

# Hard and soft edge spacing distributions for random matrix ensembles with orthogonal and symplectic symmetry

P. J. Forrester

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia

Inter-relations between random matrix ensembles with different symmetry types provide inter-relations between generating functions for the gap probabilities at the spectrum edge. Combining these in the scaled limit with the exact evaluation of the gap probabilities for certain superimposed ensembles with orthogonal symmetry allows for the exact evaluation of the gap probabilities at the hard and soft spectrum edges in the cases of orthogonal and symplectic symmetry. These exact evaluations are given in terms of Painlevé transcendents, and in terms of Fredholm determinants.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

There are many spacing distributions in random matrix theory which can be expressed in terms of Painlevé transcendents (see [13] for a recent review and [10] for an extended treatment). How this comes about in the case of random matrix ensembles with a unitary symmetry is easy to explain. Thus in this setting the underlying joint eigenvalue probability density function (PDF) is of the form

$$\frac{1}{C} \prod_{l=1}^N g(x_l) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (x_k - x_j)^2 =: \text{UE}_N(g), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $C$  denotes the normalization. Spacing distributions relating to an interval  $J$  can be computed from the generating function

$$\left\langle \prod_{l=1}^N (1 - \xi \chi_J^{(l)}) \right\rangle_{\text{UE}_N(g)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\chi_J^{(l)} = 1$  for  $x_l \in J$ , and  $\chi_J^{(l)} = 0$  otherwise. Using a well known identity due to Heine the multidimensional integral (1.2) can be written as a determinant. In the case of  $g(x)$  a classical weight function (see [1] for a precise definition), this determinant can be written in a double Wronskian form. As such it is recognised as Sylvester's solution of the Toda lattice equation appearing in Okamoto's Hamiltonian formulation of the Painlevé equations [18].

In the case of spacing distributions in random matrix ensembles with orthogonal or symplectic symmetry, the relationship with Painlevé theory requires further insights. (We remark that the symmetry corresponds to the subgroup of unitary matrices which diagonalise the matrices in the corresponding ensemble.) Such insight comes by way of certain inter-relationships amongst random matrix ensembles with the different symmetry types.

First we recall that the joint eigenvalue PDF is

$$\frac{1}{C} \prod_{l=1}^N g(x_l) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_k - x_j|^\beta =: \begin{cases} \text{OE}_N(g), & \beta = 1 \\ \text{SE}_N(g), & \beta = 4 \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

in the case of orthogonal and symplectic symmetry respectively. The definitions (1.1) and (1.3) assume the eigenvalues are all real (Hermitian matrices). Historically inter-relations between matrix ensembles with different symmetry types were first formulated for Dyson's circular ensemble of random matrices. These are ensembles of unitary matrices, and so the eigenvalues are on the unit circle in the complex plane. The joint eigenvalue PDF is

$$\frac{1}{C} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^\beta =: \begin{cases} \text{COE}_N, & \beta = 1 \\ \text{CUE}_N, & \beta = 2 \\ \text{CSE}_N, & \beta = 4 \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\beta = 1, 2$  or  $4$  according to the symmetry being orthogonal, unitary or symplectic respectively.

The inter-relations can be derived from certain superposition and decimation procedures. In relation to the former, consider two independent eigenvalue sequences drawn from  $\text{COE}_N$ . Let the corresponding eigenvalues have angles  $0 < \phi_1 < \dots < \phi_N < 2\pi$  and  $0 < \phi'_1 < \dots < \phi'_N < 2\pi$  respectively. Now let the sequences be superimposed to form  $\text{COE}_N \cup \text{COE}_N$ , relabel the eigenvalues

$$0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_{2N} < 2\pi$$

and integrate over every second eigenvalue (e.g. if the odd labelled sequence is to be integrated over, integrate  $\theta_{2j-1}$  over  $(\theta_{2j-2}, \theta_{2j})$ , where  $\theta_0 := \theta_{2N} - 2\pi$ ). With this operation denoted  $\text{alt}$ , it was conjectured by Dyson [7], and subsequently proved by Gunson [17], that

$$\text{alt}(\text{COE}_N \cup \text{COE}_N) = \text{CUE}_N. \quad (1.5)$$

The decimation procedure is to take an eigenvalue sequence from  $\text{COE}_{2N}$ , and to integrate over every second eigenvalue. For this it was proved by Dyson and Mehta [8] that

$$\text{alt}(\text{COE}_{2N}) = \text{CSE}_N. \quad (1.6)$$

The inter-relationships (1.5)–(1.6) form the basis of corresponding inter-relationships between generating functions for spacing distributions. Let  $E(n; J; \text{ME}_N)$  denote the probability that in the matrix ensemble  $\text{ME}_N$  the interval  $J$  contains exactly  $n$  eigenvalues. Introduce the generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\text{CUE}_N}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \xi)^n E(n; (-\theta, \theta); \text{CUE}_N) \\ E^{\text{CSE}_N}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \xi)^n E(n; (-\theta, \theta); \text{CSE}_N) \\ E^{\text{COE}_N^\pm}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \xi)^n \left( E(2n; (-\theta, \theta); \text{COE}_N) + E(2n \mp 1; (-\theta, \theta); \text{COE}_N) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (1.5) and (1.6) that

$$E^{\text{CUE}_N}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) = E^{\text{COE}^+}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) E^{\text{COE}^-}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) \quad (1.7)$$

$$E^{\text{CSE}_N}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( E^{\text{COE}^+}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) + E^{\text{COE}^-}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) \right). \quad (1.8)$$

