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#### Abstract

C overing relations are a topological tool for detecting periodic orbits, sym bolic dynam ics and chaotic behavior for autonom ous O D E.W e extend  perturbation. A $s$ an exam ple we apply the $m$ ethod to non-autonom ous perturbations of the $R$ ossler equations to show that for sm allperturbation they posses sym bolic dynam ics.
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## 1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to answer the follow ing
Q UESTION:A ssume that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}=v(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a sym bolic dynam ics (is sem ioon jugated with som e B emoulli shift). C onsider now sm all non-autonom ous perturbation of (1.1 (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}(t)=v(x(t))+\quad(t ; x(t)): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ill equation (1-2) also have the sym bolic dynam ics if is su ciently sm all?
W e prove that the answer to above question is positive if the sym bolic dynam ics is de ned in term s of covering relations for $P$ oincare $m$ aps. $T$ his is $m$ ade
 perturbations Rossler equations $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[1, ~} \\ {[1}\end{array}\right]$ to show that for $s m$ all perturbation they posses sym bolic dynam ics.

[^0]The content of the paper can be described as follow. In Section $\overline{1}$ we recall from paper $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[G]} \\ \hline\end{array} \bar{Z}_{1}^{-1}\right]$ the notion of covering relations for $m$ aps. This is the basic technical tool used in this paper. In Section $\overline{2}-\overline{2}$ we prove $T$ heorem basic theorem about continuation of covering relations for Poincare $m$ aps for the non-autonom ous perturbations of O D Es.

In the follow ing sections we apply $T$ heorem to answ er positively our question and present above $m$ entioned applications.

## 2 Topological theorem s

### 2.1 C overing relations - basic de nitions



1. $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{a}$ com pact subset of $\mathrm{R}^{k}$
2. $u(\mathbb{N}) ; s(\mathbb{N}) 2 f 0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 ;::: g$; such that $u(\mathbb{N})+s(\mathbb{N})=k$
3. a hom eom onphism $q_{v}: R^{k}!R^{k}=R^{u(N)} \quad R^{s(N)}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~J})=\overline{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{u}(\mathbb{N})}}(0 ; 1) \quad \overline{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}(\mathbb{N})}}(0 ; 1)
$$

W e set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\overline{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{u}(\mathbb{N})}}(0 ; 1) \quad \overline{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}(\mathbb{N})}}(0 ; 1) ; \\
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\overline{Q_{\mathrm{u}(\mathbb{N})}}(0 ; 1) \quad \overline{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}(\mathbb{N})}}(0 ; 1) ; \\
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} & =\overline{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{u}(\mathbb{N})}}(0 ; 1) \quad @ \overline{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{s}(\mathbb{N})}}(0 ; 1) ; \\
\mathrm{N} & \left.=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{1} \mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{N}^{+}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{c}}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Later we will quite often drop the parallel lines in $j \mathrm{~N}$ jand w rite N instead of N j $j$ to indicate the support of an $h-\operatorname{set} N$.

$s(N)=s(M)=s$. Let $f: \mathcal{N} j!R^{k}$ be a continuousmap. Let $f_{C}=q_{M} \quad f \mathbb{N}^{1}$ : $N_{C}!R^{u} \quad R^{s} . W$ e say that

$$
N=\stackrel{f}{f} M
$$

( N f-covers M ) if the following conditions are satis ed

1. There exists a continuous hom otopy $h:[0 ; 1] \quad N_{c}!R^{u} \quad R^{s}$ such that the following conditions hold true

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}_{0} & =\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} ; \\
\mathrm{h}\left([0 ; 1] ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \backslash \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}} & =; ; \\
\mathrm{h}\left([0 ; 1] ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \backslash \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} & =;:
\end{aligned}
$$

2.1. If $u>0$; then there exists a linearmap $A: R^{u}!R^{u}$; such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}_{1}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}) & =\left(\mathrm{Ap;0);} \text { where } \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{u}} \text { and } \mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{s}} ;\right. \\
\mathrm{A}\left(@ \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{u}}(0 ; 1)\right) & \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{n} \overline{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{u}}}(0 ; 1):
\end{aligned}
$$

2.2. If $u=0$, then

$$
h_{1}(x)=0 ; \text { for } x 2 N_{c} \text { : }
$$

 for its precursors).
 for each $i=1 ;::: ; n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i} 1=f_{i}^{f_{i}} N_{i} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a point $x 2$ int $\mathrm{N}_{0} j$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i} \text { f } 1 \text { : : }: ~ f(x)=x \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2 C ontinuation of covering relations for $P$ oincare maps

 for non-autonom ous perturbationsLet $v: R^{k}$ ! $R^{k}$ be a $C^{1}$ function. Let us consider an autonom ous di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}=v(x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{V}_{0} ; \mathrm{V}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} 1 ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be P oincare sections of the system generated by the equation (we do not require that they are di erent). Let 1 i $n$, and let $x$ be the solution of the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{0} & =v(x) \\
x(0) & =x_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{0} 2 V_{i 1}$ :For $i=1 ;::: ; n$; by $i\left(x_{0}\right)$ we will denote the rst time for which the solution $x$ reaches the section $V_{i}$,

$$
i_{i}\left(x_{0}\right):=\text { infft }>0: x(t) 2 V_{i} g
$$

W hen it will be evident from the context which sections we wish to consider, we will som etim es om it the index i.

