

# Belavin Elliptic $R$ -Matrices and Exchange Algebras

A. V. Odesskii\*

## Abstract

We study Zamolodchikov algebras whose commutation relations are described by Belavin matrices defining a solution of the Yang–Baxter equation (Belavin  $R$ -matrices). Homomorphisms of Zamolodchikov algebras into dynamical algebras with exchange relations and also of algebras with exchange relations into Zamolodchikov algebras are constructed. It turns out that the structure of these algebras with exchange relations depends substantially on the primitive  $n$ th root of unity entering the definition of Belavin  $R$ -matrices.

## Introduction

In this paper, we study Zamolodchikov algebras for Belavin elliptic  $R$ -matrices. This is a family  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  of associative algebras, where  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  is the integer lattice generated by the elements 1 and  $\tau$ ,  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $n$  and  $k$  are coprime positive integers such that  $1 \leq k < n$ . The algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  is determined by the generators  $\{x_i(u); i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and the relations

$$\frac{\theta_1(0) \dots \theta_{n-1}(0) \theta_0(v-u+\eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v-u+\eta)}{\theta_0(\eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(\eta) \theta_0(v-u) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v-u)} x_\alpha(u) x_\beta(v) \\ = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\theta_{\beta-\alpha+r(k-1)}(v-u+\eta)}{\theta_{kr}(\eta) \theta_{\beta-\alpha-r}(v-u)} x_{\beta-r}(v) x_{\alpha+r}(u).$$

---

\*This work was supported by CRDF grant RP1-2254, RFBR grants 99-01-01169 and 00-15-96579, and INTAS grant 00-00055.

Here  $\theta_\alpha(u) \in \Theta_{n, \frac{n-1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  is a theta function of order  $n$  (see Sec. 1.1). These formulas are distinct from the Belavin formulas [2], but it can readily be verified that the corresponding Zamolodchikov algebras are isomorphic. In the Belavin notation, the role of  $k$  is played by a primitive  $n$ th root of unity.

As is known, in studying integrable models related to elliptic  $R$ -matrices, there appear some additional difficulties (in comparison with the trigonometric and rational cases). The same difficulties are encountered in studying the representations of the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  or of the corresponding algebra of  $L$ -operators.

The fact is that these algebras have no analog of Cartan subalgebras, and therefore it is unclear how to construct an analog of highest weight modules since there is no notion of weight at all.

A method for overcoming these difficulties was found by Baxter [1] in studying  $XYZ$ -models (the case  $n = 2, k = 1$ ). In the language of [1], this is the reduction of the  $XYZ$ -model to the  $SOS$ -model (the so-called vertex-face correspondence). In the algebraic language, this is the construction of homomorphisms from the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  into some other algebras for which highest weight modules already exist. For  $k = 1$ , these are Zamolodchikov algebras for dynamical elliptic  $R$ -matrices (see [4]). For the other values of  $k$ , these algebras have a more complicated structure, and no related models are probably known. Let us first describe these algebras for the case  $k = 1$ . These are the algebras  $X_1^m(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda)$  generated by the commutative subalgebra consisting of meromorphic functions of the variables  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  and by the generators  $e_1(u), \dots, e_m(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ . The relations have the form  $e_\alpha(u)f(y_1, \dots, y_m) = f(y_1 + \lambda, \dots, y_\alpha + \lambda - \mu, \dots, y_m + \lambda)e_\alpha(u)$ , i.e.,  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  are dynamical variables. Here  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$  are fixed. Moreover,  $e_\alpha(u)e_\beta(v) = \varphi_1 e_\beta(v)e_\alpha(u) + \varphi_2 e_\alpha(v)e_\beta(u)$ , where  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , and  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are meromorphic functions of the variables  $u, v, y_\alpha$ , and  $y_\beta$  (ratios of some theta functions). If  $\alpha = \beta$ , then  $e_\alpha(u)e_\alpha(v) = e_\alpha(v)e_\alpha(u)$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a homomorphism  $x_i(u) \mapsto \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m} \theta_i(y_\alpha + u)e_\alpha(nu)$  from  $Z_{n,1}(\Gamma, \eta)$  into an algebra of the above type. Here  $\theta_i(z)$  stands for a theta function of order  $n$  (see Sec. 1.1 and also [3]).

Note that the formulas  $e_\alpha(u) \mapsto \lambda_\alpha(u)e_\alpha(u)$  define an automorphism of the algebra  $X_1^m(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda)$  for any nonzero functions  $\lambda_1(u), \dots, \lambda_m(u)$ . The commutative subalgebra consisting of meromorphic functions of the variables  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  is an analog of the Cartan subalgebra.

In this paper, we construct similar homomorphisms for arbitrary  $n$  and  $k$ .

In this case, it turns out that the structure of the algebras into which the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  is mapped depends on the expansion of the number  $\frac{n}{k}$  into a continued fraction. Namely, let  $\frac{n}{k} = n_1 - \frac{1}{n_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n_p}}$ , where  $n_\alpha \geq 2$  and  $p$  is the length of the continued fraction. There is a family of algebras  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$ , where  $m_1, \dots, m_p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{C}$ . The algebra  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  is generated by the commutative subalgebra consisting of meromorphic functions of the variables  $\{y_{\alpha,j}; 1 \leq j \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq m_j\}$  and by the generators  $\{e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u); 1 \leq \alpha_j \leq m_j, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . In this case,  $y_{\alpha,j}$  are dynamical variables, i.e.,  $e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u)y_{\beta,j} = (y_{\beta,j} + \lambda_j)e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u)$  if  $\beta \neq \alpha_j$ , and  $e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u)y_{\alpha_j,j} = (y_{\alpha_j,j} + \lambda_j - \mu)e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u)$ . The relations between  $e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u)$  have the form

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u)e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p}(v) \\ = \psi_1 e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(v)e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p}(u) + \psi_2 e_{\beta_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p}(v)e_{\alpha_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p}(u) + \dots \\ + \psi_p e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{p-1}, \alpha_p}(v)e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-1}, \beta_p}(u) + \psi_{p+1} e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p}(v)e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{p+1}$  are some functions of the variables  $u, v, y_{\alpha_1,1}, \dots, y_{\alpha_p,p}, y_{\beta_1,1}, \dots, y_{\beta_p,p}$ . In this relation,  $\alpha_j \neq \beta_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ . If  $\alpha_j = \beta_j$  for some  $j$ , then there are similar relations (see Sec. 3). The formulas  $e_{\alpha,j}(u) \mapsto h_{\alpha,j}(u)e_{\alpha,j}(u)$  define an automorphism of the algebra  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  for any nonzero functions  $h_{\alpha,j}(u)$ . For any  $m_1, \dots, m_p \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a homomorphism  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta) \rightarrow X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  defined by the formula

$$x_i(u) \mapsto \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq m_1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \leq \alpha_p \leq m_p}} w_i(y_{\alpha_1,1} + \nu_1 u, \dots, y_{\alpha_p,p} + \nu_p u) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(nu).$$

Here  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p, \mu, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$  are expressed in terms of  $\eta$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_p$ , where  $\frac{n}{k} = n_1 - \frac{1}{n_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n_p}}$  (see Sec. 4), and  $w_i(u_1, \dots, u_p) \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  are theta functions of  $p$  variables (see Sec. 1.2).