Analogous to the situation with (1.2), the generating function  $E^{\text{CUE}_N}((-\theta, \theta); \xi)$  can readily be expressed as a determinant. The determinant can, in turn, be identified as the  $\tau$ -function of a certain Painlevé VI system [16], and thus be characterised in terms of Painlevé VI transcendents. For the equations (1.7), (1.8) to similarly allow for the determination of  $\{E(n; (-\theta, \theta); \text{COE}_N)\}$  and  $\{E(n; (-\theta, \theta); \text{CSE}_N)\}$

it is necessary to evaluate one of  $E^{\text{COE}_N^\pm}$ . In fact it is possible to deduce that [14]

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\text{COE}_N^+}((-\theta, \theta); \xi) &= \left\langle \prod_{l=1}^N (1 - \xi \chi_{(0, \sin^2 \theta/2)}^{(l)}) \right\rangle_{\text{UE}_N(x^{-1/2}(1-x)^{1/2})} \\ &= E^{O^-(2N+1)}((0, \theta); \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

Here the average in the first equality is an example of the general form (1.2) with  $g(x)$  having support on  $(0, 1)$  (an example of the Jacobi unitary ensemble JUE), while in the second equality  $O^-(2N+1)$  denotes the ensemble of  $(2N+1) \times (2N+1)$  random orthogonal matrices with determinant  $-1$ , chosen with uniform (Haar) measure. The JUE generating function is a  $\tau$ -function for a Painlevé VI system [16], thus evaluating  $E^{\text{COE}_N^+}$  and effectively solving the problem.

## 1.2 The soft edge

For the weight  $g(x) = e^{-x^2}$  in (1.1), corresponding to the Gaussian unitary ensemble GUE, the support of the eigenvalue density is to leading order the interval  $(-\sqrt{2N}, \sqrt{2N})$ . The largest eigenvalue is thus to leading order at  $\sqrt{2N}$ , but to higher order the eigenvalue density is nonzero to the right of this point so it is referred to as the soft edge. Similarly for the weight  $g(x) = x^\alpha e^{-x}$  in (1.1) specifying the Laguerre unitary ensemble LUE, the leading support of the eigenvalue density is  $(0, 4N)$ , and the point  $4N$  is referred to as the soft edge. It is fundamental that, after scaling so that the eigenvalue spacing in the neighbourhood of the respective soft edge is of order one, for  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the gap probabilities at the soft edge for both the GUE and LUE are identical, and given in terms of a Fredholm determinant. Specifically, with

$$E(J; \text{ME}_N; \xi) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \xi)^n E(n; J; \text{ME}_N)$$

one has [11]

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) &:= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E((\sqrt{2N} + s/\sqrt{2N}^{1/6}, \infty); \text{UE}_N(e^{-x^2}); \xi) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E((4N + 2(2N)^{1/3}s, \infty); \text{UE}_N(x^\alpha e^{-x}); \xi) \\ &= \det(\mathbb{I} - \xi K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

(the superscript "2" in  $E_2^{\text{soft}}$  denotes the  $\beta$  value of the symmetry type, here unitary) where  $K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}$  is the integral operator on  $(s, \infty)$  with kernel

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\text{soft}}(x, y) &= \frac{\text{Ai}(x)\text{Ai}'(y) - \text{Ai}(y)\text{Ai}'(x)}{x - y} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(x+t)\text{Ai}(y+t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

$\text{Ai}(x)$  denoting the Airy function. It is similarly fundamental that  $E_2^{\text{soft}}$  admits the Painlevé transcendent evaluation [20]

$$E_2^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) = \exp\left(-\int_s^\infty (t-s)q^2(t; \xi) dt\right) \quad (1.12)$$

where  $q(t)$  satisfies the particular Painlevé II equation

$$q'' = tq + 2q^3 \quad (1.13)$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$q(t; \xi) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sqrt{\xi} \text{Ai}(t). \quad (1.14)$$

In the cases of orthogonal and symplectic symmetry, the soft edge scalings are specified by

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) &:= E((\sqrt{2N} + s/\sqrt{2}N^{1/6}, \infty); \text{OE}_N(e^{-x^2/2}); \xi) \\ &:= E((4N + 2(2N)^{1/3}s, \infty); \text{OE}_N(x^a e^{-x^2/2}); \xi) \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_4^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) &:= E((\sqrt{2N} + s/\sqrt{2}N^{1/6}, \infty); \text{SE}_{N/2}(e^{-x^2}); \xi) \\ &:= E((4N + 2(2N)^{1/3}s, \infty); \text{SE}_{N/2}(x^a e^{-x}); \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

Recently Dieng [6] has given the Painlevé transcendent evaluation

$$\left(E_1^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi)\right)^2 = E_2^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \bar{\xi}) \frac{(\xi - 1 - \cosh \mu(s, \bar{\xi}) + \sqrt{\bar{\xi}} \sinh \mu(s; \bar{\xi}))}{\xi - 2} \quad (1.17)$$

where

$$\bar{\xi} := 2\xi - \xi^2, \quad \mu(s; \bar{\xi}) := \int_s^\infty q(t; \bar{\xi}) dt, \quad (1.18)$$

together with

$$\left(E_4^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi)\right)^2 = E_2^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) \cosh^2 \frac{\mu(s; \xi)}{2}. \quad (1.19)$$

In the case  $\xi = 1$ , (1.17) and (1.19) reduce to results first derived by Tracy and Widom [22]. Indeed the study [6] uses the methods of [22] to derive (1.17) and (1.19) for general  $\xi$ .

In the case  $\xi = 1$ , the present author has provided simplified derivations of these results. Moreover, in contrast to [22], this simplified derivation makes essential use of analogues of (1.5) and (1.6) for the Gaussian or Laguerre ensembles. One of the objectives of this paper is to use this same approach to rederive (1.17) and (1.19) for general  $\xi$ . A satisfying feature of the derivation is that it offers an explanation for the peculiar structure exhibited by (1.17).