W e will also de ne functions (P oincare $m$ aps)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ji}} & : \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}} \operatorname{dom}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)!\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ji}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right) & :=\mathrm{x}\left({ }_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ ow in the context of the question asked in the introduction we assum e that we have a nite set of covering relations for sets $N_{i}$ for Poincare $m$ aps de ned by an ODE, which leads via Theorem assum e that we have the follow ing covering relations $\left.N_{i}={ }^{P}\right) N_{j}$ for $i ; j=0 ; 1$ (com pare topological horseshoes in $\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ we have a sem icon jugacy onto the Bemoulli shift on tw o sym bols.

Let us now consider the equation $(\underline{(2, i t)} \overline{4})$ w ith a tim e dependent pertunbation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}(t)=v(x(t))+\quad(t ; x(t)) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will try to show a sim ilar result (for exam ple topological horseshoe) for this perturbed equation. It seam s very likely that for sm all perturbation the above result should hold. Let us start w ith the fact that for sm allperturbations of the equation the covering relations (2, 2 ) for the solution still hold. Let us clarify what wew illexactly understand by the functions $f_{i j}$ in the setting of the perturbed equation (2.⿹\zh13一) . Let us consider the equation (2.5) w th the follow ing initial conditions

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
x^{0} & =v(x)+(t ; x) \\
x(T) & =x_{0}  \tag{2.6}\\
x_{0} & 2 N_{i 1}
\end{array}
$$

Let $x$ be the solution of problem $\left(\underline{2}, \bar{O}_{1}, \overline{1}\right) \cdot W$ e will de ne functions $f_{j i}^{T}$ which $w$ ill be analogous to the functions $f_{j i}$. A s before

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ji}}^{\mathrm{T}} & : \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}!\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\left.\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ji}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right) & :=\mathrm{x}\left({ }_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{T}\right)\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{T}\right) & :=\text { infft }>\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}) 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~g}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us note that for $j j$ su ciently sm all the functions above are well de ned
 j j the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{T}\right) \quad \mathrm{T} \text { is bounded for all } \mathrm{x}_{0} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{j}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense that if we change the, then the low er and the upper bound of the expression does not change for all $x_{0} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
$T$ he goal of this section is to establish the follow ing
Theorem 2 Let $v: R^{k}!R^{k}$ be $C^{1}$-fiunction, let $V_{1} ;::: ; V_{n}$ be the Poincare sections for the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}=v(x) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N_{i} \quad V_{i}, i=1 ;::: ;$ n be h-sets, we denote this fam ily by $H$
Assume that we have a set of covering relations $N_{i} \xlongequal{f_{j j}^{j}} N_{j}$ for some $N_{i} ; N_{j} 2 H$, where $f_{j i}$ are Poincare $m$ aps for (2.9.9).

Then there exits $=()$ such that for all continuous $: R^{k+1}!R^{k}$ such that $j$ j< we have:

For any $t_{0} 2 \mathrm{R}$ and for any in nite chain of covering relations from

$$
\left.\mathrm{N}_{0} \stackrel{f}{\stackrel{f}{q}} q^{1} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1} \stackrel{f}{=}\right\}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=\dot{\xi} \quad::
$$

where $N_{i} 2 H$ and $N_{i} \stackrel{f_{i j ;+1}}{=} N_{i+1} \quad 2$ for $i=0 ; 1 ;:::$,
there exists a point $x_{0} 2 N_{0}$ and a sequence $f t_{i} g_{i=0}^{1}, t_{0}<t_{1}<:::<t_{m}<:::$, such that for the solution $x$ of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{0} & =v(x)+(t ; x)  \tag{2.10}\\
x\left(t_{0}\right) & =x_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
x\left(t_{i}\right) 2 \text { in } \mathbb{N}_{i} ; \quad i=1 ; 2 ;:::
$$

Before we m ove on to the proof of this theorem we shall need som e prelm inary results.

