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#### Abstract

W e derive determ inant expressions for the partition functions of spin $-\mathrm{k}=2$ vertex m odels on a nite square lattice w ith dom ain w all boundary conditions.


## 0 . Introduction

In [1], K orepin introduced the concept ofdom ain wall (D W ) boundary conditions for the six vertex (or spin-1=2) m odel on a nite lattioe, and proposed recursion relations that fully determ ine the partition function in that case. In [2], Izergin obtained a determ inant solution of K orepin's recursion relations. In this work, inspired by Slavnov's inner product form ula for higher spin $m$ odels [3], we derive determ inant expressions for the spin $-k=2 \mathrm{DW}$ partition functions ${ }^{1}, \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{~N}$, using the fact that these m odels are related to the spin $-1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel using fusion $[4,5]$.

B asically, we show that fusion can be applied at the level of spin $-1=2$ partition functions to obtain spin $-k=2$ partition functions, for any $k 2 \mathrm{~N}$. M ore speci cally, our result, in words, is that appropriate specializations of the rapidity variables in Izergin's determ inant expression for the spin-1=2 partition function on a kL kL lattice (followed by suitable norm alizations) yield determ inant expressions for the spin $-k=2$ partition functions on an $L$ L lattice.

In sections 1 and 2, we brie $y$ introduce the spin $-k=2$ vertex $m$ odels, and outline the fusion procedure. In 3 and 4 , we m otivate our result, then outline its proof. In 5., we give the spin-1 partition function as a speci c exam ple of our general result, that has the bene $t$ of allow ing for an independent Izergin-type proof (which is not available for higher spin $m$ odels). In 6, we derive the hom ogeneous lim it of the spin $-k=2$ result, and com $m$ ent on com binatorics of higher spin $m$ odels. $F$ inally, an appendix contains technical details. The presentation is elem entary and (alm ost) self-contained.

## 1. Vertex models

Denitions related to vertex models. W ework on a square lattice consisting of $L$ horizontal lines (labelled from bottom to top), L vertical lines (labelled from left to right) and $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ intersection points.
$W$ e assign the $i$-th horizontal line an orientation from left to right, and a com plex rapidity variable $x_{i}$. We assign the jth vertical line an orientation from bottom to top, and a com plex rapidity variable $y_{j}$. A ll rapidity variables are independent, unless speci cally indicated to be otherw ise.
fxg is a set of rapidity variables $\mathrm{fx}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{c} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { f }}$, where the cardinality of the set should be clear from context.

[^0]$f x g_{i}$ is a set $f x_{1} ; x_{2} ; \quad c$ but $w$ ith the $x_{i}$ elem ent $m$ issing.
A $k$-stack $f x \mathrm{~kg}$ is a set ofk variables of the form $\mathrm{fx} ; \mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{x}+2$; $\quad ; \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{k} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$.

A bond is a line segm ent betw een two intersection points.
A boundary, or extrem albond is a line segm ent, at the boundary of a line, attached to a single intersection point.

In a spin $-k=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odels, we assign each bond arrows,where $2 \mathrm{fk} ; \mathrm{k} 2$; ; mod 2 g . All arrow s , on the sam ebond, point in the sam e direction.


Figure 1. A nite square lattice, w ith oriented lines and rapidities.

A vertex $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, is the intersection point of the $i$-th horizontal line and the $j$-th vertical line, together w the 4 bonds attached to $\mathbb{1}$, and the arrow s on them . a w eight, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, is a function assigned to a vertex, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, that depends on the di erence of rapidity variables ow ing through that vertex. In exactly solvable m odels, the weights satisfy the Yang B axter equations [8].

