arXiv:math/0502339v1 [math.ST] 16 Feb 2005

Algebraic Characterizations of Consensus Problems for Networked Dynamic Systems *

Long Wang, Hong Shi, Feng Xiao, and Aiping Wang
Intelligent Control Laboratory, Center for Systems and Control,
Department of Mechanics and Engineering Science,
Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.
E-mail address: longwang@pku.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we study the consensus problem for networked dynamic systems with arbitrary initial states, and present some structural characterization and direct construction of consensus functions. For the consensus problem under similar transformation, we establish some necessary and sufficient conditions by exploiting the structure of consensus functions. Finally, we discuss the consensus problem for dynamic systems under switching by using the common Lyapunov function method.

Keywords: Consensus problem, structural characterization, constructive method, arbitrary switching, similar transformation, average consensus.

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the study of synchronization and coordination of multi-agent systems has attracted many researchers. It has broad applications in cooperative control of unmanned aerial vehicles, scheduling of automated highway systems, formation control of satellite clusters, and distributed optimization of multiple mobile robotic systems([1]–[20]).

Consensus problem has a long history. On many occasions, a group of dynamic agents in multi-agent/multi-robot systems need to reach an agreement on certain quantities of interest. For example, flock of birds tends to synchronize in migration in order to resist external aggression and reach their destination. Robots need to arrive at agreement so as to accomplish some complicated tasks. Investigation of such problems is of significance in theory and in practice.

Consensus problem was introduced and formally stated by [3]-[4]. In [3], the basic definitions were given and average consensus problem was studied for networks with both switching topology and time delays. In this paper, we generalize the consensus problem

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10372002 and No. 60274001) and the National Key Basic Research and Development Program (No. 2002CB312200).

and formulate it in a more general form. [3] tackled this problem mostly by graph theory and assumed that the state of each agent is a real scalar. However, in most cases, the quantities of each agent are very complex and many aspects should be considered. For example, the quantities might be position, velocity, temperature, momentum, voltage, mass, energy and so on. Furthermore, these quantities might not be independent. Hence, it is natural to extend the domain $\mathbf{R}$ of the state of each agent to $\mathbf{R}^m$. Therefore, all the original definitions for consensus problem should be modified correspondingly. In this paper, this kind of consensus problem is studied by using linear algebra theory ([21, 22]) as basic tool, and some interesting structural characterizations are established.

This paper is organized as follows: In section II, we generalize consensus problem and establish some necessary and sufficient conditions for a linear dynamic system that solves a consensus problem with arbitrary initial state. In section III, we focus on the structural characterizations of consensus functions and present a simple and constructive method to obtain consensus functions. Furthermore, a necessary and sufficient condition for a dynamic system that solves the average consensus problem with arbitrary initial state is given. In Section IV, the consensus problem under similar transformation is discussed. In Section V, the systems that solve a consensus problem under arbitrary switching([5, 9, 10]) are characterized. Finally, we summarize our main contribution in Section VI. For convenience, some concepts and results in graph theory are given in the Appendix.

2 PRELIMINARIES

In order to introduce the generalized consensus concept, we consider the following linear dynamic system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & A_{n2} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where $x_i \in \mathbf{R}^m$, $A_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$, $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. System (1) can be written in the following form

$$\dot{x} = Ax, \tag{2}$$

where $A = [A_{ij}]$ and $x = [x_1^T, x_2^T, \cdots, x_n^T]^T$.

We say x_i and x_j *agree* if and only if $x_i = x_j$(component-wise). Let $\bar{n} = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. We say system (1) has reached a *consensus* if and only if $x_i = x_j$ for all $i \neq j, i, j \in \bar{n}$. The common value of x_i $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ is called the *group decision value*. Let $\chi : \mathbf{R}^{mn} \to \mathbf{R}^m$ be a function of n vectors $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n (x_i \in \mathbf{R}^m)$ and $x(0)$ denote the initial state of the system. We say dynamic system *solves the χ-consensus problem* if and only if there exists an asymptotically stable equilibrium $x^* = [x_1^{*T}, \cdots, x_n^{*T}]^T$ of system (1) satisfying $x_i^* = \chi(x(0)) \in \mathbf{R}^m$ for all $i \in \bar{n}$. The function χ is called *consensus function*. The special cases of $\chi(x) = \mathrm{Ave}(x) = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)/n$, $\chi(x) = \max_{\|x_i\|}(x_i)$, $\chi(x) = \min_{\|x_i\|}(x_i)$ are called *average-consensus*, *max-consensus* and *min-consensus*, respectively, due to their broad

applications in distributed decision making for multi-agent systems. If we have $x_i^* = x_j^*$ for all $i \neq j, i, j \in \bar{n}$, and x_i^* only relies on initial state $x(0)$, we say that the system *solves a consensus problem*.

