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## 1 Introduction: the p-variation index and nancialm odeling

In nancialm athem atics, a sim plest continuous tim em odel assum es that a stock prige, or other nancial asset, is a stochastic process $P=f P(t): 0 \quad t \quad T g$ satisfying the relation
$Z_{t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=1+(I) \int_{0} P d B ; \quad 0 \quad t \quad T ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h i c h$ is the ItO integralequation $w$ ith respect to a standard $B$ row nian $m$ otion $B=f B(t): t \quad 0 g$. Equation (1) is usually w ritten in the form of a stochastic di erential equation, or sim ply by giving its solution $P(t)=\operatorname{expfB}(t) \quad t=2 g, 0 \quad t \quad T$. In the nancialliterature this is known as the $B$ lack-Scholes $M$ erton stock price $m$ odel. Its pertinence is backed-up by the assum ption that increm ents of the log transform of a stock price are independent and norm ally distributed, which is know $n$ as the strong form of $R$ andom $W$ alk $H$ ypothesis. In agreem ent $w$ th a relaxed form or an altemative form of the $R$ andom $W$ alk $H$ ypothesis, the $B$ rownian $m$ otion $B$ in equation (1) can be replaced by a m ore general stochastic process $X$, and the linear Ite integral equation (1) by a di erent integral equation $w$ ith respect to $X$. In this paper, the stochastic process $X$ is called the retum process, and the unique solution of an integral equation w ith respect to X is called the stock price process P .

[^0]Them ainstream econom etric analysis of continuous time nancialm odels is to test di erent hypotheses about an integral equation describing a stock prioe process $P$, or to test various param eters of a distribution of a retum process $X$ (see e.g. Section 9.3 in C am pbell, Lo and M ack in lay, 1997). In this paper we attem pt to test the degree of roughness of a retum process X. The legitim acy of such an endeavor is based on the fact that the support of a distribution of a stochastic processes is a particular class of functions. T hat is, a sultable class of functions contains alm ost all sam ple functions of a stochastic process. M ore speci cally, if $X$ is a regular enough stochastic process de ned on a given probability space ( ; F ; P r), then for a suitable class of functions $F$, a sample function $X(!)=f X(t ;!): 0 \quad t \quad T g$ belongs to $F$ for alm ost all! 2 . O ften $F$ can be taken as a proper subspace of the space of all continuous functions on $[0 ; T]$, or a proper subspace of the (Skorohod) space of all regulated and right continuous functions on $[0 ; T]$. For exam ple, the support of the distribution of a standard B row nian m otion is a subset of a class of functions having the order of H older continuly strictly bigger than 1=2. H ow ever, the H older continuity is not applicable to characterize sam ple functions of a Levy stochastic process w ithout a G aussian com ponent, because alm ost every sam ple function of such a process is discontinuous. A sim ple exam ple of a Levy process is a sym m etric -stable stochastic process, or a $S$ stochastic process, $X \quad w$ ith the exponent $2(0 ; 2]$. The case $=2$ gives the only $G$ aussian com ponent, a standard B rownian motion, that is, $X_{2}=B$. In general, if $X \quad$ is a $S$ Stochastic process with $2(0 ; 2]$, then alm ost all sample functions of $X$ have bounded $p$-variation for each $p>$, and have in nite $p$-variation if $0<p$. This fact was known since the tim e P. Levy rst introduced this process around the beginning of thirties.

To recall the property of boundedness of p-variation, let $f$ be a real-valued function on an interval [0;T]. For a num ber $0<p<1$, let

$$
\left.S_{p}(f ;): \sum_{i=1}^{X^{n}} \text { ff }\left(t_{i}\right) \quad f\left(t_{i} \quad 1\right)\right)^{p} ;
$$

 $p$-variation of $f$ is de ned by

$$
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f}):=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f} ;[0 ; \mathrm{T}]):=\sup \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f} ;): \text { is a partition of }[0 ; \mathrm{T}] g:
$$

$T$ he function $f$ has bounded $p$-variation on $[0 ; T]$ if $v_{p}(f)<1$. By Holder's inequality it follow $s$ that if $v_{p}(f)<1$ and $q>p$, then $v_{q}(f)<1$. The num ber

$$
(\mathrm{f} ;[0 ; \mathrm{T}]):=\operatorname{inffp}>0: \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f} ;[0 ; \mathrm{T}])<1 \mathrm{~g}=\sup \mathrm{fp}>0: \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f} ;[0 ; \mathrm{T}])=1 \mathrm{~g}
$$

is called the $p$-variation index of $f$. For a regular enough stochastic process $X,(X)(!):=$
( $\mathrm{X}(;!)$ ), ! 2 , is a random variable which we call the p-variation index of $X$. In fact, for all stochastic processes discussed in this paper, their p-variation indices are known to be constants and we seek to estim ate these constants.
$N$ ow for a S S stochastic process $X$, we can restate its sam ple regularity by saying that its $p$-variation index $(X)=$ alm ost surely. This is a special case of the follow ing m ore general fact.

E xam ple 1. Let X be a hom ogeneous Levy stochastic process w ith the Levy m easure, which is a - nite B orelm easure on $R \mathrm{n} f 0 \mathrm{~g}$ such that

Z

$$
{ }_{\text {Rnf0g }}^{m \text { inflifixfog }}(\mathrm{dx})<+1:
$$

The B lum enthalG etoor index $x$ of $X$ is de ned by
Z

$$
x:=\operatorname{inff}>0:_{\mathrm{Rnf0g}} \mathrm{~m} \operatorname{inf1} ; \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{dx})<+1 \mathrm{~g}:
$$

$N$ ote that $0<x \quad 2$. If $X$ has no $G$ aussian part, then for any $0<T<1$,

$$
(\mathrm{X} ;[0 ; \mathrm{T}])=\mathrm{x} ; \quad \text { alm ost surely. }
$$

This follow s from $T$ heorem s 4.1 and 4.2 of B lum enthal and G etoor (1961), and from $T$ heorem 2 of M onroe (1972).

A stock price $m$ odelhaving as a retum a Levy process $w$ thout a $G$ aussian part is a com $m$ on altemative to the $B$ lack-Scholes $M$ erton $m$ odel. A nother popular altemative is a fractional B row nian $m$ otion $B_{H}$ w th the $H$ urst exponent $H 2(0 ; 1)$, where $B_{H}$ w ith $H=1=2$ is a standard
 alm ost surely. $T$ his is the special case of the follow ing fact.

E xam ple 2. Let $X=f X(t): t \quad 0 g$ be a Gaussian stochastic process $w$ ith stationary incre$m$ ents and continuous in quadratic $m$ ean. Let $x$ be the increm ental variance of $X$ de ned by $x(u)^{2}:=E\left[(X(t+u) \quad X(t))^{2}\right]$ for $t ; u \quad 0$. Let

$$
=\operatorname{inff}>0: \lim _{u \# 0} \frac{u}{x(u)}=0 g \text { and }=\sup f>0: \lim _{u \# 0} \frac{u}{x(u)}=+1 g:
$$

Then $0 \quad+1$. If $=$, then we say that $X$ has an $O$ rey index $x:=\quad=$ Furtherm ore, if X has an 0 rey index $\mathrm{x} 2(0 ; 1)$, then for any $0<T<+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{X} ;[0 ; \mathrm{T}])=1=\mathrm{x} ; \quad \text { alm ost surely. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from the fact that alm ost all sam ple functions of X obey a uniform Holder condition w th exponent $<$ x (see Section 9.4 of $C$ ram er and Leadbetter, 1967) and from the inequality of Berm an (1969) connecting the p-variation $w$ ith the Fourier transform of localtim es of . Relation (2) also follows from the characterization of the p-variation index for arbitrarily G aussian processes due to Jain and M onrad (1983).

From the point ofview of a statisticaltim e series analysis, estim ation of the $p-v a r i a t i o n ~ i n d e x ~$ in the above tw o exam ples o er a new perspective to analyzing nancialdata sets. For instance, a sym m etric -stable process and a fractional B rownian motion w th the H urst exponent H, both have the sam e $p$-variation index in the case $=1=H 2(1 ; 2)$. H ow ever the latter has exponentially sm alltails, while the form er has not even the second $m$ om ent. These tw o exam ples are extensions of the $B$ lack-Scholes $M$ erton $m$ odel (that is $w h e n=1=H=2$ ) into two di erent directions. The O rey index, and so the p-variation index by relation (2), have already been estim ated in the paper N orvaisa and Salopek (2000). They used two estim ators based on the result of $G$ ladyshev (1961). T he estim ators of the present paper can be applied under much less restrictive hypotheses about stock price retums, and helps to reconcile the two divergent directions of theoretical analyses of nancialm arkets.