Frequently used abbreviations. We frequently use the bracket notation $[x]=$ $\sinh (x)$ (where is a constant, crossing' param eter that characterizes the m odel) and the related product notation $[x]_{n}=[x]\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & 1]\end{array} \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{m}+1\right] . \mathrm{W}$ e also use the abbreviations $x=x+1$ and $u_{i j}=x_{i}+y_{j}$.
$T$ he partition function ofa spin $-k=2$ vertex $m$ odel, on an $L \quad L$ lattice, $Z_{L}^{k=2} L^{k=2}$, is a weighted sum over all con gurations, that satisfy certain boundary conditions. $T$ he weight of a con guration is the product of the weights, $w_{i j}$, of the vertioes $v_{i j}$.

C onservation of spin ow. The vertex models, that we discuss in this work, conserve spin ow : In all vertioes that have non-zero weight, the net incom ing spin ( $m$ easured with respect to rapidity in ow ) equals the net outgoing spin ( $m$ easured w ith respect to rapidity out ow ).

The spin $-1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel. There are six vertex types that conserve spin ow in the spin- $1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel. They are show $n$ in gure below. For convenience, we label the vertioes by their weights: an a vertex has weight a ( $x ; y$ ), and so forth.

W e do not need to distinguish vertices that share the sam e w eight, except in one case (the ct vertex) $m$ entioned below. The six vertices of the spin $-1=2$ and their weights are show $n$ in gure2. The weights of every tw $o$ vertiges in the sam e colum $n$ are equal and show $n$ below them .

$F$ igure 2 . T he six vertines of the spin $-1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odeland their weights. $u=x+y$.

The ct vertex. The vertex with all arrow s pointing inwards from left and right, and all arrow s pointing outw ards from above and below, plays a rather special role in this work. W e refer to it as the c+ vertex. There is a unique ct vertex in every spin $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel. The spin-2 c+ vertex is shown in gure3.


Figure 3. T he spin-2 ct vertex.


Figure 4. A DW con guration.

The Izergin $-K$ orep in (IK ) determ in ant expression for the spin-1=2 partition function [1,2] is

where

$$
M_{L}^{1=2} \quad 1=2, \frac{[1]}{\left[x_{i}+Y_{j}+1\right]_{2}}
$$

$D e n i t i o n s$ related to partition functions, $m$ atrices and norm alizations. $Z_{L}^{k=2}{ }_{L}^{k=2}$ is the partition function of the spin $-k=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel on an $L \quad L$ lattioe.
$M_{L}^{k=2}{ }_{L}=2$ is the L L IK matrix, but with a $k$ b block structure, as will be explained below.
$M_{k L}^{k=2} \underset{k L ; i j}{k=2}$ is the $k \quad k$ ij-th block of $M_{k L}^{k=2} \underset{k L}{k=2}$. It depends on the rapidities $f x_{i} ; y_{j} g$. $B_{i j}^{k}{ }^{k}$ is the ij-th $k \quad k$ block of the lattice.
$N_{k L}^{k=2}{ }_{k L}^{k=2}$ is the nom alization function of $M_{L}^{k=2} L^{k=2}$ that sets the weights of the spin $-k=2$ vertices to $k]_{k}$.

Spin $-\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odels. W ew illnot need the weights ofspin $-\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odelin allgenerality. $T$ hey can be deduced from those of the fused elliptic height $m$ odels, 5], as follow $s$.

Spin $-\mathrm{k}=2$ vertex $w$ eights from elliptic height weights.
$x 1$. Take the trigonom etric lim it: set the elliptic nom e, which appears in the weights ofelliptic $m$ odels, $q!0$. This reduces the weights to ratios ofproducts of trigonom etric functions (for pure im aginary values of the crossing param eter).
$x 2$. Take the vertex lim it: set the height shiff param eter, that appears in the weights of height models, ! 1 . This elim inates dependence on the height variables.
$x 3$. Sym $m$ etrize the resulting weights so that the weights of the ctype vertices are equal.

## 2. Fusion

A s the spin $-k=2$ vertices are obtained from the spin $-1=2$ vertioes using fusion, we w ish to recall how fusion works, follow ing [5] ${ }^{2}$.

