kr}} ds + \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{rk}} da_k \wedge ds_r \quad (3.11)$$

and the Legendre map defined by eq. (3.10) is such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{matrix} \nearrow \\ \nearrow \\ \nearrow \\ \nearrow \\ \vdots \\ \nearrow \\ \nearrow \\ \nearrow \end{matrix} \begin{aligned} x^i &= x^i \\ a_i &= a_i \\ p(x^j; a_j; F_{ij}) &= L - \frac{1}{2} F_{kr} + a_k a_r C - \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{kr}} \\ p^{ij}(x^j; a_j; F_{ij}) &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ij}} \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The most striking feature of the Legendre transformation between $J(E)$ and $H(E)$ is provided by its regularity, due to the acquired regularity of the Yang-Mills Lagrangian in the space $J(E)$. In particular the condition

$$\det \frac{\partial^2 p^{ij}}{\partial F_{rk}} \neq 0 \quad 8 \ i < j; r < k \quad 8 \ ;$$

assures the local invertibility of the last equation (3.12), allowing to obtain the coordinates F_{ij} as functions $F_{ij} = F_{ij}(x^j; a_j; p^{ij})$. Thus, the Legendre map has the nature of a regular immersion of $J(E)$ into $H(E)$, yielding a submanifold $(J(E)) \subset H(E)$, locally described by:

$$p(x^j; a_j; p^{ij}) = L(x^j; a_j; p^{ij}) - \frac{1}{2} F_{kr}(x^j; a_j; p^{ij}) + a_k a_r C \quad kr \quad (3.13)$$

In accordance with the literature, the function

$$H(x^i; a_i; p^{ij}) = L(x^i; a_i; p^{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} F_{kr}(x^i; a_i; p^{ij}) \quad kr \quad (3.14)$$

will be called the Hamiltonian of the system.

If the phase space (E) is taken into account, the composition $\hat{\pi} \circ J : J(E) \rightarrow (E)$ results to be a (local) diffeomorphism. As a consequence, its (local) inverse map $\hat{\pi}^{-1} : (E) \rightarrow J(E)$ can be considered. Taking the derivatives of eq. (3.14) with respect to \dot{x}^i and using the antisymmetric properties of the coordinates, one gets the coordinate representation for the inverse Legendre map as:

$$\hat{\pi}^{-1} : \begin{cases} x^i = x^i \\ a_i = a_i \\ F_{ij} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{x}^j} \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

Taking the Legendre map into account, as well as its inverse (3.15), it is easy to see that the image $(J(E))$ defined by eq. (3.13) yields a Hamiltonian section h , represented by a function $H(x^i; a_i; \dot{x}^i) = H(x^i; a_i; \dot{x}^i) + \frac{1}{2} a_k a_r C^{kr}$. Now, the presence of the Hamiltonian section allows to perform the pullback of the Liouville form on $H(E)$ to the phase space (E) . The result is a Hamiltonian dependent m -form

$$h = h(\cdot) = H(x^i; a_i; \dot{x}^i) ds^i \wedge da_i + \frac{1}{2} a_i a_j C^{ij} ds^i \wedge ds^j \quad (3.16)$$

The variational principle constructed on the phase space (E) with the m -form h yields the Hamilton equations for the problem. In fact, the solution of the variational problem for the functional

$$A_h(\gamma) = \int_D (\gamma^* h) \quad \text{8 section } \gamma : D \rightarrow M \rightarrow H(E)$$

is made of its Euler-Lagrange equations

$$(X \lrcorner d_h) = 0 \quad \text{8 } X \in T V(E); M \quad (3.17)$$

where $V(E); M$ denotes the bundle of vectors over (E) that are vertical with respect to the fibration over M .

A straightforward calculation shows that eq. (3.17) splits into the following set of equations:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{x}^j} - \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x^i} a_i a_j C^{ij} = 0 \quad (3.18a)$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial a_i} - \frac{\partial \dot{x}^j}{\partial x^j} + \dot{x}^j a_j C^{ji} = 0 \quad (3.18b)$$

usually referred to as Hamilton-De Donder equations.

