# R andom path representation and sharp correlations asym ptotics at high-tem peratures

Massim o Campanino<sup>1</sup>, Dmitry Io e<sup>2</sup>, and Yvan Velenik

### Abstract.

We recently introduced a robust approach to the derivation of sharp asymptotic form ula for correlation functions of statistical mechanicsm odels in the high-tem perature regime. We describe its application to the nonperturbative proof of O mstein-Zemike asymptotics of 2-point functions for self-avoiding walks, Bernoulli percolation and ferrom agnetic Ising models. We then extend the proof, in the Ising case, to arbitrary odd-odd correlation functions. We discuss the uctuations of connection paths (invariance principle), and relate the variance of the limiting process to the geometry of the equidecay proles. Finally, we explain the relation between these results from Statistical Mechanics and their counterparts in Q uantum Field Theory.

#### x1. Introduction

In m any situations, various quantities of interest can be represented in terms of path-like structures. This is the case, e.g., of correlations in various lattice systems, either in perturbative regimes (through a suitable expansion), or non-perturbatively, as in the ferror agnetic Ising models at supercritical temperatures. M any important questions about the ne asymptotics of these quantities can be reform ulated as local limit theorems for these (essentially) one-dimensional objects. In [7], building upon the earlier works [15, 6], we proposed a robust non-perturbative approach to such a problem. It has already been applied successfully in the case of self-avoiding walks, B emoulli percolation and Ising models.

R eceived  $X\;X\;X\;X\;X\;X\;X$  .

 $<sup>{\</sup>tt R}\, {\rm ev}\, {\rm i} {\rm sed}\, {\tt X}\, {\tt X}\, {\tt X}\, {\tt X}\, {\tt X}\, {\tt X}\, {\tt X}$  .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Investigation supported by University of Bologna. Funds for selected research topics and by the M IUR national project "Stochastic processes ..." <sup>2</sup> Supported by the Fund for the P rom otion of Research at the Technion

We brie y review the results that have been thus obtained (see also [8] for a short description of the main ideas of the proof).

Self-avoiding walks. A self-avoiding path ! from 0 to  $x \in 0$  is a sequence of distinct sites  $t_0 = 0; t_1; t_2; \ldots; t_n = x \text{ in } Z^d, with t_i t_{i-1} t_{j-1} = 1, i = 1; \ldots$  (the restriction to nearest-neighbor jumps can be replaced by arbitrary, possibly weighted, jumps of nite range). Let < 0, we are interested in the following quantity:

$$G^{SAW}(x) \stackrel{4}{=} X e^{j!j};$$

where the sum runs over all self-avoiding paths from 0 to x, and j!j denotes the length of the path.  $G^{SAW}$  (x) is nite for all  $< \frac{SAW}{c}$ , with  $\frac{SAW}{c} > 1$ . Actually,  $\frac{SAW}{x2Z^d}G^{SAW}$  (x) is nite if and only if  $< \frac{SAW}{c}$ .

B ernoullibond percolation. Let > 0. W e consider a family of i.i.d. f0;1g-valued random variables  $n_e$ , indexed by the bonds e between two nearest-neighbor sites of Z<sup>d</sup> (again, restriction to nearest-neighbor sites can be dropped); P rob (n (e) = 1) = 1 e . W e say that 0 is connected to x (0 \$ x) in a realization n of these random variables if there is a self-avoiding path ! from 0 to x such that  $n_e = 1$  for all increments e along the path. W e are interested in the following quantity:

$$G^{perc}(x) \stackrel{4}{=} P rob (0 \ x)$$
:

The high-tem perature region < perc is de ned through

$$c_{c}^{perc} \stackrel{4}{=} supf : X_{x 2 Z^{d}} G^{perc}(x) < 1 g > 0:$$

It is a deep result of [2] that the percolation transition is sharp, i.e.

$$_{c} = \inf f : Prob (0 \$ 1) > 0g$$

Ising model. Let > 0. We consider a fam ily off 1;1g-valued random variables \_x, indexed by the sites x 2 Z<sup>d</sup>. Let \_L = f L;:::;Lg<sup>d</sup>. The probability of a realization of the random variables (\_x)<sub>x2 L</sub>, with boundary condition -2 f 1;1g<sup>Z<sup>d</sup></sup>, is given by

$$;-;L() \stackrel{4}{=} (Z;-;L) \stackrel{1}{=} \exp \begin{array}{c} X & X \\ & x & y + \\ & & x & y + \\ & & fx; yg & L \\ & & fx; yj = 1 \\ & & y \neq 1 \end{array}$$

(A s for the two previous models, the nearest-neighbor restriction can be replaced by a { possibly weighted { nite-range assumption.) The set of limiting measures, as L ! 1 and for any boundary conditions, is a simplex, whose extrem e elements are the G ibbs states of the model. We de ne the high-tem perature region as  $< \int_{c}^{Ling}$ , where

 $c_{c}^{\text{Ising}} = \sup f$  : There is a unique G ibbs state at parameter g > 0:

W e are interested in the following quantity:

$$G^{\text{Ising}}(x) \stackrel{4}{=} E [_{0 x}];$$

where the expectation is computed with respect to any translation invariant G ibbs state (it is independent of which one is chosen). It is a deep result of  $\beta$  that the high-tem perature region can also be characterized as the set of all such that

X  
G<sup>Ising</sup> (x) < 1 :  
$$x 2 Z^{d}$$

We now discuss simultaneously these three models; to that end, we simply forget the model-speci c superscripts, and simply write  $_{\rm c}$  or G . It can be shown that for all three models, for all <  $_{\rm c}$ , the function G (x) is actually exponentially decreasing in jx j i.e. the corresponding inverse correlation length  $: \mathbb{R}^d$  ! R satisfy

$$(x) \stackrel{4}{=} \lim_{k! \ 1} \frac{1}{k} \log G \quad (bkxc) > 0;$$

where bxc is the componentwise integer part of x. Obviously, is positive-hom ogeneous, and it is not dicult to prove that it is convex; it is thus an equivalent norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (for < c).

The main result of [15, 6, 7] is the derivation of the following sharp asymptotics for G (x), as jxj! 1, for these three models, in the corresponding high-tem perature regions.

Theorem 1.1. Consider one of the models above, and let  $\,<\,_{\rm c}.$  Then, uniform ly as jxj! 1 ,

$$G (x) = \frac{(n_x)}{jx j^{1-1}} e^{(n_x) jx j} (1 + o(1));$$

where  $n_x = x = jxj$  and is strictly positive and analytic. Moreover, is also an analytic function.