In the study [5] it has been shown that

$$\exp\left(-\mu(s; \xi)\right) = \frac{\det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})}{\det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})} \quad (1.20)$$

where  $V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}$  is the integral operator on  $(0, \infty)$  with kernel

$$V^{\text{soft}}(x, u) = \text{Ai}(x + u + s).$$

Note from (1.11) that

$$K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}} \doteq (V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})^2,$$

where here  $\doteq$  means a change of variable is required in one of the integral operators for equality (such identities in random matrix theory are discussed at length in the recent work [3]). Further, it follows from (1.10) that

$$E_2^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) = \det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}) \det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}) \quad (1.21)$$

(see [5]). Consequently both (1.17) and (1.19) can be expressed entirely in terms of Fredholm determinants.

**Corollary 1.** *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(E_1^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi)\right)^2 &= \left(\frac{\xi - 1}{\xi - 2}\right) \det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}) \det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\xi} - 1}{2(\xi - 2)} \left(\det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})\right)^2 - \frac{\sqrt{\xi} + 1}{2(\xi - 2)} \left(\det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})\right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

and

$$E_4^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}) + \det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}) \right) \quad (1.23)$$

The results (1.21) and (1.23) should be compared against (1.7) and (1.8). Note that when  $\xi = 1$ , (1.22) reduces to

$$E_1^{\text{soft}}(0; (s, \infty)) = \det(\mathbb{I} - V_{(0, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}). \quad (1.24)$$

This is a known result, first conjectured by Sasamoto [19], and subsequently proved by Ferrari and Spohn [9].

### 1.3 The hard edge

The Laguerre ensembles have their origin in positive definite Hermitian matrices, and as such all eigenvalues are positive. Because of this constraint the neighbourhood of the origin in the Laguerre ensembles is referred to as the hard edge. This neighbourhood permits the hard edge scaling limits [11]

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \xi) &:= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\left(0, \frac{s}{4N}\right); \text{OE}_N(x^a e^{-x/2})\right) \\ E_2^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \xi) &:= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\left(0, \frac{s}{4N}\right); \text{UE}_N(x^a e^{-x})\right) \\ E_4^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \xi) &:= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\left(0, \frac{s}{4N}\right); \text{SE}_{N/2}(x^a e^{-x})\right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

Analogous to the results (1.10) and (1.12), for  $\beta = 2$  one has the Fredholm determinant evaluation [11]

$$E_2^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \xi) = \det(\mathbb{I} - \xi K_{(0, s)}^{\text{hard}}) \quad (1.26)$$

as well as the Painlevé transcendent evaluation [21]

$$E_2^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \xi) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \int_0^s \left(\log \frac{s}{t}\right) \tilde{q}^2(t; a; \xi) dt\right). \quad (1.27)$$

In (1.26)  $K_{(0, s)}^{\text{hard}}$  is the integral operator on  $(0, s)$  with kernel

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\text{hard}}(x, y) &= \frac{J_a(\sqrt{x})\sqrt{y}J'_a(\sqrt{y}) - \sqrt{x}J'_a(\sqrt{x})J_a(\sqrt{y})}{x - y} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 J_a(\sqrt{tx})J_a(\sqrt{ty}) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

$J_a(z)$  denoting the Bessel function, while in (1.27)  $\tilde{q}$  is the solution of the nonlinear equation

$$t(\tilde{q}^2 - 1)(t\tilde{q}')' = \tilde{q}(t\tilde{q}')^2 + \frac{1}{4}(t - a^2)\tilde{q} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{q}^3(\tilde{q}^2 - 2) \quad (1.29)$$

(a transformed version of the Painlevé V equation) subject to the boundary condition

$$\tilde{q}(t; a; \xi) \underset{t \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{2^a \Gamma(1 + a)} t^{a/2}. \quad (1.30)$$

Let us consider now the  $\beta = 1$  and 4 symmetries at the hard edge. Only the  $\xi = 1$  case of the following result was known previously [12].

**Theorem 1.** *One has*

$$\left(E_1^{\text{hard}}((0, s); \frac{a-1}{2}; \xi)\right)^2 = E_2^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \bar{\xi}) \frac{(\xi - 1 - \cosh \tilde{\mu}(s; a; \bar{\xi}) + \sqrt{\xi} \sinh \tilde{\mu}(s; a; \bar{\xi}))}{\xi - 2} \quad (1.31)$$

where

$$\bar{\xi} := 2\xi - \xi^2, \quad \tilde{\mu}(s; a; \bar{\xi}) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \tilde{q}(t; a; \bar{\xi}) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad (1.32)$$

and

$$\left( E_4^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a + 1; \xi) \right)^2 = E_2^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \xi) \cosh^2 \frac{\tilde{\mu}(s; \xi)}{2}. \quad (1.33)$$

Extending the working of [12], which relies crucially on the hard edge limit of the Laguerre ensemble analogues of (1.5) and (1.6), Theorem 1 will be established in Section 3.

We know from [11, 4] that in the limit  $a \rightarrow \infty$ , after appropriate scaling the hard edge distributions reduce to the soft edge distributions. Explicitly, with

$$a(\beta) = \begin{cases} (a-1)/2, & \beta = 1 \\ a, & \beta = 2 \\ a+1, & \beta = 4 \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\beta^{\text{hard}}((0, a^2 - 2a(a/2)^{1/3}s); a(\beta); \xi) = E_\beta^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi).$$

In the case  $\xi = 1$  it was shown in [12] that the soft edge Painlevé transcendent evaluations (1.12), (1.17) and (1.19), and those at the hard edge (1.27), (1.31), (1.33) are consistent with this result. The same analysis applies for general  $\xi$ , showing that the hard edge result as presented in Theorem 1 contains (1.17) and (1.19) as a limiting case.