## 2 The oscillation -sum $m$ ing index and related estim ators

In this section we describe a $m$ ethod of estim ating the $p$-variation index of a function based on existence of the $m$ etric entropy index (or the box-counting dim ension) of its graph. Let $f$ be a real-valued function de ned on an interval [0;T]. Let $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers. W ith one can associate a sequence $f(m): m \quad 1 g$ of partitions
$(m)=f i T=N_{m}: i=0 ;:: ; N_{m} g$ of $[0 ; T]$ into subintervals $\quad i, m \quad=\left[(i \quad 1) T=N_{m} ; i T=N_{m}\right]$, $i=1 ;::: ; N_{m}$, all having the sam e length $T=N_{m}$. For each $m \quad 1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(f ; \quad(m))=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{XX} m} \operatorname{OSC}(\mathrm{f} ; \quad i ; m) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for a subset A $[0 ; T]$,

$$
O \operatorname{sc}(f ; A):=\sup f \dot{f}(t) \quad f(s) j: s ; t 2 A g=\sup _{t 2 A} f(t) \inf _{s 2 A} f(s):
$$

The sequence $Q(f): f Q(f$; ( $m$ )):m $1 g \mathrm{w}$ illbe called the oscillation -sum $m$ ing sequence. For a bounded non-constant function $f$ on $[0 ; T]$, and any sequence as above, let

$$
(f):=\lim _{m!} \operatorname{in}_{1} f \frac{\log Q(f ;(m))=N_{m}}{\log \left(1=N_{m}\right)} \text { and } \quad+(f):=\lim _{m!} \sup _{1} \frac{\log Q(f ;(m))=N_{m}}{\log \left(1=N_{m}\right)}:
$$

Then we have

$$
0 \quad \text { (f) } \quad+\text { (f) } \quad 1:
$$

The lower bound follows from the bound $Q(f ;(m))=N_{m} \quad O \operatorname{sc}(f ;[0 ; T])<1$, which is valid for each $m \quad 1 . T$ he upperbound holds because $Q(f$; $(m)) \quad C=2>0$ for all su ciently large $m$ 1. Indeed, if $f$ is continuous, then $C=v_{1}(f ;[0 ; T])$. O therw ise $f$ has a jum $p$ at some $t 2[0 ; T]$, so that $C$ can be taken to be a saltus at $t$ if $t \bar{d}(m)$ for all su ciently large $m$, or $C$ can be taken to be a one-sided non-zero saltus at $t$ ift 2 ( $m$ ) for in nitely $m$ any $m$. Instead of relation (4), a sharp one-sided bound is given by Lem m a 13 in A ppendix A.

If $f$ has bounded variation, then for each

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f)=+(f)=1: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since $Q(f ;(m)) \quad v_{1}(f ;[0 ; T])<1$, we have logQ (f; (m)) $=N_{m} \quad \log v_{1}(f ;[0 ; T])=N_{m}$ for all su ciently large $m$. Thus (f) 1 , and equalities in 目) follow from relation (4).

De n ition 3. Let f be a non-constant real-valued function on $[0 ; T]$, and let $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers. If (f) $=^{+}(f)$, then we say that $f$ has the oscillation -sum $m$ ing index (f) and is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f):(f)={ }^{+}(f)=\lim _{m!} \frac{\log Q(f ;(m))=N_{m}}{\log \left(1=N_{m}\right)}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ext we give a su cient condition for exitence of the oscillation -sum $m$ ing index for any . Let $E$ be a nonem pty bounded subset in a plane $R^{2}$, and let $N(E ;),>0$, be the $m$ inim um num ber of closed balls of diam eter required to cover $E$. T he lower and upper m etric entropy indices of the set $E$ are de ned respectively by

$$
(E):=\lim \inf _{\# 0} \frac{\log N(E ;)}{\log (1=)} \text { and } \quad+(E):=\lim \sup _{\# 0} \frac{\log N(E ;)}{\log (1=)}:
$$

If $(E)={ }^{+}(E)$, then the com $m$ on value denoted by $(E)$ is called the $m$ etric entropy index of the set E. In the actual calculations of the $m$ etric entropy index, it is often sim pler to replace closed balls by squares (boxes) of a grid (cf. Lem m a 9 below). Therefore in fractal analysis, $(E)$ is also known as the box-counting dim ension.

The proof of the follow ing theorem is given in A ppendix A.
Theorem 4. Let $f$ be a regulated non-constant function on $[0 ; T]$ with the $p$-variation index (f). If the $m$ etric entropy index of the graph $g r(f)$ of $f$ is de ned and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{gr}(f))=2 \quad 1=\left(1_{-} \quad(f)\right) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for any sequence, f has the oscillation -sum $m$ ing index

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f)=1=\left(1_{-} \quad(f)\right): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Essentially, relation (7) is the low er bound condition on the $m$ etric entropy index because the follow ing alw ays holds.

Proposition 5. For a regulated function $f$ on $[0 ; T], \quad{ }^{+}(g r(f)) \quad 2 \quad 1=\left(1_{-} \quad(f)\right)$.
The proof is sim ilar to the proof of $T$ heorem 4 and is also given in A ppendix A.
The oscillation -sum $m$ ing index is a slightly $m$ odi ed concept of a realbox-counting $m$ ethod introduced by C arter, C aw ley and M auldin (1988). Independently, D ubuc, Q uiniou, R oquesC arm es, Tricot and Zucker (1989) arrived at essentially the sam e notion, but called it the variation $m$ ethod. B oth papers applied the new index to estim ate the fractal dim ension of several continuous functions whose dim ension is know $n$, and found the new algorithm superior over several other fractal dim ension estim ation methods (see also Section 62 in Cutler, 1993, for further discussion on this).

O scillation -sum $m$ ing estim ators. Let $f$ be a real-valued function de ned on $[0 ; 1]$, and let
$=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integens. Let $\mathrm{fu}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{g} \quad[0 ; 1]$ be a set of points such that for som e integer $M$,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{m}=1 & (m)=f u_{1} ;::: ; u_{N} g ; \quad \text { where } \quad(m):=f i=N_{m}: i=0 ;::: ; N_{m} g . . . ~ \tag{9}
\end{array}\right.
$$

G iven a nite set of values ff $\left(u_{1}\right) ;::: ; f\left(u_{N}\right) g$, we want to estim ate the p-variation index (f). To achieve this, for each m 2 f1;:::;M g, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(m):={\underset{i=1}{m} \max _{u_{k} 2}^{\operatorname{ax}} \underset{i ; m}{ } \text { ff }\left(u_{k}\right) g \underset{u_{k} 2}{m} \operatorname{in}_{i ; m} f f\left(u_{k}\right) g^{i} ; ~}_{i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad i, m=\left[(i \quad 1)=N_{m} ; i=N_{m}\right]$. For large enough $M$, the nite set $f Q(m): m=1 ;::: \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{g}$ $m$ ay be considered as an approxim ation to the oscillation -sum $m$ ing sequence $Q$ (f) de ned by (3). Form $=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{M}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(m):=\frac{\log _{2} 1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\log _{2} Q(m)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}}=\frac{\log _{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\log _{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}=Q(m)}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (8) suggests that the set $f r(m): m=1 ;::: ; M g m$ ay be used to estim ate the p-variation index (f).

De n ition 6. Let $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers, let $f u_{1} ;::: ; u_{N} g \quad[0 ; 1]$ be such that 9 ) holds for som e integer $M$, and let $f f\left(u_{1}\right) ;::: ; f\left(u_{N}\right) g$ be a set of know $n$ values of a real-valued function $f$ on $[0 ; 1]$. W ew ill say that $e(f):=r(M)$ is the naive oscillation -summ ing estim ator of $1_{\mathcal{L}}$ (f) based on $m:=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: 1_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M}$ g. Letting $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}:=\log _{2}\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{m})\right)$ and $\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{M} \quad 1_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}$, we will say that
is the OLS oscillation -summ ing estim ator of $1_{\text {_ ( }}(\mathrm{f})$ based on $\mathrm{m}:=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: 1 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}$. The estim ators e and b will be called the OS estim ators, and the estim ation either by e or by b w ill be called the OS estim ation.

Relation (G) alone, if it holds for a function f and a sequence, does not im ply that the two estim ators w ill converge to (f) as N ! 1, and so as M ! 1 by relation (G). If (f) < 1 , then $f$ is a regulated function on $[0 ; 1]$, that is, there exist the lim its $f(t+):=\lim _{u \# t} f(u)$ for each $t 2[0 ; 1)$ and $f(s):=\lim _{u " s} f(u)$ for each $s 2(0 ; 1]$. A ssum ing that $f$ is regulated and either right-or left-continuous, then $O \operatorname{sc}(f ; A)$ is the sam e as $O \operatorname{sc}(f ; A \backslash U)$ for a countable and dense subset $U \quad[0 ; 1]$ and any subset A $[0 ; 1]$. For such a function $f$, one can show that the naive estim ator e (f) will approach (f) as the set furi;:: ; $u_{N} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{w}$ ill increase to [m (m). For sam ple functions of a stationary $G$ aussian stochastic process X , H all and W ood (1993) showed that the tw o estim ators corresponding to the reciprocal of relation 11 ) converge to $1=\left(1_{-}\right.$(X )), and they also calculated asym ptotic bias and variance.

O scillation -sum $m$ ing index of stochastic processes. H ere we show that the conditions of $T$ heorem 4 hold for alm ost all sam ple functions of several im portant classes of stochastic processes. To this aim we use known results on $H$ ausdor Besioovitch dim ension of graphs of sample functions. Let $E \quad R^{2}$ be a bounded set, and let diam ( $A$ ) denote the diam eter of a set A $\quad R^{2}$. An -covering of $E$ is a countable collection $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{k}}: \mathrm{k} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ of sets such that $\mathrm{E} \quad\left[{ }_{k} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}\right.$ and $\sup _{k}$ diam $\left(E_{k}\right) \quad$. For $s>0$, the $H$ ausdor $s-m$ easure of $E$ is de ned by

$$
H^{s}(E):=\lim _{\# 0} \inf _{k \quad 1}^{n}\left(\operatorname{diam}\left(E_{k}\right)\right)^{s}: \mathrm{fE}_{k}: k \quad 1 g \text { is an -covering of } E^{\circ} \text { : }
$$

G iven $E \quad R^{2}$, the function $S 7 H^{s}(E)$ is nonincreasing. In fact, there is a critical value $s_{C}$ such that $H^{s}(E)=1$ for $s<S_{C}$ and $H^{s}(E)=0$ for $s>S_{C}$. This critical value $S_{C}$ is called the H ausdor Besicovitch dim ension and is denoted by dim н в $^{(E)}$ ). That is,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{HB}}(E):=\operatorname{inffs}>0: \mathrm{H}^{s}(E)=0 \mathrm{~g}=\operatorname{supfs}>0: \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{E})=1 \mathrm{~g}:
$$

A relation betw een the low er $m$ etric entropy index
( $E$ ) of $E$ and the $H$ ausdor Besigovitch dim ension of $E$ is given by the follow ing result.