There is a dual construction in which an algebra with similar exchange relations is mapped into the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$ . In this case, the structure of the former algebra depends on the expansion of the number  $\frac{n}{n-k}$  into a continued fraction. Namely, let  $\frac{n}{n-k} = n'_1 - \frac{1}{n'_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n'_{p'}}}$ , where  $n'_\alpha \geq 2$  and  $p'$  is the length of the continued fraction. There is a family of algebras

$Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'})$ , where  $\mu, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'} \in \mathbb{C}$ . The generators of the algebra are  $\{e(u, u_1, \dots, u_{p'}); u, u_1, \dots, u_{p'} \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , and its relations have the form

$$\begin{aligned} e(u, u_1, \dots, u_{p'})e(v, v_1 + \mu_1, \dots, v_{p'} + \mu_{p'}) \\ = f_1 e(v, u_1, \dots, u_{p'})e(u, v_1 + \mu_1, \dots, v_{p'} + \mu_{p'}) \\ + f_2 e(v, v_1, u_2, \dots, u_{p'})e(u, u_1 + \mu_1, v_2 + \mu_2, \dots, v_{p'} + \mu_{p'}) + \dots \\ + f_{p'+1} e(v, v_1, \dots, v_{p'})e(u, u_1 + \mu_1, \dots, u_{p'} + \mu_{p'}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_1, \dots, f_{p'+1}$  are some functions of  $u, u_1, \dots, u_{p'}; v, v_1, \dots, v_{p'}$  (see Sec. 5).

There is a homomorphism  $Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'}) \rightarrow Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  defined by the formula

$$e(nu, u_1, \dots, u_{p'}) \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} x_{1-\alpha}(u) w_\alpha(u_1 + \gamma_1 u, \dots, u_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} u).$$

Here  $\mu, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'}, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p'}$  can be written in terms of  $\eta, n'_1, \dots, n'_{p'}$  (see Sec. 6). The expressions  $w_\alpha(u_1, \dots, u_{p'}) \in \Theta_{n/n-k}(\Gamma)$  are theta functions of  $p'$  variables (see Sec. 1.2).

We now describe the content of the paper.

In Sec. 1, we collect the main facts needed below and related to theta functions. In Sec. 1.1, the space of theta functions of one variable is considered; we denote this space by  $\Theta_{n,c}(\Gamma)$ . Geometrically, these are holomorphic sections of a linear bundle on an elliptic curve  $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$  with Chern class  $n$  where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous parameter of the bundle. For more detail, see [6], although we use somewhat different notation.

In Sec. 1.2, the space  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  of theta functions of  $p$  variables is introduced, where  $p$  is the length of the continued fraction  $\frac{n}{k} = n_1 - \frac{1}{n_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n_p}}$ ,  $n_\alpha \geq 2$ . These are sections of some special linear bundle on  $(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)^p$ . It can also be shown (but we do not need this) that the space  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to the space of sections of a holomorphic indecomposable bundle on an elliptic curve  $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$  of degree  $n$  and rank  $k$ . This bundle is known to be unique to within a shift on the elliptic curve.

In Sec. 2, the Belavin  $R$ -matrix and the related Zamolodchikov algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  are described.

In Sec. 3, dynamical algebras  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  with exchange relations are constructed.

In Sec. 4, homomorphisms of the Zamolodchikov algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  into the dynamical exchange algebras  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  are constructed.

In Sec. 5, polyspectral exchange algebras  $Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'})$  are constructed.

In Sec. 6, a homomorphism from the algebra  $Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'})$  into the Zamolodchikov algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  is constructed.

Here, it is found that, for a fixed  $u$ , the space of the generators  $\{x_i(u); i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$  of the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  is naturally isomorphic to the space  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  of theta functions and is dual to the space  $\Theta_{n/n-k}(\Gamma)$ . The related duality between these spaces is described in Sec. 1.3.

The main results of this paper are contained in Propositions 6 and 7. In Proposition 6, homomorphisms from the Zamolodchikov algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  into algebras with exchange relations and dynamical variables are constructed. In Proposition 7, a homomorphism from a similar algebra with exchange relations, but without dynamical variables, into the Zamolodchikov algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  is constructed. These two propositions follow from identity (2) proved in Sec. 1.2.

# 1 Theta Functions Associated with the Degree of an Elliptic Curve

## 1.1 Theta functions of one variable

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the integer lattice generated by 1 and  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $\Theta_{n,c}(\Gamma)$  the space of entire functions of one variable that satisfy the relations

$$f(z+1) = f(z), \quad f(z+\tau) = (-1)^n e^{-2\pi i(nz-c)} f(z).$$

It follows that

$$f(z + \alpha + \beta\tau) = (-1)^{n\beta} e^{-2\pi i\beta(nz-c + \frac{(\beta-1)n}{2}\tau)} f(z)$$

for each element  $\alpha + \beta\tau \in \Gamma$  (i.e., for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). As is known [6],  $\dim \Theta_{n,c}(\Gamma) = n$ , and every function  $f \in \Theta_{n,c}(\Gamma)$  has exactly  $n$  zeros modulo  $\Gamma$  (counting multiplicity), whose sum is equal to  $c$  modulo  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\theta(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^\alpha e^{2\pi i(\alpha z + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\tau)}$ . It is clear that  $\theta(z) \in \Theta_{1,0}(\Gamma)$ . What has been said implies that  $\theta(0) = 0$ , and this is the only zero modulo  $\Gamma$ .

It can readily be verified that  $\theta(-z) = -e^{-2\pi iz}\theta(z)$ . Moreover, it is known that  $\theta(z)$  can be expanded as an infinite product [6],

$$\theta(z) = \prod_{\alpha \geq 1} (1 - e^{2\pi i \alpha \eta}) \cdot (1 - e^{2\pi iz}) \cdot \prod_{\alpha \geq 1} (1 - e^{2\pi i(z + \alpha \eta)})(1 - e^{2\pi i(\alpha \eta - z)}).$$

We define linear operators  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}$  acting on the space of functions of one variable,

$$T_{\frac{1}{n}}f(z) = f\left(z + \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}f(z) = e^{2\pi i\left(z + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{n-1}{2n}\tau\right)}f\left(z + \frac{1}{n}\tau\right).$$

It can readily be seen that  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}T_{\frac{1}{n}}$ . A simple calculation shows that the space  $\Theta_{n, \frac{n-1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  is invariant under  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}$ . The restriction of  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}$  to  $\theta_{n, \frac{n-1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  satisfies the additional relations  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}^n = T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}^n = 1$ . Let  $G_n$  be the group with the generators  $a, b$ , and  $\varepsilon$  and the relations  $ab = \varepsilon ba$ ,  $a\varepsilon = \varepsilon a$ ,  $b\varepsilon = \varepsilon b$ , and  $a^n = b^n = \varepsilon^n = e$ . The group  $G_n$  is the central extension of the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ , namely, the element  $\varepsilon$  generates the normal subgroup  $C_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $G_n/C_n \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ . The formulas  $a \mapsto T_{\frac{1}{n}}$  and  $b \mapsto T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}$  define an irreducible representation of the group  $G_n$  in the space  $\theta_{n, \frac{n-1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  [6]. We select a basis  $\{\theta_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$  of  $\Theta_{n, \frac{n-1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  on which the above operators act in the following way:  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}\theta_\alpha = e^{2\pi i \frac{\alpha}{n}}\theta_\alpha$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}\theta_\alpha = \theta_{\alpha+1}$ . It is clear that this can be done uniquely to within multiplication by a common constant. Namely, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\alpha(z) = & \theta\left(z + \frac{\alpha}{n}\tau\right) \theta\left(z + \frac{\alpha}{n}\tau + \frac{1}{n}\right) \dots \theta\left(z + \frac{\alpha}{n}\tau + \frac{n-1}{n}\right) \\ & \times e^{2\pi i\left(\alpha z + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-n)}{2n}\tau + \frac{\alpha}{2n}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that  $\theta_\alpha(z) \in \Theta_{n, \frac{n-1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\theta_{\alpha+n}(z) = \theta_\alpha(z)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\alpha\left(z + \frac{1}{n}\right) &= e^{2\pi i \frac{\alpha}{n}}\theta_\alpha(z), \\ \theta_\alpha\left(z + \frac{1}{n}\tau\right) &= e^{-2\pi i\left(z + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{n-1}{2n}\tau\right)}\theta_{\alpha+1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the functions  $\{\theta_\alpha(z - \frac{1}{n}c - \frac{n-1}{2n}), \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$  form a basis in  $\Theta_{n,c}(\Gamma)$ .

