

ON A CONJECTURE OF AUSLANDER AND REITEN

CRAIG HUNEKE AND GRAHAM J. LEUSCHKE

Abstract. In studying Nakayama's 1958 conjecture on rings of finite dominant dimension, Auslander and Reiten proposed the following generalization: Let R be an Artin algebra and M a finitely generated R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$; then M is projective. This conjecture makes sense for any ring. We establish Auslander and Reiten's conjecture for excellent Cohen-Macaulay normal domains containing the rational numbers, and slightly more generally.

0. Introduction

The generalized Nakayama conjecture of M. Auslander and I. Reiten is as follows [4]: For an Artin algebra R , every indecomposable injective R -module appears as a direct summand in the minimal injective resolution of R . Equivalently, if M is a finitely generated R -generator such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, then M is projective. This latter formulation makes sense for any ring, and Auslander, Ding, and S. Solberg [3] widened the context to algebras over commutative local rings.

Conjecture (AR). Let R be a Noetherian ring finite over its center and M a finitely generated left R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Then M is projective.

In the same paper, Auslander and Reiten proved AR for modules M that are ultimately closed, that is, there is some syzygy N of M all of whose indecomposable direct summands already appear in some previous syzygy of M . This includes all modules over rings of finite representation type, all rings R such that for some integer n , R has only a finite number of indecomposable summands of n^{th} syzygies, and all rings of radical square zero.

Auslander, Ding, and Solberg [3, Proposition 1.9] established AR in case R is a quotient of a ring of finite global dimension by a regular sequence. In fact, in this case they prove something much

Date: December 29, 2021.

The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0098654, and the second author was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship and the Clay Mathematics Institute.

stronger: If $\text{Ext}^2(M; M) = 0$, then $\text{pd } M < 1$ [3, Proposition 1.8]. This in turn was generalized by L. Avramov and R.-O. Buchweitz [5, Theorem 4.2]: A finite module M over a (commutative) complete intersection ring R has finite projective dimension if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^{2i}(M; M) = 0$ for some $i > 0$.

M. Hoshino [9] proved that if R is a symmetric Artin algebra with radical cube zero, then $\text{Ext}_R^1(M; M) = 0$ implies that M is free. Huneke, L.M. Sega, and A.N. Vraciu have recently extended this to prove that if R is Gorenstein local with $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$, and if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = 0$ for some $i \geq 1$, then M is free, and have further verified the Auslander-Reiten conjecture for all finitely generated modules M over Artinian commutative local rings $(R; \mathfrak{m})$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^2 M = 0$ [11]. In particular, this verifies the Auslander-Reiten conjecture for commutative local rings with $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$.

The assumption that R be finite over its center is essential, given a counterexample due to R. Schultz [15].

Our main theorem establishes the AR conjecture for a class of commutative Cohen-Macaulay rings and wellbehaved modules. Moreover, our result is effective; we can specify how many Ext are needed to vanish to give the conclusion of AR.

Main Theorem. Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay ring which is a quotient of a locally excellent ring S of dimension d by a locally regular sequence. Assume that S is locally a complete intersection ring in codimension one, and further assume either that S is Gorenstein, or that S contains the field of rational numbers. Let M be a finitely generated R -module of constant rank such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1; \dots; d; \text{ and} \\ \text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1; \dots; 2d + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then M is projective.

The restriction imposed on R by assuming that S be locally complete intersection in codimension one is equivalent to assuming that R is a quotient by a regular sequence of some normal domain T , by [10, Theorem 3.1]. However, replacing S by T according to the construction in [10] would increase d , the number of Ext required to vanish. In any case, this observation gives the following corollary.

Theorem 0.1. Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay ring which is a quotient of a locally excellent ring S of dimension d by a locally regular sequence. Assume that S is locally a complete intersection ring

in codimension one, and further assume either that S is Gorenstein, or that S contains the field of rational numbers. Then the AR conjecture holds for all finitely generated R -modules, that is, if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, then M is projective.

Not every zero-dimensional ring R is a factor of a ring S as in the theorem, since not all Artinian local rings can be smoothed. For example, Anthony Iarrobino has pointed out that the easiest such example is a polynomial ring in four variables modulo an ideal generated by seven general quadrics (note, however, that the cube of the maximal ideal of such a ring is zero, so this case is covered by [1]). For other examples of non-smoothable rings, see Mumford [14].