Lem $m$ a 1 Assum $e$ that $f_{i}$ is a $P$ oincare $m$ ap for (2.9). If

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\boldsymbol{l}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

then there exists a $>0$ such that for all such that $j j<$, for all $T 2 R$

$$
N_{\mathrm{i}} \xlongequal{\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{T}}} \stackrel{N_{\mathrm{i}}}{ } \text { : }
$$

Furtherm ore for all ithere exists a hom otopy $H^{i}:[0 ; 1] \quad R \quad N_{i 1}$; $!R^{u} R^{s}$;

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}(0 ; \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{x}) & =\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{x}) ;  \tag{2.11}\\
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}(1 ; \mathrm{T} ;(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q})) & =\left(\mathrm{A}_{i} \mathrm{p} ; 0\right) ;  \tag{2.12}\\
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}\left([0 ; 1] ; \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} 1 ; \mathrm{c}}\right) \backslash \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}} & =; ;  \tag{2.13}\\
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}\left([0 ; 1] ; \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} 1 ; \mathrm{c}\right) \backslash \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}}^{+} & =;: \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=(p ; q)$ and $A_{i}$ is the linear $m$ ap from the de nition of the covering relation for the covering $N_{i} \quad=f_{i}^{f} N_{i}$.
$T$ his Lemm a states that for all the Poincare $m$ aps $f_{i}^{T}$, for any $T$, there exists a hom otopy $H_{T}^{i}=H^{i}\left(; T\right.$; ) which transports the function ${ }_{i}^{T}$; f into the linear function ( $A_{i} ; 0$ ); which is independent from $T$. W hat is more, the fam ily of functions $H_{T}^{i}$ is continuous $w$ ith respect to $T$.
P roof: The rst part of the lem m a regarding the fact that

$$
N_{i} \xlongequal{f_{i}^{T}}{ }_{f}^{T} N_{i}
$$

is a consequence of the $T$ heorem 13 from [ lem m a, let us consider the follow ing di erential equation

$$
x^{0}=v(x)+\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad\right)(t+T ; x)
$$

where $2\left[0 ; \frac{1}{2}\right]: W$ e can de ne Poincare $m$ aps $f_{i}$;T in the samemanner as we have de ned the functions $f_{i}^{T}$ in $(2,7)$. From the rst part of the lemmawe know that

$$
N_{i 1} \stackrel{\left.f_{i} ;\right)^{T}}{=} N_{i}:
$$

Let us note that $f_{i}^{\frac{1}{2} ; T}=f_{i}$. Since

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \xlongequal{f_{i}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

we know that there exists a hom otopy $h^{i}$ : $[0 ; 1] \quad N_{i 1 ; c}!R^{u} \quad R^{s}$; which satis es the conditions 1,2.1 and 2.2, from the de nition of the covering relation.

W e can now de ne our hom otopy as

$$
H^{i}(; T ; x)=\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} & f_{i}^{; T}(\mathrm{x}) & G_{i 1}^{1} & \text { for } & 2
\end{array}\left[0 ; \frac{1}{2}\right]
$$

 $(2.13),(\overline{1} . \overline{1})$. The rst two conditions are evident from the de nition of H : From the fact that

$$
\left.\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} 1 \stackrel{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{T}}{=}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}\left(\left[0 ; \frac{1}{2}\right] ; \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} 1 ; \mathrm{c}}\right) \backslash \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}}=; ; \\
& \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}\left(\left[\mathrm{O} ; \frac{1}{2}\right] ; \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} 1 ; \mathrm{c}\right) \backslash \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}}^{+}=;
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 1\right] ; \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} 1 ; \mathrm{c}}\right) \backslash \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}}=; ; \\
& \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 1\right] ; \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} 1 ; \mathrm{c}\right) \backslash \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}}^{+}=;
\end{aligned}
$$

follow s from the conditions 2.1 and 2.2 for the covering

$$
N_{i} \quad 1=f_{i}^{f} \quad N_{i}:
$$


The follow ing lem m a w ill be the $m$ ain tool for the proof of the $T$ heorem

Lem ma 2 Let $v: R^{k}!R^{k}$ be $C^{1}$-function, let $V_{1} ;::: ; V_{n}$ be the Poincare sections for the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}=v(x) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N_{i} \quad V_{i}, i=1 ;::: ; n$ be $h$-sets. Let $f_{i}$ be $P$ oincare $m$ aps for $\left(\underline{2} \overline{1} \bar{j}_{1}\right)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{0} \xlongequal{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \stackrel{\mathrm{f}_{2}}{f} \quad::: \stackrel{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}^{f}}{f} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a $>0$, depends only on the set of covering relations in the chain (2.19) and not on the length of the chain, such that for all continuous : $R^{k+1}!^{-} R^{k}$ such that $j j<$ for all $T 2 R$

$$
N_{i} \quad \stackrel{f^{\mathrm{T}}}{=} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{i}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}
$$

and for any $t_{0} 2 \mathrm{R}$ there exists a point $\mathrm{x}_{0} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{0}$ and a sequence $t_{0}<t_{1}<:::<t_{n}$ , such that for the solution $x$ of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{0} & =v(x)+\left(t_{;} x\right)  \tag{2.17}\\
x\left(t_{0}\right) & =x_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
x\left(t_{i}\right) 2 \operatorname{intN}_{i} \text { for } i=1 ;::: ; n
$$