As with Corollary 1, recent results allow Theorem 1 to be cast in terms of Fredholm determinants. For this we need knowledge of the formulas [5]

$$E_2^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \xi) = \det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}) \det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}) \quad (1.34)$$

and

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{\tilde{q}(t; a; \xi)}{\sqrt{t}} dt\right) = \frac{\det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}})}{\det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}})}. \quad (1.35)$$

Here  $V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}$  is the integral operator on  $(0, 1)$  with kernel

$$V^{\text{hard}}(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} J_a(\sqrt{sxy}). \quad (1.36)$$

Comparison with (1.28) shows

$$K_{(0,s)}^{\text{hard}} \doteq (V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}})^2.$$

**Corollary 2.** *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} \left( E_1^{\text{hard}}((0, s); \frac{a-1}{2}; \xi) \right)^2 &= \left( \frac{\xi-1}{\xi-2} \right) \det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}) \det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}) \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{\xi}-1}{2(\xi-2)} \left( \det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}) \right)^2 - \frac{\sqrt{\xi}+1}{2(\xi-2)} \left( \det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}) \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.37)$$

and

$$E_4^{\text{hard}}((s, \infty); a + 1; \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \det(\mathbb{I} - \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}) + \det(\mathbb{I} + \sqrt{\xi} V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}) \right) \quad (1.38)$$

In the case  $\xi = 1$  (1.37) reduces to

$$E_1^{\text{hard}}(0; (0, s); \frac{a-1}{2}) = \det(\mathbb{I} - V_{(0,1)}^{\text{hard}}), \quad (1.39)$$

which is known from [5].

## 2 Soft edge

For the classical matrix ensembles of Hermitian matrices (classical weight functions in (1.1) and (1.3)) there are inter-relationships between the different symmetry types analogous to (1.5) and (1.6). The existence of such identities were deduced indirectly in [2]. A direct study and classification was undertaken in [15]. Thus for the Gaussian ensembles one has the superposition identity [15]

$$\text{even}\left(\text{OE}_N(e^{-x^2/2}) \cup \text{OE}_{N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})\right) = \text{UE}_N(e^{-x^2}), \quad (2.1)$$

as well as the decimation identity

$$\text{even}\left(\text{OE}_{2N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})\right) = \text{SE}_N(e^{-x^2}), \quad (2.2)$$

where with

$$x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_{2N+1} \quad (2.3)$$

the notation “even” refers to the distribution of every even labelled eigenvalue.

These identities imply the following gap probability identities.

**Proposition 1.** *For  $n \leq N/2$*

$$\begin{aligned} E(n, (s, \infty); \text{UE}_N(e^{-x^2})) &= \sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} E(2n+1-p, (s, \infty); \text{OE}_N(e^{-x^2/2})) \\ &\times \left( E(p, (s, \infty); \text{OE}_{N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})) + E(p-1, (s, \infty); \text{OE}_{N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and for  $n \leq N$

$$E(n, (s, \infty); \text{SE}_N(e^{-x^2})) = E(2n, (s, \infty); \text{OE}_{2N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})) + E(2n+1, (s, \infty); \text{OE}_{2N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})) \quad (2.5)$$

where  $E(-1, \cdot) := 0$ .

*Proof* Consider first (2.4). From the superposition identity (2.1), the ensemble  $\text{UE}_N(e^{-x^2})$  results by integrating out the even labelled eigenvalues in the superimposed ensembles. It then follows from (2.3) that to have exactly  $n$  eigenvalues in the interval  $(s, \infty)$ , the superimposed ensemble must contain either  $2n$  or  $2n+1$  eigenvalues. Given a partition  $2n = (2n+1-p) + (p-1)$  such that  $2n+1-p$  eigenvalues are from  $\text{OE}_N(e^{-x^2/2})$  and  $p-1$  eigenvalues are from  $\text{OE}_{N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})$ , it follows from (2.1) that the probability  $\text{UE}_N(e^{-x^2})$  has  $n$  eigenvalues in  $(s, \infty)$  is

$$E(2n+1-p, (s, \infty); \text{OE}_N(e^{-x^2/2}))E(p-1, (s, \infty); \text{OE}_{N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})). \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, given a partition  $2n+1 = (2n+1-p) + p$  of the  $2n+1$  superimposed eigenvalues in  $(s, \infty)$ , such that  $(2n+1-p)$  eigenvalues are from  $\text{OE}_N(e^{-x^2/2})$  and  $p$  eigenvalues are from  $\text{OE}_{N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})$ , the probability that  $\text{UE}_N(e^{-x^2})$  has  $n$  eigenvalues in  $(s, \infty)$  is

$$E(2n+1-p, (s, \infty); \text{OE}_N(e^{-x^2/2}))E(p, (s, \infty); \text{OE}_{N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})). \quad (2.7)$$

Summing (2.6) and (2.7) over  $p$  gives (2.4).

To derive (2.5), simply note from (2.2) and (2.3) that for  $\text{SE}_N(e^{-x^2})$  to have exactly  $n$  eigenvalues in  $(s, \infty)$ ,  $\text{OE}_{2N+1}(e^{-x^2/2})$  must have either  $2n$  or  $2n+1$  eigenvalues in  $(s, \infty)$ .  $\square$

Using the definitions of the soft edge scalings in (1.10), (1.15) and (1.16), by replacing  $s \mapsto \sqrt{2N} + s/\sqrt{2}N^{1/6}$  in (2.4) and (2.5), and taking the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit, we obtain the following soft edge inter-relationships.

