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A bstract
For com m on people, in contrast to brokers, bankers, and those who play on rising and falling priaes of stocks, the stock $m$ arket law is based on the sim ple fact that the depositors aim for nancialpro tat any given concrete stage. Thecom $m$ on depositor cannot cause any signi cant variations in prices. This concept suggests an analogy w ith the quasistable physics, ie., them odynam ics, in the situation in which the tem perature varies slow ly along w ith the extemal conditions. T herefore, in the quasistable m arket, we can see phase transitions sim ilar to those in the situation of the B ose-condesate in them odynam ics. W e stress the positive role of inform ation for com m on depositors and the possibility of changing bonds of large denom ination into bonds of sm all denom ination.

We consider a discrete set of random variables taking values $x_{1} ;::: ; x_{n} w$ th probabilities $\mathrm{p}_{1}$;: : : ; $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

Suppose that a num ber $M$ is given. This num ber willbe called the ( $m$ ean) num ber of tests. $W$ e set $P_{i}=M p_{i}$, so that $P_{i}=M$.

The concept stated below is developed from an analysis of the quasistable market discussed w ith V.N.Baturin and S.G. Lebedev, and from an analysis of the market approxim ation theory developed by B.S.K ashin.

First, as was already noted by the author in his previos works [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], people distinguish them oney bonds they have in circulation only by the nom ialcost ofbonds, but not by their num ber sign. In other words, although the bonds are, in principle, di erent (in num ber signs), in the $m$ arket and nancialproblem $s$, it is possible to assum e that they are indistinguishable and hence sub ject to the Bose\{E instein statistics. Thus, from the very beginning, we start from a concept other than that from which the standard theory of probabilities originates.

W e are concemed w th a stock holder, ie., $w$ th a com $m$ on person who does not play on rising price and does not risk, but is looking for a direct nancial incom e. W e study a quasistatisticalm anket, i.e., a $m$ arket that varies slow ly and is stationary on som e tim e interval.

Exam ple 1.
The depositor has two possibilities: 1) to deposit the $m$ oney in a single ( $\mathrm{G}_{1}=1$ ) pyram id (say, the \M M M " bank); 2) to deposit the $m$ oney in one $G_{2}>1$ of the com $m$ on banks w th the sam e bankrate. T he depositor has K 1000-rouble bonds. O bviously, the num ber of possible deposit versions is equal to

$$
C_{G+K+1}^{K}=\frac{(G+K \quad 1)!}{(G \quad 1) \mathbb{K}!}:
$$

The total num ber of versions is
$W$ e assign the value $x_{1}$ to the pyram id and the value $x_{2}$ to banks.
$W$ e assume that the average income is ${ }_{2}{ }_{i=1} x_{i} k_{i}$, which, in particular, $m$ eans that $x_{2} \quad x_{1}$, since the incom e obtained from the pyram id is much larger than that obtained in a bank. M oreover, precisely as in card gam es, the prioe of a chip can vary, since, in our situation, it is independent of the bond denom ination (it can be a 1000-rouble bond or, e.g., a $\left.\$ 100-{ }^{-b o n d}\right)$. W e denote the denom ination of a chip by the letter , i.e., the gain is equal to $\quad{ }_{i=1}^{2} x_{i} k_{i}$. The important param eter varies slow ly and independently of a com $m$ on depositor.

We also have the variables $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ corresponding to $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, which can also be treated as frequency probabilities.
$H$ ence, according to the standard theory of probabilities, to the values $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ there correspond the probabilities $\frac{G_{1}}{G_{1}+G_{2}}, \frac{G_{2}}{G_{1}+G_{2}}$ and the probabilities $\frac{K_{1}}{K}, \frac{K_{2}}{K}$.

If $k_{1}, k_{2}, G_{1}, G_{2}$ are large, then we can use the Stirling form ula and rew rite (1) in term sof these two probabilities (see [1]).

In our exam ple, we have $\mathrm{G}_{1}=1$. M oreover, we can have our m oney not only in 1000-rouble bonds, but in any possible bonds and coins (w ith accuracy up to a 1 copeck coin). H ence we shall use the approxim ation form ula for the \num ber" of versions, where we replace the factorials by -functions. M oreover, it is $m$ ore convenient to consider ln of the num ber of versions (the entropy $S$ ). In this case, the entropy can be expressed via the sum or, m ore generally, via the Stieltjes integral.