Proof: From Lemma'멈 we know that the rst part of the lem ma is true.
W ithout any loss of generality we will will give the proof for $t_{0}=0 . W \mathrm{~W}$ will also assum e that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} & =\mathrm{Id} \text { for } \mathrm{i}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}} & =\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}} \text { for } \mathrm{i}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \\
\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j} & =\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{c}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us de ne a function

$$
\begin{gathered}
g: N_{n}!V_{0} \\
g:= \\
\left(A_{n+1} ; 0\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $A_{n+1}: R^{u}!R^{u}$ is any linearm ap such that $A_{n+1}\left(@ B_{u}(0 ; 1)\right) \quad R^{u} n \overline{B_{u}}(0 ; 1)$ : C learly we have

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}={ }^{\mathrm{O}} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{0}
$$

This arti cial function will be needed to close the loop of covering relations


Let us de ne functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{i}: & N_{i} 1 \quad R!V_{i} R \text { for } i=1 ;::: ; n \\
F_{i}(x ; T):= & \left(f_{i}^{T}(x) ;{ }_{i}(x ; T)\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

Ifwe start from the set $\mathrm{N}_{0}$; then from $(2,8)$ we know that there exists $\mathrm{s}_{1} ; \mathrm{s}_{2} ;::: \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $r_{1} ; r_{2} ;::: ; r_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathrm{F}_{1}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} ; 0\right) & \mathrm{V}_{1} & \text { int }_{1} \\
\mathrm{~F}_{2}\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} ; \mathrm{I}_{1}\right) & \mathrm{V}_{2} & \text { int }_{2} \\
& ::: & \\
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{n} 1} ; \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}} 1\right) & \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} & \text { int }_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}
$$

(2.18)
where

$$
I_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s_{j} & r_{j} ; s_{j}+r_{j} \tag{2.19}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

Let us de ne

$$
\mathrm{XN}:=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathbb{N}_{0} & [1 ; 1]) & \left(\mathbb{N}_{1}\right. & \left.I_{1}\right) & ::: & \left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{n}}\right. \\
I_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
(2,20)
$$

We will show that there exists an $x=\left(\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right) ;::: ;\left(x_{n} ; t_{n}\right)\right) 2$ int $N N$ such that $F(x)=0: 0$ nce we nd the $x ; w e w i l l$ have our $x_{0} ; t_{0} ;::: ; t_{n} ;$ because from the de nition we know that for $i=1 ;::: ; n$

$$
f_{i}^{T}\left(x_{0}\right)=x\left(i\left(x_{0} ; T\right)\right)
$$

and from the fact that $F(x)=0$ we shall have
which w illm ean that

$$
x\left(t_{i}\right) 2 \operatorname{int}_{i} \text { for } i=1 ;::: ; n
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i} \quad x\left(t_{i}\right)=x_{i} \quad x\left(i_{i}\left(x_{i} \quad ; t_{i} \quad 1\right)\right) \\
& =x_{i} f_{i}^{t_{i}} 1\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i} & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}: \mathrm{XN} \text { ! }\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{R}\right)^{\mathrm{n}+1} \\
& F\left(\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right) ;::: ;\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{n} & 1 & ; t_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{0} & g\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right) ; t_{0}\right) ; \\
& \left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{f}_{1}^{\mathrm{t}_{0}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right) \text {; } \mathrm{t}_{1} \quad 1\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{t}_{0}\right)\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { :::; } \\
& \left.\left(x_{n} \quad f_{n}^{t_{n}}{ }^{1}\left(x_{n} \quad 1\right) ; t_{n} \quad n\left(x_{n} \quad 1 ; t_{n} \quad 1\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

W hat is $m$ ore, from our construction and the fact that $F(x)=0$ we will know that $t_{0}=0$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i} \quad\left(x_{i} \quad 1 ; t_{i} 1\right)=0 \tag{221}
\end{equation*}
$$

which m eans that

$$
0=t_{0}<t_{1}<:::<t_{n}:
$$

O ur goal is therefore to nd the $\mathrm{x} 2 \operatorname{intX} \mathrm{~N}$ for which $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})=0$ : Let us de ne a hom otopy

$$
\mathrm{H}:[0 ; 1] \quad \mathrm{XN}:\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{R}\right)^{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

