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#### Abstract

This paper considens the $m$ odel of an arbitrarily distributed signal $x$ observed through an added independent white Gaussian noise w; $y=x+w . N$ ew relations betw een them inim alm ean square error of the non-causalestim ator and the likelinood ratio between $y$ and $w$ are derived. This is followed by an extended version of a recently derived relation between the $m$ utual inform ation $I(x ; y)$ and the $m$ in im al $m$ ean square error. T hese results are applied to derive in nite dim ensional versions ofthe $F$ isher inform ation and the deB ruijn identity. A com parison betw een the causal and non-causal estim ation errors yield a restricted form of the logarithm ic Sobolev inequality. T he derivation of the results is based on the $M$ alliavin calculus.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $w_{t} ; 0 \quad t \quad T$ denote the standard d-dim ensional $W$ iener process and $\mathrm{w}^{0}$ the related white noise. The white noise channel is, roughly speaking, de ned by $y^{0}(t)=x^{0}(t)+w_{t}^{0} 0 \quad t \quad T$ where $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is a signal process independent of the $w h i t e$ noise process $w_{t}^{0}$. In the context of detection theory, the key entity is ' $(y)$, the likelinood ratio, ie. the R adon N ikodym derivative of the $m$ easure induced by the $f^{0}(t), t 2[0 ; T] g$ process $w$ th respect to the $m$ easure induced by the white noise $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{t} 2[0 ; T \mathrm{~g}$. In the context of ltering theory the key entities are the causal and the non causal estim ates, ie. the conditionalm ean $E\left(x_{t}^{0} \dot{y}^{0} ; 0\right.$ or $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}^{0} \dot{y}^{0} ; 0 \quad \mathrm{~T}\right)$ respectively. In addition to this pair of random entities, there are also averaged entities such as the averaged $m$ inim al errors which am ount to ${ }_{0}^{R_{T}} \mathrm{E}\left(x_{t}^{0} \quad \mathrm{E}\left(x_{t}^{0} \dot{y}^{0} ; 2[0 ; t]\right)\right)^{2} d t$, or ${ }_{0}^{R_{T}} \mathrm{E}\left(x_{t}^{0} \quad \mathrm{E}\left(x_{t}^{0} \dot{y}^{0} ; \quad 2[0 ; T]\right)\right)^{2} d t ;$ and on the other hand, the mutual inform ation between the paths $f y=(y ; 2[0 ; T]) g$ and $\mathrm{fx}=(\mathrm{x} ; 2[0 ; T]) \mathrm{g}$, i.e.

$$
E \log \frac{d P(x ; y)}{d(P(x) P(y))}
$$

where the expectation is wr. to the $P(x ; y) m$ easure, and also the relative entropy E `(y). Relations between the likelinood ratio ' $(y)$ and the causal conditional expectation were discovered in the late 60's and this was soon followed by a relation
 relations which involved causalm ean square errors were based on the Ito calculus. Sim ilarproblem s for the non causalestim ator were also considered [ $[\bar{\sigma}]$, $[\underline{G}]$. The form ulation and results in the non causal case were restricted to the nite dim ensional tim e discrete $m$ odel of the $G$ aussian channel. Recently, how ever, G uo, Sham ai and Verdu (G SV ) [īī] applied inform ation theoretic argum ents to derive new interesting results relating the $m$ utual in form ation $w$ th non causalestim ation in $G$ aussian channels.

The Ito calculus which has proved to be a powerful tool for the relations associated w ith causal estim ation could not be applied to problem s related to non causal problem swhich explains the slow progress in the direction of relations for non causal estim ates. H ow ever, the developm ent of the M alliavin caloulus, nam ely, the stochastic calculus of variation which was introduced in the m id 70's led in the early 80's to results which prove to be a very useful tool for the non causal type of problem s.
$T$ he purpose of this paper is to apply the M alliavin calculus in order to derive the extension of the nite discrete tim e relations betw een non causal estim ation and likelihood ratios to continuous tim e (section 4), and to prove an extended version of the results of $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ relating the $m$ utual inform ation $w$ th causal estim ation error (section 5). Them odelling of the additive $G$ aussian channelon the abstract $W$ iener space (in contrast to the d-dim ensionalW iener process on the tim e interval [0;T]) yield in sections 4 and 5 results of wide applicability, e.g. for the ltering and transm ission of im ages and random elds. The relation of these results to the de B ruij identity, causal ltering and the logarithm ic Sobolev inequality are discussed in sections 6 and 7.

In the next section we de ne the Abstract W iener Space which generalizes the classical d-dim ensionalW iener process, and form ulate the additive G aussian channel which w illlbe considered in the paper. A lso, the problem s considered in sections 4 and 5 are outlined in this section. Section 3 is a very short introduction to the $M$ alliavin calculus. Section 4 presents the results relating likelihood ratios ( $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}$ derivatives) w th non-causal least square estim ates cf. rem ark 2 in section 4 for possible applications of these results to nonlinear lering. In section 5 we derive an extended version of the G SV results. These results are applied in section 6 to consider the notions of $F$ isher inform ation and the de Bruijn identities in an in nite dim ensional setup. Section 7 deals w ith abstract W iener spaces endow ed w ith a tim e param eter. This enables the com parison of results for causalestim ations w ith corresponding results for non-causal estim ation. It is show $n$ that a restricted form of the logarithm ic Sobolev inequality follow s directly from the results derived in this paper.

