Parallel Transports in Tensor Spaces Generated by Derivations of Tensor Albebras

Bozhidar Zakhariev Iliev ¹

Published: Communication JINR, E5-93-1, Dubna, 1993 http://www.arXiv.orge-Print archive No.math DG/0502009

2000 M SC num bers: 53C 99, 53B 99, 57R 35

2003 PACS numbers: 02.40 Ma, 02.40 Vh, 04.90.+e

The FT_{E} X 2" source le of this paper was produced by converting a ChiW riter 3.16 source le into ChiW riter 4.0 le and then converting the latter le into a FT_{E} X 2.09 source le, which was manually edited for correcting num erous errors and for in proving the appearance of the text. As a result of this procedure, some errors in the text may exist.

A bstract

The (parallel linear) transports in tensor spaces generated by derivations of the tensor algebra along paths are axiom atically described. Certain their properties are investigated. Transports along paths de ned by derivations of the tensor algebra over a di erentiable m anifold are considered.

1. IN TRODUCTION

In [6] we have axiom atically described at linear transports over a given differentiable m anifold and it turns out that the set of these transports coincides with the one consisting of parallel transports generated by at linear connection. In the present investigation, on this basis, the main ideas of [6] are appropriately generalized and we come to a class of linear transports (along paths in tensor

 $^{^1\}mathrm{P}\,\mathrm{em}$ anent address: Laboratory of M athem atical M odeling in Physics, Institute for Nuclear R esearch and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian A cademy of Sciences, Boul. T zarigradsko chaussee 72, 1784 So a, Bulgaria

E-m ailaddress: bozho@ inme.bas.bg
URL:http://theo.inme.bas.bg/ bozho/

bundles over a manifold) which contains not only the parallel transports generated by arbitrary linear connections, but also the ones generated by arbitrary derivations (along paths).

In Sect. 2 the transports generated by derivations along paths, called here S-transports, are axiom atically de ned on the basis of restriction of at linear transports along paths; also some basic properties of the S-transports are presented. Sect. 3 is devoted to dierent relationships between the (axiom atically de ned)S-transports and derivations along paths. The main result here is the equivalence between the sets of these objects in a sense that to any S-transport there can be put into correspondence a unique derivation along paths and vice versa. In Sect. 4 the problem for the connections between S-transports and derivations of the tensor algebra over a dierentiable manifold is investigated. In particular, it is shown how to any derivation the can be put into correspondence an S-transport which in certain "nice" cases turns out to be unique. Also it is shown how some widely used concrete transports along paths (curves), such as parallel, Ferm i-W alker, Ferm i-etc., can be obtained as special cases from the general S-transport. The paper ends with some concluding remarks in Sect. 5.

2. RESTRICTION ON THE PATH OF FLAT LINEAR TRANSPORT AND ITS AXIOMATIC DESCRIPTION. S-TRANSPORTS

In this work M denotes a real, of class C 1 di erentiable m anifold [8]. The tensor space of type (p;q) over M at x 2 M will be written as $T_x^{p,q}$ (M). By de nition T_x (M) = $T_x^{1,0}$ and T_x (M) = $T_x^{0,1}$ (M) are, respectively, the tangent and cotangent spaces to M and $T_x^{0,0}$ (M) = R . By J and :J! M we denote, respectively, an arbitrary real interval and a path in M .

Let M be endowed with a at linear transport L [6] and the path :J ! M be without self-intersections. A long we do not the map S by

S:
$$(s;t)$$
 7 $S_{s!t} = L_{(s)!}$ (t) ; $(s;t)$ 2 J J: (2:1)

From the basic properties of at linear transports (see de nition 2.1 from [6]), we easily derive:

$$S_{s! t}(T_{(s)}^{p;q} M)) T_{(t)}^{p;q} M); \quad s;t2 J; \quad (2:2)$$

$$S_{s! t}(A + A^{0}) = S_{s! t}A + S_{s! t}A^{0}; \quad ; \quad 2 R; A; A^{0} 2 T_{(s)}^{p;q} M); \quad (2:3)$$

$$S_{s! t}(A_{1} A_{2}) = (S_{s! t}A_{1}) (S_{s! t}A_{2}); A_{a} 2 T_{(s)}^{p_{a}} M); \quad a = 1;2; \quad (2:4)$$

$$S_{s! t} C = C S_{! t}; \quad (2:5)$$

$$S_{t! r} S_{s! t} = S_{s! r}; \quad r; s; t2 J; \quad (2:6)$$

$$S_{s! s} = id; \quad (2:7)$$

where C is any contraction operator and id is the identity m ap (in this case of the tensor algebra at (s)).

