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#### Abstract

In this paper we have considered a difference of Jensen's inequality for convex functions and proved some of its properties. In particular, we have obtained results for Csiszár [5] $f$-divergence. A result is established that allow us to compare two measures under certain conditions. By the application of this result we have obtained a new inequality for the well known means such as arithmetic, geometric and harmonic. Some divergence measures based on these means are also defined.


## 1. Jensen Difference

Let

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \mid p_{i}>0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1\right\}, n \geqslant 2
$$

be the set of all complete finite discrete probability distributions.
Let $f: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on the interval $I, x_{i} \in{ }_{I}^{o}\left({ }^{o}\right.$ is the interior of $I)$. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$, then it is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above inequality is famous as Jensen inequality. If $f$ is concave, the inequality sign changes.

Let us consider the following Jensen difference:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f}(\lambda, X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here below we shall give two theorems giving properties of Jensen difference.
Theorem 1. Let $f: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on the interval $I$, $x_{i} \in \stackrel{o}{I}(\stackrel{o}{I}$ is the interior of $I), \lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$. If $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in \stackrel{o}{I}$ and $\eta_{1} \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant \eta_{2}$, $\forall i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then we have the inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant F_{f}(\lambda, X) \leqslant L_{f}(\lambda, X) \leqslant Z_{f}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{f}(\lambda, X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i} f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{f}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}\right)\left[f^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above theorem is due to Dragomir [10]. It has been applied by many authors [9, 13]. The measure $F(\lambda, X)$ has been extensively studied by Burbea and Rao [3, 4]. As a consequence of above theorem we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. For all $a, b, v, \omega \in(0, \infty)$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leqslant \frac{v f(a)+\omega f(b)}{v+\omega}-f\left(\frac{v a+\omega b}{v+\omega}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& \leqslant \frac{v a f^{\prime}(a)+\omega b f^{\prime}(b)}{v+\omega}-\left(\frac{v a+\omega b}{v+\omega}\right)\left(\frac{v f^{\prime}(a)+\omega f^{\prime}(b)}{v+\omega}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{4}(b-a)\left(f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem [1, by taking $\lambda_{1}=\frac{v}{v+\omega}, \lambda_{2}=\frac{\omega}{v+\omega}, \lambda_{3}=\ldots=\lambda_{n}=0$, $x_{1}=a, x_{2}=b, x_{2}=\ldots=x_{n}=0$.

Now we shall give some examples of Theorem (1)
Example 1. For all $x \in(0, \infty)$, let us consider a function

$$
f_{s}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1-x^{s}}{s}, & s \neq 0  \tag{7}\\ -\ln x, & s=0\end{cases}
$$

We can easily check that the function $f_{s}(x)$ is convex in $(0, \infty)$ for all $s \leqslant 1$. Let there exist $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ such that $\eta_{1} \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant \eta_{2}, \forall i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Applying Theorem 1 for the function $f_{s}(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant F_{s}(\lambda, X) \leqslant Z_{s}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right), s \leqslant 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{s}(\lambda, X)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\frac{1}{s}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)^{s}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{s}\right], & s \neq 0 \\
\ln \left(\frac{A(\lambda, X)}{G(\lambda, X)}\right), & s=0 \\
A(\lambda, X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i} \\
G(\lambda, X)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\lambda_{i}}
\end{array} .\right. \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{s}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{4}\left(\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}\right)\left(\eta_{1}^{s-1}-\eta_{2}^{s-1}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A(\lambda, X)}{G(\lambda, X)} \leqslant \exp \left[\frac{\left(\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}\right)^{2}}{4 \eta_{1} \eta_{2}}\right], \eta_{1} \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant \eta_{2}, \forall i=1,2, . . n \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result (13) is due to Dragomir [10]. The following proposition is a particular case of the inequalities (6) and gives bounds on Burbea and Rao's [3, 4] Jensen difference divergence measure.

Proposition 1. Let $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function. Then for all $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{f\left(p_{i}\right)+f\left(q_{i}\right)}{2}-f\left(\frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2}\right)\right] \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)\left[f^{\prime}\left(p_{i}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(q_{i}\right)\right] . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Take $\omega=v=\frac{1}{2}$ in (6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{4}(b-a)\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right] . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replace in (15), $a$ by $p_{i}$ and $b$ by $q_{i}$, and sum over all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, we get the required result.

