

Towards Spectral Theory of Ginibre's Ensemble of Real Random Matrices

Eugene Kanzieper[†] and Gernot Akemann[‡][†]Department of Applied Mathematics, Holon Academic Institute of Technology, Holon 58102, Israel[‡]Department of Mathematical Sciences, Brunel University West London, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

(Dated: July 16, 2022)

The integrable structure of Ginibre's Orthogonal Ensemble of random matrices is looked at through the prism of the probability $p_{n,k}$ to find exactly k real eigenvalues in the spectrum of an $n \times n$ real asymmetric Gaussian random matrix. The exact solution for the probability function $p_{n,k}$ is presented, and its remarkable connection to the theory of symmetric functions is revealed. An extension of the Dyson Integration Theorem is a key ingredient of the theory presented.

PACS numbers: 02.50.-r, 05.40.-a, 75.10.Nr

Introduction. In the mid-sixties of the last century, Jean Ginibre introduced¹ statistical ensembles of (i) real, (ii) complex, and (iii) real quaternion random matrices whose eigenvalues may belong to any point of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . They were derived from the celebrated Gaussian Orthogonal (GOE), Gaussian Unitary (GUE), and Gaussian Symplectic (GSE) random matrix ensembles² in a purely formal way by dropping the Hermiticity constraint and, therefore, can be thought of as their direct non-Hermitian descendants. Respectively coined as G in OE, G in UE, and G in SE, non-Hermitian random matrix models exhibited intriguingly rich mathematical structures whose complexity exceeded by far that of Hermitian Random Matrix Theory (RMT).

From the physical point of view, non-Hermitian random matrices have proven to be as important³ as their Hermitian counterparts⁴. Ginibre's random matrices and their natural extensions appear in the description of dissipative quantum maps⁵ and dynamics of neural networks^{6,7}, in the characterisation of two-dimensional random space-filling cellular structures⁸. They also arise in the context of directed quantum chaos^{9,10} and help elucidate universal aspects of the phenomenon of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking in quantum chromodynamics¹¹. More recent findings¹² associate statistical models of non-Hermitian random matrices with integrable structures of conformal maps and interface dynamics at both classical¹³ and quantum scales¹⁴. For a comprehensive review of these and other physical applications, the reader is referred to Ref.³.

Out of the three non-Hermitian matrix models¹, Ginibre's Orthogonal Ensemble defined by the probability density $P_{\text{G in OE}}[H] = \frac{1}{n^{n^2/2}} \exp(-\text{tr} H H^T)$ for an $n \times n$ real matrix H to occur is the least studied and the most challenging. Perhaps, the great difficulties faced in statistical analysis of the G in OE can be attributed to the fact that its, generically complex, spectrum $(w_1; \dots; w_n)$ may contain a finite fraction of real eigenvalues. This very peculiar feature of G in OE can conveniently be accommodated by dividing the entire space $T(n)$ spanned by all real $n \times n$ matrices $H \in T(n)$ into $(n+1)$ mutually exclusive sectors $T(n=k)$ associated with the matrices having exactly k real eigenvalues, such that $T(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n T(n=k)$. The sectors $T(n=k)$, char-

acterised by the partial j.p.d.f.'s $P_{H \in T(n=k)}(w_1; \dots; w_n)$ can be explored separately because they contribute additively to the j.p.d.f. of all n eigenvalues of H from $T(n)$:

$$P_n(w_1; \dots; w_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n P_{H \in T(n=k)}(w_1; \dots; w_n) \quad (1)$$

By the Theorem of Total Probability, this can equivalently be written

$$P_n(w_1; \dots; w_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} P_{n,k}(w_1; \dots; w_n) \quad (2)$$

in terms of conditional j.p.d.f.'s $P_{n,k}$ weighted by the probability $p_{n,k} = \text{Prob}[H \in T(n=k)]$ of exactly k real eigenvalues occurring. The otherwise complex spectrum additionally contains 'pairs of complex conjugated eigenvalues, so that $n = k + 2\ell$ '. The probability function $p_{n,k}$ is the central object of our study which, if considered in a wider context, aims to highlight an exclusive rôle played by symmetric functions in the description of G in OE.