If for som e s; t 2 J, we have (s) = (t) (if s \pm t this m eans that has sel ntersection (s)), then from eq:(2:6) of ref. [6], we get

$$S_{s!} = id \text{ if } (s) = (t); s; t 2 J; (2.8)$$

which characterizes the at case considered in [6] (see also the remark after the proof of proposition 2.1).

De nition 2.1. An S-transport (along paths) in M is a map S which to any path :J! M puts into correspondence a map S; S-transport along , such that S: (s;t) T S_{s! t}for (s;t) 2 J J, where the map S_{s! t}, an S-transport along from s to t, maps the tensor algebra at (s) into the tensor algebra at (t) and satis es (2.2) (2.7).

Above we saw that to any at linear transport over M there corresponds an S-transport. The opposite is not, generally, true in a sense that if :J ! M joints x and y;x;y 2 M, i.e. x = (s) and y = (t) for some s;t 2 J, then the map

$$L_{x!} v = S_{s'+};$$
 (s) = x (t) = y; (2:9)

generally, depends on and is not a at linear transport from x to y.

Proposition 2.1. The map (2.9) is a at linear transport from x to y i in (2.9) the S-transport along every path :J! M from s to t depends only on the initial and nalpoints (s) and (t), respectively, but not on the path itself.

Proof. Let for some S-transport the map (2.9) be a at linear transport from x to y. As the at linear transport is a parallel transport generated by a at linear connection [6], it does not depend on the path along which it is performed [10]. Hence $S_{s!}$ tdepends only on (s) = x and (t) = y but not on

And vice versa, if $S_{s!}$ tdepends only on (s) and (t) but not on , then from (2:3) (2:7) it follows that the map (2.9) satisfes (2:1) (2:6) from [6], and hence (2.9) is a at linear transport from x to y

Remark. If the S-transport along a "product of paths" is a composition of the S-transports along the corresponding constituent paths, then it can be proved that (2.9) de ness a at linear transport i the S-transport in it satis es (2.8).

A lot of results concerning at linear transports over M have corresponding analogs in the theory of S-transports. Roughly speaking, this transferring of results may be done by replacing points in M with numbers in J if the former do not denote arguments of tensor elds primary dened on M; in the last case the points form M must be replaced by the corresponding points from (J) M. In particular, this is true for propositions 2:1 2:5 from [6], the analogs of which for S-transports will be presented below as propositions 2:2 2:6, respectively. The corresponding proofs will be om itted as they can, evidently, be obtained mutatism utand is from the ones given in [6].

Let $_{q}^{p}S$ be the restriction of some S-transport on a tensor bundle of type (p;q), i.e. $_{q}^{p}S$: $_{q}^{p}S$; $_{q}^{p}S$: (s;t) $_{q}^{p}S_{s!}$ $_{t}$ $_{g}^{p}S_{s!}$ $_{t}$ $_{g}^{p}S_{s!}$ $_{t}$ $_{g}^{p}S_{s!}$ $_{t}$ $_{g}^{p}S_{s!}$ $_{t}$ $_{g}^{p}S_{s!}$ $_{g}^{p}S_{s!}$

is equivalent to the map S. Hence S splits into the S-transports $^p_q S$ acting independently in dierent tensor bundles.