We shall need the identity

$$\theta(nz) = \frac{n\theta_0(z) \dots \theta_{n-1}(z) e^{-2\pi i \frac{n(n-1)}{2} z}}{\theta_1(0) \dots \theta_{n-1}(0) \theta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \dots \theta\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)}. \quad (1)$$

*Proof* of the identity. It can readily be verified that both sides of the identity belong to the space  $\Theta_{n^2, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\tau}(\Gamma)$ , and each of them has  $n^2$  zeros modulo  $\Gamma$  at the points  $\{\frac{\alpha}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n}\tau; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Consequently, they differ by a constant factor. The constant can readily be calculated by dividing the two sides of the identity by  $\theta(z)$  and finding the limit as  $z \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The modular parameter  $\tau$  is assumed to be fixed throughout the paper. It will always be clear from the context what is the value of  $n$  for  $\theta_\alpha(z)$ . When necessary, we shall use the notation  $\theta_\alpha^n(z)$  if the formula under consideration involves several types of theta functions.

## 1.2 Theta functions of several variables

Let  $n$  and  $k$  be coprime positive integers such that  $1 \leq k < n$ . We expand  $\frac{n}{k}$  into a continued fraction of the following form:  $\frac{n}{k} = n_1 - \frac{1}{n_2 - \frac{1}{n_3 - \dots - \frac{1}{n_p}}}$ ,

where all  $n_\alpha$  are greater than or equal to 2. It is clear that such an expansion exists and is unique. Denote by  $d(m_1, \dots, m_q)$  the determinant of the  $q \times q$  matrix  $(m_{\alpha\beta})$ , where  $m_{\alpha\alpha} = m_\alpha$ ,  $m_{\alpha, \alpha+1} = m_{\alpha+1, \alpha} = -1$ , and  $m_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$  for  $|\alpha - \beta| > 1$ . We set  $d(\emptyset) = 1$  for  $q = 0$ . It follows from the elementary theory of continued fractions that  $n = d(n_1, \dots, n_p)$  and  $k = d(n_2, \dots, n_p)$ .

We again consider the integer lattice  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  generated by 1 and  $\tau$ , where  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ .

Let  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  be the space of entire functions  $f$  of  $p$  variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_1, \dots, z_\alpha + 1, \dots, z_p) &= f(z_1, \dots, z_p), \\ f(z_1, \dots, z_\alpha + \tau, \dots, z_p) &= (-1)^{n_\alpha} e^{-2\pi i (n_\alpha z_\alpha - z_{\alpha-1} - z_{\alpha+1} - (\delta_{1,\alpha} - 1)\tau)} f(z_1, \dots, z_p). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p$ ,  $z_0 = z_{p+1} = 0$ , and  $\delta_{1,\alpha}$  is the Kronecker delta. Thus, the functions  $f \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  are periodic with period 1 and quasiperiodic with period  $\tau$  in each of the variables. The periodicity implies that each of the functions in  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  can be expanded into a Fourier series of the form  $f(z_1, \dots, z_p) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} e^{2\pi i (\alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \alpha_p z_p)}$ . The quasiperiodicity gives a linear system of equations for the coefficients,

$$a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}-1, \alpha_\nu+n_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1}-1, \dots, \alpha_p} = (-1)^{n_\alpha} e^{2\pi i (\alpha_\nu + \delta_{1,\alpha} - 1)\tau} a_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}.$$

It can readily be seen that this system has  $n = d(n_1, \dots, n_p)$  linearly independent solutions each defining a function in  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  (for  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ ,  $n_1, \dots, n_p \geq 2$ ).

For  $k = 1$ , we have the space  $\Theta_n(\Gamma) = \Theta_{n,0}(\Gamma)$  of functions of one variable in Sec. 1.1 with the basis  $\{w_\alpha(z) = \theta_\alpha(z + \frac{n-1}{2}), \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$ . A similar basis can be constructed in the space  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  for an arbitrary  $k$ . We define operators  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}$  in the space of functions of  $p$  variables as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\frac{1}{n}}f(z_1, \dots, z_p) &= f(z_1 + r_1, \dots, z_p + r_p), \\ T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}f(z_1, \dots, z_p) &= e^{2\pi i(z_1 + \varphi)}f(z_1 + r_1\tau, \dots, z_p + r_p\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $r_\alpha = \frac{d(n_{\alpha+1}, \dots, n_p)}{d(n_1, \dots, n_p)}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}$  is a constant.

Clearly,  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau} = e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{n}}T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}T_{\frac{1}{n}}$ . As in the case of theta functions of one variable, the space  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  is invariant under the operators  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}$ , and the restriction of these operators to  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  satisfies the relations  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}^n = 1$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}^n = \mu$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . We choose a  $\varphi$  such that  $\mu = 1$ . This can obviously be done to within multiplication of  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}$  by an  $n$ th root of unity.

**Proposition 1.** *There is a basis  $\{w_\alpha(z_1, \dots, z_p); \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$  in  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  such that*

$$T_{\frac{1}{n}}w_\alpha = e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{n}\alpha}w_\alpha, \quad T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}w_\alpha = w_{\alpha+1}.$$

*It is defined uniquely to within a constant factor.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  be an eigenvector of  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Since  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}^n = 1$  on  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ , we have  $\lambda^n = 1$ . Moreover,  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}f = e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{n}}T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}T_{\frac{1}{n}}f = e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{n}}\lambda T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}f$ ; hence,  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}f$  is again an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{n}}\lambda$ . Since  $n$  and  $k$  are coprime, the factor  $e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{n}}$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity. Hence,  $\{T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}^\alpha f; \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  are eigenvectors of  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}$  with distinct eigenvalues, and any of the  $n$ th roots of unity is an eigenvalue of some  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}^\alpha f$ . Let  $w_0$  satisfy the condition  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}w_0 = w_0$ . We set  $w_\alpha = T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}^\alpha w_0$ . It is clear that  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}w_\alpha = e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{n}\alpha}w_\alpha$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}w_\alpha = w_{\alpha+1}$ . We also have  $w_{\alpha+n} = w_\alpha$  since  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}^n = 1$  on  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ .  $\square$

Note that, as in the case of a theta function of one variable, the group  $G_n$  acts irreducibly on the space  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ :  $a \mapsto T_{\frac{1}{n}}$ ,  $b \mapsto T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}$ ,  $\varepsilon \mapsto (\text{multiplication by } e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{n}})$ .



*Remark.* Let  $L$  be the group of linear automorphisms acting on the space of functions of  $p$  variables by the formula

$$gf(z_1, \dots, z_p) = e^{2\pi i(\varphi_1 z_1 + \dots + \varphi_p z_p + \lambda)} f(z_1 + \psi_1, \dots, z_p + \psi_p)$$

for  $g \in L$ . Obviously,  $L$  is a  $(2p+1)$ -dimensional Lie group. Let  $L' \subset L$  be the subgroup of transformations preserving the space  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ , i.e.,  $L' = \{g \in L; g(\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)) = \Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)\}$ , and let  $L'' \subset L'$  consist of the elements leaving  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  fixed, i.e.,  $L'' = \{g \in L'; gf = f \text{ for all } f \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)\}$ . It can be shown that the quotient group  $L'/L'' = \tilde{G}_n$  is generated by the elements  $T_{\frac{1}{n}}$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{n}\tau}$  and by the multiplications by constants.

We shall use the notation  $w_\alpha^{n/k}(z_1, \dots, z_p)$  if it is unclear from the context what theta functions are meant.