Example 2. Let us consider a convex function

$$
\phi_{s}(x)= \begin{cases}{[s(s-1)]^{-1}\left[x^{s}-1-s(x-1)\right],} & s \neq 0,1  \tag{16}\\ x-1-\ln x, & s=0 \\ 1-x+x \ln x, & s=1\end{cases}
$$

for all $x \in(0, \infty)$ and $s \in(-\infty, \infty)$. Then from (14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \mathcal{W}_{s}(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{V}_{s}(P \| Q) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{W}_{s}(P \| Q)= \begin{cases}I_{s}(P \| Q)=[s(s-1)]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{p_{i}^{s}+q_{i}^{s}}{2}-\left(\frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2}\right)^{s}\right], & s \neq 0,1  \tag{18}\\ I_{0}(P \| Q)=\ln \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{p_{i}+q_{i}}{2 \sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}}\right)\right], & s=0 \\ I(P \| Q)=H\left(\frac{P+Q}{2}\right)-\frac{H(P)+H(Q)}{2}, & s=1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{V}_{s}(P \| Q)= \begin{cases}J_{s}(P \| Q)=\frac{1}{(s-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)\left(p_{i}^{s-1}-q_{i}^{s-1}\right), & s \neq 0,1  \tag{19}\\ J_{0}(P \| Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}{p_{i} q_{i}}, & s=0 \\ J(P \| Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right), & s=1\end{cases}
$$

The expression $H(P)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \ln p_{i}$, appearing in (18) is the well known Shannon's entropy. The expression $J(P \| Q)$ appearing in (19) is Jeffreys-Kullback-Leibler's J-divergence (ref. Jeffreys [16] and Kullback and Leibler [17]). The expression $J_{s}(P \| Q)$ is due to Burbea and Rao [3]. The measures (18) and (19) has been studied by Burbea and Rao [3] only for positive values of the parameters. Some studies on these generalised measures can be seen in Taneja [18, 20]. Here we have presented them for all $s \in(-\infty, \infty)$. The function given in (16) is due to Cressie and Read [7].

Proposition 2. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable convex and normalized i.e., $f(1)=0$. If $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$, are such that $0<r \leqslant \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \leqslant R<\infty, \forall i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, for some $r$ and $R$ with $0<r \leqslant 1 \leqslant R<\infty$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant C_{f}(P \| Q) \leqslant E_{C_{f}}(P \| Q) \leqslant A_{C_{f}}(r, R) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant C_{f}(P \| Q) \leqslant B_{C_{f}}(r, R) \leqslant A_{C_{f}}(r, R) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{C_{f}}(r, R)=\frac{1}{4}(R-r)\left(f^{\prime}(R)-f^{\prime}(r)\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{C_{f}}(r, R)=\frac{(R-1) f(r)+(1-r) f(R)}{R-r} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities (20) follow in view of (3). The inequalities (21) follow in view of (6). For details refer to Taneja [22]. The above proposition is an improvement over the work of Dragomir [11, 12]. The measure (22) is known as Csiszár's [5] f-divergence.

Example 3. Under the conditions of Proposition 2, the inequalities (20) and (21) for the function (16) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \Phi_{s}(P \| Q) \leqslant E_{\Phi_{s}}(P \| Q) \leqslant A_{\Phi_{s}}(r, R) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \Phi_{s}(P \| Q) \leqslant B_{\Phi_{s}}(r, R) \leqslant A_{\Phi_{s}}(r, R) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Phi_{s}(P \| Q)= \begin{cases}K_{s}(P \| Q)=[s(s-1)]^{-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{s} q_{i}^{1-s}-1\right], & s \neq 0,1  \tag{28}\\ K(Q \| P)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \ln \left(\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}\right), & s=0 \\ K(P \| Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right), & s=1,\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{\Phi_{s}}(P \| Q)= \begin{cases}(s-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)^{s-1}, & s \neq 1, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right), & s=1,\end{cases}  \tag{29}\\
A_{\Phi_{s}}(r, R)=\frac{1}{4} \begin{cases}\frac{(R-r)\left(R^{s-1}-r^{s-1}\right)}{4(s-1)}, & s \neq 1, \\
\frac{1}{4}(R-r) \ln \left(\frac{R}{r}\right), & s=1,\end{cases}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
B_{\Phi_{s}}(r, R)= \begin{cases}\frac{(R-1)\left(r^{s}-1\right)+(1-r)\left(R^{s}-1\right)}{(R-r) s(s-1)}, & s \neq 0,1  \tag{31}\\ \frac{(R-1) \ln \frac{1}{r}+(1-r) \ln \frac{1}{R}}{(R-r)}, & s=0, \\ \frac{(R-1) r \ln r+(1-r) R \ln R}{(R-r)}, & s=1\end{cases}
$$

