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#### Abstract

We prove that the Drinfeld generators of $\mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$ span the image of this Lie algebra in the abelianization of the commutator of the free Lie algebra with two generators. We show that this result implies $\Gamma$-function formulas for arbitrary associators.


## Introduction and main Results

0.1. Results on $\mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$. Let $A, B$ be free noncommutative variables and $\mathbf{k}$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbf{k})=0$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B)$ be the free Lie algebra generated by $A, B$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$ is defined in $[\mathrm{Dr}]$ as the set of all $\psi \in \mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B)$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi(B, A)=-\psi(A, B),  \tag{1}\\
\psi(A, B)+\psi(B, C)+\psi(C, A)=0 \text { if } C=-A-B,  \tag{2}\\
\psi^{12,3,4}-\psi^{1,23,4}+\psi^{1,2,34}=\psi^{2,3,4}+\psi^{1,2,3} . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The last relation takes place in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{4}$, defined as follows. When $n \geq 2, \mathfrak{t}_{n}$ is the Lie algebra with generators $t_{i j}, i \neq j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and relations $t_{i j}=t_{j i}$ if $i \neq j,\left[t_{i j}+t_{i k}, t_{j k}\right]=0$ if $i, j, k$ are distinct, and $\left[t_{i j}, t_{k l}\right]=0$ if $i, j, k, l$ are all distinct. If $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}$ are disjoint subsets of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, then the Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{t}_{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{m}, \psi \mapsto d^{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}}(\psi)=\psi^{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}}$ is defined by $t_{i j} \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in I_{i}, \beta \in I_{j}} t_{\alpha \beta}$. Then $\mathfrak{t}_{3}$ is the direct sum of its center $\mathbf{k}\left(t_{12}+t_{13}+t_{23}\right)$ and the free Lie algebra generated by $t_{12}, t_{23}$, and we use the identifications $A=t_{12}, B=t_{23}$.
$\mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$ is also equipped with a graded Lie algebra structure (it is not a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$ ).
Define $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B)$ as the commutator subalgebra. If we assign degrees 1 to $A$ and $B$, then $\mathfrak{p}$ is the sum of all the components of $\mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B)$ of degree $>1$. Then $\mathfrak{p} /[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is an abelian Lie algebra, linearly spanned by the classes $p_{k \ell}$ of $\operatorname{ad}(A)^{k-1} \operatorname{ad}(B)^{\ell-1}([A, B])$, where $k, \ell \geq 1$. We have $\mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathfrak{p} ; \mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$ is a graded subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$.

In [Dr], Drinfeld constructed a family of elements $\sigma_{n} \in \mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})(n=3,5,7, \ldots)$, such that the image of the class $\left[\sigma_{n}\right]$ of $\sigma_{n}$ in $\mathfrak{p} /[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ under the isomorphism $i: \mathfrak{p} /[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \simeq(\bar{A} \bar{B}) \subset \mathbf{k}[\bar{A}, \bar{B}]$, $p_{k \ell} \mapsto \bar{A}^{k} \bar{B}^{\ell}$ is

$$
i\left(\left[\sigma_{n}\right]\right)=(\bar{A}+\bar{B})^{n}-\bar{A}^{n}-\bar{B}^{n}
$$

We will prove:
Theorem 0.1. Assume that $\psi \in \mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$ is homogeneous of degree $n$. If $n$ is odd and $\geq 3$, then the image $[\psi]$ of $\psi$ in $\mathfrak{p} /[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is proportional to $\left[\sigma_{n}\right]$. Otherwise, this image is zero.

Remark 0.2. Actually, we will show that the conclusion of this theorem is valid if we assume that $\psi$ only satisfies (2) and (3).

Remark 0.3. The maps $\psi \mapsto \psi^{12,3,4}, \psi \mapsto \psi^{1,23,4}$, etc., extend to algebra morphisms $U\left(\mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B)\right) \rightarrow$ $U\left(\mathfrak{t}_{4}\right)$. Similarly to $[\mathrm{EH}]$, one can show that $\left\{\psi \in \mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B) \mid \psi\right.$ satisfies (1), (2) and (3) $\}=$ $\left\{\alpha^{12,3}-\alpha^{1,23}-\alpha^{2,3}+\alpha^{1,2} \mid \alpha \in t_{12} \mathbf{k}\left[t_{12}\right]\right\} \oplus \mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$.
0.2. $\Gamma$-functions for associators. Let $\widehat{F}_{2}$ be the degree completion of $U\left(\mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B)\right)(A$ and $B$ have degree 1).

If $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}$, then $\operatorname{Assoc}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k})$ is defined as the set of all $\Phi \in \widehat{F}_{2}^{\times}$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta(\Phi)=\Phi \otimes \Phi \\
\Phi(A, B) e^{\lambda A / 2} \Phi(C, A) e^{\lambda C / 2} \Phi(B, C) e^{\lambda B / 2}=1 \text { if } C=-A-B \\
\Phi^{2,3,4} \Phi^{1,23,4} \Phi^{1,2,3}=\Phi^{1,2,34} \Phi^{12,3,4}
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, $\Phi$ has the form $\Phi=\exp (\varphi)$, with $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{2}(A, B)$ (the degree completion of $\mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B)$ ).
We also set $\operatorname{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})=\left\{(\lambda, \Phi) \mid \lambda \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}, \Phi \in \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{s s o c}}^{\lambda} \boldsymbol{( k )}(\mathbf{k})\right.$.
If $X$ is any element in $\widehat{F}_{2}$, then there is a unique pair $\left(X_{A}, X_{B}\right)$ of elements of $\widehat{F}_{2}$, such that $X=\varepsilon(X) 1+X_{A} A+X_{B} B$ (here $\varepsilon$ is the counit map of $\widehat{F}_{2}$ ). We denote by $X \mapsto X^{\text {ab }}$ the abelianization morphism $\widehat{F}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[[\bar{A}, \bar{B}]]$, defined as the unique continuous algebra morphism such that $A \mapsto \bar{A}, B \mapsto \bar{B}$.

Recall the formula $\zeta(n)=(2 \pi i)^{n} r_{n}$ for $n$ even, where $r_{n}$ is a rational number (we have $r_{n}=-B_{n} /(2 n!)$, where $B_{n}$ is the Bernoulli number defined by $\left.u /\left(e^{u}-1\right)=\sum_{k \geq 0} B_{k} u^{k} / k!\right)$.
Corollary 0.4. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}$and $\Phi \in \operatorname{Assoc}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k})$, then there exists a unique sequence $\left(\zeta_{\Phi}(n)\right)_{n \geq 2}$ of elements of $\mathbf{k}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\Phi_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(\bar{A}+\bar{B})}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(\bar{A}) \Gamma_{\Phi}(\bar{B})} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\Phi}$ is the invertible formal series $\Gamma_{\Phi}(u)=\exp \left(-\sum_{n \geq 2} \zeta_{\Phi}(n) u^{n} / n\right)$. We have $\zeta_{\Phi}(n)=$ $\lambda^{n} r_{n}$ for $n$ even.