As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following results on the shape of the equidecay proles,

$$U \stackrel{4}{=} fx 2 R^{d}$$
 : (x) 1g

and their polar, the W ul shapes

$$K \stackrel{4}{=} \int_{n^{2} S^{d^{-1}}} ft^{2} R^{d} : (t;n)_{d} \quad (n)g:$$

Theorem 1.2. Consider one of the models above, and let  $< _{\rm c}$ . Then K has a locally analytic, strictly convex boundary. Moreover, the Gaussian curvature of K is uniform ly positive,

(1) 
$$-\frac{4}{200} \min_{t \ge 00} (t) > 0$$
:

By duality, OU is also locally analytic and strictly convex.

R em ark 1.3. In two dimensions K is reminiscent of the W ul shape (and is exactly the low-temperature W ul shape in the cases of the nearest-neighbor Ising and percolation m odels). Equation (1) is then called the positive sti ness condition; it is known to be equivalent to the following sharp triangle inequality [14, 20]: Uniform ly in u; v 2 R<sup>2</sup>

(u) + (v) (u + v) - (juj+jvj ju + vj):

Theorem 1.1 can in fact easily be extended to arbitrary odd-odd correlation functions. We show this here in the most dicult case of ferrom agnetic Ising models; namely, we establish exact asymptotic form ula for correlation functions of the form E [A B+x]; where A; B are nite subsets of Z<sup>d</sup> with A jand B jodd, and for any C Z<sup>d</sup>,  $_{\rm C} \stackrel{4}{=} \frac{Q}{_{\rm y2C}}$  N otice that even-odd correlations are necessarily zero by symmetry. The case of even-even correlations is substantially more delicate though (already for the much simpler SAW model), in particular in low dimensions; we hope to come back to this issue in the future.

Theorem 1.4. Consider the Ising model. Let  $< c_{c}^{\text{Ising}}$ , and let A and B be nite odd subsets of  $Z^{d}$ . Then, uniform ly in jxj! 1,

$$E [A B + x] = \frac{P_{x}^{A;B}(n_{x})}{\frac{P_{x}^{A}}{jx^{j}}} e^{(n_{x})jx^{j}}(1 + o(1));$$

where  $n_x = x = jx j$  and <sup>A</sup>;<sup>B</sup> is strictly positive and analytic.

We sketch the proof of this theorem in Section 4.

The main feature shared by the three models discussed above is that the function G (x) can each time be written in the form

(2) 
$$G(x) = \begin{cases} X \\ g(x) \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

where the sum runs over adm issible path-like objects (SAW paths, percolation clusters, random -lines, see Section 3, respectively). The weights q ( ) are supposed to be strictly positive and to possess a variation of the following four properties:

Strict exponential decay of the two-point function: There exists  $C_1 < 1$  such that, for all x 2 Z<sup>d</sup> n fOg,

(3) 
$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x \\ q() \\ \vdots \\ 0! \\ x \end{cases} C_1 e^{-(x)};$$

where  $(x) = \lim_{k \ge 1} (k)^{-1} \log g(bkxc)$  is the inverse correlation length.

F in ite energy condition: For any pair of compatible paths and de ne the conditional weight

where q denotes the concatenation of and . Then there exists a universal nite constant  $C_2 < 1$  such that the conditional weights are controlled in terms of path sizes j jas:

(4) 
$$q(j) e^{C_2 j j}$$

BK -type splitting property: There exists  $C_3 < 1$  , such that, for all x; y 2  $Z^d$  n fOg with x for y,

Exponential m ixing : There exists  $C_4 < 1$  and 2 (0;1) such that, for any four paths , , 1 and 2, with q q 1 and q q 2 both admissible,

(6) 
$$\frac{q(j q_1)}{q(j q_2)} \exp fC_4 \xrightarrow{j_{X} y_j}{y_{2_1[2]}^{X^2}}$$

M any other m odels en by a graphical representation of correlation functions of the form (2). In perturbative regimes, cluster expansions provide a generic example. Non-perturbative examples include the random – cluster representation for Potts (and other) m odels [10], or random walk representation of N -vector m odels [11], etc... However, it m ight not always be easy, or even possible, to establish properties (3), (4), (5) and (6) for the corresponding weights, especially (5) which is probably the less robust one. It should how ever be possible to weaken the latter so that it only relies on some form of locally uniform m ixing properties.

Road-m ap to the paper

In Section 2 we review and explain our probabilistic approach to the analysis of high tem perature correlation functions. The point of departure is the random path representation formula (2), and the whole theory is built upon a study of the local uctuation structure of the corresponding connection paths. One of the consequences is the validity of the invariance principle under the di usive scaling, which we formulate in Theorem 2.2 below. For simplicity the discussion in Section 2 is restricted to the case of SAW -s, and hence the underlying local lim it results are those about the sum s of independent random variables. In the case of high temperature ferrom agnetic Ising models the random line representation, which we shall brie y recall in Section 3, gives rise to path weights q which do not possess appropriate factorization properties. Nevertheless these weights satisfy conditions (3)-(6) and we conclude Section 3 with an explanation of how the problem of nding correlation asymptotics can be reform ulated in terms of local limit properties of one dimensional system s generated by Ruelle operators for full shifts on countable alphabets. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is discussed in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we explain the relation between the problem s discussed here, inspired by Statistical Physics, and their counterparts originating from the corresponding lattice Quantum Field Theories.

## x2. Fluctuations of connection paths

In this section we describe local structure and large scale properties of connection paths conditioned to hit a distant point. In all three models above (SAW, percolation, Ising) the distribution of the connection paths converges, after the appropriate rescaling, to the  $(d \ 1)$ dimensionalBrownian bridge, and, from the probabilistic point of view, these results belong to the realm of classicalG aussian local limit analysis of one dimensional systems based on uniform analytic expansions of nite volum e log-m om ent generating functions. An invariance principle for the sub-critical B emoulli bond percolation has been established in [17] and for the phase separation line in the 2D nearest neighbour Ising m odel at any  $>_{\rm c}$  in [13]. In both cases the techniques and the ideas of [6] and [7] play the crucial role, and, in fact, the renorm alization and the uctuation analysis developed in the latter papers pertains to a large class of m odels which adm it a random path type representation with path weights enjoying a suitable variation of (3)–(6). In particular, it should lead to a closed form theory of low tem perature phase boundaries in two dimensions [16]. Note that di erent tools have been early employed in [9, 12].

For the sake of sim plicity we shall sketch here the case of selfavoiding walks and shall try to stipulate the impact of the geometry of K on the magnitude of paths uctuations in the corresponding directions.

Let  $\$ 2 \text{ S}^{d-1}$  and the dual point \$ 2 GK; (\$; \$) = (\$), be xed for the rest of the section. Consider the set P<sup>n</sup> of all self-avoiding paths

:0 ! bn xc, where for y 2 R<sup>d</sup> we de ne byc = (by c; :::; by<sub>d</sub>c) 2 Z<sup>d</sup>. Finally, consider the following probability measure P<sup>n</sup> on P<sup>n</sup>:

(7) 
$$P^{n}() = \frac{1}{Z^{n}}e^{j} l_{f 2P^{n}g}$$

In order to explain and to form ulate the invariance principle which holds under  $P^n$  we need, rst of all, to readjust the notion of irreducible splitting of paths 2  $P^n$ ;

$$= L q 1 q \qquad \text{M} q R:$$

Fix 2 (0;1) and a large enough renorm alization scale K. G iven a path =  $(u_0; u_1; :::; u_m)$  let us say that a point  $u_1; 0 < 1 < m$ , is  $\hat{x}$ -correct break point of if the following two conditions hold:

A)  $(u_{j};\hat{x}) < (u_{1};\hat{x}) < (u_{i};\hat{x})$  for all j < l < i.