Proposition 2.2. The linear map ${}^p_qS_{s!\ t}:T^{p,q}_{(s)}$ (M)! $T^{p,q}_{(t)}$ (M);s;t 2 J satis es (2.6) and (2.7) if and only if there exists linear isomorphism $s^p_qF_s:T^{p,q}_{(s)}$ (M)! V;s 2 J;V being a vector space, such that

$${}_{q}^{p}S_{s!}{}_{t} = {}_{q}^{p}F_{t} {}_{q}^{1}F_{s}$$
; s;t2 J: (2:10)

P roposition 2.3. If for $^p_qS_{s!}$ the representation (2.10) holds (see the previous proposition) and V is isom orphic with V vector space, then the representation

$${}_{q}^{p}S_{s!} = {}_{q}^{p}F_{t} {}_{q}^{1}F_{s}$$
; s;t2 J; (2:11)

where ${}^p_qF_s:T^{p,q}_{(s)}$ (M) ! V;s 2 J are isomorphisms, is true i there exists isomorphism D :V ! V such that

$${}_{q}^{p}F_{s} = D \qquad {}_{q}^{p}F_{s}; \qquad s2 J: \qquad (2:12)$$

Hence on any xed tensor bundle every S-transport along from s to t decomposes into a composition of maps depending separately on s and t in conformity with (2.10). The arbitrariness in this decomposition is described by proposition 2.3 (see eq:(2.12)).

Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 are consequences of (2.2); (2.5) and (2.7). Now we shall also take into account and (2.3) (2.5).

Putting in (2:4)A $_1$ = A $_2$ = 12 R , we get S $_{\rm s!}$ $_{\rm t}1$ = 1 which, due to (2.3), is equivalent to

$$S_{s!t} = ; 2R: (2:13)$$

Let $fE_i j_{(s)}g$ and $fE^i j_{(s)}g$ be dual bases, respectively, in $T_{(s)}(M)$ and $T_{(s)}$ along :J!M; s2J. (The Latin indices run from 1 to n=dim(M) and henceforth we assume the sum mation rule from 1 to n over repeated indices.)

From (2.2) it follows that there exist uniquely de ned functions H $^{i}_{;j}$ (t;s;) and H $^{;j}_{i}$ (t;s;);s;t2 J such that

$$S_{s! t}(E_{j}j_{(s)}) = H_{:j}^{i}(t;s;)E_{i}j_{(t)};$$
 (2:14a)

$$S_{s! t}(E^{j}j_{(s)}) = H_{i}^{j}(t;s;)E^{i}j_{(t)}:$$
 (2.14b)

By means of (2:4); (2:5) and (2.13) it can easily be shown (cf. the derivation of eq:(2:13) from [6]) that

$$H_{:k}^{i}$$
 (t;s;) $H_{j}^{:k}$ (t;s;) = i_{j}^{i} (2:15)

which, in a matrix notation reads

$$H_{:k}^{i}(t;s;)H_{j,k}^{:k}(t;s;) = I = _{j}^{i}; (2:15^{0})$$

where $\frac{i}{j}$ are the K ronecker deltas and as a rst m atrix index the superscript is considered.

From (2.14) and (2.3) we conclude that H $_{:j}^{i}$ (t;s;) and H $_{i,}^{:j}$ (t;s;) are components of bivectors (two-point vectors) inverse to one another [10], respectively, from T $_{(t)}$ M) T $_{(s)}$ M) and T $_{(t)}$ M) T $_{(s)}$ M). The following proposition shows that they uniquely de ne the action of the S-transport on any tensor.

Proposition 2.4. If
$$T=T_{j_1:::j_q}^{i_1:::i_p}E_{i_1}$$
 $j_{(s)}$ $i_pEj_{(s)}$ E^{j_1} $j_{(s)}$ $i_pEj_{(s)}$, then

$$E_{k_1} j_{(t)} \qquad \qquad k_p E j_{(t)} \quad E^{l_1} j_{(t)} \qquad \qquad ^{l_q} E j_{(t)} \qquad (2:16)$$

If fE_i j_ig and fe_ig are bases in T_x (M) and V respectively, then the matrix elements of 1_0F_s ; s 2 J are defined by 1_0F_s (E $_j$ $j_{(s)}$) = $F^i_{:j}$ (s;)e_i. So, if we put F (s;) = $F^i_{:j}$ (s;), then from (2.10) for p=q+1=1 and (2.16), we get

$$H(t;s;) = H_{ik}^{i}(t;s;) = F^{-1}(t;)F(s;); s;t2 J: (2:17)$$

This matrix will be called the matrix of the considered S-transport.