We shall need an identity relating the theta functions in  $\Theta_{1,0}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Theta_{n, \frac{n-1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ , and  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\theta(y_1 - z_1 + nv - nu)}{\theta(nv - nu)\theta(y_1 - z_1)} \\ & \times w_\alpha(y_1 + m_1 u, \dots, y_p + m_p u) w_\beta(z_1 + m_1 v + l_1, \dots, z_p + m_p v + l_p) \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq t \leq p-1} \frac{\theta(z_t - y_t + y_{t+1} - z_{t+1})}{\theta(z_t - y_t)\theta(y_{t+1} - z_{t+1})} \\ & \times w_\alpha(z_1 + m_1 u, \dots, z_t + m_t u, y_{t+1} + m_{t+1} u, \dots, y_p + m_p u) \\ & \times w_\beta(y_1 + m_1 v + l_1, \dots, y_t + m_t v + l_t, z_{t+1} + m_{t+1} v + l_{t+1}, \dots, z_p + m_p v + l_p) \\ & + \frac{\theta(z_p - y_p + n\eta)}{\theta(z_p - y_p)\theta(n\eta)} w_\alpha(z_1 + m_1 u, \dots, z_p + m_p u) \\ & \times w_\beta(y_1 + m_1 v + l_1, \dots, y_p + m_p v + l_p) \\ & = \frac{1}{n} \theta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \dots \theta\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \\ & \times \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\theta_{\beta-\alpha+r(k-1)}(v-u+\eta)}{\theta_{rk}(\eta)\theta_{\beta-\alpha-r}(v-u)} w_{\beta-r}(y_1 + m_1 v, \dots, y_p + m_p v) \\ & \times w_{\alpha+r}(z_1 + m_1 u + l_1, \dots, z_p + m_p u + l_p). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Here  $m_\alpha = d(n_{\alpha+1}, \dots, n_p)\eta$  and  $l_\alpha = d(n_1, \dots, n_{\alpha-1})\eta$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, u, v, y_1, \dots, y_p, z_1, \dots, z_p)$  the difference between the right- and left- hand sides in (2). A calculation shows that it satisfies the

following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \dots, y_\alpha + 1, \dots, z_p) &= \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \dots, z_p), \\
\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \dots, y_\alpha + \tau, \dots, z_p) &= -e^{-2\pi i(n_\alpha y_\alpha - y_{\alpha-1} - y_{\alpha+1} + \delta_{\alpha,1}v)} \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \dots, z_p), \\
\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \dots, z_\alpha + 1, \dots, z_p) &= \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \dots, z_p), \\
\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \dots, z_\alpha + \tau, \dots, z_p) &= -e^{-2\pi i(n_\alpha z_\alpha - z_{\alpha-1} - z_{\alpha+1} + \delta_{\alpha,1}u + \delta_{\alpha,p}\eta)} \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \dots, z_p).
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Here  $y_0 = y_{p+1} = z_0 = z_{p+1} = 0$  and  $\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$  is the Kronecker delta. Moreover, calculations show that there are no poles on the divisors  $nv - nu \in \Gamma$ ,  $n\eta \in \Gamma$ ,  $y_1 - z_1 \in \Gamma$ ,  $\dots$ , and  $y_p - z_p \in \Gamma$ , and hence the function  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is holomorphic everywhere on  $\mathbb{C}^{2p+3}$ . However, it is clear that the functions  $\{w_\lambda(y_1 + m_1v, \dots, y_p + m_pv)w_\nu(z_1 + m_1u + l_1, \dots, z_p + m_pu + l_p); \lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$  form a basis in the space of holomorphic functions of  $y_1, \dots, y_p, z_1, \dots, z_p$  that satisfy conditions (3). Therefore, the function  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$  has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, u, v, y_1, \dots, z_p) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u, v) w_\lambda(y_1 + m_1v, \dots, y_p + m_pv) \\
&\quad \times w_\nu(z_1 + m_1u + l_1, \dots, z_p + m_pu + l_p). \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Here the functions  $\psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u, v)$  are holomorphic and satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta + 1, u, v) &= \psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u + 1, v) = \psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u, v + 1) = \psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u, v), \\
\psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta + \tau, u, v) &= e^{-2\pi i n(v-u)} \psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u, v), \\
\psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u + \tau, v) &= e^{2\pi i n\eta} \psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u, v), \\
\psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u, v + \tau) &= e^{-2\pi i n\eta} \psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u, v).
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

These relations can be verified by a calculation, namely, the multipliers under the shifts by 1 and  $\tau$  in formulas (3) and (4) should be compared.

However, every holomorphic function of the variables  $\eta, u$  and  $v$  that satisfies relations (5) is equal to 0. Indeed, the periodicity implies the expansion into the Fourier series,

$$\psi_{\lambda,\nu}(\eta, u, v) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\lambda,\nu,\alpha,\beta,\gamma} e^{2\pi i(\alpha\eta + \beta u + \gamma v)}.$$

Furthermore, it follows from the quasiperiodicity that the coefficients  $a_{\lambda,\nu,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$  are equal to 0.  $\square$

### 1.3 Duality between the spaces $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ and $\Theta_{n/n-k}(\Gamma)$

Let us construct the canonical element  $\Delta_{n,k} \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma) \otimes \Theta_{n/n-k}(\Gamma)$  realizing the duality between these spaces (see (6)).

**Proposition 2.** *Let*

$$\frac{n}{k} = n_1 - \frac{1}{n_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n_p}}, \quad \frac{n}{n-k} = n'_1 - \frac{1}{n'_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n'_{p'}}}$$

be expansions into continued fractions, where  $n_\alpha \geq 2$  and  $n'_\beta \geq 2$  for  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p$  and  $1 \leq \beta \leq p'$ . Here  $p$  and  $p'$  are the lengths of the continued fractions. In this case,  $p' = n_1 + \dots + n_p - 2p + 1$  and  $n'_1 + \dots + n'_{p'} = 2(n_1 + \dots + n_p) - 3p + 1$ . Moreover,  $n'_1 + \dots + n'_\alpha = 2\alpha + \beta$  for  $n_1 + \dots + n_\beta - 2\beta + 1 \leq \alpha \leq n_1 + \dots + n_{\beta+1} - 2\beta - 2$ . In other words, the Young diagrams for the partitions  $(n_1 - 1, n_1 + n_2 - 3, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_\alpha - 2\alpha + 1, \dots)$  and  $(n'_1 - 1, n'_1 + n'_2 - 3, \dots, n'_1 + \dots + n'_\beta - 2\beta + 1, \dots)$  are mutually dual.

*Remark.* We have  $p' = n - 1$  and  $n'_1 = \dots = n'_{n-1} = 2$  for  $k = 1$ ,  $p = 1$ , and  $n_1 = n$ . For  $p > 1$ , if  $n_2, \dots, n_{p-1} \geq 3$ , then the sequence  $(n'_1, \dots, n'_p)$  has the form  $(2^{(n_1-2)}, 3, 2^{(n_2-3)}, 3, \dots, 3, 2^{(n_{p-1}-3)}, 3, 2^{(n_p-2)})$ . Here  $2^{(t)}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , denotes the sequence consisting of  $t$  twos. This formula is also true without the condition  $n_2, \dots, n_p \geq 3$  under the following convention: the sequence  $(m_1, 2^{(-1)}, m_2)$  has unit length and is equal to  $(m_1 + m_2 - 2)$ . This rule is consecutively applied to all  $n_\alpha = 2$  for  $2 \leq \alpha \leq p - 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof is carried out by induction on  $\min(p, p')$ . For  $p = 1$ , we must prove that  $\frac{n}{n-1} = 2 - \frac{1}{2 - \dots - \frac{1}{2}}$  is a continued fraction of length  $n - 1$ . For example, let  $n_1 > 2$  for  $p, p' > 1$ . We have  $\frac{k}{d(n_3, \dots, n_p)} = n_2 - \frac{1}{n_3 - \dots - \frac{1}{n_p}}$ . By assumption,

$$\frac{k}{k - d(n_3, \dots, n_p)} = n'_{n_1-1} - 1 - \frac{1}{n'_{n_1} - \frac{1}{n'_{n_1+1} - \dots - \frac{1}{n'_{p'}}}}.$$

where the sequence  $(n'_1, \dots, n'_{n_1-2})$  is  $(2^{(n_1-2)})$ . We can further see that  $n'_1 - \frac{1}{n'_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n'_{p'}}} = \frac{n}{n-k}$  by using the relations  $d(n_1, \dots, n_p) = n$ ,  $d(n_2, \dots, n_p) = k$ ,

$$\frac{d(n_1, \dots, n_p)}{d(n_2, \dots, n_p)} = n_1 - \frac{1}{n_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n_p}},$$

and  $d(n_1, \dots, n_p) = n_1 d(n_2, \dots, n_p) - d(n_3, \dots, n_p)$ . □

**Proposition 3.** *Let the function  $\Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z_p; z'_1, \dots, z'_{p'})$  of  $p+p'$  variables  $z_1, \dots, z_p, z'_1, \dots, z'_{p'}$  be defined by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z_p, z'_1, \dots, z'_{p'}) \\ = e^{2\pi i z'_1} \theta(z_1 - z'_1) \theta(z_p + z'_{p'}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq p'-1} \theta(z'_\alpha - z'_{\alpha+1} + z_{n'_1 + \dots + n'_\alpha - 2\alpha + 1}) \\ \times \prod_{1 \leq \beta \leq p-1} \theta(z_\beta - z_{\beta+1} + z'_{n_1 + \dots + n_\beta - 2\beta + 1}). \end{aligned}$$