Lem m a 7. For a bounded subset $E \quad R^{2}$, $\operatorname{dim}_{\text {н } ~}(E) \quad(E)$.
Proof. Let E $\quad R^{2}$ be bounded, and let $s>\quad(E) . S$ ince

$$
(E)=\sup f>0: \lim _{\# 0} N(E ;)=+1 g ;
$$

we have that $\lim \# 0 N(E ;)^{s}=0$. Thus, for each $>0$, there exists an -covering of $E$ by N ( $\mathrm{E} ;$ ) balls of equal diam eter . Hence

$$
\inf _{k \quad \mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad\left(\operatorname{diam}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{s}}: \mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{k}}: \mathrm{k} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g} \text { is an -oovering of } \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{E} ;)^{\mathrm{s}} ;
$$

 proving the lemma. Q ED.

Let $g r(X)$ be the graph of a regulated sam ple function of a stochastic process X . By Proposition S $^{5}$ and by the preceding lem m a, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathrm{X})) \quad(\operatorname{gr}(\mathrm{X})) \quad{ }^{+}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathrm{X})) \quad 2 \quad 1=\left(1_{-} \quad(\mathrm{X})\right): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the left side is equal to the right side alm ost surely for several classes of stochastic processes. For exam ple, let $X$ be a sym metric -stable process for some $0<2$. Then by Theorem B of B lum enthal and Getoor (1962), for alm ost all sam ple functions of $X$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H B}(\operatorname{gr}(X \quad))=2 \quad \frac{1}{1_{-}}=2 \quad \frac{1}{1_{-}(X)}:
$$

For another exam ple, let $X$ be a stochastic process of Example 自 having the O rey index $x 2$ $(0 ; 1)$. Then by $T$ heorem 1 of $O$ rey (1970), for alm ost all sam ple functions of $X$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\text {н }}(\operatorname{gr}(X))=2 \quad x=2 \quad \frac{1}{(X)}:
$$

For these stochastic processes, relation (12) yields that assum ption (7) of $T$ heorem 4 is satis ed for alm ost every sam ple function, and so we have the follow ing result.

C orollary 8. Let $X=\mathrm{fX}(\mathrm{t}): 0 \quad \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{g}$ be a stochastic process, and let $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad$ lg be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers. T he relation

$$
\text { (X ) } \quad \lim _{m!} \frac{\log Q(X ;(m))=N_{m}}{\log \left(1=N_{m}\right)}=\frac{1}{1_{-}(X)}
$$

holds for alm ost all sam ple functions of X provided either (a) or (b) holds, where
(a) X is a symmetric -stable process for some $2(0 ; 2]$;
(b) X is a mean zero $G$ aussian stochastic process $w$ ith stationary increm ents continuous in quadratic $m$ ean and such that the $O$ rey index $x$ exists.

Sim ilar results for more general processes other than (a) and (b) of Corollary 8 can be respectively found in P ruilt and Taylor (1969, Section 8) and in K Ono (1986).

## 3 Sim ulated sym $m$ etric -stable process

In this section we carry out a sim ulation study of sm all-sam ple properties of the O S estim ators from D e nition 6 . To this aim, we sim ulate a sym m etric -stable process for several vahes of the exponent, which is equal to its p-variation index. U sing repeated sam ples we calculate the bias, the standard deviation and the $m$ ean square error for the tw ostim ators.

Table 1: P roperties of 100 sam ples of estim ates $e(X)$ based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \quad m \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$

|  | 1.0 | 1.1 | 12 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1.278 | 1.346 | 1.406 | 1.473 | 1.556 | 1.632 | 1.715 | 1.798 | 1.883 | 1.967 | 2.051 |
| - | 2783 | 2464 | 2064 | .1727 | .1563 | .1322 | .1149 | .0984 | .0832 | .0667 | .0510 |
| SD | .0867 | .0864 | .0542 | .0285 | .0264 | .0147 | .0089 | .0063 | .0059 | .0040 | .0025 |
| M SE | .0849 | .0681 | .0455 | .0306 | .0251 | .0177 | .0133 | .0097 | .0070 | .0045 | .0026 |

Table 2: P roperties of 100 samples of estim ates $b$ ( $\mathrm{X} \quad$ ) based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$

|  | 1.0 | 1.1 | 12 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1.158 | 1.197 | 1.269 | 1.337 | 1.383 | 1.464 | 1.529 | 1.598 | 1.654 | 1.711 | 1.767 |
| - | .1582 | .0974 | .0693 | .0375 | -.0172 | -.0362 | -.0712 | -.1022 | -.1459 | -.1887 | -2329 |
| SD | .0726 | .0785 | .0902 | .0804 | .0964 | .0794 | .0791 | .0786 | .0691 | .0555 | .0435 |
| M SE | .0302 | .0156 | .0129 | .0078 | .0095 | .0076 | .0113 | .0166 | .0260 | .0387 | .0560 |

Sim ulating S S process. Let X $=\mathrm{fX}(\mathrm{t}): \mathrm{t} \quad 0 \mathrm{~g}$ be a symmetric -stable stochastic process w th the exponent $2(0 ; 2]$. A s stated in the introduction, the $p$-variation index of $X$ is given by $(X \quad)=$ alm ost surely. Since the $O S$ estim ators do not capture the values of the pvariation index below 1, we restrict our study to estim ating the exponent 2 [1;2]. To sim ulate a sample function of $a S$ process $X$, we generate $a \operatorname{set} f_{i}: i=1 ;::: ; n g$ of symmetric stable pseudo-random variables and use the central lim it theorem to get an approxim ation Xe of X , where

$$
\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{t})={\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}^{1=}}}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{X} \mathrm{n}]} \mathrm{i} ; \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{t} \quad 1 ;
$$

and [r] denotes the integer part ofr. By the central lim it theorem, the distribution of $X^{e}$ on the Skorohod space D $[0 ; 1]$ converges weakly to the distribution of X as $n!1 . W$ e take $n=2^{14}$. To generate a symmetric -stable random variable, we use the results of Chambers et al. (1976) (see also Section 4.6 in Zolotarev 1986). T hat is, in the sense of equality in distribution, we have

$$
=\frac{\sin U}{(\cos U)^{1=}} \quad \frac{\cos (U \quad U)}{E} \quad(1 \quad)=\quad \text { if } 1, \quad \text { and } \quad=\tan U \quad \text { if }=1
$$

where the random variable $U$ has the uniform distribution on $[\quad=2 ;=2]$, and the random variable $E$, which is independent ofU, has the standard exponentialdistribution. A llcalculations are done using the com puting system $M$ athem atica.

O S estim ators. Let $=f 2^{m}: m \quad 1 g$. W e sim ulate a sample function $X^{e}$ at $2^{14}+1$ equally spaced points $f u_{1} ;::: ; u_{N} g=f i 2{ }^{14}: i=0 ;:: ; 2^{14} g$. For each 1 m 14 , let
where $\quad$ i,m $:=\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & 1) 2^{m} ; 2^{m}\end{array}\right]\right.$. Then de ne $r(m), m=1 ;::: ; 14$, by relation 11) w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}=2^{\mathrm{m}}$. W e use the OS estim ators based on $14=f 2^{m}: 1 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$. Thus by De nition 6,
the naive oscillation -sum $m$ ing estim atore $(X)=r(14)$, and the $O L S$ oscillation -sum $m$ ing estim ator

$$
\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{X})=\frac{\mathrm{P}^{14}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}\right.}{\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{m}} \underset{\mathrm{~m}=1}{14}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{x}\right)^{2},
$$

where $x_{m}=\log _{2}\left(2^{m}=Q(m)\right)$ and $x=141^{P} \underset{m=1}{14} x_{m}$.
M onte-C arlo study. For 11 di erent values of $2 \mathrm{f} 1: 0 ; 1: 1 ;::: ; 2: 0 \mathrm{~g}$, we sim ulate a vector f(e $\left(k=2^{M}\right): k=0 ;::: ; 2^{M} g$ ofvalues of a sample function of $X \quad w$ th $M=14$. By the preceding paragraph, we have two estim ators b of : the naive oscillation -sum ming estim ator e (X), and the OLS oscillation -sum ming estim ator b (X ), both based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \mathrm{~m} \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$. W e repeat this procedure $K=100$ tim es to obtain the estim ates $b_{1} ;::: b_{K}$ of for each of the two cases. Then we calculate:

The estim ated expected value ${ }^{-}:\left(\underset{i=1}{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{K}$;
The bias ${ }^{-}$;
$T$ he estim ated standard deviation $S D=q_{i=1}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad-\right)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{K} & 1\end{array}\right)$;

The estim ation results are presented in Tables 1 and 自. N ext is a qualitative description of the perform ance of the two OS estim ators.
$B$ ias $T$ he estim ation results show a di erent behavior of the bias for the two estim ators. T he naive estim ator e ( X ) display monotonically decreasing positive bias when values increase from 1 to 2 . W hile the bias of the OLS estim ator $b(X)$ m onotonically decrease from a positive bias for $<1: 4$ to a negative bias for $1: 4$, and the $m$ in m al absolute bias is achieved when $=1: 4$.

