

GENERALIZED NON-SYMMETRIC DIVERGENCE MEASURES AND INEQUALITIES

INDER JEET TANEJA AND PRANESH KUMAR

Abstract. In this paper we consider one parameter generalizations of some non-symmetric divergence measures. Measures are relative information, χ^2 divergence, relative J-divergence, relative Jensen-Shannon divergence and relative arithmetic and geometric divergence. All the generalizations considered can be written as particular cases of Csiszar f-divergence. By conditioning the probability distributions, relationships among the relative divergence measures are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let $(X^n, \mathcal{P})$ be the set of all complete finite discrete probability distributions. There are many information and divergence measures given in the literature on information theory and statistics. Some of these are symmetric with respect to probability distribution, while others are not. In this paper, we work only with non-symmetric measures. Throughout the paper it is understood that the probability distributions $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

be the set of all complete finite discrete probability distributions. There are many information and divergence measures given in the literature on information theory and statistics. Some of these are symmetric with respect to probability distribution, while others are not. In this paper, we work only with non-symmetric measures. Throughout the paper it is understood that the probability distributions $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

1.1. Non-Symmetric Divergence Measures. The following are some non-symmetric measures of information, the most famous among them being χ^2 divergence and Kullback-Leibler relative information. All of the following measures can be written in pairs by interchanging P and Q , and p_i and q_i . The measures are non-symmetric in the sense that the expression changes when this interchange is made.

χ^2 Divergence (Pearson [15])

$$(1) \quad \chi^2(P \| Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^n} \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{q_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^n} \frac{p_i^2}{q_i} - 1;$$

Relative Information (Kullback and Leibler [2])

$$(2) \quad K(P \| Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^n} p_i \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right);$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 94A17; 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Relative information of type s ; Relative J-divergence of type s ; Relative JS-divergence of type s ; Relative AG-divergence of type s ; Csiszar f-divergence; Information inequalities.

This research is partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council's Discovery Grant to Pranesh Kumar.

Relative Jensen-Shannon D ivergence (Sibson [17], Sgarro [16])

$$(3) \quad F(P \parallel Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^n} p_i \ln \frac{2p_i}{p_i + q_i} :$$

Relative Arithmetic-Geometric D ivergence (Taneja [23])

$$(4) \quad G(P \parallel Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^n} \frac{p_i + q_i}{2} \ln \frac{p_i + q_i}{2p_i}$$

Relative J-D ivergence (Dragomir et al. [8])

$$(5) \quad D(P \parallel Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^n} (p_i - q_i) \ln \frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} :$$

Symmetric versions of above measures are given by

$$(6) \quad (P \parallel Q) = {}^2(P \parallel Q) + {}^2(Q \parallel P);$$

$$(7) \quad J(P \parallel Q) = K(P \parallel Q) + K(Q \parallel P) \\ = D(P \parallel Q) + D(Q \parallel P);$$

$$(8) \quad I(P \parallel Q) = \frac{1}{2} [F(P \parallel Q) + F(Q \parallel P)]$$

and

$$(9) \quad T(P \parallel Q) = \frac{1}{2} [G(P \parallel Q) + G(Q \parallel P)]:$$

After simplification, we can write

$$(10) \quad J(P \parallel Q) = 4 [I(P \parallel Q) + T(Q \parallel P)]$$

and

$$(11) \quad D(Q \parallel P) = \frac{1}{2} [F(P \parallel Q) + G(P \parallel Q)]:$$

Dragomir et al. [9] studied the measures (5), referred to subsequently as [22] symmetric chi-square divergence. Measure (7) is known as Jeffreys-Kullback-Leiber [11, 12] J-divergence. The measure (8) is Jensen-Shannon divergence studied by Sibson [17] and Burbea and Rao [2, 3]). Measure (9) is arithmetic and geometric mean divergence studied by Taneja [19]. More details on some of these measures can be found in Taneja [18, 19] and in the on line book by Taneja [21].

In this paper our aim is to work with one parameter generalizations of the non symmetric divergence measures given by (1)–(5). We call these generalizations, non-symmetric divergence measures of type s. Also, we call the measure $K(Q \parallel P)$ the adjoint of $K(P \parallel Q)$ and vice-versa. The same is with the other measures.

2. Non-Symmetric Divergence Measures of Type s

In this section we introduce one parameter generalizations of the measures given by (1)–(5). Generalization of the measures (1) and (2) is already known in the literature and has been studied by many authors. Here we refer to it as relative information of type s .

