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#### Abstract

Refining some results of S. S. Dragomir, several new reverses of the triangle inequality in inner product spaces are obtained.
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## 1 Introduction.

It is interesting to know under which conditions the triangle inequality went the other way in a normed space $X$; in other words, we would like to know if there is a constant $c$ with the property that $c \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|$ for any finite set $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} \in X$. M. Nakai and T. Tada [6] proved that the normed spaces with this property are precisely those of finite dimensional.

The first authors investigating reverse of the triangle inequality in inner product spaces were J. B. Diaz and F. T. Metcalf [1] by establishing the following result as an extension of an inequality given by M. Petrovich [7] for complex numbers:

Diaz-Metcalf Theorem. Let $a$ be a unit vector in the inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle$.$) . Suppose the vectors x_{k} \in H, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ satisfy

$$
0 \leq r \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, a\right\rangle}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|}, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}
$$

Then

$$
r \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
$$

where equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=r \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| a
$$

Inequalities related to the triangle inequality are of special interest; cf. Chapter XVII of 5 and may be applied to get nice inequalities in complex numbers or to study vector-valued integral inequalities [2], [3].

Using several ideas and notation of [2], (3] we modify or refine results of S. S. Dragomir and get some new reverses of triangle inequality.

We use repeatedly the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality without mentioning it. The reader is refered to [8], [4] for the terminology on inner product spaces.

## 2 Main Results.

The following theorem is an strengthen of theorem 1 of [3] in which the real numbers $r_{1}, r_{2}$ are not neccesarily nonnegative. The proof seems to be different as well.

Theorem 1. Let $a$ be a unit vector in the complex inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle$.$) . Suppose the vectors x_{k} \in H, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq r_{1}^{2}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, r_{1} a\right\rangle, 0 \leq r_{2}^{2}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Im}\left\langle x_{k}, r_{2} a\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r_{1}, r_{2} \in[-1,1]$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds in (2) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| a \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=0$, theorem is trivial. Assume that $r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2} \neq 0$. Summing inequalities (1) over $k$ from 1 to $m$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, r_{1} a\right\rangle+\operatorname{Im}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, r_{2} a\right\rangle \\
=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k},\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) a\right\rangle \\
\leq\left|\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k},\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) a\right\rangle\right| \\
\leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|\left\|\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) a\right\| \\
=\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
\end{array}
$$

Hence 2 holds.
If (3) holds, then

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|=\left\|\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\right\| x_{k}\|a\|=\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|
$$

Conversely, if the equality holds in (2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\| & =\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k},\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) a\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left|\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k},\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) a\right\rangle\right| \leq\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we deduce

$$
\left|\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k},\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) a\right\rangle\right|=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|\left\|\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) a\right\|
$$

Consequently there exists $\eta \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\eta\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) a$
From this we have

$$
\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta=\left\|\eta\left(r_{1}+i r_{2}\right) a\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|=\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| .
$$

Hence $\eta=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|$. $\square$
The next theorem is a refinement of Corollary 1 of [3] since, in the notation of the theorem, $\sqrt{2-p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}} \leq \sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}}$.

Theorem 2. Let $a$ be a unit vector in the complex inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle$.$) . Suppose the vectors x_{k} \in H-\{0\}, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{k}-a\right\| \leq p_{1},\left\|x_{k}-i a\right\| \leq p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2} \in\left(0, \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\min _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|$. Let
$\alpha_{1}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-p_{1}^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}, \alpha_{2}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-p_{2}^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$,
Then we have the inequality

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
$$

where the equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\left(\alpha_{1}+i \alpha_{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| a
$$

Proof. From the first inequality in (4) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle x_{k}-a, x_{k}-a\right\rangle \leq p_{1}^{2} \\
\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+1-p_{1}^{2} \leq 2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, a\right\rangle, k=1, \cdots, n \\
\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-p_{1}^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, a\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

consequently

$$
\alpha_{1}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, a\right\rangle
$$

Similarly from the second inequality we obtain

$$
\alpha_{2}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, i a\right\rangle=\operatorname{Im}\left\langle x_{k}, a\right\rangle .
$$