B) The remaining sub-path  $(u_{l+1}; :::; u_m)$  lies inside the set

$$2KU(u_1) + C(\hat{t});$$

where U(z) = z + U, and the forward cone C(t) is defined as

(9) 
$$C(t) = y 2 R^{d} : (y;t) > (1) (y) :$$

Note that this de nition depends on the parameters K and ; as they are usually kept constant, we only write them explicitly when needed.

W ith & 2 S<sup>d</sup> <sup>1</sup>,  $\pounds$  2 @K , K and xed as above let us say that a path is irreducible if it does not contain &-correct break points. W e use S to denote the set of all irreducible paths (m odulo Z<sup>d</sup>-shifts). D e ne also the follow ing three subsets of S:

$$S_{L} = f = (u_{0}; :::; u_{m}) 2 S : 81 > 0 (u_{1}; \hat{x}) < (u_{m}; \hat{x})g$$

$$\begin{cases} 8 \\ < = (u_{0}; :::; u_{m}) 2 S : 81 > 0 (u_{1}; \hat{x}) > (u_{0}; \hat{x}) and_{=} \end{cases}$$
(10) 
$$S_{R} = : K U (u_{0}) + C (f): ;$$

$$S_0 = S_L \setminus S_R$$

For any  $2 P_n$  which has at least two  $\hat{x}$ -correct break points the decomposition (8) is unambiguously de ned by the following set of conditions:

$$_{L} 2 S_{L}$$
;  $_{R} 2 S_{R}$  and  $_{1}$ ;  $:::; M 2 S_{0}$ :

The only di erence between (8) and the irreducible decomposition employed in [7] is that the break points here are de ned with respect to the  $\pounds$ -orthogonal hyper-planes instead of  $\pounds$ -orthogonal hyper-planes. This is to ensure that the displacements along all the -paths which appear in (8) have positive projection on the direction of  $\pounds$ . More precisely, given a SAW path = (u\_0;:::;u\_m) let us de ne the displacement along as V() = u\_m u\_0. By the very de nition of (8) all

$$V_{L} = V (_{L}); V_{1} = V (_{1}); :::; V_{M} = V (_{M}); V_{R} = V (_{R}):$$

belong to the (lattice) half-space fy 2  $Z^d$ : (y;  $\hat{x}$ ) > 0g. The renorm alization calculus developed in [6, 7] in plies:

Lem m a 2.1. For every <  $_{\rm c}$  and for any > 0 there exists a nite scale K  $_0$  = K  $_0$  (; ) and a num ber = (; ) > 0, such that

(11) 
$$X = jj = \exp(f;y) jyj;$$
  
2 S:V()=y

uniform ly in  $y 2 Z^d$ .

Going back to the decom position (8) notice that

 $V_{\rm L} + V_1 + H V_{\rm R} = bn \pounds c$ 

for any :0! bn &c. Therefore, Lem m a 2.1 and the O mstein-Zemike form ula of Theorem 1.1 yield:

(13) 
$$P^n \max j \mathcal{Y}_L j \mathcal{Y}_1 j : ::; \mathcal{Y}_M j \mathcal{Y}_R j g > (\log n)^2 = 0 \frac{1}{n}$$
;

for any > 0. In particular, if for given :0 ! bn%c one considers the piece-w ise constant trajectory b through the vertices  $0;V_L;V_L + V_1;:::;bn%c$ , then the  $R^d$ -H ausdor distance between and b is bounded above as:

(14) 
$$P^n d_H (;b) > (\log n)^2 = o \frac{1}{n} ;$$

as well. Indeed, one needs only to control the uctuation of  $_{\rm L}$  in (8), the traversal deviations of paths in  $S_{\rm R}$  are autom atically under control by the cone con nem ent property (10).

Estimate (14) enables a formulation of the invariance principle for SAW in terms of the elective path b. In its turn the invariance principle for b is a version of the conditional invariance principle for paths of random walks in (d 1)-dimensions with the direction of the target point x playing the role of time. It happens to be natural to choose the frame of the remaining (d 1) spatial dimensions according to principal directions of curvature  $v_1$ ;:::; $v_{d-1}$  of  $\theta$ K at  $\hat{t}$ . In this way, in view of the positive x-projection property of all the -path displacements in (8), the elective path b  $\mathbb{R}^d$  could be parametrized in the orthogonal frame ( $x_iv_1$ ;:::; $v_{d-1}$ ) as a function  $x^b$ : [D;n]!  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ . As usual de ne the di usive scaling  $k_n$  () of  $\theta$  () as

$$x_{n}^{b}(x) = \frac{1}{p-n} x_{n}^{b}(x)(x)$$

Theorem 2.2. The distribution of  $\overset{\circ}{X}_n$  ( ) under  $\overset{\circ}{P}$  weakly converges in C<sub>0,0</sub> [O;1] to the distribution of

(15) 
$$p_{1B_{1}}(); :::; a_{1}B_{d_{1}}();$$

where  $B_1(); :::; B_1()$  are independent B rownian bridges on [0;1] and  $_1; :::; d_1$  are the principal curvatures of  $\mathbb{Q}K$  at  $\hat{t}$ .

Let us dwell on the probabilistic picture behind Theorem s 1.1, 1.2and 2.2: First of all, note that by Lem m a 2.1

(16) 
$$Q_0(y) = e^{(\hat{t};y)}$$
  $e^{jj} = e^{(\hat{t};y)} W_0(y)$   
 $2s_0: v() = y$ 

is a (non-lattice) probability distribution on  $Z^d$  with exponentially decaying tails. Indeed, an alternative in portant way to think about K is as of the closure of the dom ain of convergence of the series

(17) 
$$t 2 R^{d} T e^{(t;y)} G(y)$$
:

On the other hand, Lem m a 2.1 ensures that the series  $W_0(t) = {}^P e^{(t;y)} W_0(y)$  converges in the -neighbourhood B ( $\hat{t}$ ) = ft : j.  $\hat{t}$ ; g of  $\hat{t}$ . In view of the decomposition (8) and Lem m a 2.1,

(18) G 
$$(n\hat{x}) = O e^{n} (\hat{x})^{n} + W_{L} W_{0}^{M} W_{R} (n\hat{x});$$
  