The inverse Legendre map (3.15) shows that eq. (3.18a) is the holonomy requirement for the solution, namely:

$$F_{ij} = + \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^j} a_i a_j C^{ij}$$

On the other hand, eq. (3.18b) can be written in terms of the covariant derivative D_j induced by the connection, giving rise to the usual evolution equations for the Yang-Mills fields:

$$D_j j^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial a_i}$$

4 Multisymplectic Hamiltonian formulation

In the previous section a Hamiltonian approach to Yang-Mills field theories has been developed, adapting the already known Hamilton-DeDonder formalism developed within the framework of calculus of variations to the new geometrical setting.

Nevertheless, there exists another well-known Hamiltonian approach to field theory, represented by the so-called multisymplectic Hamiltonian formalism, where Hamiltonian connections play the same role as Hamiltonian vector fields in symplectic geometry.

The argument has been widely studied in the literature (compare with [4, 5]), both on the jet-bundle and on the Legendre bundle (the phase space), in the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian framework. In this section we will show that a multisymplectic formulation of the above theory can be built, starting from the Poincaré-Cartan forms (2.13) and (3.16).

We will start extending some definitions and some results about the Legendre bundle of a generic field theory to our space. All the argument will be presented without proofs; the reader is referred to [4, 5] for comments and further developments.

First of all, the canonical monomorphism is introduced as:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &: (E)^! \rightarrow {}^{m+1}T^*(E) \otimes_M T^*(M) \\ &= j^i da_i \wedge ds - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The following definitions are strictly associated with monomorphism (4.1).

Definition 4.1 The pullback valued horizontal form, locally described by eq. (4.1) is called multisymplectic Liouville form on the phase space (E) .

Definition 4.2 The pullback valued form, defined as

$$\omega = d j^i \wedge da_i \wedge ds - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \quad (4.2)$$

will be called the multisymplectic form on (E) .

The relation between the forms (4.1) and (4.2) is described by the following

Proposition 4.1 Given a generic 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$, the forms (4.1) and (4.2) are such that

$$\omega = d(\alpha) \quad (4.3)$$

Let us consider a connection of the bundle $(E) \rightarrow M$, locally described by the tangent-valued horizontal 1-form

$$\omega = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + \omega_{kh} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_h} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_k^{st} \frac{\partial}{\partial s^t} dx^k \quad (4.4)$$

where $\omega_k^{st} = \omega_k^{ts}$.

Then, the following definition can be given:

Definition 4.3 A connection of the bundle $(E) \rightarrow M$, described by eq. (4.4), is called a Hamiltonian connection if the $(n+1)$ -form ω is closed.

A straightforward calculation shows that a connection is Hamiltonian if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge \omega &= 0 \\ \omega \wedge \omega &= 0 \\ \omega \wedge \omega &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Definition 4.4 An n -form $\omega \in \Omega^n(E)$ is called a momentum Hamiltonian form if for every open set $U \subset (E)$ there exists a Hamiltonian connection on U satisfying the equation

$$\omega = d\alpha \quad (4.6)$$

Now, we will show that the Poincaré-Cartan form (3.16) is a momentum Hamiltonian form. In other words, we will show the existence of Hamiltonian connections satisfying the equation

$$\omega = d\alpha_h \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover such connections will be shown to automatically satisfy the Hamilton-De Donder equations (3.18).

As a matter of fact, given a connection in the form (4.4), we have that

$$\omega = \omega_j^{ji} da_i \wedge ds + \omega_{ji} d^j \wedge ds + d^j \wedge da_i \wedge ds_j \quad (4.8)$$

Nevertheless, one also has

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha_h &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial a_i} da_i \wedge ds + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial s^j} d^j \wedge ds + d^j \wedge da_i \wedge ds_j + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} a_i a_j C_{ij} d^j \wedge ds + C_{ij} a_j da_i \wedge ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

A direct comparison of eqs. (4.8) and (4.9) gives the algebraic expressions satisfied by the components of ω :

$$\omega_{ji}^j + \frac{\partial H}{\partial a_i} \omega_{ji}^i C_j = 0 \quad (4.10a)$$

$$\omega_{ij}^i - \omega_{ji}^j + \frac{\partial H}{\partial a_i} \omega_{ji}^i C_j = 0 \quad (4.10b)$$

Another direct comparison immediately shows that every integral section of a connection satisfying eqs. (4.10) automatically verify the Hamilton{De Donder eqs. (3.18).