A cknow ledgem ent: We wish to express our thanks to Shlom o Sham ai for calling our attention to the problem s considered in this paper and providing us w ith a prelim inary version of $\left[\begin{array}{l}\bar{T}\end{array}\right]$, and to Suleym an U stunel and $O$ fer Zeitouni for useful com $m$ ents.

## 2 The underlying $W$ iener space and the additive channelm odel

A. C onsider, rst, a standard one-dim ensionalW iener process on $[0 ; 1]$, say $w(t) ; t 2$ $[0 ; 1]$. Let $\underset{R_{t}^{i}}{f}(\mathrm{t}) ; i=1 ; 2 ; \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}^{; \mathrm{t}} 2 \quad[0 ; 1] \mathrm{g}$ be a complete orthonom al base on $[0 ; 1]$. Set $e_{i}(t)=\begin{array}{c}R_{t} \\ 0\end{array} \quad$ i $(s) d s$, then $\left.\begin{array}{cc}P & { }_{n} R_{1} \\ 1 & 0\end{array} i_{i}(s) d w_{s} \quad i \notin t\right)$ converges to $w(t)$ in quadratic m ean. W ew ill denote the sequence of independent $G$ aussian, identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables ${ }_{0}^{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{dw}(\mathrm{s})={ }_{0}^{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{d \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{s})}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{dw}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{i}=1 ; 2$; by $e_{1} ; \quad i=$ 1;2; . Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=e_{1} \quad\left(e_{1}\right) e_{i}(t): \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now fein $(t) ;$ t $2[0 ; 1] ; i=1 ; 2 ; \quad g$ can be considered as a C . O N . . base of an Hilbert space $H$ of functions $h(t)$; t $2[0 ; 1] w$ th scalar product $\left(h_{1} ; h_{2}\right)_{H}=R_{0} \frac{d h_{1}(s)}{d s} \frac{d h_{2}(s)}{d s} d s$. $T$ his space $H$ is know $n$ as the $C$ am eron $M$ artin space. $N$ ote that the $W$ iener procss which is continuous but not di erentiable is not an elem ent in $H$. The sam e notation goes over to the case of the $d$-dim ensional $W$ iener process with w (t); (t);h(t);e(t) taking values in $R^{d}$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
e=Z_{0}^{Z} t X^{d} \frac{d}{d t} e_{j}(s) d w_{j}(s)  \tag{22}\\
E \quad e=0 ; E(e)^{2}=X_{1}^{X^{d}}{ }_{1} \quad \frac{d}{d t} e_{j}(s)^{2} s: \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this m odelwe w ill consider $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{t})$ where $(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{t} 2 \quad[0 ; 1]$ ) takes values in the $C$ am eron $M$ artin Space $H$ and $w(t)$, the $W$ iener process takes values in the space of $R^{d}$ valued continuous function considered as a B anach space $W$ under the norm jw $(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{t} 2[0 ; 1]]_{\mathrm{j}}=\sup _{\mathrm{t} 2}[0 ; 1] \mathrm{j} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{t}) \dot{j}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

In addition to the Banach space $W$ we have to consider the space $W$ of all continuous functionals on $W$ and $i t$ can be show $n$ that $W$ is a dense subspace of $H$
(cf. e.g. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}17 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ w 2 W " is the stochastic integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{w} h w ; e{ }_{W}^{i}=e: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

An abstract $m$ odel for the $W$ iener process in term $s$ of the spaces $W$; $W$; $H$ and the $W$ iener $m$ easure $w$ is considered in the next subsection. The reader can skip this step by interpreting the triplet ( W ; H ; w) as the d-dim ensionalW iener process as in


B . The A bstract W iener Space (AW S) is an abstraction ofthis m odelwhere W is a separable $B$ anach space and $H$, the $C$ am eron $-M$ artin space, is an $H$ ibert space densely and continuously em bedded in $W$. The dual space to $W$ (the space of continuous linear functionals on $W$ ) is denoted $W$ and assum ed to be continuously and densely em bedded in H. TheA bstract $W$ ienerSpace ( W ; H ; w) supports a $W$-valued random
 variable. C f. e.g. [ī $\left.]_{1}\right]$, or appendix $B$ of $[1]$ inform ation on the AW S. N ote that, unlike the classical case, the Abstract $W$ iener Space does not have any tim e-like param eter (this how ever can be added cf. section 7).
C. In order to introduce the general setup of the additive $G$ aussian channel, let (W ; H ; w ) be an abstract W iener space and let (H ; (H ); x ) be a probability space on the C am eron M artin H ibert space $H$ which is induced by an $H$-valued r.v. X . Let $=(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{w}) ; \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{H}$ and w 2 W , set $=\mathrm{f} \mathrm{g}$ and consider the com bined probability space