This result is contained in an unpublished paper by Deligne and Terasoma. Our proof relies on Theorem 0.1 and the torsor structure of $\operatorname{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$.
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## 1. Proof of Theorem 0.1

According to [Dr], the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t}_{n}$ have the following properties. The elements $t_{i n}$, $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ generate a free subalgebra $\mathfrak{f}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{t}_{n}$. The Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{t}_{n}$ generated by the $t_{i j}, i \neq j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$. We have $\mathfrak{t}_{n}=\mathfrak{f}_{n-1} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$; this is a semidirect product as $\mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$ may be viewed as a Lie algebra of derivations of $\mathfrak{f}_{n-1}$.

Let us set $T_{n}=U\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}\right)$. The Lie algebra morphisms $\psi \mapsto d^{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}}(\psi)=\psi^{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}}$ extend to algebra morphisms $T_{n} \rightarrow T_{m}$, which we denote in the same way.

We set $d=-d^{2,3,4}+d^{12,3,4}-d^{1,23,4}+d^{1,2,34}-d^{1,2,3}$. So $d=d^{\prime}+d^{\prime \prime}$, where $d^{\prime}=-d^{2,3,4}+$ $d^{12,3,4}-d^{1,23,4}$ and $d^{\prime \prime}=d^{1,2,34}-d^{1,2,3}$. Then $d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}$ are linear maps $T_{3} \rightarrow T_{4}$, which restrict to linear maps $\mathfrak{t}_{3} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{4}$ (which we denote the same way).

Lemma 1.1. The linear maps $d, d^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime \prime} \operatorname{map} \mathfrak{f}_{2} \subset \mathfrak{t}_{3}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{3} \subset \mathfrak{t}_{4}$.
Proof. There is a unique Lie algebra morphism $\varepsilon_{4}: \mathfrak{t}_{4} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{3}$, with $\varepsilon_{4}\left(t_{i j}\right)=t_{i j}$ for $i<j<4$ and $\varepsilon_{4}\left(t_{i 4}\right)=0$ for $i<4$. Then $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\varepsilon_{4}\right)=\mathfrak{f}_{3}$.

We have $\varepsilon_{4} \circ d^{\prime \prime}=0$, hence $d^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathfrak{f}_{2}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(\varepsilon_{4}\right)=\mathfrak{f}_{3}$. On the other hand, the Lie algebra morphisms $d^{2,3,4}, d^{12,3,4}$ and $d^{1,23,4}: \mathfrak{t}_{3} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{4}$ are such that $\left(t_{13}, t_{23}\right) \mapsto\left(t_{24}, t_{34}\right),\left(t_{13}, t_{23}\right) \mapsto$ $\left(t_{14}+t_{24}, t_{34}\right),\left(t_{13}, t_{23}\right) \mapsto\left(t_{14}, t_{24}+t_{34}\right)$, so these morphisms take the generators of $\mathfrak{f}_{2}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{3}$, so they induce Lie algebra morphisms $\mathfrak{f}_{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{3}$. Therefore $d^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{f}_{2}\right) \subset \mathfrak{f}_{3}$. It follows that $d\left(\mathfrak{f}_{2}\right) \subset \mathfrak{f}_{3}$.

We set $F_{n-1}:=U\left(\mathfrak{f}_{n-1}\right), T_{n}:=U\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}\right)$. Then the tensor product of inclusions followed by multiplication induces a linear isomorphism $F_{n-1} \otimes T_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} T_{n}$. We denote by $\Pi: T_{4} \rightarrow F_{4}$ the composition $F_{4} \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_{3} \otimes T_{3} \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes \varepsilon} F_{3}$, where $\varepsilon: T_{3}=U\left(\mathfrak{t}_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is the counit map.

Lemma 1.2. $d^{\prime}: T_{3} \rightarrow T_{4}$ is such that $d^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right) \subset F_{3}$. On the other hand, the composition $F_{2} \xrightarrow{d^{\prime \prime}} T_{3} \xrightarrow{\Pi} F_{3}$ is a linear map $F_{2} \rightarrow F_{3}$ extending $d^{\prime \prime}: \mathfrak{f}_{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{3}$. So we have commuting diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
F_{2} & \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} & F_{3} & & F_{2} & \xrightarrow{d^{\prime \prime}} & T_{3} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & F_{3} \\
\cup & & \cup & \text { and } & \cup & & & & \cup \\
\mathfrak{f}_{2} & \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} & \mathfrak{f}_{3} & & \mathfrak{f}_{2} & & \xrightarrow{d^{\prime \prime}} & & \\
\mathfrak{f}_{3}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. We have seen that the Lie algebra morphisms $d^{2,3,4}, d^{12,3,4}$ and $d^{1,23,4}: \mathfrak{t}_{3} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{4}$ restrict to Lie algebra morphisms $\mathfrak{f}_{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{3}$. It follows that their extensions to algebra morphisms $T_{3} \rightarrow T_{4}$ restrict to algebra morphisms $F_{2} \rightarrow F_{3}$. As $d^{\prime}$ is a linear combination of these morphisms, it follows that $d^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right) \subset F_{3}$.

Let $\psi$ be an element of $\mathfrak{f}_{2}$. We have seen that $d^{\prime \prime}(\psi) \in \mathfrak{f}_{3} \subset F_{3}$. For any $x \in F_{3}$, we have $\Pi(x)=x$. Therefore $\Pi\left(d^{\prime \prime}(\psi)\right)=d^{\prime \prime}(\psi)$.

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ker}\left(d: \mathfrak{f}_{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(d^{\prime}+\Pi \circ d^{\prime \prime}: F_{2} \rightarrow F_{3}\right) \cap \mathfrak{f}_{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define vector subspaces $I_{2} \subset F_{2}$ and $I_{3} \subset F_{3}$ as follows.
Set $X:=t_{13}, Y:=t_{23}$ (elements of $F_{2}$ ). Then $F_{2}=\mathbf{k}\langle X, Y\rangle$. A basis of $F_{2}$ is the set of all words in $X, Y$. We define $I_{2}$ to be the linear span of all words of the form $w X w^{\prime} Y w^{\prime \prime}$, where $w, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}$ are words in $X, Y$. So $I_{2}$ is spanned by the non-lexicographically ordered words, where the order is $Y<X$.

Set $x:=t_{14}, y:=t_{24}, z:=t_{34}$ (elements of $F_{3}$ ). Then $F_{3}=\mathbf{k}\langle x, y, z\rangle$. A basis of $F_{3}$ is the set of all words in $x, y, z$. We define $I_{3}$ to be the linear span of all words of the form $w x w^{\prime} y w^{\prime \prime}, w x w^{\prime} z w^{\prime \prime}$ or $w y w^{\prime} z w^{\prime \prime}$, where $w, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}$ are words in $x, y, z$. So $I_{3}$ is spanned by the non-lexicographically ordered words, where the order is $z<y<x$.

Lemma 1.3. We have linear isomorphisms $F_{2} / I_{2} \simeq \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ and $F_{3} / I_{3} \simeq \mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$, where $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$ on one hand, $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ on the other hand are free commutative variables.