M = 1

where we have assumed for the convenience of notation that n  $\mbox{\sc 2}\ \mbox{\sc 2}^{\rm d}$  , and

$$W_{L}(y) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ e^{jj} \text{ and } W_{R}(y) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ e^{jj} \end{array}$$

As a result, the piece of the boundary (K inside B (f) is implicitly given by)

$$(\ell K \setminus B (\ell) = ft 2 B (\ell) : W_0(t) = 1q$$
:

In order to obtain the full claim of Theorem 1.2 one needs only to check the non-degeneracy of Hess(W  $_0$ ) at  $\hat{t}$ , which, in the case of SAW -s, is a direct consequence of the nite energy condition (4). Note, by the way, that since  $\hat{x}$  is the norm all direction to @K at  $\hat{t}$ , there exists a number 2 (0;1), such that

(19) 
$$r W_{0}(\hat{t}) = \hat{x}:$$

Multiplying both sides of (18) by  $e^{n}$   $(\hat{x}) = e^{(\hat{t};n\hat{x})}$  we arrive to the following key representation of the two point function G :

(20)  

$$e^{n} {}^{(\hat{x})}G (n\hat{x}) = e^{n(\hat{t};\hat{x})}G (n\hat{x}) = 0 e^{n}$$
  
 $X X^{\hat{d}}$   
 $+ Q_{L} (v_{L})Q_{R} (v_{R}) Q_{0} (V_{1} + M \neq n\hat{x} v_{L} v_{R});$   
 $v_{L};v_{R} 2 Z^{d} M = 1$ 

where, similar to (16), we have de ned  $Q_L(v) = e^{(\hat{t}_{T}v)}W_L(v)$  and, accordingly,  $Q_R(v) = e^{(\hat{t}_{T}v)}W_R(v)$ .

Unlike  $Q_0$  the measures  $Q_L$  and  $Q_R$  are in general not probability but, by Lemma 2.1, they are nite and have exponentially decaying tails:

X  
$$(Q_{L}(y) + Q_{R}(y)) = n^{n-2}$$
:

Since by (19) the expectation of  $V_1$  under  $Q_0$  equals to  $\hat{x}$ , the usual local lim it C LT for Z<sup>d</sup> random variables and the G aussian sum mation form ula in ply that the right hand side in (20) equals to  $c_1 = \frac{1}{n^{d-1}}$ . A ctually, a slightly more careful analysis along these line leads to the full analytic form of the O mstein-Zemike form ula as claim ed in Theorem 1.1.

$$v_n = n\hat{x} + \frac{p - \hat{x}^{1}}{n} a_1 v_1 = n\hat{x} + \frac{p - nv_1}{n}$$

equals to

$$\frac{c_2}{((1)^{n^2})^{(d-1)}}e^{(v_n)(n\hat{x} + v_n)}(1 + o(1));$$

where  $c_2 > 0$  does not depend on 2 (0;1) and the coe cients  $a_1$ ;:::; $a_{d-1}$ . C om paring with the 0 Z formula for the full partition function G , we infer that

$$P^{n}(v_{n} 2 b) = \frac{c_{3} \exp f((v_{n}) + (n x v_{n}) (n x))g}{p((1 + o(1)))} (1 + o(1)):$$

From now on we refer to Chapter 2.5 in [22] for the missing details in the arguments below. is the support function of K and by Theorem 1.2 it is a smooth function. Thus, for every v 2 R<sup>d</sup> the gradient r (v) 2 (K = 1) (v) = (r (v); v) (in particular f = r (x) = r (nx)). Principal radii of the curvature 1 = 1; :::; 1 = d = 1 of (K = 1) at f = r eigenvalues of the linear map

$$d^2$$
  $j_{k}$  :  $T_{k}S^{d-1}$   $7$   $T_{k}S^{d-1}$ ;

and  $v_1 \, ; \, : : : ; v_{d-1} \, 2 \, \, T_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} S^{d-1}$  are the corresponding eigenvectors. Therefore,

$$(v_n) + (n \hat{x} \quad v_n) \quad (n \hat{x}) = n \qquad \hat{x} + \frac{1}{p_n} v \qquad (\hat{x})$$

$$+ n(1) \qquad \hat{x} \quad \frac{1}{(1-)p_n} v \qquad (\hat{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \quad d^2 \quad \frac{1}{k} v_i v + \frac{1}{2(1-)} \quad d^2 \quad \frac{1}{k} v_i v + 0 \quad \frac{1}{p_n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2 \quad (1-)} \quad \frac{\hat{x}^{-1}}{p_n} \frac{a_1^2}{p_n^2} + 0 \quad \frac{1}{p_n} :$$

C om putations for higher order nite dimensional distributions follow a completely similar pattern.

# x3. R andom -line representation of Ising correlations

Correlation functions of ferrom agnetic Ising models adm it a very useful representation in terms of sums over weighted random paths, which is especially convenient for our purposes here. The two-point function formula (2) is a particular case. In this section, we recall how this representation is derived; we refer to [20] for details and additional results. In the end of the section we shallbrie y indicate how (20) and, accordingly, the whole local lim it analysis should be re-adjusted in order to incorporate the (dependent) case of Ising paths.

A lthough we use it for the in nite-volum e G ibbs measure, it is convenient to derive the random path representation not for nite volum es, and then take the lim it. As there is a single G ibbs state for the values of we consider, it su ces to consider free boundary conditions (i.e. no interactions between spins inside the box and spins outside).

Given a set of edges B of the lattice  $Z^d$ , we de ne the associated set of vertices as  $V_B \stackrel{4}{=} fx \ 2 \ Z^d$ : 9 e 2 B with x 2 eg (x 2 e m eans that x is an endpoint of e). For any vertex x 2  $V_B$ , we de ne the index of x in B by ind (x;B)  $\stackrel{4}{=} P_{e^{2B}} 1_{fe^{3}xg}$ . The boundary of B is de ned by  $(B \stackrel{4}{=} fx \ 2 \ V_B$  : ind (x;B) is oddg.

In this context, the nite volum e G ibbs measure is de ned by

B; ()  $\stackrel{4}{=}$  Z (B)  $^{1} \exp \left[ \begin{array}{c} X \\ x y \end{array} \right];$ 

and we use the standard notation h  $_{\rm B}i$ , to denote expectation w.r.t. this probability measure.

We x an arbitrary total ordering of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . At each x 2  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , we x (in an arbitrary way) an ordering of the x-incident edges of the graph:

$$B(x) \stackrel{4}{=} fe 2 B : ind(x; feg) > 0g = fe_1^x :::; e_{ind(x;B)}^x g;$$

and for two incident edges  $e = e_i 2 B(x)$ ,  $e^0 = e_j 2 B(x)$  we say that  $e = e^0$  if the corresponding inequality holds for their sub-indices; i j.