$$
\begin{equation*}
(; F ; P)=\quad ; \quad(H))_{-}(\mathbb{W}) ; \quad x \quad \text { w } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the space of the m utually independent signals' x and hoise' $\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{N}$ ow, since $H$ is continuously em bedded in $W$ we can identify $x \mathrm{w}$ ith its in age in $W$ and de ned the additive $G$ aussian channel as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y()=x+w ; \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
is a free scalar signal to noise' param eter which will becom e relevant in Section 5. W ew ill denote by $X$ and $Y$ the sigm a elds induced on $W$ by the r.v.'s $x$
and $y$ respectively. N ote that $y$ and $w$ are $W$ valued, $x$ is $H$ valued and we identify x w ith its im age in $W$. In fact we w illm ake throughout this paper, just for reasons of sim plicity, the additional assum ption that x is W valued. A s m entioned earlier, since $W \quad H \quad W \quad$ we can also consider $x$ to be $H$ or $W$ valued.

In section 4 we will be interested in the relation betw een two types of ob jects. The rst class of ob jects is
for $e ; e_{1} ; e_{2} 2 \mathrm{~W}$ or globally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\bar{X}}=E(x \nexists Y) \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{\overline{(x ; x)_{H}}}=E \quad(x ; x)_{H} j Y \quad: \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he second class of ob jects are the likelinood ratio (the $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}$ derivative) betw een the $m$ easures induced by $y$ and the one induced by $w$ on $W$. This likelihood ratio will be denoted ' (w), w 2 W . N ote that if $W$ is in nite dim ensional then the m easure induced by $x$ is singular w ith respect to the $m$ easure induced by $w$, (since $\times 2 \mathrm{H}$ while w (6 H).

In section 5 we w ill consider the relation betw een I (X ; $Y$ ) or rather $d I(X ; Y$ ) $=d$ and the non-causal ltering error:

A related result for $d\left(E \log { }^{\prime}(w)\right)=d$ is considered in section 6 and show $n$ to be an extended version of the D e B ruijn identity.

## 3 A short introduction to the M alliavin calculus


(a) T he gradient

Let ( $W$; $H$; ) be an AW $S$ and let $e_{i} ; i=1 ; 2 ;::$ be a sequence of elem ents in W . A ssume that the im age of $e_{i}$ in $H$ form a complete orthonorm albase in $H$. Let $f\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{n}\right)$ be a sm ooth fiunction on $R^{n}$ and denote by $f_{i}^{0}$ the partialderivative of $f$ w ith respect to the i-th coordinate and let e be as discussed in the previous section.

For cylindricalsm ooth random variables $F(w)=f\left(e_{i}::: ; e_{n}\right)$, de ne $r_{h} F=\frac{d F(w+h)}{d^{n}}{ }_{n=0}$. Therefore we set the follow ing: $r_{h} F=(r F ; h)$ where $r F$, the gradient, is H -valued. For $F(w)=e ; r F=e$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r F={ }^{X^{n}} f_{i}^{0}\left(e_{i} ;::: ; e_{n}\right) \quad{ }_{i} e \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be show $n$ that this de nition is closable in $L^{p}()$ for any $p>1$, which $m$ eans that it can be extended to a wider class of functional as we will see below. W e w ill restrict ourselves to $\mathrm{p}=2$, consequently the dom ain of the r operation can be extended to all functions $F$ ( $w$ ) forwhich there exists a sequence of sm ooth cylindrical functions $F_{m}$ such that $F_{m}!F$ in $L_{2}$ and $r F_{m}$ is $C$ auchy in $L_{2}(; H)$. In this case set $r \mathrm{~F}$ to be the $\mathrm{L}_{2}(; \mathrm{H})$ lim it of $r \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}$. This class of r.v. w ill be denoted $\mathrm{D}_{2 ; 1}$. It is a closed linear space under the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{kF} \mathrm{k}_{2 ; 1}=\mathrm{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathrm{~F})^{2}+\mathrm{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~F}{\underset{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{H}}}_{2}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly let K be an H ibert space and $\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} \underset{\mathrm{p}}{ }:$ : : a com plete orthonom albase in K .


$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=X_{j=1 i=1}^{X^{m} X^{n}}\left(f_{j}\right)_{i}^{0}\left(e ;::: ; e_{n}\right) e_{i} \quad k_{j} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote by $D_{2 ; 1}(\mathbb{K})$ the com pletion of $r$ ' under the nom
$N$ ote that this enables us to de ne recursively $r{ }^{n} F(w)$ for $n>1$.
(b) The divergence (the Skorohod integral)

A few introductory rem arks. Let $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})$; $\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ take values in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})={ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ i, where the ${ }_{i}$ are orthonom alvectors in $R_{n}$. A ssum $e$ that the $v_{i}$ and $F(x)$ are sm ooth and converge \quickly enough" to zero as jxj! 1 . Then the follow ing \integration by parts form ula" holds

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\quad(v(x) ; r F(x)) d x=\quad \underset{R_{n}}{ } F(x) d \dot{y} v d x ; \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where div is the divergence:

$$
\operatorname{div} v=\mathrm{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{@ \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}}{@ \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}}:
$$

$N$ ote that the gradient and divergence are di erential operations, and equation ( deals $w$ th integration $w$ ith respect to the Lebesgue $m$ easure on $R_{n}$. In this subsection we are looking for an analog of the divergence operation on $R_{n}$ which will yield an integration by parts form ula $w$ th respect to the $W$ iener $m$ easure.

