A pproxim ation probabilities, the law of quasistable m arkets, and phase transitions from the \condensed" state

V P M aslov

A bstract

For common people, in contrast to brokers, bankers, and those who play on rising and falling prices of stocks, the stock market law is based on the simple fact that the depositors aim for nancial protat any given concrete stage. The common depositor cannot cause any signi cant variations in prices. This concept suggests an analogy with the quasistable physics, i.e., therm odynamics, in the situation in which the tem perature varies slow ly along with the external conditions. Therefore, in the quasistable market, we can see phase transitions similar to those in the situation of the Bose-condesate in therm odynamics. We stress the positive role of information for common depositors and the possibility of changing bonds of large denomination into bonds of small denomination.

We consider a discrete set of random variables taking values $x_1; :::; x_n$ with probabilities $p_1; :::; p_n$.

Suppose that a number M is given. This number will be called the (m ean) number of tests. We set $P_i = M p_i$, so that $P_i = M$.

The concept stated below is developed from an analysis of the quasistable market discussed with V.N.Baturin and S.G.Lebedev, and from an analysis of the market approximation theory developed by B.S.Kashin.

First, as was already noted by the author in his previos works [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], people distinguish them oney bonds they have in circulation only by the nom ialcost of bonds, but not by their number sign. In other words, although the bonds are, in principle, di erent (in number signs), in the market and nancial problem s, it is possible to assume that they are indistinguishable and hence subject to the Bose{E instein statistics. Thus, from the very beginning, we start from a concept other than that from which the standard theory of probabilities originates.

We are concerned with a stock holder, i.e., with a common person who does not play on rising price and does not risk, but is looking for a direct nancial income. We study a quasistatistical market, i.e., a market that varies slow ly and is stationary on some time interval.

Example 1.

The depositor has two possibilities: 1) to deposit the money in a single ($G_1 = 1$) pyram id (say, the \M M M " bank); 2) to deposit the money in one $G_2 > 1$ of the common banks with the same bankrate. The depositor has K 1000-rouble bonds. O by buy, the number of possible deposit versions is equal to

$$C_{G+K+1}^{K} = \frac{(G+K-1)!}{(G-1)K!}$$

The total num ber of versions is

$$\frac{Y}{k_1 + k_2 = K} \frac{(G_1 + k_1 \quad 1)!(G_2 + k_2 \quad 1)!}{(G_1 \quad 1)!(k_1)!(G_2 \quad 1)k_2!} = \frac{Y}{k_2 = 1} \frac{(G_2 + k_2 \quad 1)!}{(G_2 \quad 1)k_2!}$$
(1)

W eassign the value x_1 to the pyram id and the value x_2 to banks.

We assume that the average income is $\sum_{i=1}^{P} x_i k_i$, which, in particular, means that $x_2 = x_1$, since the income obtained from the pyram id is much larger than that obtained in a bank. Moreover, precisely as in card gam es, the price of a chip can vary, since, in our situation, it is independent of the bond denom ination (it can be a 1000-rouble bond or, e.g., a \$100-bond). We denote the denom ination of a chip by the letter , i.e., the gain is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{P} x_i k_i$. The important parameter varies slow by and independently of a common depositor.

W e also have the variables G_1 and G_2 corresponding to x_1 and x_2 , which can also be treated as frequency probabilities.

Hence, according to the standard theory of probabilities, to the values x_1 and x_2 there correspond the probabilities $\frac{G_1}{G_1+G_2}$, $\frac{G_2}{G_1+G_2}$ and the probabilities $\frac{k_1}{K}$, $\frac{k_2}{K}$.

If k_1 , k_2 , G_1 , G_2 are large, then we can use the Stirling formula and rewrite (1) in terms of these two probabilities (see [1]).

In our example, we have $G_1 = 1$. Moreover, we can have our money not only in 1000-rouble bonds, but in any possible bonds and coins (with accuracy up to a 1 copeck coin). Hence we shall use the approximation form ula for the \number" of versions, where we replace the factorials by -functions. Moreover, it is more convenient to consider ln of the number of versions (the entropy S). In this case, the entropy can be expressed via the sum or, more generally, via the Stieltjes integral.