The Lagrangian version of the above momentum Hamiltonian formulation is obtained by means of Legendre transform. In fact the Lagrangian multisymplectic form on $J(E)$ is defined through the Legendre map as:

$$\omega_L = d \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ji}} \wedge da_i \wedge ds + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^j} \right) \quad (4.11)$$

The target connections of the fibration $J(E) \rightarrow M$ are of the form

$$\omega = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^k} + \omega_{kh} \frac{\partial L}{\partial a_h} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{kst} \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{st}} dx^k \quad (4.12)$$

with $\omega_{kst} = -\omega_{kts}$, and satisfy the equation

$$\omega \rfloor \omega_L = d \omega_L \quad (4.13)$$

Because of the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} d \omega_L = & \frac{\partial L}{\partial a_i} da_i \wedge ds + \frac{1}{2} F_{ij} d \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ij}} \wedge ds \right) + d \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ij}} \wedge da_i \wedge ds \right) + \\ & \frac{1}{2} a_i a_j C_{ij} d \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ij}} \wedge ds \right) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ij}} C_{ij} a_j da_i \wedge ds \end{aligned}$$

it is easily seen that every solution of eq. (4.13) satisfies the following conditions:

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x^j \partial F_{ji}} + \omega_{jh} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial a_k \partial F_{ji}} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{jst} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial F_{st} \partial F_{ji}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ji}} a_j C_{ij} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial a_i} = 0 \quad (4.14a)$$

$$F_{ij} + \omega_{ji}^i - \omega_{ij}^j + a_i a_j C_{ij} = 0 \quad (4.14b)$$

Once again, it is easy to verify that the integral sections of such a connection automatically satisfy Euler{Lagrange equations (2.15).

5 3+3 Yang-Mills fields

In this section a particular Gauge theory is considered: the base manifold M will be taken to be 3-dimensional and the gauge groups can be equivalently chosen between $G = SO(3)$ and $G = SO(2;1)$.

Under these hypotheses, both space-time and algebra indexes run from 1 to 3. Besides, given a basis f_a for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , we denote by K_{ab} the coefficients of an Ad-invariant metric over \mathfrak{g} such that the structure coefficients C_{ab}^c are expressed in the form

$$C_{ab}^c = \frac{1}{2} P_{ab}^c K_{cd} \quad (5.1)$$

where $P_{ab}^c = P_{[ab]}^c$, $K_{ab} = K_{ba}$ and P_{ab}^c are the 3-dimensional Levi-Civita permutation symbols.

The use of a dual formulation [6] allows to express such a (3+3) gauge theory in terms of a gravity-like theory in purely metric formulation, as proved in [7, 8]. Now, making an explicit use of the Poincaré-Cartan approach of section 3, we will show that the Hamiltonian version of a (3+3) gauge theory has the same shape as an Einstein-Cartan theory. Borrowing from [7, 8], the central idea consists in performing a local coordinate transformation in the phase space (E) , locally described by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} e_p^i &= \frac{1}{2} K_{ij} P_{pq}^{ij} \\ \omega_i &= \frac{1}{2} P_{ab}^a K_{bc} \omega^c \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where ω_i denotes the usual 3-dimensional Levi-Civita permutation symbol. The inverse transformation of (5.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{ij} &= K_{pq}^{ij} e_p^p e_q^q \\ \omega^i &= \frac{1}{K} \omega_i \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

It will soon be clear that the coordinates e_i play the role of the triad coordinates, while the coordinates ω_i represent the coefficients of the spin-connection.