The most important and general result available for G in OE is due to the breakthrough work by Lehmann and Sommers¹⁵ who proved, quarter of a century after Ginibre's work, that the k -th partial j.p.d.f. $(0 \leq k \leq n)$ equals¹⁶

$$P_{H \in T(n=k)}(w_1; \dots; w_n) = \frac{2^{2\ell} n! (n+1)!}{k! \ell!} \frac{Q_n}{j=1} \frac{1}{(j=2)} \prod_{j=1}^k Y^k_{ji} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} Y^k_{ji} Y^{\ell}_{ji} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (z_j - \bar{z}_j) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (z_j + \bar{z}_j) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z_j^2} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{z_j}{2i} \text{erfc} \frac{z_j}{i\sqrt{2}} \exp \frac{1}{2} (z_j^2 + \bar{z}_j^2) : \quad (3)$$

In writing (3), we have used a representation due to Edelman¹⁷ who rediscovered the result¹⁵ a few years later. The above j.p.d.f. is supported for $(z_1; \dots; z_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $(\text{Re} z_1; \dots; \text{Re} z_{2\ell}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2\ell}$, and $(\text{Im} z_1; \dots; \text{Im} z_{2\ell}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2\ell}$.

$(R^+)^{\lambda}$. A particular case $k = n$ of (3), corresponding to the matrices $H \in T(n=n)$ with all eigenvalues real, was first derived by Ginibre¹.

Equations (1) and (3) solve the problem of finding the j.p.d.f. of all n eigenvalues in $G \in O E$. Despite this tremendous progress, a calculation of the p -point correlation function based on (3) turns out to be the problem, particularly, because a well-developed machinery² of RMT, that was at use in studies of complex eigenvalue correlations in $G \in UE^1$ and $G \in SE^{18,19}$, fails to work in this case. In particular, the famous Dyson Integration Theorem²⁰ (Theorem 5.1.4 in Ref.²) is no longer applicable to the study of spectral statistics in $G \in O E$, as will be reasoned below. This failure clearly signals of novel mathematical structures lurking behind (3).

To unveil and explore these structures, we wish to concentrate on the probability $p_{n,k}$ to find exactly k real eigenvalues in the complex spectrum of $G \in O E$:

$$p_{n,k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{i=1}^k d\lambda_i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{j=1}^k d\text{Re} z_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \prod_{R^+} d\text{Im} z_j P_{H \in T(n=k)} : \quad (4)$$

Previous attempts to attack this problem by Edelman and co-workers^{17,21} brought no explicit formula for $p_{n,k}$ for generic k . The analytic results currently available include: (i) the probability of having all n eigenvalues real equals¹⁷ $p_{n,n} = 2^{-n(n-1)/4}$ (this is the smallest probability out of all $p_{n,k}$); (ii) for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, the $p_{n,k}$ is of the form¹⁷ $p_{n,k} = r_{n,k} + s_{n,k} 2^{-n(n-1)/4}$, where $r_{n,k}$ and $s_{n,k}$ are rational; (iii) the expected number $E_n = \sum_{k=0}^n k p_{n,k}$ of real eigenvalues is given by²¹

$$E_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{P}{2} \frac{{}_2F_1(1; 1=2; n; 1=2)}{B(n; 1=2)} : \quad (5)$$

Main results. The following four statements summarise the new results reported in this Letter.

