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#### Abstract

. W e recently introduced a robust approach to the derivation of shanp asym ptotic form ula for correlation functions of statisticalmechan icsm odels in the high-tem perature regim e. W e describe its application to the nonperturbative proof of O mstein-Zemike asym ptotics of 2 -point functions for self-avoiding walks, B emoullipercolation and ferrom agnetic Ising m odels. $W$ e then extend the proof, in the Ising case, to arbitrary odd-odd correlation functions. W ediscuss the uctuations of connection paths (invariance principle), and relate the variance of the lim iting process to the geom etry of the equidecay pro les. Finally, we explain the relation betw een these results from StatisticalM echanics and their counterparts in $Q$ uantum $F$ ield $T$ heory.


x1. Introduction
In $m$ any situations, various quantities of interest can be represented in term s of path-like structures. This is the case, e.g., of correlations in various lattioe system $s$, either in perturbative regim es (through a suitable expansion), or non-perturbatively, as in the ferrom agnetic Ising m odels at supercritical tem peratures. $M$ any im portant questions about the ne asym ptotics of these quantities can be reform ulated as local lim it theorem s for these (essentially) one-dim ensionalob jects. In $\underline{\underline{1} \mid 1]}$, building upon the earlier w orks $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[15} \\ 1\end{array}, 1 /[]\right]$, we proposed a robust non-perturbative approach to such a problem. It has already been applied successfilly in the case of self-avoiding walks, B emoulli percolation and Ising m odels.

[^0]W e brie y review the results that have been thus obtained (see also '[i[p] for a short description of the $m$ ain ideas of the proof).

Self-avoiding walks. A self-avoiding path ! from 0 to $x \notin 0$ is a sequence ofdistinct sites $t_{0}=0 ; t_{1} ; t_{2} ;::: ; t_{n}=x$ in $Z^{d}$, with $t_{i} t_{i} 1 j=$ $1, i=1 ;::: \mathrm{n}$ (the restriction to nearest-neighbor jum ps can be replaced by arbitrary, possibly weighted, jum ps of nite range). Let < 0, we are interested in the follow ing quantity:

$$
G^{\text {SAW }}(x) \stackrel{4}{=}{ }_{!!: 0!x}^{x} e^{j!j} ;
$$

where the sum runs over all self-avoiding paths from 0 to $x$, and $j!j$ denotes the length of the path ${ }_{\dot{P}} G^{\text {SAW }}(x)$ is nite for all $<{\underset{C}{\text { SAW }}}^{\text {S }}$, with $\underset{C}{\text { SAW }}>1$. A ctually, $x 2 \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{G}^{\text {SAW }}(\mathrm{x})$ is nite if and only if $<{ }_{C}^{\text {SAW }}$.
B ern oullibond percolation. Let $>0$.W e consider a fam ily ofi.i.d. $\mathrm{f} 0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$-valued random variables $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}$, indexed by the bonds e betw een tw o nearest-neighbor sites of $Z^{d}$ (again, restriction to nearest-neighbor sites can be dropped); Prob ( $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{e})=1$ ) = 1 e.$W$ e say that 0 is connected to $\mathrm{x}(0 \$ \mathrm{x})$ in a realization n of these random variables if there is a self-avoiding path ! from 0 to x such that $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}=1$ for all increm ents e along the path. W e are interested in the follow ing quantity:

$$
G^{\text {perc }}(x) \stackrel{4}{=} \text { Prob }(0 \$ x):
$$



It is a deep result of $[\bar{Z}]$ that the percolation transition is shanp, i.e.

$$
c=\text { inff }: \text { Prob }(0 \$ 1)>0 g:
$$

Ising model. Let $>0$. We consider a fam ily of $f 1 ; 1 g$-valued random variables $x$, indexed by the sites $x 2 Z^{d}$. Let $L=f \quad L ;:: ; L^{d}$. $T$ he probability of a realization of the random variables $(x)_{x 2}$, w ith boundary condition $-2 \mathrm{f} 1 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}}}$, is given by
(A sfor the tw o previous $m$ odels, the nearest-neighbor restriction can be replaced by a \{ possibly weighted \{ nite-range assum ption.) T he set of lim iting $m$ easures, as L ! 1 and for any boundary conditions, is a sim plex, whose extrem e elem ents are the G ibbs states of the m odel. W e de ne the high-tem perature region as $<{ }_{c}^{\text {Ising }}$, where
${ }_{c}^{\text {Ising }}=\operatorname{supf} \quad:$ There is a unique $G$ ibbs state at param eter $g>0:$
W e are interested in the follow ing quantity:

$$
\mathrm{G}^{\text {Ising }}(\mathrm{x}) \stackrel{4}{=} \mathrm{E} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \mathrm{x}
\end{array}\right] ;
$$

where the expectation is com puted $w$ ith respect to any translation invariant G ibbs state (it is independent of $w$ hich one is chosen). It is a deep result of [ [3, acterized as the set of all such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{Z}^{\text {d }} \\
& \text { Ising }(\mathrm{x})<1: \\
& \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

W e now discuss sim ultaneously these three m odels; to that end, we simply forget them odel-speci c superscripts, and sim ply write cor $G$. It can be show $n$ that for all three $m$ odels, for all $<c$, the function $G(x)$ is actually exponentially decreasing in $\dot{x} j$ i.e. the corresponding inverse correlation length $: R^{d}!R$ satisfy

$$
(x) \stackrel{4}{=} \lim _{k!1} \frac{1}{k} \log G \quad(b k x C)>0 ;
$$

where bxc is the com ponentw ise integer part of x . Obviously, is positive-hom ogeneous, and it is not di cult to prove that it is convex; it is thus an equivalent norm on $R^{d}$ (for $<c_{\text {c }}$ ).
 asym ptotics for $G(x)$, as $j \bar{j} j 1$, for these three $m$ odels, in the corresponding high-tem perature regions.

Theorem 1.1. C onsider one of the $m$ odels above, and let $<c$. Then, uniform ly as $\dot{x} j!1$,

$$
G \quad(x)=\frac{\left(n_{x}\right)}{\dot{x} j^{n}{ }^{1}} e^{\left(n_{x}\right) \dot{x} j}(1+o(1)) ;
$$

where $n_{x}=x=\dot{x} j$ and is strictly positive and analytic. M oreover,
is also an analytic function.

