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## A bstract

The (parallel linear) transports in tensor spaces generated by derivations of the tensor algebra along paths are axiom atically described. C ertain their properties are investigated. T ransports along paths de ned by derivations of the tensor algeb ra over a di erentiable $m$ anifold are considered.

## 1. $\mathbb{I N}$ TROD U C T IO N

In [6] we have axiom atically described at linear transports over a given differentiable m anifold and it tums out that the set of these transports coincides w ith the one consisting ofparalleltransports generated by at linear connection. In the present investigation, on this basis, the $m$ ain ideas of [6] are appropriately generalized and we com e to a class of linear transports (along paths in tensor

[^0]bundles over a m aniffld) which contains not only the parallel transports generated by arbitrary linear connections, but also the ones generated by arbitrary derivations (along paths).

In Sect. 2 the transports generated by derivations along paths, called here $S$-transports, are axiom atically de ned on the basis of restriction of at linear transports along paths; also som e basic properties of the $S$-transports are presented. Sect. 3 is devoted to di erent relationships betw een the (axiom atically de ned) $S$-transports and derivations along paths. The $m$ ain result here is the equivalence betw een the sets of these ob jects in a sense that to any $S$-transport there can be put into correspondence a unique derivation along paths and vige versa. In Sect. 4 the problem for the connections betw een $S$-transports and derivations of the tensor algebra over a di erentiable $m$ anifold is investigated. In particular, it is show $n$ how to any derivation the can be put into correspondence an $S$-transport which in certain "nice" cases tums out to be unique. A lso it is show $n$ how som ew idely used concrete transports along paths (curves), such as parallel, Ferm i-W alker, Ferm ietc., can be obtained as special cases from the generalS transport. T he paper ends w ith som e concluding rem arks in Sect. 5.
2. RESTRICTION ON THE PATH OF FLAT LINEAR TRANSPORTAND ITSAXIOMATICDESCRIPTON. S-TRANSPORTS

In this work $M$ denotes a real, of class $C^{1}$ di erentiable $m$ anifold [8]. The tensor space of type ( $p ; q$ ) over $M$ at $x 2 \mathrm{M}$ will be written as $T_{x}^{p ; q}(M)$. By de nition $T_{x}(M):=T_{x}^{1 ; 0}$ and $T_{x}(M):=T_{x}^{0 ; 1}(M)$ are, respectively, the tangent and cotangent spaces to $M$ and $T_{x}^{0 ; 0}(M):=R$. By $J$ and $: J!M$ wedenote, respectively, an arbitrary real interval and a path in $M$.

Let $M$ be endow ed with a at linear transport L [6] and the path :J! M be w ithout self-intersections. A long we de ne the map S by

$$
S \quad:(s ; t) T S_{s!t}:=L_{(s)!} \quad \text { (t) } ; \quad(s ; t) 2 J \quad J: \quad(2: 1)
$$

From the basic properties of at linear transports (see de nition 2.1 from [6]), we easily derive:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{s!t}\left(T_{(s)}^{p ; q}(\mathbb{M})\right) \quad T \underset{(t)}{p ; q}(\mathbb{M}) ; \quad \text { s;t2 J; (2:2) } \\
& S_{s!t}\left(A+A^{0}\right)=S_{s!} t^{A}+S_{s!} t^{A^{0}} ; \quad ; 2 R ; A ; A^{0} 2 T_{(s)}^{p ; q}(M) ;  \tag{2:3}\\
& S_{s!t}\left(A_{1} \quad A_{2}\right)=\left(S_{s!} t^{A_{1}}\right) \quad\left(S_{s!} \mathrm{t}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} 2 \mathrm{~T}_{(\mathrm{s})}^{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}}(\mathbb{M}) ; a=1 ; 2 ;  \tag{2:4}\\
& S_{s!t} C=C \quad S!t ; \quad(2: 5) \\
& S_{t!r} S_{s!t}=S_{s!r} ; r ; s ; t 2 \mathrm{~J} ; \quad(2: 6) \\
& S_{s!s}=i d ; \quad(2: 7)
\end{align*}
$$

where C is any contraction operator and id is the identity m ap (in this case of the tensor algebra at (s)).

If for some s;t 2 J , we have $(\mathrm{s})=(\mathrm{t})$ (if $\mathrm{s} \in \mathrm{t}$ this m eans that has sel ntersection (s)), then from eq:(2:6) of ref. [6], we get

$$
S_{s!t}=i d \quad \text { if } \quad(s)=(t) ; \quad s ; t 2 \mathrm{~J} ; \quad(2: 8)
$$

which characterizes the at case considered in [6] (see also the rem ark after the proof of proposition 2.1).