Relative Information of Type s

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} K_s(P||Q) &= [s(s-1)]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^s q_i^{1-s} - 1; \quad s \notin 0; 1 \\ K_0(P||Q) &= K(Q||P) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \ln \frac{q_i}{p_i}; \quad s = 0; \\ K_1(P||Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln \frac{p_i}{q_i}; \quad s = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

The measure (12) admits the following particular cases:

- (i) $I_{-1}(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2} I_{-2}(Q||P)$.
- (ii) $I_0(P||Q) = K(Q||P)$.
- (iii) $I_{1/2}(P||Q) = 4 [1 - B(P||Q)] = 4h(P||Q)$.
- (iv) $I_1(P||Q) = K(P||Q)$.
- (v) $I_{1/2}(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2} I_{-2}(P||Q)$.

The measures $B(P||Q)$ and $h(P||Q)$ appearing in part (iii) are given by

$$(13) \quad B(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{p_i + q_i};$$

and

$$(14) \quad h(P||Q) = 1 - B(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i}{p_i + q_i} - \frac{q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right)^2$$

respectively. $B(P||Q)$ is known as the Bhattacharyya [1] coefficient and $h(P||Q)$ as Hellinger [10] discrimination.

From (12), we observe that $I_{-2}(P||Q) = I_{-1}(Q||P)$ and $I_{-1}(P||Q) = I_0(Q||P)$.

We now present new one parameter generalizations of the measures given by (3)–(5).

Unified Relative JS and AG { Divergence of Type s

We consider the following unified one parameter generalization of measures (3) and (4) simultaneously.

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} F G_s(P||Q) &= [s(s-1)]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \frac{p_i + q_i}{2p_i}^{s-1}; \quad s \notin 0; 1 \\ F_s(P||Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln \frac{2p_i}{p_i + q_i}; \quad s = 0; \\ G_s(P||Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i + q_i}{2} \ln \frac{p_i + q_i}{2p_i}; \quad s = 1 \end{aligned}$$

The adjoint of ${}_s(P \parallel Q)$ written as ${}_s(Q \parallel P)$ is obtained by interchanging P and Q , and p_i and q_i in the expression (15). The measures ${}_s(Q \parallel P)$ can also be obtained from (12) by replacing p_i by $\frac{p_i + q_i}{2}$.

We have the following particular cases of ${}_s(P \parallel Q)$ and ${}_s(Q \parallel P)$:

- (i) ${}_1(P \parallel Q) = {}_1(Q \parallel P) = \frac{1}{4} (P \parallel Q)$.
- (ii) (a) ${}_0(P \parallel Q) = F(P \parallel Q)$.
- (b) ${}_0(Q \parallel P) = F(Q \parallel P)$.
- (iii) (a) ${}_1(P \parallel Q) = G(P \parallel Q)$.
- (b) ${}_1(Q \parallel P) = G(Q \parallel P)$.
- (iv) (a) ${}_2(P \parallel Q) = \frac{1}{8} {}^2(Q \parallel P)$.
- (b) ${}_2(Q \parallel P) = \frac{1}{8} {}^2(P \parallel Q)$.

The expression $(P \parallel Q)$ appearing in part (i) is the well known triangular discrimination, and is given by

$$(16) \quad (P \parallel Q) = \sum_{i=1}^X \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{p_i + q_i} :$$

Relative J-Divergence of Type s

We now propose the following one parameter generalization of the relative J-divergence measures given by (5).

$$(17) \quad {}_s(P \parallel Q) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^X (s-1)^{-1} p_i (p_i - q_i) \frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i}^{s-1} ; & s \neq 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^X p_i (p_i - q_i) \ln \frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} ; & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

The adjoint of ${}_s(P \parallel Q)$ written as ${}_s(Q \parallel P)$ is obtained by interchanging P and Q , and p_i and q_i in the expression (17).

These admit the following particular cases:

- (i) ${}_0(P \parallel Q) = {}_0(Q \parallel P) = (P \parallel Q)$.
- (ii) (a) ${}_1(P \parallel Q) = D(P \parallel Q)$.
- (b) ${}_1(Q \parallel P) = D(Q \parallel P)$.
- (iii) (a) ${}_2(P \parallel Q) = \frac{1}{2} {}^2(P \parallel Q)$.
- (b) ${}_2(Q \parallel P) = \frac{1}{2} {}^2(Q \parallel P)$.

We observe that the relative information of type s , ${}_s(P \parallel Q)$, contains, in particular, the classical measures Bhattacharyya coefficient, 2 divergence and Hellinger discrimination. The unified relative JS and AG $\{$ divergences of type s , ${}_s(P \parallel Q)$ and ${}_s(Q \parallel P)$, contains, in particular, triangular discrimination and 2 divergence, while the relative J-divergences of type s , ${}_s(P \parallel Q)$ and ${}_s(Q \parallel P)$, yield, in particular, triangular discrimination and 2 divergence.

In this paper our aim is to relate these generalized measures of type s with one another. In order to do so, we make use of the Csiszar f -divergence and its properties.