Let $u(w)$ be an $H$-valued r.v. in ( $\mathbb{W}$; $H$; ), u will be said to be in dom ${ }_{2}$ if $E j u(w){\underset{H}{H}}_{2}<1$ and there exists a r.v. say $u$ such that for all sm ooth functionals $f\left(e_{i}::: ; e_{n}\right)$ and alln the \integration by parts" relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{rf} ; \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{w})_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{u}) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satis ed. u is called the divergence or Skorohod integral. A necessary and su cient condition for a square integrable $u(w)$ to be in dom 2 is that for some $=$ (u),

$$
E(u(w) ; r f)_{H} \quad E^{\frac{1}{2}} f^{2}(w)
$$

for allsm ooth $f$. N ote that while the de nition ofr $f$ (at least forsm ooth functionals) is invariant under an absolutely continuous change ofm easure, this is not the case for the divergence which involves expectation in the de nition. For non-random h 2 W , $h=h$; wi, setting $f=1$ in ( $(\overline{3} .(\mathbf{W})$ yields that $E h=0$. It can be shown that if u $2 \mathrm{D}_{2: 1}(\mathrm{H})$ then u 2 dom $_{2}$. A lso, for sm ooth $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})$ it can be veri ed directly that

$$
f(w) h=f(w) h \quad(r f ; h)
$$

and $m$ ore generally under proper restrictions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(w) u(w)=f(w) u \quad r f ; u(w)_{H}: \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsequently, if $\mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}<1$, and $\mathrm{r} u$ is of trace class then

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{w} h u ; w \underset{w}{i}=u+\text { tracer } u: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for an operator $A$ on $H$ and $e_{i} ; i=1 ; 2 ;::$ a CONB on $H$, de ne

$$
\text { trace } A=x_{1}^{x^{1}}\left(e_{i} ; A e_{i}\right)
$$

provided the series converges absolutely and in this case A is said to be of trace class. A m ong the interesting facts about the divergence operator, let us also note that for the classical $B$ row nian $m$ otion and if

> Z

$$
(u(w))(\quad)=u_{0}^{0}(w) d s
$$

and $u_{s}^{0}(w)$ is adapted and square integrable then $u$ coincides $w$ ith the Ito integral i.e. $u={ }_{0}^{R_{1}} u_{s}^{0}(w) d w_{s}$.
(c) Let ( W ; H ; ) be an abstract W iener space and let 1 be another probability $m$ easure on the same space ( $W$; fW g). Assume that ${ }_{1}$ is absolutely continuous w ith respect to . Set

$$
\checkmark(w)=\frac{d_{1}}{d}(w) \quad \text { and } \quad Q(w)=f w \quad:(w)>0 g
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{w}$ ill be used to denote the expectation w ith respect to the m easures $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ and respectively. W e will use the convention $0 \log 0=0$ throughout the paper.

Follow ing the de nition in 3 (b), we de ne the divergence with respect to ${ }_{1}$ to be as follow s. The H -valued random variable $u(w) w i l l$ be said to be in dom ${ }_{2}^{1} e$ if there exists a r.v., say $e_{u}$, which is $L^{2}$ under $u_{1}$ and such that for all sm ooth r.v.s $f(w)$, it holds that

$$
\mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w}) \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{E}_{1}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{rf})_{\mathrm{H}}:
$$

The relation betw een ${ }^{e} u$ and $u$ is given by the follow ing lem ma.
 and ${ }_{1} \quad w$ (where $D_{2 ; 0}(H)$ is the completion of $\left(\overline{3}-\frac{1}{-}\right)$ under the $\left.H-n o m\right)$. Then u 2 dom ${ }_{2}^{1} \mathrm{e}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\mathrm{w})\left(\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{r} \quad \log { }^{`}(\mathrm{w}) ; \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{w})\right. \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

 r '(w ) a.s.- . Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.E_{1} f(w) u \quad f(w) r \log { }^{\prime}(w) ; u\right)_{H}=E_{0}, ~ f \quad u \quad \text { 'f(r } \log _{H}^{\prime} ; u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =E_{0} \quad \text { '(rf;u) } H_{H} \\
& =E_{1}(r f ; u)_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 R elations betw een the estim ation error and the likelihood ratio

 $w$ illfurther assum e that the $H$ valued r.v. $x$ is actually $W$ valued, and exp ( $x$;h) $)_{H}$ $L^{1}(\mathrm{x}$ ) for all real and allh 2 W . Them easures induced by $y$ and $x$ on $W$ willbe denoted $y$ and $x$ respectively. The conditionalprobability induced on $W$ by $y()$
 probabillty induced on $W$ ofx conditioned on y (cf. e.g. [華] for the existence of these conditional probabilities) .