De nitions related to boundaries. A boundary oflength $L$ is a set of L parallelextrem albonds. A verticalboundary consists of horizontalextrem albonds. A horizontalboundary consists of vertical extrem albonds. N otice that, in our de nition, a boundary cannot be closed. A closed boundary will consist of a sequence of horizontal and verticalboundaries.
A -con guration is an arrangem ent ofspins on a boundary, w ith totalspin . A boundary of length $L$, in a spin $-k=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel, has $2 \mathrm{fkL}=2 ; \mathrm{kL}=21$; $\quad$; $k L=2 \mathrm{~g}$. A -set is the set of all -con gurations on a boundary.
A -rep resentative of $-s e t$, is any single uniquely de ned con guration in that set, that we select to represent the entire set. In this work, we choose that to be the con guration w ith spins ordered in term $s$ of their values, with larger ( $m$ ore positive) spins to the left of (low er than) sm aller (less positive) spins in the case of horizontal (vertical) boundaries.


Figure 5. Spin values of a general vertex in a spin $-\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel.

[^1]Fusion procedure. Follow ing [5], to com pute the weight of the generic spin $-\mathrm{k}=2$ vertex shown in gurf where ( ; ; ; ) $2 \mathrm{fk} ; \mathrm{k} 1$; $\quad$; kg and $+=\quad+$,we start from the set of all spin-1=2 con gurations on a $k \quad k$ lattioe, $w$ ith boundaries that $m$ atch in total spin values those of the vertex that we wish to produce (the right boundary has total spin $=2$, etc), and proceed as follow $s$.

$Y_{1} \mathrm{w}$ ill be the rapidities of the resulting spin-k=2 vertex.
$x 2$. Sum over all $=2$-con gurations on the left (in ow ) boundary.
x3. Sum over all $=2$-con gurations on the lower (in ow) boundary.
$x 4$. Take the $=2-c o n$ guration on the right (out ow) boundary to be the unique $=2$-representative. N o sum m ation over con gurations is perform ed.
$x 5$. Take the $=2$-con guration on the upper (out ow) boundary to be the unique $=2$-representative. N o sum m ation overcon gurations is perform ed. $x 6$. N orm alize the result ${ }^{3}$, by dividing $w$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\mathrm{k}=2} \mathrm{k}=2\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}=0}^{1}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{y}_{1}+\mathrm{p}\right]_{\mathrm{k}} 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ em arks. To perform fusion, in our convention, in ow boundaries are sum $m$ ed over, while out ow boundaries are set to representative con gurations. Further, we could have set the out ow boundaries to any -con guration w th the correct net spin . H ow ever, using the Yang-B axter equations, one can show that the result is independent of the choice [5].

## 3. Spin-k=2 partition functions: M otivation

Suppose we wish to obtain the spin-2 ct vertex of gure3. Follow ing the fusion procedure, we need to consider the 44 DW spin-1=2 partition function, show $n$ in gure3.

But this case is very sim ple: Because of the boundary conditions, all -sets have exactly one con guration each, and we do not need to sum over left and low er con gurations, or choose any right and upper ones. Allwe need to do is to set the rapidities to the right values, and norm alize suitably.

N ow, suppose we do not $w$ ish to fuse all the way dow $n$ to the c+ vertex, which is, the 11 DW spin-2 partition function, but only half way to the 22 DW spin-1 partition function.


Figure 6. 44 spin-1=2 partition function.


Figure 7. $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{Spin}-1$ partition function.