3. Csiszar f -Divergence and its Particular Cases

For a function $f : [0; 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the f -divergence measure introduced by Csiszar [4] is given by

$$(18) \quad C_f(P \parallel Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i f \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right);$$

for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

The following result is well known in the literature.

Theorem 1. (Csiszar [4, 5]). If the function f is convex and normalized, i.e., $f(1) = 0$, then $C_f(P \parallel Q)$ and its adjoint $C_f(Q \parallel P)$ are both nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distribution $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{P}_n \times \mathcal{P}_n$.

The generalized measures given in Section 2 can be written as particular cases of Csiszar f -divergence (18). These particular cases are given by the following examples.

Example 1. (Relative information of type s). Let us consider

$$(19) \quad s(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{s} [s(s-1)]^{-1} [x^s - 1 - s(x-1)]; & s \in (0; 1) \\ x - 1 - \ln x; & s = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} (x + x \ln x); & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x > 0$ in (18). Then $C_f(P \parallel Q) = {}_s(P \parallel Q)$.

Example 2. (Relative JS and AG { divergence of type s). Let us consider

$$(20) \quad s(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{s} [s(s-1)]^{-1} x^{\frac{x+1}{2x}} - x - s \frac{1-x}{2}; & s \in (0; 1) \\ \frac{1-x}{2} - x \ln \frac{x+1}{2x}; & s = 0 \\ \frac{x-1}{2} + \frac{x+1}{2} \ln \frac{x+1}{2x}; & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x > 0$ in (18). Then $C_f(P \parallel Q) = {}_s(P \parallel Q)$.

Example 3. (Adjoint of Relative JS and AG { divergence of type s). Let us consider

$$(21) \quad s(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{s} [s(s-1)]^{-1} \frac{x+1}{2} - 1 - s \frac{x-1}{2}; & s \in (0; 1) \\ \frac{x-1}{2} + \ln \frac{2}{x+1}; & s = 0 \\ \frac{1-x}{2} + \frac{x+1}{2} \ln \frac{x+1}{2}; & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x > 0$ in (18). Then $C_f(P \parallel Q) = {}_s(Q \parallel P)$.

Example 4. (Relative J-divergence of type s). Let us consider

$$(22) \quad {}_s(x) = \begin{cases} (s-1)^{-1} (x-1)^{\frac{x+1}{2}} s^{-1} & ; \quad s \neq 1 \\ (x-1) \ln \frac{x+1}{2} & ; \quad s = 1 \end{cases};$$

for all $x > 0$ in (18). Then $C_f(P||Q) = {}_s(P||Q)$.

Example 5. (Adjoint of relative J-divergence of type s). Let us consider

$$(23) \quad {}_s(x) = \begin{cases} (s-1)^{-1} (1-x)^{\frac{x+1}{2x}} s^{-1} & ; \quad s \neq 1 \\ (1-x) \ln \frac{x+1}{2x} & ; \quad s = 1 \end{cases};$$

for all $x > 0$ in (18). Then $C_f(P||Q) = {}_s(Q||P)$.

By considering the second order derivative of the functions given by (19)–(23) with respect to x , and applying the Theorem 1, it can easily be checked that the measures ${}_s(P||Q)$, ${}_s(P||Q)$, ${}_s(Q||P)$, ${}_s(P||Q)$ and ${}_s(Q||P)$ are nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distributions $(P; Q) \in \mathcal{P}_n \times \mathcal{P}_n$ respectively, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ for the measures ${}_s(P||Q)$, ${}_s(P||Q)$ and ${}_s(Q||P)$, and $0 \leq s \leq 4$ for the measures ${}_s(P||Q)$ and ${}_s(Q||P)$.

Theorem 2. (Taneja [24]). Let $f_1, f_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}$ be two normalized functions, i.e., $f_1(1) = f_2(1) = 0$ and satisfy the assumptions:

- (i) f_1 and f_2 are twice differentiable on $(r; R)$;
- (ii) there exists the real constants m, M such that $0 \leq m < M$ and

$$(24) \quad m \leq \frac{f_1^{(0)}(x)}{f_2^{(0)}(x)} \leq M; \quad f_2^{(0)}(x) > 0; \quad \forall x \in (r; R)$$

then we have the inequalities:

$$(25) \quad m C_{f_2}(P||Q) \leq C_{f_1}(P||Q) \leq M C_{f_2}(P||Q);$$

Proof. Let us consider the functions ${}_m(x)$ and ${}_M(x)$ given by

$$(26) \quad {}_m(x) = f_1(x) - m f_2(x)$$

and

$$(27) \quad {}_M(x) = M f_2(x) - f_1(x);$$

respectively, where m and M are as given by (24)