SD $T$ he estim ated standard deviation is also di erent for the tw o estim ators. SD values for the naive estim ator e ( X ) m onotonically decrease when values increase from 1 to 2 , and are quite sm allwhen is close to $2 . \mathrm{W}$ hile SD values for the O LS estim ator b ( X ) rem ain sim ilar and som ew hat larger than for the naive estim ator; only a little im provem ent one can notice when is close to 2 .

M SE The estim ated $m$ ean square error rem ain di erent for the two estim ators. M SE values for the naive estim ator e ( $X$ ) decrease steady when values increase from 1 to 2, while MSE values for the OLS estim ator e ( $X$ ) is sm allest when $=1: 5$, and are increasing for all other values of .

In conclusion the results show a distinction betw een the two O S estim ators: the O LS estim ator e ( X ) display better perform ance for values $1: 4 \quad 1: 6$, while the naive estim ator e ( X ) behaves best for values close to 2 . This M onte-C arlo study w as extended to sam ple functions based on a larger num ber of points, i.e. fX $\left(k=2^{M}\right): k=0 ;::: ; 2^{M} g$ with $M \quad 2$ f15;16;17g. $T$ he results from the increased sam ple size show the sam e qualitative behavior as before, but w ith increased accuracy (see N orvaisa and Salopek, 2000b).

To the best of our know ledge, the two OS estim ators provide the rst attem pt to estim ate the exponent of a S S process from a sam ple function. Recently C rovella and Taqqu (1999) introduced a new $m$ ethod to estim ate the exponent of a $S S$ random variable.

Table 3: P roperties of 100 samples of estim ates e $\left(B_{H}\right)$ based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \quad m \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$

| $\mathrm{h}=1=\mathrm{H}$ | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~h}}$ | 1.132 | 1.304 | 1.474 | 1.640 | 1.802 | 1.961 | 2.117 | 2.270 | 2.420 | 2.566 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~h}$ | -.0683 | -.0956 | -.1262 | -.1603 | -.1979 | -2390 | -2826 | -.3296 | -.3804 | -.4339 |
| SD | .0039 | .0018 | .0013 | .0020 | .0021 | .0027 | .0026 | .0035 | .0042 | .0042 |
| M SE | .0047 | .0092 | .0159 | .0257 | .0392 | .0571 | .0799 | .1086 | .1447 | .1883 |

Table 4: P roperties of 100 sam ples of estim ates b ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{H}}$ ) based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \mathrm{~m} \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$

| $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{1}=\mathrm{H}$ | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~h}}$ | 1.186 | 1.340 | 1.481 | 1.614 | 1.712 | 1.821 | 1.891 | 1.971 | 2.043 | 2.095 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~h}$ | -.0143 | -.0598 | -.1185 | -.1864 | -2879 | -.3795 | -.5089 | -.6294 | -.7572 | -.9053 |
| SD | .0490 | .0466 | .0499 | .0469 | .0465 | .0474 | .0399 | .0447 | .0494 | .0471 |
| M SE | .0026 | .0057 | .0165 | .0369 | .0850 | .1462 | 2606 | .3982 | .5757 | .8217 |

## 4 Sim ulated fractional Brownian m otion

H ere we perform a $M$ onte $C$ arlo study like in the preceding section, w ith a fractional B row nian $m$ otion. A fractional B rownian m otion $f B_{H}(t): t \quad 0 g w$ th the $H$ urst exponent $H 2(0 ; 1)$ is a G aussian stochastic process w ith stationary increm ents having the covariance function

$$
\left.E B_{H}(t) B_{H}(s)\right]=\frac{1}{2}^{h} t^{2 H}+s^{2 H} \quad \text { J } s \rho^{2 H} \text {; for } t ; s \quad 0
$$

and $B_{H}(0)=0$ alm ost surely. Since its increm ental variance $B_{H}(u)=u^{H}$, the O rey index $B_{H}$ exists and is equal to the $H$ urst exponent $H$ (cf. Example 亿). Thus by relation (2), $B_{H}$ has the $p$-variation index $\left(B_{H}\right)=1=H$ alm ost surely. To simulate a fractional Brownian motion we use the program of $M$ aeder (1995) w ritten in $M$ athem atica.
$O S$ and $G$ estim ation. In this section we apply four estim ators of the p-variation index. A s before, the tw O OS estim ators from De nition 目 will be used to estim ate $h:=1=H$. M oreover, we invoke the two estim ators of the O rey index introduced in $N$ orvaisa and Salopek (2000), and which will be called G estim ation, which is short for the $G$ ladyshev estim ation. M ore speci cally, let $=f 2^{m}: m \quad 1 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{W}$ e sim ulate a sample function $B_{H}$ at $2^{14}+1$ equally spaced points $f_{1} ;::: \operatorname{lu}_{N} g=f i 2{ }^{14}: i=0 ;::: ;^{14} g$. For each $1 \quad m \quad 14$, let

$$
Q(m):=\mathbb{X}_{i=1}^{m} \max _{u_{k} 2}^{\operatorname{ax}} \underset{i ; m}{ } \mathfrak{B}_{H}\left(u_{k}\right) g \underset{u_{k} 2}{m} \underset{i ; m}{\operatorname{in}} \underset{H}{ }\left(u_{k}\right) g^{i} ;
$$

where $i ; m:\left[\begin{array}{ll}(i & 1\end{array} 2^{m} ; 2^{m}\right]$. Then de ne $r(m), m=1 ;::: ; 14$, by 110 with $N_{m}=2^{m}$. Therefore one can use estim ators based on $14=f 2^{m}: 1 \mathrm{~m} \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$. Thus by De nition 6, the naive oscillation -sum $m$ ing estim atore $\left(B_{H}\right)=r(14)$, and the OLS oscillation -sum $m$ ing estim ator

Table 5: P roperties of 100 sam ples of estim ates $\mathbb{A}=\left(B_{H}\right)$ based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \quad m \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$

| $\mathrm{h}=1=\mathrm{H}$ | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 22 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~h}}$ | 1.164 | 1.348 | 1.530 | 1.709 | 1.887 | 2.062 | 2236 | 2.407 | 2.576 | 2.742 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~h}$ | -.0364 | -.0524 | -.0704 | -.0907 | -.1133 | -.1383 | -.1645 | -.1928 | -2245 | -2580 |
| SD | .0039 | .0020 | .0013 | .0023 | .0022 | .0031 | .0030 | .0039 | .0044 | .0048 |
| M SE | .0013 | .0027 | .0050 | .0082 | .0128 | .0191 | .0271 | .0372 | .0504 | .0666 |

Table 6: P roperties of 100 sam ples of estim ates $\mathbb{P} \quad\left(B_{H}\right)$ based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \quad m \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$

| $\mathrm{h}=1=\mathrm{H}$ | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~h}}$ | 1.236 | 1.429 | 1.628 | 1.818 | 1.987 | 2.184 | 2.325 | 2.483 | 2.645 | 2.790 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~h}$ | .0363 | .0292 | .0281 | .0185 | -.0131 | -.0161 | -.0751 | -.1171 | -.1548 | -2100 |
| SD | .0705 | .0870 | .1209 | .1241 | .1418 | .1689 | .1425 | 2459 | 2650 | 2343 |
| M SE | .0062 | .0084 | .0153 | .0156 | .0201 | .0285 | .0258 | .0736 | .0935 | .0985 |

where $x_{m}=\log _{2}\left(2^{m}=Q(m)\right)$ and $x=141^{P}{ }_{m=1}^{14} x_{m}$.
To recall the $G$ estim ation, again let $=f 2^{m}: m \quad 1 g$, and let $B_{H}$ be a sample function given by its values at $2^{14}+1$ equally spaced points fi2 ${ }^{14}: i=0 ;::: ; 2^{14} \mathrm{~g}$. For each 1 m 14, let

T he naive $G$ ladyshev estim ator of the $p$-variation index is de ned by $\mathbb{Y}=\left(B_{H}\right)=r(14)$, and the O LS G ladyshev estim ator is de ned by

$$
\left.\mathrm{P}=\quad\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{14}^{14}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right.}{\mathrm{m}=1} \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{m} \\
\mathrm{~m}=1 \\
\mathrm{~m}=1
\end{array} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{x}\right)^{2} \text {; }
$$

where $x_{m}=\log _{2} p \overline{2^{m}=S_{2}(m)}$ and $x=141^{P}{ }_{m=1}^{14} x_{m}$.
M onte-C arlo study. For 10 di erent values of H 2 f0:83 $1: 2^{1} ; 0: 71 \quad 1: 4{ }^{1} ;::: ; 0: 33$ 3:0 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~g}$, we simulate the vector $\mathrm{fB}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{m}}\right.$ ) : $\mathrm{i}=0 ;::: ; 2^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{m}=14$, and calculate the four estim ators. This gives us four di erent estim ates $\mathfrak{h}$ of $h=1=H . W$ e repeat this procedure $K=100$ tim es to obtain the estim ates $\mathrm{R}_{1} ;:: \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{K}}$ of h for each of the four cases. Then we calculate:

The estim ated expected value $\bar{h}:=\left(\underset{i=1}{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{K}$;
The bias $\bar{h} h ;$
$T$ he estim ated standard deviation $S D=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{K} \\ \mathrm{i}=1 \\ \left(R_{i}\right.\end{array} \overline{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{K} & 1\end{array}\right)$;
$T$ he estim ated $m$ ean square error $M$ SE $:={ }^{P} \underset{i=1}{K}\left(\Re_{i} \quad h\right)^{2}=K$.