A s a by-product of the proofoft heorem ${ }^{11}-\overline{1}$, w w obtain the follow ing results on the shape of the equidecay pro les,

$$
\mathrm{U} \quad \stackrel{4}{=} \mathrm{fx} 2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}: \quad \text { (x) } \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}
$$

and their polar, the $W$ ul shapes

$$
K \stackrel{4}{=}{\mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{~S}^{d} 1} \mathrm{ft} 2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}:(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{n})_{\mathrm{d}} \quad(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{g}:
$$

Theorem 1.2. C onsider one of the $m$ odels above, and let $<c$. T hen K has a locally analytic, strictly convex boundary. M oreover, the Gaussian curvature of $K$ is uniform ly positive,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\stackrel{4}{=} \min _{t 2 @ K} \quad(t)>0: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By duality, @U is also locally analytic and strictly convex.
$R$ em ark 1.3. In two dim ensions $K$ is rem iniscent of the $W$ ul shape (and is exactly the low-tem perature $W$ ul shape in the cases of the nearest-neighbor Ising and peroolation models). Equation ( called the positive sti ness condition; it is known to be equivalent to the follow ing sharp triangle inequality

$$
(u)+\quad(v) \quad(u+v) \quad-(j u j+j j j u+v\rangle):
$$

Theorem 11 correlation functions. W e show this here in the $m$ ost di cult case of ferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odels; nam ely, we establish exact asym ptotic form ula for correlation functions of the form $E\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & B+x\end{array}\right]$; where $A ; B$ are nite
 N otice that even-odd correlations are necessarily zero by sym $m$ etry. The case of even-even correlations is substantially m ore delicate though (already for the $m u c h ~ s i m p l e r S A W ~ m o d e l), ~ i n ~ p a r t i c u l a r ~ i n ~ l o w ~ d i m ~ e n s i o n s ; ~$ we hope to com e back to this issue in the future.

Theorem 1.4. C onsider the Ising model. Let < ${ }_{c}^{\text {Ising }, \text { and let }}$ $A$ and $B$ be nite odd subsets of $Z^{d}$. Then, uniform $l y$ in $\dot{x} j!1$,

$$
E \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B+x
\end{array}\right]=\frac{A ; B}{P \frac{n_{x}}{\dot{k} j^{\prime}{ }^{1}}} e e^{\left(n_{x}\right) j \dot{j} j}(1+o(1)) ;
$$

where $n_{x}=x=\dot{x} \dot{j}$ and ${ }^{A ; B}$ is strictly positive and analytic.

W e sketch the proof of this theorem in Section '-4.
Them ain feature shared by the three $m$ odels discussed above is that the function $G(x)$ can each tim e be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=^{\Lambda} \quad q() ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

:0! x
where the sum runs over adm issible path-like ob jects (SAW paths, percolation clusters, random-lines, see Section '3, respectively). The w eights q ( ) are supposed to be strictly positive and to possess a variation of the follow ing four properties:

Strict exponential decay of the two-point function: There exists $C_{1}<1$ such that, for all $\times 2 \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{n} f 0 \mathrm{~g}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=q_{: 0!x}^{R() \quad C_{1} e^{(x)} ; ~ ; ~} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x)=\lim _{k!1}(k)^{1} \log g(b k x c)$ is the inverse correlation length.
F in ite energy condition : For any pair of com patible paths and de ne the conditionalweight

$$
q(j)=q(q)=q()
$$

where $q$ denotes the concatenation of and . Then there exists a universal nite constant $C_{2}<1$ such that the conditional weights are controlled in term sof path sizes j jas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(j) \quad e^{c_{2} j j}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

BK -type splitting property: There exists $C_{3}<1$, such that, for all $x ; y Z^{d} n f 0 g$ w th $x \in y$,

| $X$ | $q()$ | $C_{2}$ | $X$ | $q()$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $: 0!x!y$ |  | $: 0!x$ | $: x!y$ |  |

Exponential mixing : There exists $\mathrm{C}_{4}<1$ and $2(0 ; 1)$ such that, for any four paths , , 1 and 2 ,w th $q$ q 1 and q q 2 both adm issible,
(6)

M any otherm odels en joy a graphical representation of correlation functions of the form $\overline{(\underline{Z})}$ ). In perturbative regim es, cluster expansions provide a generic exam ple. $N$ on-perturbative exam ples include the random cluster representation for $P$ otts (and other) m odels [1] ${ }^{-1}$ '], or random walk representation of N -vector m odels [1]1], etc... H ow ever, it m ight not
 and (G) for the corresponding weights, especially (51) which is probably the less robust one. It should how ever be possible to w eaken the latter so that it only relies on som $e$ form of locally uniform $m$ ixing properties.

R oad-m ap to the paper
In Section we review and explain our probabilistic approach to the analysis of high tem perature correlation functions. T he point of departure is the random path representation form ula $\overline{(\overline{2})}$ ), and the whole theory is built upon a study of the local uctuation structure of the corresponding connection paths. O ne of the consequences is the validity of the invariance principle under the di usive scaling, which we form ulate in $T$ heorem $\overline{2} \dot{2}$ below. For sim plicity the discussion in Section $\bar{\sim}$ lim it results are those about the sum s of independent random variables. In the case of high tem perature ferrom agnetic Ising models the random line representation, which we shallbrie y recall in Section, gives rise to path weights $q$ which do not possess appropriate_factorization properties. N evertheless these weights satisfy conditions ( $\overline{\underline{3}} \mathbf{1})-(\bar{\sigma})$ and we conclude Section with an explanation of how the problem of nding correlation asym ptotics can be reform ulated in term s of locallim it properties of one dim ensional system s generated by $R$ uelle operators for full shifts on countable alphabets. The proof of $T$ heorem 11.4 is discussed
 the problem s discussed here, inspired by Statistical P hysics, and their counterparts originating from the corresponding lattice $Q$ uantum $F$ ield T heories.

## x2. Fluctuations of connection paths

In this section we describe local structure and large scale properties of connection paths conditioned to hit a distant point. In all three m odels above (SAW , percolation, Ising) the distribution of the connection paths converges, after the appropriate rescaling, to the ( d 1 )dim ensionalB row nian bridge, and, from the probabilistic point of view, these results belong to the realm of classical G aussian local lim it analysis of one dim ensional system s based on uniform analytic expansions
of nite volum e log-m om ent generating functions. A $n$ invariance principle for the sub-critical Bemoulli bond percolation has been established in $\left[\overline{1}_{-}\right]$and for the phase separation line in the 2 D nearest neighbour Ising m odel at any $>c$ in [13]'. In both cases the techniques and the ideas of [G] $]$ and $[\underline{1}]$ play the crucialrole, and, in fact, the renorm alization and the uctuation analysis developed in the latter papers pertains to a large class of $m$ odels which adm it a random path type representation w ith path weights en joying a suitable variation of ( $(\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ ) $-(\overline{\mathrm{G}})$. In particular, it should lead to a closed form theory of low tem perature phase boundaries in two dim ensions [1]. N ote that di erent tools have been early em ployed in [9,

For the sake of sim plicity we shall sketch here the case of selfavoiding walks and shall try to stipulate the im pact of the geom etry of $K$ on the $m$ agnitude of paths uctuations in the corresponding directions.