So there are three m easures corresponding to the values x_1 and x_2 .

The depositor aim is to obtain the maximal income $R = \int_{i=1}^{r} x_i k_i$. Hence if there are neither additional taxes nor some additional information, the depositor will invest all the money in the pyramid, i.e.,

$$\max_{k_1+k_2=K}$$
 (x_1k_1) = x_1k :

If the depositor has some additional information (the entropy S) that also takes into account the initial frequency probabilities G_1 and G_2 , then, in the author's oppinion, the following main law of the market takes place, and this is the law the depositor usually obeys in the quasistatistical stock market:

$$R = \max_{k_1 + k_2 = K} f \sum_{i=1}^{X^2} x_i k_i \quad S(k_i; k_2)g:$$

This means that the depositor obtains an additional income from the information S.

De nition. The expression

$$S_{M} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i}^{X} \ln (P_{i})$$

will be called the symbol of entropy. As M + 1 and $P_i + 1$, it follows from the asym ptotics of the -function that $S_M + p_i \ln p_i$ as M + 1, i.e., S_M tends to the usual Shannon entropy.

In a more general form, this entropy looks as follows.

Weset

$$S_{M}$$
 (Q;P) = $\frac{1}{M}^{Z}$ ln $(\frac{dQ}{dP})dP$:

If $\frac{dQ}{dP}$! 1 as M ! 1 , then we have the limit

$$\lim_{M \to 1} S_M (Q; P) = H (P; Q)$$

where H(P;Q) is the relative entropy or the Kullback {Leibler information.

The quasistable market law formulated above is similar to one of the laws in therm odynamics. The latter has not been formulated clearly as a law, but it is constantly used in solving dimerent physical problems. More precisely, the energy to which this principle leads was called by N.N.Bogoliubov an \energetically e cient state."

Recall that energy states or energy levels in quantum mechanics are eigenvalues of some self-adjoint operator called the energy operator. For simplicity, we assume that this operator is a nite-dimensional matrix.

A ny eigenvalue is characterized by two characteristics: one is an internal characteristic, i.e., its multiplicity, the other is an external characteristic. If an eigenvalue presents energy levels, then this external characteristic is equal to the num ber of particles at the energy level (or on the Bohr orbit of an atom). To the measure dP, there corresponds the internal characteristic i.e., the dimension of the subspace corresponding to this particular level which is divided by the dimension of the entire space. To the measure dQ, there corresponds the number of particles staying at this level. Here dQ = M is the number of all particles.

An energetically e cient state is a state in which all particles are at the lower level. If the Pauli principle stating that more than two particles cannot be at the sam e level is taken into account, then the particles must occupy all lower levels.

Thus a similar e ciency" principle also takes place in the quasistable stock market, but w ith a converse accuracy". If the largest value x_n of the random variable corresponds to the most protable stocks, then the buyer will buy all of them. But if each bank has only one stock, then all possible largest values x_i will be bought at a rapid pace.

Example 2.

We consider the most trivial game: M persons play the \heads and tails" game with a bank. There are two states 1: \heads" means a gain, and \tails" means a boss. Suppose that the players have the right to turn over the coin after it falls out. Then all the players who got \tails" change it for \heads" and get in the highest place in the Bernoulli sequence, although the initial probabilities are the same for each player and the probability that all the players get \heads" is very sm all.

We have considered the simplest examples of laws of the energetical and the nanicial e ciency.

We have seen that, in addition to the probability measures P and Q, we must introduce one more measure P such that d = K.