The measure $K(P \| Q)$ appearing in (28) is the well known Kullback-Leibler's [17] relative information. The measure $\Phi_{s}(P \| Q)$ given in (28) has been extensively studied in [21, [23].

Theorem 2. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}:[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be twice differentiable functions on $(a, b)$ and there are $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \leqslant \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)} \leqslant \beta, \forall x \in(a, b), f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)>0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{i} \in[a, b]$ and $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha F_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X) \leqslant F_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X) & \leqslant \beta F_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X) .  \tag{33}\\
\alpha\left[L_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)-F_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)\right] & \leqslant L_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X)-F_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X)  \tag{34}\\
& \leqslant \beta\left[L_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)-F_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left[Z_{f_{2}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)-F_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)\right] & \leqslant Z_{f_{1}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)-F_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X)  \tag{35}\\
& \leqslant \beta\left[Z_{f_{2}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)-F_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=f_{1}(x)-\alpha f_{2}(x), \quad \forall x \in[a, b] \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy the condition (32). Then the function $g$ is twice differentiable on $(a, b)$. This gives

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=f_{1}^{\prime}(x)-\alpha f_{2}^{\prime}(x)
$$

and

$$
g^{\prime \prime}(x)=f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)-\alpha f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)=f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)}-\alpha\right) \geqslant 0, \forall x \in(a, b)
$$

The above expression shows that $g$ is convex on $[a, b]$. Applying Jensen inequality for the convex function $g$ one gets

$$
g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} g\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
f_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)-\alpha f_{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left[f_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)-\alpha f_{2}(x)\right]
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} f_{2}\left(x_{i}\right)-f_{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)\right] \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} f_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)-f_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression (37) gives the l.h.s. of the inequalities (331).
Again consider the mapping $k:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x)=\beta f_{2}(x)-f_{1}(x) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and proceeding on similar lines as before, we get the proof of the r.h.s. of the inequalities (331).

Now we shall prove the inequalities (34). Applying the inequalities (3) for the convex function $g$ given by (36), we get

$$
F_{g}(\lambda, X) \leqslant L_{g}(\lambda, X) \leqslant Z_{g}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X)-\alpha F_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X) & \leqslant L_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X)-\alpha L_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)  \tag{39}\\
& \leqslant Z_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X)-\alpha F_{f_{2}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Simplifying the first inequality of (39) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left[L_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)-F_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)\right] \leqslant L_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X)-F_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again simplifying the last inequality of (39) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left[Z_{f_{2}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)-F_{f_{2}}(\lambda, X)\right] \leqslant Z_{f_{1}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)-F_{f_{1}}(\lambda, X) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expressions (40) and (41) complete the first part of the inequalities (34) and (35) respectively. The last part of the inequalities (34) and (35) follows by considering the function $k(x)$ given by (38) over the inequalities (3).

Particular cases of above theorem can be seen in [1, [8, (9, [14]. Applications of the above theorem for the Csiszár's $f$-divergence are given in the following proposition.