By the C am eron M artin theorem (cf. e.g. $[1 \overline{1} \overline{-1}])$ and since x and w are independent, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{Y j x}}{d_{w}}(w)=\exp \quad h w ; x i \quad \frac{2}{2} \dot{x} j_{H}^{2} \quad ; \quad w 2 W \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which by our assum ptions belongs to $L_{p}$ for all $p>0$. Hence, denoting by $x$ ( $d x$ ) the restriction of P to H :

$$
\begin{align*}
& '(w)=\frac{d_{Y}}{d_{W}}\left(W^{\prime}\right)=Z_{H}^{Z} \frac{d_{Y} X}{d_{W}}(w ; x) \quad x(d x) \\
& =\operatorname{H}_{H} \exp \quad h w ; x i \quad \frac{2}{2} \dot{x}{\underset{H}{j}}_{2}^{2} \quad x(d x) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

P roposition 4.1 U nder these assum ptions it holds that
(a)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r^{\prime} ; h\right)_{H}=r_{h}{ }^{\prime}(w)=\quad(w)(\overline{\bar{x}} ; h)_{H} ; 8 h 2 H \quad \text { hence }: \\
r^{\prime}=\quad{ }^{\prime}(w) \overline{\bar{x}} \text { or } \overline{\bar{x}}=\frac{1}{-r} \log { }^{\prime}(w) \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

a.s. w . N ote that $\overline{\bar{a}}$ denotes the conditional expectation conditioned on $Y$, equation (2.
(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{n, ~(w) ; h_{1} \quad n_{H} h_{n}=v^{\prime}(w)^{\mathrm{Y}^{n}}\left(h_{i=1} ; x\right)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) in particular tracer ${ }^{2}$ ( w ) exists and a.s. w

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{h_{1} ; h_{2}}^{2},(w)=2,(w) \overline{\left(h_{1} ; x\right) \quad(d f x)} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{h ; h}^{2} \log { }^{\prime}(w)=2 \overline{(x ; h)^{2}} \quad \overline{(x ; h)^{2}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\mathrm{h}_{1} ; \mathrm{h}_{2}}^{2}=:\left(\mathrm{r}\left(\mathrm{r}^{\prime} ; \mathrm{h}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{h}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{H}}$, Cf . also (4. $\left.\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$
(d)

$R$ em ark 1: Let $E_{1}$ denote the $m$ easure induced by $y$ on $W$ and let $E$ denote expectation $w . r$. to the $m$ easure in (2, $\overline{2}$ ). For an operator $A$ on $H$ and $e_{i} ; i=1 ; 2 ;::$ :
aCONB on H , de ne

$$
\operatorname{trace} A=X_{1}^{X^{1}}\left(e_{i} ; A e_{i}\right)
$$

provided the series converges. C onsequently, we have from ( $(\bar{A} \cdot \overline{1})$ and $(\overline{4}-\overline{1})$ ) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& ={ }^{2} \mathrm{E} \dot{\mathrm{x}}{\underset{j}{\mathrm{H}}}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{E} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \log { }^{\prime}(\mathrm{w}){\underset{\mathrm{j}}{\mathrm{H}}}_{2} \text { : } \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$


$R$ em ark 2: (a) Consider the case where the abstract $W$ iener space is a classical $W$ iener space $R^{n}$, then $u 2 H$ is of the form ${ }_{0} u^{0}(s) d s, x 2 H$ is of the form ${ }_{0} x^{0}(s) d s$
 and $x^{0}(s)$ is a s. continuous on $[0 ; T]$. Then given somet $2[0 ; T]$, one can consider a sequence of linear functionals $h_{n}$ such that ( $\left.h_{n} ; x\right)$ converges in $L^{2}$ to $x(t ; w)$ and ex-
 equation ( $\overline{4}-\bar{Z})$, given w 2 W we can replace the integration with respect to x ( dx ) w ith a M onte-C arlo approxim ation. Sim ilarly we can replace hr ${ }^{n}$, (w); $\mathrm{h}_{1} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{h}$ by applying $r^{n}$ to the integrand of equation ( tegrand w ith a M onte-C arlo approxim ation. This can then be applied to derive a num erical approxim ation to $\frac{\sum_{i 1}\left(h_{i} ; x\right)}{}$ i.e. the non-adapted non-linear tlering of $Q_{n}$ ${ }_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{x}\right)$. W e will not follow these directions.

The follow ing lem m a w ill be needed in the proof of $P$ roposition 14 in
Lem m a 4.1 A ssume that y and y ix are absolutely continuous with respect to w then for all bounded and $m$ easurable functions on Z

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x ; y) \frac{d_{Y} \neq x}{d_{W}}(y ; x) \times(d x) \quad w(d y)=X_{x}^{Z} \\
& (x ; y) \frac{d_{Y}}{d_{W}}(y)_{x j y}(d x ; y)_{w}(d y):
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Lem ma: Let

$$
L=\sum_{x y}^{Z}(x ; y)_{x ; y}(d x ; d y):
$$

Then, by Fubini's theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =x_{x}(x ; y) \frac{d_{Y} X}{d_{W}}(y ; x) x_{x}(d x)_{W}(d y):
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the conditional probability $x_{j r}$ is regular (cfe.g. theorem 1022 of [ị also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=Z_{Z^{X} \quad Y^{Z}}^{(x ; y)} x_{j Y}(d x ; y) \quad y(d y) \\
& =x_{x}\left(x ; y^{\prime}\right) \frac{d}{d_{W}}(y) x_{j Y}(d x ; y){ }_{w}(d y):
\end{aligned}
$$