Let $\hat{x} 2 S^{d}$ and the dual point $\hat{t} 2$ @K ; $\left(\hat{\epsilon_{;}} ; \hat{x}\right)=(\hat{x})$, be xed for the rest of the section. C onsider the set $P^{n}$ of all self-avoiding paths
: 0 ! bn $\hat{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{c}$, where fory $2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ we de ne byc= (byc;:::;bydc) $2 \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{d}}$. $F$ inally, consider the follow ing probability $m$ easure $P^{n}$ on $P^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{n}()=\frac{1}{Z^{n}} e^{j j_{1} I_{P^{n} g}} \text { : } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to explain and to form ulate the invariance principle w hich holds under $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{n}}$ we need, rst of all, to readjust the notion of irreducible splitting of paths $2 \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{n}}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{L} q 1 \mathrm{q} \quad \text { M } \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{R}:}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $2(0 ; 1)$ and a large enough renom alization scale $K$. G iven a path $=\left(u_{0} ; u_{1} ;::: ; u_{m}\right)$ let us say that a point $u_{1} ; 0<l<m$, is $\hat{x}$-correct break point of if the follow ing tw o conditions hold:
A) $\quad\left(u_{j} ; \hat{x}\right)<\left(u_{1} ; \hat{x}\right)<\left(u_{i} ; \hat{x}\right)$ for all $j<l<i$.

B ) The rem aining sub-path ( $u_{1+1} ;::: ; u_{m}$ ) lies inside the set

$$
2 \mathrm{~K} U \quad\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{C} \quad(\mathrm{t}) ;
$$

where $U \quad(z)=z+U$, and the forw ard cone $C(f)$ is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\hat{t})=y 2 R^{d}:(y ; \hat{t})>(1 \quad) \quad(y): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that this de nition depends on the param eters $K$ and ; as they are usually kept constant, we only w rite them explicitly when needed.
$W$ ith $\widehat{x} 2 S^{d} 1, \hat{\ell} 2 @ K, K$ and $x e d$ as above let us say that a path is irreducible if it does not contain $\hat{x}$-correct break points. W e use $S$ to denote the set of all irreducible paths ( $m$ odulo $Z^{d}$-shifts). De ne also the follow ing three subsets of $S$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{L}=f=\left(u_{0} ;::: ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) 2 \mathrm{~S}: 81>0\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} ; \hat{\mathrm{x}}\right)<\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \hat{\mathrm{x}}\right) \mathrm{g} \\
& \stackrel{8}{<}=\left(u_{0} ;::: ; u_{m}\right) 2 \mathrm{~S}: 81>0\left(u_{1} ; \hat{x}\right)>\left(u_{0} ; \hat{x}\right) \text { and }{ }^{9}= \\
& S_{R}= \\
& \mathrm{K} U \quad\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right)+\mathrm{C}(\hat{t}): \quad \text {; } \\
& S_{0}=S_{L} \backslash S_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any 2_P $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ which has at least tw o $\hat{\mathrm{x}}$-correct break points the decom position ( $\bar{q}_{\text {) }}$ ) is unam biguously de ned by the follow ing set of conditions:

$$
\text { L } 2 S_{L} ; \text { R } 2 S_{R} \text { and } 1 ;::: ; \text { m } 2 S_{0} \text { : }
$$

The only di erence betw een ${ }^{\prime}(\underline{1}(\mathbf{p})$ and the irreducible decom position em ployed in [7] $]$ is that the break points here are de ned w ith respect to the $\hat{x}$-orthogonalhyper-planes instead of $\hat{t}$-orthogonalhyper-planes. This is to ensure that the displacem ents along all the -paths which appear in $\left.\overline{(G)}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ have positive pro jection on the direction of $\hat{x}$. M ore precisely, given a SAW path $=\left(u_{0} ;::: ; u_{m}\right)$ let us de ne the displacem ent along as V()$=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{u}_{0}$. By the very de nition of ${ }_{\underline{!}}^{\prime}(\mathrm{P})$ all

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~L}) ; \mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right) ;::: ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{M}) ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{R}):
$$

belong to the (lattice) half-space fy $2 \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}}:(\mathrm{y} ; \hat{\mathrm{x}})>0 \mathrm{~g}$. The renorm alization calculus developed in $\left[\underline{6}, \overline{1}, \overline{1}_{1}\right]$ im plies:

Lem m a 2.1. For every < c and for any $>0$ there exists a nite scale $K_{0}=K_{0}(;)$ and a num ber $=(;)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
X \\
2 s: v(1)=y \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

uniform ly in y $2 z^{d}$.
G oing back to the decom position $(\bar{q})$ notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{V}_{1}+\quad \text { 内 } \mathrm{V} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{bn} \hat{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{C} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $: 0!$ bnsc. Therefore, Lem man $\overline{11}$ and the 0 mstein-Zemike form ula of $T$ heorem 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{maxf} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{L}} \dot{j} \not \mathrm{~J}_{1} \dot{j}::: ; ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{M}} \dot{j} \dot{\operatorname{V}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}} \dot{\mathfrak{g}}>(\log \mathrm{n})^{2}=\circ \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $>0$. In particular, if for given $: 0$ ! bn $\widehat{x}$ c one considers the piece-w ise constant trajectory b through the vertices $0 ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}+$ $\mathrm{V}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{Fnn}^{\hat{x}} \mathrm{c}$, then the $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{H}$ ausdor distancebetween and b isbounded above as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{n} d_{H}(; b)>(\log n)^{2}=o \frac{1}{n} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well. Indeed, one needs only to control the uctuation of $L$ in ( (\%) , , the traversal deviations of paths in $S_{R}$ are autom atically under control by the cone con nem ent property

Estim ate (14) enables a form ulation of the invariance principle for SAW in tems of the e ective path $b$. In its tum the invariance principle for $b$ is a version of the conditional invariance principle for paths of random walks in (d 1)-dim ensions w th the direction of the target point $\hat{x}$ playing the role of time. It happens to be natural to choose the fram e of the rem aining ( $d$ 1) spatialdim ensions according to principaldirections ofcurvature $v_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{d}} 1$ of $@ \mathrm{~K}$ at $\hat{f}$. In thisway, in view of the positive $\hat{x}$-pro jection property ofallthe -path displacem ents in ( $(\bar{q})$, the ective path $b \quad R^{d}$ could be param etrized in the orthogonal frame ( $\hat{x} ; \mathrm{v}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{d}} 1$ ) as a function $火>:[0 ; \mathrm{n}]!\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{1}$. As usualde ne the di usive scaling $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{)}$ ( ) as

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}()=\frac{1}{P_{n}} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{bn} \mathrm{c}):
$$

Theorem 2.2. The distribution of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ( ) under $\mathbb{P}$ weakly converges in $\mathrm{C}_{0 ; 0}[0 ; 1]$ to the distribution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}() ;:: \mathrm{p}_{;} \overline{\mathrm{d}_{1}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{l}) ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{B}_{1}() ;::: ; \mathrm{Bl}_{1}()$ are independent B rownian bridges on $[0 ; 1]$ and $1 ;::: ; \alpha_{1}$ are the principal curvatures of $@ \mathrm{~K}$ at $\hat{\ell}$.