So there are three $m$ easures corresponding to the values $x_{1} \underset{P}{\underset{R}{2}} \underset{2}{ } x_{2}$.
The depositor aim is to obtain the $m$ axim al incom e $R={ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{i}=1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Hence if there are neither additional taxes nor som e additional inform ation, the depositor $w$ ill invest all the $m$ oney in the pyram id, ie.,

$$
\max _{k_{1}+k_{2}=K}\left({ }^{x} x_{i} k_{i}\right)=x_{1} k:
$$

If the depositor has som e additional inform ation (the entropy $S$ ) that also takes into account the initial frequency probabilities $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, then, in the author's oppinion, the follow ing $m$ ain law of the $m$ arket takes place, and this is the law the depositor usually obeys in the quasistatistical stock $m$ arket:

$$
R=\max _{k_{1}+k_{2}=K} f{\underset{i=1}{x^{2}} x_{i} k_{i} \quad S\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right) g: ~: ~}_{\text {a }}
$$

$T$ his $m$ eans that the depositor obtains an additional incom e from the inform ation $S$.
De nition. The expression

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{x}} \ln \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

 totics of the -function that $S_{M}!p_{i} \ln p_{i}$ as $M!1$, i.e., $S_{M}$ tends to the usual Shannon entropy.

In a m ore general form, this entropy looks as follow s.

W e set

$$
S_{M}(Q ; P)=\frac{1}{M}^{Z} \quad \ln \left(_{d P}^{d Q}\right) d P:
$$

If $\frac{d Q}{d P}!1$ as $M$ ! 1 , then we have the $\lim$ it

$$
\lim _{M!1} S_{M}(Q ; P)=H(P ; Q)
$$

where $H(P ; Q)$ is the relative entropy or the $K$ ulback \{ Leibler inform ation.
The quasistable $m$ arket law form ulated above is sim ilar to one of the law $s$ in therm odynam ics. The latter has not been form ulated clearly as a law, but it is constantly used in solving di erent physical problem s. M ore precisely, the energy to whidh this principle leads w as called by N . N . B ogoliubov an \energetically e cient state."

Recall that energy states or energy levels in quantum mechanics are eigenvalues of som e self-adjjint operator called the energy operator. For sim plicity, we assum e that this operator is a nite-dim ensionalm atrix.

A ny eigenvalue is characterized by two characterstics: one is an intemalcharacteristic, i.e., itsm ultiplicity, the other is an extemalcharacteristic. Ifan eigenvalue presents energy levels, then this extemal characteristic is equal to the num ber of particles at the energy level (or on the Bohr orbit of an atom). To the measure dP, there corresponds the intemal characteristic i.e., the dim ension of the subspace corresponding to this particular level which is divided by the dim ension of the entire space. $T_{R}$ o the $m$ easure dQ, there corresponds the num ber of particles staying at this level. H ere ${ }^{R} d Q=M$ is the num ber of all particles.

A $n$ energetically e cient state is a state in which all particles are at the low er level. If the Pauliprinciple stating that m ore than two particles cannot be at the sam e level is taken into account, then the particles m ust occupy all low er levels.

Thus a sim ilar le ciency" principle also takes place in the quasistable stock market, but \w ith a converse accuracy". If the largest value $x_{n}$ of the random variable corresponds to the m ost pro table stocks, then the buyer will buy all of them. But if each bank has only one stock, then all possible largest values $x_{i} w$ illbe bought at a rapid pace.

Example 2 .
W e consider the m ost trivialgam e: M persons play the \heads and tails" gam ew ith a bank. There are tw o states 1: \heads" m eans a gain, and \tails" m eans a loss. Suppose that the players have the right to turn over the coin after it falls out. T hen all the players who got \tails" change it for \heads" and get in the highest place in the B emoullisequence, although the initialprobabilities are the sam e for each player and the probability that all the players get \heads" is very sm all.

W e have considered the sim plest exam ples of law s of the energetical and the nanicial e ciency.