In the previous papers [1, 7], we introduced relative entropies corresponding to the Bose- and Ferm i-statistics. They can be generalized to the case in which the numbers of tests are, respectively, equal to M and K as follows:

$$S_{M,K}^{B} = \frac{1}{M+K} \ln \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{dQ}{dP} + \frac{d}{dP} \right) = \frac{1}{M} \ln \left(\frac{dQ}{dP} + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{d}{dP} \right)$$

for the Bose-statistics (averaging over the set of stocks close in denom ination) and

$$S_{M,K}^{F} = \frac{1}{K} \ln \left(\frac{d}{dP} + 1\right) - \frac{1}{M} \ln \left(\frac{dQ}{dP} + 1\right) - \frac{1}{K+M} \ln \left(\frac{dQ}{dP} - \frac{dQ}{dP} + 1\right)$$

for the Ferm i-statistics (if the \rule of queue" takes place).

So them ost trivial law of the stock m arket in the sim plest case says that, independently of the initial probabilities P, one must invest all money in the a air that is most protable at this particular moment. If there are N bonds

$$max(P_{i}x_{i}) = N x_{n}; \qquad (2)$$

then all N bonds must be deposited in stocks corresponding to the value x_n of random variables.

A similar problem is known in therm odynamics, where the minimum of free energy is considered. The free energy has the form of the expression under the symbol max, where x_i are energy levels and P_i is the number of particles at the level x_i . This law is less transparent, but more custom ary. It is universally recognized by physicists and con med by num erous experiments.

The formulas given below are also new in them odynamics, but we present them for the market (i.e., we consider max, but not min). It follows from the above that these formulas can be trivially written in the language of them odynamics.

The solution of the equation

max(
$$P_i x_i S_i$$
)

for P_i can be found from the implicit equation

$$x_{i} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{z^{P_{i}}}{1} dz;$$

which follows from the well-known form ula for the logarithm ic derivative of the -function. Under the condition that $P_i = M$, for large P_i , this distribution coincides with the G lobs distribution. We note that the physicists de ne the G lobs distribution for integer P_i , but in the nal form ula, they obtain noninteger P_i .

In the case of a market, the distribution for Bose-statistics follows from the equation

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^{G_{i} + P_{i}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^{P_{i}}}{1} \frac{z^{P_{i}}}{1} dz}{1}$$

and for the Ferm i-statistics P_i, it follows from the equation

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^{G_{i} P_{i}}}{1 z} dz$$

In the therm odynam ical case, in these form ulas, G_i is the multiplicity of the energy level of a single particle x_i , and = 1 =, where is the inverse tem perature. If P_i 1 and G_i 1, then the solutions of these equations in the boson case have the form

$$P_{i} \quad \frac{G_{i}}{e^{x_{i}} \quad 1}$$

and in the ferm ion case, they have the form

$$P_i \quad \frac{G_i}{e^{x_i} + 1}:$$

In the therm odynam ical case, the distributions are determ ined from the m in in um of the expression $$_{\rm X}$$

$$F = x_i P_i \qquad S;$$

which is called the free energy. Here S is the entropy. In the boson case, the entropy has the form !

$$S = {X \atop (G_i + P_i)} (G_i) (P_i + 1)$$
;

and in the ferm ion case, it has the form

$$S = {X \atop (G_i + 1)}$$

 $(G_i P_i + 1) (P_i + 1)$:

We shall consider the following nancial model. A depositor has some money, say, N, which he can put either in the $\M M M$ " bank or in G equal \strong" banks. We assume that the $\M M M$ " bank gives the income ______ per unit deposit, while \strong " banks give the income _______ per unit deposit, where ________ and ______ is a positive parameter describing variations in the bankrate. Further, we assume that the deposit to \strong " banks is equal to k, and the deposit to the $\M M M$ " bank is, respectively, equal to N k. Then the income received by the depositor is equal to

$$E(k;) = {}_{1}N ({}_{1} {}_{2})k:$$
 (3)

1

O byiously, if there are no additional sources of income, it is more protable to put all the money in the \M M M " bank. Deposits to \strong" banks can be done in many ways. We assume that this is related to some additional infrom ation obtained by the depositor. We also assume that any additional information gives some additional income equal to the logarithm of the information amount. Next, we consider the case in which the information amount is determined by means of the Boltzmann statistics formula, but here, in view of Example 1, we consider the case in which the information at by the Bose{E instein statistics:

$$G(k) = \frac{(k+G)}{(k+1)} G'$$
(4)

I.

where (x) is the Eulergamma function. In this case, the income given by strong banks for the deposit k is equal to

$$F(k;) = E(k) + \ln(G(k)) = {}_{1}N$$
 $({}_{1} {}_{2})k + \ln(\frac{(k+G)}{(k+1)(G)} : (5)$

Now we study the problem of how to obtain the maximal income. Obviously, for this, it is necessary to nd the maximum of function (5) on the interval $k \ge [0; N]$.