[^2]$P$ artial fusion. It seem splausible that all we need to do in this case is to 2 -stack the rapidity variables, and nom alize suitably. In other words, we need partial fusion as follow s.
x . C onsider the spin $-1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel on a $\mathrm{kL} \quad \mathrm{kL}$ lattice w th DW boundary conditions.

 restriction of variables, the $M_{k L}^{1=2}{ }_{k L}^{1=2} \mathbb{K} m$ atrix, that we started $w i t h$, is now denoted by $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{kL}}^{\mathrm{l}=2} \mathrm{~kL}$.
$x 3$. N orm alize so that the weight of the spin $-k=2$ ct vertex is a constant ${ }^{4}$ by dividing w ith
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~L} p=0
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Follow ing the above procedure, we obtain the follow ing expression for the spin$k=2$ partition function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (4) } Z_{L}^{k=2}{ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{k}=2} \stackrel{8}{:}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{fxg} ; \text { fygi }} \stackrel{9}{=}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation 4 is ourm ain result. In the next section, we show that partial fiusion, as outlined above, works, and leads to spin $-\mathrm{k}=2$ partition functions, w ith no m issing or unwanted con gurations. Technical details of how equation is obtained are in the appendix.

## 4. Spin-k=2 partition functions: Proof

W ew ish to show that, starting from a weighted sum over spin-1=2 con gurations, on a kL kL lattioe, and dividing the lattice into $L^{2} k \quad k$ blocks, we can fuse these blocks one by one, and obtain a weighted sum over spin $-k=2$ con gurations w ith the correct spin $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{w}$ eights.

In particular, we also w ish to show that T his partial fusion procedure leads to all required spin $k=2$ con gurations, and no $m$ ore. In fact, it $w$ illtum out that this procedure is bijective in the sense that every step is reversible.

The follow ing is an outline of the proof, together w ith a sim ple running exam ple.
4.1. O utline of proof.
xl. C onsider a DW spin-1=2 model on a kL kL lattice. A s an exam ple, we take $\mathrm{k}=2$ and $\mathrm{L}=3$.

[^3]x 2 . Set the horizontal rapidities into $\mathrm{L} k$-stacks of the form $\mathrm{ffx}_{1} \mathrm{~kg} ; \mathrm{fx}_{2} \mathrm{~kg}$;
Lflegg, and sim ilarly for the vertical rapidities. In our exam ple, we

x3. D ivide the lattice into $L^{2} k \quad k$ blocks, $B_{i j}^{k}$, where $i$ is the block row index, $j$ is the $b l o c k$ colum $n$ index, and $f i ; j g 2$ f1;2; $\quad$;Lg. Each block has one independent horizontal rapidiy $x_{i}$, and one independent vertical rapidity $Y_{j}$. In our exam ple, we obtain nine 22 blocks.
x4. O rder the blocks, rstly in term s of row position: blocks w ith a sm aller i precede those w ith a larger $i$, then in term s of colum n position: for equali indioes, blocksw ith a sm aller $j$ precede those w ith larger $j$. In our exam ple, the order is $f \mathrm{~B}_{11}^{2}{ }^{2} ; \mathrm{B}_{12}{ }^{2} ; \mathrm{B}_{13}^{2}{ }^{2} ; \mathrm{B}_{21}^{2}{ }^{2} ; \mathrm{B}_{22}{ }^{2} ; \mathrm{B}_{23}{ }^{2} ; \mathrm{B}_{31}^{2}{ }^{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{32}^{2}{ }^{2} ; \mathrm{B}_{33}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~g}$


Figure 8. Spin-1=2 partition function with $2-$ stacked rapidity variables. $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}+1$.
$x 5$. C onsider the partition function $Z_{k L}^{1=2} \quad \mathrm{~kL}^{1=2}$ as a sum over products of two partition functions: that of $B_{11}^{k}$, and that of the rest of the lattioe, $R_{11}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{k L}^{1=2} \underset{k L}{1=2}=X_{\mathrm{fh}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{1} g}^{X} B_{11}^{k}{ }^{k} R_{11} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $h_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ stand for the horizontal and vertical com $m$ on spin boundaries. The sum is over all con gurations on the com $m$ on boundaries of $B_{11}^{k}{ }^{k}$ and $R_{11}$.
x6. E ach $B_{11}^{k}{ }^{k}$ term in the above sum is DW on an in ow boundary, and has a single -con guration on an out ow boundary. Since a DW boundary condition corresponds to a set with a single -con guration, the in ow boundaries are (trivially) sum $m$ ed, while the out ow boundaries are xed to a certain -con guration. But, from fusion, all such partition functions are equalto the one $w$ th representatives on the out ow boundaries. $T$ his allow s us to sim plify the sum in the previous equation to