Now apply Theorem 1 for $r_{1}=\alpha_{1}, r_{2}=\alpha_{2}$. $\square$
Corollary 3. Let $a$ be a unit vector in the complex inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle$.$) . Suppose the vectors x_{k} \in H-\{0\}, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that

$$
\left\|x_{k}-a\right\| \leq 1,\left\|x_{k}-i a\right\| \leq 1
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
$$

in which $\alpha=\min _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|$. The equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\alpha \frac{(1+i)}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| a
$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 2 for $\alpha_{1}=\frac{\alpha}{2}=\alpha_{2}$. $\square$
Theorem 4. Let $a$ be a unit vector in the inner product space ( $H ;\langle.,$.$\rangle )$ over the real or complex number field. Suppose that the vectors $x_{k} \in H-$ $\{0\}, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ satisfy

$$
\left\|x_{k}-a\right\| \leq p, p \in\left(0, \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+1}\right), \alpha=\min _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|
$$

Then we have the inequality

$$
\alpha_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{1}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-p^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}
$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\alpha_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| a
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 2 in which we use Theorem 1 with $r_{2}=0 . \square$

The next Theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1. It is a modification of Theorem 3 of [3], however our proof is apparently different.

Theorem 5. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ be orthonormal vectors in the complex inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle$.$) . Suppose that for 1 \leq t \leq m, r_{t}, \rho_{t} \in R$ and that the vectors $x_{k} \in H, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq r_{t}^{2}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, r_{t} a_{t}\right\rangle, 0 \leq \rho_{t}^{2}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Im}\left\langle x_{k}, \rho_{t} a_{t}\right\rangle, t \in\{1, \cdots, m\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds in (7) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)=0$, theorem is trivial. Assume that $\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right) \neq 0$. Summing inequalities (6) over $k$ from 1 to $n$ and again over $t$ from 1 to $m$ we get

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} r_{t} a_{t}\right\rangle+\operatorname{Im}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} \rho_{t} a_{t}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, \sum_{t=1}^{m} r_{t} a_{t}\right\rangle+\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, i \sum_{t=1}^{m} \rho_{t} a_{t}\right\rangle \\
=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}\right\rangle \\
\leq\left|\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}\right\rangle\right| \\
\leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|\| \| \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t} \| \\
=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
$$

If (8) holds, then

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\right\| x_{k}\| \| \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}\left\|=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\right\| x_{k} \|\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Conversely, if the equality holds in (7) we obtain from (6) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|= \\
=\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}\right\rangle \leq \\
\leq\left|\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}\right\rangle\right| \leq \\
\leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|\left\|\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\left|\left\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}, \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}\right\rangle\right|=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|\left\|\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}\right\| .
$$

Consequently there exists $\eta \geq 0$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\eta \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}
$$

from which we have

$$
\eta\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left\|\eta \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}+i \rho_{t}\right) a_{t}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(r_{t}^{2}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Hence

$$
\eta=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| . \square
$$

Corollary 6. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ be orthornormal vectors in the inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle$.$) over the real or complex number field. Suppose for 1 \leq t \leq m$ that the vectors $x_{k} \in H, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ satisfy

$$
0 \leq r_{t}^{2}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, r_{t} a_{t}\right\rangle
$$

Then we have the inequality

$$
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} r_{t}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\| .
$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \sum_{t=1}^{m} r_{t} a_{t} .
$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 5 for $\rho_{t}=0$.
Theorem 7. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ be orthornormal vectors in the complex inner product space $(H ;\langle\cdot,\rangle$.$) . Suppose that the vectors x_{k} \in H-\{0\}, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ satisfy

$$
\left\|x_{k}-a_{t}\right\| \leq p_{t},\left\|x_{k}-i a_{t}\right\| \leq q_{t}, p_{t}, q_{t} \in\left(0, \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+1}\right), 1 \leq t \leq m
$$

where $\alpha=\min _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|$. Let
$\alpha_{t}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-p_{t}^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}, \beta_{t}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-q_{t}^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$.
Then we have the inequality

$$
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} \alpha_{t}^{2}+\beta_{t}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
$$

where equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(\alpha_{t}+i \beta_{t}\right) a_{t}
$$