Evidently (see proposition 2.3), in (2.17) the matrix F (s;) is dened up to a constant along left multiplier, i.e. up to a change

F(s;)! D F(s;);
$$\det(D) \in 0;1:$$
 (2:18)

P roposition 2:5 A map $S_{s!}$ to the tensor algebra at (s) into the tensor algebra at (t) is an S-transport from s to talong i in any local basis its action is given by (2.16) in which the matrices $H^{i}_{:k}(t;s;)$ and $H^{ik}_{j}(t;s;)$ are inverse to one another, i.e. (2.15) holds, and the decomposition (2.17) is valid.

Proposition 2.6. Every dierentiable manifold admits Stransports.

3. THE EQUIVALENCE BETW EEN S-TRANSPORTS AND DERIVATIONS ALONG PATHS

Let in M an S-transport S be given along paths, $:J ! M be a C^1 path and T be a C^1 tensor eld on (J). To S we associate a map D such that D: 7 D, where D maps the C^1 tensor elds on (J) into the tensor elds on (J) and$

(D T)((s)) =
$$D_sT = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} S_{s+t} S_{t} ((s+t)) T((s))$$
 (3:1)

for s; s + 2J.

Henceforth for the \lim it in (3.1) to exist, we suppose S to be of class C 1 in a sense that such is its matrix H (t;s;) with respect to t(or, equivalently, to s; see below (3.4) and (3.5)).

As a consequence of (2:7)eq:(3:1) can be written also as

$$D_{s}T = \frac{n}{\theta} [S_{s+} : s T ((s+))] = 0$$
 (3:10)

P roposition 3.1. Them ap D is a derivation of the restriction of the tensor algebra over M on (J).

Proof. It can easily be veri ed that from $(3:1^0)$; (2:3); (2:2); (2:5) and (2.4) it follows respectively that D is an R-linear, type preserving map of the restriction of the tensor algebra over M on (J) into itself which commutes with the contractions and obeys the Leibnitz rule, i.e. on (J), we have

D
$$(A + A^{0}) = D A + D A^{0}; ; 2 R;$$
 (32a)
D $C = C D;$ (32b)
D $(A B) = (D A) B + A (D B);$ (32c)

where C is a contraction operator, $A; A^0$ and B are C^1 tensor elds on (J), the types of A and A^0 being the same. By de nition [8] this means that D is a derivation of the mentioned restricted algebra

Proposition 3.2. For every path : J! M;s;t2 J and S-tran-sport S along there is valid the identity

$$D_{+}$$
 $S_{s_{+}}$ 0; (3:3)

D, being de ned by S through (3.1).

Proof. The identity (3.3) follows from $(3:1^0)$ and (2.6)

Proposition 3.3. If T is aC 1 of type (p;q) tensor eld on (J) with local components $T_{j_1}^{i_1}$ in a basis fE $_i$ g de ned on (J), then the local components of D T at (s);s 2 J, i.e. of D $_s$ T, are

w here

$$_{:j}^{i}(s;) = \frac{\text{@H }_{:j}^{i}(s;t;)}{\text{@t}} = \frac{\text{@H }_{:j}^{i}(t;s;)}{\text{@t}} = (3.5)$$

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of $(3:1^0)$; (2:16) and (2.15)

R em ark 1. If we de ne (s) = $^{i}_{:j}$ (s;), then, due to (2.17), the second equality in (3.5) is a corollary of

(s) =
$$\frac{\text{@H (s;t;}}{\text{@t}}_{\text{t=s}} = \text{F}^{-1} \text{ (s;)} \frac{\text{@F (s;}}{\text{@s}} : (3:5^{\circ})$$

R em ark 2. If the vector elds E $_i$ are de ned on (J) such that E $_i$ j $_{(s)}$; s 2 J is a basis at (s), then from (3.4) it follows that

D
$$E_{i} = ()_{i}^{k} E_{k} = ()_{i}^{k} (s;) E_{k}$$
 (3.50)

and, vice versa, if we de ne by the expansion $(3.5^{\circ\circ})$, then, from proposition 3.1 (see (3.2)) and (3.1), we easily get (3.4).