De nition 2.1. An $S$ transport (along paths) in $M$ is amap $S$ which to any path :J! M puts into correspondence amap $S$; $S$ transport along, such that $S$ : $(s ; t) 7 S_{s!}$ for $(s ; t) 2 J J$, where the $m$ ap $S_{s!}$, an $S$ transport along from $s$ to $t, m$ aps the tensor algebra at ( $s$ ) into the tensor algebra at
( t ) and satis es (2:2) (2:7).
Above we saw that to any at linear transport over $M$ there corresponds an $S$-transport. The opposite is not, generally, true in a sense that if : J! M joints $x$ and $y ; x ; y 2 M$, i.e. $x=(s)$ and $y=(t)$ for somes;t $2 J$, then the m ap

$$
L_{x!y}=S_{s!t} ; \quad(s)=x \quad(t)=y ; \quad(2: 9)
$$

generally, depends on and is not a at linear transport from $x$ to $y$.
P roposition 2.1. The $m$ ap (2.9) is a at linear transport from $x$ to $y$ i in (2.9) the $S$ transport along every path $: J!M$ from $s$ to $t$ depends only on the initial and nalpoints (s) and (t), respectively, but not on the path itself.

Proof. Let for som e $S$-transport the $m a p$ (2.9) be a at linear transport from x to y . As the at linear transport is a parallel transport generated by a at linear connection [6], 辻 does not depend on the path along which it is perform ed [10]. Hence $S_{s!}$ t depends only on $(s)=x$ and $(t)=y$ but not on

A nd vice versa, if $S_{s!}$ depends only on (s) and ( t$)$ but not on , then from (2:3) (2:7) it follows that the $m$ ap (2.9) satis es (2:1) (2:6) from [6], and hence (2.9) is a at linear transport from $x$ to $y$
$R$ em ark. If the $S$ transport along a "product of paths" is a com position of the $S$ transports along the corresponding constituent paths, then it can be proved that (2.9) de nes a at linear transport i the $S$-transport in it satis es (2.8).

A lot of results conceming at linear transports over $M$ have corresponding analogs in the theory of $S$-transports. R oughly speaking, this transferring of resultsm ay be done by replacing points in $M$ with numbers in $J$ if the form er do not denote argum ents of tensor elds prim ary de ned on $M$; in the last case the points form $\mathrm{M} m$ ust be replaced by the corresponding points from (J) M.In particular, this is true for propositions 2:1 2:5 from [6], the analogs of which for $S$ transports $w$ ill be presented below as propositions $2: 2 \quad 2: 6$, respectively. The corresponding proofs will be om itted as they can, evidently, be obtained m utatis m utandis from the ones given in [6].

Let ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{S}$ be the restriction of some S -transport on a tensor bundle of type
 satis es (2:2) (2:7) (w ith ${ }_{q}^{p} S$ instead of $S$ ), so the set of the $m$ aps $f_{q}^{p} S ; p ; q \quad 0 g$
is equivalent to the $m$ ap $S . H$ ence $S$ splits into the $S$ transports ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{S}$ acting independently in di erent tensor bundles.

Proposition 2.2. The linear map ${\underset{q}{p} S_{s!t}: T_{(s)}^{p ; q}(M)!T_{(t)}^{p ; q}(M) ; s ; t 2 J}^{(N)}$ satis es (2.6) and (2.7) if and only if there exists linear isom onphism $s{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : $T_{(s)}^{p ; q}(\mathbb{M})!V ; s 2 J ; V$ being a vector space, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{s}!\mathrm{t}}={ }_{{ }_{\mathrm{q}}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad{ }^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \quad \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{~J}: \tag{2:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.3. If for ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} S_{s \text { ! }}$ the representation (2.10) holds (see the previous proposition) and $V$ is isom onph ic $w$ ith $V$ vector space, then the representation

$$
{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{s}!\mathrm{t}}={ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \quad \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{~J} ; \quad \text { (2:11) }
$$

where ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}: \underset{(\mathrm{s})}{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{M})!\mathrm{V}$; s 2 J are isom onphism s , is true $i$ there exists isom onphism $D: V$ ! $V$ such that

$$
{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{D} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \quad \mathrm{s} 2 \mathrm{~J}: \quad(2: 12)
$$

Hence on any xed tensorbundle every $S$-transport along from $s$ to $t$ decom poses into a com position ofm aps depending separately on $s$ and $t$ in conform ity w ith (2.10). The arbitrariness in this decom position is described by proposition 2.3 (see eq:(2:12)).

Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 are consequences of (2:2); (2:6) and (2.7). N ow we shall also take into account and $(2: 3) \quad(2: 5)$.