Since $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are normalized, i.e., $f_1(1) = f_2(1) = 0$, then ${}_m(x)$ and ${}_M(x)$ are also normalized, i.e., ${}_m(1) = 0$ and ${}_M(1) = 0$. Also, the functions $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are twice differentiable. Then in view of (24), we have

$$(28) \quad {}_m^{(0)}(x) = f_1^{(0)}(x) - m f_2^{(0)}(x) = f_2^{(0)}(x) \frac{f_1^{(0)}(x)}{f_2^{(0)}(x)} - m > 0$$

and

$$(29) \quad {}_M^{(0)}(x) = M f_2^{(0)}(x) - f_1^{(0)}(x) = f_2^{(0)}(x) M - \frac{f_1^{(0)}(x)}{f_2^{(0)}(x)} > 0;$$

for all $x \in (r; R)$.

In view of (28) and (29), we can say that the functions $\phi_m(\cdot)$ and $\phi_M(\cdot)$ are convex on $(r; R)$.

According to Theorem 1, we have

$$(30) \quad C_m(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) = C_{f_1 \circ m \circ f_2}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) = C_{f_1}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) - m(C_{f_2}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})) > 0;$$

and

$$(31) \quad C_M(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) = C_{M \circ f_2 \circ f_1}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) = M(C_{f_2}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})) - C_{f_1}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) > 0;$$

Combining (30) and (31), we get (25).

4. Inequalities Among Generalized Relative Divergences

In this section we shall relate the relative divergence measures of type s given in Section 2. The main results of this paper are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let the generating functions given by (19)–(23) are twice differentiable in interval $(r; R)$ with $0 < r \leq R$. Then, we have the following inequalities among the generalized measures:

(i) $\phi_s(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})$ and $\phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})$:

$$(32) \quad \frac{1}{4r^{t+1}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} \phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) \\ \leq \phi_s(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) \leq \frac{1}{4R^{t+1}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} \phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}); \quad s+t \leq 1; t \leq -1$$

and

$$(33) \quad \frac{1}{4R^{t+1}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} \phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) \\ \leq \phi_s(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) \leq \frac{1}{4r^{t+1}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} \phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}); \quad s+t > 1; t > -1;$$

(ii) $\phi_s(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})$ and $\phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})$:

$$(34) \quad \frac{1}{4r^{t-2}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-2} \phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) \\ \leq \phi_s(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}) \leq \frac{1}{4R^{t-2}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-2} \phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}); \quad s > t; t \leq 2$$

and

$$(35) \quad \frac{1}{4R^{t-2}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-2} \phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) \\ \leq \phi_s(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}) \leq \frac{1}{4r^{t-2}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-2} \phi_t(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}); \quad s \leq t; t > 2;$$

(iii) $s(P_{jQ})$ and $t(P_{jQ})$:

$$(36) \quad \frac{r+1}{2} \frac{s^3}{4r^{t+2}} \frac{sr+4}{4r^{t+2}} \frac{s}{4r^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ})$$

$$6 s(P_{jQ}) \leq \frac{R+1}{2} \frac{s^3}{4R^{t+2}} \frac{sR+4}{4R^{t+2}} \frac{s}{4R^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ});$$

$$0 \leq s \leq 4; t \leq 2; s > t+1$$

and

$$(37) \quad \frac{R+1}{2} \frac{s^3}{4R^{t+2}} \frac{sR+4}{4R^{t+2}} \frac{s}{4R^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ})$$

$$6 s(P_{jQ}) \leq \frac{r+1}{2} \frac{s^3}{4r^{t+2}} \frac{sr+4}{4r^{t+2}} \frac{s}{4r^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ});$$

$$0 \leq s \leq 4; t > 2; s \leq t+1:$$

(iv) $s(Q_{jP})$ and $t(P_{jQ})$:

$$(38) \quad \frac{r+1}{2r} \frac{s^3}{4r^{t+2}} \frac{(4-s)r+s}{4r^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ})$$

$$6 s(Q_{jP}) \leq \frac{R+1}{2R} \frac{s^3}{4R^{t+2}} \frac{(4-s)R+s}{4R^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ});$$

$$0 \leq s \leq 4; t \leq 1; s+t \leq 1$$

and

$$(39) \quad \frac{R+1}{2R} \frac{s^3}{4R^{t+2}} \frac{(4-s)R+s}{4R^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ})$$

$$6 s(Q_{jP}) \leq \frac{r+1}{2r} \frac{s^3}{4r^{t+2}} \frac{(4-s)r+s}{4r^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ});$$

$$0 \leq s \leq 4; t > 1; s+t > 2:$$

(v) $s(Q_{jP})$ and $t(P_{jQ})$:

$$(40) \quad r^{t+1} \frac{r+1}{2} \frac{s^t}{4r^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ})$$

$$6 s(Q_{jP}) \leq R^{t+1} \frac{R+1}{2} \frac{s^t}{4R^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ}); s > 1; t > 1:$$

and

$$(41) \quad R^{t+1} \frac{R+1}{2} \frac{s^t}{4R^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ})$$

$$6 s(Q_{jP}) \leq r^{t+1} \frac{r+1}{2} \frac{s^t}{4r^{t+2}} t(P_{jQ}); s \leq 1; t \leq 1:$$