If r is a covariant di erentiation with local components $^i_{;jk}$ and is a C 1 path with a tangent vector eld _, then the comparison of the explicit form of (r_T)((s))(cf:[8;10]) with (3.4) shows that the derivation D is a generalization of the covariant di erentiation r along . Evidently, D reduces to a covariant di erentiation along i

$$_{:j}^{i}(s;) = _{:jk}^{i}((s))_{-}^{k}(s);$$
 (3:6)

where $\stackrel{i}{:_{jk}}$ are components of some covariant dierentiation \boldsymbol{r} .

Lem m a 3.1. The change fE $_i$ j $_{(s)}$ g ! fE $_{i^0}$ j $_{(s)}$ = A $_{i^0}$ $_{(s)}$ E $_i$ j $_{(s)}$ g;s 2 J leads to the transform ation of $_{:j}$ $_{(s)}$) into

$$i_{::j^0}^0$$
 (s;) = $A_i^{i^0}$ (s) $A_{j^0}^j$ (s) $i_{:j}^i$ (s;) + $A_i^{i^0}$ (s) (d $A_{j^0}^i$ (s)=ds); (3:7)

where $[A_{i}^{i^{0}}] := [A_{i^{0}}^{j}(s)]^{1}$.

Proof. Eq:(3:7) is a simple corollary of (3.5) and the fact that H $_{:j}^{i}$ (t;s;) are components of a tensor from T $_{(t)}$ (M) T $_{(s)}$ (see (2.14)) \blacksquare

Proposition 3.4. If in any basis $fE_i j_{(s)} g$; s 2 J along : J! M there are given functions $^i_{:j}(s;)$ which, when the basis is changed, transform in conform ity with (3.8), then there exists a unique S-transport along which generates (s) := $^i_{:j}(s;)$ through (3.5°) and the matrix H (t;s;) of which is

Here s_0 2 J is xed and Y = Y (s; s_0 ; Z); Z being a continuous matrix function of s, is the unique solution of the initial-value problem

$$dY = ds = ZY; Y j_{s=s_0} = I:$$
 (3:9)

Remark. The existence and uniqueness of the solution of (3.9) can be found, for instance, in [4].

Proof. At rst we shall prove that for a xed eq:(3:8) gives the unique solution of (3:5°) with respect to H (t;s;) = F 1 (t;)F (s;). In fact, using dF 1 =ds = F 1 (dF=ds)F 1 , we see (3:5°) to be equivalent to dF 1 (s;)=ds =

(s)F 1 (s;), the general solution of which with respect to F 1 , due to (3.9) is F 1 (s;) = Y (s;s₀;)D (), where s₀ 2 J is xed and D () is a nondegenerate matrix function of . Substituting the last expression of F 1 into (2.17), we get (3.8) (which does not depend either on D () or on s₀;cf. proposition 2.3 and the properties of Y (see [4])).

It can easily be shown that, as a consequence of the transform ation law (3.7), the elements of H (t;s;) are components of a tensor from T $_{\rm (t)}$ (M) T $_{\rm (s)}$. Hence, by proposition 2.4 (see also (2.15)), they de nean S-transport, the local action of which is given by (2.16). Due to the above construction of H (t;s;) this S-transport is the only one that generates , as given by (3.5°) \blacksquare

So, the de nition of an S-transport along :J! M is equivalent to the de nition of functions $_{:j}^{i}$ (s;);s 2 J, in a basis fE_{ig} along , which have the transform ation law (3.7). For this reason we shall call the functions de ned by (3.5) components of the S-transport.