In the next section we prove some preliminary lemmas, and then prove the main result. This requires extra work regarding the trace of a module. Since we could not find a satisfactory reference for what we needed, we include basic facts concerning the trace in an appendix.

Throughout the following, all rings are Noetherian and all modules finitely generated. For an R -module M , we define the dual of M by $M^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(M; R)$. There is a natural homomorphism $\varphi_M : M \rightarrow M^{\vee\vee}$ defined by sending $x \in M$ to "evaluation at x ". We say that M is torsion-free if φ_M is injective, and reflexive if φ_M is an isomorphism. It is known (cf. [2, Theorem 2.17], for example) that M is torsion-free if and only if M is a first syzygy, and reflexive if and only if M is a second syzygy. We will say that a torsion-free R -module M has constant rank if M is locally free of constant rank at the minimal primes of R . This is equivalent to $K_R M$ being a free K -module, where K is the total quotient ring of R obtained by inverting all nonzerodivisors.

1. Proof of the Main Theorem

We begin by observing that the vanishing of Ext and the projectivity of M are both local questions, so that in proving our main theorem we may assume that both S and R are local. Furthermore, since S is assumed to be excellent we can (and do) complete S at its maximal ideal without loss of generality.

Next we point out the following consequence of the lifting criterion of Auslander, Ding, and Solberg [3, Proposition 1.6].

Lemma 1.1. Let S be a complete local ring, $x \in S$ a nonunit nonzerodivisor, and $R = S/(x)$. Assume that there exists $t \geq 2$ such that for any S -module N , $\text{Ext}_S^i(N; N) = \text{Ext}_S^i(N; S) = 0$ for

$i = 1; \dots; t$ implies that N is free. Then for any R -module M , $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; t$ implies that M is free. Furthermore, if AR holds for S -modules then it holds for R -modules.

Proof. Let M be an R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; t$. Then in particular $\text{Ext}_R^2(M; M) = 0$, and so by [3, Proposition 1.6] there exists an S -module N on which x is a nonzerodivisor and such that $R \otimes_S N = M$. Apply $\text{Hom}_S(-; N)$ to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ and use the fact that $\text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(M; N) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; t$ to see that multiplication by x is surjective on $\text{Ext}_S^i(N; N)$ for $i = 1; \dots; t$. Then Nakayama's Lemma implies that $\text{Ext}_S^i(N; N) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; t$. The same argument, applying $\text{Hom}_S(-; S)$ and observing that $\text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(M; S) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; t$, shows that $\text{Ext}_S^i(N; S) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; t$ as well. Since this forces N to be S -free, M is R -free.

Finally, repeating the argument with " $i = 1$ " in place of " $i = 1; \dots; t$ " gives the last statement.

With Lemma 1.1 in mind, we now focus on the case $R = S$ in our main theorem. Indeed, if $\dim(S) = 1$, then S is locally a complete intersection ring by hypothesis, and hence R is as well. By [3, Proposition 1.9], then, AR holds for R -modules. So we may assume that $R = S$, and in particular we take $d = \dim R$. Our next goal is to modify the module M .

Lemma 1.2. [4, Lemma 1.4] In proving the Main Theorem, we may replace M by $\text{syz}_R^n(M)$, where $n = \max\{2d + 1, g\}$, and assume that M is reflexive and that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; d$. In proving AR , we may replace M by any syzygy module $\text{syz}_R^t(M)$.

Proof. Put $N = \text{syz}_R^n(M)$. It is a straightforward computation with the long exact sequences of Ext to show that if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; d$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; 2d + 1$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(N; N) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; d$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(N; R) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; d$. Assume, then, that we have shown that N is free. Then since $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$, the n -fold extension of M by N consisting of the free modules in the resolution of M must split, so M is free as well. This proves the last statement.

To prove that N is reflexive and $\text{Ext}_R^i(N; R) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; d$, one shows by induction on t that $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{syz}_R^t(M); R) = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; t$. For the base case $t = 2$, observe that since

$\text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, the dual of the exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{syz}_R^2(M) \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0;$$

where F_1 and F_0 are free modules, is still exact. Dualizing again gives $(*)$ back, so $N = \text{syz}_R^2(M)$ is reflexive and satisfies $\text{Ext}_R^i(N; R) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. For the inductive step, dimension-shifting shows that if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, t-1$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{syz}_R^1(M); R) = 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, t$, and the same argument as above shows that $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{syz}_R^1(M); R) = 0$.