Putting in (2:4) $A_{1}=A_{2}=12 \mathrm{R}$, we get $S_{s!} t^{1}=1$ which, due to (2.3), is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{s!t}=; \quad 2 R: \tag{2:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f E_{i} j_{(s)} g$ and $f E^{i} j_{(s)} g$ be dual bases, respectively, in $T(s) M$ ) and $T_{\text {(s) }}$ along : $J!M$; 2 J . ( $T$ he Latin indices nun from 1 to $n:=\operatorname{dim}(M)$ and henceforth we assum e the sum $m$ ation rule from 1 to $n$ over repeated indioes.)

From (2 2) it follow $s$ that there exist uniquely de ned functions $H_{: j}^{i}(t ; s ;)$ and $H{ }_{i}^{: j}(t ; s ;) ; s ; t 2 J$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{s!t}\left(E_{j} j(s)\right)=H: j \\
S_{s!t}^{i}(t ; s ;) E_{i}^{j} j_{(t)} ; & (2: 14 a) \\
j(s))=H_{i}^{: j}(t ; s ;) E^{i} j(t): & (2: 14 b)
\end{array}
$$

By m eans of (2:4); (2:5) and (2.13) it can easily be shown (cf. the derivation of eq: (2:13) from [6]) that

$$
H_{: k}^{i}(t ; s ;) H \underset{j .}{: k}(t ; s ;)={ }_{j}^{i} \quad(2: 15)
$$

which, in a m atrix notation reads

$$
H_{: k}^{i}(t ; s ;) H \underset{j .}{\stackrel{k}{j}(t ; s ;)=I={ }_{j}^{i} ; \quad\left(2: 15^{0}\right), ~(t)}
$$

where ${ }_{j}^{i}$ are the $K$ ronecker deltas and as a rst $m$ atrix index the supenscript is considered.
 ponents ofbivectors (tw o-point vectors) inverse to one another [10], respectively, from $T_{(t)}(M) \quad T_{(s)}(M)$ and $T_{\text {(t) }}(M) \quad T(s)(M) . T$ he follow ing proposition show s that they uniquely de ne the action of the $S$ transport on any tensor.

Proposition 2.4. If $T=T_{j_{1}::: j_{q}}^{i_{1}:: \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{p}}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} \mathrm{j}_{(\mathrm{s})} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Ej}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}^{j_{1}} j_{(s)}$ ${ }^{j_{q}} \mathrm{Ej}_{(s)}$, then

$$
E_{k_{1}} \dot{j}(t) \quad k_{p_{p}} E j(t) \quad E^{l_{1}} \dot{j}(t) \quad{ }^{l_{q}} E j(t) \quad \text { (2:16) }
$$

If $f E_{i} \dot{k} g$ and $f e_{i} g$ are bases in $T_{x}(M)$ and $V$ respectively, then the $m$ atrix elem ents of ${ }_{0}^{1} F_{s}$;s 2 J are de ned by ${ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{j} \mathrm{j}_{(\mathrm{s})}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{s} ;) \mathrm{e}_{1}$. So, if we put $F(s ;):=F_{: j}^{i j}(s ;)$, then from (2.10) for $p=q+1=1$ and (2.16), we get

$$
H(t ; s ;):=H_{: k}^{i}(t ; s ;)=F^{1}(t ;) F(s ;) ; \quad s ; t 2 J: \quad(2: 17)
$$

This m atrix w ill be called the $m$ atrix of the considered $S$ transport.
Evidently (see proposition 2.3), in (2.17) the matrix F (s; ) is de ned up to a constant along left multiplier, i.e. up to a change

$$
F(s ;)!D \quad F(s ;) ; \operatorname{det}(D) \not 0 ; 1: \quad(2: 18)
$$

Proposition $2: 5 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~m}$ ap $S_{s!}$ t of the tensor algebra at (s) into the tensor algebra at $(t)$ is an $S$-transport from $s$ to $t$ along $i$ in any local basis its action is given by (2.16) in which the matrices $H_{: k}^{i}(t ; s ;)$ and $H \underset{j}{\mathrm{j}} .(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{s} ;$ ) are inverse to one another, i.e. (2.15) holds, and the decom position (2.17) is valid.

P roposition 2.6. Every di erentiable $m$ anifold adm its $S$ transports.