Proof. Let $V_{2} \subset F_{2}$ be the subspace with basis $Y^{b} X^{a}$, where $a, b \geq 0$. Then $V_{2} \oplus I_{2}=F_{2}$, so we have an isomorphism $F_{2} / I_{2} \simeq V_{2}$. We then compose this isomorphism with $V_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$, $Y^{b} X^{a} \mapsto \bar{X}^{a} \bar{Y}^{b}$.

Let $V_{3} \subset F_{3}$ be the subspace with basis $z^{c} y^{b} x^{a}$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$. Then $V_{3} \oplus I_{3}=F_{3}$, so we have an isomorphism $F_{3} / I_{3} \simeq V_{3}$. We then compose this isomorphism with $V_{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$, $z^{c} y^{b} x^{a} \mapsto \bar{x}^{a} \bar{y}^{b} \bar{z}^{c}$.

Remark 1.4. Even though $I_{\alpha}$ is a two-sided ideal of $F_{\alpha}(\alpha=2,3)$, the isomorphisms of Lemma 1.3 are not algebra isomorphisms. Indeed, the algebras $F_{\alpha} / I_{\alpha}$ are noncommutative and have zero divisors.

Lemma 1.5. Define $\pi: F_{2} \rightarrow F_{3}$ are the composition $F_{2} \xrightarrow{d^{1,2,34}} T_{3} \xrightarrow{\Pi} F_{3}$. Then $\Pi \circ d^{\prime \prime}(a)=$ $\pi(a)-\varepsilon(a) 1$ for any $a \in F_{2}$ (here $\varepsilon: F_{2}=U\left(\mathfrak{f}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is the counit map).

Let $\tau_{13}, \tau_{23}$ be the derivations of $F_{3}$ defined by $\tau_{13}: x \mapsto[x, z], y \mapsto 0, z \mapsto[z, x]$ and $\tau_{23}: x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto[y, z], z \mapsto[z, y]$.

Then we have, for any $a \in F_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(X a)=x \pi(a)+\tau_{13}(\pi(a)), \quad \pi(Y a)=y \pi(a)+\tau_{23}(\pi(a)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $a \in T_{3}$, then $\Pi \circ d^{1,2,3}(a)=\varepsilon(a) 1$, where $\varepsilon: T_{3}=U\left(\mathfrak{t}_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is the counit map. So if $a \in F_{2}$, we have $\Pi \circ d^{\prime \prime}(a)=\Pi \circ d^{1,2,34}(a)-\varepsilon(a) 1$.

Let us now prove formulas (6). Let $a \in F_{2}$, then $d^{1,2,34}(a)=\pi(a)+\sum_{i} a_{i} t_{i}$, where $a_{i} \in F_{3}$ and $t_{i} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\varepsilon: T_{3}=U\left(\mathfrak{t}_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\right)$ (here $\varepsilon$ is the counit map). So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d^{1,2,34}(a)=\left(t_{13}+t_{14}\right)\left(\pi(a)+\sum_{i} a_{i} t_{i}\right) \\
& =x\left(\pi(a)+\sum_{i} a_{i} t_{i}\right)+\left[t_{13}, \pi(a)\right]+\pi(a) t_{13}+\sum_{i}\left[t_{13}, a_{i}\right] t_{i}+\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(t_{13} t_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\left[t_{13}, b\right]=\tau_{13}(b)$ for any $b \in F_{2}$, so this is the sum of $x \pi(a)+\tau_{13}(\pi(a))$ and $\sum_{i} x a_{i} t_{i}+$ $\pi(a) t_{13}+\sum_{i} \tau_{13}\left(a_{i}\right) t_{i}+\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(t_{13} t_{i}\right)$. The first term belongs to $F_{3}$ and the second term belongs to $F_{3} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\varepsilon: T_{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\right)$, so the image of their sum by $\Pi$ is the first term, i.e., $x \pi(a)+\tau_{13}(\pi(a))$. This proves the first identity of (6). The second identity is proved in the same way.

Proposition 1.6. We have $d^{\prime}\left(I_{2}\right) \subset I_{3}$ and $\Pi \circ d^{\prime \prime}\left(I_{2}\right) \subset I_{3}$.
Proof. Let $w, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}$ are words in $X, Y$. Then $d^{2,3,4}\left(w X w^{\prime} Y w^{\prime \prime}\right)=d^{2,3,4}(w) y d^{2,3,4}\left(w^{\prime}\right) z d^{2,3,4}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)$, which decomposes as a sum of words of the form $w_{1} y w_{2} z w_{3}$, where $w_{i}$ are words on $x, y, z$. So $d^{2,3,4}\left(w X w^{\prime} Y w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in I_{3}$, which shows that $d^{2,3,4}\left(I_{2}\right) \subset I_{3}$.

In the same way, $d^{12,3,4}\left(w X w^{\prime} Y w^{\prime \prime}\right)=d^{12,3,4}(w)(x+y) d^{12,3,4}\left(w^{\prime}\right) z d^{12,3,4}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ belongs to $I_{3}$, so $d^{12,3,4}\left(I_{2}\right) \subset I_{3}$.

We also have $d^{1,23,4}\left(w X w^{\prime} Y w^{\prime \prime}\right)=d^{12,3,4}(w) x d^{12,3,4}\left(w^{\prime}\right)(y+z) d^{12,3,4}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ belongs to $I_{3}$, so $d^{1,23,4}\left(I_{2}\right) \subset I_{3}$.

Since $d^{\prime}=-d^{2,3,4}+d^{12,3,4}-d^{1,23,4}$, it follows that $d^{\prime}\left(I_{2}\right) \subset I_{3}$.
Let us now prove that $\Pi \circ d^{\prime \prime}\left(I_{2}\right) \subset I_{3}$.
If $a \in F_{2}$, we have $\Pi \circ d^{\prime \prime}(a)=\pi(a)-\varepsilon(a) 1$. Now $\varepsilon(a)=0$ if $a \in I_{2}$, so we have to prove that $\pi\left(I_{2}\right) \subset I_{3}$.

We denote by $I_{2}[n]$ the degree $n$ part of $I_{2}$ (here $X, Y$ have degree 1 ). We will prove by induction on $n$ that $\pi\left(I_{2}[n]\right) \subset I_{3}$.

When $n=2, I_{2}[n]$ is spanned by $X Y$. Then $\pi(X Y)=\Pi\left(\left(t_{13}+x\right)\left(t_{23}+y\right)\right)=x y+\tau_{13}(y)=x y$ belongs to $I_{3}$.

Let $n \geq 3$ and assume that we have proved that $\pi\left(I_{2}[n-1]\right) \subset I_{3}$. Let us prove that $\pi\left(I_{2}[n]\right) \subset I_{3}$.
$I_{2}[n]$ is spanned by the words $Y w X w^{\prime} Y w^{\prime \prime}$, where $w, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}$ are words in $X, Y$ of total length $n-3$, and by the words $X Y^{b} X^{a}$, where $a \geq 0, b>0$ and $a+b=n-1$. We should prove that $\pi$ takes these words to $I_{3}$.