$$
Z_{L}^{1=2} L_{L}^{1=2}=\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}  \tag{6}\\
& :_{B_{11}}^{k} k
\end{align*} \mathrm{R}_{11} \text {; }
$$

where the rst (right $m$ ost) sum is over all -con gurations, in an allowed -set on the in ow boundaries of $R_{11}, B_{11}^{k}{ }^{k}$ has representatives on the out ow boundaries, and the second (left m ost) sum is over all -sets on the com $m$ on boundaries. $N$ otioe that we need to be clear about what is being
sum $m$ ed over in each sum. This is because, before fusion, allelem ents in all allow ed -sets are sum $m$ ed over, while, after fusion, only representatives are sum $m$ ed over.


Figure 9. Detaching a 2 spin $-1=2$ block. $z=z+1$.
$x 7$. U sing fusion to $w$ rite $B_{11}^{k}{ }^{k}$ as a spin $k=2$ vertex, we end up $w$ ith a sum overproducts ofa 11 spin $-k=2$ non $-D W$ partition function and a spin $-1=2$ non- $D W$ partition function (the original lattige $m$ inus $B{ }_{11}^{k}{ }^{k}$ ).
(7)


Figure 10. Fusing a detached 22 spin-1=2 block into a 1 spin-1 partition function. $z=z+1$.
x8. Next, we consider the next ranking block in $\left.\begin{array}{cc}\left.\begin{array}{cc}1=2 & 1=2 \\ (k L & k L\end{array}\right) & (1)\end{array}\right)$, nam ely $B_{12}^{k}{ }^{k}$, and w rite
(8)

U sing the sam e reasoning, and notation, as above, we can write

U sing fusion to re-w rite $B_{12}^{k}{ }^{k}$ as a $\operatorname{sp}$ in $\mathrm{k}=2$ vertex, combining the above results, and sum $m$ ing over the com $m$ on boundaries of the two 1 1 spin-k=2 partition functions, we can w rite the initial spin- $1=2$ nonDW partition function as a sum over products of two ob jects: a spin-k=2 non-DW partition function consisting of 2 vertices, and the rem aining spin-1=2 lattice.

It should be clear from the above that we are fusing the initial spin1=2 lattice, one block at a tim e, to a spin- $k=2$ lattice. It should also be clear that this can be done block by block, that the procedure is reversible, and that weend up w the the desired L L DW spin $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel.

The DW boundary conditions of the nal, spin- $\mathrm{k}=2$ con gurations fol-


F igure 11 . D etaching, fusing the second 22 spin-1=2 block, fusing it to form a spin-1 vertex, then attaching the latter to the rst spin -1 vertex. $z=z+1$. low from the DW boundary conditions of the in itial spin- $1=2$ con gurations.

This concludes our outline of the proof of equation 4, from which the reader can recover a form al proof if necessary.

## 5. Example: Spin-1 model

C onsider the spin-1 (19-vertex, or Zam olodchikov Fateev m odel [9]) m odel constructed by fusion ${ }^{5}$. The vertex weights, which can be com puted explicitly using fusion, are listed (for exam ple) in [10]. In the follow ing, we list only those vertioes that we need and their the weights. Vertices that are related to those listed, by inverting of all arrow $s$, have the sam $e$ w eights.