Let us dwell on the probabilistic picture behind $T$ heorem sin and 2.2 : First of all, note that by Lem mand

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}(y)=e^{\left(t_{i y}\right)} \frac{X}{2 S_{0}: v(1)=y} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a (non-lattice) probability distribution on $Z^{d} w$ th exponentially decaying tails. Indeed, an altemative im portant way to think about K is as of the closure of the dom ain of convergence of the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
t 2 R^{d} \eta_{y 2 Z^{d}}^{X} e^{(t ; y)} G \quad(y): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

O $n$ the other hand, Lem m ai2.11 ensures that the series $W_{0}(t)={ }^{P} e^{(t ; y)} W_{0}(y)$ converges in the -neighbourhood B ( $\hat{t}$ ) = ft: J $\hat{\mathrm{t}} \dot{j}<\mathrm{g}$ of $\hat{\mathrm{t}}$. In view of the decom position ( $\underline{g}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and Lem m a 2,1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(n \hat{x})=0 \quad e^{n(\hat{x}) \quad n}+X_{M=1}^{X^{2}} W_{L} \quad W_{0}^{M} \quad W_{R}(n \hat{x}) ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assum ed for the convenience of notation that $n \hat{\otimes} 2 \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}}$, and

$$
W_{L}(y)=\sum_{2 S_{L}: V()=y}^{X} e^{j j} \text { and } W_{R}(y)=X_{2 S_{R}: V()=y}^{X} e^{j j}:
$$

A s a result, the piece of the boundary @K inside B ( $(\hat{t})$ is im plicitly given by

$$
@ \mathrm{~K} \quad \backslash \mathrm{~B} \quad(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{ft} 2 \mathrm{~B} \quad(\hat{\mathrm{t}}): \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{O}}(\mathrm{t})=1 \mathrm{~g}:
$$

In order to obtain the fill claim of $T$ heorem the non-degeneracy of $H$ ess ( $W_{0}$ ) at $\hat{t}$, which, in the case of SAW $-s$, is a direct consequence of the nite energy condition ', (h) . N ote, by the way, that since $\hat{x}$ is the norm aldirection to $@ K$ at $\hat{t}$, there exists a num ber
$2(0 ; 1)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r W_{0}(\hat{t})=\hat{x}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

 follow ing key representation of the tw o point function $G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{n \quad(x)} G \quad(n \hat{x})=e^{n(\hat{t} ; \hat{x})} G \quad(n \hat{x})=0 e^{n} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X \quad X^{1}$

where, sim ilar to (1-G), we have de ned $Q_{L}(v)=e^{(t ; v)} W_{L}(v)$ and, accordingly, $Q_{R}(v)=e^{\left(t f_{i v}\right)} W_{R}(v)$.

Unlike $Q_{0}$ the $m$ easures $Q_{L}$ and $Q_{R}$ are in general not probability but, by Lem m a 2.1 , they are nite and have exponentially decaying tails:

$$
{\underset{\text { jy }}{x} \quad\left(Q_{L}(y)+Q_{R}(y)\right) \quad e^{n=2}: ~}_{\text {: }}
$$

Since by $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ the expectation of $V_{1}$ under $Q_{0}$ equals to $\hat{x}$, the usuallocal lim it CLT for $Z^{d}$ random variables and the $G$ aussian sum $m$ ation form ula im ply that the right hand side in (2d) equals to $C_{1}=\frac{n^{d 1}}{n^{1}}$. A ctually, $a$ slightly $m$ ore careful analysis along these line leads to the full analytic form of the $O$ mstein-Zemike form ula as claim ed in $T$ heorem 11.1 .

Let us explain now how the principal curvatures $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{d}_{1}$ of @K at $\hat{f}$ enter the picture: By the irreducible path representation and argum ents com pletely sim ilar to those just reproduced above, the total weight of allpiece-w ise constant paths $b=b\left(V_{L} ; V_{1} ;::: ; V_{M} ; V_{R}\right) ; M=$ 1;2;:::; which pass through a point $v_{n} 2 Z^{d}$;
equals to

$$
q^{\frac{C_{2}}{\left.\left((1) n^{2}\right)^{(d)} 1\right)}} e^{\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)} \quad\left(\mathrm{n} \otimes \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)(1+\mathrm{o}(1)) ;
$$

$w$ here $c_{2}>0$ does not depend on $2(0 ; 1)$ and the coe cients $a_{1} ;::: ; a_{d} 1$. $C$ om paring $w$ th the OZ form ula for the full partition function $G$, we infer that

From now on we refer to $C$ hapter 2.5 in [22i] for the $m$ issing details in the argum ents below. is the support function of $K$ and by Theorem it is a sm ooth function. Thus, for every $v 2 R^{d}$ the gradient $r$ (v) 2 $@ K$ and $(v)=(r \quad(v) ; v)$ (in particular $\hat{t}=r \quad(\hat{x})=r \quad(n \hat{x}))$. Principal radii of the curvature $1=1 ;::: ; 1=\alpha_{1}$ of @K at $\hat{t}$ are the eigenvalues of the linear $m$ ap

$$
d^{2} \dot{k}: T_{x} S^{d}{ }^{1} T_{x} S^{d}{ }^{1} ;
$$

and $v_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{d}} 12 \mathrm{~T}_{8} S^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{1}$ are the corresponding eigenvectors. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} \hat{\mathrm{x}} & \left.\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \quad(\mathrm{n} \hat{\mathrm{x}})=\mathrm{n} \quad \hat{\mathrm{x}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}^{2}} \overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~V}, ~
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\mathrm{n}(1 \quad) \quad \hat{x} \frac{1}{(1 \quad)^{1}} \overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{v} \quad(\hat{x}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} d^{2} \dot{\dot{k} v} \boldsymbol{v} v+\frac{1}{2(1 \quad)} d^{2} \dot{k} v ; v+O \quad p_{\bar{n}}^{1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2(1)}{ }_{l=1}^{\# 1} \frac{a_{1}^{2}}{1}+O P_{\bar{n}}^{1}:
\end{aligned}
$$

C om putations for higher order nite dim ensional distributions follow a com pletely sim ilar pattem.
x3. R andom -line representation of Ising correlations
C orrelation functions of ferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odels adm it a very usefill representation in term $s$ of sum $s$ over weighted random paths, which is especially convenient for our purposes here. The two-point function form ula ( $\overline{2}^{-1}$ ) is a particular case. In this section, we recall how this representation is derived; we refer to [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \mathbf{0}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ for details and additional results. In the end of the section we shallbrie $y$ indicate how '(2b) and, accordingly, the whole local lim it analysis should be re-adjusted in order to incorporate the (dependent) case of Ising paths.