Proof. For $1 \leq t \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n$ it follows from $\left\|x_{k}-a_{t}\right\| \leq p_{t}$ that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left\langle x_{k}-a_{t}\right\rangle, x_{k}-a_{t}\right\rangle \leq p_{t}^{2} \\
\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-p_{t}^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, a_{t}\right\rangle 0 \\
\alpha_{t}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, a_{t}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

and similarly

$$
\beta_{t}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, i a_{t}\right\rangle=\operatorname{Im}\left\langle x_{k}, a_{t}\right\rangle
$$

Now applying Theorem 4 with $r_{t}=\alpha_{t}, \rho_{t}=\beta_{t}$ we deduce the desired inequality.
Corollary 8. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ be orthornormal vectors in the complex inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle$.$) . Suppose that the vectors x_{k} \in H, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ satisfy

$$
\left\|x_{k}-a_{t}\right\| \leq 1,\left\|x_{k}-i a_{t}\right\| \leq 1,1 \leq t \leq m
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\alpha \frac{(1+i)}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \sum_{t=1}^{m} a_{t}
$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 7 for $\alpha_{t}=\frac{\alpha}{2}=\beta_{t}$. .
Remark. It is interesting to note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{m} \leq \frac{\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|}{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|} \leq 1 \\
\alpha \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 9. Let $a$ be a unit vector in the complex inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle$.$) . Suppose that the vectors x_{k} \in H-\{0\}, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ satisfy

$$
\left\|x_{k}-a\right\| \leq p_{1},\left\|x_{k}-i a\right\| \leq p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2} \in(0,1]
$$

Let
$\alpha_{1}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-p_{1}^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}, \alpha_{2}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-p_{2}^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$.
If $\alpha_{1} \neq\left(1-p_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, or $\alpha_{2} \neq\left(1-p_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then we have the following strictly inequality

$$
\left(2-p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|<\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
$$

Proof. If equality holds, then by Theorem 2 we have

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|=\left(2-p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|
$$

and so

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left(2-p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

On the other hand for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-p_{1}^{2}+1}{2\left\|x_{k}\right\|} \geq\left(1-p_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and so

$$
\alpha_{1} \geq\left(1-p_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Similarly

$$
\alpha_{2} \geq\left(1-p_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(2-p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Thus

$$
\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}}=\left(2-p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\alpha_{1}=\left(1-p_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { and } \alpha_{2}=\left(1-p_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

a contradiction.
The following result looks like Corollary 2 of [3].
Theorem 10. Let $a$ be a unit vector in the complex inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle),. M \geq m>0, L \geq \ell>0$ and $x_{k} \in H-\{0\}, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle M a-x_{k}, x_{k}-m a\right\rangle \geq 0, \operatorname{Re}\left\langle L i a-x_{k}, x_{k}-\ell i a\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\left\|x_{k}-\frac{m+M}{2} a\right\| \leq \frac{M-m}{2},\left\|x_{k}-\frac{L+\ell}{2} i a\right\| \leq \frac{L-\ell}{2}
$$

Let

$$
\alpha_{m, M}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+m M}{(m+M)\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{\ell, L}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+\ell L}{(\ell+L)\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}
$$

Then we have the inequlity

$$
\left(\alpha_{m, M}^{2}+\alpha_{\ell, L}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|
$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=\left(\alpha_{m, M}+i \alpha_{\ell, L}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| a
$$

Proof. For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, it follows from

$$
\left\|x_{k}-\frac{m+M}{2} a\right\| \leq \frac{M-m}{2}
$$

that

$$
\left\langle x_{k}-\frac{m+M}{2} a, x_{k}-\frac{m+M}{2}\right\rangle \leq\left(\frac{M-m}{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+m M \leq(m+M) \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, a\right\rangle .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+m M}{(m+M)\left\|x_{k}\right\|}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, a\right\rangle
$$

consequently

$$
\alpha_{m, M}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle x_{k}, a\right\rangle
$$

Similarly from the second inequlity we deduce

$$
\alpha_{\ell, L}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Im}\left\langle x_{k}, a\right\rangle
$$