## 3. THE EQUIVALENCE BETW EEN S-TRANSPORTS

 AND DERIVATIONSALONG PATHSLet in $M$ an $S$-transport $S$ be given along paths, :J! $M$ be $\mathrm{aC}^{1}$ path and $T$ be aC ${ }^{1}$ tensor eld on ( $J$ ). ToS we associate amap D such that D : 7 D , where $D \mathrm{~m}$ aps the $C^{1}$ tensor elds on ( $J$ ) into the tensor elds on $(J)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(\mathrm{D} T)(\mathrm{s})):=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~T}:=\lim _{!} \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{s}+}!\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{T}}((\mathrm{~s}+)) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~s})\right) \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

forsis+ 2 J .
H enceforth for the lim it in (3.1) to exist, we suppose $S$ to be of class $C^{1}$ in a sense that such is its m atrix H ( $t$; s ; ) w ith respect to t (or, equivalently, to s ; see below (3.4) and (3.5)).

A s a consequence of (2:7)eq:(3:1) can be w ritten also as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{s} T=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{@}\left[S_{s+} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~T}((\mathrm{~s}+))\right]_{=0}^{0} \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.1.Them ap D is a derivation of the restriction of the tensor algebra over $M$ on ( $J$ ).

Proof. It can easily be veri ed that from $\left(3: 1^{0}\right) ;(2: 3) ;(2: 2) ;(2: 5)$ and (2.4) it follow s respectively that $D$ is an $R$-linear, type preserving $m$ ap of the restriction of the tensor algebra over $M$ on ( $J$ ) into itself which commutes with the contractions and obeys the Leibnitz rule, i.e. on (J), we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D \quad\left(A+A^{0}\right)=D A+D A^{0} ; 2 R ; \quad(3: 2 a) \\
D \quad C=C \quad D ; \quad(3: 2 b) \\
D \quad(A \quad B)=(D A) \quad B+A \quad(D B) ;
\end{array}
$$

where $C$ is a contraction operator, $A ; A^{0}$ and $B$ are $C^{1}$ tensor elds on ( $J$ ), the types of $A$ and $A^{0}$ being the same. By de nition [8] this $m$ eans that $D$ is a derivation of the $m$ entioned restricted algebra.

Proposition 3.2. For every path : J ! M ; s;t 2 J and S -tran-sport $S$ along there is valid the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t} \quad S_{s}!t \quad 0 ; \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{t}$ being de ned by $S$ through (3.1).
Proof. The identity (3.3) follow s from ( $3: 1^{\circ}$ ) and (2.6)
Proposition 3.3. If $T$ is $\mathrm{aC}^{1}$ of type ( $\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}$ ) tensor eld on ( J ) with local com ponents $T_{j_{1}}^{i_{1}}$ in a basis $f E_{i} g$ de ned on (J), then the local com ponents of $D T$ at (s);s 2 J , i.e. of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{T}$, are

$$
\begin{equation*}
+{ }_{a=1}^{X^{p}} \sum_{:: k}^{i_{a}}(S ;) T_{j_{1}:::!j_{q}}^{i_{1}:: i_{a}}{ }^{1 k i_{a+1}::: i_{p}} \text { ( (s)) } \tag{s}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X^{a}$

$$
\left.{ }_{: j j_{b}}^{k}(s ;) T_{j_{1}}^{i_{1}::: i_{p b}}{ }_{1 k} j_{j_{b+1}}::: j_{q}(S)\right) ;
$$

(3:4)
b= 1
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{: j}^{i}(s ;)=\frac{@ H_{: j}^{i}(s ; t ;)}{@ t}=\frac{@ H_{: j}^{i}(t ; s ;)}{@ t}{ }_{t=s}: \tag{3:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of $\left(3: 1^{0}\right)$; (2:16) and (2.15)
Rem ark 1. Ifwe de ne $(s): i_{: j}^{i}(s ;)$, then, due to (2.17), the second equality in (3.5) is a corollary of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(s)=\frac{@ H(s ; t ;}{@ t}_{t=s}=F^{1}(s ;) \frac{@ F(s ;}{@ s}: \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ em ark 2. Ifthe vector elds $E_{i}$ are de ned on ( $J$ ) such that $E_{i} j_{(s)} ;$ s $2 J$ is a basis at (s), then from (3.4) it follow s that
and, vice versa, if we de ne by the expansion $\left(3: 5^{\infty}\right)$, then, from proposition 3.1 (see (3.2)) and (3.1), we easily get (3.4).