(vi) ${}_s(P_{jQ})$ and ${}_t(P_{jQ})$:

$$(42) \quad r^{t+1} \frac{r+1}{2} {}^{s+t+1} (sr+4-s) {}_t(P_{jQ})$$

$${}_s(P_{jQ}) \leq R^{t+1} \frac{R+1}{2} {}^{s+t+1} (sR+4-s) {}_t(P_{jQ}); 0 \leq s \leq 4; t \geq 1:$$

(vii) ${}_s(Q_{jP})$ and ${}_t(P_{jQ})$:

$$(43) \quad \frac{r+1}{2r} {}^{s+t+1} \frac{(4-s)r+s}{r} {}_t(P_{jQ})$$

$${}_s(Q_{jP}) \leq \frac{R+1}{2R} {}^{s+t+1} \frac{(4-s)R+s}{R} {}_t(P_{jQ});$$

$$0 \leq s \leq 4; t \geq s; t(4-s) \geq 6s - s^2 - 4$$

and

$$(44) \quad \frac{R+1}{2R} {}^{s+t+1} \frac{(4-s)R+s}{R} {}_t(P_{jQ})$$

$${}_s(Q_{jP}) \leq \frac{r+1}{2r} {}^{s+t+1} \frac{(4-s)r+s}{r} {}_t(P_{jQ});$$

$$0 \leq s \leq 4; t \geq s; t(4-s) \geq 6s - s^2 - 4$$

(viii) ${}_s(P_{jQ})$ and ${}_t(Q_{jP})$:

$$(45) \quad \frac{r+1}{2} {}^{s+t+1} (sr+4-s) {}_t(Q_{jP})$$

$${}_s(P_{jQ}) \leq \frac{R+1}{2} {}^{s+t+1} [sR+(4-s)] {}_t(Q_{jP});$$

$$0 \leq s \leq 4; s \geq t; s(t-s+6) \geq 4(1+t)$$

and

$$(46) \quad \frac{R+1}{2} {}^{s+t+1} (sR+4-s) {}_t(Q_{jP})$$

$${}_s(P_{jQ}) \leq \frac{r+1}{2} {}^{s+t+1} (sr+4-s) {}_t(Q_{jP});$$

$$0 \leq s \leq 4; s \geq t; s(t-s+6) \geq 4(1+t):$$

(ix) ${}_s(Q_{jP})$ and ${}_t(Q_{jP})$:

$$(47) \quad \frac{1}{R^{s+1}} \frac{R+1}{2} {}^{s+t+1} [(4-s)R+s] {}_t(Q_{jP})$$

$${}_s(Q_{jP}) \leq \frac{1}{r^{s+1}} \frac{r+1}{2} {}^{s+t+1} [(4-s)r+s] {}_t(Q_{jP}); 0 \leq s \leq 4; t \geq 1:$$

(x) ${}_s(Q \downarrow P)$ and ${}_t(P \downarrow Q)$:

$$(48) \quad \frac{1}{R^{s+1}} \frac{R+1}{2} {}^{s+t} \frac{(4-s)R+s}{tR+4-t} {}_t(P \downarrow Q)$$

$${}_s(Q \downarrow P) \leq \frac{1}{r^{s+1}} \frac{r+1}{2} {}^{s+t} \frac{(4-s)r+s}{tr+4-t} {}_t(P \downarrow Q); \quad 2 \leq s \leq 4; \quad 2 \leq t \leq 4;$$

Proof. (i) Let us consider

$$(49) \quad g_{(s;t)}(x) = \frac{{}_s^0(x)}{{}_t^0(x)} = \frac{\frac{1}{4x^3} \frac{x+1}{2x} {}^{s+2}}{x^{t-2}} = \frac{1}{4x^{t+1}} \frac{x+1}{2x} {}^{s+2};$$

for all $x \in (0; 1)$.