W e have seen that, in addition to the probability $m$ easures $P$ and $Q$, wem ust introduce one moremeasure $\quad P$ such that ${ }^{R} d=K$.

In the previous papers [1, 7], we introduced relative entropies corresponding to the B ose- and Ferm i-statistics. They can be generalized to the case in which the num bers of tests are, respectively, equal to $M$ and $K$ as follow $s$ :

$$
\left.\left.\left.S_{M ; K}^{B}=\frac{Z}{M+K} \ln \frac{1}{M} \quad \frac{d Q}{d P}+\frac{d}{d P}\right) \quad \frac{1}{M} \ln \frac{d Q}{d P}+1\right) \quad \frac{1}{K} \frac{d}{d P}\right)
$$

for the B ose-statistics (averaging over the set of stocks close in denom ination) and

$$
\left.\left.S_{M ; K}^{F}=\frac{1}{K} \ln \left(\frac{d}{d P}+1\right) \quad \frac{1}{M} \ln \quad \frac{d Q}{d P}+1\right) \quad \frac{1}{K+M} \ln \quad \underset{(P}{d P} \quad \frac{d Q}{d P}+1\right)
$$

for the Ferm i-statistics (if the \rule of queue" takes place).
So them ost triviallaw of the stock $m$ arket in the sim plest case says that, independently of the initialprobabilities $P$, onem ust invest allm oney in the a air that ism ost pro table at this particular $m$ om ent. If there are $N$ bonds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left({ }^{X} \quad P_{i} x_{i}\right)=N x_{n} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all $N$ bonds must be deposited in stocks corresponding to the value $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ of random variables.

A sim ilar problem is known in therm odynam ics, where the min um of free energy is considered. The free energy has the form of the expression under the sym bolm ax, where $x_{i}$ are energy levels and $P_{i}$ is the num ber of particles at the level $x_{i}$. This law is less transparent, but $m$ ore custom ary. It is universally recognized by physicists and con med by num erous experim ents.

The form ulas given below are also new in them odynam ics, but we present them for the $m$ arket (i.e., we consider $m$ ax, but not $m$ in). It follow from the above that these form ulas can be trivially w rilten in the language of therm odynam ics.

The solution of the equation

$$
\max \left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} x_{\mathrm{i}} & S_{M}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $P_{i}$ can be found from the im plicit equation

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}={ }_{0}^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dz}
$$

which follow s from the well-known form ula for the logarithm ic derivative of the -fiunction. U nder the condition that ${ }^{P} \quad P_{i}=M$, for large $P_{i}$, this distribution coincides w th the $G$ ibbs distribution. $W$ e note that the physicists de ne the $G i b b$ distribution for integer $P_{i}$, but in the nal form ula, they obtain noninteger $P_{i}$.

In the case of a $m$ arket, the distribution for $B$ ose-statistics follow $s$ from the equation

$$
x_{i}=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{z^{G_{i}+P_{i} 1}}{1} z^{Z^{i}} d z
$$

and for the Ferm i-statistics $P_{i}$, it follow $s$ from the equation

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{z}^{G_{i} P_{i}} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{P}}}{1 \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dz}:
$$

In the them odynam ical case, in these form ulas, $G_{i}$ is the multiplicity of the energy level of a single particle $x_{i}$, and $=1=$, where is the inverse tem perature. If $P_{i} \quad 1$ and $G_{i} 1$, then the solutions of these equations in the boson case have the form

$$
P_{i} \quad \frac{G_{i}}{e^{x_{i}}} 1^{\prime}
$$

and in the ferm ion case, they have the form

$$
P_{i} \quad \frac{G_{i}}{e^{x_{i}}+1}:
$$

In the them odynam ical case, the distributions are determ ined from the $m$ inim um of the expression

$$
F={ }^{x} x_{i} P_{i} \quad S ;
$$

which is called the free energy. H ere $S$ is the entropy. In the boson case, the entropy has the form

$$
S={ }^{x} \ln \frac{\left(G_{i}+P_{i}\right)}{\left(G_{i}\right)\left(P_{i}+1\right)} ;
$$

and in the ferm ion case, it has the form

$$
S==^{x} \ln \frac{\left(G_{i}+1\right)}{\left(G_{i} \quad P_{i}+1\right)\left(P_{i}+1\right)}:
$$