Let A  $V_B$  be such that A j is even; we write  $_A \stackrel{4}{=} \stackrel{Q}{_{i2A}}_{i2A}$ . Using the identity e  $_{xy} = \cosh() 1 + _{xy} \tanh()$ , we obtain the following expression for the correlation function  $h_A i_B$ ;

$$h_{A}i_{B}$$
; = Z (B) <sup>1</sup> tanh ;  
 $D_{B} = A$ 

where

$$Z (B) \stackrel{4}{=} X Y$$

$$\sum_{\substack{D B \in 2D \\ @D = ;}} tanh :$$

From D B with  $(D = A, we would like to extract a fam ily of <math>A \neq 2$ \self-avoiding paths" connecting pairs of sites of A. We apply the following algorithm:

STEP 0 Set k = 1 and = ;. STEP 1 Set  $z_0^{(k)}$  to be the st site of A in the ordering of  $Z^d$  xed above, j = 0, and update A  $\stackrel{4}{=}$  A n f $z_0^{(k)}$ g. STEP 2 Let  $e_j^{(k)} = (z_j^{(k)}; z_{j+1}^{(k)})$  be the st edge in B  $(z_j^{(k)})$  n (in the ordering of B  $(z_j^{(k)})$  xed above) such that  $e_j^{(k)}$  2 D. This de nes  $z_{j+1}^{(k)}$ . STEP 3 Update  $\stackrel{4}{=}$  [fe 2 B  $(z_j^{(k)})$  :e  $e_j^{(k)}$ g. If  $z_{j+1}^{(k)}$  2 A, then go to STEP 4. O therwise update  $j\stackrel{4}{=}$  j + 1 and return to STEP 2. STEP 4 Set n<sup>(k)</sup> = j + 1 and stop the construction of this path. Update A  $\stackrel{4}{=}$  A n f $z_{j+1}^{(k)}$ g, k  $\stackrel{4}{=}$  k + 1 and go to STEP 1.

This procedure produces a sequence  $(z_0^{(1)}; \ldots; z_n^{(1)}; z_0^{(2)}; \ldots; z_n^{(j_k, j_{-2})})$ . Let  $\overline{i} \stackrel{4}{=} A \stackrel{j_{-2}}{=} 2 + 1$  i, and set  $w_k^{(i)} \stackrel{4}{=} z_n^{(\overline{i})}_k$ . W e, thus, constructed  $A \neq 2$  paths, i, i = 1;...;  $A \neq 2$ , given by<sup>1</sup>

$$_{i} \stackrel{4}{=} _{i} (D) \stackrel{4}{=} (w_{0}^{(i)}; \dots; w_{n}^{(i)})$$

connecting distinct pairs of points of A , and such that

(but  $z_k^{(i)} = z_1^{(j)}$  is allowed). A family of contours  $= (_1; \ldots;_{jA \neq 2})$  is (A;B)-admissible if it can be obtained from a set D B with @D = A, using this algorithm; in that case we write (A;B). Notice that here the order of the paths is in portant: if  $_k$  is a path from  $x_k$  to  $y_k$  then we must have  $y_1 > y_2 > \ldots > y_{jA \neq 2}$ . This is to ensure that we do not count twice the same con guration of paths.

The construction also yields a set of edges  $()^{4} = .0$  bserve that () is entirely determ ined by (and the order chosen for the sites and edges). In particular the sets D B giving rise to an (A; B)-adm issible fam ily are characterized by (D = A and

$$D \setminus (\underline{)} = \begin{bmatrix} j^{\underline{j}} \underline{j}^{\underline{j}-2} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, for such sets,  $(0 \ n \ ()) = ;$ , and we can write

$$h_{A}i_{B} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ q_{B}(\underline{)} \end{array}$$

where

$$q_{B}(\underline{)} = w(\underline{)} \frac{Z(Bn(\underline{)})}{Z(B)};$$

with

$$w(\underline{)} = \underset{i=1 \ k=1}{\overset{\mathbf{F}}{\Upsilon}^{i}} \tan k :$$

This is an instance of the random -line representation for correlation functions of the Ising model in B. It has been studied in detail in [19, 20] and is essentially equivalent (though the derivations are quite di erent) to the random -walk representation of [1]. We'll need a version

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ T his backward construction of the lines turns out to be convenient for the reform ulation in term s of Ruelle's form alism, see [7].

of this representation when B is replaced by the set E (Z<sup>d</sup>) of all edges of Z<sup>d</sup>. To this end, we use the following result ([20], Lemmas 6.3 and 6.9): For all <  $_{\rm c}$ ,

(21) 
$$h_{A}i = \begin{array}{c} x \\ q (); \\ \end{array}$$

where  $q() \stackrel{4}{=} \lim_{B_n \And E(Z^d)} q_{;B_n}()$  is well de ned.

It will also be useful to work with a more relaxed de nition of adm issibility, since we want to cut our paths into pieces, and the order of the resulting pieces might not correspond with the order of their endgoints. In general, given a path =  $(x_1;x_2;:::x_n)$ , we de ne () =  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} fe 2 B(x_k) : e(x_{k-1};x_k)g.We say that a path = (x_1;:::;x_n))$ is adm issible if  $f(x_1;x_2);:::;(x_{k-1}x_k)g \setminus ((x_k;:::;x_n)) = ;$  for all  $2 k \sum_{k=1}^{n} (k)$ . A family of paths \_ = (1;:::;n), we de ne  $() = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (k)$ . A family of adm issible paths \_ is then adm issible if  $(1;:::;k) \setminus ((k+1;::;n)) = ;$  for all 1 k n 1. Notice that the order of the paths is still in portant ((1; 2)) can be adm issible while (2; 1) is not), but there are no constraint on the order of their endpoints. Indeed, they can even share endpoints. Observe that these de nitions are identical to those above when restricted to the sam e setting.

W e then have the following crucial inequality: Let \_be an adm issible family of paths. Then

We give a brief proof. It is enough to consider the analogous statement in nite volumes B.Since  $(_0; _) = (_0) [(_) and _0 \setminus (_) = ;$ , we have

$$q_{B}(0;) = q_{Bn}(0) (0) q_{B}()$$
:

Hence (22) follows simply from Gri ths' second inequality since

$$\begin{array}{c} x \\ q_{;Bn(\underline{)}}(0) = h_{x y i;Bn(\underline{)}} h_{x y i}: \\ 0 Bn(\underline{)} \end{array}$$

If the set A in (21) contains only two points, A = fx;yg, then we recover (2). The main di erence between the SAW case considered in

Section 2 and the case of sub-critical ferrom agnetic Ising m odels is that the path weights q in (2) do not factorize: In general,

Consequently, the displacement variables  $V_1; V_2; \ldots$  fail to be independent and the underlying local lim it analysis should be generalized. The appropriate fram ework is that of the statistical mechanics of one dim ensional system s generated by R uelle operators for full shifts on countable alphabets. W e refer to [7] for all the background m aterial and here only sketch how the construction leads to the claims of Theorem s 1.1, 1.2and, after an appropriate re-de nition of the measures  $P^n$ , to the invariance principle stated in Theorem 22: As in the case of SAW -s x a direction & 2 S<sup>d 1</sup>. The key renorm alization result (Theorem 2.3 in [7]) which implies that the rate of decay of the irreducible connections is strictly larger than the rate of decay of the two point function G  $\,$  . In view of (8) this validates a representation of G as a sum of dependent ∦ ∀ V<sub>R</sub> with exponentially decaying random variables  $V_L + V_1 +$ tails. Nomely, as in Section 2 let S = S(K) be the set of all  $\hat{x}$ -irreducible paths. Then the following Ising analog of Lemma 2.1 holds:

uniform ly in y 2 Z<sup>d</sup>.