Proposition 3. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}: I \subset \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two normalized convex mappings, i.e., $f_{1}(1)=f_{2}(1)=0$ and suppose the assumptions:
(i) $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are twice differentiable on $(r, R)$, where $0<r \leqslant 1 \leqslant R<\infty$;
(ii) there exists the real constants $\alpha, \beta$ such that $\alpha<\beta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \leqslant \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)} \leqslant \beta, f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)>0, \quad \forall x \in(r, R) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$ are discrete probability distributions satisfying the assumption

$$
0<r \leqslant \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \leqslant R<\infty
$$

then we have the inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha C_{f_{2}}(P \| Q) \leqslant C_{f_{1}}(P \| Q) \leqslant \beta C_{f_{2}}(P \| Q),  \tag{43}\\
& \alpha\left[E_{f_{2}}(P \| Q)-C_{f_{2}}(P \| Q)\right] \leqslant E_{f_{1}}(P \| Q)-C_{f_{1}}(P \| Q)  \tag{44}\\
& \leqslant \beta\left[E_{f_{2}}(P \| Q)-C_{f_{2}}(P \| Q)\right] \\
& \alpha\left[A_{f_{2}}(r, R)-C_{f_{2}}(P \| Q)\right] \leqslant A_{f_{1}}(r, R)-C_{f_{1}}(P \| Q)  \tag{45}\\
& \leqslant \beta\left[A_{f_{2}}(r, R)-C_{f_{2}}(P \| Q)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left[B_{f_{2}}(r, R)-C_{f_{2}}(P \| Q)\right] & \leqslant B_{f_{1}}(r, R)-C_{f_{1}}(P \| Q)  \tag{46}\\
& \leqslant \beta\left[B_{f_{2}}(r, R)-C_{f_{2}}(P \| Q)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of the Theorem 2.

## 2. Applications to Mean Divergence Measures

Let us consider the following mean of order $t$ :

$$
D_{t}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{a^{t}+b^{t}}{2}\right)^{1 / t}, & t \neq 0  \tag{47}\\ \sqrt{a b}, & t=0 \\ \max \{a, b\}, & t=\infty \\ \min \{a, b\}, & t=-\infty\end{cases}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{-1}(a, b)=H(a, b)=\frac{2}{\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}}=\frac{2 a b}{a+b}=A\left(a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \\
& D_{0}(a, b)=G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}=\sqrt{A(a, b) H(a, b)}, \\
& D_{1 / 2}(a, b)=N_{1}(a, b)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}}{2}\right)^{2}=A(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
D_{1}(a, b)=A(a, b)=\frac{a+b}{2},
$$

where $H(a, b), G(a, b)$ and $A(a, b)$ are the well known harmonic, geometric and arithmetic means respectively. It is well know [2] that the mean of order $t$ given in (47) is monotonically increasing in $t$, then we can write

$$
D_{-1}(a, b) \leqslant D_{0}(a, b) \leqslant D_{1 / 2}(a, b) \leqslant D_{1}(a, b)
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(a, b) \leqslant G(a, b) \leqslant N_{1}(a, b) \leqslant A(a, b) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easily check that the function $f(x)=-x^{1 / 2}$ is convex in $(0, \infty)$. This allows us to conclude the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}}{2} \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{a+b}{2}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (49), we can easily derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \leqslant\left(\frac{\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}}{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{\frac{a+b}{2}}\right) \leqslant \frac{a+b}{2} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the expressions (48) and (50) lead us to following inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(a, b) \leqslant G(a, b) \leqslant N_{1}(a, b) \leqslant N_{2}(a, b) \leqslant A(a, b) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
N_{2}(a, b)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}}{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{\frac{a+b}{2}}\right) .
$$

Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$. In (51), replace $a$ by $p_{i}$ and $b$ by $q_{i}$ sum over all $i=1,2, \ldots n$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(P \| Q) \leqslant G(P \| Q) \leqslant N_{1}(P \| Q) \leqslant N_{2}(P \| Q) \leqslant 1 . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on inequalities (52), we shall build some mean divergence measures. Let us consider the following differences:

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{A G}(P \| Q) & =1-G(P \| Q)  \tag{53}\\
M_{A H}(P \| Q) & =1-H(P \| Q)  \tag{54}\\
M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q) & =1-N_{2}(P \| Q)  \tag{55}\\
M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q) & =N_{2}(P \| Q)-G(P \| Q) \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q)=N_{2}(P \| Q)-N_{1}(P \| Q) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easily verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{A G}(P \| Q) & =1-G(P \| Q)  \tag{58}\\
& =2\left[N_{1}(P \| Q)-G(P \| Q)\right]:=2 M_{N_{1} G}(P \| Q) \\
& =2\left[1-N_{1}(P \| Q)\right]:=2 M_{A N_{1}}(P \| Q) . \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

We can also write

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{A G}(P \| Q)=1-G(P \| Q):=h(P \| Q) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{A H}(P \| Q)=1-H(P \| Q):=\frac{1}{2} \Delta(P \| Q) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(P \| Q)$ is the well known Hellinger's [15 discrimination and $\Delta(P \| Q)$ is known by triangular discrimination. These two measures are well known in the literature of statistics. The measure $M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q)$ is new and has been recently studied by Taneja [22].