W e shall consider the follow ing nancialm odel. A depositor has som em oney, say, N, which he can put either in the \M M M " bank or in G equal \strong" banks. W e assum e that the \M M M " bank gives the income 1 per unit deposit, while \strong" banks give the income $\quad 2$ per unit deposit, where $2<1$ and is a positive param eter describing variations in the bankrate. Further, we assum e that the deposit to \strong" banks is equal to $k$, and the deposit to the $\backslash \mathrm{M}$ M M " bank is, respectively, equal to $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{k}$. Then the incom e received by the depositor is equal to

$$
E(k ;)={ }_{1} N \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{3}
\end{array}\right) k:
$$

O bviously, if there are no additional sources of incom $e$, it is m ore pro table to put all the m oney in the $\backslash \mathrm{M}$ M M " bank. Deposits to \strong" banks can be done in $m$ any ways. We assum e that this is related to som e additional in from ation obtained by the depositor. We also assum e that any additional inform ation gives som e additional incom e equal to the logarithm of the inform ation am ount. N ext, we consider the case in which the inform ation am ount is determ ined by $m$ eans of the Boltzm ann statistics form ula, but here, in view of Exam ple 1, we consider the case in which the inform ation am ount is determ ined by the B ose\{E instein statistics:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(k)=\frac{(k+G)}{(k+1)(G)} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x)$ is the Euler gam $m$ a function. In this case, the incom e given by \strong" banks for the deposit $k$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(k ;)=E(k)+\ln (G(k))=\quad{ }_{1} N \quad\left(1 \quad{ }_{2}\right) k+\ln \frac{(k+G)}{(k+1)(G)}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we study the problem of how to obtain the maxim al incom e. Obviously, for this, it is necessary to nd the maxim um of function (5) on the intervalk $2[0 ; \mathrm{N}]$.

There exists a criticalvalue c of the param eter. If $<{ }_{c}$, then function (5) attains its $m$ axim um at $k=N$. This $m$ eans that if all banks give low incom es, then it is $m$ ost pro table to deposit to \strong" banks. The critical value is given by the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{(G+N) \quad(\mathbb{N}+1)}{1} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x)={ }^{0}(x)=(x)$ is the derivative of the logarithm of the gam $m$ a function. There exists onem ore criticalvalue $0>$ c oftheparam eter such that, for $>0$, function (5) has $m$ axim um at $k=0$. This $m$ eans that, for high incom es, it is $m$ ost pro table to give all the m oney to the $\backslash$ M M M " bank. This critical value is given by the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{(G) \quad(1)}{1}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the param eter lies in the interval [ c; o], then the maxim um point of function (5), $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{)}$, is determ ined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(G+k()) \quad(k()+1)=(1 \quad 2): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the properties of the fiunction ( x ), it is easy to see that the solution $k()$ is unique and is a decreasing function of .

W e consider expressions (6) and (8) in the lim it as N ! 1 . W e also assum e that $G$ depends on $N$ so that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{N}!1} \frac{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\mathrm{g}>0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satis ed. We also take into account that the logarithm ic derivative of the gam ma function satis es the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(G+k) \quad(k+1)=\int_{0}^{Z} d t \frac{t^{k}}{1 \frac{E^{+k} 1}{t}}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting from (10), we see that (6) im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N!1}{ }_{c}=\frac{\ln (1+g)}{1} 2_{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It also follow s from (8) that in the $\lim$ 辻 as N ! 1 , under the condition (9), the function $k()$, where $c<$, has the form

$$
k()=N \frac{g}{\exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{12}
\end{array}\right) \quad 1}+O(1):
$$

In this lim it case, we consider the values $(m)$ forwhich the deposit ofm $=O \mathbb{N}),<1$, to the $\backslash$ M M M " bank gives the largest incom e. Substituting $k()=N \quad m$ into (8), we obtain the expression

$$
(m)=\frac{(G+N \quad m)}{} \begin{array}{lll}
(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N} & \mathrm{m}+1)  \tag{13}\\
1
\end{array}
$$

In the $\lim$ it as N ! 1 and under condition (9), this expression takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m)=\frac{\ln (1+g)}{1}+O\left(\frac{m}{N}\right): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

O bviously, form ula (14) implies that if the inform ation am out is given by the boson formula (4), then ( $m$ ) are close to $c$.