It is now easy to see that the Poincaré-Cartan 1-form (3.16) in the new coordinates has the form

$$h = H ds + K_{pq} e_p^p e_q^q \frac{1}{K} d\omega_i + \frac{1}{2} \omega_j \omega_i ds \quad (5.4)$$

where $\omega_j = \omega_j K$.

Proposition 5.1 The following identities hold identically:

$$\frac{1}{2} \omega_j \omega_i = K \omega_i \omega_j \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. A direct calculation shows that the left hand side is such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{kl} = \epsilon_{j1} \epsilon_{i23} - \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i13} + \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i12}$$

while the right hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} \epsilon_{kl} &= K_{1i} \epsilon_{j2} - K_{2i} \epsilon_{j1} + K_{3i} \epsilon_{j1} + \\ &\quad K_{1i} \epsilon_{j3} + K_{2i} \epsilon_{j3} - K_{3i} \epsilon_{j2} = \\ &= K_{1i} \epsilon_{j1} \epsilon_{i23} + K_{1i} \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i31} - K_{2i} \epsilon_{j1} \epsilon_{i32} - K_{2i} \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i13} + \\ &\quad K_{3i} \epsilon_{j1} \epsilon_{i21} + K_{3i} \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i12} - K_{1i} \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i21} - K_{1i} \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i12} + \\ &\quad K_{2i} \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i21} + K_{2i} \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i12} - K_{3i} \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i21} - K_{3i} \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i12} \end{aligned}$$

Now we notice that:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{j1} \epsilon_{i23} &= K_{1i} \epsilon_{j1} \epsilon_{i23} + K_{2i} \epsilon_{j1} \epsilon_{i23} + K_{3i} \epsilon_{j1} \epsilon_{i23} \\ \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i13} &= K_{1i} \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i13} + K_{2i} \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i13} + K_{3i} \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i13} \\ \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i12} &= K_{1i} \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i12} + K_{2i} \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i12} + K_{3i} \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i12} \end{aligned}$$

whence:

$$K_{ij} \epsilon_{kl} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{kl} + K_{1i} \epsilon_{j1} \epsilon_{i23} - K_{2i} \epsilon_{j2} \epsilon_{i13} - K_{3i} \epsilon_{j3} \epsilon_{i12}$$

The conclusion follows from the trace properties of the coefficients $\epsilon_{ij} = 0$.

Taking the identity (5.5) into account, we can write the differential of \mathcal{H} in the form :

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{H} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial e_i} de_i \wedge ds + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \epsilon_{ij}} d\epsilon_{ij} \wedge ds + \frac{1}{K} p_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} e_p d\epsilon_{ij} \wedge ds \\ &\quad - K p_{ij} de_p \wedge \frac{1}{K} d\epsilon_{ij} \wedge ds + \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{kl} ds \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Now, let $X = X_p \frac{\partial}{\partial e_p} + \frac{1}{2} X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_{ij}}$ be a vertical vector field, with respect to the fibration $(E) \rightarrow M$, on the phase space (E) . We calculate the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} X \lrcorner d\mathcal{H} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial e_p} ds + p_{ij} \frac{K}{K} d\epsilon_{ij} \wedge ds + p_{ij} \frac{K}{K} \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{kl} ds X_p \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \epsilon_{ij}} ds + p_{ij} \frac{K}{K} de_p \wedge ds + \frac{1}{K} p_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} e_p ds X_i \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

The imposition on the Hamiltonian of the De Donder conditions $(X \lrcorner d_h) = 0$ yields the following equations

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial e_i} - p_{ij} \frac{K}{P} = \frac{\partial \pi_i}{\partial x^j} + \pi_j \pi_i = 0 \quad (5.8a)$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \pi_i} + \frac{2K}{P} p_{ij} \frac{\partial e_p}{\partial x^j} + \pi_j e_p = 0 \quad (5.8b)$$

representing the Hamiltonian equations in the new coordinates.