 (by dom inated convergence) interchange the order of integration and di erentiation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{h}{ }^{\prime}(w)=Z_{Z^{H}}^{Z} \quad\left(r_{h} h w ; x i\right) \exp \quad h w ; x i \quad \frac{2}{2} \dot{x}{\underset{H}{H}}_{2}^{x} \quad x(d x) \\
& =Z_{H}^{H}(h ; x) \exp \quad h w ; x i \quad \frac{2}{2} \dot{x} j_{H}^{2} \quad x(d x) \\
& =Z_{H}^{Z^{H}}(h ; x) \frac{d Y_{X}}{d_{W}}(w ; x) x(d x):
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by Lem m a

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.r_{h}\right\urcorner(w) & =X_{x}^{Z}(h ; x) \frac{d_{Y}}{d_{W}}(w) x_{j x}(d x ; w) \\
& ={ }^{\prime}(w)(h ; \overline{\bar{X}})
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& =n_{x}^{Z} Y_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i} ; x\right) \frac{d_{Y}}{d_{W}}(w)_{x j y}(d x ; w) ;
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\left.r_{h_{1} ; h_{2}}^{2}\right\urcorner(w)={ }^{2} \backslash(w) \quad \overline{\left(h_{1} ; x\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x & x \tag{4.9}
\end{array}\right)}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{h ; h}^{2} \log `^{\prime}(w) & =\frac{1}{`(w)} r_{h ; h}^{2} `(w) \quad \frac{1}{(`(w))^{2}}\left(r_{h} `(w)\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{`(w)} r_{h ; h}^{2} `(w) \quad j r_{h} \log { }^{\prime}(w) f \tag{4.9a}
\end{align*}
$$

proving ( $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{-} \cdot \overline{1})$ and (

and ( $\overline{4} . \overline{1})$ follow .
$W$ e conclude this section $w$ ith som e results for $\overline{\bar{x}}$ and $\overline{\bar{x}}$ (cf. part (c) of section 3). By the assum ptions of this section $\overline{\bar{x}} 2 \operatorname{dom}_{2}$ and $\overline{\bar{x}} 2 \operatorname{dom}_{2}^{1}$. Therefore by (4̄an)

$$
\overline{\bar{x}}=\frac{1}{-} r \log { }^{\prime}(w):
$$

N ote that $L=r$ is the num ber operator, i.e. if (w) is a square integrable r.v. of the $W$ iener space and $P^{P}{ }_{n=1} I_{n}$, where $I_{n}$ is the $W$ iener chaos decom position of $x$; then, form ally, $L={ }_{n=1} n I_{n}$. Therefore if $L(w) 2 L_{2}$ and $E(L \quad(w))=0$ then L ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}$ is well de ned, consequently it holds by equation ( $\bar{A} \bar{A}_{1}$. ${ }^{\prime}$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{w})=\mathrm{c} \quad \exp \mathrm{~L}^{1} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{x}}}: \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c is a norm alizing constant. For $\mathrm{e} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{x}}}$ we have

Lem mach 2

$$
e \overline{\bar{X}}=\frac{1}{`^{\prime}(w)} r{ }^{\prime}(w):
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{\prime}=(`(w) \overline{\bar{x}})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\quad{ }^{\prime}(w) \overline{\bar{x}} \quad{ }^{2}{ }^{\prime}(w)(\overline{\bar{x}} ; \overline{\bar{x}})_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left.\overline{\bar{x}}=\frac{1}{`(w)} r{ }^{\prime}(w)+\overline{\bar{x}} ; \overline{\bar{x}}\right)_{H}:
$$

Hence by Lem m a ${ }^{1} 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
e \overline{\bar{x}} & \left.=\overline{\bar{x}} \quad r \log { }^{`}(w) ; \overline{\bar{x}}\right)_{H} \\
& =\overline{\bar{x}} \quad{\overline{\bar{j}} x_{H}^{2}}_{j}^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{L^{\prime}(w)}{`(w)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5 The G SV relation betw een the mutual in form a-

 tion and the $m$ ean square of the estim ation errorC onsider the setup and assumptions in the rst paragraph of section 4. The $m$ utual inform ation between $x$ and $y$ is de ned as

$$
I(X ; Y)=\sum_{x W}^{Z} \log \frac{d x ; Y}{d(x \quad y)}(x ; y) \quad x ; Y(d x ; d y):
$$

 expectation wr. to the $W$ iener $m$ easure and $E_{1} w$ ill denote expectation wr. to the $m$ easure on $W$ induced by $y$ (hence $\left.E f(y)=E_{1} f(w)=E_{0}{ }^{\prime}(w) f(w)\right)$.