A though we use it for the in nite-volum e G ibbsm easure, it is convenient to derive the random path representation rst for nite volum es, and then take the lim it. A s there is a single $G$ ibbs state for the values of we consider, it su ces to consider free boundary conditions (i.e. no interactions betw een spins inside the box and spins outside).
$G$ iven a set of edges $B$ of the lattice $Z^{d}$, we de ne the associated set of vertioes as $V_{B} \stackrel{4}{=} \mathrm{fx} 2 \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}}: 9 \mathrm{e} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ with x 2 eg (x 2 emeans that $x$ is an endpoint ofe). For any vertex $x 2 V_{B}$, we de ne the index of $x$ in $B$ by ind $(x ; B) \stackrel{4}{=}{ }^{P}$ e2 $1_{\text {fe3xg }}$. The boundary of $B$ is de ned by $@ B \stackrel{4}{=}$ fx $2 V_{B}$ : ind $(x ; B)$ is oddg.

In this context, the nite volum e Gibbs $m$ easure is de ned by

$$
\text { B; ( ) } \stackrel{4}{=} Z \quad(B)^{1} \exp \left[\begin{array}{c}
X \\
e=(x ; y) 2 B
\end{array} \quad x \quad y\right] ;
$$

and we use the standard notation $h$ iं; to denote expectation w.r.t. this probability $m$ easure.
$W$ e $x$ an arbitrary total ordering of $Z^{d}$. At each $x 2 Z^{d}$, we $x$ (in an arbitrary way) an ordering of the $x$-incident edges of the graph:
$B(x) \stackrel{4}{=} f e 2 B \quad:$ ind $(x ; f e g)>0 g=f e_{1}^{x}::: ; e_{\text {ind }(x ; B)}^{x} g ;$
and for two incident edges $e=e_{i} 2 B(x), e^{0}=e_{j} 2 B(x)$ we say that $e \quad e^{0}$ if the corresponding inequality holds for their sub-indices; i $j$.
 the identity $e \times y=\cosh () 1+x y \tanh ()$, we obtain the follow ing expression for the correlation function $h_{A} i_{B}$; ,

$$
h_{A} i_{B} ;=Z \quad(B)^{1} \sum_{\substack{D \\ @ D=A \\ @ D}} \tanh ;
$$

where

$$
Z(B) \stackrel{4}{=} \underbrace{}_{\substack{D \\ @ D=;}} \tanh :
$$

From D B with @D = A, we would like to extract a fam ily of $\hat{y}$ f 2 \self-avoiding paths" connecting pairs of sites of A. We apply the follow ing algorithm :
STEP 0 Set $k=1$ and = ; .
STEP 1 Set $z_{0}^{(k)}$ to be the rst site ofA in the ordering of $Z^{d}$ xed above, $j=0$, and update $A \stackrel{4}{=} A n f z_{0}^{(k)} g$.
STEP 2 Let $e_{j}^{(k)}=\left(z_{j}^{(k)} ; z_{j+1}^{(k)}\right)$ be the rst edge in $B\left(z_{j}^{(k)}\right) n \quad$ (in the ordering of $B\left(z_{j}^{(k)}\right)$ xed above) such that $\oint^{(k)} 2 D . T$ his de nes $z_{+1}^{(k)}$.
STEP 3 Update $\stackrel{4}{=} \quad\left[\right.$ fe $2 \mathrm{~B}\left(z_{j}^{(k)}\right): \mathrm{e} \quad e_{j}^{(k)} g$. If $z_{j+1}^{(k)} 2 A$, then go to STEP 4 . O therw ise update $j \stackrel{4}{=} j+1$ and retum to STEP 2.
STEP $4 \operatorname{Set}^{(k)}=j+1$ and stop the construction of this path. U pdate $\mathrm{A} \stackrel{4}{=} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{nfz} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}+1}^{(\mathrm{k})} \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{k} \stackrel{4}{=} \mathrm{k}+1$ and go to STEP 1 .

Thisprocedure produces a sequence $\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}^{(1)} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}(1)}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{z}_{0}^{(2)} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{jA} \mathrm{j} j=2)} \mathrm{j}=2\right)$. Let $\bar{i} \stackrel{4}{=}$ jha $_{=}^{j} 2+1 \quad$ i, and set $w_{k}^{(i)} \stackrel{4}{=} z_{n}^{(\bar{i})}{ }_{k}$.


$$
i \stackrel{4}{=} i(\mathrm{D}) \stackrel{4}{=}\left(\mathrm{w}_{0}^{(\mathrm{i})} ;::: ; \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}^{(\mathrm{i})}}^{(\mathrm{i})}\right)
$$

connecting distinct pairs of points of $A$, and such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z_{k}^{(i)} ; z_{k+1}^{(i)}\right) \in\left(z_{1}^{(j)} ; z_{l+1}^{(j)}\right) \text { ifi } \in j \text { or if } i=j \text { but } k \in l \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(but $z_{k}^{(\text {i) }}=z_{1}^{(j)}$ is allowed). A fam ily of contours $=\left(1 ;::: ; j_{j} j_{2}\right)$ is (A;B)-adm issible if it can be obtained from $a \operatorname{set} \bar{D} \quad B \quad w$ th $@ D=A$, using this algorithm ; in that case we w rite_ (A;B). N otioe that here the order of the paths is im portant: if $k$ is a path from $x_{k}$ to $y_{k}$ then we m ust have $y_{1}>y_{2}>:::>y_{\text {万A } j=2}$. This is to ensure that we do not count tw ioe the sam e con guration of paths.

The construction also yields a set ofedges ( ) $\stackrel{4}{=}$. O bserve that
(_) is entirely determ ined by _ (and the order chosen for the sites and edges). In particular the sets $D^{-} \quad B$ giving rise to an (A;B)-adm issible fam ily _ are characterized by @D = A and

$$
D \backslash \quad()=\underbrace{j A}_{i=1}\left[_{i}^{j=2}\right.
$$

Therefore, for such sets, @ (D n ()) = ; and we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{A}} i ; \mathrm{B}= & \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{B}(\underset{(A ; B)}{ } ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
q ; B(\underline{( })=w\left(\underline{)} \frac{\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{~B} \mathrm{n}(\underline{( }))}{\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{~B})} ;\right.
$$

w ith

$$
\mathrm{W}(-)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1 \quad \mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{Y}^{j=2} \Psi^{(\mathrm{i})}} \tanh :
$$

$T$ his is an instance of the random-line representation for correlation functions of the Ising $m$ odel in B. It has been studied in detail in
 di erent) to the random walk representation of

[^1]of this representation when $B$ is replaced by the set $E\left(Z^{d}\right)$ of all edges of $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}}$. To this end, we use the follow ing result ( $[$ [2d], Lem m as 6.3 and 6.9): For all < c,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{A} i=X_{A}^{X} q() ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $q()^{\prime} \stackrel{4}{=} \lim _{B_{n} \%} E\left(Z^{d}\right) G ; B_{n}()$ is well de ned.
It will also be usefiul to work with a m ore relaxed de nition of ad$m$ issibility, since we w ant to cut our paths into pieces, and the order of the resulting pieces $m$ ight not correspond $w$ ith the order of their endpoints. In general, given a path $=\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ;::: x_{n}\right)$, we de ne ()$=$ ${ }_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{fe} 2 \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ : e $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} 1 ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{g}$. W esay that a path $=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is adm issible if $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$;:::; $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{g}$ \ $\left(\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)=$; for all $2 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ 1. Given a fam ily of paths $=\left(1 ;::: ;_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, we de ne $(1)=S_{k=1}^{S_{n}}(k)$. A fam ily of adm issible paths_is then adm issible
 that the order of the paths is still im portant ( $\left.(1)_{1} 2\right)$ can be adm issible while $(2 ; 1)$ is not), but there are no constraint on the order of their endpoints. Indeed, they can even share endpoints. O bserve that these de nitions are identical to those above when restricted to the sam e setting.