If $r$ is a covariant di erentiation with local components $i_{: j k}^{i}$ and is a $C^{1}$ path w ith a tangent vector eld _, then the com parison of the explicit form of ( $r$ _T) ( (s)) (cf: $[8 ; 10]$ ) w th (3.4) shows that the derivation $D$ is a generalization of the covariant di erentiation $r$ along . Evidently, $D$ reduces to a covariant di erentiation along i

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{: j}^{i}(s ;)=i_{: j k}^{i}((s))_{-}^{k}(s) ; \tag{3:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad{ }_{:}^{i}$ jk are com ponents of som e covariant di erentiation $r$.
Lem ma3.1. The change $f E_{i} j_{(s)} g!f E_{i^{0}} j_{(s)}=A_{i^{0}}^{i}(s) E_{i} j_{(s)} g ; s 2 J$ leads to the transform ation of ${ }_{: j}^{i}(s ;)$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{:: j^{0}}^{i^{0}}(s ;)=A_{i}^{i^{0}}(s) A_{j^{0}}^{j}(s):{ }_{: j}^{i}(s ;)+A_{i}^{i^{0}}(s)\left(d A_{j^{0}}^{i}(s)=d s\right) ; \tag{3:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\left.\mathbb{A}_{i}^{i_{i}^{0}}\right]:=\mathbb{A}_{j^{0}}^{j}(\mathrm{~s})\right]^{1}$.
Proof. E q:(3:7) is a sm ple corollary of (3.5) and the fact that $H_{: j}^{i}(t ; s ;)$ are com ponents of a tensor from $\mathrm{T}_{(\mathrm{t})}(\mathbb{M}) \quad \mathrm{T}_{(\mathrm{s})}(\operatorname{see}(2.14))$ n

Proposition 3.4. If in any basis $f E_{i} j_{(s)}$ g; $22 J$ along : J! $M$ there are given functions $i_{: j}^{i}(s ;)$ which, when the basis is changed, transform in conform ity $w$ ith (3.8), then there exists a unique $S$-transport along which generates $(s):=i_{i j}(s ;)$ through $\left(3: 5^{0}\right)$ and the $m$ atrix $H(t ; s ;)$ of which is

$$
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{s} ;)=\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{;} \mathrm{so}_{0} ; \quad\right)\left[\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{s}_{0} \quad\right)\right]^{1} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{~J}: \quad(3: 8)
$$

Here $s_{0} 2 \mathrm{~J}$ is xed and $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{s}_{0} ; \mathrm{Z}\right) ; \mathrm{Z}$ being a continuousmatrix function of $s$, is the unique solution of the in itial-value problem

$$
d Y=d s=Z Y ; \quad Y \quad j_{j}=s_{0}=I: \quad(3: 9)
$$

Rem ark. The existence and uniqueness of the solution of (3.9) can be found, for instance, in [4].

Proof. At rst we shall prove that for a xed eq:(3:8) gives the unique solution of $\left(3: 5^{\circ}\right)$ w ith respect to $H(t ; s ;)=F^{1}(t ;) F(s ;)$. In fact, using $\mathrm{dF}{ }^{1}=\mathrm{ds}=\mathrm{F}^{1}(\mathrm{dF}=\mathrm{ds}) \mathrm{F}^{1}$, we see $\left(3: 5^{0}\right)$ to be equivalent to $\mathrm{dF}{ }^{1}(\mathrm{~s} ; ~)=\mathrm{ds}=$
(s) $\mathrm{F}^{1}(\mathrm{~s} ; ~)$, the generalsolution ofwhich $w$ ith respect to $\mathrm{F}^{1}$, due to (3.9)
 erate $m$ atrix function of . Substituting the last expression of $F^{1}$ into (2.17), we get (3.8) (which does not depend either on D ( ) or on so;cf. proposition 2.3 and the properties of $Y$ (see [4])).

It can easily be show $n$ that, as a consequence of the transform ation law (3.7), the elem ents of $H(t ; s ;)$ are com ponents of a tensor from $\left.T_{(t)} M\right) \quad T_{(s)}$. H ence, by proposition 2.4 (see also (2.15)), they de ne an $S$ transport, the local action of which is given by (2.16). Due to the above construction of H ( t ; s; ) this $S$-transport is the only one that generates , as given by $\left(3: 5^{0}\right)$ m

So, the de nition of an $S$-transport along : J! M is equivalent to the de nition of functions $i_{i j}^{i}(\mathrm{~s} ; ~)$; s 2 J , in a basis $\mathrm{fE}_{i} \mathrm{~g}$ along, , which have the transform ation law (3.7). For this reason we shall call the functions de ned by (3.5) com ponents of the $S$-transport.

Proposition 3.1 show $s$ that to any $S$-transport there corresponds, according to (3:1); a derivation of the restriction of the tensor algebra overM on any curve
(J), i.e. along the path :J ! M.The next proposition states that any such derivation can be obtained in this $w$ ay.

Proposition 3.5. Them ap D of the restriction of the tensor algebra over $M$ on (J) into itself is a derivation $i$ there exists an $S$ transport along : $J!M$ ( $w$ hich is unique and) which generates $D$ by $m$ eans of eq:(3:1).