Now we shall prove the convexity of these measures. This is based on the well known result due to Csiszár [5, 6].

Result 1. If the function $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and normalized, i.e., $f(1)=0$, then the $f$-divergence, $C_{f}(P \| Q)$ is nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distribution $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_{n} \times \Gamma_{n}$.

Example 4. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A H}(x)=\frac{(x-1)^{2}}{2(x+1)}, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (15), then $C_{f}(P \| Q)=M_{A H}(P \| Q)$, where $M_{A H}(P \| Q)$ is as given by 54).
Moreover,

$$
f_{A H}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{(x-1)(x+3)}{2(x+1)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A H}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{4}{(x+1)^{3}}>0, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 5. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A G}(x)=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x}-1)^{2}, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (15), then $C_{f}(P \| Q)=M_{A G}(P \| Q)$, where $M_{A G}(P \| Q)$ is as given by (53).
Moreover,

$$
f_{A G}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{x}-1}{2 \sqrt{x}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A G}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{4 x \sqrt{x}}>0, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 6. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N_{2} N_{1}}(x)=\frac{(x+1) \sqrt{2(x+1)}-1-x-2 \sqrt{x}}{4}, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (15), then we have $C_{f}(P \| Q)=M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q)$, where $M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q)$ is as given by (57).
Moreover,

$$
f_{N_{2} N_{1}}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2 x+1+\sqrt{x}-(\sqrt{x}+1) \sqrt{2(x+1)}}{6 \sqrt{x}(x+1)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{N_{2} N_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =\frac{-2 x-2 x^{5 / 2}+x(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}}{8 x^{5 / 2}(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}}  \tag{67}\\
& =\frac{x\left[(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}-2\left(x^{3 / 2}+1\right)\right]}{8 x^{5 / 2}(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $(x+1)^{3 / 2} \geqslant x^{3 / 2}+1, \forall x \in(0, \infty)$ and $2^{3 / 2} \geqslant 2$, then obviously, $f_{N_{2} N_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(x) \geqslant 0$, $\forall x \in(0, \infty)$.
Example 7. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N_{2} G}(x)=\frac{(\sqrt{x}+1) \sqrt{2(x+1)}-4 x}{4}, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (15), then $C_{f}(P \| Q)=M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q)$, where $M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q)$ is as given by (56).
Moreover,

$$
f_{N_{2} G}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2 x+1+\sqrt{x}-2 \sqrt{2(x+1)}}{4 \sqrt{2 x(x+1)}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N_{2} G}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}-x^{3 / 2}-1}{4 x^{3 / 2}(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(x+1)^{3 / 2} \geqslant x^{3 / 2}+1, \forall x \in(0, \infty)$ and $2^{3 / 2} \geqslant 1$, then obviously, $f_{N_{2} G}^{\prime \prime}(x) \geqslant 0$, $\forall x \in(0, \infty)$.

Example 8. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A N_{2}}(x)=\frac{2(x+1)-(\sqrt{x}+1) \sqrt{2(x+1)}}{4}, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (3.1), then $C_{f}(P \| Q)=M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q)$, where $M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q)$ is as given by (55).
Moreover,

$$
f_{A N_{2}}^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{2 x+1+\sqrt{x}-2 \sqrt{2 x(x+1)}}{4 \sqrt{2(x+1)}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A N_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1+x^{3 / 2}}{8 x^{3 / 2}(x+1) \sqrt{2 x+2}}>0, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above examples [4] the generating function $f_{(\cdot)}(1)=0$ and the second derivative is positive for all $x \in(0, \infty)$. This proves the nonegativity and convexity of the measures (531)-(57) in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_{n} \times \Gamma_{n}$.

The inequality (52) also admits more nonnegative differences, but here we have considered only the convex ones.