Applying Theorem 1 for $r_{1}=\alpha_{m, M}, r_{2}=\alpha_{\ell, L}$, we infer the desired inequality. $\square$
Theorem 11. Let $a$ be a unit vector in the complex inner product space $(H ;\langle.,\rangle),. M \geq m>0, L \geq \ell>0$ and $x_{k} \in H-\{0\}, k \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle M a-x_{k}, x_{k}-m a\right\rangle \geq 0, \operatorname{Re}\left\langle L i a-x_{k}, x_{k}-\ell i a\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

or equivalently

$$
\left\|x_{k}-\frac{m+M}{2} a\right\| \leq \frac{M-m}{2},\left\|x_{k}-\frac{L+\ell}{2} i a\right\| \leq \frac{L-\ell}{2} .
$$

Let

$$
\alpha_{m, M}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+m M}{(m+M)\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{\ell, L}=\min \left\{\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+\ell L}{(\ell+L)\left\|x_{k}\right\|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\} .
$$

If $\alpha_{m, M} \neq 2 \frac{\sqrt{m M}}{m+M}$, or $\alpha_{\ell, L} \neq 2 \frac{\sqrt{\ell L}}{\ell+L}$, then we have

$$
2\left(\frac{m M}{(m+M)^{2}}+\frac{\ell L}{(\ell+L)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|<\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\| .
$$

Proof. If $2\left(\frac{m M}{(m+M)^{2}}+\frac{\ell L}{(\ell+L)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|$ then by theorem 10 we have

$$
\left(\alpha_{m, M}^{2}+\alpha_{\ell, L}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right\|=2\left(\frac{m M}{(m+M)^{2}}+\frac{\ell L}{(\ell+L)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{k}\right\| .
$$

Consequently

$$
\left(\alpha_{m, M}^{2}+\alpha_{\ell, L}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\left(\frac{m M}{(m+M)^{2}}+\frac{\ell L}{(\ell+L)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

On the other hand for $1 \leq k \leq n, \frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+m M}{(m+M)\left\|x_{k}\right\|} \geq 2 \frac{\sqrt{m M}}{m+M}$, and $\frac{\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+\ell L}{(\ell+L)\left\|x_{k}\right\|} \geq 2 \frac{\sqrt{\ell L}}{\ell+L}$, so

$$
\left(\alpha_{m, M}^{2}+\alpha_{\ell, L}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 2\left(\frac{m M}{(m+M)^{2}}+\frac{\ell L}{(\ell+L)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Then

$$
\left(\alpha_{m, M}^{2}+\alpha_{\ell, L}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=2\left(\frac{m M}{(m+M)^{2}}+\frac{\ell L}{(\ell+L)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Hence

$$
\alpha_{m, M}=2 \frac{\sqrt{m M}}{m+M}
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{\ell, L}=2 \frac{\sqrt{\ell L}}{\ell+L}
$$

a contradection.
Finally we mention two applications of our results to the complex numbers.
Corollary 12. Let $a \in C$ with $|a|=1$. Suppose that $z_{k} \in C, k \in$ $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that

$$
\left|z_{k}-a\right| \leq p_{1},\left|z_{k}-i a\right| \leq p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2} \in\left(0, \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\min \left\{\left|z_{k}\right|: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\} .
$$

Let

$$
\alpha_{1}=\min \left\{\frac{\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}-p_{1}^{2}+1}{2\left|z_{k}\right|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}, \alpha_{2}=\min \left\{\frac{\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}-p_{2}^{2}+1}{2\left|z_{k}\right|}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\} .
$$

Then we have the inequality

$$
\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|z_{k}\right| \leq\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k}\right| .
$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k}=\left(\alpha_{1}+i \alpha_{2}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|z_{k}\right|\right) a
$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 2 for $H=C$.
Corollary 13. Let $a \in C$ with $|a|=1$. Suppose that $z_{k} \in C, k \in$ $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that

$$
\left|z_{k}-a\right| \leq 1,\left|z_{k}-i a\right| \leq 1
$$

If $\alpha=\min \left\{\left|z_{k}\right|: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|z_{k}\right| \leq\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k}\right|
$$

the equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k}=\alpha \frac{(1+i)}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|z_{k}\right|\right) a .
$$

Proof. Apply Corollary 3 for $H=C$.
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