Table 7: Properties of 100 sam ples of estim ates $\mathcal{Y}=\left(B_{H}\right)$ based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \quad m \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$

| H | 0.1 | 02 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ | .1578 | 2572 | .3566 | .4559 | .5549 | .6539 | .7526 | .8511 | .9496 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}$ | .0578 | .0572 | .0566 | .0559 | .0549 | .0539 | .0526 | .0511 | .0496 |
| SD | .0006 | .0007 | .0006 | .0007 | .0006 | .0007 | .0010 | .0020 | .0101 |
| M SE | .0033 | .0033 | .0032 | .0231 | .0030 | .0029 | .0027 | .0026 | .0026 |

Table 8: P roperties of 100 sam ples of estim ates $\mathbb{P}=\left(B_{H}\right)$ based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \quad m \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$

| H | 0.1 | 02 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ | .3471 | .3932 | .4481 | .5109 | .5802 | .6508 | .7320 | .8146 | .8962 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}$ | 2471 | .1932 | .1481 | .1109 | .0802 | .0508 | .0320 | .0146 | -.0038 |
| SD |  | .0069 | .0081 | .0113 | .0141 | .0175 | .0235 | .0276 | .0364 |
| M SE | .0611 | .0374 | .0221 | .0125 | .0067 | .0031 | .0018 | .0015 | .0020 |

The estim ation results are presented in Tables results of $2[1 ; 2]$ (Tables 1$]$ and 自) for a S $S$ process, and the OS estim ation results of $\mathrm{h}=1=\mathrm{H} 2(1 ; 2]$ (colum $\mathrm{nsh}=1: 2 ; 1: 4 ; 1: 6 ; 1: 8 ; 2: 0$ of Tables 自 and 4) for a fractional B row nian $m$ otion $w$ th the $H$ urst exponent $H$. The accuracy of the naive $O S$ estim ator for the tw o processes is sim ilar. There is only som e di erences in the character ofm onotonicity along di erent values of param eters. The sam e holds for the OLS OS estim ator for the two processes.

N ow if we look at colum ns h 2 f2:0;2:2:::;3:0g of Tables 3 and 4 , it is clear that the OS estim ates of $h$ are very poor in this case. This is so since estim ation errors appear in the denom inator of the relation (11). For exam ple, if $H$ and lp both are sm all, then the left side of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{H}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{I} P}=(\mathrm{IP} \quad \mathrm{H}) \frac{1}{\mathrm{HIP}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be relatively large as com pared to IP H. T he sam e rem ark applies to the G estim ates in Tables 5 and 6. To show that this is so we applied the OS estim ator to evaluate the H urst exponent directly using the relations (2) and (8). This $m$ eans that in our earlier estim ation form ulaswe need just to interchange the num erator and the denom inator in 11). The estim ation
 $w$ th $h$ replaced by $H$. In Tables 3 - 8 , the estim ation results for $H=1=2$, and so for $h=2$, are all based on the sam e set of 100 sim ulated sample functions, which can be used to verify the e ect of the above relation 13. A lso, the results of the O $S$ estim ation of $H$ in Tables 7 and 8 can be com pared w th the results of the $G$ estim ation of $H$ in Tables 1 and 3 of Norvaisa and Salopek (2000). T he naive estim ators corresponding to the OS and G estim ations show very sim ilar properties. As far as the O LS estim ators concem, for sm all vaules of $H$, the bias and M SE of the OS estim ation are larger than the bias and M SE of G estim ation. H ow ever, for the sam e values of $H$, the standard deviation of the OS estim ation is sm aller than the standard deviation of the $G$ estim ation. In sum the two estim ation $m$ ethods $O S$ and $G$ show sim ilar results when applied to estim ate the $H$ urst exponent of a fractional B row nian m otion.

## 5 Financialdata analysis

In this section, we analyze the nancial data set provided by O lsen \& A ssociates. It is the high-frequency data set HFDF96, which consists of 25 di erent foreign exchange spot rates, 4 spot $m$ etal rates, and 2 series of stock indices. This data set was recorded from 1 Jan 1996 GM T to 31 Dec 1996 GM T.Each set has 17568 entries recorded at half hour intervals. The sam e nancial data set was studied in N orvaisa and Salopek (2000) using the two estim ators of the O rey index based on the result of G ladyshev (1961).
$R$ eturns. First notice that retums in continuous tim e and discrete tim e nancialm odels are treated slightly di erently. A retum in a discrete tim em odel is a function $R$ de ned on a lattice $t 2 f 0 ; 1 ;::: ; T g$ w ith values being a suitable transform of a pair $f P(t) 1) ; P(t) g$, where $P$ is a stock price process. A retum in a continuous tim em odel is a function $R$ de ned on [ $0 ; T$ ] so that $R(t) \quad R(t \quad 1)=R^{p}(t)$ and $R(0)=0$ for allt $2 f 1 ;::: ; T g$. This gives a $1 \quad 1$ correspondence between continuous time retums used in this paper and the usual discrete tim e retums (see Section 2.1 in N orvaisa, 2000a, for further details) .
$G$ iven a historical data set $f d_{0} ;::: ; d_{k} g$ of values of a nancial asset, let $P$ be a function de ned on $[0 ; 1] w$ ith values $d_{k}$ at $u_{k}=k=K$ for $k=0 ;::: ; K$. U sually in econom etric literature, retums are log transform s of the price. Thus in continuous tim e m odels, this corresponds to assum ing that $P(t)=P(0) \operatorname{expfX}(t) g, 0 \quad t \quad 1$, for some retum process $X$ which is to be analyzed. A ltematively, the price process $P$ can be a solution of an integral equation, which is not a simple exponential. If a stochastic process $X$ has the quadratic variation along the sequence of partitions $=f(m): m \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ de ned by ( $)$, and the price process $P$ is a solution of a linear integral equation $w$ ith respect to $X$ (such as the $B$ lack-Scholes $M$ erton $m$ odel 11), w ith $B$ replaced by $X$ ), then $P$ also has the quadratic variation along the sequence and the process $X$ can be recovered by the relation

$$
\left.X(t)=R_{\text {net }}(P)(t):=\lim _{m} \prod_{i=1}^{x} \mathbb{P}\left(t^{\wedge} \quad i=N_{m}\right) \quad P\left(t^{\wedge}(i \quad 1)=N_{m}\right)\right]=P\left(t^{\wedge}(i \quad 1)=N_{m}\right) ;
$$

for $0 \quad t$ 1. Here the quadratic variation is understood in the sense of $F$ olm er (1981), which is further developed in $N$ orvaisa (2000b). The above process X w ill be called the net retum of $P$, which is analogous to discrete tim e sim ple net retums. The log retum $X$ of the price process $P$ is de ned by $\left.X(t)=R_{\log }(\mathbb{P})(t):=\log \mathbb{P}(t)=P(0)\right], 0 \quad t \quad 1$. The di erence between the two retums is
for $0 \quad t \quad 1$, where $\mathbb{P}]^{c}$ is a continuous part of the quadratic variation of $P$. Since $P$ has the quadratic variation along the sequence, the di erence $R_{\text {net }}(\mathbb{P}) \quad R_{\log }(P)$ given by (14) has bounded variation. Thus the $p$-variation indioes of the two retums are equal provided both are not less than 1. A discussion of the di erence $R_{\text {net }}(P) \quad R_{\log }(P)$ when $P$ is the geom etric $B$ row nian $m$ otion or $P$ is a $m$ odel for $U S D / J P Y$ exchange rates can be found on pages 362 and 366 of N orvaisa and Salopek (2000).


Figure 1: N aive estim ators: $\mathrm{e}=1.27$ and $\mathrm{q}=\quad=1: 357$ based on $\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m}=1 ;::: ; 14 \mathrm{~g}$.


Figure 2:N aive estim ators: $e=1: 108$ and $\mathrm{F}=\quad=1: 025$ based on $\mathrm{fm}: \mathrm{m}=1 ;::: ; 240 \mathrm{~g}$.


Figure 3: O LS estim ators: b = 1:814 and $\mathrm{P}=\quad=2: 266$ based on $f 2^{m}: m=1 ;::: ; 14 \mathrm{~g}$.


Figure 4:OLS estim ators: $\mathrm{b}=1: 939$ and $\mathrm{P}=2: 049$ based on $\mathrm{fm}: \mathrm{m}=1 ;::: ; 240 \mathrm{~g}$.

OS and G estim ation. To estim ate the p-variation index of a retum, the oscillation sum $m$ ing estim ators from $D e$ nition 6 w ill be used when the sequence $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ is given by $N_{m}=2^{m}$ and $N_{m}=m$ for integers $m \quad 1 . A$ com parison $w$ illbe $m$ ade $w$ th the results of N orvaisa and Salopek (2000) by using their estim ators based on the result of G ladyshev (1961). A s before, the oscillation -sum ming estim ation is called the O S estim ation, and the estim ation as in N orvaisa and Salopek (2000) is called the G estim ation.
$G$ İen a price process $P$ at points $u_{k}=k=K, k=0 ;:: ; \%$, nd the $m$ axim alinteger $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[{ }_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{M}} \quad(m) \quad f u_{0} ;::: ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{K}} g ; \quad \text { where } \quad(m)=f i=N_{m}: i=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}} g\right. \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that in the case $N_{m}=m$, the sequence $f(m): m \quad 1 g$ is not nested. Since for HFDF 96 data set $\mathrm{K}=17568, \mathrm{M}=14 \mathrm{w}$ hen $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}=2^{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{M}=240 \mathrm{when} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{m}$.