**Corollary 3.** *One has*

$$E_2^{\text{soft}}(n, (s, \infty)) = \sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} E_1^{\text{soft}}(2n+1-p, (s, \infty)) \left( E_1^{\text{soft}}(p, (s, \infty)) + E_1^{\text{soft}}(p-1, (s, \infty)) \right) \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$E_4^{\text{soft}}(n, (s, \infty)) = E_1^{\text{soft}}(2n+1, (s, \infty)) + E_1^{\text{soft}}(2n, (s, \infty)). \quad (2.9)$$

Equivalently, with  $\bar{\xi}$  specified in (1.32), in terms of generating functions

$$(1-\xi)E_2^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \bar{\xi}) = \text{odd}_{1-\bar{\xi}} \left[ \left( E_1^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) \right)^2 (2-\xi) \right] \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$(1-\xi)E_4^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \bar{\xi}) = \text{odd}_{1-\bar{\xi}} \left[ E_1^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) (2-\xi) \right] \quad (2.11)$$

where the notation  $\text{odd}_{1-\xi} f$  denotes the odd powers in  $1-\xi$  of the expansion of  $f(\xi)$  about  $\xi=1$ .

*Proof.* It remains to deduce the generating function identities (2.10), (2.11) from (2.8), (2.9). For this multiply both sides by  $(1-\xi)(1-\bar{\xi})^n$  and sum over  $n$ , noting on the right hand side that  $(1-\bar{\xi}) = (1-\xi)^2$ , and in (2.8) making use of the formula for the multiplication of two power series.  $\square$

Comparing (2.10) with (1.17) it must be that

$$E_2^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \bar{\xi}) \left( \cosh \mu(s, \bar{\xi}) - \sqrt{\bar{\xi}} \sinh \mu(s, \bar{\xi}) \right) = \text{even}_{1-\bar{\xi}} \left[ \left( E_1^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) \right)^2 (2-\xi) \right]. \quad (2.12)$$

Conversely, (2.12) and (2.10) together imply (1.17). Our remaining task is thus to derive (2.12).

For this purpose, following [12], we consider the soft edge scaling limit of the ensemble

$$\text{odd} \left( \text{OE}_N(e^{-x^2}) \cup \text{OE}_N(e^{-x^2}) \right)$$

where the notation ‘‘odd’’ refers to the distribution of every odd labelled eigenvalue in the superimposed ensemble. As shown in [12], the  $n$ -point correlation function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_{(n)}^{\text{odd}(\text{OEsoft})^2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ & := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2^{1/2} N^{1/6}} \right)^n \rho_{(n)}^{\text{odd}(\text{GOE}_N)^2} \left( \sqrt{2N} + \frac{x_1}{2^{1/2} N^{1/6}}, \dots, \sqrt{2N} + \frac{x_n}{2^{1/2} N^{1/6}} \right) \\ & = \det \left[ K^{\text{soft}}(x_j, x_k) + \text{Ai}(x_j) \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(x_k - v) dv \right]_{j,k=1, \dots, n} \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Consequently, the generating function for the gap probabilities in  $(s, \infty)$  is given by the Fredholm determinant formula

$$E^{\text{odd}(\text{OEsoft})^2}((s, \infty); \xi) = \det \left( 1 - \xi (K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}} + A^s \otimes B^s) \right) \quad (2.14)$$

where  $K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}$  is as in (1.10),  $A^s$  is the operator which multiplies by  $\text{Ai}(x)$ , and  $B^s$  is the integral operator on  $(s, \infty)$  with kernel  $\int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(y-v) dv$ .

Analogous to the result (2.8) following from the superposition identity (2.1), the ensemble  $\text{odd}(\text{OEsoft})^2$  being constructed as a superposition implies the following gap probabilities identities.

**Proposition 2.** *One has*

$$E^{\text{odd}(\text{OEsoft})^2}(n; (s, \infty)) = \sum_{p=0}^{2n} E_1^{\text{soft}}(2n-p, (s, \infty)) \left( E_1^{\text{soft}}(p, (s, \infty)) + E_1^{\text{soft}}(p-1, (s, \infty)) \right). \quad (2.15)$$

Equivalently, with  $\bar{\xi}$  specified in (1.32), in terms of generating functions

$$E^{\text{odd(OEsoft)}^2}((s, \infty); \bar{\xi}) = \text{even} \left[ \left( E_1^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) \right)^2 (2 - \xi) \right] \quad (2.16)$$

(cf. (2.10)).

We now seek to independently evaluate  $E^{\text{odd(OEsoft)}^2}((s, \infty); \xi)$ . In [12] it was shown from the Fredholm determinant formula (2.14) that

$$E^{\text{odd(OEsoft)}^2}((s, \infty); \xi) \Big|_{\xi=1} = \left( E_2^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) \exp \left( - \int_s^\infty q(t; \xi) dt \right) \right) \Big|_{\xi=1}. \quad (2.17)$$

From the inter-relation (2.15), and the definition of the generating functions, this is equivalent to the evaluation [22]

$$\left( E_1^{\text{soft}}(0; (s, \infty)) \right)^2 = E_2^{\text{soft}}(0; (s, \infty)) \exp \left( - \int_s^\infty q(t; 1) dt \right).$$

The  $\xi = 1$  result (2.17) can readily be generalized to arbitrary  $\xi$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $q(t; \xi)$  be as in (1.12), and thus having its  $\xi$  dependence determined by the boundary condition (1.14). Let  $\mu(s; \xi)$  be as in (1.32). We have*

$$E^{\text{odd(OEsoft)}^2}((s, \infty); \xi) = E_2^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi) \left( \cosh \mu(s; \xi) - \sqrt{\xi} \sinh \mu(s; \xi) \right). \quad (2.18)$$

*Proof.* We see from (2.14) that

$$E^{\text{odd(OEsoft)}^2}((s, \infty); \xi) = \det(\mathbb{I} - \xi K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}}) \left( 1 - \xi \int_s^\infty [(\mathbb{I} - \xi K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})^{-1} A^s](y) B^s(y) dy \right) \quad (2.19)$$

where use has been made of the fact that the direct product of two operators has rank 1. Recalling (1.10), we see that (2.18) becomes equivalent to showing

$$1 - \xi \int_s^\infty [(1 - \xi K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})^{-1} A^s](y) B^s(y) dy = \cosh \mu(s; \xi) - \sqrt{\xi} \sinh \mu(s; \xi). \quad (2.20)$$

For this we closely follow [12], where this result for  $\xi = 1$  was derived.