P roposition 3.1 shows that to any S-transport there corresponds, according to (3:1); a derivation of the restriction of the tensor algebra over M on any curve (J), i.e. along the path :J ! M . The next proposition states that any such derivation can be obtained in this way.

Proposition 3.5. The map D of the restriction of the tensor algebra over M on (J) into itself is a derivation i there exists an S-transport along: J! M (which is unique and) which generates D by means of eq:(3:1).

Proof. If D is de ned by some S-transport through (3.1), then by proposition 3.1 it is a derivation. And vice versa, let D be a derivation, i.e. to be a type preserving and satisfying (3.2). If we de ne \vdots_{j} (s;) by (3.5°), it is easily veri ed that the transform ation law (3.7) holds for \vdots_{j} (s;) and, consequently (see proposition 3.4), there exists a unique S-transport for which \vdots_{j} (s;) are local components in the used basis. The derivation corresponding, in conform ity with (3.1), to this S-transport has an explicit action given by the right hand side of (3.4) and hence it coincides with D as the latter has the same explicit action (as a consequence of (3.5°))

So, any derivation of the restricted along a path tensor algebra is generated by a unique S-transport along this path through (3.1). The opposite statem ent is almost evident and it is expressed by

P roposition 3.6. For any S-transport along a path :J! M there exists a unique derivation D of the restricted along tensor algebra which generates its components (s) $:= i_{:j}(s;)$ in a basis $fE_i j_{(s)}g;s2$ J through equation $(3.5^{(0)})$.

Proof. The existence of D for a given S-transport is evident: by proposition 3.1 the map D , de ned by (3.1), is a derivation along and by proposition 3.3 it generates the components of the S-transport by (3.5), or, equivalently, by (3.5°). If °D is a derivation along with the same property, then from (3.2) and (3.4), we get D T = °D T for every C 1 tensor eld T, i.e. °D = D

Thus we see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between S-transports along paths and derivations along paths, i.e. maps D such that for every : J! M, we have D: 7 D, where D is a derivation of the restricted on (J) tensor algebra over M.

4. LIN EAR TRAN SPORTS ALONG PATHS DEFINED BY DER IVAT IONS. SPECIAL CASES

Let D be a derivation along paths (see the end of Sect. 3). The identity

(3.3) gives the following way for de ning the S-transport corresponding to D, in conformity with proposition 3.5. If :J ! M, then we de ne the needed S-transport along by the initial-value problem

$$D_{t}$$
 $S_{s!} = 0$; $S_{s!} = id$; (4:1)

where for any tensor eld TD $_{\rm t}$ (T) := (D T)((t)). In fact, the initial-value problem (4.1) has a unique solution with respect to S $_{\rm s!}$ $_{\rm t}$ [4] and it is easily verified that it is an S-transport along from s to t, whose matrix is given by (3.8) in which is defined by (3.50). By proposition 3.3 this means that the derivation corresponding to this S-transport (see (3.1) and proposition 3.1) coincides with D , i.e. it generates D .

Hence (4.1) naturally generates the S-transport corresponding to som e derivation along paths D. The opposite is true up to a left multiplication with nonzero functions, i.e it can be proved that if the S-transport is xed, then all derivations along paths for which (4.1) is valid have, according to (3.3), the form f D, in which f is a nonvanishing scalar function, and D is the derivation generated by (3.1) from the given S-transport.

If D is a derivation of the tensor algebra over M , i.e. if it is a type preserving m ap satisfying (3.2) on M , then along a path :J! M to it there m ay be assigned an S-transport along in the following way.

According to proposition 3.3 from ch:I in [8], there exist a unique vector eld X and a unique tensor eld S of type (1,1) such that

$$D = L_X + S;$$
 (4.2)

where L_X is the Lie derivative along X and S is considered as a derivation of the tensor algebra over M [8]. On the opposite, for every vector eld X and tensor eld S_X of type (1,1), which m ay depend on X, the equation

$$D = L_X + S_X;$$
 (4.2°)

de nes a derivation of the tensor algebra over $\ensuremath{\mathtt{M}}$.