Let $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers, and let M be such that relation (15) holds. In the case $X=R_{\text {net }}(P)$ or $X=R_{\log }(P)$, for each $1 \quad m \quad M$, let
where $\left.\quad i, m:\left[\begin{array}{ll}(i & 1\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}} ; i=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}\right]$. A lso for each $1 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{M}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(m):=\log _{2}\left(\mathbb{N}_{m}=Q(m)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad r(m):=\frac{\log \left(1=N_{m}\right)}{\log Q(m)=N_{m}}=\frac{\log _{2} N_{m}}{q(m)}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By De nition 6, the naive oscillation -summing estim ator $e=e(X)=r(M)$. The OLS oscillation -sum $m$ ing estim ator $b$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{X})=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{P} 1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{x}\right) \log _{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\substack{\mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m}=1}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{x}\right)^{2}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{m}=q(m)=\log _{2}\left(N_{m}=Q(m)\right)$ and $x=M \quad{ }_{1}{ }^{P} \underset{m=1}{M} x_{m}$.
Tuming to the $G$ estim ation, again let $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers, and let $M$ be such that relation (15) holds. In the case $X=R_{\text {net }}(\mathbb{P})$ or $X=R_{\log }(P)$, for each $1 \mathrm{~m} \quad M$, let

$$
S_{2}(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{m} h} X\left(i=N_{m}\right) \quad X\left((i \quad 1)=N_{m}\right)^{i_{2}}:
$$

In relations (16) and (17), for each $1 \quad m \quad M$, replacing $N_{m}=Q(m)$ by $p \overline{N_{m}=S_{2}(m)}$, let

$$
q(m):=\log _{2} \frac{q}{N_{m}=s_{2}(m)} \text { and } r(m):=\frac{\log \left(1=N_{m}\right)}{\log \frac{s_{2}(m)=N_{m}}{q(m)}}=\frac{\log _{2} N_{m}}{q(m}:
$$

Then the naive $G$ ladyshev estim ator of the $p$-variation index is $\mathcal{Y}=:=\mathbb{Y}=(X)=r(\mathbb{M})$. The O LS G ladyshev estim ator $\mathbb{P}$ is de ned by

$$
\mathbb{P}=\mathbb{P}=(X)=\frac{P_{m}^{M}\left(x_{m} \quad x\right) \log _{2} N_{m}}{\frac{M}{m=1}\left(x_{m} \quad x\right)^{2}} ;
$$

where $x_{m}=q(m)=\log _{2} P \overline{N_{m}=S_{2}(m)}$ and $x=M \quad{ }_{1}^{P} \underset{m=1}{M} x_{m}$.

Table 9: OS and G estim ation of retums of exchanges rates based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$.

| C urrency | net-retums |  |  |  | log-returs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | e | b | $\mathrm{P}=$ | P | e | b | $\mathrm{P}=$ | $\underline{1}$ |
| AUD /U SD | 12452 | 1.7617 | 1.3563 | 22436 | 12452 | 1.7664 | 1.3563 | 22663 |
| CAD /USD | 1.1493 | 1.8362 | 12362 | 2.7382 | 1.1493 | 1.8357 | 12362 | 2.7422 |
| D EM / E SP | 1.1504 | 1.7197 | 1.4043 | 2.5684 | 1.1504 | 1.7274 | 1.4042 | 3.4243 |
| DEM /FIM | 12124 | 1.8537 | 1.3566 | 2.4970 | 12124 | 1.8571 | 1.3566 | 2.5047 |
| DEM /ITL | 12420 | 1.7619 | 1.3673 | 2.0673 | 12420 | 1.7552 | 1.3673 | 2.0496 |
| DEM / JPY | 12671 | 1.8045 | 1.3661 | 2.4714 | 12671 | 1.8034 | 1.3662 | 2.4770 |
| DEM /SEK | 12483 | 1.7601 | 1.3767 | 22612 | 12483 | 1.7558 | 1.3767 | 22359 |
| G BP/DEM | 12325 | 1.6459 | 1.3380 | 1.9566 | 12325 | 1.6493 | 1.3380 | 1.9678 |
| G BP/U SD | 12398 | 1.7708 | 1.3334 | 2.1373 | 12398 | 1.7725 | 1.3334 | 2.1466 |
| USD /BEF | 12615 | 1.7083 | 1.4745 | 2.4220 | 12615 | 1.7176 | 1.4745 | 2.5022 |
| U SD / C H F | 12796 | 1.7000 | 1.3902 | 2.0817 | 12796 | 1.7044 | 1.3902 | 2.1001 |
| U SD /D EM | 12432 | 1.7646 | 1.3416 | 22111 | 12432 | 1.7673 | 1.3416 | 22294 |
| U SD /D K K | 12896 | 1.5574 | 1.7142 | 22990 | 12894 | 1.6096 | 1.7102 | 2.6473 |
| USD /ESP | 1.3574 | 1.9885 | 1.5331 | 2.5367 | 1.3574 | 2.0155 | 1.5331 | 2.7526 |
| U SD / IM | 1.3208 | 1.8583 | 1.4520 | 22860 | 1.3208 | 1.8682 | 1.4520 | 2.3226 |
| USD /FRF | 12467 | 1.7718 | 1.3643 | 22542 | 12467 | 1.7766 | 1.3643 | 22811 |
| U SD /IT L | 12977 | 2.1020 | 1.4115 | 3.0934 | 12977 | 2.0863 | 1.4115 | 2.9658 |
| U SD /N LG | 12591 | 1.7570 | 1.3815 | 22647 | 12591 | 1.7622 | 1.3816 | 22937 |
| U SD / SE K | 1.3263 | 1.9542 | 1.4453 | 2.5304 | 1.3263 | 1.9606 | 1.4453 | 2.5575 |
| USD /XEU | 12450 | 1.8503 | 1.3594 | 2.5097 | 12450 | 1.8539 | 1.3594 | 2.5423 |
| U SD /JPY | 12703 | 1.8104 | 1.3573 | 22434 | 12703 | 1.8143 | 1.3573 | 22662 |
| U SD /M Y R | 1.1121 | 1.6715 | 1.3957 | 2.9137 | 1.1121 | 1.6905 | 1.3956 | 3.0156 |
| U SD /SG D | 1.1377 | 1.8821 | 12584 | 32629 | 1.1377 | 1.8794 | 12584 | 32128 |
| USD /ZAR | 12377 | 1.5460 | 1.4400 | 1.9238 | 12377 | 1.5516 | 1.4401 | 1.9449 |

Estim ation results. Estim ation results for the data set HFDF96 are given by Tables 9 , 10 and 11. M ore speci cally, the tables contain the estim ated p-variation indices for the two retums of the bid price associated w th the nearest prior datum. W e picked the log-retums of USD /JPY exchange rates to illustrate by Figures 1 - 4 a di erence betw een the $O S$ and $G$ estim ation results in $m$ ore detail. Figures $Z$ and 4 show the estim ation results based on a sequence $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ truncated at $\mathrm{M}=240$. T he associated sequence of partitions $f(m): m \quad 1 g$ in this case is not nested, and technicalcalculations in this case arem ore com plex. Figures 1 and $\beta^{3}$ show the estim ation results based on dyadic partitions, which are used for the rest of results. Because the conditions of Theorem 4 are m ore general as com pared to the conditions of the $m$ ain result of $G$ ladyshev (1961), the results of the O S estim ation are m ore reliable than the results of estim ation. This is also seen from the Figures 2 and 4 . T he colum ns $e$ and $b$ of $T a b l e s ~ 9,10$ and 11 suggest that estim ated p-variation indiges of the retums of the nancial data are $m$ ore likely to belong to the interval ( $1 ; 2$ ). T hese colum ns are essentially the sam e for net-retums as well as for log-retums, which w ould be in agreem ent if a stock prige has the quadratic variation along a nested sequence of dyadic partitions and its $p$-variation index is

Table 10: O S and G estim ation of retums of m etal rates based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \mathrm{~m} \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$.

| M etal | net-retums |  |  |  | log-retums |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | e | b | $\mathrm{P}=$ | $\mathrm{P}=$ | e | b | $\mathrm{Y}=$ | $\mathrm{P}=$ |
| G old | 12190 | 1.7249 | 1.3488 | 2.2808 | 1.2190 | 1.7216 | 1.3489 | 2.2700 |
| Silver | 1.4097 | 1.8482 | 1.6227 | 2.6066 | 1.4097 | 1.8263 | 1.6229 | 2.5728 |
| P alladium | 1.3371 | 1.6650 | 1.5481 | 2.3535 | 1.3371 | 1.6603 | 1.5480 | 2.3390 |
| P latinum | 1.2358 | 1.6472 | 1.4134 | 2.2933 | 1.2358 | 1.6433 | 1.4135 | 2.2790 |

Table 11: O S and G estim ation of retums of stock indices based on $f 2^{m}: 1 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad 14 \mathrm{~g}$.

| Index | net-retums |  |  |  | log-retums |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | e | b | $\mathrm{q}=$ | $\mathrm{q}=$ | e | b | $\mathrm{q}=$ | $\mathrm{q}=$ |
| SP 500 | 1.1685 | 1.3723 | 1.3726 | 1.9085 | 1.1685 | 1.3734 | 1.3727 | 1.9162 |
| D O W JO NES | 1.1806 | 1.3909 | 1.3937 | 1.9035 | 1.1806 | 1.3928 | 1.3938 | 1.9133 |

not less than 1. D ue to the pattem exhibit by the results of Section 4 when estim ating $1=H$, it is unlikely that a fractionalB row nian $m$ otion $B_{H}$ would give a satisfactory $t$ to the nancialdata for som e values of $H$. H owever, as seen in $F$ igures 1-4, a m ore general process from Exam ple 2 m ight be used to m odel the H FD F 96 data sets. E specially this concems a m odelling of stock indices (see Figure 11). R ecall that the $G$ estim ation is reliable w hen applied to sam ple functions of stochastic processes having a suitable relationship betw een a sam ple function behavior and an asym ptotic behavior of the increm ental variance. The estim ation results do not reject the hypothesis that retums $m$ ay be m odeled by a Levy process. To be m ore speci cabout a degree of data tting, we need a theoretical asym ptotic analysis of both, the OS and G estim ations, which is not available at this w riting.
$R$ elated results and techniques. The OS and G estim ators are based on properties of a function sim ilar to a kind of self-sim ilarity property w ith respect to shrinking tim e intervals, som etim es refered to as a fractalor em piricalscaling law. It is naturalthat a high-frequency data have already been used to detect such law s if exist. The work of M uller et. al. (1995) addresses this question and provide som e prelim inary ndings in their analysis of a high-frequency FX data collected from raw data vendors such as Reuters, $K$ night $R$ ider and Telerate. A nother related work of M andelbrot (1997) have already been discussed in Section 4.3 of N orvaisa and Salopek (2000).