*This function satisfies the following relations:*

$$\Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z_\alpha + 1, \dots, z'_{p'}) = \Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z'_\beta + 1, \dots, z'_{p'}) = \Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z'_{p'}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z_\alpha + \tau, \dots, z'_{p'}) \\ = (-1)^{n_\alpha} e^{-2\pi i (n_\alpha z_\alpha - z_{\alpha-1} - z_{\alpha+1} - (\delta_{\alpha,1} - 1)\tau)} \Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z'_{p'}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z'_\beta + \tau, \dots, z'_{p'}) \\ = (-1)^{n'_\beta} e^{-2\pi i (n'_\beta z'_\beta - z'_{\beta-1} - z'_{\beta+1} - (\delta_{\beta,1} - 1)\tau)} \Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z'_{p'}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_0 = z_{p+1} = z'_0 = z'_{p'+1} = 0$  and  $\delta_{\alpha,1}$  is the Kronecker delta.

The proof follows directly from the above description of the duality between the sequences  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$  and  $(n'_1, \dots, n'_{p'})$ .

**Proposition 4.**

$$\Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z_p; z'_1, \dots, z'_{p'}) = c_{n,k} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} w_\alpha^{n/k}(z_1, \dots, z_p) w_{1-\alpha}^{n/n-k}(z'_1, \dots, z'_{p'}), \quad (6)$$

where  $c_{n,k} \in \mathbb{C}$  is some constant.

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 3 that the function of the variables  $z_1, \dots, z_p$  defined by  $\Delta_{n,k}$  belongs to the space  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ . Similarly, the function of the variables  $z'_1, \dots, z'_{p'}$  defined by  $\Delta_{n,k}$  belongs to  $\Theta_{n/n-k}(\Gamma)$ . Therefore,

$$\Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z_p; z'_1, \dots, z'_{p'}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{\alpha, \beta} w_\alpha^{n/k}(z_1, \dots, z_p) w_\beta^{n/n-k}(z'_1, \dots, z'_{p'}).$$

However, one can readily see that

$$\Delta_{n,k}(z_1 + r_1, \dots, z_p + r_p; z'_1 + r'_1, \dots, z'_{p'} + r'_{p'}) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} \Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z'_{p'}),$$

where  $r_\alpha = \frac{d(n_1, \dots, n_{\alpha-1})}{n}$  and  $r'_\beta = \frac{d(n'_1, \dots, n'_{\beta-1})}{n}$ . It follows that  $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$  for  $\alpha + \beta \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$  (since  $w_\alpha(z_1 + r_1, \dots, z_p + r_p) = e^{2\pi i \frac{\alpha}{n}} w_\alpha(z_1, \dots, z_p)$  and  $w_\beta(z'_1 + r'_1, \dots, z'_{p'} + r'_{p'}) = e^{2\pi i \frac{\beta}{n}} w_\beta(z'_1, \dots, z'_{p'})$ ). Hence,  $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = \lambda_\alpha \delta_{\alpha+\beta,1}$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n,k}(z_1 + r_1\tau, \dots, z_p + r_p\tau; z'_1 + r'_1\tau, \dots, z'_{p'} + r'_{p'}\tau) \\ = e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{n}\tau - z_p - z'_{p'}\right)} \Delta_{n,k}(z_1, \dots, z'_{p'}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_{\alpha+1}$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_\alpha$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

## 2 Belavin $R$ -Matrix

### 2.1 Main definitions

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional linear space, let  $R(u, v)$  be a meromorphic function of complex variables  $u$  and  $v$  with range in the set of linear operators in  $V \otimes V$  such that  $R(u, v)$  satisfies the condition  $R(u, v)R(v, u) = 1$ , and let  $R_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta}(u, v)$  be the matrix elements of the function  $R(u, v)$  with respect to some basis in  $V$ .

We denote by  $A_R$  the associative algebra with the generators  $\{x_\alpha(u); \alpha = 1, \dots, n; u \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and the defining relations

$$x_\alpha(u)x_\beta(v) = \sum_{\gamma,\delta} R_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta}(u, v)x_\delta(v)x_\gamma(u).$$

**Definition.** An operator-valued function  $R(u, v)$  is called an  $R$ -matrix if, for any  $u, v$  and  $w$  in general position, the elements  $\{x_\alpha(u)x_\beta(v)x_\gamma(w); \alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, n\}$  of the algebra  $A_R$  are linearly independent. In this case,  $A_R$  is called the Zamolodchikov algebra for the  $R$ -matrix  $R(u, v)$ .

As is known, for this condition to hold it is necessary and sufficient that  $R(u, v)$  satisfy the Yang–Baxter equation, which can be written as

$$\sum_{\mu,\nu,t} R_{\alpha\beta}^{\nu\mu}(u, v)R_{\nu\gamma}^{lt}(u, w)R_{\mu t}^{\varphi\psi}(v, w) = \sum_{\mu,\nu,t} R_{\beta\gamma}^{\nu\mu}(v, w)R_{\alpha\mu}^{t\psi}(u, w)R_{t\nu}^{l\varphi}(u, v). \quad (7)$$

in terms of matrix elements. Note that this equation is preserved if  $R(u, v)$  is multiplied by an arbitrary function  $\varphi(u, v)$ .

Krichever [7] classified the solutions of Eq. (7) for  $n = 2$ . No classification is known for greater values of  $n$ .

## 2.2 Belavin $R$ -matrix

Belavin [2] constructed a family of  $R$ -matrices. In the above notation, the related Zamolodchikov algebras are written as follows. As above, let  $n$  and  $k$  be coprime positive integers such that  $1 \leq k < n$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the lattice generated by 1 and  $\tau$ ,  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ , and let  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We define the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  by the generators  $\{x_\alpha(u); \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\theta_1(0) \dots \theta_{n-1}(0) \theta_0(v-u+\eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v-u+\eta)}{\theta_0(\eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(\eta) \theta_0(v-u) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v-u)} x_\alpha(u) x_\beta(v) \\ &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\theta_{\beta-\alpha+r(k-1)}(v-u+\eta)}{\theta_{kr}(\eta) \theta_{\beta-\alpha-r}(v-u)} x_{\beta-r}(v) x_{\alpha+r}(u). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here  $\{\theta_\alpha(u); \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$  is a basis in the space  $\Theta_{n, \frac{n-1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ .

**Proposition 5.**  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  is a Zamolodchikov algebra.

*Proof.* It is easy to verify the corresponding Yang–Baxter equation (7) directly. We substitute

$$R_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta\gamma}(u, v) = \delta_{\alpha+\beta, \gamma+\delta} \frac{\theta_{\beta-\alpha+(\beta-\gamma)(k-1)}(v-u+\eta)}{\theta_{k(\beta-\gamma)}(\eta) \theta_{\beta-\delta}(v-u)}$$

into (7) and compare the poles on the left- and right-hand sides. Let  $\varphi(u, v, w, \eta)$  be the difference between the left- and right-hand sides. It can readily be shown that  $\varphi(u, v, w, \eta)$  is holomorphic in  $u$  and satisfies the conditions  $\varphi(u+1, v, w, \eta) = \varphi(u, v, w, \eta)$  and  $\varphi(u+\tau, v, w, \eta) = e^{2\pi i n(2\eta)} \varphi(u, v, w, \eta)$ , and this implies that  $\varphi = 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* Suppose that  $n\eta \in \Gamma$ , i.e.,  $\eta = \frac{\mu}{n} + \frac{\nu}{n}\tau$ , where  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then relations (8) become

$$x_\alpha(u) x_\beta(v) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}(\beta-\alpha+k'\nu)\mu} x_{\beta+k'\nu}(v) x_{\alpha-k'\nu}(u).$$

where  $k' = d(n_1, \dots, n_{p-1})$ , and it one can check that  $1 \leq k' < n$  and  $kk' \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

### 3 Dynamical Algebras with Exchange Relations

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mu, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{C}$ , and let  $m_1, \dots, m_p \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define an associative algebra  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  in the following way: it is generated by the commutative subalgebra consisting of all meromorphic functions of the variables  $\{y_{\alpha, j}; 1 \leq j \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq m_j, \alpha, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and by the generators  $\{e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u); \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq \alpha_j \leq m_j, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . The defining relations are

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u) y_{\beta, j} &= (y_{\beta, j} + \lambda_j) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u), \quad \text{where } \beta \neq \alpha_j, \\ e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u) y_{\alpha_j, j} &= (y_{\alpha_j, j} + \lambda_j - \mu) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

This means that  $y_{\beta, j}$  are dynamical variables. The remaining relations are quadratic with respect to  $e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u)$ . We first write the relations in “general position.” Suppose that  $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_p \neq \beta_p$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\theta(v - u + \mu)}{\theta(v - u)} e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p}(v) \\ &= \frac{\theta(\mu) \theta(v - u + y_{\alpha_1, 1} - y_{\beta_1, 1})}{\theta(v - u) \theta(y_{\alpha_1, 1} - y_{\beta_1, 1})} e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p}(u) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq t < p} \frac{\theta(\mu) \theta(y_{\alpha_t, t} - y_{\beta_t, t} + y_{\alpha_{t+1}, t+1} - y_{\beta_{t+1}, t+1})}{\theta(y_{\alpha_t, t} - y_{\beta_t, t}) \theta(y_{\alpha_{t+1}, t+1} - y_{\beta_{t+1}, t+1})} \\ &\quad \times e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \alpha_{t+1}, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t, \beta_{t+1}, \dots, \beta_p}(u) \\ &\quad + \frac{\theta(y_{\alpha_p, p} - y_{\beta_p, p} + \mu)}{\theta(y_{\alpha_p, p} - y_{\beta_p, p})} e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p}(v) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u). \end{aligned}$$

The relations in nongeneral position appear if  $\alpha_\nu = \beta_\nu$  for some  $\alpha_\nu$  and  $\beta_\nu$ . Let  $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1} \neq \beta_{\nu-1}$ , and  $\alpha_\nu = \beta_\nu, 1 \leq \nu \leq p$ . Then

$e_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p}(u) e_{\alpha_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p}(v) = e_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\alpha_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p}(u)$  for  $\nu = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\theta(v-u+\mu)}{\theta(v-u)} e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}, \alpha_\nu, \dots, \alpha_p}(u) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\nu-1}, \alpha_\nu, \dots, \beta_p}(v) \\ &= \frac{\theta(\mu)\theta(v-u+y_{\alpha_1,1}-y_{\beta_1,1})}{\theta(v-u)\theta(y_{\alpha_1,1}-y_{\beta_1,1})} e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}, \alpha_\nu, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\nu-1}, \alpha_\nu, \dots, \beta_p}(u) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq t < \nu-1} \frac{\theta(\mu)\theta(y_{\alpha_t,t}-y_{\beta_t,t}+y_{\alpha_{t+1},t+1}-y_{\beta_{t+1},t+1})}{\theta(y_{\alpha_t,t}-y_{\beta_t,t})\theta(y_{\alpha_{t+1},t+1}-y_{\beta_{t+1},t+1})} \\ & \quad \times e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \alpha_{t+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}, \alpha_\nu, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t, \beta_{t+1}, \dots, \beta_{\nu-1}, \alpha_\nu, \dots, \beta_p}(u) \\ & \quad + \frac{\theta(y_{\alpha_{\nu-1}, \nu-1}-y_{\beta_{\nu-1}, \nu-1}+\mu)}{\theta(y_{\alpha_{\nu-1}, \nu-1}-y_{\beta_{\nu-1}, \nu-1})} e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\nu-1}, \alpha_\nu, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}, \alpha_\nu, \dots, \beta_p}(u), \end{aligned}$$

for  $\nu > 1$ . Here the subscripts in  $e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p}(u)$  on the right-hand side with indices from 1 to  $(\nu-1)$  are permuted, whereas the others remain fixed.

Finally, let  $\alpha_\nu = \beta_\nu$ ,  $\alpha_\lambda = \beta_\lambda$ , and  $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$  for  $\nu < i < \lambda$ . Here  $1 \leq \nu < p$  and  $\nu < \lambda \leq p+1$  (the case  $\lambda = p+1$  means that  $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$  for all  $i > \nu$ ). Therefore, the relations

$$\begin{aligned} & e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\lambda-1}, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu, \beta_{\nu+1}, \dots, \beta_{\lambda-1}, \alpha_\lambda, \dots, \beta_p}(u) \\ &= \sum_{\nu+1 \leq t < \lambda-1} \frac{\theta(\mu)\theta(y_{\alpha_t,t}-y_{\beta_t,t}+y_{\alpha_{t+1},t+1}-y_{\beta_{t+1},t+1})}{\theta(y_{\alpha_t,t}-y_{\beta_t,t})\theta(y_{\alpha_{t+1},t+1}-y_{\beta_{t+1},t+1})} \\ & \quad \times e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu, \beta_{\nu+1}, \dots, \beta_t, \alpha_{t+1}, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1}, \dots, \alpha_t, \beta_{t+1}, \dots, \beta_p}(u) \\ & \quad + \frac{\theta(y_{\alpha_{\lambda-1}, \lambda-1}-y_{\beta_{\lambda-1}, \lambda-1}+\mu)}{\theta(y_{\alpha_{\lambda-1}, \lambda-1}-y_{\beta_{\lambda-1}, \lambda-1})} \\ & \quad \times e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu, \beta_{\nu+1}, \dots, \beta_{\lambda-1}, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\lambda-1}, \dots, \beta_p}(u). \end{aligned}$$

hold. Here the subscripts with indices from  $(\nu+1)$  to  $(\lambda-1)$  are permuted, whereas the others remain fixed.

*Remark.* Let  $p = 1$ . Then  $X_1^m(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda)$  is the Zamolodchikov algebra for a dynamical  $R$ -matrix [5]. This means that  $X_1^m(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda)$  is a plane deformation of the ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables  $\{e_\alpha(u); 1 \leq \alpha \leq m, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$  over the field of meromorphic functions of the variables  $y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{m,1}$ . Under the deformation, this field of functions becomes a field of quasiconstants (see (9)).