It is worth noting that if R is a Cohen-Macaulay (CM) ring, then $\text{syz}_R^d(M)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM) module for any M . Also, the replacement in Lemma 1.2 has consequences for the assumptions (1) in the main theorem: If $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{syz}_R^1(M); R) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, t-1$. This observation combines with Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 to reduce the proof of our main theorem to the following:

Theorem 1.3. Let $(R; \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local CM ring of dimension d which is a complete intersection in codimension one. Assume either that R is Gorenstein, or that R contains \mathbb{Q} . Let M be a MCM R -module of constant rank such that for $i = 1, \dots, d$,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) &= 0; \\ \text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) &= 0; \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) &= 0: \end{aligned}$$

Then M is free.

We postpone the proof of Theorem 1.3 to the end of this section, and establish some preparatory results.

By Cohen's structure theorem, the complete local ring R is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring, and so has a canonical module ω . Since R is complete intersection in codimension one, it is in particular Gorenstein at the associated primes, and so ω has constant rank. Hence ω is isomorphic to an ideal of R . For a MCM R -module N , we write N^\perp for the canonical dual $\text{Hom}_R(N, \omega)$.

We next apply a result found in [6, Corollary B.4] (see also [8, Lemma 2.1]):

Proposition 1.4. Let R be a CM local ring with a canonical module ω and let N be a MCM R -module. If $\text{Ext}_R^i(N; R) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, \dim R$ then $\omega \otimes_R N = (N)^\perp$ is a MCM R -module.

Applied to our current context, this gives the following fact.

Corollary 1.5. Under our assumptions (2) in Theorem 1.3, both $\omega \otimes_R M$ and $\omega \otimes_R M^\perp$ are MCM R -modules.

We will also show that the triple tensor product $\omega \otimes_R M \otimes_R M$ is MCM, but for this we use the following lemma. It requires that we add one further assumption to (2): that the module M in question has constant rank.

Lemma 1.6. Let $(R; \mathfrak{m}; k)$ be a CM local ring with canonical ideal ω , and let N be a MCM R -module of constant rank. Assume that $\text{Hom}_R(N; N)$ is also a MCM R -module, and that for some maximal regular sequence \underline{x} , we have

$$\text{Hom}_R(N; N) \otimes_R R/(\underline{x}) = \text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{x})}(N/\underline{x}N; N/\underline{x}N):$$

Then \underline{x} is a regular sequence on $N \otimes_R N^\perp$. In particular, $N \otimes_R N^\perp$ is MCM.

Proof. We indicate reduction modulo \underline{x} by an overline, and use $\ell(\cdot)$ for the length of a module. We also continue to use ω for $\text{Hom}_R(\cdot; \omega)$ without fear of confusion. Since $\omega = E_{\overline{R}}(k)$, the injective hull of the residue field of \overline{R} , we have $\omega(M) = \omega(M)$ for all \overline{R} -modules M .

First, a short computation using Hom-Tensor adjointness:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(N \otimes_R N^\perp)}^\perp &= \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{N \otimes_R N^\perp}; \omega) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{N}; \overline{N^\perp}^\perp) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{N}; \overline{N}) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this implies that $\overline{(N \otimes_R N^\perp)}^\perp = (\overline{(N \otimes_R N^\perp)})^\perp = (\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{N}; \overline{N}))^\perp$. Since $\overline{N \otimes_R N^\perp} = \overline{N} \otimes_{\overline{R}} \overline{N}^\perp$, our hypothesis yields $\overline{(N \otimes_R N^\perp)}^\perp = \overline{(\text{Hom}_R(N; N))}$. Finally, we compute, using the

fact that N , $N \otimes_R N^-$, and $\text{Hom}_R(N; N)$ all have constant rank:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(N \otimes_R N^-)} &= \overline{(\text{Hom}_R(N; N))} \\ &= e(\underline{x}; \text{Hom}_R(N; N)) \\ &= \text{rank}(\text{Hom}_R(N; N))e(\underline{x}; R) \\ &= \text{rank}(N)^2 e(\underline{x}; R) \\ &= \text{rank}(N \otimes_R N^-) e(\underline{x}; R) \\ &= e(\underline{x}; N \otimes_R N^-) \end{aligned}$$

Here $e(\underline{x}; \cdot)$ denotes the multiplicity of the ideal (\underline{x}) on the module. The second equality follows since we have assumed that $\text{Hom}_R(N; N)$ is also a MCM R -module. The equality of the first and last items implies that $N \otimes_R N^-$ is MCM by [7, 4.6.11].