Let us study the image of the first family of words. Set $w^{\prime \prime \prime}:=w X w^{\prime} Y w^{\prime \prime}$. Then $w^{\prime \prime \prime} \in$ $I_{2}[n-1]$, and according to (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(Y w^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=y \pi\left(w^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)+\tau_{23}\left(\pi\left(w^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the induction hypothesis implies that $\pi\left(w^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \in I_{3}$. Since $I_{3}$ is a two-sided ideal of $I_{3}$, $y \pi\left(w^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \in I_{3}$.

On the other hand, let us prove that if $a \in I_{3}$, then $\tau_{23}(a) \in I_{3}$. If $a$ is a word of the form $w x w^{\prime} y w^{\prime \prime}$, then

$$
\tau_{23}(a)=\tau_{23}(w) x w^{\prime} y w^{\prime \prime}+w x \tau_{23}\left(w^{\prime}\right) y w^{\prime \prime}+w x w^{\prime}[y, z] w^{\prime \prime} \in I_{3} .
$$

If $a$ has the form $w x w^{\prime} z w^{\prime \prime}$, then

$$
\tau_{23}(a)=\tau_{23}(w) x w^{\prime} z w^{\prime \prime}+w x \tau_{23}\left(w^{\prime}\right) z w^{\prime \prime}+w x w^{\prime}[z, y] w^{\prime \prime} \in I_{3}
$$

If $a$ has the form $w y w^{\prime} z w^{\prime \prime}$, then

$$
\tau_{23}(a)=\tau_{23}(w) y w^{\prime} z w^{\prime \prime}+w[y, z] w^{\prime} z w^{\prime \prime}+w y \tau_{23}\left(w^{\prime}\right) z w^{\prime \prime}+w y w^{\prime}[z, y] w^{\prime \prime}+w y w^{\prime} z \tau_{23}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in I_{3}
$$

By linearity, it follows that if $a \in I_{3}$, then $\tau_{23}(a) \in I_{3}$.
In particular, $\tau_{23}\left(\pi\left(w^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right) \in I_{3}$. Therefore (7) implies that $\pi\left(Y w^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \in I_{3}$.
Let us now study the image of the second family of words, i.e. $\pi\left(X Y^{b} X^{a}\right)$, where $b>0$ and $a+b=n-1$.

Let us first show:
Lemma 1.7. $\pi\left(Y^{b} X^{a}\right)$ has positive valuation ${ }^{1}$ in $y$.
Proof of Lemma. Let $\varepsilon_{y}: F_{3} \rightarrow F_{2}=\mathbf{k}\left\langle t_{13}, t_{23}\right\rangle$ be the morphism defined by $x \mapsto t_{13}, y \mapsto 0$, $z \mapsto t_{23}$. We want to show that $\varepsilon_{y} \circ \pi\left(Y^{b} X^{a}\right)=0$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{t}_{3}=\mathfrak{f}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{2}$, therefore the composed map $F_{2} \otimes T_{2} \rightarrow T_{3}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow T_{3}$ (the first map is the tensor product of inclusions, the second map is the product) is a linear isomorphism $F_{2} \otimes T_{2} \xrightarrow{\simeq} T_{3}$. We denote by $\Pi_{3}$ the composed map $T_{3} \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_{2} \otimes T_{2} \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes \varepsilon} F_{2}$. Then we have a commutative diagram

| $T_{4}$ | $\xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{2}}$ | $T_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Pi \downarrow$ |  | $\downarrow \Pi_{3}$ |
| $F_{3}$ | $\xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{y}}$ | $F_{2}$ |

Here $\varepsilon_{2}: T_{4} \rightarrow T_{3}$ is the morphism induced by the Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{t}_{4} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{3}, t_{i j} \mapsto 0$ if $i$ or $j=2, t_{13} \mapsto t_{12}, t_{14} \mapsto t_{13}, t_{34} \mapsto t_{23}$. Indeed,

$$
P\left(t_{14}, t_{24}, t_{34}\right) Q\left(t_{12}, t_{13}, t_{23}\right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{2}} P\left(t_{13}, 0, t_{23}\right) Q\left(0, t_{12}, 0\right) \xrightarrow{\Pi_{3}} P\left(t_{13}, 0, t_{23}\right) Q(0,0,0),
$$

whereas

$$
P\left(t_{14}, t_{24}, t_{34}\right) Q\left(t_{12}, t_{13}, t_{23}\right) \xrightarrow{\Pi} P\left(t_{14}, t_{24}, t_{34}\right) Q(0,0,0) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{y}} P\left(t_{13}, 0, t_{23}\right) Q(0,0,0) .
$$

It follows that $\varepsilon_{y} \circ \pi\left(Y^{b} X^{a}\right)=\Pi_{2} \circ \varepsilon_{2} \circ d^{1,2,34}\left(Y^{b} X^{a}\right)$. Now $\varepsilon_{2} \circ d^{1,2,34}\left(Y^{b} X^{a}\right)=\left(t_{23}+\right.$ $\left.t_{24}\right)^{b}\left(t_{13}+t_{14}\right)^{a}=0$ since $b>0$.

Lemma 1.8. If $w$ is a word in $x, y, z$ of positive degree in $y$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x w+\tau_{13}(w) \in I_{3} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma. The word $x w$ contains $x y$ as a subword, hence $x w \in I_{3}$. Let us now write $w$ as a product $w^{\prime} y w^{\prime \prime}$, where $w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}$ are words. We have $\tau_{13}(w)=\tau_{13}\left(w^{\prime}\right) y w^{\prime \prime}+w^{\prime} y \tau_{13}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)$. In general, if $w^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a word, then $\tau_{13}\left(w^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ has positive valuation both in $x$ and $z$. Since $\tau_{13}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ (resp., $\tau_{13}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ) has positive valuation in $x$ (resp., in $z$ ), $\tau_{13}\left(w^{\prime}\right) y w^{\prime \prime}$ (resp., $w^{\prime} y \tau_{13}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ) contains $x y$ (resp., $y z$ ) as a subword. If follows that $\tau_{13}(w) \in I_{3}$. This implies (8).

End of proof of Proposition. Now (6), Lemma 1.7 and Lemma 1.8 imply that $\pi\left(X Y^{b} X^{a}\right) \in I_{3}$.