[^4]

Figure 12. A subset of the vertioes of the spin-1 m odel and their weights. $u=x+y$.
Rew riting equation [4, for $k=2$, in term $s$ of vertex weights of the spin -1 m odel, we obtain the follow ing expression for the spin-1 partition function
(10) $Z_{L}^{1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}}:$ fxg;fygi $=$

$$
\frac{Q_{i<j}^{1} \frac{Q}{i_{i j} L}\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right) B\left(x_{i}+y_{j}\right) E\left(x_{j}\right) E\left(y_{j}\right) B\left(y_{i}+y_{j}\right) B\left(y_{i} \quad y_{j}\right)}{}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M{ }_{2 j}^{2}{ }_{1 ; 2 i 1}^{2}=1=E\left(x_{i}+y_{j}\right) ; M_{2 j}^{2}{ }_{1 ; 2 i}^{2}=1=B\left(x_{i}+y_{j}\right) ; \\
& M{ }_{2 j ;}^{2}{ }^{2}{ }_{2 i 1}=1=A\left(x_{i}+y_{j}\right) ; M_{2 j ;}^{2}{ }^{2}{ }_{2 i}=1=E\left(x_{i}+y_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Independent check. T he determ inant expression ofthe spin-1 partition function, obtained above, allow s for an independent check of our general result, in the sense that one can take the determ inant expression as a conjecture, and show that it is correct.

Just as in the spin-1=2 case [1,2], one needs to show that the LHS of equation 10 (the partition function) has certain properties that uniquely determ ine it com pletely, then show that the RHS (the proposed determ inant expression) satis es the sam e properties. A $n$ outline of the basic steps is as follow s.

The LH S of equation 10. The follow ing properties fully characterize the partition function
Sym m etry U sing the Yang Baxter equations, one can show that the partition function is a sym $m$ etric function in fxg and in fyg.
D egree $O$ ne can easily show that, on any extrem alrow or colum $n$, there is exactly one rapidity independent vertex (nam ely a ct vertex), or two vertioes that are trigonom etric polynom ials of degree 1 each, while all other vertioes are of degree 2. This $m$ eans that the partition function is a trigonom etric polynom ial of degree 2L 2 in the rapidity variable in that row or colum $n$, and by sym $m$ etry in every other variable.
R ecursion relations Below, we w ill show that the partition function satis es 2L recursion relations in each rapidity variable, which is $m$ ore than we actually need. $T$ he in itial condition By construction, the 11 DW partition is a ct vertex.

The RHS of equation 10. Next we show that the RHS satis es the sam e properties as the LHS.
Sym m etry By direct calculation, one can show that the RHS is a symmetric function in fxg and in fyg.
D egree N aive power counting shows that the RHS is a degree 2L trigonom etric polynom ial in any rapidity variable $x$. H ow ever, taking the lim it x! 1 , for real crossing param eter, one can show explicitly that degree 2L term s cancel, while there are no degree 2L 1 term $S$, so that the RHS is a degree 2L 2 trigonom etric polynom ial.
R ecursion relations The RHS satis es the same recursion relations as LHS, as w ill be show $n$ below .
In itial condition By direct calculation, the RHS for $L=1$ reduces to the weight of the c+ vertex.

Recursions from upper left corner. D ue to the boundary conditions, the only vertices that are allowed at the upper left comer are $A\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right), X\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right)$ and $C\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right)$.

Setting $x_{1}+y_{1}+1=0$, we obtain $A(1)=X(1)=0$, which freezes all vertioes on the top row and rst colum n and leads to the recursion relation
$G$ iven the sym $m$ etry in vertical rapidities, we get the sam e relations for $x_{i}=$ $y_{j}+1$, for all $i$ and all $j$, so we have $L$ recursion relations for each rapidity variable.
$R$ ecursions from upper right corner. D ue to the boundary conditions, the only vertices that are allowed at the upper right comer are $B\left(x_{1}+y_{L}\right), Y\left(x_{1}+y_{L}\right)$ and $C\left(x_{1}+y_{L}\right)$.