Statement 1. The probability $p_{n,k}$ of exactly k real eigenvalues occurring equals

$$p_{n,k} = p_{n,n-2k} = p_{n,n} F(\rho_1; \rho_2; \rho_3; \rho_4) : \quad (6)$$

The universal multivariate polynomials

$$F(\rho_1; \rho_2; \rho_3; \rho_4) = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4} \frac{1}{j_1! j_2! j_3! j_4!} \frac{p_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4}}{j_1! j_2! j_3! j_4!} \quad (7)$$

are exclusively determined by the partitions of the size $j = j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j_4$, where j is the number of pairs of complex-conjugated eigenvalues. The formula (7) uses a frequency representation²² of those partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{g_1}; \lambda_2^{g_2}; \dots)$. Experts in the theory of symmetric functions may readily recognise that our F 's are, up to a factorial, so-called zonal polynomials²³

$$F(\rho_1; \rho_2; \rho_3; \rho_4) = \frac{1}{j!} Z_{(1^j)}(\rho_1; \rho_2; \rho_3; \rho_4) \quad (8)$$

They are tabulated in the manuscript²⁴ by H. Jack and

can also be efficiently calculated²³ by recursion. On the contrary, the arguments p_j 's of the multivariate polynomials in (6) are matrix model dependent:

$$p_j = \text{tr}_{(0; n=2j-1)} \mathbb{P}^j : \quad (9)$$

(The notation $[x]$ stands for the integer part of x). The non-universal matrix \mathbb{P} is sensitive to the parity of n . For $n = 2m$ even, its entries are

$$\mathbb{P}_{ij}^{\text{even}} = \int_0^1 dy y^{2(i-1)} e^{y^2} \text{erfc}(y \sqrt{2}) \frac{P_{j-1}^h}{(2j+1)} L_{2j+1}^{2(i-1)}(2y^2) + 2y^2 L_{2j-1}^{2(i-1)+1}(2y^2) ; \quad (10)$$

while for $n = 2m + 1$ odd,

$$\mathbb{P}_{ij}^{\text{odd}} = \mathbb{P}_{ij}^{\text{even}} (4)^m \frac{m! (2j)!}{(2m)! j!} \mathbb{P}_{jm}^{\text{even}} : \quad (11)$$

Here, $L_j(x)$ are generalised Laguerre polynomials.

We wish to stress that zonal polynomials $Z_{(1^j)}$ are the integral part of the solution (6), and not merely the means of its derivation. This highlights a strong connection between integrable structure of $G \in O E$, integer partitions (7), and the theory of symmetric functions (8).

| k | Exact Solution | Appr. Numerics |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 0 | $\frac{29930323227453 \cdot 20772686238032 \cdot 2^{-P/2}}{17592186044416}$ | 0.031452 0.031683 |
| 2 | $\frac{3(1899624551312 \cdot 2060941421503) \cdot 2^{-P/2}}{4398046511104}$ | 0.426689 0.427670 |
| 4 | $\frac{3(2079282320189 \cdot 505722262348 \cdot 2^{-P/2})}{8796093022208}$ | 0.465235 0.464098 |
| 6 | $\frac{252911550974 \cdot 2^{-P/2} \cdot 27511352125}{4398046511104}$ | 0.075070 0.075021 |
| 8 | $\frac{15(1834091507 \cdot 10083960 \cdot 2^{-P/2})}{17592186044416}$ | 0.001552 0.001526 |
| 10 | $\frac{3(1260495 \cdot 2^{-P/2} \cdot 512)}{2199023255552}$ | 0.000002 0.000002 |
| 12 | $\frac{1}{8589934592}$ | 0.000000 0.000000 |

TABLE I: Exact solution for $p_{12,k}$ (first and second column) compared to numeric simulations (third column) performed by direct diagonalisation of 1,000,000 matrices of the size 12×12 .

Statement 2. The entire generating function for the probabilities $p_{n,n-2}$ can be reconstructed from (6). The fairly compact result

$$G_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} z^{n-2k} p_{n,n-2k} = p_{n,n} \det(\mathbb{1}_{[n=2]} + z \otimes) \quad (12)$$

with the \otimes of needed parity provides us with yet another way of computing the entire set of $p_{n,k}$'s at once! For comparison of our analytic predictions with numeric simulations, see the Table I.