It follows that $d^{\prime}$ and $\Pi \circ d^{\prime \prime}$ induce maps $F_{2} / I_{2} \rightarrow F_{3} / I_{3}$, which we compute explicitly.
Lemma 1.9. The maps $\bar{d}^{\prime}, \bar{d}^{\prime \prime}: \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ induced by $d^{\prime}$ and $\Pi \circ d^{\prime \prime}$ are given by $\bar{d}^{\prime}=-\bar{d}^{2,3,4}+\bar{d}^{12,3,4}-\bar{d}^{1,23,4}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{d}^{2,3,4}: f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \mapsto f(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \\
\bar{d}^{12,3,4}: f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \mapsto \frac{\bar{x} f(\bar{x}, \bar{z})-\bar{y} f(\bar{y}, \bar{z})}{\bar{x}-\bar{y}}, \\
\bar{d}^{1,23,4}: f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \mapsto \frac{\bar{y} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})-\bar{z} f(\bar{x}, \bar{z})}{\bar{y}-\bar{z}},
\end{gathered}
$$

[^0]and by
$$
\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}: 1 \mapsto 0, \bar{X} f(\bar{X}) \mapsto \bar{x} f(\bar{x}-\bar{z}), \bar{Y} f(\bar{Y}) \mapsto \bar{y} f(\bar{y}-\bar{z}), \bar{X} \bar{Y} f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \mapsto \bar{x} \bar{y} f(\bar{x}-\bar{z}, \bar{y}-\bar{z})
$$

Here $f(\bar{X}), f(\bar{Y})$ (resp., $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ ) are arbitrary 1-variable (resp., 2-variable) polynomials.
Proof. The maps $d^{2,3,4}, d^{12,3,4}$ and $d^{1,23,4}$ all take $I_{2}$ to $I_{3}$, so they induce maps $\bar{d}^{2,3,4}, \bar{d}^{12,3,4}$ and $\bar{d}^{1,23,4}: F_{2} / I_{2} \rightarrow F_{3} / I_{3}$. We then have $\bar{d}^{\prime}=-\bar{d}^{2,3,4}+\bar{d}^{12,3,4}-\bar{d}^{1,23,4}$.

Let us compute $\bar{d}^{2,3,4}$. We have $d^{2,3,4}\left(Y^{b} X^{a}\right)=z^{b} y^{a}$, whose image in $F_{3} / I_{3}$ is $\bar{z}^{b} \bar{y}^{a}$. This implies the formula for $\bar{d}^{2,3,4}$.

Let us compute $\bar{d}^{12,3,4}$. We have $d^{12,3,4}\left(Y^{b} X^{a}\right)=z^{b}(x+y)^{a}$, whose projection on $V_{3}$ along $I_{3}$ is $z^{b}\left(y^{a}+y^{a-1} x+\cdots+x^{a}\right)$. The image of this element in $\mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ is

$$
\left(\bar{x}^{a}+\bar{x}^{a-1} \bar{y}+\cdots+\bar{y}^{a}\right) \bar{z}^{b}=\frac{\bar{x}^{a+1}-\bar{y}^{a+1}}{\bar{x}-\bar{y}} \bar{z}^{b}
$$

The formula for $\bar{d}^{12,3,4}$ follows by linearity.
One computes $\bar{d}^{1,23,4}$ in the same way. We have $d^{1,23,4}\left(Y^{b} X^{a}\right)=(y+z)^{b} x^{a}$, whose projection on $V_{3}$ along $I_{3}$ is is $\left(z^{b}+z^{b-1} y+\cdots+y^{b}\right) x^{a}$. The image of this element in $\mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ is

$$
\bar{x}^{a}\left(\bar{y}^{b}+\bar{y}^{b-1} \bar{z}+\cdots+\bar{z}^{b}\right)=\bar{x}^{a} \frac{\bar{y}^{b+1}-\bar{z}^{b+1}}{\bar{y}-\bar{z}}
$$

The formula for $\bar{d}^{1,23,4}$ follows by linearity.
Let us now compute $\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}$. Clearly $\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}(1)=0$. Let $n>0$ and let us compute $\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{X}^{n}\right)$. This is the image in $F_{3} / I_{3}$ of $\Pi \circ d^{1,2,34}\left(X^{n}\right)=\Pi\left(\left(t_{13}+t_{14}\right)^{n}\right)$. We have

$$
\left(t_{13}+t_{14}\right)^{n}=\left(\left(t_{14}+t_{34}+t_{13}\right)-t_{34}\right)^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} C_{n}^{k}\left(t_{34}\right)^{k}\left(t_{14}+t_{34}+t_{13}\right)^{n-k}
$$

since $\left[t_{14}+t_{34}+t_{13}, t_{34}\right]=0$. Now $\left[t_{13}, t_{14}+t_{34}\right]=0$, hence

$$
\left(t_{34}\right)^{k}\left(t_{14}+t_{34}+t_{13}\right)^{n-k}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-k} C_{n-k}^{\alpha}\left(t_{34}\right)^{k}\left(t_{14}+t_{34}\right)^{n-k-\alpha}\left(t_{13}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

which is mapped by $\Pi$ to $\left(t_{34}\right)^{k}\left(t_{14}+t_{34}\right)^{n-k}=z^{k}(x+z)^{n-k}$. The projection of this element on $V_{3}$ along $I_{3}$ is $z^{k}\left(z^{n-k}+z^{n-k-1} x+\cdots+x^{n-k}\right)$, whose image in $\mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ is $\bar{z}^{k}\left(\bar{x}^{n-k+1}-\right.$ $\left.\bar{z}^{n-k+1}\right) /(\bar{x}-\bar{z})$. So

$$
\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{X}^{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} C_{n}^{k} \frac{\bar{x}^{n-k+1}-\bar{z}^{n-k+1}}{\bar{x}-\bar{z}} \bar{z}^{k}=\bar{x}(\bar{x}-\bar{z})^{n-1}
$$

This implies the formula for $\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}(X f(X))$. The formula for $\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}(\bar{Y} f(\bar{Y}))$ is proved in the same way.

Let us now prove by induction on $k+\ell$ that when $k, \ell>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{X}^{k} \bar{Y}^{\ell}\right)=\bar{x} \bar{y}(\bar{x}-\bar{z})^{k-1}(\bar{y}-\bar{z})^{\ell-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $k=\ell=1, d^{1,2,34}(Y X)=\left(t_{23} t_{13}\right)^{1,2,34} \xrightarrow{\Pi} t_{24} t_{14}+\left[t_{23}, t_{14}\right]=y x$ hence $\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}(\bar{X} \bar{Y})=\bar{x} \bar{y}$, which proves (9) in this case.

Assume that (9) holds for $k+\ell<n$ and let us prove it for $k+\ell=n(k, \ell>0)$.

When $\ell=1$, we have $\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{X}^{k}\right)=\bar{x}(\bar{x}-\bar{z})^{k-1}$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(X^{k}\right)=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{\alpha} C_{k-1}^{\alpha} z^{\alpha} x^{k-\alpha}+\xi, \quad \text { where } \quad \xi \in I_{3} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (6) implies that

$$
\pi\left(Y X^{k}\right)=y \pi\left(X^{k}\right)+\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{\alpha} C_{k-1}^{\alpha} \tau_{23}\left(z^{\alpha} x^{k-\alpha}\right)+\tau_{23}(\xi)
$$

Now (10) implies that $y \pi\left(X^{k}\right) \in y x^{k}+I_{3}$. The projection of $\tau_{23}\left(z^{\alpha} x^{k-\alpha}\right)$ on $V_{3}$ along $I_{3}$ is $z^{\alpha} y x^{k-\alpha}$ if $\alpha \neq 0,0$ otherwise.