Proposition 1.7. Let $(R; \mathfrak{m})$ be a CM local ring with canonical ideal ω and let M be a reflexive R -module of constant rank such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; M) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M; R) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, d = \dim R$. Then $\omega \otimes_R M \otimes_R M$ is a MCM R -module.

Proof. We will take $N = M$ in Lemma 1.6. By Proposition 1.4, $M^- = \omega \otimes_R M$, so we need only show that $\text{Hom}_R(M; M)$ cuts down correctly. Induction on the length of a regular sequence \underline{x} , using the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R^i(M; M)$, then proves that \underline{x} is also regular on $\text{Hom}_R(M; M)$ and that $\text{Hom}_R(M; M) \otimes_R R/(\underline{x}) = \text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{x})}(M/\underline{x}M; M/\underline{x}M)$; finishing the proof.

Proposition 1.8. In addition to the assumptions (2) of Theorem 1.3, suppose also that M has constant rank. Then $\omega \otimes_R M \otimes_R M$ is a MCM R -module. Furthermore, the natural homomorphism

$$1 : \omega \otimes_R M \otimes_R M \rightarrow \omega \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(M; M);$$

where 1 is defined by $(f \otimes x)(y) = f(y) \otimes x$, is injective.

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from Proposition 1.7. For the second, pass to the total quotient ring K of R . Since R is generically Gorenstein, $\omega \otimes_R K = K$, and since M has a rank, $M \otimes_R K$ is a free K -module. Since 1 is an isomorphism when M is free, the kernel of 1 must be torsion. But $\omega \otimes_R M \otimes_R M$ is MCM, and so torsion-free. Hence the kernel of 1 is zero.

We return to the assumptions of Theorem 1.3: $(R; \mathfrak{m}; k)$ is a complete local CM ring with a canonical ideal ω , and M is a torsion-free R -module of constant rank, satisfying

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M; M) &= 0; \\ \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M; R) &= 0; \quad \text{and} \\ \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M; R) &= 0; \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d = \dim R. \end{aligned}$$

We also assume that R is locally a complete intersection ring in codimension one. As we observed above, this implies by the work of Auslander, Ding, and Solberg that M is locally free in codimension one. We therefore assume $d \geq 2$. The following lemma is standard. (See [13, Theorems 16.6, 16.7].)

Lemma 1.9. Let $(R; \mathfrak{m}; k)$ be a CM local ring of dimension at least 2. Let X be a MCM R -module and L a module of finite length over R . Then $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(L; X) = 0$.

Recall from Proposition 1.8 that under the assumptions (3), the homomorphism $\phi: \omega \otimes_R M \rightarrow \omega \otimes_R M$ is injective.

Lemma 1.10. If M is locally free on the punctured spectrum, then the homomorphism ϕ is a split monomorphism with cokernel of finite length.

Proof. We have the following exact sequence:

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\phi} \omega \otimes_R M \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0;$$

Since M is locally free on the punctured spectrum, ϕ is an isomorphism when localized at any nonmaximal prime of R , which forces C to have finite length. Since $\omega \otimes_R M \rightarrow \omega \otimes_R M$ is MCM by Proposition 1.8, $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(C; \omega \otimes_R M) = 0$, and so (4) splits.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We will proceed by induction on $d = \dim R$. As mentioned above, the case $d = 1$ follows from [3, Proposition 1.9], so we may assume $d \geq 2$, and that the statement is true for all modules over CM local rings matching our hypotheses (3) and having dimension less than that of R . In particular, we may assume that M is locally free on the punctured spectrum. Also, we may assume that M is indecomposable.

First assume that R is Gorenstein. Then $\phi: M \rightarrow M$ must be a split monomorphism with cokernel of finite length, by Lemma 1.10. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M)$ is torsion-free, this implies ϕ is an isomorphism, and hence that M is free.

Next assume that R is not necessarily Gorenstein, but contains the rationals. Consider the following diagram involving the trace homomorphism (see Appendix A).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^{\perp} R M & \xrightarrow{1} & {}^{\perp} R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M) \\ & \searrow 1 \operatorname{ev} & \downarrow 1 \operatorname{tr} \\ & & {}^{\perp} R R \end{array}$$

By Lemma A.6, the diagram commutes. Furthermore, by Lemma 1.10, 1 is a split monomorphism with finite-length cokernel C , so ${}^{\perp} R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M)$ has C as a direct summand and 1 is surjective onto the complement. Since ${}^{\perp}$ is torsion-free, $1 \operatorname{tr}$ must kill C .