$H\left(;\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right) ;::: ;\left(x_{n} 1 ; t_{n} 1\right)\right)=\left(\left(x_{0} G\left(; t_{n} ; x_{n}\right) ; t_{0}\right) ;\right.$
$\left(x_{1} H^{1}\left(; t_{0} ; x_{0}\right) ; t_{1} \quad s_{1} \quad(1 \quad)\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right)\right) ;$
;:: ;
$\left(x_{n} \quad H^{n}\left(; t_{n} 1 ; x_{n} 1\right) ; t_{n} \quad s_{n}\right.$ (1 ) ( $\left.\left.x_{n} 1 ; t_{n} 1\right)\right)$ )
where for $i=1 ;::: ; n, H^{i}$ is the hom otopy from the Lemman $\overline{1 / 1}$ and $G(; ~ ; ~)=$ $\left(A_{n+1} ; 0\right)$. Let us note that $H(0 ; x)=F(x)$ and that

$$
H(1 ; x)=B\left(x \quad\left((0 ; 0) ;\left(0 ; s_{1}\right) ;::: ;\left(0 ; s_{n}\right)\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B\left(\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right) ;::: ;\left(x_{n} 1 ; t_{n} 1\right)\right)=\left(\left(\left(p_{0} ; q_{0}\right) \quad\left(A_{n+1} p_{n} ; 0\right) ; t_{0}\right) ;\right. \\
&\left(\left(p_{1} ; q_{1}\right) \quad\left(A_{1} p_{0} ; 0\right) ; t_{1}\right) ; \\
&:: ; \\
&\left.\left(\left(p_{n} ; q_{n}\right) \quad\left(A_{n} p_{n} 1 ; 0\right) ; t_{n}\right)\right) \\
& x_{i}=\left(p_{i} ; q_{i}\right) \quad \text { for } i=0 ;::: ; n
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us assum e that we have the follow ing tw o lem m as which we w ill prove after com pleting this proof

Lem ma3

$$
\operatorname{deg}(H(1 ; \quad) ; \operatorname{intX} N ; 0)=1
$$

Lem ma4 For all $2[0 ; 1]$ the local Brouwer degree deg ( $\mathrm{H}(;)$;intX $N ; 0)$ is de ned, constant and independent from :

Let us now com plete our proof using the two lemm as. From Lemm as and "-1 we know that
$\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{F} ; \operatorname{intX} \mathrm{N} ; 0)=\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{H}(0 ;) ; \operatorname{intX} \mathrm{N} ; 0)=\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{H}(1 ;) ; \operatorname{intX} \mathrm{N} ; 0)=1$
which $m$ eans that there exists an $x 2$ intX $N$ such that $F(x)=0$, $x=\left(\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right) ;::: ;\left(x_{n} ; t_{n}\right)\right)$ hence we have found our $x_{i} 2$ int $N_{i}$ and $t_{i}$.
 P roof of Lem m a ${ }_{-1}^{13}$ : From $(\underline{2}-\overline{2} \overline{2})$ we know that

$$
H(1 ; x)=B\left(x \quad\left((0 ; 0) ;\left(0 ; s_{1}\right) ;::: ;\left(0 ; s_{n}\right)\right)\right)
$$

where $B$ is linear. From the degree for a nem aps (

$$
\operatorname{deg}(H \quad(1 ; \quad) ; \text { intX } N ; 0)=\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{detB})
$$

which $m$ eans that to prove the lemma it is su cient to show that $B$ is an isom onphism. Let us recall the de nition of B:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B\left(\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right) ;::: ;\left(x_{n} 1 ; t_{n} 1\right)\right)= & \left(\left(\left(p_{0} ; q_{0}\right) \quad\left(A_{n+1} p_{n} ; 0\right) ; t_{0}\right) ;\right. \\
& \left(\left(p_{1} ; q_{1}\right) \quad\left(A_{1} p_{0} ; 0\right) ; t_{1}\right) ; \\
& ::: ; \\
& \left.\left(\left(p_{n} ; q_{n}\right) \quad\left(A_{n} p_{n} 1 ; 0\right) ; t_{n}\right)\right) \\
x_{i}=\left(p_{i} ; q_{i}\right) \quad \text { for } i= & 0 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$W$ e have to show that $B(x)=0$ im plies $x=0$. If $B(x)=0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{0}=t_{1}=:::=t_{n}=0 \\
& q_{0}=q_{1}=:::=q_{n}=0:
\end{aligned}
$$