Based on the Proposition2, we can obtain bounds on the mean divergence measures, but we omit these details here. Now we shall apply the inequalities (34) given in Proposition 1.3 to obtain inequalities among the measures (53)-(57).

Theorem 3. The following inequalities among the six mean divergences hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{8} M_{A H}(P \| Q) \leqslant M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{3} M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q)  \tag{72}\\
\leqslant \frac{1}{4} M_{A G}(P \| Q) \leqslant M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q)
\end{gather*}
$$

The proof of the above theorem is based on the following propositions, where we have proved each part separately.

Proposition 4. The following inequality hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{8} M_{A H}(P \| Q) \leqslant M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{A H_{-} N_{2} N_{1}}(x) & =\frac{f_{A H}^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f_{N_{2} N_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(x)}  \tag{74}\\
& =\frac{32 x^{5 / 2}(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}}{(x+1)^{3}\left[-2 x-2 x^{5 / 2}+x(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}\right]}, x \in(0, \infty)
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{A H}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ and $f_{N_{2} N_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ are as given by (63) and (67) respectively.

From (74), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{A H_{-} N_{2} N_{1}}^{\prime}(x)=- & \frac{48 \sqrt{2 x(x+1)}}{(x+1)^{4}\left[-2 x-2 x^{5 / 2}+x(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}\right]^{2}} \times \\
& \times\left[4 x^{2}\left(1-x^{5 / 2}\right)+x^{2}(x-1)(2 x+2)^{5 / 2}\right] \\
= & \frac{48 x^{2}(x+1)(1-\sqrt{x}) \sqrt{2 x(x+1)}}{(x+1)^{4}\left[-2 x-2 x^{5 / 2}+x(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}\right]^{2}} \times \\
& \times\left[\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{x}+1)(x+1)^{3 / 2}-\left(x^{2}+x^{3 / 2}+x+\sqrt{x}+1\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sqrt{2(x+1)} \geqslant \sqrt{x}+1, \forall x \in(0, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{2}(x+1)^{3 / 2}(\sqrt{x}+1) & \geqslant(\sqrt{x}+1)^{2}(x+1) \\
& \geqslant x^{2}+x^{3 / 2}+x+\sqrt{x}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we conclude that

$$
g_{A H_{-} N_{2} N_{1}}^{\prime}(x) \begin{cases}<0, & x>1  \tag{75}\\ >0, & x<1\end{cases}
$$

In view of (75), we conclude that the function $g_{A H_{-} N_{2} N_{1}}(x)$ is increasing in $x \in(0,1)$ and decreasing in $x \in(1, \infty)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup _{x \in(0, \infty)} g_{A H_{-} N_{2} N_{1}}(x)=g_{A H_{-} N_{2} N_{1}}(1)=8 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the inequalities (34) for the measures $M_{A H}(P \| Q)$ and $M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q)$ along with (76) we get the required result.
Proposition 5. The following inequality hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{3} M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q) . \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{N_{2} N_{1}-N_{2} G}(x) & =\frac{f_{N_{2} N_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f_{N_{2} G}^{\prime \prime}(x)}  \tag{78}\\
& =\frac{-2 x-2 x^{5 / 2}+x(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}}{2 x\left[1+x^{3 / 2}-(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}\right]}, x \in(0, \infty),
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{N_{2} N_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ and $f_{N_{2} G}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ are as given by (67) and (69) respectively.
From (78), we have

$$
g_{N_{2} N_{1}-N_{2} G_{1}}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{3 x^{2} \sqrt{2 x+2}(1-\sqrt{x})}{2 x^{2}\left[-1-x^{3 / 2}+(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}\right]^{2}} \begin{cases}<0, & x>1,  \tag{79}\\ >0, & x<1 .\end{cases}
$$

In view of (79), we conclude that the function $g_{N_{2} N_{1-} N_{2} G}(x)$ is increasing in $x \in(0,1)$ and decreasing in $x \in(1, \infty)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup _{x \in(0, \infty)} g_{N_{2} N_{1-} N_{2} G}(x)=g_{N_{2} N_{1-} N_{2} G}(1)=\frac{1}{3} . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the inequalities (34) for the measures $M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q)$ and $M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q)$ along with (80) we get the required result.