Proof. If D is de ned by some S-transport through (3.1), then by proposition 3.1 it is a derivation. A nd vice versa, let $D$ be a derivation, i.e. to be a type preserving and satisfying (32). If we de ne $=i_{: j}^{i}(s ;)$ by $\left(3: 5^{\infty}\right)$, it is easily veri ed that the transform ation law (3.7) holds for ${ }_{i j j}^{i}(s ;)$ and, consequently (see proposition 3.4), there exists a unique $S$ transport for which
${ }_{: j}^{i}(\mathrm{~s} ; ~)$ are local com ponents in the used basis. The derivation corresponding, in conform ity w ith (3.1), to this $S$-transport has an explicit action given by the right hand side of (3.4) and hence it coincides w ith $D$ as the latter has the sam e explicit action (as a consequence of $\left(3: 5^{\infty}\right)$ )

So, any derivation of the restricted along a path tensor algebra is generated by a unique $S$-transport along this path through (3.1). T he opposite statem ent is alm ost evident and it is expressed by

Proposition 3.6. For any $S$-transport along a path :J! M there exists a unique derivation $D$ of the restricted along tensor algebra which generates its components $(s):=i_{j}^{i}(s ;)$ in a basis $\mathrm{fE}_{i} j(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{s} 2 \mathrm{~J}$ through equation ( $3: 5^{\infty}$ ) .

P roof. The existence ofD for a given $S$-transport is evident: by proposition 3.1 the map D , de ned by (3.1), is a derivation along and by proposition 3.3 it generates the com ponents of the $S$ transport by (3.5), or, equivalently, by $\left(3: 5^{\infty}\right)$. If ${ }^{9} D$ is a derivation along $w$ th the sam e property, then from (32) and (3.4), we get $D T={ }^{0} D \quad T$ for every $C^{1}$ tensor eld $T$, i.e. ${ }^{0} D=D$
$T$ hus we see that there is a one-to-one correspondence betw een $S$-transports along paths and derivations along paths, i.e. m aps D such that for every : J! M, we have D: 7 D , where D is a derivation of the restricted on (J) tensor algebra over M.
4. LINEAR TRANSPORTSALONG PATHS DEFINED BY

DERIVATIONS.SPECIALCASES
Let $D$ be a derivation along paths (see the end of Sect. 3). The identity
(3.3) gives the follow ing $w$ ay for de ning the $S$-transport corresponding to $D$, in conform 訨 w th proposition 3.5. If : J! M, then we de ne the needed $S$-transport along by the initial-value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t} \quad S_{s!t}=0 ; \quad S_{s!t} \dot{\dot{I}}=\mathrm{s}=i d ; \tag{4:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for any tensor eld $T D_{t}(T):=(D T)((t))$. In fact, the intial-value problem (4.1) has a unique solution $w$ th respect to $S_{s!t}[4]$ and it is easily veri ed that it is an $S$-transport along from $s$ to $t$, whose $m$ atrix is given by (3.8) in which is de ned by $\left(3: 5^{\infty}\right)$. By proposition 3.3 this $m$ eans that the derivation corresponding to this $S$-transport (see (3.1) and proposition 3.1) coincides w ith D , i.e. it generates D.

H ence (4.1) naturally generates the $S$-transport corresponding to som e derivation along paths D. T he opposite is true up to a left $m$ ultiplication $w$ ith nonzero functions. i.e it can be proved that if the $S$-transport is xed, then all derivations along paths forw hich (4.1) is valid have, according to (3.3), the form $f$ D , in which $f$ is a nonvanishing scalar function, and $D$ is the derivation generated by (3.1) from the given $S$-transport.

IfD is a derivation of the tensor algebra over M, i.e. if it is a type preserving $m$ ap satisfying (32) on $M$, then along a path $: J!M$ to it there $m$ ay be assigned an S -transport along in the follow ing way.