In terms of the notation

$$\phi^s(x) := \sqrt{\xi} \text{Ai}(x), \quad Q^s(x) := [(1 - \xi K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})^{-1} \phi^s](x)$$

we have that

$$\xi \int_s^\infty [(1 - \xi K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})^{-1} A^s](y) B^s(y) dy = \int_s^\infty dy Q^s(y) \int_{-\infty}^y \phi^s(v) dv =: u_\epsilon^s. \quad (2.21)$$

Now introduce the quantity

$$q_\epsilon^s := \int_s^\infty dy \rho^s(s, y) \int_{-\infty}^y \phi^s(v) dv, \quad (2.22)$$

where  $\rho^s(s, y)$  denotes the kernel of the integral operator  $(\mathbb{I} - \xi K_{(s, \infty)}^{\text{soft}})^{-1}$ . According to the working of [12, eqs. (3.11)–(3.14)] the quantities  $u_\epsilon^s$  and  $q_\epsilon^s$  satisfy the coupled equations

$$\frac{du_\epsilon^s}{ds} = -q(s; \xi) q_\epsilon^s \quad (2.23)$$

$$\frac{dq_\epsilon^s}{ds} = q(s; \xi) (1 - u_\epsilon^s) \quad (2.24)$$

where  $q(s; \xi)$  enters via the fact that  $Q^s(s) = q(s; \xi)$ .

Since  $Q^s(y)$  is smooth while  $\rho^s(s, y)$  is equal to the delta function  $\delta(s - y)$  plus a smooth term, we see from (2.21), (2.22), and the fact that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^s(v) dv = \sqrt{\xi},$$

that (2.23), (2.24) must be solved subject to the boundary conditions

$$u_\epsilon^s \rightarrow 0, \quad q_\epsilon^s \rightarrow \sqrt{\xi} \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.25)$$

It is straightforward to verify that the solution subject to these boundary conditions is

$$\begin{aligned} q_\epsilon^s &= \sqrt{\xi} \cosh \mu(s; \xi) - \sinh \mu(s; \xi) \\ u_\epsilon^s &= 1 - \cosh \mu(s; \xi) + \sqrt{\xi} \sinh \mu(s; \xi). \end{aligned}$$

The latter is equivalent to (2.20), so completing our derivation.  $\square$

Substituting (2.18) in (2.10) we obtain (2.12). As already noted, this together with (2.10) implies (1.17).

We turn our attention now to (1.19). Dieng [6] has provided an elementary proof that (1.17) and (1.19) together imply (2.9). Since (2.9) uniquely determines  $E_4^{\text{soft}}((s, \infty); \xi)$  in terms of  $\{E_1^{\text{soft}}(n; (s, \infty))\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$  it follows then that (1.17) and (2.9) imply (1.19).

### 3 Hard edge

The key formulas of the previous section relating to the soft edge all have hard edge analogues. Noting from the definitions (1.25) of the hard edge scaling limit, we first make note of inter-relationships between Laguerre ensembles of different symmetry types. According to [15], one has the superposition identity

$$\text{even}\left(\text{OE}_N(x^{(a-1)/2}e^{-x/2}) \cup \text{OE}_{N+1}(x^{(a-1)/2}e^{-x/2})\right) = \text{UE}_N(x^a e^{-x}), \quad (3.1)$$

as well as the decimation identity

$$\text{even}\left(\text{OE}_{2N+1}(x^{(a-1)/2}e^{-x/2})\right) = \text{SE}_N(x^{a+1}e^{-x}). \quad (3.2)$$

Arguing as in the derivation of Proposition 1 shows that these identities imply the following gap probability identities.

**Proposition 4.** *For  $n \leq N/2$*

$$\begin{aligned} E(n, (0, s); \text{UE}_N(x^a e^{-x})) &= \sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} E(2n+1-p, (0, s); \text{OE}_N(x^{(a-1)/2}e^{-x/2})) \\ &\quad \times \left( E(p, (0, s); \text{OE}_{N+1}(x^{(a-1)/2}e^{-x/2})) + E(p-1, (0, s); \text{OE}_{N+1}(x^{(a-1)/2}e^{-x/2})) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and for  $n \leq N$

$$\begin{aligned} E(n, (0, s); \text{SE}_N(x^{a+1}e^{-x})) \\ = E(2n, (0, s); \text{OE}_{2N+1}(x^{(a-1)/2}e^{-x/2})) + E(2n+1, (0, s); \text{OE}_{2N+1}(x^{(a-1)/2}e^{-x/2})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By replacing  $s \mapsto s/4N$ , and recalling (1.25), the hard edge scaling limit of these gap probabilities can be taken immediately.

**Corollary 4.** *One has*

$$E_2^{\text{hard}}(n, (0, s); a) = \sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} E_1^{\text{hard}}(2n+1-p, (0, s); (a-1)/2) \times \left( E_1^{\text{hard}}(p, (0, s); (a-1)/2) + E_1^{\text{hard}}(p-1, (0, s); (a-1)/2) \right) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$E_4^{\text{hard}}(n, (0, s); a+1) = E_1^{\text{hard}}(2n+1, (0, s); (a-1)/2) + E_1^{\text{hard}}(2n, (0, s); (a-1)/2). \quad (3.6)$$

The first is equivalent to the generating function identity

$$(1-\xi)E_2^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \bar{\xi}) = \text{odd}_{1-\bar{\xi}} \left[ \left( E_1^{\text{hard}}((0, s); (a-1)/2; \xi) \right)^2 (2-\xi) \right]. \quad (3.7)$$

With (3.7) established, we see that (1.31) is equivalent to the identity

$$E_2^{\text{hard}}(((0, s); a; \bar{\xi}) \left( \cosh \tilde{\mu}(s, a, \bar{\xi}) - \sqrt{\bar{\xi}} \sinh \tilde{\mu}(s, a, \bar{\xi}) \right) = \text{even}_{1-\bar{\xi}} \left[ \left( E_1^{\text{hard}}((0, s); a; \xi) \right)^2 (2-\xi) \right] \quad (3.8)$$

(cf. (2.12)).