Let a derivation D with the decomposition $(4\,2^0)$ be given and aC 1 path: J! M be xed. Let in some neighborhood of (J)a vector eld V be de ned such that on (J) it reduces to the vector eld tangent to , i.e. V $j_{(s)} = (s)$; s 2J. We de ne D to be the restriction of D $j_{X=V}$ on (J), i.e. for every tensor eld T, we put

$$(D \ T)((s)) = D_s T = f(D \dot{x}_{=V})(T)g((s)):$$
 (4:3)

It is easily seen that the map D thus de ned is a derivation along . Of course, generally, D depends on the values of V outside the set (J). However the most interesting and geometrically valuable case is that when D depends only on the path but not on the values of V at points not lying on (J). In this case the map D: 7 D is a derivation along the paths in M, therefore according to the above scheme, it generates an S-transport along the same paths and, consequently, in this way the derivation D generates an S-transports. This

S-transport depends only on D.Vice versa, if D depends on the values of V outside (J), then to construct a derivation along paths D: 7 D we have to x in a neighborhood of every path a vector eld V such that V $j_{(s)} = (s)$; s 2 J and to put (D T)((s)) \models (D $_{X=V}$ T)((s)). A nalogously, using the above described method, from D we can construct an S-transport, but D, as well as the S-transport, will depend generally not only on D but on the family of vector elds fV: J! M g that is to a great extent arbitrary.

Hence to any derivation there corresponds at least one S-transport. W ithout going into details of the problem when this transport depends or not on the family fV g, we shall present some examples in portant from the practical point of view examples in which the transport does not depend on that family. (All known to the author and used in the mathematical and physical literature transports, based on a neconnections over a manifold, are of this kind, i.e they do not depend on fV q:)

Let M be an L $_{\rm n}$ space, i.e. it is to be endowed with a linear connection r . As for any vector $\,$ eld X r $_{\rm X}$ is a derivation, in conform ity with (420), it adm its the representation

$$r_X = L_X + (X);$$
 (4:4)

where (X) is a tensor eld of type (1,1). It can easily be proved that the local components of (X) in fE $_{i}g$ are ((X)) $_{:j}^{i}$ == (r $_{E_{i}}X$) $_{:jk}^{i}X$ $_{i}^{k}$ in which $T_{:jk}^{i}$ are the torsion tensor components.

Expressing L_X from (4.4) and substituting it into (4.2°), we get the unique decomposition of any derivation in the form

$$D = r_X + S_X$$
 (X) (4:5)

which turns out to be useful for comparison of the general S-transport with concrete linear transports, based on linear connections, of tensors along paths. For instance, using it, the method presented above for generating S-transports from derivation, and the de nitions of the concrete transports mentioned below, given in the cited there references, one can easily prove the following proposition (cf. proposition 4.1 from [7]).

Proposition 4.1. The S-transport generated by a derivation D, with a decomposition (4.5), is reduced:

- a) in a space $L_n w$ ith a linear connection to the parallel transport [8;10] for $S_X = (X)$;
- b) in an Einstein-Cartan space U_n to the Ferm i-Walker transport [5;11] for $S_X = (X)$ 2L;
 - c) in a R iem annian space V_n to the Ferm itransport [11] for $S_X = (X)$ 2L;
 - d) in a Riemannian space V_n to the Truesdell transport [12] for $S_X =$
 - e) in a R iem annian space V_n to the Jaum ann transport [9] for $S_X = (X)$!,

where is the unit tensor (with K ronecker symbols as components); $= (r_{E_i}X)^i$ is the expansion of X [5;9;12], and L; L and L are tensor elds of type (1,1) with, respectively, the following covariant components: $L_{ij} = h_i^k h_j^l X_{[k,l]} + (h_{il}T_{:jk}^l X^k)_{[ij]} + V_{[ij;j]}$, in which $h_{ij} = g_{ij} X_i X_j = (g_{kl}X^k X^l)$; g_{ij} being the metric components, $X^k = (r_{E_i}X)^k$, and $(:::)_{[ij]}$ means antisymmetrization (e.g.,

 $X_{[i;j]} := (X_{i;j} X_{j;i})=2); L_{ij} := X_{i}X_{j;k}X^{k}$ for $g_{ij}X^{i}X^{j} = 1$; and $!_{ij} := (X_{i;j} X_{i;k}X^{k})_{[ij]}$.