There exists a critical value $_{\rm c}$ of the parameter . If $< _{\rm c}$, then function (5) attains its maximum at k = N. This means that if all banks give low incomes, then it is most protable to deposit to \strong" banks. The critical value is given by the formula

$$_{c} = \frac{(G + N)}{\frac{1}{2}} (N + 1);$$
 (6)

where $(x) = {}^{0}(x) = (x)$ is the derivative of the logarithm of the gam m a function. There exists one more critical value ${}_{0} > {}_{c}$ of the parameter such that, for $> {}_{0}$, function (5) has maximum at k = 0. This means that, for high incomes, it is most protable to give all the money to the \M M M " bank. This critical value is given by the form ula

$$_{0} = \frac{(G) (1)}{1 2};$$
(7)

If the parameter lies in the interval [c; 0], then the maximum point of function (5), k(), is determined by the equation

$$(G + k())$$
 $(k() + 1) = (1 2)$: (8)

By the properties of the function (x), it is easy to see that the solution k() is unique and is a decreasing function of \cdot .

We consider expressions (6) and (8) in the lim it as N $\ ! \ 1$. We also assume that G depends on N so that the condition

$$\lim_{N \downarrow 1} \frac{G}{N} = g > 0 \tag{9}$$

is satis ed. We also take into account that the logarithm ic derivative of the gamma function satis es the relation

(G + k) (k + 1) =
$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dt \frac{t^{k} t^{k+k-1}}{1 t}$$
: (10)

Starting from (10), we see that (6) in plies

$$\lim_{N \downarrow 1} c = \frac{\ln(1+g)}{1-2};$$
(11)

It also follows from (8) that in the lim it as N ~!~1 , under the condition (9), the function k(), where $_{\rm c}<~$, has the form

$$k() = N \frac{g}{\exp(((1 - 2))) - 1} + O(1):$$
(12)

In this lim it case, we consider the values (m) for which the deposit of m = 0 (N), < 1, to the \MMM " bank gives the largest income. Substituting k() = N m into (8), we obtain the expression

$$(m) = \frac{(G + N m)}{1 2} (N m + 1) (13)$$

In the lim it as N ! 1 and under condition (9), this expression takes the form

$$(m) = \frac{\ln(1+g)}{1-2} + O(\frac{m}{N}):$$
(14)

O by iously, formula (14) implies that if the information amout is given by the boson formula (4), then (m) are close to $_{\rm c}$.

W e show what is typical of the case in which the expression for the inform ation am ount has the Boltzmann form (i.e., we distinguish the bonds with di erent numbers or the money is deposited by di erent persons). Namely,

$$G(k) = \frac{(N+1)G^{k}}{(k+1)(N-k+1)};$$
(15)

The income received in \strong" banks k takes the form

$$F(k;) = {}_{1}N ({}_{1} {}_{2})k + \ln \frac{(N+1)G^{k}}{(k+1)(N-k+1)}$$
; (16)

As above, we consider the problem of obtaining the maximal income. The value of the parameter for which the income is maximal, provided that the deposit to the M M M " bank is equal to m, is given by the form ula

$$(m) = \frac{\ln (G) + (m + 1)}{1 2} (N m + 1) (17)$$

It follows from (17) that, in the Boltzmann case, there also exists a critical value $_0$ such that, for $_0$, function (16) attains its maximum at k = 0:

$$_{0} = \frac{\ln (G) + (N + 1)}{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(18)