To derive (3.8), we follow [12] and consider the hard edge scaling of the ensemble

$$\text{even} \left( \text{OE}_N(x^{(a-1)/2} e^{-x/2}) \cup \text{OE}_N(x^{(a-1)/2} e^{-x/2}) \right) =: \text{even}(\text{LOE}_N)^2.$$

It is shown in [12] that the corresponding  $n$ -point correlation is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{(n)}^{\text{odd}(\text{OEhard})^2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &:= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{4N} \right)^n \rho_{(n)}^{\text{even}(\text{LOE}_N)^2} \left( \frac{x_1}{4N}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{4N} \right) \\ &= \det \left[ K^{\text{hard}}(x_j, x_k) + \frac{J_a(\sqrt{x_j})}{2\sqrt{x_k}} \int_{\sqrt{x_k}}^{\infty} J_a(t) dt \right]_{j,k=1, \dots, n}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where the notation  $\text{odd}(\text{OEhard})^2$  on the left hand side refers to the ordering  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots$  which is appropriate at the hard edge in the scaling limit. We see from this that

$$E^{\text{odd}(\text{OEhard})^2}((0, s); \xi; (a-1)/2) = \det \left( 1 - \xi(K_{(0,s)}^{\text{hard}} + A^{\text{h}} \otimes B^{\text{h}}) \right) \quad (3.10)$$

where  $K_{(0,s)}^{\text{hard}}$  is as in (1.26), while  $A^{\text{h}}$  is the operator which multiplies by  $J_a(\sqrt{x})$  and  $B^{\text{h}}$  is the integral operator on  $(0, s)$  with kernel  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} \int_y^{\infty} J_a(t) dt$ .

The significance of the ensemble  $\text{odd}(\text{OEhard})^2$  for the problem at hand is that its gap probabilities are, from its definition as a superposition, given in terms of the  $\beta = 1$  hard edge gap probabilities.

**Proposition 5.** *One has*

$$E^{\text{odd}(\text{OEhard})^2}(n; (0, s); (a-1)/2) = \sum_{p=0}^{2n} E_1^{\text{hard}}(2n-p, (0, s); (a-1)/2) \times \left( E_1^{\text{hard}}(p, (0, s); (a-1)/2) + E_1^{\text{hard}}(p-1, (0, s); (a-1)/2) \right), \quad (3.11)$$

or equivalently, in terms of generating functions,

$$E^{\text{odd}(\text{OEhard})^2}((0, s); (a-1)/2; \bar{\xi}) = \text{even}_{1-\bar{\xi}} \left[ \left( E_1^{\text{hard}}((0, s); (a-1)/2; \xi) \right)^2 (2-\xi) \right] \quad (3.12)$$

where  $\bar{\xi}$  is as in (1.27).

We see from (3.12) that to derive (3.8) we need to evaluate  $E^{\text{odd(OEhard)}}^2$ . In [12] it was shown that

$$E^{\text{odd(OEhard)}}^2((0, s); \xi; (a-1)/2) \Big|_{\xi=1} = \left( E_2^{\text{hard}}((0, s); \xi; a) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{\tilde{q}(t; a; \xi)}{\sqrt{t}} dt \right) \right) \Big|_{\xi=1}. \quad (3.13)$$

As in going from (2.15) to (2.17), this can readily be generalized to arbitrary  $\xi$ .

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $\tilde{q}(t; a; \xi)$  be as in (1.27), and thus having its  $\xi$  dependence determined by the boundary condition (1.30). Let  $\tilde{\mu}(s; a; \xi)$  be as in (1.32). We have*

$$E^{\text{odd(OEhard)}}^2((0, s); \xi; (a-1)/2) = E_2^{\text{hard}}((0, s); \xi; a) \left( \cosh \tilde{\mu}(s; \xi; a) - \sqrt{\xi} \sinh \tilde{\mu}(s; \xi; a) \right). \quad (3.14)$$

*Proof.* As in going from (2.19) to (2.20), it follows from (3.10) that (3.14) is equivalent to the identity

$$1 - \xi \int_0^s [(\mathbb{I} - \xi K_{(0,s)}^{\text{hard}})^{-1} A^{\text{h}}](y) B^{\text{h}}(y) dy = \cosh \tilde{\mu}(s; \xi; a) - \sqrt{\xi} \sinh \tilde{\mu}(s; \xi; a). \quad (3.15)$$

This was derived in [12] for  $\xi = 1$ , and we adopt the same approach for the general  $\xi$  case. Setting

$$\phi^{\text{h}}(x) = \sqrt{\xi} J_a(\sqrt{x}), \quad Q^{\text{h}}(x) = [(\mathbb{I} - \xi K^{\text{hard}})^{-1} \phi^{\text{h}}](x)$$

and after changing variables  $t = \sqrt{u}$  in the definition of  $B^{\text{h}}$  we have

$$\xi \int_0^s [(\mathbb{I} - \xi K_{(0,s)}^{\text{hard}})^{-1} A^{\text{h}}](y) B^{\text{h}}(y) dy = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^s dy Q^{\text{h}}(y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \int_y^\infty du \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} \phi^{\text{h}}(u) =: u_\epsilon^{\text{h}}.$$