W e then have the follow ing crucialinequality: Let_be an adm issible fam ily of paths. Then

X
X

$$
\begin{gather*}
0: x!y  \tag{22}\\
0 \backslash()^{0}=\text {; }
\end{gather*}
$$

$q(0 ;) \quad q(1) \quad q(0):$
$0: x!y$

W e give a brief proof. It is enough to consider the analogous statem ent in nite volum es B. Since ( 0 ; $)=(0)[(1)$ and $0 \backslash(1)=$; , we have

$$
q ; B\left(0 ; \_\right)=q ; B n\left(\_\right)(0) q ; B(1):
$$

H ence (22) follow s sim ply from $G$ ri ths' second inequality since
X

- Bn (_)

If the set $A$ in $\left(2 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ contains only two points, $A=$ fx;yg, then we recover (2). The $m$ ain di erence between the SAW case considered in

Section $\overline{12}$ and the case of sub-critical ferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odels is that the path weights $q$ in $(\underline{\bar{Z}})$ do not factorize: In general,

$$
q(q) \not q() q():
$$

C onsequently, the displacem ent variables $\mathrm{V}_{1} ; \mathrm{V}_{2} ;:$ : : fail to be independent and the underlying local lim it analysis should be generalized. T he appropriate fram ew ork is that of the statisticalm echanics of one dim ensional system s generated by R uelle operators for full shifts on countable alphabets. W e refer to $\left[\bar{l}_{1}^{1}\right]$ for all the background $m$ aterial and here only sketch how the construction leads to the claim s of $T$ heorem s 11,12 and, after an appropriate re-de nition of the $m$ easures $P^{n}$, to the invariance principle stated in $T$ heorem 2 : A $s$ in the case of SAW $-s x$ a direction $\hat{x} 2 S^{d}$. The key renom alization result (T heorem 2.3 in $[\underline{1} 1])$ which im plies that the rate of decay of the irreducible connections is strictly larger than the rate of decay of the tw o point function $G$. In view of (
 tails. $N$ am ely, as in Section $\overline{12}$ let $S=S(K)$ be the set of all $\hat{\text {-irreducible }}$ paths. T hen the follow ing Ising analog of Lem ma 'in holds:

Lem ma3.1. For every < c and for any $>0$ there exists a nite scale $K_{0}=K_{0}(;)$ and a num ber $=(;)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X} \\
2 \mathrm{~s}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{)}=\mathrm{y} \tag{23}
\end{gather*} \mathrm{q}() \quad \exp \quad\left(\hat{\left.t_{i} y\right)} \quad \dot{y} j ;\right.
$$

uniform ly in y $2 Z^{d}$.
$T$ he above Lem $m$ a suggests that the $m$ ain contribution to the sharp asym ptotics of $\quad$ com es from the weights of the paths $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in the decom position ( $\bar{q}_{1}^{-1}$ ). A ccordingly, consider now the set $S_{0}$ of cylindrical x-irreducible paths which was introduced in Section collection ; 1;:::; m $2 \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ de ne the conditionalweight

$$
q(\quad)=q(1 q \quad \mathcal{q})=\frac{q(q 1 q q \quad \text { M) }}{q(1 q}:
$$

By the crucialexponentialm ixing property ( ${ }^{(\bar{\sigma}) \text { ) one is able to control the }}$ dependence of the conditionalweights $q\left(\quad \_\right)$on $м$ as follow $s:$

In our form alism the set $S_{0}$ plays the role of a countable alphabet. The estim ate ( $2 \overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) enables the extension of the conditional weights $q(\quad$ ) to the case of in nite strings_ $=(1 ; 2 ;:::)$. Let $S_{0}$; be the set of all such strings endow ed $w$ th the $m$ etrics

$$
d(i \sim)=\text { inffk }:{ }_{k} \epsilon^{\sim_{k} g}:
$$

and let $\mathrm{F}_{0}$; be the set of allbounded Lieschitz continuous functions on S 0; •

A s before we choose $\hat{\ell} 2 @ K$ to be the dualdirection to $\hat{x}$. G iven a path $2 \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ and a string _ 2 S 0 ; de ne the potential

$$
\left(\quad \text { ) }=\log q\left(\quad \text { ) }+\hat{t_{;}} ; V():\right.\right.
$$

By (G) and Lem m a 'A. $\overline{12}$ '1' the operator
is well de ned and bounded on $F_{0}$; for every z $2 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{d}}$ w th $\dot{\mathrm{k} j} \mathrm{j}<$.
The dependent Ising analog of (2d) is then given (see Section 3 of $[\underline{1} 1])$ by
(26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{n} \quad{ }^{(\hat{x})} G(n \hat{x})=0 e^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $M=1 ; 2 ;::$ : the fam ily of weights $f_{Q}{ }_{0 ; M}^{j} g$ is related to the fam ily of operators $\mathrm{fL}_{z} g$ via the Fourier transform :

$$
\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{X} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{d}}} \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{y})} \mathrm{Q}_{0 ; \mathrm{M}}^{;}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{w} ; ;
$$

where the fam ily fw ; $g$ is uniform ly positive and uniform ly bounded in $\mathrm{F}_{0}$; . In this way the analytic perturbation theory of the leading (that is lying on the spectral circle) eigenvalue of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ enables the expansion of the logarithm of the right hand side in (2-1) which, in its tum, leads to classicalG aussian local lim it results for the dependent sum $s V_{1}+\quad{ }^{+}+\mathrm{V}$
x4. A sym ptotics of odd-odd correlations
In this section, we sketch the proof of $T$ heorem 11.4 . W e do not give a com plete, self-contained argum ent, since this w ould be too long,
and would involve $m$ any repetitions from [7].]. Instead, we provide the only required update as com pared to the proof for 2 -point functions given in the latter work. A s such, this section should be considered as a com plem ent, and we shall give exact references to the form ulas in [7] whenever required.