A ccording to proposition 3.3 from ch: :I in [8], there exist a unique vector eld $X$ and a unique tensor eld $S$ of type $(1,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=L_{x}+S ; \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $L_{X}$ is the $L$ ie derivative along $X$ and $S$ is considered as a derivation of the tensor algebra over M [8]. On the opposite, for every vector eld $X$ and tensor eld $S_{x}$ of type $(1,1)$, which $m$ ay depend on $X$, the equation

$$
D=L_{X}+S_{X} ; \quad\left(4 \cdot 2^{0}\right)
$$

de nes a derivation of the tensor algebra over M.
Let a derivation $D$ w th the decom position $\left(4: 2^{0}\right)$ be given and aC ${ }^{1}$ path
: J ! M be xed. Let in some neighborhood of ( $J$ ) a vector eld $V$ be de ned such that on $(J)$ it reduces to the vector eld tangent to , i.e. $V j_{(s)}=$ (s); s $2 \mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{W}$ e de ne D to be the restriction of $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{j}$ on (J), i.e. for every tensor eld $T$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(\mathrm{D} T)(\mathrm{s})): \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~T}:=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{D} \dot{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{v})(\mathrm{T}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~s})\right): \tag{4:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that the $m$ ap $D$ thus de ned is a derivation along . O fcourse, generally, D depends on the values ofV outside the set (J). H ow ever the m ost interesting and geom etrically valuable case is that when $D$ depends only on the path but not on the values of $V$ at points not lying on (J). In this case the $m$ ap $D: 7 D$ is a derivation along the paths in $M$, therefore according to the above schem $e$, it generates an $S$-transport along the sam e paths and, consequently, in this way the derivation $D$ generates an $S$-transports. This

S-transport depends only on $D . V$ ice versa, if $D$ depends on the values of $V$ outside ( $J$ ), then to construct a derivation along paths $D: \eta \quad D$ we have to $x$ in a neighbornood of every path a vector eld $V$ such that $V j_{(s)}=$ (s); s 2 J and to put (D T) ( (s)) := (D x=v T) ( (s)). A nalogously, using the above described $m$ ethod, from $D$ we can construct an $S$-transport, but $D$, as well as the $S$-transport, w ill depend generally not only on D but on the fam ily of vector elds fV : : J ! M g that is to a great extent anbitrary.

Hence to any derivation there corresponds at least one $S$-transport. W ithout going into details of the problem when this transport depends or not on the fam ily IV g, we shall present som e exam ples im portant from the practical point of view exam ples in which the transport does not depend on that fam ily. (A ll know $n$ to the author and used in the $m$ athem atical and physical literature transports, based on a ne connections over a m anifold, are of this kind, i.e they do not depend on fV g :)

Let $M$ be an $L_{n}$ space, i.e. it is to be endowed $w$ th a linear connection $r$. As for any vector eld $X r x$ is a derivation, in conform ity with $\left(4: 2^{\circ}\right)$, it adm its the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{x}=L_{x}+(X) ; \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(X)$ is a tensor eld of type $(1,1)$. It can easily be proved that the local com ponents of $(X)$ in $f E{ }_{i} g$ are $\left.(X)\right)_{: j}^{i}==\left(r_{E_{i}} X\right)^{i} T T_{: j k}^{i} X{ }^{k}$ in which $T: \frac{i j k}{i}$ are the torsion tensor com ponents.

Expressing $L_{x}$ from (4.4) and substituting it into ( $4: 2^{0}$ ), we get the unique decom position of any derivation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=r_{x}+S_{x} \quad(X) \tag{4:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which tums out to be useful for com parison of the general S transport w ith concrete linear transports, based on linear connections, of tensors along paths. For instance, using it, the $m$ ethod presented above for generating $S$ transports from derivation, and the de nitions of the concrete transportsm entioned below, given in the cited there references, one can easily prove the follow ing proposition (cf. proposition 4.1 from [7]).

Proposition 4.1. The S-transport generated by a derivation D, with a decom position (4.5), is reduced:
a) in a space $L_{n} w$ ith a linear connection to the paralleltransport $[8 ; 10]$ for $S_{X}=(X)$;
b) in an E instein-C artan space $U_{n}$ to the Ferm i-W alker transport [5;11] for $S_{X}=(X) 2 L$;
C) in a $R$ iem annian space $V_{n}$ to the Ferm itransport [11] for $S_{X}=(X) 2 L$;
d) in a $R$ iem annian space $V_{n}$ to the $T$ ruesdell transport [12] for $S_{X}=$;
e) in a $R$ iem annian space $V_{n}$ to the Jaum ann transport [9] for $S_{X}=(X)$ !,
where is the unit tensor ( w ith K ronecker symbols as com ponents); := $\left(r_{E_{i}} X\right)$ is the expansion of $\left.X 5 ; 9 ; 12\right]$, and $L ; L$ and ! are tensor elds oftype $(1,1)$ w ith, respectively, the follow ing covariant com ponents: $L_{i j}=h_{i}^{k} h_{j}^{1} X_{[k ; 1]}+$ $\left(h_{i 1} T T_{j k}^{l} X^{k}\right)_{[i j]}+V_{[i ; j]}$, in which $h_{i j}:=g_{i j} \quad X_{i} X_{j}=\left(g_{k 1} X{ }^{k} X^{l}\right) ; g_{i j}$ being the $m$ etric com ponents, $X^{k}:=\left(r_{E_{1}} X\right)^{\mathrm{k}}$, and $(:::)_{[i j]} \mathrm{m}$ eans antisymm etrization (e.g.,
$\left.X_{[i ; j]}=\left(X_{i ; j} \quad X_{j ; i}\right)=2\right) ; L_{i j}:=X_{i} X_{j ; k} X^{k}$ for $g_{i j} X^{i} X^{j}=1 ;$ and $!_{i j}:=$ $\left(X_{i ; j} \quad X_{i ; k} X^{k}\right)_{[i j]}$.