## 6 D iscussion

Param eter estim ation ofa nancialm odelis a typicaleconom etric analysistask. W hat is atypical in the preceding analysis is a generality of the underlying nancialm odel. This model applies far beyond of lim its im posed by the sem im artingale theory, and its outline can be found in $B$ ick and $W$ illinger (1994), and N orvaisa (2000a). T he p-variation index considered as a param eter of a m odel is de ned for any function. Its estim ate provides a grade for each concrete continuous tim e m odel of a price process P govemed by an exponential, or by a linear integral equation


Figure 5: Four trajectories of $R_{\text {net }}(P) \quad R_{\text {log }}(P)$ (left) de ned by (14) corresponding to the D olean exponential $=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})$ (right) given by $[19)$, where X is a $S \mathrm{~S}$ process w th $=1: 7$. Vertical lines join jum ps.
having the inde nite integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=\lim _{i=1}^{Y^{n}}\left(1+X\left(t_{i} \wedge t\right) \quad X\left(t_{i} \wedge^{\wedge} t\right)\right) ; \quad 0<t \quad 1 ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

as its unique solution, where the lim it is understood either in the sense ofre nem ents ofpartitions
$=f t_{i}: i=0 ;::: ; n g$ of $[0 ; 1]$, or in the $m$ ore general sense along a xed sequence of nested partitions. The linear Ito stochastic integral equation $w$ ith respect to a sem im artingale $X$ is one such exam ple of a continuous tim em odel. A further generalty of the underlying nancialm odel could be achieved once the net-retums are modi ed so as to reverse a solution of a non-linear integral equation.
$W$ e stress the im portance of the notion of a retum because it provides a two direction link betw een theory and practice. A nancialm odelw thout the notion of a return is just an exercise in theory building. A s we noted earlier, the results of the preceding section show that the estim ated $p$-variation indices for the calculated net-retums and log-retums are alm ost the sam e. H ere we discuss the di erence betw een the net-and log-retums $R_{\text {net }}(\mathbb{P}) \quad R_{\log }(P)$ given by (14) for a sim ulated price process P . Suppose that P is the D olean exponentiale (X ) of a sym $m$ etric -stable process $X$ :

That is, $P$ is de ned by (18) w ith $X$ replaced by $X$. Then the continuous part of the quadratic variation $\mathbb{P}]^{C} \quad 0$, and so the sim ulation gives the rem aining sum $s$ in 14 ) as show $n$ in $F$ igure 5 .

To sim ulate the D olean exponentiale ( X ) we use its representation as the inde nite product integral 18), proved by D udley and N orvaisa (1999, C orollary 523 in Part II). Theoretically, the D olean exponential com posed w th the net-retum gives $X=R_{\text {net }}(P)$. Thus the $m$ axim al discrepancy $d:=\sup _{t} \mathcal{H e}^{e}$ ( t$) \mathbb{P}_{\text {net }}\left(\mathbb{F}^{e}\right)(\mathrm{t})$ jbetw een sim ulated versions of the tw o sides should be
$s m$ all if sim ulation is accurate enough. Indeed, we get that the discrepency $d=3: 1 \quad 10{ }^{14}$ holds uniform ly for all tra jectories in the left $F$ igure 5. N otice that one of the four tra jectories breaks because its D olean exponential jum ps to the negative side, and so its logarithm is unde ned.

## A ppendix A

This section contains proofs of $T$ heorem 4 and $P$ roposition $5 . T$ he proofs consist of com bining a few relations between the $m$ etric entropy index, the oscillation -sum $m$ ing index and the p -variation index, and willbe discussed rst.

As noted earlier, in the actual calculations of the $m$ etric entropy it is often sim pler to replace closed balls by squares (boxes), which leads to the box-counting dim ension (see Section 6.1 in Cutler, 1993). First we m odify the box-counting method for sets which arise when connecting a graph of a discontinuous function. Throughout this section, $f$ is a regulated function de ned on a closed interval $[0 ; T]$. This $m$ eans that for each $t 2(0 ; T]$, there exists a $\lim$ 止 $f(t):=\lim _{u " t} f(u)$, and for each $t 2[0 ; T)$, there exists a $\lim \operatorname{it}^{f}(t+):=\lim _{u \# t} f(u)$. Fort2 ( $0 ; T$ ], let $V(f(t))$ be the interval connecting the points $(t ; f(t))$ and $(t ; f(t))$, and for $t 2[0 ; T)$, let $V_{+}(f(t))$ be the interval connecting the points ( $\left.t ; f(t)\right)$ and ( $\left.t ; f(t+)\right)$. On a plane $R^{2} w$ th the $C$ artesian coordinates $(t ; x)$, for each $0 \quad u<v \quad T$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{f}([\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{V}]):=\left(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{V}_{+}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{u}))\right)^{\left[\quad \left[\quad\left(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{V}_{+}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}))\right)^{[ } \quad(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{V} \quad(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})))^{[ } \quad(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{V} \quad(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{v}))) \quad \mathrm{R}^{2} ; 1 ; \mathrm{v}\right.\right.} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(t ; A(t)):=f(t ; x): x 2 A(t) g$. Let $G_{f}:=G_{f}([0 ; T])$, and let $g r(f)$ be the graph of the function $f$. It is clear that $g r(f) \quad G_{f}$, and the equally holds betw een the two sets if and only if $f$ is continuous on $[0 ; T]$. For $>0$, a grid $C$ of side length covering a bounded set $E$, is called a collection of disjoint squares of equal side length whose union is a square containing E. W e can also assum e that each grid C intersects the origin of the $C$ artesian coordinates, and计s squares have sides parallel to the coordinates axes. T he usualbox-counting $m$ ethod counts all squares of the grid $C$ which have nonem pty intersection with $E . W$ e need a special counting rule when a vertical segm ent of the set $E=G_{f}$ is a part of a vertical line of a grid $C$. Let $\mathrm{ft}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{i}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ g be the partition of $[0 ; \mathrm{T}]$ induced by intersecting a grid $\mathrm{C} w$ th the $t$-axes, and for each $i=1 ;::: ; N$, let $n_{i}()$ be the num ber of squares of the grid $C$ contained in the strip $\left.t_{i} 1 ; t_{i}\right] R$ which have a nonempty intersection $w$ ith $\left.G_{f}\left(t_{i} 1 ; t_{i}\right]\right)$. For each $>0$, we then de ne

$$
M\left(G_{f} ;\right):={ }_{i=1}^{\mathbb{N}} n_{i}():
$$

This de nition avoids a double covering of certain parts of vertical segm ents, and it reduces to the usualde nition if $f$ is continuous. The present extension allows us to apply $T$ heorem 4 to sam ple functions of Levy processes, which m ay have left-side discontinuities.
$N$ ext statem ent show $s$ the usefilness of the box-counting $m$ ethod.
Lem ma 9. Let f be a regulated function on [0;T]. For lower and upper metric entropy indices, we have

$$
\left(G_{f}\right)=\lim \operatorname{in}_{\# 0} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ;\right)}{\log (1=)} \quad \text { and } \quad+\left(G_{f}\right)=\lim \sup _{\# 0} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ;\right)}{\log (1=)}:
$$

Proof. For $>0$, let $C f$ be a grid of side length covering $G_{f}$. Every square of side is inchuded in the ball of diam eter $\frac{1}{2}$, which, in tum, is included in at m ost 9 squares of the grid $C$. T hus

$$
N\left(G_{f} ;{ }^{P} \overline{2}\right) \quad M\left(G_{f} ;\right) \quad 9 N\left(G_{f} ;{ }^{P} \overline{2}\right) ;
$$

proving the lemma. Q E D.
Next we look at replacing a lim it as \# 0 by a lim it along a countable sequence. Let $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of positive real num bers strictly decreasing to 0 , and for each $\mathrm{m} \quad 1$, let $m:=(m+1 ; m]$. For a fam ily fA : $>0 \mathrm{~g}$ of num bers, we have

$$
\lim \sup _{\# 0} A=\lim _{m!} \sup _{1} \sup _{2} A \quad \lim _{m!} \sup _{1} A_{m}
$$

and

$$
\lim \inf _{\# 0}=\lim _{m!} \inf _{1} \inf _{2} A \quad \lim _{m!} \inf _{1}:
$$