Setting $x_{1}+y_{1}=0$, we obtain $B(0)=Y(0)=0$, and only C (0) survives at the comer, freezing all the vertices on the top row and last colum $n$. T he rem aining (L 1) (L 1) lattioe has once again DW boundary conditions, and we obtain the recursion relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (13) } \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1}{\underset{\mathrm{~L}}{1}}^{8}: \text { fxg;fygj} \mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \quad \stackrel{9}{;}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$G$ iven the sym $m$ etry in vertical rapidities, a sim ilar relation, $x_{i}=y_{j}$, can be $w$ ritten for all $i$ and $j$, and we have $L$ recursion relations for each rapidity variable. $T$ hus we have altogether 2 L recursion relations for each variable, which are su cient to com pletely determ ine the partition function as a trigonom etric polynom ial of degree 2L 2 in that variable, just as Izergin's proof.

As the RHS of equation 10 satis es the 2 L required recursion relations and is a polynom ial of degree 2L 2, in every rapidity variable, and given that the in itial condition is satis ed, we conclude that it coincides w ith the LH S of equation 10.

C om m ents. The above Izergin type proof does not extend to higher spin m odels, beyond spin-1. The reason is that, for $k>2$, the degree of the polynom ials that we need to determ ine is higher than the num ber of available recursion relations ${ }^{6}$.

## 6. The homogeneous Lim it

Taking the hom ogeneous lim it of the spin $-k=2$ partition function, follow ing the footsteps of [2], is straightforw ard. For convenience, we rewrite $M_{k L}^{k=2} \underset{k L}{k=2}$; (i;j) $a s$
where $(x)=1=([x][x+1])$. Let $x_{1}=x$ and consider the $\lim$ it $x_{2}$ ! $x$. The nst block row $M_{k L}^{k=2} \quad k=2, i j$ rem ains unchanged apart from replacing $x_{1} w$ th $x$, while $M_{k L}^{k=2} \begin{gathered}k=2 \\ k L\end{gathered} \quad$ becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{k L}^{k=2} \begin{array}{l}
k=2 \\
k L ;(2 ; j)
\end{array}= \\
& \begin{array}{llllll}
\text { ( } \left.x_{2}+y_{j}\right) & \left(x_{2}+y_{j}+1\right) & :: ~: ~\left(x_{2}+y_{j}+k\right. & 1)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taylor expanding each term as $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ ! x , to rst order, and subtracting the rst block row from the second
where ${ }^{(n)}(x)$ represents the $n^{\text {th }}$ derivative of $w$ ith respect to its argum ent. N ote that the $\left[x_{2}+x\right]^{k}$ term in the denom inator ofequation 4 cancels exactly $w$ ith the overall factor $\left(x_{2} \quad x\right)^{k}$ as $x_{2}$ ! $x$.

Taylor expanding as $x_{i}!x$, up to $n$-th order, and successively elim inating term s by subtracting multiples of previous block rows and taking out com m on factors, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{kL}}^{\mathrm{k}=2} \underset{\mathrm{~kL} ; \mathrm{ij}}{\mathrm{k}=2}$ becom es

[^5]$\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}x_{i} & x\end{array}\right)^{k(i} 1\right)^{8}:\left(\begin{array}{ll}9 & 1\end{array}!^{k}\right.$


A s before, the denom inator in equation 4 contributes a factor of $\left[x_{i}+x\right]_{9}^{k}(i \quad 1)$ which cancels w ith the above coe cient as $x_{i}!x$, leaving a factor of: (i 1)!; , and sem i-hom ogeneous spin $k=2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~L}$ partition function becom es
$W$ ith $M_{k L}^{k=2} \underset{k L ; i j}{k=2}$ given by the abovem atrix. Equation 14 is the partition function for a lattioe where the horizontal rapidities are hom ogeneous but the vertical rapidities are still distinct. A pplying sim ilar argum ents to the vertical rapidities, and com bining results, we obtain the follow ing expression for the hom ogeneous L L spin $\mathrm{k}=2$ partition function
where $M \underset{L}{k=2} \underset{L}{k=2}$;ij

$R$ em arks on com binatorics. D o the higher spin determ inants lead to interesting combinatorics, as in the spin-1=2 case? It is not di cult to show that there is a simple bijection betw een spin-k=2 DW con gurations and extended altemating sign matrioes A SM 's w ith entries in f0; 1; ; kg, and conditions that naturally extend those of the usualA SM 's [11].