Lemma 1.10. $\tau_{23}\left(I_{3}\right) \subset I_{3}$.
Proof of Lemma. If $w, w^{\prime}$ and $w^{\prime \prime}$ are any words in $x, y, z$, then

$$
\tau_{23}\left(w x w^{\prime} y w^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{23}(w) x w^{\prime} y w^{\prime \prime}+w x \tau_{23}\left(w^{\prime}\right) y w^{\prime \prime}+w x w^{\prime}[y, z] w^{\prime \prime}+w x w^{\prime} y \tau_{23}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in I_{3}
$$

One proves similarly that $\tau_{23}\left(w x w^{\prime} z w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\tau_{23}\left(w y w^{\prime} z w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ belong to $I_{3}$.
So $\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{X}^{k} \bar{Y}\right)=\bar{x}^{k} \bar{y}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{\alpha} C_{k-1}^{\alpha} \bar{x}^{k-\alpha} \bar{y} \bar{z}^{\alpha}=\bar{x} \bar{y}(\bar{x}-\bar{z})^{k-1}$, which proves (9) in this case.

When $\ell>1$, we use (9) for $(k, \ell-1)$. This gives

$$
\pi\left(Y^{\ell-1} X^{k}\right)=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k=1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\ell-2}(-1)^{\alpha+\beta} C_{k-1}^{\alpha} C_{\ell-1}^{\beta} z^{\alpha+\beta} y^{\ell-1-\beta} x^{k-\alpha}+\eta
$$

where $\eta \in I_{3}$.
Then (6) implies that

$$
\pi\left(Y^{\ell} X^{k}\right)=y \pi\left(Y^{\ell-1} X^{k}\right)+\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\ell-2}(-1)^{\alpha+\beta} C_{k-1}^{\alpha} C_{\ell-1}^{\beta} \tau_{23}\left(z^{\alpha+\beta} y^{\ell-1-\beta} x^{k-\alpha}\right)+\tau_{23}(\eta)
$$

All the terms in the expansion of $y \pi\left(Y^{\ell-1} X^{k}\right)$ belong to $I_{3}$, except the terms corresponding to $\alpha=\beta=0$, so $y \pi\left(Y^{\ell-1} X^{k}\right) \in y^{\ell} x^{k}+I_{3}$.

If $a, b, c \geq 0$, then the projection of $\tau_{23}\left(z^{c} y^{b} x^{a}\right)$ on $V_{3}$ along $I_{3}$ is $z^{c}(y-z) y^{b} x^{a}$ if $b \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$; it is $z^{c} y^{b+1} x^{a}$ if $c \neq 0$ and $b=0$; it is $-z^{c+1} y^{b} x^{a}$ if $c=0$ and $b \neq 0$; and it is 0 if $b=c=0$.

Lemma 1.10 implies that $\tau_{23}(\eta) \in I_{3}$. Then the projection of $\pi\left(Y^{\ell} X^{k}\right)$ on $V_{3}$ along $I_{3}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\ell} x^{k}-z y^{\ell-1} x^{k}+\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in(\{0, \ldots, k-1\} \times\{0, \ldots, \ell-2\})-\{(0,0)\}}(-1)^{\alpha+\beta} C_{k-1}^{\alpha} C_{\ell-2}^{\beta} z^{\alpha+\beta}(y-z) y^{\ell-1-\beta} x^{k-\alpha} \\
& =\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{\alpha+\beta} C_{k-1}^{\alpha} C_{\ell-2}^{\beta} z^{\alpha+\beta}(y-z) y^{\ell-1-\beta} x^{k-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{X}^{k} \bar{Y}^{\ell}\right)=(\bar{y}-\bar{z}) \bar{x} \bar{y}(\bar{x}-\bar{z})^{k-1}(\bar{y}-\bar{z})^{\ell-2}=\bar{x} \bar{y}(\bar{x}-\bar{z})^{k-1}(\bar{y}-\bar{z})^{\ell-1}$, which proves (9) in this case. This proves the induction.

Lemma 1.11. Define $\bar{d}=\bar{d}^{\prime}+\bar{d}^{\prime \prime}: \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$. The kernel of $\left.\bar{d}_{\mid(\bar{X}} \bar{Y}\right):(\bar{X} \bar{Y}) \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ is equal to the linear span of the $\bar{X}\left(\bar{X}^{n}-\bar{Y}^{n}-(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})^{n}\right) /(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})$, where $n \geq 2$.

Proof. The map $\bar{d}_{\mid(\bar{X} \bar{Y})}$ takes $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -f(\bar{y}, \bar{z})+\frac{\bar{x} f(\bar{x}, \bar{z})-\bar{y} f(\bar{y}, \bar{z})}{\bar{x}-\bar{y}}-\frac{\bar{y} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})-\bar{z} f(\bar{x}, \bar{z})}{\bar{y}-\bar{z}}+\frac{\bar{x} \bar{y} f(\bar{x}-\bar{z}, \bar{y}-\bar{z})}{(\bar{x}-\bar{z})(\bar{y}-\bar{z})} \\
& =\frac{\bar{x} \bar{y}}{(\bar{x}-\bar{y})(\bar{y}-\bar{z})}(g(\bar{x}-\bar{z}, \bar{y}-\bar{z})+g(\bar{x}, \bar{z})-g(\bar{y}, \bar{z})-g(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(\bar{x}, \bar{y})=\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$.
So $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \in \operatorname{Ker}(\bar{d}) \cap(\bar{X} \bar{Y})$ iff $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \in(\bar{X} \bar{Y})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\bar{x}-\bar{z}, \bar{y}-\bar{z})+g(\bar{x}, \bar{z})-g(\bar{y}, \bar{z})-g(\bar{x}, \bar{y})=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us solve (11), where $g(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$. By linearity, we may assume that $g$ is homogeneous; let $n$ be its degree. If $n=0$, we get $g=$ a constant polynomial. Assume that $n>0$. Applying $(\partial / \partial \bar{z})_{\mid \bar{z}=0}$ to (11), we get

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}\right) g(\bar{x}, \bar{y})=c\left(\bar{x}^{n-1}-\bar{y}^{n-1}\right)
$$

for some $c \in \mathbf{k}$. This gives $c(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=h(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})+c\left(\bar{X}^{n}-\bar{Y}^{n}\right) / n$, there $h(\bar{X}) \in \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}]$ has degree $n$, so $g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=c\left(\bar{X}^{n}-\bar{Y}^{n}\right) / n+c^{\prime}(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})^{n}$, for some $c^{\prime} \in \mathbf{k}$.

Substituting this is (11), we get $c^{\prime}=-c / n$, so the set of solutions of degree $n$ of (11) is the linear span of $g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=\bar{X}^{n}-\bar{Y}^{n}-(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})^{n}$, where $n \geq 0$.

It follows that $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \in \operatorname{Ker}(\bar{d}) \cap(\bar{X} \bar{Y})$ iff $f$ is a linear span of the $\bar{X}\left(\bar{X}^{n}-\bar{Y}^{n}-(\bar{X}-\right.$ $\left.\bar{Y})^{n}\right) /(\bar{X}-\bar{Y}), n \geq 0$ and belongs to $(\bar{X} \bar{Y})$. This means that $f$ is a linear span of the same elements, where $n \geq 2$.