W e show what is typicalof the case in which the expression for the inform ation am ount has the Boltzm ann form (i.e., we distinguish the bonds $w$ th di erent numbers or the $m$ oney is deposited by di erent persons). N am ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(k)=\frac{(\mathbb{N}+1) G^{k}}{(k+1)(\mathbb{N} \quad k+1)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The incom e received in \strong" banks $k$ takes the form

$$
F(k ;)={ }_{1} N \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{16}
\end{array}\right) k+\ln \frac{(\mathbb{N}+1) G^{k}}{(k+1)(\mathbb{N} \quad k+1)}:
$$

A s above, we consider the problem of obtaining the $m$ axim al incom $e$. The value of the param eter for which the incom e is maxim al, provided that the deposit to the $\backslash \mathrm{M}$ M M " bank is equal to $m$, is given by the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m)=\frac{\ln (G)+(m+1) \quad(\mathbb{N} \quad m+1)}{1}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s from (17) that, in the Boltzm ann case, there also exists a critical value o such that, for 0 , fiunction (16) attains its $m$ axim um at $k=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{\ln (G)+(\mathbb{N}+1) \quad(1)}{1}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ext, since the right-hand side of (17) is a decreasing function of the variable $m$, it is obvious that the critical value c , for which it is not pro table to have a deposit in the \M M M " bank, exists only if the follow ing condition is satis ed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (G)+\quad(1) \quad(\mathbb{N}+1)>0: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this inequality holds, then c has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{c}=\frac{\ln (G)+(1) \quad(\mathbb{N}+1)}{1}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

But if inequality (19) does not hold, then, to obtain the $m$ axim al incom $e$, $w$ ith decreasing incom e given by the banks, the deposit to the \M M M " bank m ust be decreased, but not precisely to zero. As ! 0, the quantity $m()$ tends to $m_{0}$, which is determ ined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (G)+\left(m_{0}+1\right) \quad\left(\mathbb{N} \quad m_{0}+1\right)=0: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e consider the lim it as N ! 1, assum ing that condition (9) is satis ed. W e have the follow ing asym ptotic relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{N}+1) \quad(1)=\ln (\mathbb{N})+C+0(1) ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the Euler constant. Therefore, inequality (19) has the follow ing lim it form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g>e^{c}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the critical value of the param eter cr provided that condition (23) is satis ed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N!1} c=\frac{\ln (g) \quad C}{1}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, obviously, if (23) holds, then, for at which it is pro table to have the deposit $m=O(1)$ in the $\backslash M M M "$ bank, we obtain the follow ing asym ptotics from (17):

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m)=\frac{\ln (g)+(m+1)}{1}+O(1): \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In deriving this form ula, we took into account that $(1)=$ C. It follows from (25) that if the inform ation am ount is given by the Boltzm ann form ula (15), then, in contrast to the B ose case, ( $m$ ) essentially depends on $m$.

If inequality (23) does not hold, then it follow s from (21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{l}}=\text { 血 } 0=O(1) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where f. 0 is a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (g)+\left(n_{0}+1\right)=0: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s from (26) that, although for a sm all incom e it is not pro table to take aw ay the totaldeposit from the $\backslash \mathrm{M}$ M M " bank, how ever, the deposit O (1) in this bank m ust be sm all as com pared with the total sum $N$.

If now we consider a depositor to the pyram id and to banks, i.e., we assum e that the bonds of the sam e denom ination, but with di erent num bers, are \identical", then the phase transition, related to the disappearance of condensate, $m$ eans the follow ing for this depositor. If (the price) decreases, then, starting from som e ofor < 0 , the stocks cease to be sold and bought, although it seem s that it were m ore pro table to sell them at any price, and thus som ebody could speculate in selling the stocks so that their price com e to zero. N evertheless, in practioe, this paradoxical fact is observed and is described in the literature.
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