H ow ever, one can also easily check that, unlike the spin-1/2 case, for $k \quad 2$ there is no choice of crossing param eter and rapidity variables such that allw eights are equal (even up to phases). This rules out 1 -enum erations (but not weighted enum erations). This conclusion is substantiated by direct num erical enum erations (for $k=2$ ), that lead to num bers that cannot be expressed as sim ple products [11]. W e hope to retum to these issues in a separate publication.

## A ppendix: T echnical details

W e start from the partition function of the spin $-1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel on a kL kL lattige

To obtain the fused partition function, we proceed in tw o steps. Firstly, we set the rapidities to suitable values, then we nom alize the result. Setting the rapidities
 $u_{i j}=x_{i}+y_{j}$, and $v_{i j}=x_{i}+x_{j}$, we obtain
Y

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \quad\left[\mathrm{u}_{i j}\right]! \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1 \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j} \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{kL}}$
$1 \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j} \mathrm{L}$
Y
${ }_{1}^{\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{kL}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}} & \mathrm{k}+1]\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right. & \mathrm{k}+2]^{2} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{lv}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad \text { ij } \mathrm{mk} \mathrm{k} & 2]^{2}\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{k}\right.
\end{array} 1\right]
$$

$1 \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{i} \mathrm{L}$

| $\underbrace{8}_{\mathrm{p}=1} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}^{1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}^{9}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

where the last factor in equation 18 com es from diagonalterm such as $\left[x_{2}+x_{1}\right]$ ! $\left[\left(x_{1}+1\right)+x_{1}\right]=[1] . U$ sing equations 17 and 18, the RH S ofequation 16becom es


To norm alize, we divide by a factor so that the weight of the ct vertex in the spin $k=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel is $\left[k l_{k}\right.$, and $m$ ultiply by a factor that accounts for the change in sym $m$ etry structure of the determ inant. Together, these are

and we end up with the general result in equation 4.
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[^0]:    2000 M athem atics Subject C lassi cation. P rim ary 82B 20, 82B 23.
    K ey words and phrases. Lattice system s, E xactly solvable m odels, B ethe ansatz.
    ${ }^{1}$ In the sequel, partition function $w$ ill refer to $D W$ partition function un less otherw ise indicated. For applications of the Izergin $K$ orepin determ inant form ula in statisticalm echanics, see [6]. For applications to algebraic com binatorics, see [7].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A lthough [5] studies fusion in the context of elliptic height $m$ odels, it is conven ient to start from there, as their exposition is explicit.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ O ur norm alization is di erent from that of [5]. W e choose to norm alize the c+ vertex of the $\operatorname{sp}$ in $-k=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odel to $[k]_{k}$. In [5], the c+ vertices are nom alized to 1 (up to phases), in the trigonom etric vertex lim it that we are interested in.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The rationale of norm alization is to put the result in a practical, recognizable form, and in particular to avoid that the weights have com $m$ on, spurious poles or zeros.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ T here are $m$ any 19-vertex $m$ odels. The $Z$ am olodchikov Fateev $m$ odel that we are considering here is only on of these. T wo others are listed in [10].

[^5]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~T}$ his assum es that we are willing to consider only Lagrange interpolation in determ in ing the polynom ials under consideration. O ne can consider m ore elaborate interpolations, such as $H$ erm ite interpolation, but then things becom e very com plicated.