The list of concrete transports in proposition 4.1 can be extended to include the M -transport [2], the Lie transport [5;10], the modi ed Ferm iW alker and the Frenet-Serret transports [1] etc., but this is only a technical problem which does not change the main idea that by an appropriate choice of S_X and the the application of the above-described procedure one can obtain a number of useful transports of tensors along paths.

5. COM M ENTS

In the present paper we have considered the axiom atic approach to transports of tensors along paths the generated by derivations along paths, called here S-transports. This is done on the basis of axiom atic description of the parallel transport generated by at linear connections and gives possibilities for further generalizations to be a subject of other papers. On this ground a number of properties of the S-transports are derived. We have proved that in a natural way to any S-transport there corresponds a unique derivation along paths and vice versa. If one considers general derivations of the tensor algebra, then this correspondence still exists but it is, generally, not unique and needs in this sense an additional investigation.

It can be shown that the S-transports are special cases of the parallel transports in bre bundles [3;8]. Elsewhere we shall see that the theory developed here can be generalized so as to include and these parallel transports as its special case.

ACKNOW LEDGEM ENTS

The author expresses his gratitude to Prof. Stancho D im iev and Prof. Vl. A leksandrov (Institute of M athem atics of Bulgarian A cademy of Sciences) for constant interest in this work and stimulating discussions. He thanks Prof. N.A.Chemikov (Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia) for the interest in the problems posed in this work.

This research was partially supported by the Foundation for Scienti c Research of Bulgaria under contract G rant No. F 103.

REFERENCES

- 1. D andolo R., W. J. Zakrzewski, Parallel transport along space curve and related phases, J. Phys., A: Math. Gen., vol. 22;1989; L461 L466.
 - 2. Dixon W .G , Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond., Ser. A , vol. 214;1970;499 527.
- 3. Dubrovin B., S.P.Novikov, A. Fom enko, Modern Geometry, I.M ethods and Applications, Springer Verlag.
- 4. Hartman Ph., Ordinary Dierential Equations (John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1964); ch. IV, xl.
- 5. Hawking S., G.F.R.Ellis, The large Scale Structure of Spacetime, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1973.

- 6. Iliev B.Z., Flat linear connections in terms of at linear transports in tensor bundles, Communication JINR, E 5 92 544, Dubna, 1992.
- 7. Iliev B.Z., Linear transports of tensors along curves: General S-transport, Comp. Rend. Acad., Bulg. Sci., vol. 40, No.7;1987;47 50.
- 8. Kobayashi S., K. Nomizu, Foundations of Dierential Geometry, Vol. 1, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1963.
- 9. Radhakrishna L., L. N. Katkar, T. H. Date, Gen. Rel. Grav., vol. 13, No. 10;1981;939 946.
- 10. Schouten J. A., Ricci-Calculus: An Introduction to Tensor Analysis and its Geometrical Applications, 2 nded., Springer Verlag, Berlin-Gottingen-Heidelberg, 1954.
- 11. Synge J. L., Relativity: the general theory, North-Holland Publ. Co., ${\tt Am\ sterdam}$, 1960.
- 12. W alwadkar B.B., Gen. Rel. Grav., vol. 15, No. 12;1983;1107 1114; W alwadkar B.B., K.V. V ikar, Gen. Rel. Grav., vol. 16, No. 1;1984;1 7.

Lliev B.Z.

Parallel transports in tensor spaces generated by derivations of tensor algebras

The (parallel linear) transports in tensor spaces generated by derivations of the tensor algebra along paths are axiom atically described. Certain their properties are investigated. Transports along paths de ned by derivations of the tensor algebra over a dierentiable manifold are considered.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, $\mathtt{JIN}\,R$.