As R contains \mathbb{Q} , $\operatorname{rank} M$ is invertible and so tr is surjective by Corollary A.5. It follows that the composition $1 \operatorname{tr}$ is surjective, so that $1 \operatorname{ev}$ is as well. In other words, the evaluation map $M \otimes_R M \rightarrow {}^{\perp} R$ induces a surjection when tensored with ${}^{\perp}$. By Nakayama's Lemma, then, the evaluation map is surjective, and it follows that M has a free direct summand. Since M is indecomposable, M is free.

Appendix A. The Trace of a Module

In this section we give a general description of the trace of a module. Our treatment is intrinsic to the module, and it satisfies the usual properties of a trace defined for torsion-free modules over a normal domain. We include full proofs for convenience.

Throughout this section, let R be a Noetherian ring with total quotient ring K ; that is, K is obtained from R by inverting all nonzerodivisors. Let M be a torsion-free R -module. The trace of M will be a certain homomorphism $\operatorname{tr} : \operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M) \rightarrow {}^{\perp} R$. To define the trace, let

$$\psi : M \otimes_R M \rightarrow {}^{\perp} \operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M)$$

be the natural homomorphism defined by $(f \otimes x)(y) = f(y)x$. Note that dualizing gives a homomorphism from $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M); R)$ to $(M \otimes_R M) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M)$. It is known (see [12], for example) that ψ is an isomorphism if and only if M is free.

Definition A.1. Assume that $\psi : \operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M) \rightarrow {}^{\perp} \operatorname{Hom}_R(M; M)$ is an isomorphism. The trace of M is defined by $\operatorname{tr} = (\psi)^{-1}(1_M)$. We say in this case that M has a trace.

Observe that the target of tr is $(M \otimes_R M)^*$, which we have used Hom-Tensor adjointness to identify with $\text{Hom}_R(M^*; M)$. Under this identification, the identity map $M \rightarrow M$ corresponds to the evaluation map $\text{ev} : M \otimes_R M^* \rightarrow R$ defined by $\text{ev}(f \otimes x) = f(x)$. To see this, recall that the Hom-Tensor morphism $\alpha_{ABC} : \text{Hom}(A \otimes B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \text{Hom}(B, C))$ is defined by $[\alpha_{ABC}(f)(a)](b) = f(a \otimes b)$ for $a \in A, b \in B$. Taking $A = M, B = M^*, C = R$, we see that for $x \in M$ and $f \in M^*$, $[\alpha_{M M^* R}(\text{ev})(f)](x) = \text{ev}(f \otimes x) = f(x)$. So $\alpha_{M M^* R}(\text{ev})$ is the map $M \rightarrow M^*$ taking f to f . In particular, we could also define the trace by $\text{tr} = (\alpha_{M M^* R}(\text{ev}))^*$.

Our first proposition generalizes the standard fact that a torsion-free module over a normal domain has a trace.

Proposition A.2. If M_p is a free R_p -module for all primes p of height one in R , and R satisfies Serre's condition (S_2) , then M has a trace.

Proof. We must show that $\alpha : \text{Hom}_R(M; M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M; M)$ is an isomorphism. Let $L = \ker(\alpha)$, $I = \text{im}(\alpha)$, $C = \text{coker}(\alpha)$. Then dualizing gives two exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M; M) \rightarrow L \\ 0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow (\text{Hom}_R(M; M))^* \rightarrow I^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(C; R) \end{aligned}$$

Since α is an isomorphism at all minimal primes of R , the annihilator of L is not contained in any minimal prime. Hence L is a torsion module, and so $L = 0$.

Since, further, α is an isomorphism at all primes of height one in R , the annihilator of C is not contained in any height-one prime. By the assumption that R satisfies condition (S_2) , then, $\text{grade}(\text{Ann } C) \geq 2$, so $C = \text{Ext}_R^1(C; R) = 0$. This shows that α is an isomorphism.

Lemma A.3. For $f \in \text{Hom}_R(R^n; R^n)$, $\text{tr}(f)$ is the sum of the diagonal entries of a matrix representing f .