The list of concrete transports in proposition 4.1 can be extended to include the M transport [2], the Lie transport [5;10], the m odi ed Ferm i-w alker and the Frenet-Serret transports [1] etc., but this is only a technical problem which does not change the $m$ ain idea that by an appropriate choice of $S_{x}$ and the the application of the above-described procedure one can obtain a num ber of usefiul transports of tensors along paths.

## 5. COM M ENTS

In the present paper we have considered the axiom atic approach to transports of tensors along paths the generated by derivations along paths, called here $S$ transports. This is done on the basis of axiom atic description of the paralleltransport generated by at linear connections and gives possibilities for further generalizations to be a sub ject ofother papers. O $n$ this ground a num ber of properties of the $S$-transports are derived. W e have proved that in a natural way to any $S$-transport there corresponds a unique derivation along paths and vioe versa. If one considers general derivations of the tensor algebra, then this correspondence stillexists but it is, generally, not unique and needs in this sense an additional investigation.

It can be show $n$ that the $S$-transports are special cases of the paralleltransports in bre bundles $[3 ; 8]$. E lsew here we shall see that the theory developed here can be generalized so as to include and these parallel transports as its special case.

## ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

The author expresses his gratitude to P rof. Stancho D im iev and Prof. V l. A leksandrov (Institute of $M$ athem atics of Bulgarian A cadem y of Sciences) for constant interest in this work and stim ulating discussions. He thanks P rof. N. A. C hemikov (Joint Institute for $N$ uclear R esearch, D ubna, R ussia) for the interest in the problem s posed in this w ork.

This research was partially supported by the Foundation for Scienti c Research of Bulgaria under contract G rant No. F 103.

## REFERENCES

1. D andolo R.,W .J. Zakrzew ski, P aralleltransport along space curve and related phases, J. P hys., A : M ath. G en., vol. 22;1989;L 461 L 466.
2. D ixon W . G., P roc. R oy. Soc. Lond., Ser. A , vol. $214 ; 1970 ; 499527$.
3. D ubrovin B., S.P. N ovikov, A. Fom enko, M odem G eom etry, I. M ethods and A pplications, Springer Verlag.
4. H artm an Ph., O rdinary D i erentialEquations (John W iley \& Sons, N ew York-London-Sydney, 1964); ch .IV, x1.
5. H aw king S., G.F.R.E llis, The large Scale Structure of Spacetim e, C am bridge U niv. P ress, C am bridge, 1973.
6. Tiev B. Z., F lat linear connections in term s of at linear transports in tensor bundles, C om m unication JINR,E 592 544, D ubna, 1992.
7. Tliev B.Z ., L inear transports oftensors along curves: $G$ eneralS transport, C om p. Rend. A cad., Bulg. Sci., vol. 40, No.7;1987;47 50.
8. K obayashiS., K . N om izu, Foundations of D i erential G eom etry, V ol. 1, Interscience P ublishers, $N$ ew York-London, 1963.
9. R adhakrishna L., L. N. K atkar, T H. D ate, Gen. Rel. G rav., vol. 13, No. 10;1981;939 946.
10. Schouten J. A., R icci-C aloulus: A n Introduction to Tensor A nalysis and its G eom etricalA pplications, 2 nded., Springer Verlag, Berlin-G ottingenH eidelberg, 1954.
11. Synge J. L., Relativity: the general theory, N orth Holland Publ. Co., Am sterdam, 1960.
12. W alw adkar B . B ., Gen. Rel. G rav., vol. 15, No. 12;1983;1107 1114; W alw adkar B. B ., K . V . V ikar, Gen. Rel. G rav., vol. 16, No. 1;1984;1 7.

Iliev B. Z.

P aralleltransports in tensor spaces
generated by derivations of tensor algebras

The (parallel linear) transports in tensor spaces generated by derivations of the tensor algebra along paths are axiom atically described. C ertain their properties are investigated. Transports along paths de ned by derivations of the tensor algebra over a di erentiable $m$ anifold are considered.
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