A s explained in Section $m$ its a random-line representation of the form

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{x}^{i}=} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}[(\mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{x})}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{q}() ;
$$

where runs over fam ilies of com patible open contours connecting all the sites of $\bar{A}\left[(B+x)\right.$. Am ong the $\frac{1}{2}(\nexists j+\beta>)$ paths of $\quad$, at least onem ust connect a site of A to a site of $B+x . W$ e rst show that one can ignore the contribution of $w$ ith $m$ ore than one such connection (i.e. at least three of them ). T he rst observation is that we have the follow ing low er bound on the correlation function: By the second G ri ths' inequality,

$$
h_{A} B+x^{i} \quad h_{A n f y g}{ }^{i} h_{B n f z g}{ }^{i} h_{y} z_{+x^{\prime}} \text {; ; }
$$

$w h e r e y$ and $z$ are arbitrarily chosen sites of $A$ and $B$ respectively. A $n-$ other application of the second G ri ths inequality im plies that

$$
h_{A_{n f y g}}{ }^{i} h_{B_{n f z g}} i>0:
$$

M oreover, we already know that

$$
h_{y z+x} i=\left(n_{x}\right) \dot{x} j^{(d \quad 1)=2} e^{(x)}(1+o(1)):
$$

But, applying (2르) , we obtain im $m$ ediately that the contribution of fam ilies of paths _w th three orm ore connections betw een $A$ and $B+x$ is bounded above by $C(A ; B) e^{3(x)}$ and is therefore negligible.

W e can henceforth safely assum e that there is a single connection betw een A and B + x; we denote the corresponding path by , while the rem aining paths are denoted by $A_{A}$ and $Z_{B}$. We want to show that we can repeat the argum ent used for the two-point function in [ī1] in this $m$ ore general setting. This is indeed quite reasonable since the paths in $A_{A}$ and $A_{B}$ should rem ain localized, and therefore the picture is still that of a single very long path as for 2 -point functions. T he $m$ ain point is thus to prove su ciently strong localization properties for the paths ${ }_{-A}$ and ${ }_{B}$, so as to ensure that an appropriate version Lem man also Theorem 2.3 of $\left.\left[\overline{7}_{1}\right]\right)$ still holds. The im port of the latter lem m a was to assert nice decay and decoupling properties of the integrated w eights
of the irreducible pieces in the decom position of connection paths ( $\left.\bar{\delta}_{\mathbf{8}}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$. N otioe rst that exactly the sam e decom position can still be used here, provided we attach the paths in $A_{A}$ and _B to the corresponding left$m$ ost and rightm ost extrem alpieces $L$ and $R$, and keep the rem aining interm ediate cylindrical irreducible pieces unchanged. A part from the com patibility requirem ents one then has to check that _B stays inside the forw ard cone containing $R$, so that the crucialestim ate (3.9) in [7] $\overline{1}]$ rem ains valid.

Let y $2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{z} 2 \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ! z , and let _A $_{\text {, resp. }}^{-B}$, denote the collections of rem aining paths connecting pairs of sites in A $n$ fyg, respectively $x+B n f z g$. For given collections $A_{-A}$ and $A_{B}$ we de ne the irreducible decom position of in precisely the sam ew ay as in ( $\overline{-1})^{\prime}$ ), except for the extrem alpieces $L=\left(u_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} ;::: ; u_{m}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ and ${ }_{R}=\left(u_{0}^{\mathrm{R}} ;::: ; \mathrm{u}_{n}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)$, which have to satisfy the follow ing $m$ odi ed set of conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left({\underset{K}{\mathrm{~K}}}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{x}}\right)<\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{L}} ; \hat{\mathrm{x}}\right) 8 \mathrm{k}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \\
& (\underset{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}} ; \hat{\mathrm{x}})>\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}^{\mathrm{R}} ; \hat{\mathrm{x}}\right) 8 \mathrm{k}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

-A $m$ ust belong to the sam e $\hat{x}$-halfspace as L and for any $\hat{x}-$ break point $u_{k}^{L}$ of $L$ the $\hat{x}$-orthogonal hyperplane through $u_{k}^{L}$ intersects ${ }_{\text {A }}$.
${ }_{-B}$ m ust belong to the same $\hat{x}$-halfspace as $R$ and for any $\hat{x}-$ break point $u_{k}^{R}$ of $R$ the $\hat{x}$-orthogonal hypenplane through $u_{k}^{R}$ intersects ${ }_{-B}$.

$W$ th a slight am biguity of notation let us call com patible pairs (_A i ) and ( $\mathcal{B}_{B} ; R_{R}$ ) $\hat{x}$-irreducible if they satisfy all the conditions above. We then only have to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (_A ; L ) } \hat{x} \text {-irreducible } \\
& \text { L : } \underset{X}{X}!u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \text { _B }_{\mathrm{B}} \text { ) } \hat{\mathrm{x}} \text {-irreducible } \\
& \text { R :u! z }
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $>0$ and any u $2 \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{d}}$. W e only check the second statem ent since it is the $m$ ost com plicated one. $F$ ix a large enough scale K. A site $u$ of is a ( $\hat{x}$; в; )-adm issible break point if it is a $\hat{x}$-break point of and, in addition,

$$
-\mathrm{B} \quad 2 \mathrm{~K} U \quad(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{t}):
$$

Lem m a 4.1. Fix a forw ard cone param eter $2(0 ; 1=4)$ and a set $B=f y_{1} ; z_{1} ;::: ; y_{n} ; z_{n} g ; B \quad Z^{d} n f 0 g$. There exist a renom alization scale $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ and positive num bers $=(;),=(;)$ and $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}()<$ 1 , such that for all $K \quad K_{0}$, the upper bound

X

$$
: x!0
$$

$$
q\left(;_{-B}\right) 1_{f} \text { has no }\left(\hat{x} ;_{-B}\right) \text {-adm issible break pointsg } N e^{(t ; x)_{d}}{ }^{j \times j} ;
$$

holds uniform ly in the dualdirections t2 @K and in the starting points $x 2 Z^{d}$. In the rst sum _B ; runs over all adm issible fam ily of paths


P roof. A pplying (2 $\left.22_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, w e can assum e that $x 2 C^{0}(t)$, see the rem ark after $T$ heorem 2.3 of []$\left._{1}\right]$. Let $Q_{C}(x)=\mathrm{fv} 2 Z^{d}$ : jvj jxjCgwhere C is som e large enough constant. To sim plify notations, we suppose that $B=f_{y} ; z g$, ie. that ${ }_{-B} \quad: y!z$. The general case is treated in the sam eway.

We rst show that, typically, $Q_{C}(x)$. Indeed, using again (22́), we have that

W e can therefore suppose that $Q_{c}(x)$. O bserve now that in the latter case
f has no (x; ;2 )-break pointsg

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f} \text { has no } \mathrm{x} \text {-break point } \mathrm{u} \text { w th }(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{u}) \quad & \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{x}) \mathrm{g} \\
& \stackrel{4}{=} \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{K} ; ; \mathrm{x}) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that $C$ is taken large enough. Indeed, would such a $x$-break point $u$ exist then the cone $u+C_{2}$ (t) $m$ ust contain the box $Q_{C}(x)$, hence also .