Statement 3. The previously unknown j.p.d.f. of n pairs of complex conjugated eigenvalues of a matrix $H \in T(n=k)$ is given by the formula (16).

Statement 4 is formulated in the form of the Pfaffian Integration Theorem (18) below.

Sketch of the derivation. | Due to the space limitations, only key points of the derivation will be presented below; the technical details will be reported elsewhere²⁵.

To determine the probability function $p_{n,k}$, defined by (4), we first integrate out all $(z_1; \bar{z}_1; \dots; z_k; \bar{z}_k)$ in (3) which can be viewed as a hybrid of GOE² and GinSE^{18,19}. The most efficient way to do so is to spot that the second line in (3) is proportional to the average characteristic polynomial $\frac{1}{Q_k} \det(z_j - H) \det(\bar{z}_j - \bar{H})$ of a $k \times k$ random matrix H drawn from the GOE with the weight function

$\exp(-\text{tr} H^2/2)$. It admits the pfaffian representation^{26,27}

$$\frac{k!}{n!} \frac{c_k = c_k}{2 \cdot (fz; zg)} \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} K_n(z_i; z_j) & K_n(z_i; \bar{z}_j) \\ K_n(\bar{z}_i; z_j) & K_n(\bar{z}_i; \bar{z}_j) \end{pmatrix}_{2 \times 2}, \quad (13)$$

with the constant $c_k = 2^{k-2} k! \prod_{j=1}^k Q_k$ ($j=2$) given by a GOE Selberg's integral². The Vandermonde determinant $2 \cdot (fz; zg)$ is calculated on the set of complex eigenvalues $(z_1; \bar{z}_1; \dots; z_k; \bar{z}_k)$; the kernel function $K_n(z; z^0)$ in (13) is a so-called D-part²⁸ of the GOE matrix kernel² that can be expressed²⁹ in terms of arbitrary monic polynomials q_j :

$$K_n(x; y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} q_j(x) \wedge_{j,k} q_k(y); \quad (14)$$

The real antisymmetric matrix \wedge is determined by its inverse

$$(\wedge^{-1})_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx dy e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/2} \text{sgn}(y - x) q_j(x) q_k(y); \quad (15)$$

Substituting (13) into (4) completes the integration therein bringing a new result for the j.p.d.f. of n pairs of complex conjugated eigenvalues of $H \in T(n=k)$:

$$P_{H \in T(n=k)}(z_1; \bar{z}_1; \dots; z_n; \bar{z}_n) = p_{n,n} \frac{2^{n-1}}{i^{n/2}} \prod_{j=1}^n \text{erfc} \frac{z_j}{i} e^{-\frac{z_j^2}{2}} \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} K_n(z_i; z_j) & K_n(z_i; \bar{z}_j) \\ K_n(\bar{z}_i; z_j) & K_n(\bar{z}_i; \bar{z}_j) \end{pmatrix}_{2 \times 2}; \quad (16)$$

The structure of (16) mirrors that of the j.p.d.f. of all complex eigenvalues in the GinSE [see, e.g., Chapter 15.2 in Ref.², and Ref.¹⁹], possibly triggering one to think that the remaining z -integrations in (4) could readily be accomplished by virtue of the Dyson Integration Theorem^{2,20}. Sadly, this is not the case because the D-part $K_n(z; z^0)$ of the GOE matrix kernel does not obey the projection property in the complex plane

$$\int_{\text{Im } w > 0} d(w) K_n(z; w) K_n(w; z^0) \notin \frac{1}{2} K_n(z; z^0) \quad (17)$$

with respect to the measure $d(w) = \text{erfc}[(w - \bar{w})/i] \frac{1}{2} d^2 w$ from (16) [compare to the Lemma 15.2.1 of Ref.²!]. Fortunately, the projection property is not actually necessary to carry out the integrations. We were able to prove the following pfaffian integration theorem²⁵.