The structure of the algebra  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  for  $p > 1$  is more complicated. Namely, for  $\mu = \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_p = 0$ , this is a commutative



algebra over the field of meromorphic functions of the variables  $\{y_{\alpha,t}; 1 \leq \alpha \leq m_t, 1 \leq t \leq p\}$ , with the generators  $\{e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u); 1 \leq \alpha_t \leq m_t, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and the relations

$$e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}, \alpha_{\nu}, \dots, \alpha_p}(u) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\nu-1}, \alpha_{\nu}, \dots, \beta_p}(v) = e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\nu-1}, \alpha_{\nu}, \dots, \alpha_p}(u) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}, \alpha_{\nu}, \dots, \beta_p}(v)$$

for  $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1} \neq \beta_{\nu-1}$ , and

$$e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu}, \alpha_{\nu+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\lambda-1}, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu}, \beta_{\nu+1}, \dots, \beta_{\lambda-1}, \alpha_{\lambda}, \dots, \beta_p}(u) = e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu}, \beta_{\nu+1}, \dots, \beta_{\lambda-1}, \dots, \alpha_p}(v) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu}, \alpha_{\nu+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\lambda-1}, \dots, \beta_p}(u),$$

for  $\alpha_{\nu} = \beta_{\nu}$ ,  $\alpha_{\lambda} = \beta_{\lambda}$  and  $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$ ,  $\nu < i < \lambda$ .

One can see that these relations admit a uniformization:  $e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(u) = e_{\alpha_1}^1(u) e_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^2 \dots e_{\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p}^p$ , where  $\{e_{\alpha}^1(u), e_{\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i}^i\}$  are independent variables. The algebra  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  is a plane deformation of this commutative algebra.

## 4 Homomorphisms of the Algebras $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$ into Dynamical Algebras with Exchange Relations

**Proposition 6.** *For an arbitrary sequence  $m_1, \dots, m_p \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a homomorphism*

$$\Phi: Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta) \rightarrow X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p),$$

which is defined on the generators by the formula

$$\Phi(x_{\alpha}(u)) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq m_1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \leq \alpha_p \leq m_p}} w_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha_1, 1} + \nu_1 u, \dots, y_{\alpha_p, p} + \nu_p u) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(nu). \quad (10)$$

Here we use the notation  $w_{\alpha}(y_1, \dots, y_p) \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\nu_j = d(n_{j+1}, \dots, n_p)\eta$ ,  $\mu = d(n_1, \dots, n_p)\eta = n\eta$ ,  $\lambda_j = d(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1})\eta$  for  $1 \leq j \leq p$ , and  $\frac{n}{k} = n_1 - \frac{1}{n_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n_p}}$ .

*Remark.* Formula (10) shows that, for a fixed  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ , the space of the generators of the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  is naturally isomorphic to the space  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ . Here any basis element  $x_\alpha(u)$  corresponds to  $w_\alpha(y_1, \dots, y_p) \in \Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$ .

*Proof of Proposition 6.* We must show that the image of relations (8) under the homomorphism  $\Phi$  holds in the algebra  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$ . Applying  $\Phi$  to the difference between the left- and right-hand sides in (8) and using the relations in the algebra  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$ , we obtain an expression of the form

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha_1, \beta_1 \leq m_1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \leq \alpha_p, \beta_p \leq m_p}} \psi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p}(y_{\alpha_1, 1}, \dots, y_{\alpha_p, p}, y_{\beta_1, 1}, \dots, y_{\beta_p, p}) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(nv) e_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p}(nu).$$

We must prove that  $\psi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_p}(y_{\alpha_1, 1}, \dots, y_{\beta_p, p}) = 0$  for all  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ . Let us verify this formula for  $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_p \neq \beta_p$ . The related calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_p}(y_{\alpha_1, 1}, \dots, y_{\beta_p, p}) \\ &= \frac{\theta_1(0) \dots \theta_{n-1}(0) \theta_0(v-u+\eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v-u+\eta)}{\theta_0(\eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(\eta) \theta_0(v-u) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v-u)} \cdot \frac{\theta(nv-nu+n\eta)}{\theta(nv-nu)} \\ & \times \left( w_\alpha(y_{\alpha_1, 1} + \nu_1 u, \dots, y_{\alpha_p, p} + \nu_p u) w_\beta(y_{\beta_1, 1} + \nu_1 v + \lambda_1, \dots, y_{\beta_p, p} + \nu_p v + \lambda_p) \right. \\ & \quad \times \frac{\theta(n\eta) \theta(nv-nu+y_{\alpha_1, 1}-y_{\beta_1, 1})}{\theta(nv-nu) \theta(y_{\alpha_1, 1}-y_{\beta_1, 1})} \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq t < p} \frac{\theta(n\eta) \theta(y_{\beta_t, t} - y_{\alpha_t, t} + y_{\alpha_{t+1}, t+1} - y_{\beta_{t+1}, t+1})}{\theta(y_{\beta_t, t} - y_{\alpha_t, t}) \theta(y_{\alpha_{t+1}, t+1} - y_{\beta_{t+1}, t+1})} \\ & \quad \times w_\alpha(y_{\beta_1, 1} + \nu_1 u, \dots, y_{\beta_t, t} + \nu_t u, y_{\alpha_{t+1}, t+1} + \nu_{t+1} u, \dots, y_{\alpha_p, p} + \nu_p u) \\ & \quad \times w_\beta(y_{\alpha_1, 1} + \nu_1 v + \lambda_1, \dots, y_{\alpha_t, t} + \nu_t v + \lambda_t, y_{\beta_{t+1}, t+1} + \nu_{t+1} v + \lambda_{t+1}, \dots, y_{\beta_p, p} + \nu_p v + \lambda_p) \\ & \quad + \frac{\theta(y_{\beta_p, p} - y_{\alpha_p, p} + n\eta)}{\theta(y_{\beta_p, p} - y_{\alpha_p, p})} \\ & \quad \left. \times w_\alpha(y_{\beta_1, 1} + \nu_1 u, \dots, y_{\beta_p, p} + \nu_p u) w_\beta(y_{\alpha_1, 1} + \nu_1 v + \lambda_1, \dots, y_{\alpha_p, p} + \nu_p v + \lambda_p) \right) \\ & - \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\theta_{\beta-\alpha+r(k-1)}(v-u+\eta)}{\theta_{kr}(\eta) \theta_{\beta-\alpha-r}(v-u)} w_{\beta-r}(y_{\alpha_1, 1} + \nu_1 v, \dots, y_{\alpha_p, p} + \nu_p v) \\ & \quad \times w_{\alpha+r}(y_{\beta_1, 1} + \nu_1 u + \lambda_1, \dots, y_{\beta_p, p} + \nu_p u + \lambda_p). \end{aligned}$$

We replace  $\theta_0(v - u + \eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v - u + \eta)$ ,  $\theta_0(\eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(\eta)$ , and  $\theta_0(v - u) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v - u)$  in this expression by using identity (1), after which the relation  $\psi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_p} = 0$  readily follows from identity (2).

The case in which the relation  $\alpha_j = \beta_j$  holds for some  $\alpha_j$  and  $\beta_j$  can be treated similarly.  $\square$

## 5 Polyspectral Algebras with Exchange Relations

Let  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'})$  be the associative algebra with the generators  $\{e(u, u_1, \dots, u_{p'}); u, u_1, \dots, u_{p'} \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\theta(v - u + \mu)}{\theta(v - \mu)} e(u, u_1, \dots, u_{p'}) e(v, v_1 + \mu_1, \dots, v_{p'} + \mu_{p'}) \\ &= \frac{\theta(\mu) \theta(v - u + u_1 - v_1)}{\theta(v - u) \theta(u_1 - v_1)} e(v, u_1, \dots, u_{p'}) e(u, v_1 + \mu_1, \dots, v_{p'} + \mu_{p'}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq t < p'} \frac{\theta(\mu) \theta(v_t - u_t + u_{t+1} - v_{t+1})}{\theta(v_t - u_t) \theta(u_{t+1} - v_{t+1})} e(v, v_1, \dots, v_t, u_{t+1}, \dots, u_{p'}) \\ &\quad \times e(u, u_1 + \mu_1, \dots, u_t + \mu_t, v_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1}, \dots, v_{p'} + \mu_{p'}) \\ &+ \frac{\theta(v_{p'} - u_{p'} + \mu)}{\theta(v_{p'} - u_{p'})} e(v, v_1, \dots, v_{p'}) e(u, u_1 + \mu_1, \dots, u_{p'} + \mu_{p'}). \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* For  $\mu = \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_{p'} = 0$ , the algebra  $Y_{p'}(\Gamma, 0, \dots, 0)$  is the ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables  $\{e(u, u_1, \dots, u_{p'}); u, \dots, u_{p'} \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . It can be shown that  $Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'})$  is a plane deformation of  $Y_{p'}(\Gamma, 0, \dots, 0)$ . This means that  $Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'})$  is the Zamolodchikov algebra for an  $R$ -matrix in the space of functions of the variables  $u_1, \dots, u_{p'}$ , i.e.,  $u$  is the spectral parameter for  $e(u, u_1, \dots, u_{p'})$ , and  $u_1, \dots, u_{p'}$  index the basis (that is,  $u_1, \dots, u_{p'}$  are an analog of  $i$  for  $x_i(u)$  in a finite-dimensional  $R$ -matrix). The corresponding Yang–Baxter equation can be verified directly.