Let us now prove Theorem 0.1. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{t}_{3}$ be a solution of (2) and (3), homogeneous of degree $n$. One checks that if $n=1$, then $\psi=0$; let us assume that $n \geq 2$. Recall that $\mathfrak{f}_{2} \subset \mathfrak{t}_{3}$ is the Lie subalgebra generated by $X=t_{13}$ and $Y=t_{23}$, and that $\mathfrak{t}_{3}=\mathbf{k} \cdot\left(t_{12}+t_{13}+t_{23}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{f}_{2}$. Since this is a graded decomposition, we have $\psi \in \mathfrak{f}_{2}^{\prime}$, where $\mathfrak{f}_{2}^{\prime}=\left[\mathfrak{f}_{2}, \mathfrak{f}_{2}\right]$ is the degree $\geq 2$ part of $\mathfrak{f}_{2}$ (it coincides with $\mathfrak{p}$ defined in the Introduction, since it coincides with $\mathfrak{t}_{3}^{\prime}=\left[\mathfrak{t}_{3}, \mathfrak{t}_{3}\right]$ ).

Let us set $P_{k \ell}=\operatorname{ad}(X)^{k-1} \operatorname{ad}(Y)^{\ell-1}([X, Y])$ (here $\left.k, \ell \geq 1\right)$. Then $\mathfrak{p} /[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is an abelian Lie algebra with basis $\left[P_{k \ell}\right], k, \ell \geq 1$. We have therefore $\psi=\sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n} a_{k \ell} P_{k \ell}+\psi^{\prime}$, where $\psi^{\prime} \in[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$. We set

$$
a(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}):=\sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n} a_{k \ell} \bar{X}^{k} \bar{Y}^{\ell} \in \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] .
$$

Lemma 1.12. The image of $P_{k \ell}$ in $F_{2} / I_{2} \simeq \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ is $(-1)^{k} \bar{X}^{k} \bar{Y}^{\ell}$. We have $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset I_{2}$.
Proof. The first statmeent follows from the expansion of $P_{k \ell}$. Let us denote by $\left(F_{2}\right)_{>0} \subset F_{2}$ the subspace of all elements of positive valuation both in $X$ and in $Y$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \subset\left(F_{2}\right)_{>0}$. So $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset\left(\left(F_{2}\right)_{>0}\right)^{2} \subset I_{2}$, which proves the second statement.

Let us denote by $\bar{\psi}$ the image of $\psi$ in $F_{2} / I_{2} \simeq \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$. Then $\bar{\psi}=\sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n}(-1)^{k} a_{k \ell} \bar{X}^{k} \bar{Y}^{\ell}=$ $a(-\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$.

The image of $\left(F_{2}\right)_{>0}$ by the projection map $F_{2} \rightarrow F_{2} / I_{2}=\mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ is the ideal $(\bar{X} \bar{Y})$. Since $\psi \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have $\bar{\psi} \in(\bar{X} \bar{Y})$.

On the other hand, we have $\bar{d}(\bar{\psi})=0$. It then follows from Lemma 1.11 that for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, we have $a(-\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=\lambda \bar{X}\left(\bar{X}^{n}-\bar{Y}^{n}-(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})^{n}\right) /(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})$, i.e.,

$$
a(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=(-1)^{n+1} \lambda \bar{X} \frac{(\bar{X}+\bar{Y})^{n}-\bar{X}^{n}+(-1)^{n} \bar{Y}^{n}}{\bar{X}+\bar{Y}}
$$

Recall that $p_{k \ell}=\operatorname{ad}(A)^{k-1} \operatorname{ad}(B)^{\ell-1}([A, B])$, where $A=t_{12}$ and $B=t_{23}$. Let $b_{k \ell}(k, \ell>0$, $k+\ell=n$ ) be the coefficients such that $\psi \in \sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n} b_{k \ell} p_{k \ell}+[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$. We set $b(\bar{A}, \bar{B})=$ $\sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n} b_{k \ell} \bar{A}^{k} \bar{B}^{\ell} \in \mathbf{k}[\bar{A}, \bar{B}]$. Then $b(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ is the image of the class $[\psi]$ of $\psi$ in $\mathfrak{p} /[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ under $i: \mathfrak{p} /[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \simeq(\bar{A} \bar{B})$ defined in the Introduction.

In general, the polynomials $a(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ and $b(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ are related by

$$
b(\bar{A}, \bar{B})=-\frac{\bar{A}}{\bar{A}+\bar{B}} a(-\bar{A}-\bar{B}, \bar{B})
$$

so in our case

$$
b(\bar{A}, \bar{B})=\lambda\left(\bar{A}^{n}+\bar{B}^{n}-(\bar{A}+\bar{B})^{n}\right)
$$

Now the image of condition (2) in $\mathfrak{p} /[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is that $b(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ satisfies

$$
\frac{b(\bar{A}, \bar{B})}{\bar{A} \bar{B}}+\frac{b(\bar{B}, \bar{C})}{\bar{B} \bar{C}}+\frac{b(\bar{C}, \bar{A})}{\bar{C} \bar{A}}=0
$$

where $\bar{C}=-\bar{A}-\bar{B}$.
Now $\bar{C} \psi(\bar{A}, \bar{B})+\bar{A} \psi(\bar{B}, \bar{C})+\bar{B} \psi(\bar{C}, \bar{A})=-\lambda\left(1+(-1)^{n}\right)\left(\bar{A}^{n+1}+\bar{B}^{n+1}+\bar{C}^{n+1}\right)$.
It follows that if $n$ is even, then the image of (2) implies $\lambda=0$, therefore the image $[\psi]$ of $\psi$ in $\mathfrak{p} /[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is zero, and that if $n$ is odd, then the image of (2) is automatically satisfied, so that $b(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ is proportional to $(\bar{A}+\bar{B})^{n}-\bar{A}^{n}-\bar{B}^{n}$, i.e., $[\psi]$ is proportional to $\left[\sigma_{n}\right]$. This ends the proof of Theorem 0.1.

## 2. Proof of Corollary 0.4

Recall that $\operatorname{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$ is a torsor under the right action of a group $\operatorname{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$. We will first prove:

Proposition 2.1. Set $\boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbf{k})=\{\Phi \in \operatorname{Assoc}(\mathbf{k}) \mid(4)$ holds $\}$. Then $\mathbf{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbf{k})$ is stable under the action of $\operatorname{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathbf{A s s o c}(\mathbf{k})$. Therefore $\mathbf{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbf{k})$ is either $\emptyset$ or $\mathbf{A s s o c}(\mathbf{k})$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $\Phi \in \operatorname{Assoc}^{*}(\mathbf{k})$ and let $g \in \operatorname{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$. We should prove that $\Phi * g$ satisfies (4).

Recall that $\operatorname{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$ is the semidirect product $\operatorname{GRT}_{1}(\mathbf{k}) \rtimes \mathbf{k}^{\times}$, where $\operatorname{GRT}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$ is the prounipotent group exponentiating $\mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$, and the action of $\mathbf{k}^{\times}$on $\operatorname{GRT}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$ is the exponential of its action on $\mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$ induced by the grading. So it suffices to check that $\Phi * g$ satisfies (4) when $g \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}$, and when $g \in \operatorname{GRT}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$.