W e also know that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{p}_{0} & =\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \\
\mathrm{p}_{1} & =\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{0} \\
& :::  \tag{223}\\
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} & =A_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} 1
\end{align*}
$$

which m eans that

$$
p_{0}=A_{n+1} \quad::: A p_{0}
$$

The condition $(2-2)$ im plies that $k A_{i} p k>k p k$ for $i=1 ;::: n+1$ and $p 0$, which gives us $p_{0}=\overline{0}$. T he fact that $p_{1}=:::=p_{n}=0$ follow from $\left(\underline{2}-\overline{2} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Proof of Lem m a ${ }_{-1}^{14}$ :' From the hom otopy property, it is su cient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(; \mathrm{x}) \not 0 ; \text { for all } \mathrm{x} 2 @ \mathrm{XN} \text { and } 2[0 ; 1] \text { : } \tag{224}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ew ill consider an $x$ from the boundary of $X N$
$x=\left(\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right) ;::: ;\left(x_{n} ; t_{n}\right)\right)$. If $\mathrm{x} 2 @ X \mathrm{~N}$ then there exists an isuch that one of the follow ing conditions holds

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} & 2 & \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}^{+} & \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} & 2 & \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}} & \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} & 2 & \mathrm{fs}_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~g}
\end{array}
$$

 0 then in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \quad H^{i}\left(; t_{i} \quad 1 ; x_{i} \quad 1\right)=0 \tag{2,28}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
H^{i}\left([0 ; 1] ; t_{i} 1 ; N_{i} 1\right) \backslash N_{i}^{+}=;:
$$

This and the fact that $x_{i} 12 N_{i} 1$ contradicts $\left(2 \overline{2}_{1}\right) . W$ e therefore know that $\left(2^{-}-5^{-1}\right)$ does not hold for $i=1 ;::: ; n$. For $i=0$ if $x_{0} 2 N_{0}^{+}$and $H(; x)=0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0} G\left(; t_{n} ; x_{n}\right) & =0 \\
\left(p_{0} ; q_{0}\right) & \left(A_{n+1} ; 0\right)
\end{aligned}=0
$$

which $m$ eans that $q_{0}=0$ which contradicts the fact that $x_{0}=\left(p_{0} ; q_{0}\right) 2 \mathrm{~N}_{0}^{+}=$ $\overline{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{u}}}(0 ; 1) \quad @ \overline{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{s}}}(0 ; 1)$ :

Let us now consider the case $(\underline{2}-\overline{2} \bar{G})$. For $i=0 ;:: ;$ n $\quad 1$ if $x_{i} 2 N_{i}$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{ix})=0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1} \quad H^{i+1}\left(; t_{i} ; x_{i}\right)=0 \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
H^{i+1}\left([0 ; 1] ; t_{i} ; N_{i}\right) \backslash N_{i+1}=; ;
$$

 $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}$ if $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{H}(; \mathrm{x})=0$ then

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
x_{0} & G\left(; t_{n} ; x_{n}\right) \\
=0 \\
\left(p_{0} ; q_{0}\right) & \left(A_{n+1} p_{n} ; 0\right)
\end{array}=0
$$

The fact that $x_{n} 2 N_{n} m$ eans that $p_{n} 2 @ \overline{B_{u}}(0 ; 1): W$ e know that $p_{0} 2$ $\overline{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{u}}}(0 ; 1)$ and $\mathrm{p}_{0}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$; which contradicts the fact that $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\left(@ \overline{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{u}}}(0 ; 1)\right)$ $R^{u} n \overline{B_{u}}(0 ; 1)$ :

W e are now left $w$ ith the case $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{7})$. For $i=1 ;::$; $n$ if $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{7})$ holds and H ( ; x) $=0$ then in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i} \quad s_{i} \quad(1 \quad)_{i}\left(x_{i} 1 ; t_{i} 1\right)=0: \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ur construction of $X$ N $\left(\frac{\overline{2}}{2} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$ which guarantees that

$$
F_{i}\left(\mathbb{N}_{i 1} ; I_{i 1}\right) \quad V_{i} \quad \text { int } I_{i}:
$$

gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{i}\left(x_{i} 1 ; t_{i} 1\right) 2 \quad\left(s_{i} \quad r_{i} ; S_{i}+r_{i}\right) \\
& s_{i}+(1 \quad)_{i}\left(x_{i} 1 ; t_{i}\right) 2 \quad\left(s_{i} \quad r_{i} ; s_{i}+r_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore from (2-27

$$
t_{i} \quad s_{i} \quad(1 \quad)_{i}\left(x_{i 1} ; t_{i 1}\right) \notin 0
$$

This clearly contradicts $(\underline{2}-\overline{0})$. For $i=0$ from the de nition of $H(;)$ and the fact that $\mathrm{H}(; \mathrm{x})=0$ we get straight aw ay the fact that $\mathrm{t}_{0}=0 \mathrm{which} \mathrm{m}$ eans that it is not possible for to $2 \mathrm{f} 1 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$ :
$W$ e have show $n$ that for any $2[0 ; 1]$ and $x 2 @ X N, H(; x) 0$; this fact and the hom otopy property of the index concludes our proof.