Proof. Since R^n is free, α is an isomorphism already, and of course tr is as well. Write $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} g_j \otimes e_i$; where e_i and g_i are the canonical bases for R^n and its dual, respectively. Then since $g_j(e_i) = \delta_{ij}$, we see that

$$\text{tr}(f) = \text{ev}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} g_j \otimes e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} g_j(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii};$$

as desired.

Recall that the torsion-free R -module M is said to have constant rank n if $K \otimes_R M$ is a free K -module of rank n . If this is the case, we fix a basis e_1, \dots, e_n for $K \otimes_R M$, and let f_1, \dots, f_n be the dual basis, so that $f_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$.

Lemma A.4. Assume that M is a torsion-free R -module of constant rank and that M has a trace. Then for any $f \in M^*$ and $x \in M$, we have $x = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)e_i$ and $\text{tr}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\text{tr}(e_i))$; where $\text{tr} = K \otimes_R f$.

Proof. Since M is torsion-free, it embeds into a free R -module and so the homomorphism $M \rightarrow K \otimes_R M$ is injective. Considering x as an element of $K \otimes_R M$, write $x = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j$; where the a_j are elements of K . Then a short computation using the definition of the f_i shows that $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)e_i = x$. For the other assertion, pass to the total quotient ring K . Since $K \otimes_R M$ is free, Lemma A.3 implies that the trace of tr is the sum of the diagonal elements of a matrix (a_{ij}) representing tr . Since $f_i(\text{tr}(e_i)) = a_{ii}$, the statement follows.

Corollary A.5. Assume that M is a torsion-free module of constant rank and has a trace. If $\text{rank}(M)$ is invertible in R , then tr is surjective from $\text{Hom}_R(M; M)$ to R .

Lemma A.6. Assume that M is a torsion-free of constant rank and that M has a trace. Then we have $\text{tr} = \text{ev}$ as homomorphisms from $M^* \otimes_R M$ to R .

Proof. For any $f \in M^*$ and $x \in M$, a straightforward computation using Lemma A.4 shows that $f(x) = \text{tr}(f \otimes x)$.

References

1. M. Auslander, Modules over unramified regular local rings, *Ill. J. Math.* 5 (1961), 631{647.
2. M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable Module Theory*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, no. 94, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969.
3. M. Auslander, S. Ding, and Ø. Solberg, Liftings and weak liftings of modules, *J. Algebra* 156 (1993), 273{317.
4. M. Auslander and I. Reiten, On a generalized version of the Nakayama Conjecture, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52 (1975), 69{74.
5. L.L. Avramov and R.-Ø. Buchweitz, Support varieties and cohomology over complete intersections, *Invent. Math.* 142 (2000), 285{318.
6. L.L. Avramov, R.-Ø. Buchweitz, and L.M. Sega, Extensions of a dualizing complex by its ring: Commutative versions of a conjecture of Tachikawa, *Preprint*, 2002.

7. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Stud. in Adv. Math., vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
8. D. Hanes and C. Huneke, *Some criteria for the Gorenstein property*, to appear, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*.
9. M. Hoshino, *Modules without self-extensions and Nakayama's conjecture*, *Arch. Math.* 43 (1984), 494-500.
10. C. Huneke and B. Ulrich, *Divisor class groups and deformations*, *Amer. J. Math.* 107 (1985), 1265-1303.
11. C. Huneke, L. M. Soga, and A. N. Vraciu, *Vanishing of Ext and Tor over Cohen-Macaulay local rings*, Preprint, 2003.
12. G. J. Leuschke, *Gorenstein modules, finite index, and finite Cohen-Macaulay type*, *Comm. Algebra* 30 (2002), no. 4, 2023-2035.
13. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 8 (1986) Cambridge University Press.
14. D. Mumford, *Pathologies IV*, *Amer. J. Math.* 97 (1975), no. 3, 847-849.
15. R. Schultz, *A nonprojective module without self-extensions*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 62 (1994), no. 6, 497-500.
16. H. Tachikawa, *Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations, QF-3 and QF-1 rings*, *Lect. Notes. Math.*, vol. 351, Springer, Berlin, 1973.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045

E-mail address: huneke@math.ukans.edu

URL: <http://www.math.ukans.edu/~huneke>

Current address: MSRI, 1000 Centennial Drive, # 5070, Berkeley, CA 94720-5070

E-mail address: gleuschke@math.ukans.edu

URL: <http://www.leuschke.org/>