T he probability of A ( $t ; \mathrm{K} ;$; x ) is estim ated exactly as in the proof of $T$ heorem 2.3 of $\left.\overline{[ }_{1},\right]$. Indeed, the presence of the path only a ects

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X } \\
& q(;) 1_{f} 6 Q_{c}(x) g \\
& \text { X }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X } \\
& \text { q (; 1; ) } \\
& \text { y. u, 2:u! z } \\
& \text { h x oi hy ui hu vi } \\
& \text { u2@Qc (x) } \\
& \frac{C_{d} \dot{x} j}{C} e^{c \dot{x} j} e^{\quad(x)}:
\end{aligned}
$$

an arbitrarily sm all fraction of the slabs $S_{k}(t)$ introduced in the latter proof, provided C is taken large enough, so that the argum ent given there applies w th no modi cations.

Q ED.

## x5. R elation to $Q$ uantum $F$ ield $T$ heories

There is an abundant literature devoted to the relation betw een Ising and other ferrom agnetic type $m$ odels to the E uclidean lattice quantum
 contains also an extensive bibliography on the sub ject. In this works the spins live on the integer lattioe $Z^{d+1} w$ th one special direction, say $e_{1}$, being visualized as the im aginary tim e axis. Thus, for exam ple, the analyticity properties of the $m$ ixed Fourier transform

$$
G\left(p_{1} ; i p\right)=\underbrace{\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}}_{x_{1} 2 z \times 2 z^{d}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}+i(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x})} \mathrm{G} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}\right) ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}\right) 2 \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{d}}$, are related in this way to the question of existence of one particle states.

Below we shallbrie $y$ indicate how the the key probabilistic representation (20) leads to the follow ing conclusion (see e.g P roposition 42 in [1d $]$, Theorem 2.3 in $[1]$ ): For every p $2 \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{d}}$ de ne
(29) $\quad!(p)=\lim _{n!1} \frac{1}{n} \log _{x 2 Z^{d}}^{X} e^{i(p ; x)} G \quad(n ; x)$;
! (p) being intenpreted as the energy of a particle $w$ ith $m$ om entum $p$.
Theorem 5.1. There exists a neighbourhood $B=f p: j j<g$ of the origin in $R^{d}$ such that the function $p \eta!(p)$ is real analytic on B . Hess (! ) (0) is precisely the $m$ atrix of the second fiundam ental form of @K at $\hat{t}=\left(\quad\left(e_{1}\right) ; 0\right)$. Furthem ore, there exists $>0$ such that for every p 2 B the function

$$
\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{G} \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \text { ip }\right)
$$

has a merom orphic extension to the disc $\mathrm{fp}_{1} 2 \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{p}_{1} \quad \hat{p}_{1} j<g ; \hat{p}_{1}=$
$\left(e_{1}\right)$, with the only sim ple pole at $p_{1}=!(p)$.
In the sequel we use the notation introduced in Section ${ }_{2}^{21}$. Because of the $Z^{d}$-lattice sym $m$ etries the dual point $\hat{\ell} 2$ aK of $e_{1}$ is given by

$W(y)=\underbrace{X}_{\substack{0!\\ 2 S}} e^{j j}$;
and let $W_{L} ; W_{0}$ and $W_{R}$ be de ned as in Section_1 ${ }_{2}^{12}$. Summing up all the weights of irreducible paths in ( $(\underline{q})$ we arrive to the follow ing representation of $G$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
G(y) & =W(y)+W_{L} W_{L}\left(y_{L}\right) W_{R}\left(y_{R}\right) \\
& +X_{Y_{L} ; Y_{R}=y}^{M} X^{A} W_{L}\left(y_{L}\right) W_{R}\left(y_{R}\right) W_{0}^{M}\left(y \quad y_{L} \quad y_{R}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

C onsider the $m$ ixed Fourier transform $s$

$$
W\left(p_{1} ; p\right)=\begin{aligned}
& X \quad X \\
& x_{1} 2 z \times 2 z^{d}
\end{aligned} e^{p_{1} x_{1}+(p ; x)} W\left(x_{1} ; x\right)
$$

and

$$
W_{b}\left(p_{1} ; p\right)=\begin{aligned}
& X \quad X \quad e^{p_{1} x_{1}+(p ; x)} W_{b}\left(x_{1} ; x\right) ; b=0 ; L ; R: \\
& x_{1} 2 z \times 2 z^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lem $m$ ain all four functions above are analytic in the com plex neigh-
 the extension of $\quad\left(p_{1} ; p\right)$ to $B^{C}(f)$ is given by:

$$
W\left(p_{1} ; p\right)+\frac{W_{L}\left(p_{1} ; p\right) W_{R}\left(p_{1} ; p\right)}{1 W_{0}\left(p_{1} ; p\right)}:
$$

C onsequently, the surface of poles of $G\left(p_{1} ; p\right)$ inside $B^{C}(\hat{f})$ is given by the im plicit equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}\right)=1: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s we have already seen in Section ' 12 , the restriction of ( $3 \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) to $\left(p_{1} ; p\right) 2$ $R \quad R^{d}$ de nes the piece of the boundary @K inside $B(t)$. Since by (1-1 $\left.\underline{1}_{1}^{-1}\right) @ W \quad=@ \mathrm{p}_{1}(\mathrm{E}) \in 0$ and, in addition, H ess $(\mathrm{W} 0)(\hat{\mathrm{C}})$ is non-degenerate, the analytic im plicit function theorem implies that there exists $>0$, such that the equation $\left[\overline{3} \overline{1} \mathbf{1}_{1}\right)$ can be resolved for p $2 B^{C}(\hat{t}) C^{d}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{p}): \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for p $2 R^{d}$ the equation ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$ gives a param eterization of $@ K$ in the neighbourhood of $\hat{t}$, and $H$ ess (e) (0) is, indeed, the $m$ atrix of the second fundam ental form of $Q K$ at $\hat{\epsilon}$. F inally, the 1 -particlem ass shell ! in $(2 \overline{2})$ is recovered as ! (p) $=\varnothing$ (ip ).

In the case of ferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odels set $p=\left(p_{1} ; p\right)$ and readjust the de nition $\overline{(2,5)}$ ) of the R uelle operator $L_{z}$ as $\mathcal{H}_{p}=L_{p} \quad$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{p}$ is
well de ned and bounded on $F_{0}$; for every p $2 \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{C}}(\hat{( })$. It could be then show $n$ that the surface of poles of $G$ inside $B^{C}(\hat{t})$ is im plicitly given by

$$
\sim\left(p_{1} ; p\right)=1 ;
$$

where $\sim\left(p_{1} ; p\right)$ is the leading (lying on the spectral circle) eigenvalue of $\mathcal{E}_{p}$. Further analysis of the spectralproperties of the fam ily $f \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{p}} g$ reveals $\left[\underline{t}_{1}^{1}\right]$ that there exists $>0$, such that $\left(p_{1} ; p\right)$ is a sim ple pole of the corresponding resolvent for every p $2 \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{C}}(\hat{\mathrm{t}})$. In this way, the conclusion of $T$ heorem 15.1 follow $s$ from the analytic perturbation theory of discrete spectra and from the conditional variance argum ent which ensures the non-degeneracy of $H$ ess ( ) ( $t$ ).
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