As it was anticipated at the beginning of the section, eqs. (5.8) have the form of the 3-dimensional Einstein-Cartan equations, where the coordinates e_i and π_i respectively represent the triad components (whenever $\det k_i \neq 0$) and the spin connection coefficients.

In particular, let us consider a free Yang-Mills field, whose dynamical properties are described by the usual Lagrangian density $L = \frac{1}{4} F_{ip} F_{jq} g^{ij} g^{pq} K^P \bar{g}$, where g_{ij} is a given metric over M and $g := \det g_{ij}$. Under such circumstances, the Legendre transformation and the Hamiltonian are respectively described by the following equation:

$$\pi_{ij} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{ij}} = F_{pq} g^{ip} g^{jq} K^P \bar{g} ; \quad H = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{P \bar{g}} p_{pq} s_{st} g_{sp} g_{tq} K$$

When the new coordinates (5.2) are introduced, the Hamiltonian takes the form:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} G_{kh} g^{kh} P \bar{g} (g) \quad (G_{hk} := e_k e_h K^P)$$

with (g) representing the sign of $\det g_{ij}$. Since

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \pi_i} = 0 ; \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial e_p} = e_k K^p g^{kp} P \bar{g} (g)$$

eqs. (5.8) take the form

$$2K^P p_{ij} \frac{\partial e_p}{\partial x^j} + \pi_j e_p = 0 \quad (5.9a)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} e_k K^p g^{kp} P \bar{g} (g) - p_{ij} R_{ij}^P K^P (K) = 0 \quad (5.9b)$$

where

$$R_{ij}^P = \frac{\partial \pi_j}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial \pi_i}{\partial x^j} + \pi_i \pi_j - \pi_j \pi_i ; \quad R_{ij}^P = R_{ij}^P K^P (K)$$

and $(K) = \text{sign}(\det k K^P)$.

Under the hypothesis $\det k_i \neq 0$ eqs. (5.9) have the same form as Einstein equations in the triad formulation. Because of eq. (5.9a), the solution $\pi_i(x)$ is

equal to the (spin) connection associated with Levi-Civita connection induced by the metric $G = K(e(x), e(x))$, which is a solution of eq. (5.9b).

More in particular, eqs. (5.9) actually describe a first order purely frame formulation of a General Relativity like theory in three dimensions.

In fact, we notice that the transformation laws of the coordinates (5.2) are

$$e_j = e_i \text{Ad}(\gamma^{-1}) \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^j} \quad (5.10a)$$

and

$$\omega_i = \text{Ad}(\gamma) \text{Ad}(\gamma^{-1}) \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x^i} \omega_j + \text{Ad}(\gamma) \frac{\partial \text{Ad}(\gamma^{-1})}{\partial x^h} \frac{\partial x^h}{\partial x^i} K \quad (5.10b)$$

Eqs. (5.10a) are the transition functions of a bundle $\pi: T \rightarrow M$, associated with $P \rightarrow M \times L(M) \rightarrow L(M)$ being the frame bundle over M through the left action

$$\pi: (G \rightarrow GL(3; \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow GL(3; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GL(3; \mathbb{R}); \quad (g; J; X) \mapsto \text{Ad}(g) X^{-1} J \quad (5.11)$$

The (local) sections $e: M \rightarrow T$ may be identified with (local) triads $e_i(x) dx^i$ on M ; the latter are truly gauge natural objects [9], sensitive to the changes of trivialization of the structure bundle P . Each triad e induces a metric on M expressed as $G = K(e, e)$, which is invariant under transformations (5.10a) by construction.

A new J -bundle $\hat{\pi}: J(T) \rightarrow M$ can also be constructed by quotienting the first jet bundle $j_1(T)$ of $\pi: T \rightarrow M$ with respect to an equivalence relation analogous to (2.3). The bundle $J(T)$ is naturally referred to local coordinates $x^i; e_i; E_{ij} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} (e_{ij} - e_{ji})$ ($i < j$).

Now the idea is to choose the components of the spin connections generated by the triads themselves as fiber coordinates on the bundle $J(T)$.