The above Lemma suggests that the main contribution to the sharp asymptotics of G comes from the weights of the paths  $_1$ ;:::;  $_M$  in the decomposition (8). A coordingly, consider now the set S<sub>0</sub> of cylindrical  $\hat{x}$ -inreducible paths which was introduced in Section 2. Given a nite collection ;  $_1$ ;:::;  $_M$  2 S<sub>0</sub> de ne the conditional weight

$$q(\underline{)} = q(\underline{)} q$$
  $(\underline{)} = \frac{q(q_1q_1)}{q(q_1q_1)}$ 

By the crucial exponential m ixing property (6) one is able to control the dependence of the conditional weights q (  $\_$ ) on  $_{M}$  as follows:

(24) 
$$\sup_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}^{m} \\ j = 1 \text{ sup } m \neq 1}} \sup_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}^{m} \\ j = 1 \text{ sup } m \neq 1}} \frac{q\left(1 + q + \frac{q}{m}\right)}{q\left(1 + q + \frac{q}{m}\right)} e^{c_{1} + \frac{m}{m}} = e^{c_{1} + \frac{m}{m}} e^{c_$$

In our form alism the set  $S_0$  plays the role of a countable alphabet. The estim ate (24) enables the extension of the conditional weights q ( \_) to the case of in nite strings\_ = ( \_; \_;::). Let S<sub>0</sub>; be the set of all such strings endowed with the metrics

d (; 
$$\underline{)} = \inf_{k \in k} \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{k$$

and let  $F_{0;}\,$  be the set of all bounded Lipschitz continuous functions on S  $_{0;}$  .

A s before we choose  $f_2$  @K to be the dual direction to  $\hat{x}$ . G iven a path 2 S<sub>0</sub> and a string 2 S<sub>0</sub>; de ne the potential

By (6) and Lemma 3.1 the operator

(25) 
$$L_z f(\underline{)} = \sum_{2S_0}^{X} e^{(\underline{j}) + (z, V(j))} f(q_{\underline{j}});$$

is well de ned and bounded on  $F_0;\;\; for\; every\; z\; 2\; C^{\,d}\; w\; ith\; jz\; j<\;\; .$ 

The dependent Ising analog of (20) is then given (see Section 3 of [7]) by

(26) 
$$e^{n} {}^{(\hat{x})}G (n\hat{x}) = 0 e^{n}$$
$$X X {}^{\hat{x}} {}^{\hat{x$$

For each M = 1;2;::: the fam ily of weights  $fQ_{0,M}'$  g is related to the fam ily of operators  $fL_z$ g via the Fourier transform :

(27) 
$$X_{y^{2} Z^{d}} e^{(z;y)} Q_{0;M}^{i} (y) = L_{z}^{M} w_{i}; ;$$

where the fam ily fw ; g is uniform ly positive and uniform ly bounded in  $F_{0;}$ . In this way the analytic perturbation theory of the leading (that is lying on the spectral circle) eigenvalue of  $L_z$  enables the expansion of the logarithm of the right hand side in (26) which, in its turn, leads to classical G aussian local lim it results for the dependent sum  $sV_1$ + M V

## x4. A sym ptotics of odd-odd correlations

In this section, we sketch the proof of Theorem 1.4. We do not give a complete, self-contained argument, since this would be too long,

and would involve many repetitions from [7]. Instead, we provide the only required update as compared to the proof for 2-point functions given in the latter work. As such, this section should be considered as a complement, and we shall give exact references to the form ulas in [7] whenever required.

As explained in Section 3, the correlation function h  $_{\rm A}$   $_{\rm B+x}i$  admits a random –line representation of the form

$$h_{A B+x}i = X_{A[(B+x)}q();$$

where \_runs over fam ilies of com patible open contours connecting all the sites of A [ (B + x). Am ong the  $\frac{1}{2}$  ( $A \neq B$ ) paths of \_, at least one must connect a site of A to a site of B + x. W e is show that one can ignore the contribution of \_ with more than one such connection (i.e. at least three of them). The ist observation is that we have the following lower bound on the correlation function: By the second G ri ths' inequality,

where y and z are arbitrarily chosen sites of A and B respectively. Another application of the second G ri ths' inequality in plies that

$$h_{Anfyg}i h_{Bnfzg}i > 0$$
:

M oreover, we already know that

$$h_{v_{x+x}i} = (n_{x})j_{x}j^{(d-1)=2}e^{(x)}(1+o(1));$$

But, applying (22), we obtain im mediately that the contribution of fam – ilies of paths \_ with three or more connections between A and B + x is bounded above by C (A;B) e  $^{3}$  (x) and is therefore negligible.

We can henceforth safely assume that there is a single connection between A and B + x; we denote the corresponding path by , while the remaining paths are denoted by \_A and \_B. We want to show that we can repeat the argument used for the two-point function in [7] in this more general setting. This is indeed quite reasonable since the paths in \_A and \_B should remain localized, and therefore the picture is still that of a single very long path as for 2-point functions. The main point is thus to prove su ciently strong localization properties for the paths \_A and \_B, so as to ensure that an appropriate version Lemma 3.1 (see also Theorem 2.3 of [7]) still holds. The import of the latter lemma was to assert nice decay and decoupling properties of the integrated weights

of the inreducible pieces in the decom position of connection paths (8). Notice rst that exactly the same decom position can still be used here, provided we attach the paths in \_\_A and \_\_B to the corresponding leftm ost and rightm ost extrem alpieces \_L and \_R, and keep the remaining interm ediate cylindrical irreducible pieces unchanged. A part from the com patibility requirements one then has to check that \_\_B stays inside the forw and cone containing \_R, so that the crucial estimate (3.9) in [7] remains valid.

Let y 2 A, z 2 B + x, : y ! z, and let \_A, resp. \_B, denote the collections of remaining paths connecting pairs of sites in A n fyg, respectively x + B n fzg. For given collections \_A and \_B we de ne the inreducible decomposition of in precisely the same way as in (8), except for the extrem alpieces  $_L = (u_0^L ; :::; u_m^L)$  and  $_R = (u_0^R ; :::; u_n^R)$ , which have to satisfy the following modil ed set of conditions:

 $(l_{k}^{L}; \mathbf{\hat{x}}) < (u_{m}^{L}; \mathbf{\hat{x}}) 8 k = 0; \dots; m \qquad 1 \\ (l_{k}^{R}; \mathbf{\hat{x}}) > (u_{0}^{R}; \mathbf{\hat{x}}) 8 k = 1; \dots; n$ 

must belong to the same x-halfspace as  $_L$  and for any x-break point  $u_k^L$  of  $_L$  the x-orthogonal hyperplane through  $u_k^L$  intersects \_  $_h$ .