## 6 Homomorphism of a Polyspectral Algebra with Exchange Relations into the Algebra $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$

**Proposition 7.** *There is a homomorphism*

$$\Psi: Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'}) \rightarrow Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta),$$

*which is defined on the generators by the formula*

$$\Psi(e(nu, u_1, \dots, u_{p'})) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} x_{1-\alpha}(u) w_\alpha(u_1 + \gamma_1 u, \dots, u_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} u). \quad (12)$$

*Here*

$$\frac{n}{n-k} = n'_1 - \frac{1}{n'_2 - \dots - \frac{1}{n'_{p'}}}, \quad \mu = n\eta,$$

$$\mu_j = d(n'_1, \dots, n'_{j-1})\eta, \quad \gamma_j = -d(n'_{j+1}, \dots, n'_{p'})\eta, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p'.$$

*Remark.* Formula (12) shows that, if  $u$  is fixed, then the space of generators for the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  is naturally dual to the space  $\Theta_{n/n-k}(\Gamma)$  (see Sec. 1.3).

*Proof of Proposition 7.* We must prove the validity of the image of relations (11) in the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$  with respect to the homomorphism  $\Psi$ . Applying  $\Psi$  to the difference between the left- and right-hand sides of (11) and using relations (8) in the algebra  $Z_{n,k}(\Gamma, \eta)$ , one can readily represent the resulting expression in the form  $\sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{\gamma, \delta}(u, v, u_1, \dots, u_{p'}, v_1, \dots, v_{p'}) x_{1-\gamma}(v) x_{1-\delta}(u)$ . We must prove

that  $\psi_{\gamma,\delta}(u, \dots, v_{p'}) = 0$ . One can readily see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{\gamma,\delta}(u, \dots, v_{p'}) \\
&= \frac{\theta(nv - nu + n\eta)}{\theta(nv - nu)} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} w_{\delta-r}(u_1 + \gamma_1 u, \dots, u_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} u) \\
& \quad \times w_{\gamma+r}(v_1 + \mu_1 + \gamma_1 v, \dots, v_{p'} + \mu_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} v) \frac{\theta_{\delta-\gamma-r(k+1)}(v - u + \eta)}{\theta_{kr}(\eta) \theta_{\delta-\gamma-r}(v - u)} \\
& \quad - \frac{\theta_1(0) \dots \theta_{n-1}(0) \theta_0(v - u + \eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v - u + \eta)}{\theta_0(\eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(\eta) \theta_0(v - u) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v - u)} \\
& \quad \times \left( \frac{\theta(n\eta) \theta(nv - nu + u_1 - v_1)}{\theta(nv - nu) \theta(u_1 - v_1)} w_{\gamma}(u_1 + \gamma_1 v, \dots, u_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} v) \right. \\
& \quad \times w_{\delta}(v_1 + \mu_1 + \gamma_1 u, \dots, v_{p'} + \mu_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} u) \\
& \quad + \sum_{1 \leq t < p'} \frac{\theta(n\eta) \theta(v_t - u_t + u_{t+1} - v_{t+1})}{\theta(v_t - u_t) \theta(u_{t+1} - v_{t+1})} \\
& \quad \times w_{\gamma}(v_1 + \gamma_1 v, \dots, v_t + \gamma_t v, u_{t+1} + \gamma_{t+1} v, \dots, u_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} v) \\
& \quad \times w_{\delta}(u_1 + \gamma_1 u + \mu_1, \dots, u_t + \mu_t + \gamma_t u, v_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1} + \gamma_{t+1} u, \dots, v'_{p'} + \mu_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} u) \\
& \quad + \frac{\theta(v_{p'} - u_{p'} + n\eta)}{\theta(v_{p'} - u_{p'})} w_{\gamma}(v_1 + \gamma_1 v, \dots, v_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} v) \\
& \quad \left. \times w_{\delta}(u_1 + \mu_1 + \gamma_1 u, \dots, u_{p'} + \mu_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} u) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We again replace  $\theta_0(v - u + \eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v - u + \eta)$ ,  $\theta_0(\eta) \dots \theta_{n-1}(\eta)$ , and  $\theta_0(v - u) \dots \theta_{n-1}(v - u)$  according to (1). Moreover, we make the change of variables  $u_j \mapsto u_j - \gamma_j u - \gamma_j v$ ,  $v_j \mapsto v_j - \gamma_j u - \gamma_j v$ . This results in identity (2), but, in this case, for the theta functions in the space  $\Theta_{n/n-k}(\Gamma)$ .  $\square$

*Remarks.* 1. The composition of the homomorphisms  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  gives the homomorphism

$$\Phi \circ \Psi: Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'}) \rightarrow X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p).$$

In view of (6), we obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi \circ \Psi(e(nu, u_1, \dots, u_{p'})) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq m_1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \leq \alpha_p \leq m_p}} \Delta_{n, n-k}(u_1 + \gamma_1 u, \dots, u_{p'} + \gamma_{p'} u; y_{\alpha_1, 1} + \nu_1 u, \dots, y_{\alpha_p, p} + \nu_p u) e_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}(nu).
\end{aligned}$$

2. The function  $\theta(z)$  can be degenerated trigonometrically and rationally. In these cases, it is replaced by the expressions  $1 - e^{2\pi iz}$  and  $z$ , respectively. Making these changes in the definitions of  $X_p^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(\Gamma, \mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  and  $Y_{p'}(\Gamma, \mu; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'})$ , we obtain trigonometric and rational degenerations of these algebras. Propositions 6 and 7 turn in this case into the constructions of trigonometric and rational  $R$ -matrices if  $\Theta_{n/k}(\Gamma)$  is replaced by the space of polynomials in the variables  $t_1, \dots, t_p$  of degree lower than  $n_j$  with respect to the variable  $t_j$  ( $1 \leq t \leq p$ ), where  $t_j = e^{2\pi iz_j}$  in the trigonometric case and  $t_j = z_j$  in the rational case.

## References

- [1] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*, Academic Press, London–New York, 1982.
- [2] A. A. Belavin, “Discrete groups and integrability of quantum systems,” *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, 14, No. 4, 18–26 (1980); English. transl. *Functional Anal. Appl.*, 14, No. 4, 260–267 (1980).
- [3] A. V. Odesskii and B. L. Feigin, “Construction of Sklyanin elliptic algebras and quantum  $R$ -matrices,” *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, 27, No. 1, 37–45 (1993); English. transl. *Functional Anal. Appl.*, 27, No. 1, 31–38 (1993).
- [4] G. Felder and V. Pasquier, “A simple construction of elliptic  $R$ -matrices,” *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 32, 167–171 (1994).
- [5] G. Felder, “Elliptic quantum groups,” In: *Proc. XIth ICMP (Paris, 1994)*, Internat. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 211–218.
- [6] D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta, I, II*, *Progr. Math.*, Vol. 28, 43, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1983, 1984.
- [7] I. M. Krichever, “The Baxter equations and algebraic geometry,” *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, 15, No. 2, 22–35 (1981); English. transl. *Functional Anal. Appl.*, 15, No. 2, 92–103 (1981).

L. D. Landau Institute of Theoretical Physics,  
Russian Academy of Sciences