If $g=\mu \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}$, then $\Phi * \mu=\Phi(\mu A, \mu B)$, therefore $\Phi * \mu$ satisfies (4) with $\zeta_{\Phi * \mu}(n)=\mu^{n} \zeta_{\Phi}(n)$.
If $g \in \operatorname{GRT}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$, then $g=\exp (\psi)$, where $\psi \in \mathfrak{g r t}_{1}(\mathbf{k})$. We set $\Phi_{t}:=\Phi * \exp (t \psi)$. According to Theorem 0.1, there exist scalars $\mu_{n} \in \mathbf{k}(n$ odd $\geq 3)$ such that $[\psi]=\sum_{n \text { odd, } n \geq 3} \mu_{n}\left[\sigma_{n}\right]$. Since $\psi \in \mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B)$, this means that $\left(\psi_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\sum_{n \text { odd, } n \geq 3} \mu_{n}\left((\bar{A}+\bar{B})^{n}-\bar{A}^{n}-\bar{B}^{n}\right)$.

Let $\varepsilon$ be a formal variable with $\varepsilon^{2}=0$. Then $\Phi_{t+\varepsilon}=\Phi_{t}+\varepsilon\left(\Phi_{t} \psi+D_{\psi}\left(\Phi_{t}\right)\right)$, where $D_{\psi}$ is the derivation of $\mathfrak{f}_{2}(A, B)$ such that $D_{\psi}(A)=[\psi, A], D_{\psi}(B)=0$.

Using the decompositions $\psi=\psi_{A} A+\psi_{B} B, \Phi_{t}=1+\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{A} A+\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{B} B$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{t+\varepsilon}=1+\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{A} A+\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{B} B \\
& +\varepsilon\left(\Phi_{t} \psi_{A} A+\Phi_{t} \psi_{B} B+D_{\psi}\left(\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{A}\right) A+D_{\psi}\left(\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{B}\right) B+\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{A}\left(\psi A-A\left(\psi_{A} A+\psi_{B} B\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\left(\Phi_{t+\varepsilon}\right)_{B} B=\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{B} B+\varepsilon\left(\Phi_{t} \psi_{B} B+D_{\psi}\left(\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{B}\right) B-\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{A} A \psi_{B} B\right)
$$

Let us apply the abelianization to this formula. Since $\Phi_{t} \in \exp \left(\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{2}(A, B)\right)$, we have $\Phi_{t}^{\mathrm{ab}}=1$ and so $\left(\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{A} A+\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=0$. Therefore

$$
(d / d t)\left(\left(\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\left(\psi_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(1-\left(\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{A} A\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\left(\psi_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(1+\left(\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)
$$

Therefore $1+\left(\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left(1+\left(\Phi_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \exp \left(t\left(\psi_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$, and with $t=1$ this gives $1+((\Phi *$ $\left.g)_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left(1+\left(\Phi_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \exp \left(\left(\psi_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$.

Since $\Phi$ satisfies (4), we get $1+\left((\Phi * g)_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\Gamma_{\Phi * g}(\bar{A}+\bar{B}) /\left(\Gamma_{\Phi * g}(\bar{A}) \Gamma_{\Phi * g}(\bar{B})\right)$, where $\Gamma_{\Phi * g}(s)=\Gamma_{\Phi}(s) \exp \left(\sum_{n \text { odd, } n>3} \mu_{n} s^{n}\right)$, i.e. $\Phi * g$ satisfies (4) with $\zeta_{\Phi * g}(n)=\zeta_{\Phi}(n)-n \mu_{n}$ for $n$ odd $\geq 3$, and $\zeta_{\Phi * g}(n)=\zeta_{\Phi}(n)$ for $n$ even $\geq 2$.

Let us now prove Corollary 0.4. Proposition 2.1 implies that $\boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbf{k})$ is either $\emptyset$ or Assoc(k).

Let $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k}^{\prime}$ be fields of characteristic 0 . It is immediate that if $\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbf{k}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{A s s o c}{ }^{*}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { A s s o c }}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)$, then $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { A s s o c }}{ }^{*}(\mathbf{k})=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { A s s o c }}(\mathbf{k})$. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbf{k}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbf{k})=$ $\operatorname{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$, then $\boldsymbol{A s s o c}{ }^{*}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{A s s o c}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)$ : indeed, Proposition 5.3 of $[\mathrm{Dr}]$ implies that $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { A s s o c }}(\mathbf{k}) \neq$ $\emptyset$, so $\boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbf{k}) \neq \emptyset$; we have obviously $\boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbf{k}) \subset \boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)$, hence $\boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$; then Proposition 2.1 implies that $\operatorname{Assoc}^{*}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{A s s o c}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that if for some $\mathbf{k}$, $\operatorname{Assoc}^{*}(\mathbf{k}) \neq \emptyset$, then $\boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbf{k})=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { A s s o c }}(\mathbf{k})$ for any k. We will now prove that $\boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbb{C}) \neq$ $\emptyset$.

Let $\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}$ be the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov associator defined as in [Dr] as the renormalized holonomy from 0 to 1 of the differential equation $G^{\prime}(z)=\left(\frac{A}{z}+\frac{B}{z-1}\right) G(z)$. Then $\left(2 \pi i, \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Assoc}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies (4) with $\zeta_{\Phi}(n)=\zeta(n)$ for any $n \geq 2$. Indeed, in [Dr], (2.15), it is proved that

$$
\left[\log \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right]=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\zeta(n)}{n}\left(\bar{A}^{n}+\bar{B}^{n}-(\bar{A}+\bar{B})^{n}\right)\right)-1
$$

Then $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right)_{A}=\frac{\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}-1}}{\log \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}}\left(\log \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right)_{A},\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right)_{B}=\frac{\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}-1}{\log \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}}\left(\log \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right)_{B}$, therefore $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right)_{B}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left(\log \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right)_{B}^{\mathrm{ab}}=$ $\left[\log \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right] / \bar{B}$ (the last equality follows from $\log \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}} \in \mathfrak{p}$ ). So

$$
1+\left(\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}\right)_{B} B\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\frac{\Gamma_{\bmod }(\bar{A}+\bar{B})}{\Gamma_{\bmod }(\bar{A}) \Gamma_{\bmod }(\bar{B})}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\bmod }(u)=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 2}-\frac{\zeta(n)}{n} u^{n}\right)$ is related to the $\Gamma$-function by $\Gamma_{\bmod }(u)=e^{\gamma u} /(-u \Gamma(-u))$, where $\gamma$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. It follows that $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}, 2 \pi i\right) \in \boldsymbol{A s s o c}^{*}(\mathbb{C})$, therefore for any $\mathbf{k}, \operatorname{Assoc}^{*}(\mathbf{k})=\operatorname{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The valuation in $x_{i}$ of a nonzero element of a free algebra $k\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ is the smallest degree in $x_{i}$ of a word appearing with a nontrivial coefficient in its decomposition; the valuation of 0 is $+\infty$.