Thus by the preceding lem m a, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G_{f}\right) \quad \lim _{m!} \operatorname{in}_{1} f \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ; m\right)}{\log \left(1==_{m}\right)} \text { and } \lim _{m!1} \sup _{1} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ; m\right)}{\log (1=m)} \quad+\left(G_{f}\right): \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lem $m$ a 10. Let $f$ be a regulated function on $[0 ; T]$, and let $f m: m \quad 1 g$ be a sequence of positive real num bers strictly decreasing to 0 so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m!1} \frac{\log _{\mathrm{m}}}{\log \mathrm{~m}+1}=1: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{limf}_{\# 0}\right)  \tag{23}\\
& \lim \sup _{" 0}
\end{align*} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ;\right)}{\log (1=)}=\left({\lim \inf _{m}!1}_{\lim \sup _{m}!1} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ; m\right)}{\log (1=m)}:\right.
$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the reverse inequalities in relations 21). For $0<1$, let m 1 be such that $2 \mathrm{~m}=(\mathrm{m}+1 ; \mathrm{m}$ ]. Since a square w ith a side length is contained in at $m$ ost four squares $w$ th a side length $m$, we have $M\left(G_{f} ; m\right) \quad 4 M\left(G_{f} ;\right)$. Sim ilarly, $M\left(G_{f} ;\right) 4 M\left(G_{f} ; m+1\right)$. Thus for each 2 m ,

$$
\frac{\log m}{\log m+1} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ; m\right) \quad \log 4}{\log 1=m} \quad \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ;\right)}{\log (1=)} \quad \frac{\log m+1}{\log m} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ; m+1\right)+\log 4}{\log 1=m+1}:
$$

The conclusion now follow s by the assum ption 22). Q E D.
N ow recall relation (3) de ning the oscillation -sum $m$ ing sequence $f \mathrm{Q}$ ( f ; ( m )):m m .
Lem ma11. Let $f$ be a regulated non-constant function on [ $0 ; T$ ]. For any sequence $\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m}$ 1 g of strictly increasing positive integers, we have

Proof. Let $\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers. For each m 1, let $A_{m}$ and $B_{m}$ be the term $s$ under the lim it signs in equally (24) in the given order. It is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m} \inf A_{m} \quad \lim _{m} \inf B_{m} ; \quad \lim _{m} \sup A_{m} \quad \lim _{m} \sup B_{m} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m} \operatorname{infB}_{m} \quad \lim _{m} \inf A_{m} ; \quad \lim _{m} \sup B_{m} \quad \lim _{m} \sup A_{m}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the set $G_{f}$ is on a plane $w$ ith the $C$ artesian coordinates ( $t ; x$ ). For each integer $m \quad 1$, let $C_{m}$ be the grid of side length $T=N_{m}$ intersecting the origin and $w$ ith sides parallel to the coordinate axes. $T$ hus $C_{m}$ intersects $w$ th the t-axes at each point of the partition $(m)=$ $f t_{i}^{m}=i T=N_{m}: i=0 ;::: ; N_{m} g$. Let $m \quad 1$. For $i=1 ;::: ; N_{m}$, let $n_{i}=n_{i}(m)$ be the num ber of squares of the grid $C_{m}$ contained in the strip $\quad i, m \quad R$ and covering the set $G_{f}(i, m)$ de ned by relation (20), where $\left.i ; m=\mathbb{t}_{i}^{m}{ }_{1} ; t_{i}^{m}\right]$. By the de nition of $G_{f}(i, m)$,

$$
\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{T}\right) \operatorname{Osc}(\mathrm{f} ; \quad \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{m}) \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad 2+\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{T}\right) \mathrm{Osc}(\mathrm{f} ; \quad \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~m}) ;
$$

for each $i=1 ;::: ; N_{m}$. Sum $m$ ing over all indiges $i$, it follow $s$ that the inequalties

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(G_{f} ; T=N_{m}\right) \quad 2 N_{m} \quad\left(N_{m}=T\right) Q(f ;(m)) \quad M \quad\left(G_{f} ; T=N_{m}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for each m 1. By the second inequality in display 27), we have that inequalities (26). To prove inequalities (25), rst suppose that $\lim \operatorname{in} f_{m} B_{m}=1$. Then by relation (4), $\lim \sup _{m} B_{m}=1$, and inequalities 25) follow because lim inf $f_{m}$ 1. Now suppose that lim $\inf _{m} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{m}}>$ 1. In that case, inequalities 25) follow from the rst inequality in display (27) and from the follow ing tw o relations:

$$
\lim _{m!} \operatorname{in}_{1} f \frac{\log a_{m}}{\log b_{m}}=\sup f>0: \lim _{m!} b_{m} a_{m}=+1 g=\sup f>0: \inf _{m} b_{m} a_{m}>0 g
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m!1} \sup _{1} \frac{\log a_{m}}{\log \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{m}}}=\text { inff }>0: \lim _{m!} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}} a_{m}=0 \mathrm{~g}=\operatorname{inff}>0: \sup _{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}} a_{m}<+1 \mathrm{~g} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for any two sequences $f a_{m}: m \quad 1 g$ and $f b_{m}: m \quad 1 g$ of positive num bers such that $\lim _{m!1} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}}=+1$. Q ED.

C om bining Lem m as 9,10 and 11, it follow s that the follow ing statem ent holds.
C orollary 12. Let $f$ be a regulated non-constant function on $[0 ; T]$, and let $\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{m}!1} \frac{\log \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\log \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}+1}=1: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\left(G_{f}\right)=\lim _{\# 0} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ;\right)}{\log (1=)}=\lim _{m!1} \frac{\log N_{m} Q(f ;(m))}{\log N_{m}} ;
$$

provided that at least one of the three lim its exists and is nite.

Next is the nalstep in a chain of inequalities used to prove $T$ heorem 4.

Lem ma13. Let $f$ be a regulated function on $[0 ; T]$, and let $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers. T hen

$$
\begin{equation*}
2+(f)=\quad \lim _{m!1} \sup _{1} \frac{\log N_{m} Q(f ;(m))}{\log N_{m}} \quad 2 \frac{1}{1_{-}(f)}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If (f) < 1 then $f$ has bounded 1 -variation, and so (3G) holds by relation (5). If (f) $=+1$, then 30) holds because ${ }^{+}$(f) 0 by relation 4). Thus one can assum e that 1 (f) $<+1$. Let $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f} ;[\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{T}])<1$ for some1 $\mathrm{p}<1$. W e claim that for each integer m 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{m}^{\frac{1}{p}}{ }^{1} Q(f ; \quad(m)) \quad V_{p}(f ;[0 ; T])^{1=p}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if $p=1$ then $Q(f ;(m)) \quad V_{1}(f ;[0 ; T])$. Suppose that $p>1$ and $m \quad 1$. Since for each $i=1 ;::: ; N_{m}, \operatorname{OSC}(f ; i, m) \quad V_{p}(f ; i ; m)^{1=p}$, by H older's inequalty, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=1 \quad i=1 \\
& \text { by subadditivity of } v_{p} \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}^{1}{ }^{1=\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f} ;[0 ; \mathrm{T}])^{1=\mathrm{p}} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

proving relation 31). If p (f) 1 , then by 31),

$$
\left.\sup _{m} N_{m}{ }^{(2 \quad 1=p)} \mathbb{N}_{m} Q(f ; \quad(m))\right] \quad V_{p}(f ;[0 ; T])^{1=p}<+1:
$$

Thus relation (30) follow s by the relation (28), proving the lem ma. Q E D.
N ow we are ready to com plete the proofs.
Proof of $T$ heorem 4. Let $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing positive integers. W e have to prove that (f) $=1=\left(1_{\_} \quad(f)\right)$. By De nition 3 , this $w$ ill be done once we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m!1} \frac{\log N_{m} Q(f ;(m))}{\log N_{m}}=2 \frac{1}{1_{-} \quad(f)}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the graph $\operatorname{gr}(f) \quad G_{f}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.(\operatorname{gr}(f)) \quad\left(G_{f}\right)=\lim \inf _{\# 0} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ;\right)}{\log (1=)} \quad \text { by } 21\right) \quad \lim _{m} \inf _{1} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ; 1=N_{m}\right)}{\log N_{m}} \\
& \text { by (24) }=\lim _{m!} \operatorname{in}_{1} f \frac{\log N_{m} Q(f ;(m))}{\log N_{m}} \quad \underset{m!1}{\lim } \sup _{1} \frac{\log N_{m} Q(f ;(m))}{\log N_{m}} \\
& \text { by } 30 \text { ) } 2 \frac{1}{1-(\mathrm{f})} \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the left and right sides are equalby assum ption (7), relation (32) holds, proving $T$ heorem 4. Q E D.

Proof of P roposition 5. If $f$ is constant then the conclusion clearly holds, and so we can assume that f is non-constant. Let $=\mathrm{fN}_{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ be a sequence of strictly increasing
positive integers such that relation (2g) holds. Since the graph gr(f) $G_{f}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }^{+}(\operatorname{gr}(f))=\lim \sup _{\# 0} \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ;\right)}{\log (1=)} \quad \text { by }=23\right) \quad \lim _{m!1} \sup \frac{\log M\left(G_{f} ; 1=N_{m}\right)}{\log N_{m}} \\
& \text { by (24) } \left.=\lim _{m!} \sup _{1} \frac{\log N_{m} Q(f ;(m))}{\log N_{m}} \text { by } 30\right) \quad 2 \frac{1}{1-(f)} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

proving Proposition 5. Q E D.
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