Proposition 6. The following inequality hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{3}{4} M_{A G}(P \| Q) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N_{2} G_{-} A G}(x)=\frac{f_{N_{2} G}^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f_{A G}^{\prime \prime}(x)}=-\frac{1+x^{3 / 2}-(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}}{(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}}, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{N_{2} G}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ and $f_{A G}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ are as given by (69) and (65) respectively.
From (82), we have

$$
g_{N_{2} G_{-} A G}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{3(1-\sqrt{x})}{(2 x+2)^{5 / 2}} \begin{cases}\leqslant 0, & x \geqslant 1,  \tag{83}\\ \geqslant 0, & x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

In view of (831), we conclude that the function $g_{A H_{-} N_{2} N_{1}}(x)$ is increasing in $x \in(0,1)$ and decreasing in $x \in(1, \infty)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup _{x \in(0, \infty)} g_{N_{2} G_{-} A G}(x)=g_{N_{2} G_{-} A G}(1)=\frac{3}{4} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the inequalities (34) for the measures $M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q)$ and $M_{A G}(P \| Q)$ along with (84) we get the required result.

Proposition 7. The following inequality hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} M_{A G}(P \| Q) \leqslant M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A G_{-} A N_{2}}(x)=\frac{f_{A G}^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f_{A N_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(x)}=\frac{(2 x+2)^{3 / 2}}{(\sqrt{x}+1)(x-\sqrt{x}+1)}, x \in(0, \infty) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{A G}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ and $f_{A N_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ are as given by (65) and (71) respectively.
From (86), we have

$$
g_{A G_{-} A N_{2}}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{3(1-\sqrt{x}) \sqrt{2 x+2}}{(\sqrt{x}+1)^{2}(x-\sqrt{x}+1)^{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\leqslant 0, & x \geqslant 1  \tag{87}\\
\geqslant 0, & x \leqslant 1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

In view of (87), we conclude that the function $g_{A G_{-} A N_{2}}(x)$ is increasing in $x \in(0,1)$ and decreasing in $x \in(1, \infty)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup _{x \in(0, \infty)} g_{A G_{-} A N_{2}}(x)=g_{A G_{-} A N_{2}}(1)=4 \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the inequalities (34) for the measures $M_{A G}(P \| Q)$ and $M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q)$ along with (88) we get the required result.

Combining the results given in the Propositions [4] we get the proof of the theorem. The expression (73) can also be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{16} \Delta(P \| Q) \leqslant M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{3} M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q)  \tag{89}\\
\leqslant \frac{1}{4} h(P \| Q) \leqslant M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 1. (i) The classical divergence measures $I(P \| Q)$ and $J(P \| Q)$ appearing in the Section 1 can be written in terms of Kullback-Leibler's relative information as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(P \| Q)=\frac{1}{2}\left[K\left(P \| \frac{P+Q}{2}\right)+K\left(Q \| \frac{P+Q}{2}\right)\right] \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(P \| Q)=K(P \| Q)+K(Q \| P) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we can write

$$
J(P \| Q)=4[I(P \| Q)+T(P \| Q)]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(P \| Q)= & \frac{1}{2}\left[K\left(\frac{P+Q}{2} \| P\right)+K\left(\frac{P+Q}{2} \| Q\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} A\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right) \ln \left(\frac{A\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)}{G\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is the arithmetic and geometric mean divergence measure due to Taneja [19].
(ii) Recently, Taneja [22] proved the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \Delta(P \| Q) \leqslant I(P \| Q) \leqslant h(P \| Q) \leqslant 4 M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{8} J(P \| Q) \leqslant T(P \| Q) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the lines of the Propositions 4.7, we can also show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} I(P \| Q) \leqslant M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus combining (89) with (92), (94) and (95), we get the following inequalities among the classical and mean divergence measures:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{16} \Delta(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{4} I(P \| Q) \leqslant M_{N_{2} N_{1}}(P \| Q)  \tag{96}\\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad \frac{1}{3} M_{N_{2} G}(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{4} h(P \| Q) \leqslant M_{A N_{2}}(P \| Q) \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{1}{32} J(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{4} T(P \| Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{16} J(P \| Q)
\end{align*}
$$
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