P roposition 5.1 U nder the assum ptions of the previous section, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d I(X ; Y)}{d}=E \dot{X} \dot{j}_{H}^{2} \quad \overline{\overline{J X}}_{\underset{H}{j}}^{2}  \tag{5.1}\\
& =E \dot{x} \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

P roof: By our assum ptions, and since $\frac{d_{x i y}}{d(x, y)}=\frac{d_{Y i x}}{d_{w}} \frac{d_{w}}{d_{y}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(X ; Y)=Z_{X}^{Z} \quad \log \frac{d_{Y \neq X}}{d_{W}}(x ; y) \quad \log \frac{d_{Y}}{d_{W}}(y) \quad(d x ; d y) \\
& =\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{hy} ; \mathrm{xi} \quad \frac{2}{2} \dot{\mathrm{x}}{\underset{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{H}}}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{E} \log { }^{\prime}(\mathrm{w}):
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that E hy;xi= ${ }^{2} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{f}}{\underset{\mathrm{H}}{ }}_{2}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(X ; Y)=\frac{2}{2} E \dot{Y}{\underset{H}{H}}_{2}^{j_{1}} \quad E_{1} \log `(w) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d I(X ; Y)}{d} & =E \dot{j} \times \underset{H}{j} \quad \frac{d}{d} E_{0}{ }^{`}(w) \log { }^{`}(w)  \tag{5.3}\\
& =E \dot{X} \times \frac{j_{H}^{2}}{2} \quad E_{0} \frac{d^{`}(w)}{d} \quad \log { }^{`}(w) \quad 0: \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow,

$$
\frac{d^{`}(w)}{d}={\underset{x}{Z} h x ; w i \quad \dot{j}_{H}^{2} \frac{d_{Y x}(x)}{d{ }_{w}}(w) x(d x): ~}_{x}
$$

By lem ma

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =h \overline{\bar{x}} ; w i \overline{\left(\dot{x}_{\hat{H}}^{2}\right)}{ }^{\prime}(w):
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in (5.4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d I}{d}=E \dot{x}{\underset{H}{H}}_{2}^{d^{2}} \quad E_{0} \quad h \overline{\bar{x}} ; w i \quad \overline{\left(\dot{j}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}\right)} \quad \text { (w) } \log { }^{\prime}(w) \quad: \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow, by (4َي

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{0}{ }^{`} \log ` h \overline{\bar{x}} ; w i=E_{0} \xrightarrow{1} \log \mathrm{hr} ` \text { 'wi }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =E_{0}{ }^{\prime}(w)(\overline{\bar{x}} ; \overline{\bar{x}})+E_{0}{ }^{\prime}(w) \log { }^{\prime}(w) \overline{\overline{\left(\dot{x}_{\hat{H}}^{2}\right)}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting into ( 5 _- ${ }^{-2}$ ) y yelds

Rem ark (a): $E_{1} \log { }^{`}(w)\left(=E \log { }^{`}(y)\right)$ is the relative entropy (or I-divergence or
 (52) relates this relative entropy to the $m$ utual inform ation $I(x ; y)$ for the additive G aussian channel. By equations ( 5 (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d} E_{1} \log { }^{\prime}(w)=E_{1} j \overline{\bar{x}}{\underset{j}{H}}_{2}^{l} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rem ark (b): C onsider the follow ing generalizations to the additive $G$ aussian channel. Let $M$ be the space ofm essages which generate the signals" x, i.e. (M ;B ;P ) is a probability space and $x=g(m)$; $m 2 M$, where $g$ is a $m$ easurable from (M);B )
to $H$. Then obviously $I(M) Y)=I(X ; Y)$. M ore generally, consider the case where $x$ and $m$ are related by som e joint probability on $M \quad H$ and $w$ and $m$ are conditionally independent conditioned on $x$. T he extension of proposition ' 5 '1 in this context follow s along the sam e argum ents as in theorem 13 of $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{\mathbf{h}}\right]$ and therefore om itted.

## 6 A n extended version of the DeBruin identity

The $F$ isher inform ation $m$ atrix $J$ associated $w$ ith a sm ooth probability density $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{y} 2 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is de ned as

$$
J=\frac{@^{2} \log p\left(y_{1} ;::: ; y_{n}\right)}{@ y_{i} @ y_{j}} \quad 1 i ; j n
$$

and then the $F$ isher inform ation which is de ned by the rh.s. of ( $\overline{6}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \text { trace } J=E n \log _{R^{n}}^{2} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where E is the expectation w ith respect to the p density. The De B ruijn identity (cf. $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{3}}\end{array}\right]$ or $\left[\bar{p}_{1}\right]$ and the references therein) deals $w$ th the case $w$ here $y=x+P_{-} w$ $\mathrm{where} \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{2} \boldsymbol{;}::: ; \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}} \boldsymbol{;} \quad j=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}$ are i.i.d. $\mathrm{N}(0 ; 1)$ and x is an $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ random variable independent of $w$. It states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E \log p(y)=\frac{1}{2} E^{n} r \log p(y)_{R^{n}}^{2}: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $F$ isher inform ation $m$ atrix cannot be extended directly to the case where $y$ is in nite dim ensional. H ow ever, the results of sections 4 and 5 yield som e sim ilar relations. U nder the assum ptions of section 5, com paring ( 5

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d} E_{1} \log { }^{\prime}(w) & =E_{1} j \overline{\bar{x}} \frac{2}{H} \\
& =\frac{1}{-E_{1} j r} \log ^{\prime}(w) \frac{2}{H} ; \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

which is \sim ilar" to ( $\overline{6} \overline{-2}$ ) and $m$ ay be considered an extended $D$ e B ruijn identity. $N$ ote that $E_{1} \log { }^{\prime}(w)$ is the relative entropy of $y$ relative to $w$, also, note the di erence betw een the and the t param etrizations.