From (49) one has

$$(50) \quad g_{(s;t)}^0(x) = \frac{x+1}{2x} {}^{s+2} \frac{x(t+1)+t+s-1}{4x^{t+2}(x+1)} \begin{cases} > 0; & t \leq 1; s+t \leq 1 \\ \leq 0; & t > 1; s+t > 1 \end{cases}$$

In view of (50) we conclude the following

$$(51) \quad m = \inf_{x \in [r; R]} g_{(s;t)}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4r^{t+1}} \frac{r+1}{2r} {}^{s+2}; & s+t \leq 1; t \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{4R^{t+1}} \frac{R+1}{2R} {}^{s+2}; & s+t > 1; t > 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(52) \quad M = \sup_{x \in [r; R]} g_{(s;t)}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4r^{t+1}} \frac{r+1}{2r} {}^{s+2}; & s+t \leq 1; t \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{4r^{t+1}} \frac{r+1}{2r} {}^{s+2}; & s+t > 1; t > 1 \end{cases}$$

Now (51) and (52) together with (25) give the inequalities (32) and (33).

The proof of other parts (ii)-(x) follows on similar lines.

4.1. Particular Cases. Here below we have considered some particular cases of the inequalities (32)-(48).

Take $t = \frac{1}{2}; s = 2$ in (34) or in (36), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{p_3 \sqrt{{}_2^2(P \downarrow Q)^2}}{4^{3/2} h(P \downarrow Q)^2} \leq R;$$

Take $t = \frac{1}{2}; s = 2$ in (33) or $t = \frac{1}{2}; s = 2$ in (39), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{p_3 \sqrt{{}_2^2 h(P \downarrow Q)^2}}{4^{3/2} (Q \downarrow P)^2} \leq R;$$

Take $t = 2; s = 2$ in (33) or in (39) or in (40) or in (42) or in (47) or in (48) or $t = 1; s = 2$ in (34) or in (36), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{p_3 \sqrt{{}_2^2(P \downarrow Q)}}{4^{3/2} (Q \downarrow P)} \leq R;$$

Take $t = 1; s = 2$ in (34) or in (36), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{2(P \cdot Q)}{2K(P \cdot Q)} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 0; s = 2$ in (33) or in (39), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{2K(Q \cdot P)}{2(Q \cdot P)} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 1; s = 2$ in (33) or in (39), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{P \cdot \overline{2K(P \cdot Q)}}{P \cdot \overline{2(Q \cdot P)}} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 0; s = 2$ in (34) or in (36), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{P \cdot \overline{2(P \cdot Q)}}{P \cdot \overline{2K(Q \cdot P)}} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 0; s = 0$ in (40), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{F(Q \cdot P)}{F(P \cdot Q)} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in (40), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{P \cdot \overline{G(Q \cdot P)}}{P \cdot \overline{G(P \cdot Q)}} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 2; s = 1$ in (33) or $t = 2; s = 0$ in (39) or (46) or in (47) or $t = 1; s = 2$ in (40) or in (42), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{P_3 \cdot \overline{4^2(P \cdot Q)}}{P_3 \cdot \overline{(P \cdot Q)}} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in (32) or in (34) or $t = 1; s = 0$ in (36) or $t = 2; s = 1$ in (40) or $t = 2; s = 0$ in (42) or in (44) or $t = 1; s = 2$ in (44) or in (47), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{P_3 \cdot \overline{(P \cdot Q)}}{P_3 \cdot \overline{4^2(Q \cdot P)}} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 0; s = 1$ in (33), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{K(Q \cdot P) \cdot 2G(P \cdot Q)}{2G(P \cdot Q)} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in (34), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{2G(Q \cdot P)}{K(P \cdot Q) \cdot 2G(Q \cdot P)} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 1; s = 0$ in (33), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{P \cdot \overline{K(P \cdot Q)} \cdot P \cdot \overline{F(P \cdot Q)}}{P \cdot \overline{F(P \cdot Q)}} \leq R :$$

Take $t = 0; s = 0$ in β_4 , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{P \overline{F(Q \overline{JP})}}{K(Q \overline{JP})} \quad \frac{P \overline{F(Q \overline{JP})}}{F(Q \overline{JP})}}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in α_0 or in $t = 1; s = 0$ in (42) or (43) , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{P \overline{(P \overline{JD})}}{4 \quad G(P \overline{JD})} \quad \frac{P \overline{(P \overline{JD})}}{F(P \overline{JD})}}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in α_0 or $t = 1; s = 0$ in (46) or in (47) , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{P \overline{4 \quad G(Q \overline{JP})}}{P \overline{(P \overline{JD})}} \quad \frac{P \overline{(P \overline{JD})}}{F(P \overline{JD})}}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 0; s = 1$ in α_0 or $t = 0; s = 0$ in (42) or in (44) , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{(P \overline{JD})}{8F(P \overline{JD})} \quad (P \overline{JD})}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 1; s = 0$ in α_0 or $t = 0; s = 0$ in (46) or in (47) , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{8F(Q \overline{JP}) \quad (P \overline{JD})}{(P \overline{JD})}}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in α_6 , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{6G(Q \overline{JP}) \quad D(P \overline{JD})}{D(P \overline{JD})} \quad 2G(Q \overline{JP})}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in α_4 , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{D(Q \overline{JP}) \quad 2G(P \overline{JD})}{6G(P \overline{JD})} \quad D(Q \overline{JP})}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in β_2 or $t = 1; s = 2$ in (44) , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{4G(P \overline{JD})}{^2(Q \overline{JP})} \quad 4G(P \overline{JD})}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 0; s = 1$ in β_2 or $t = 1; s = 2$ in (44) , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{F(P \overline{JD})}{D(Q \overline{JP})} \quad 3F(P \overline{JD})}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 1; s = 0$ in β_2 or $t = 0; s = 2$ in (44) , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{P \overline{2F(P \overline{JD})}}{^2(Q \overline{JP})} \quad \frac{P \overline{2F(P \overline{JD})}}{2F(P \overline{JD})}}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 0; s = 1$ in α_2 , one gets