Proof of $T$ heorem ' ${ }_{2}^{2}$ ': Let us consider the sequence of covering relations from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{0} \stackrel{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q}}}{=} \mathrm{N}_{1} \stackrel{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{f}^{2}} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \stackrel{\mathrm{f}_{2}}{\}^{3}}::: \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider a nite subsequence of the sequence (

$$
\left.\mathrm{N}_{0} \stackrel{f_{9}}{=} \mathrm{N}_{1} \stackrel{f_{1}}{=} \quad:::_{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}}^{=}\right)^{1 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}
$$

From Lemman a $t_{0}=t_{0}^{m}<t_{1}^{m}<:::<t_{m}^{m}$, such that for the solution $x$ of the equation $(\overline{2} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ we have

$$
x\left(t_{i}\right) 2 \operatorname{intN}_{i} \text { for } i=1 ;::: ; m
$$

and that depends only on the fam ily and not on the length of the sequence. $W$ e therefore have a sequence $f x_{m} g_{m=1}^{1} \quad N N_{0}$. Since $N_{0}$ is com pact there exists a subsequence $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{k}}$ which converges to a gertain $\mathrm{x}_{0} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{0}$. In the curse of the proof of Lem m a

$$
t_{i}^{m} \quad i\left(x\left(t_{i}^{m} \quad 1\right) ; t_{i}^{m} \quad 1\right)=0
$$

which togetherw ith the fact from $(2,0)$, that $i\left(x\left(t_{i}^{m}\right) ; t_{i}^{m}\right) \quad t_{i}^{m}$ is bounded, $m$ eans that $t_{i}^{m} \quad t_{i}^{m}=i_{i}\left(x\left(t_{i}^{m}\right) ; t_{i}^{m} 1_{1}\right) \quad t_{i}^{m}$ is bounded. From this fact and from the continuily of the solution of the problem

$$
x^{0}(t)=v(x(t))+\quad(t ; x)
$$

w ith respect to the initial conditions, it follows that the solution $x(t)$; of the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{x}^{0} & =\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})+(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{x})  \tag{2.32}\\
\mathrm{x}(0) & =\mathrm{x}_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

passes through the sets $\mathrm{N}_{0} ; \mathrm{N}_{1} ;::$ : and therefore there exists a sequence $\mathrm{t}_{0}<$ $t_{1}<:::$ such that

$$
x\left(t_{i}\right) 2 \operatorname{int}_{i} \text { for } i=1 ; 2 ;:::
$$

## 3 A pplication to $R$ ossler equations.

In this section we com bine $T$ heorem ${ }_{2}^{2}$ and results from [ī1] to show that sm all non-autonom ous perturbations of R ossler $[\mathbb{R} i]$ posses sym bolic dynam ics.
$F$ irst we need to recall som e de nitions.
Let k be a positive integer. Let $\mathrm{k}:=\mathrm{f0} ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{k} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{Z}},{ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}:=\mathrm{f0} ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{k}$ $1 g^{N} . \quad k,{ }_{k}^{+}$are topological spaces $w$ ith the $T$ ichonov topology. On $k r{ }_{k}^{+} w e$ have the shift map given by

$$
(\quad(c))_{i}=c_{i+1}
$$

Let $A=\left[{ }_{i j}\right]$ be a $k \quad k-m$ atrix,
${ }_{i j} 2 R_{+}$[f0g,i;j=0;1;:::;k 1.Wedene A $\quad k$ and ${ }_{A}^{+} \quad{ }_{k}^{+}$by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A} \quad:=\mathrm{fC}=\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}+1}>0 \mathrm{~g}  \tag{3.1}\\
& +\quad=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{~N}} \quad \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}+1}>0 \mathrm{~g} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously $\stackrel{+}{A}$, A are invariant under .
Let $F: X!X$ be any continuousm ap and $N \quad X . B y F_{\text {jN }}$ wewilldenote the $m$ ap obtained by restricting the dom ain of $F$ to the set $N$. $T$ he $m$ axim al invariant part of $N$ (w ith respect to $F$ ) is de ned by

$$
\operatorname{Inv}(\mathbb{N} ; F)=\prod_{i 2 \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{jv}}{ }^{i}(\mathbb{N}):
$$

The R ossler equations are given by [ili]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{x}=(y+z) \\
& \underline{y}=x+b y  \tag{3.3}\\
& \underline{z}=b+z\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & a
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $a=5: 7 ; b=0: 2$. These are param eters values originally considered by R ossler. The ow generated by Eq. (3, 3 ) exh ibits a so-called strange attractor. $W$ e w ill investigate the Poincare $m$ ap $P$ generated by ( $\overline{-3} \overline{3}$ ) on the section $:=f(x ; y ; z) j x=0 ; y<0 ; \underline{x}>0 g$.