Also introduce

$$q_\epsilon^{\text{h}} := \int_0^s dy \rho^{\text{h}}(s, y) \int_{-\infty}^y du \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} \phi^{\text{h}}(u)$$

where  $\rho^{\text{h}}(s, y)$  denotes the kernel of the integral operator  $(1 - \xi K_{(0,s)}^{\text{hard}})^{-1}$ . According to the working of [12, eqns. (3.23)–(3.27)], with  $\tilde{q}_\epsilon^{\text{h}} := \sqrt{s} q_\epsilon^{\text{h}}$  the quantities  $u_\epsilon^{\text{h}}$  and  $\tilde{q}_\epsilon^{\text{h}}$  satisfy the coupled system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{s} \frac{du_\epsilon^{\text{h}}}{ds} &= \frac{1}{4} \tilde{q}(s; a; \xi) \tilde{q}_\epsilon^{\text{h}} \\ \sqrt{s} \frac{d\tilde{q}_\epsilon^{\text{h}}}{ds} &= -\tilde{q}(s; a; \xi) (1 - u_\epsilon^{\text{h}}). \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the derivation of (2.25) shows that these equations must be solved subject to the boundary conditions

$$u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \tilde{q}_\epsilon^{\text{h}} \rightarrow 2\sqrt{\xi} \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

We verify that the solution subject to these boundary conditions is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_\epsilon^{\text{h}} &= 2 \left( \sqrt{\xi} \cosh \tilde{\mu}(s; a; \xi) - \sinh \tilde{\mu}(s; a; \xi) \right) \\ u_\epsilon^{\text{h}} &= 1 - \cosh \tilde{\mu}(s; a; \xi) + \sqrt{\xi} \sinh \tilde{\mu}(s; a; \xi). \end{aligned}$$

The latter is equivalent to (3.15), so our proof is complete.  $\square$

Substituting (3.14) in (3.12) establishes (3.8), and so completes our derivation of (1.31). Because the structure of (1.31) is identical to that of the soft edge formula (1.17), we can appeal to Dieng's proof [6] that (1.31) and (1.19) together imply (2.9), which does not make use of the explicit form of  $\mu(s; \xi)$  in (1.17), to conclude that (1.31) and (1.33) imply (3.6). But (3.6) uniquely determines  $E_4^{\text{hard}}((0, s); \xi; a+1)$  in terms of  $\{E_1^{\text{soft}}(n; (0, s); (a-1)/2)\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$  so it follows that (1.31) and (3.6) imply (1.33).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council.

## References

- [1] M. Adler, P.J. Forrester, T. Nagao, and P. van Moerbeke. Classical skew orthogonal polynomials and random matrices. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 99:141–170, 2000.
- [2] J. Baik and E.M. Rains. Algebraic aspects of increasing subsequences. *Duke Math. J.*, 109:1–65, 2001.
- [3] G. Blower. Operators associated with soft and hard spectral edges from unitary ensembles. math.FA/0605010, 2006.
- [4] A. Borodin and P.J. Forrester. Increasing subsequences and the hard-to-soft transition in matrix ensembles. *J.Phys. A*, 36:2963–2981, 2003.
- [5] P. Desrosiers and P.J. Forrester. Relationships between  $\tau$ -function and Fredholm determinant expressions for gap probabilities in random matrix theory. math-ph/0604027, 2006.
- [6] M. Dieng. Distribution functions for edge eigenvalues in orthogonal and symplectic ensembles: Painlevé representations. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, (37):2263–2287, 2005.
- [7] F.J. Dyson. Statistical theory of energy levels of complex systems III, *J. Math. Phys.*, 3:166–175, 1962.
- [8] F.J. Dyson and M.L. Mehta. Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. IV. *J. Math. Phys.*, 4:701–712, 1963.
- [9] P.L. Ferrari and H. Spohn. A determinantal formula for the GOE Tracy Widom distribution. *J. Phys. A*, 38:L557–L561, 2005.
- [10] P.J. Forrester. Log-gases and Random Matrices. [www.ms.unimelb.edu.au/~matpjf/matpjf.html](http://www.ms.unimelb.edu.au/~matpjf/matpjf.html).
- [11] P.J. Forrester. The spectrum edge of random matrix ensembles. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 402:709–728, 1993.
- [12] P.J. Forrester. Painlevé transcendent evaluation of the scaled distribution of the smallest eigenvalue in the Laguerre orthogonal and symplectic ensembles. nlin.SI/0005064, 2000.
- [13] P.J. Forrester. Spacing distributions in random matrix ensembles. In F. Mezzadri and N.C. Snaith, editors, *Recent perspectives in random matrix theory and number theory*, volume 332 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, pages 279–308. Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 2005.
- [14] P.J. Forrester. Evenness symmetry and inter-relationships between gap probabilities in random matrix theory. in press *Forum Mathematicum*, 2006.
- [15] P.J. Forrester and E.M. Rains. Inter-relationships between orthogonal, unitary and symplectic matrix ensembles. In P.M. Bleher and A.R. Its, editors, *Random matrix models and their applications*, volume 40 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*, pages 171–208. Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 2001.
- [16] P.J. Forrester and N.S. Witte. Application of the  $\tau$ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PVI, the JUE, CyUE, cJUE and scaled limits. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 174:29–114, 2004.

- [17] J. Gunson. Proof of a conjecture of Dyson in the statistical theory of energy levels. *J. Math. Phys.*, 4:752–753, 1962.
- [18] K. Okamoto. Studies of the Painlevé equations. I. Sixth Painlevé equation  $P_{VI}$ . *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.*, 146:337–381, 1987.
- [19] T. Sasamoto. Spatial correlations of the 1D KPZ surface on a flat substrate. *J. Phys. A*, 38:L549–L556, 2005.
- [20] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom. Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 159:151–174, 1994.
- [21] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom. Level-spacing distributions and the Bessel kernel. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 161:289–309, 1994.
- [22] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom. On orthogonal and symplectic matrix ensembles. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 177:727–754, 1996.