$$r_6 \frac{\frac{P \overline{4D(P \overline{JD}) + 9F(P \overline{JD})}}{2 \quad F(P \overline{JD})} \quad \frac{3 \quad P \overline{F(P \overline{JD})}}{6 R :}$$

Take $t = 0; s = 1$ in (47), one gets

$$r_6 \leq \frac{\frac{P}{2} \frac{F(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}{4D(Q, \frac{1}{2}P) + 9F(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}}{\frac{P}{3} \frac{F(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}{F(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}} \quad 6R :$$

Take $t = 1; s = 0$ in (40), one gets

$$r_6 \leq \frac{\frac{P}{2} \frac{F(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}{8G(P, \frac{1}{2}Q) + F(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}}{\frac{P}{F(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}} \quad 6R :$$

Take $t = 0; s = 1$ in (40), one gets

$$r_6 \leq \frac{\frac{P}{8G(Q, \frac{1}{2}P) + F(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)} \quad \frac{P}{F(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}}{\frac{P}{2} \frac{F(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}{F(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}} \quad 6R :$$

Take $t = 0; s = 1$ in (34), one gets

$$r_6 \leq \frac{\frac{P}{2} \frac{G(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}{2K(Q, \frac{1}{2}P) + G(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}}{\frac{P}{G(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)}} \quad 6R :$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in (33), one gets

$$r_6 \leq \frac{\frac{P}{2K(P, \frac{1}{2}Q) + G(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)} \quad \frac{P}{G(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}}{\frac{P}{2} \frac{G(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}{G(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}} \quad 6R :$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in (42), one gets

$$r_6 \leq \frac{\frac{P}{8D(P, \frac{1}{2}Q) + \frac{P}{2} \frac{P}{(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}} \quad \frac{P}{2} \frac{P}{(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}}{\frac{P}{(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}} \quad 6R :$$

Take $t = 1; s = 1$ in (44) or in (47), one gets

$$r_6 \leq \frac{\frac{P}{8D(Q, \frac{1}{2}P) + \frac{P}{2} \frac{P}{(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}} \quad \frac{P}{2} \frac{P}{(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}}{\frac{P}{(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}} \quad 6R :$$

Take $t = 2; s = 1$ in (46), one gets

$$r_6 \leq \frac{\frac{P}{5} \frac{P}{2(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)} \quad \frac{P}{16D(P, \frac{1}{2}Q) + \frac{P}{2} \frac{P}{(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}}}{\frac{P}{16D(P, \frac{1}{2}Q) + \frac{P}{2} \frac{P}{(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}} \quad \frac{P}{2} \frac{P}{(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)}} \quad 6R :$$

Remark 1. (i) The inequalities (32)–(48) admit much more particular cases but we have specified here only the one that can be written in simplified form.

(ii) There are some other similar kind of relations that can't be obtained from the inequalities (32)–(48) such as: between $K(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)$ and $K(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)$, between $F(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)$ and $G(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)$, and between $F(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)$ and $G(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)$, between $D(P, \frac{1}{2}Q)$ and $D(Q, \frac{1}{2}P)$, etc. These can be seen in Taneja [23, 25] and Taneja and Kumar [26].

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the referee for valuable comments and suggestions on an earlier version of the paper.