Within this framework, let $z = (x^i; e_i; E_{ij})$ be an element of $J(T)$, $x = \hat{\pi}(z)$ its projection over M , e a representative triad belonging to the equivalence class z and $G = K(e, e)$ the metric on M induced by the triad e ; we also denote by ω_{ih}^k the Levi-Civita connection induced by the metric G and by ω_i^k the spin connection associated with ω_{ih}^k through the triad e itself.

The relation between the coefficients ω_{ih}^k and ω_i^k , evaluated in the point $x = \hat{\pi}(z) \in M$, is expressed by the equation

$$\omega_i^k(x) = e_k^j(x) \omega_{ij}^k(x) + \frac{\partial e^k(x)}{\partial x^i} \quad (5.12)$$

If the coefficients ω_{ih}^k are written in terms of the triad e and its derivatives, one gets the well known expression

$$\omega_i^k(x) = e_p^k(x) \omega_{ji}^p(x) - \omega_{ji}^p(x) e_i^p(x) + \omega_{ij}^p(x) e_j^p(x) \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$E_{ji}^p(x) = e^p(x) E_{ij}(x) = e^p(x) \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial e_i(x)}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial e_j(x)}{\partial x^i} \right) \quad (5.14)$$

the Latin indexes being lowered and raised by means of the metric G . Equations (5.13) and (5.14) show that the values of the coefficients of the spin connection ω_i , evaluated in $x = \hat{\pi}(z)$, are independent of the choice of the representative e in the equivalence class $z \in J(T)$.

Moreover, the torsion-free condition for the connection ω_i gives a sort of inverse relation of eq. (5.13) in the form

$$2E_{ij}(x) = \omega_i(x) e_j(x) - \omega_j(x) e_i(x) \quad (5.15)$$

Because of the metric compatibility condition $\omega_i = \omega_i^K = \omega_i$, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the values of the antisymmetric part of the derivatives $E_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial e_j(x)}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial e_i(x)}{\partial x^j} \right)$ and the coefficients of the spin connection $\omega_i(x)$ in the point $x = \hat{\pi}(z)$.

The above considerations allow us to take the quantities ω_i as fiber coordinates of the bundle $J(T)$, looking at the relations (5.13) and (5.15) as coordinate changes in $J(T)$.

Finally, it is a straightforward matter to verify that the transformation laws of the spin connection coefficients ω_i coincide with eqs. (5.10b), as well as that the 3-form (5.3) is invariant under coordinate transformations (5.10a), (5.10b).

References

- [1] R. Cianci, S. Vignolo and D. Bruno, The geometrical framework for Yang-Mills theories, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, Vol. 36, 2003, pp. 8341-8358.
- [2] R. Cianci, S. Vignolo and D. Bruno, Geometrical aspects in Yang-Mills gauge theories, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, Vol. 37, 2004, pp. 2519-2526.
- [3] S. Vignolo and R. Cianci, A new geometrical look at gravity coupled with Yang-Mills fields, *J. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 45, 2004, pp. 4448-4463.
- [4] G. Sardanashvily, *Gauge Theory in Jet Manifolds*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 1993.
- [5] L. Mangiarotti and G. Sardanashvily, *Connections in Classical and Quantum Field Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [6] M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia and M. Raiteri, Dual Lagrangian field theories, *J. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 41, 2000, pp. 1889-1915.

- [7] M . Raiteri, M . Ferraris and M . Francaviglia, General Relativity as a Gauge Theory of Orthogonal Groups in Three Dimensions, in Gravity, Particles and Space-Time, edited by P. Perini and G . Sardashvily, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.
- [8] M . Raiteri, Dual Lagrangians and Conserved Quantities in Relativistic Field Theories, Ph.D Thesis, Universita di Torino, 1999.
- [9] L. Fatibene and M . Francaviglia, Natural and gauge natural formalism for classical field theories. A geometric perspective including spinors and gauge theories, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [10] S. Vignolo, R. Cianci and D. Bruno, A first order purely frame formulation of General Relativity, preprint DIPTEM, University of Genoa, 2005, submitted for publication.