C om paring (

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1} \text { tracer }{ }^{2} \log { }^{\prime}(w) & =\frac{d I(x ; y)}{d} \\
& ={ }^{2} E \text { jx } \frac{?}{H} \quad E_{1} j r \log `(w) \frac{l_{1}}{i} ; \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

 restricted to the case where '(w ) is induced by a signal plus independent noise m odel and not for any '(w) which is a negative r.v. and whose expectation is 1 , cf. the concluding lines of the next section.

## 7 A dding a \tim e param eter" to the abstract $W$ iener space

G iven an abstract $W$ iener space ( W ; H ; ) we can introduce the notion of continuous tim e on this space as follow s. Let $f$; $0 \quad 1 g$ be a continuous, strictly increasing, resolution of the identity on H with $0=0 ; 1=\mathrm{I}$. Set $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{h} 2 \mathrm{Hg}$ and F will denote the ltration induced by $F$ on [0;1]. An H valued r.v. u(w) will be said to be adapted to F if $(\mathrm{u} ; \quad ; \mathrm{h})_{\mathrm{H}}$ is F m easurable for allh 2 H and every $2[0 ; 1]$, (c.f. section 2.6 of $[1]-1 / \overline{1}]$ form ore details). Let $D_{2}$ ( H ) denote the class of H -valued $u$ (w ) such that $E_{1} j u j_{H}^{2}<1$. The class of adapted square integrable random variables is a closed subspace of $D_{2}(H)$ and will be denoted by $D_{2}^{a}(H)$. W e will denote by b the projection ofu $2 D_{2}(H)$ on $D_{2}^{a}(H)$, i.e.
(this corresponds to the dualpredictable pro jection in $m$ artingale theory). Since $x$ is independent of $w$, and since $\overline{\bar{x}}=E_{1}(x j(y))$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\prime}=(\overline{\bar{x}})^{p} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. If x is not m easurable on the - eld induced by y , pro ject, rst , x on the - eld generated by $y$ and then project on $D_{2}^{a}(H)$, which is the sam e as replacing $u$ with $x$
in (17.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\checkmark(y)=\exp \quad \otimes \quad \frac{2}{2} \not \approx j_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \quad: \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the sam e argum ents as in [1] [1] or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(X ; Y)=\frac{2}{2} E \dot{X} \quad{\underset{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{H}}}_{2}^{j}: \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

R em arks: (a) The left hand side of ( 7 (1. 4 ) is independent of the choice of while does depend on the choice of . C onsequently, by $(\overline{7}$ the particular choice of .(b) The validity of ( case where x is independent of w (cf. [9] and [1]

By equations (5) and (7,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{1} \log \mathrm{v}^{\prime}(\mathrm{w}) & =\frac{2}{2} \mathrm{E} \\
& \dot{\mathrm{x}}{\underset{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}}_{2}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathrm{x}} \\
& =\frac{2}{2} \mathrm{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{1} \log { }^{\prime}(w)=\frac{2}{2} \mathrm{E}{\underset{\mathrm{H}}{1}}_{2}^{2}: \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e conclude the paper w ith the follow ing rem ark. O bviously

Hence by (7̄-5

$$
E_{1} \log `(w) \quad \frac{1}{2} E_{1} \dot{j} \quad \log `(w) j_{H}^{2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0} `(w) \log `(w) \quad \frac{1}{2} E_{0} `(w) j c \quad \log { }^{`}(w){\underset{j}{1}}_{2}^{2}: \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $f^{2}(w)=c^{\prime}(w), c>0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0} f^{2}(w) \log \dot{f}(w) j \quad E_{0} f^{2} \quad \log \frac{1}{8} f^{2}+E_{0} \dot{j} \quad \log f{\underset{H}{H}}_{2}^{2} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the logarithm ic Sobolev inequality of $L$. G ross on $W$ iener space (cf. e.g. section 92 of $\underline{\underline{k}-10]}$ and the references therein) . N ote, how ever, that ( $\overline{\mathrm{T}} . \overline{\mathrm{d}})$ is not the com plete logarithm ic Sobolev inequality since as derived above, it holds only the for the case where ' ( $w$ ) is the likelinood ratio associated with $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{w}$ where x and w are


Inequality ( earlier in this paper. T he question arises whether a sim ilar argum ent can yield ( $\overline{7} . \overline{\mathrm{O}}$ ) w thout the restriction on '(w) to be generated by a signal plus independent white noise. T his seem $s$ to be a delicate problem ; the left hand side of ( $\bar{i}=\overline{1})$ can be show $n$ to be equal to the left hand side of ( $\overline{7} . \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ w thout the restriction that the signal and noise are independent ( $\overline{\underline{q}]}$ ). H ow ever it is not clear if the right hand side of (ī) $\overline{7}$ ) and (7̄. $\mathbf{- 1}$ ) are equal.
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