Theorem. | Let $d(z)$ be any benign measure on $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and the kernel function $K_n(x; y)$ be an antisymmetric function specified by (14). Then, the integration formula

holds

$$\frac{2^{n-1}}{i^{n/2}} \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{z_j \in \mathbb{C}} d(z_j) \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} K_n(z_i; z_j) & K_n(z_i; \bar{z}_j) \\ K_n(\bar{z}_i; z_j) & K_n(\bar{z}_i; \bar{z}_j) \end{pmatrix}_{2 \times 2} = Z_{(1')} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{(0;n-1)} \wedge^{-1}; \quad \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{(0;n-1)} \wedge^{-1} \quad (18)$$

provided the integrals in the l.h.s. exist.

The pfaffian integration formula contains particular zonal polynomials²³ $Z_{(1')}$ whose arguments are traces of \wedge^j with

$$\wedge^j = i \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \wedge_{j,k} d(z) [q_k(z) q(z) - q(z) q_k(z)]; \quad (19)$$

Operationally, the proof²⁵ is based on a topological interpretation of the permutational expansion of pfaffian in (18) combined with term-by-term integration.

Equipped with this theorem, we are ready to complete the z -integrations in (4). The calculation is most economical in the basis where monic polynomials q_j 's coincide

with GOE skew-orthogonal polynomials. For $n = 2m$ even, they are given by²

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2j+1}(x) &= 2^{-(2j+1)} H_{2j+1}(x) - 2^{-(2j-1)} j H_{2j-1}(x); \\ Q_{2j}(x) &= 2^{-2j} H_{2j}(x); \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

so that

$$\Lambda_{jk} = \frac{1 + (-1)^k}{2^{1-k} k!} P_{-j;k+1} = \frac{1 + (-1)^j}{2^{1-j} j!} P_{-j;k-1}; \quad (21)$$

Substituting (20) and (21) into (19), and taking into account (18), we reproduce the announced solution (6) (10) after a bit lengthy but straightforward calculations. The case $n = 2m + 1$ odd can be treated similarly²⁵ leading to the same solution but with (10) replaced by (11).

It remains to establish the result (12) for the generating function $G_n(z)$. It stems from the summation formula

$$\sum_{r=0}^X \frac{z^r}{r!} Z_{(1^r)}(P; \exp \sum_{r=1}^X (-1)^{r-1} \frac{P_r z^r}{r}) \quad (22)$$

well known in the theory of symmetric functions²³. Identifying $P_r = \text{tr}_{(0; [n=2]^{-1})} \otimes^r$, the exponent in (22) can be evaluated explicitly to confirm (12).

To conclude, we have presented the exact solution for the probability to find k real eigenvalues in the spectrum of an $n \times n$ random matrix drawn from GinOE. Expressed in terms of zonal polynomials, the solution reveals a strong connection between the integrable structure of GinOE and the theory of symmetric functions. An important ingredient of our analysis is an extension of the Dyson Integration Theorem to the case when the kernel function under the sign of pfaffian does not obey a projection property. It is highly likely that this extended theorem will have implications far beyond the scope of the present Letter. Last, but not least, extensive statistical analysis of spectra of 1,000,000 random matrices of the size 12×12 from GinOE gave further support to the theory presented.

Acknowledgements. We thank T. Seligman for kind hospitality at the Centro Internacional de Ciencias (CIC) in Cuernavaca, Mexico, where this work was initiated. Clarifying correspondence with A. Borodin (Caltech), V. B. Kuznetsov (Leeds) and G. Olshanski (ITP, Moscow) is greatly appreciated. A part of this work was done during the visits to Brunel University West London (E.K.) and University of Warwick (E.K. and G.A.) supported by a BRIEF grant from Brunel University. The research by E.K. was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation through the grant No 286/04.