Theorem 3 For allparam eter values in su ciently sm allneighborhood of ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ ) = $(5: 7 ; 0: 2)$ there exists P oincare section $N$ such that the Poincare $m$ ap $P$ induced by Eq. (

There exists continuous $m$ ap $\quad: \operatorname{Inv}(\mathbb{N} ; P)!\quad$, such that

$$
P=\quad:
$$

A
$(\operatorname{Inv}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{P}))$, where

$$
A:=\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & & & & 3 \\
4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

The preim age of any periodic sequence from a contains periodic points of P.

A bove theorem is a consequence of $T$ heorem $\frac{1}{11}$ and the follow ing Lem $m$ a, which was established in [2] [1] w ith com puter assistance (com puter assisted proof)

Lem m a 5 T here are h -sets $\mathrm{N}_{0} ; \mathrm{N}_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}$ such that for all param eter values in su ciently sm all neighborhood of $(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=(5: 7 ; 0 \cdot 2) \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{D}$ om ( P ) and the follow ing conditions hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{0} \xlongequal{P} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{1} \xlongequal{P} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{0} ; \mathrm{N}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{2} \xlongequal{P} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{0} ; \mathrm{N}_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote by $R_{a ; b}: R^{3}!R^{3}$ the vector eld on the right-hand side of (

Theorem 4 Let us $x(a ; b)=(5: 7 ; 0 \cdot 2)$. Let $A$ be as in $T$ heorem $\overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{1}$. C onsider a non-autonom ous perturbation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}=R_{a ; b}(x)+(t ; x): \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ here exists $>0$, such that for any $t 02 R$ and any sequence $C=\left(c_{i}\right) 2 \quad{ }_{A}^{+}$ there exists a solution of $\left.(\overline{3} .5), x_{c}: t_{0} ; 1\right)!R^{3}$ and a sequence $t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<$ ${ }_{n}<屯 t_{n+1}<:::$, such that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{t}) & 2 & ; & \text { i } \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { for som e i } \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) & 2 & \nexists \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}} j:
\end{array}
$$

A bove theorem says nothing about the size of . To obtain a num ericalvalue for one can take one of two approaches
analytical from the com puter assisted proof in $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$ one can obtain global bounds Z $R^{3}, Z$ com pact, such that all tra jectories linking $N_{i} j w$ th its P oincare im age are in $Z$. For su ciently sm all the sam e will be true
 com pute an upper bound of the distance betw een the solution of (3, 3) and (3,5). Then we compute for which the covering relations listed in Lemmatis survive.
com putational we can replace (3-5) by a di erential inchion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0} 2 R_{a ; b}(x)+[\quad ; \quad 3: \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow for various values of we can perform an rigorous integration of (3. 3 . $)$ looking for the largest possible for which the covering relations listed Lem m a ${ }^{5}$ '1 algorithm for rigorous integration of di erential inclusions see [ill

### 3.1 O ther exam ples.

O therbased on $T$ heorem ${ }_{1}^{11}$ com puter assisted proofs of the existence of nontrival sym bolic dynam ics give rise to theorem s analogous to $T$ heorem ' $\overline{4} 1$.'. This applies to the follow ing system $s$

Lorenz equationsi[ $\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{G} \\ -\mathrm{a} \dot{d}]\end{array}\right]$,
C hua circuil ['[G] ],

The precise form ulation of these results is left to the reader.

## 4 A ppendix. P roperties of the local B rouw er degree

H om otopy property. [ill Let $H:[0 ; 1] \quad D!R^{n}$ be continuous. Suppose that
[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{1} \text { (c) } \backslash \mathrm{D} \quad \text { is com pact } \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$2[0 ; 1]$
then
$82[0 ; 1] \operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{C})=\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathrm{H}_{0} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{C}\right)$
If $[0 ; 1] \bar{D}$ dom $(H)$ and $\bar{D}$ is com pact, then $\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ follow $s$ from the condition

$$
\text { c Z H }([0 ; 1] ; @ D)
$$

D egree property for a nem aps. [ill Suppose that $f(x)=B\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & \left.x_{0}\right)+C, ~\end{array}\right.$ where $B$ is a linearm ap and $x_{0} 2 R^{n}$ : If the equation $B(x)=0$ has no nontrivial solutions (i.e if $B x=0$, then $x=0$ ) and $x_{0} 2 D$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{C})=\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{detB}): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$
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