References

- [1] A. BHATTACHARYA, Some Analogues to the Amount of Information and Their uses in Statistical Estimation, *Sankhya*, 8 (1946), 1-14.
- [2] J. BURBEA, and C. R. RAO, Entropy Differential Metric, Distance and Divergence Measures in Probability Spaces: A Unified Approach, *J. Multi. Analysis*, 12 (1982), 575-596.
- [3] J. BURBEA, and C. R. RAO, On the Convexity of Some Divergence Measures Based on Entropy Functions, *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, IT-28 (1982), 489-495.
- [4] I. CSISZAR, Information Type Measures of Differences of Probability Distribution and Indirect Observations, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, 2 (1967), 299-318.
- [5] I. CSISZAR, On Topological Properties of f-Divergences, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, 2 (1967), 329-339.
- [6] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some Inequalities for the Csiszar f-Divergence - Inequalities for Csiszar f-Divergence in Information Theory - Monograph - Chapter I - Article 1 - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [7] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Other Inequalities for Csiszar Divergence and Applications - Inequalities for Csiszar f-Divergence in Information Theory - Monograph - Chapter I - Article 4 - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>
- [8] S. S. DRAGOMIR, V. GLUSCEVIC and C. E. M. PEARCE, Approximations for the Csiszar f-Divergence via Midpoint Inequalities, in *Inequality Theory and Applications* { Volume 1, Y. J. Cho, J. K. Kim and S. S. Dragomir (Eds.), Nova Science Publishers, Inc. Huntington, New York, 2001, pp. 139-154.
- [9] S. S. DRAGOMIR, J. SUNDE and C. BUSE, New Inequalities for Jeffreys Divergence Measure, *Tamkang University Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 16 (2) (2000), 295-309.
- [10] E. HELLINGER, Neue Begründung der Theorie der quadratischen Formen von unendlichen vielen Veränderlichen, *J. Reine Ang. Math.*, 136 (1909), 210-271.
- [11] H. JEFFREYS, An Invariant Form for the Prior Probability in Estimation Problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lon.*, Ser. A, 186 (1946), 453-461.
- [12] S. KULLBACK and R. A. LEIBLER, On Information and Sufficiency, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 22 (1951), 79-86.
- [13] P. KUMAR and I. J. TANEJA, Bounds on Generalized Relative Information Measures, *communicated* - 2004.
- [14] P. KUMAR and I. J. TANEJA, Unified Generalization of Relative Arithmetic { Geometric and Jensen { Shannon Divergence Measures, and Their Properties, under preparation.
- [15] K. PEARSON, On the Criterion that a given system of deviations from the probable in the case of correlated system of variables is such that it can be reasonably supposed to have arisen from random sampling, *Phil. Mag.*, 50 (1900), 157-172.
- [16] A. SGARRO, Informational Divergence and the Dissimilarity of Probability Distributions, *Stratton da Calcolo*, Vol. XV II (3) (1981), 293-302.
- [17] R. SIBSON, Information Radius, *Z. Wahrs. und verw. Geb.*, (14) (1969), 149-160.
- [18] I. J. TANEJA, On Generalized Information Measures and Their Applications, Chapter in: *Advances in Electronics and Electron Physics*, Ed. P. W. Hawkes, Academic Press, 76 (1989), 327-413.
- [19] I. J. TANEJA, New Developments in Generalized Information Measures, Chapter in: *Advances in Imaging and Electron Physics*, Ed. P. W. Hawkes, 91 (1995), 37-135.

- [20] I.J. TANEJA, Generalized Information Measures and their Applications, on line book: <http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/~taneja/book/book.html>, 2001.
- [21] I.J. TANEJA, Generalized Relative Information and Information Inequalities, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 5 (1) (2004), Art.21, pp. 1-19.
- [22] I.J. TANEJA, Bounds on Triangular Discrimination, Harmonic Mean and Symmetric Chi-square Divergences, 2003 – communicated.
- [23] I.J. TANEJA, Relative Divergence Measures and Information Inequalities { To appear in: Inequality Theory and Applications, Volume 4, Y.J. Cho, J.K. Kim and S.S. Dragomir (Eds.), Nova Science Publishers, Inc. Huntington, New York, 2004.
- [24] I.J. TANEJA, On a Difference of Jensen Inequality and its Applications to Mean Divergence Measures { RGMIA Research Report Collection, <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, 7 (4) (2004), Art. 16.
- [25] I.J. TANEJA, Non-Symmetric Divergence Measures and Inequalities, 2004 – communicated.
- [26] I.J. TANEJA and P. KUMAR, Relative Information of Type s, Csiszar f Divergence, and Information Inequalities, Information Sciences, 166 (1-4) (2004), 105-125. Also in: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 6 (3) (2003), Article 12.

Inder Jeet Taneja, Departamento de Matematica, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, 88.040-900 Florianopolis, SC, Brazil

E-mail address: taneja@mtm.ufsc.br

URL: <http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/~taneja>

Pranesh Kumar, Mathematics Department, College of Science and Management, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George BC V2N 4Z9, Canada.

E-mail address: kumarp@unbc.ca

URL: <http://web.unbc.ca/~kumarp>