- ¹ J. Ginibre, *J. Math. Phys.* 6, 440 (1965).
- ² M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 3rd edition (Elsevier, 2004).
- ³ Y. V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers, *J. Phys. A: Math. and Gen.* 36, 3303 (2003).
- ⁴ T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling, H. A. Weidenmüller, *Phys. Reports* 299, 189 (1998).
- ⁵ R. Grobe, F. Haake, and H.-J. Sommers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 61, 1899 (1988); R. Grobe and F. Haake, *ibid.* 62, 2893 (1989).
- ⁶ H.-J. Sommers, A. Crisanti, H. Sompolinsky, and Y. Stein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 60, 1895 (1988); H. Sompolinsky, A. Crisanti, and H.-J. Sommers, *ibid.* 61, 259 (1988).
- ⁷ M. Timme, F. Wolf, and T. Geisel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 258701 (2002); M. Timme, F. Wolf, and T. Geisel, *ibid.* 92, 074101 (2004).
- ⁸ G. LeCaer and J. S. Ho, *J. Phys. A* 23, 3279 (1990); G. LeCaer and R. Delannay, *J. Phys. I France* 3, 1777 (1993).
- ⁹ K. B. Efetov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79, 491 (1997); *Phys. Rev. B* 56, 9630 (1997); A. V. Kolesnikov and K. B. Efetov, *Waves Random Media* 9, 71 (1999).
- ¹⁰ Y. Fyodorov, B. Khoruzhenko, and H.-J. Sommers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79, 557 (1997).
- ¹¹ M. Stephanov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 76, 4472 (1996); H. Markum, R. Pullirsch, and T. Wettig, *ibid.* 83, 484 (1999); G. Akemann and T. Wettig, *ibid.* 92, 102002 (2004).
- ¹² A. Zabrodin, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 4, S851, Suppl. 2 (2003).
- ¹³ M. Minkevich-Winstein, P. B. Wiegmann, and A. Zabrodin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 5106 (2000).
- ¹⁴ O. A. Gam, E. Bettelheim, P. Wiegmann, and A. Zabrodin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 236801 (2002).
- ¹⁵ N. Lehmann and H.-J. Sommers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67, 941 (1991).
- ¹⁶ Throughout the paper, we use a parameterisation $(w_1; \dots; w_n; w = (1; \dots; k; z_1; z_1; \dots; z_m))$.
- ¹⁷ A. Edelman, *J. Multivar. Analysis* 60, 203 (1997).
- ¹⁸ M. L. Mehta and P. K. Srivastava, *J. Math. Phys.* 7, 341 (1966).
- ¹⁹ E. Kanzieper, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 35, 6631 (2002).
- ²⁰ F. J. Dyson, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 19, 235 (1970).
- ²¹ A. Edelman, E. Kostlan, and M. Shub, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7, 247 (1994).
- ²² A partition of the size j $j = \sum_i n_i$ written in the frequency representation $= (1^{n_1}; \dots; g^{n_g})$ implies that the part j appears n_j times so that $\sum_{j=1}^g n_j j = j$, where g is the number of inequivalent parts of partition.
- ²³ I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, 2nd edition (Oxford University Press, 1998).
- ²⁴ H. Jack, A class of polynomials in search of a definition, or the symmetric group parameterized, in: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Jack, Hall-Littlewood and Macdonald polynomials*, ed. by V. B. Kuznetsov and S. Sahi (Contemporary Mathematics Series, AMS, 2005).
- ²⁵ E. Kanzieper and G. Akemann, unpublished (2005).
- ²⁶ T. Nagao and S. M. Nishigaki, *Phys. Rev. D* 63, 045011 (2001).
- ²⁷ A. Borodin and E. Strahov, e-print math-ph/0407065 (2004).
- ²⁸ C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, *J. Stat. Phys.* 92, 809 (1998).
- ²⁹ Strictly speaking, the formulae (14) and (15) as they stand are only valid for $n = 2m$ even. Since for $n = 2m + 1$ odd a similar representation is available^{2,26} for the kernel $K_n(x; y)$, our derivation holds for n of any parity.