\_\_\_\_ must belong to the same x-halfspace as  $_R$  and for any x-break point  $u_k^R$  of  $_R$  the x-orthogonal hyperplane through  $u_k^R$  intersects \_\_\_\_\_.

must belong to 2K U  $(u_0^R) + C$  (t) (see (9)).

W ith a slight am biguity of notation let us call compatible pairs (\_A; L) and (\_B; R) -irreducible if they satisfy all the conditions above. We then only have to check that

X  $q(\underline{A}; D) \approx (\widehat{f}; U = y) \quad \widehat{f} = y^{j};$   $(\underline{A}; D) \approx -inreducible$  $D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{f} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N}$ 

for some > 0 and any u 2 Z<sup>d</sup>. We only check the second statement since it is the most complicated one. Fix a large enough scale K. A site u of is a ( $x_{B}$ ; )-admissible break point if it is a x-break point of and, in addition,

$$_{\rm p}$$
 2KU (u) + C (t):

Lem m a 4.1. Fix a forward cone parameter 2 (0;1=4) and a set  $B = fy_1; z_1; :::; y_n; z_n g; B Z^d n f 0 g$ . There exist a renorm alization scale K<sub>0</sub> and positive numbers = (;), = (;) and N = N() < 1, such that for all K K<sub>0</sub>, the upper bound

holds uniform ly in the dual directions t 2 @K and in the starting points  $x \ 2 \ Z^d$ . In the rst sum \_\_\_\_\_; runs over all admissible family of paths such that :  $x \ 1 \ 0$ , while \_\_\_\_\_\_ = (\_1; :::; \_n) satisfies \_\_\_\_\_k : y\_k \ ! \ z\_k.

Proof. Applying (22), we can assume that  $x \ge C^0$  (t), see the rem ark after Theorem 2.3 of [7]. Let  $Q_C(x) = fv \ge Z^d$ : jvj jxj=C g where C is some large enough constant. To simplify notations, we suppose that B = fy;zg, i.e. that \_\_B : y ! z. The general case is treated in the same way.

We rst show that, typically,  $\mbox{Q}_{C}$  (x). Indeed, using again (22), we have that

X X X $q(;)1_{f 6Q_{c}(x)g} X q(;1;)$ (;):x!0:y!z X<math display="block">X 1:y!u; 2:u!z $X h_{x 0}ih_{y u}ih_{u v}i$  $u2@Q_{c}(x) (;1;2)$ :x!0:x!0i:y!u; 2:u!z $X h_{x 0}ih_{y u}ih_{u v}i$  $u2@Q_{c}(x) (;1;2)$ :x!0:x!0:x!0:x!0:x!0:x!0:x!0:x!2 (x) (x) (x)Cajxjec (x) (x) :

We can therefore suppose that  $$Q_{\rm C}$ (x). Observe now that in the latter case$ 

f has no (x; ;2)-break pointsg

f has no x-break point u with (t;u)  $\frac{1}{2}(t;x)g$ 

 $\stackrel{4}{=}$  A (t;K;;x);

provided that C is taken large enough. Indeed, would such a x-break point u exist then the cone u+  $C_2\,$  (t) must contain the box Q  $_C\,$  (x), hence also  $\,$  .

The probability of A (t;K; ;x) is estimated exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 of [7]. Indeed, the presence of the path only a ects

an arbitrarily small fraction of the slabs  $S_k$  (t) introduced in the latter proof, provided C is taken large enough, so that the argument given there applies with no modications. Q E D.

## x5. Relation to Quantum Field Theories

There is an abundant literature devoted to the relation between Ising and other ferrom agnetic type m odels to the Euclidean lattice quantum

eld theories, see e.g. [21, 18] or more recently [5, 4]; the latter article contains also an extensive bibliography on the subject. In this works the spins live on the integer lattice  $Z^{d+1}$  with one special direction, say  $e_1$ , being visualized as the imaginary time axis. Thus, for example, the analyticity properties of the mixed Fourier transform

(28) G 
$$(p_1; ip) = \begin{cases} X & X \\ p_1; x > 2 \\ x_1 > 2 \\ x_2 > 2 \\ x_2 > 2 \\ x_1 > 2 \end{cases} e^{p_1 x_1 + i(p; x)} G (x_1; x);$$

 $(p_1;p)$  2 T T<sup>d</sup>, are related in this way to the question of existence of one particle states.

Below we shall brie y indicate how the the key probabilistic representation (20) leads to the following conclusion (see e.g. Proposition 4.2 in [18], Theorem 2.3 in [21]): For every p 2 T<sup>d</sup> de ne

(29) 
$$!(p) = \lim_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n} \log_{x \ge Z^d}^X e^{i(p,x)} G(n;x);$$

! (p) being interpreted as the energy of a particle with momentum p.

Theorem 5.1. There exists a neighbourhood B = fp : jp j < g of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that the function p 7 ! (p) is real analytic on B. Hess (!) (0) is precisely the matrix of the second fundamental form of  $\mathbb{Q}K$  at  $\hat{t} = ((e_1); 0)$ . Furthermore, there exists > 0 such that for every p 2 B the function

has a merom orphic extension to the disc  $fp_1 \ 2 \ C \ : p_1 \ p_1 \ j < \ g; p_1 = (e_1), with the only simple pole at <math>p_1 = \ (p)$ .

In the sequel we use the notation introduced in Section 2. Because of the Z<sup>d</sup>-lattice sym m etries the dual point  $\hat{t} 2 \ \mbox{CK}$  of  $e_1$  is given by  $\hat{t} = (\hat{p}_1; 0)$  with  $\hat{p}_1 = (e_1)$ . Given y 2 Z<sup>d+1</sup> de ne (see (10))

$$W(y) = \begin{cases} x & e^{j j}; \\ 0! & y \\ 2s \end{cases}$$

and let W  $_{\rm L}$  ;W  $_0$  and W  $_{\rm R}$  be de  $\,$  ned as in Section 2. Sum m ing up all the weights of irreducible paths in (8) we arrive to the follow ing representation of G  $\,$ :