Next, since the right-hand side of (17) is a decreasing function of the variable m, it is obvious that the critical value $_{c}$, for which it is not protable to have a deposit in the $\M M M$ " bank, exists only if the following condition is satistical:

$$\ln (G) + (1) \qquad (N + 1) > 0: \tag{19}$$

If this inequality holds, then $_{\rm c}$ has the form

$$_{c} = \frac{\ln (G) + (1) (N + 1)}{1 2};$$
(20)

But if inequality (19) does not hold, then, to obtain the maximal income, with decreasing income given by the banks, the deposit to the M M M " bank must be decreased, but not precisely to zero. As ! 0, the quantity m () tends to m_0 , which is determined by the equation

$$\ln (G) + (m_0 + 1) \qquad (N m_0 + 1) = 0:$$
(21)

We consider the limit as N ! 1, assuming that condition (9) is satisfied. We have the following asymptotic relation:

$$(N + 1)$$
 $(1) = \ln (N) + C + 0(1);$ (22)

where C is the Euler constant. Therefore, inequality (19) has the following limit form :

$$g > e^{c}$$
: (23)

For the critical value of the parameter $_{\rm c}$, provided that condition (23) is satisfied, we have

$$\lim_{N \downarrow 1} c = \frac{\ln(g) C}{1 2};$$
(24)

M oreover, obviously, if (23) holds, then, for at which it is protable to have the deposit m = 0 (1) in the \MMM " bank, we obtain the following asymptotics from (17):

$$(m) = \frac{\ln (g) + (m + 1)}{1 - 2} + o(1):$$
(25)

In deriving this form ula, we took into account that (1) = C. It follows from (25) that if the information amount is given by the Boltzmann form ula (15), then, in contrast to the Bose case, (m) essentially depends on m.

If inequality (23) does not hold, then it follows from (21) that

$$\lim_{N ! 1} m_0 = m_0 = 0 (1)$$
(26)

where $f_{0,0}$ is a solution of the equation

$$\ln(g) + (fn_0 + 1) = 0; \qquad (27)$$

It follows from (26) that, although for a small income it is not protable to take away the total deposit from the $\M M M$ " bank, however, the deposit 0 (1) in this bank must be small as compared with the total sum N.

If now we consider a depositor to the pyram id and to banks, i.e., we assume that the bonds of the same denom ination, but with di erent numbers, are \identical", then the phase transition, related to the disappearance of condensate, means the following for this depositor. If (the price) decreases, then, starting from some $_0$ for $<_0$, the stocks cease to be sold and bought, although it seems that it were more protable to sell them at any price, and thus some body could speculate in selling the stocks so that their price come to zero. Nevertheless, in practice, this paradoxical fact is observed and is described in the literature.

References

- [1] V.P.M aslov, Integral equations and phase transitions in probability gam es. An analogy with statistical physics. Teor. Veroyatnost. iP rim enen., 2003, v.48, no 2, 482 {502.
- [2] V.P.M aslov, Two-level m odel of a weakly nonideal bose-gas. Phase transition in a metastable (super uid) state. Dokl. Ross. A kad. Nauk, 2003, v.389, no.4, 1{2.
- [3] V.P.M aslov, Phase transitions in a probability gam e.M at.Zam etki, 2003, v.73, no.4, 637{640.
- [4] V. P. M asbv, M athem atical aspect of the theory of weakly nonideal bose-gas on a crystalline substructure. Funktsional. A nal. iPrilozhen., 2003, v.37, no 2, 16{27.
- [5] V.P.M asbv, Econophysiucs and quantum statistics. M at. Zam etki, 2002, v.72, no.6, 883{891.
- [6] V.P.M aslov and A.S.Chernyi, On entropy m in imization and m aximization in di erent branches of science. Teor. Veroyatnost. iPrimenen., 2003, v.48, no.4 (to appear)
- [7] V.P.M aslov, Rem ark on the computer oriented language. Problem y Peredachi Inform atsii, 2003, v.39, no.3 (to appear).