(30)  

$$G (y) = W (y) + X W_{L} (y_{L}) W_{R} (y_{R})$$

$$y_{L} + y_{R} = y$$

$$X X^{1}$$

$$+ W_{L} (y_{L}) W_{R} (y_{R}) W_{0}^{M} (y - y_{L} - y_{R})$$

$$y_{L} + y_{R} M = 1$$

Consider the mixed Fourier transform s

$$W (p_{1};p) = \int_{x_{1} \ge Z}^{X \ge X} e^{p_{1}x_{1} + (p;x)} W (x_{1};x)$$
  
and  
$$W_{b}(p_{1};p) = \int_{x_{1} \ge Z}^{X \ge Z} e^{p_{1}x_{1} + (p;x)} W_{b}(x_{1};x); b = 0;L;R:$$

By Lemma 2.1 all four functions above are analytic in the complex neighbourhood B<sup>C</sup> (f) of  $f; B^C$  (f) = f (p<sub>1</sub>; p):  $p_1 f + pf < g$ . Thus, the extension of G (p<sub>1</sub>; p) to B<sup>C</sup> (f) is given by:

$$W (p_{1};p) + \frac{W_{L}(p_{1};p)W_{R}(p_{1};p)}{1 W_{0}(p_{1};p)}:$$

Consequently, the surface of poles of G  $(p_1;p)$  inside B<sup>C</sup> (t) is given by the implicit equation

(31) 
$$W_0(p_1;p) = 1:$$

A swe have already seen in Section 2, the restriction of (31) to  $(p_1;p) 2$ R R<sup>d</sup> de nes the piece of the boundary (M inside B (c)). Since by (19)  $(M_0=0p_1(c) \neq 0$  and, in addition,  $Hess(M_0)(c)$  is non-degenerate, the analytic in plicit function theorem in plies that there exists > 0, such that the equation (31) can be resolved for  $p 2 B^{c}(c) C^{d}$  as

(32) 
$$p_1 = e(p):$$

In particular, for p 2 R<sup>d</sup> the equation (32) gives a param eterization of @K in the -neighbourhood of  $\pounds$ , and  $H ess(\pounds)(0)$  is, indeed, the matrix of the second fundam ental form of @K at  $\pounds$ . Finally, the 1-particle mass shell ! in (29) is recovered as ! (p) =  $\pounds$  (ip).

In the case of ferror agnetic Ising models set  $p = (p_1; p)$  and readjust the de nition (25) of the Ruelle operator  $L_z$  as  $E_p = L_p$  f. Then  $E_p$  is

well de ned and bounded on  $F_0$ ; for every  $p \ge B^{C}$  (f). It could be then shown that the surface of poles of G inside  $B^{C}$  (f) is implicitly given by

$$\sim (p_1;p) = 1;$$

where ~  $(p_1;p)$  is the leading (lying on the spectral circle) eigenvalue of  $f_p$ . Further analysis of the spectral properties of the family  $ff_p$  g reveals [7] that there exists > 0, such that  $(p_1;p)$  is a simple pole of the corresponding resolvent for every  $p \ 2 \ B^{\ C}(f)$ . In this way, the conclusion of T heorem 5.1 follows from the analytic perturbation theory of discrete spectra and from the conditional variance argument which ensures the non-degeneracy of H ess( )(f).

#### References

- M. Aizenman (1982), Geometric analysis of '<sup>4</sup> elds and Ising models.
   I, II, Comm. M ath. Phys. 86, no. 1, 1{48.
- [2] M.Aizenm an and D.J.Barsky (1987), Sharpness of the phase transition in percolation models, Comm. M ath. Phys. 108, no. 3, 489{526.
- [3] M.Aizenman, D.J.Barsky and R.Fernandez (1987), The phase transition in a general class of Ising-type models is sharp, J.Stat.Phys. 47, 3/4, 342{374.
- [4] F.Auil, J.C.A.Barata (2001), Scattering and bound states in Euclidean lattice quantum eld theories, Ann.Inst.H.Poincare 2, 1065–1097.
- [5] J.C.A.Barata, K.Fredenhagen (1991), Particle scattering in Euclidean lattice eld theories, Comm M ath Phys. 138, 507-519.
- [6] M. Campanino and D. Io e (1999) Omstein-Zemike Theory for the Bernoulli bond percolation on Z<sup>d</sup>, Ann. Probab. 30, no. 2, 652 {682.
- [7] M. Campanino, D. Io e D. and Y. Velenik (2003), Omstein-Zemike Theory for Finite-Range Ising Models Above T<sub>c</sub>, Probab. Theory Related Fields 125, 305{349.
- [8] M. Campanino, D. Io e D. and Y. Velenik (2003), Rigorous Non-Perturbative Omstein-Zemike Theory for Ising Ferromagnets, Europhysics Letters 62, no 2, 182 [188.
- [9] R.Durrett (1978), On the shape of a random string, Ann. Probab. 7, (1979), 1014 {1027.
- [10] C M . Fortuin, P W . Kasteleyn (1972), On the random -cluster model. I. Introduction and relation to other models, Physica 57, 536{564.
- [11] J.Bricm ont, A.ElM ellouki, J.Frhlich (1986), Random surfaces in statisticalm echanics: roughening, rounding, wetting, ..., J. Statist. Phys. 42, no. 5-6, 743 (798.
- [12] Y.Higuchi (1979), On some lim if theorem s related to the phase separation line in the two-dim ensional Ising model, Z.W ahrsch.Verw.Gebiete 50, (1979), 287{315.

- [13] L.G reenberg, D. Io e (2003), in preparation.
- [14] D. Io e (1994), Large deviations for the 2D Ising model: a lower bound without cluster expansions, J. Statist. Phys. 74, no. 1-2, 411 [432.
- [15] D. Io e (1998), O mstein-Zemike behaviour and analyticity of shapes for self-avoiding walks on Z<sup>d</sup>, M ark P roc RelFields 4, 323{350.
- [16] D. Io e, Y. Velenik, in preparation.
- [17] E. Kovchegov (2002), Brownian bridge asymptotics for the subcritical Bernoulli bond percolation, preprint.
- [18] P.Paes-Lem e (1978), O mstein-Zemike and analyticity properties of classical lattice spin systems, Ann Physics 115, 367-387.
- [19] C.-E.P ster, and Y.Velenik (1997), Large D eviations and Continuum Limit in the 2D Ising M odel, Probab. Theory R elated Fields 109, 435{ 506.
- [20] C.-E.P ster, and Y.Velenik (1999), Interface, surface tension and reentrant pinning transition in the 2D Ising model, Comm. M ath. Phys. 204, no.2, 269{312.
- [21] R S. Schor (1978), The particle structure of -dimensional Ising models at low temperatures, C om M Ath Phys. 59, 213-233.
- [22] R. Schneider (1993), Convex Bodies: The Brunn-M inkow skiTheory, Encyclopedia of M ath. and its Applications 44, C am bridge U niv. P ress.

D ipartim ento di M atem atica U niversita di Bologna Piazza di Porta S.Donato 5 I-40126 Bologna Italy

Faculty of Industrial Engineering Technion Haifa 3200 Israel

UMR-CNRS 6632 Universite de Provence 39 rue Joliot Curie 13453 Marseille France