Here, we are interested in the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ which solves the χ-consensus problem for some consensus function χ and for any $x(0) \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$. For such systems, there are some necessary properties. For example, if $x \in N(A)$, then $x = \mathbf{1} \otimes b$, where $N(A)$ is the null space of A, $\mathbf{1} = [1, 1, \cdots, 1]^T \in R^n$, $\otimes$ is the Kronecher product, and $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ is a constant vector. Furthermore, for any initial state $x(0)$, the solution of the system converges asymptotically to some equilibrium. Denote the range (column space) of A by $R(A)$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *System (1) solves a consensus problem for any initial state $x(0)$ if and only if $R(A) = R(A^2)$ and each eigenvalue of A is 0 or has negative real part. Moreover, if 0 is an eigenvalue of A, then for any $x \in N(A)$, there exists a vector $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $x = \mathbf{1} \otimes b$.*

Proof. Necessity. If $R(A) \neq R(A^2)$, then we have $\text{rank}(A) > \text{rank}(A^2)$. Hence, there exists a vector $y \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$, $y \in N(A^2)$ but $y \notin N(A)$. The solution of system (1) with the initial value y is $x = e^{At}y = (I_{mn} + At + \frac{A^2t^2}{2} + \cdots)y = y + tAy$, where I_{mn} is identical matrix of order mn. Obviously, x does not converge to any equilibrium when $t \to \infty$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $R(A) = R(A^2)$.

Sufficiency. If all eigenvalues of A have negative real parts, then the system is asymptotically stable and all solutions converge to 0, i.e., it solves a consensus problem. If 0 is an eigenvalue of A, then there exists an invertible matrix T by $R(A) = R(A^2)$, such that

$$A = T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & J_2 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & J_s \end{bmatrix} T, \tag{3}$$

where $J_2, \cdots, J_s$ are Jordan blocks, and the eigenvalue of J_i has negative real part. So the system converges asymptotically to some equilibrium, and since for any $x \in N(A)$, there exists a vector $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $x = \mathbf{1} \otimes b$, the system solves a consensus problem for any initial state. □

Corollary 2.1. *If system (1) solves a consensus problem for any initial state, then $dim(N(A)) \leq m$.*

Corollary 2.2. *If system (1) solves a consensus problem for any initial state, then*

$$\mathbf{R}^{mn} = N(A) \oplus R(A),$$

where $\oplus$ is the operator of direct sum, and

$$A(R(A)) = R(A), \quad A(N(A)) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.1, we obtain $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2)$. The remained proof is trivial. □

In order to investigate system (1) more insightfully, (1) can be formulated in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix}\dot{x}_1\\ \dot{x}_2\\ \vdots\\ \dot{x}_n\end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix}C_{11} & & & \\ & C_{22} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & C_{nn}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x_1\\ x_2\\ \vdots\\ x_n\end{bmatrix}\\ &+ \begin{bmatrix}D_{11} & D_{12} & \cdots & D_{1n}\\ D_{21} & D_{22} & \cdots & D_{2n}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ D_{n1} & D_{n2} & \cdots & D_{nn}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x_1\\ x_2\\ \vdots\\ x_n\end{bmatrix},\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $C_{ii}, D_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j \in \bar{n}$.

Theorem 2.2. *System (1) solves a consensus problem for any initial state $x(0)$ if and only if*

$$\dim N(A) = \dim N(A^2) = \dim N([C_{11}^T, C_{22}^T, \cdots, C_{nn}^T]^T)$$

and each eigenvalue of A is 0 or has negative real part.

Proof. We only need to prove that the condition

$$\dim N(A) = \dim N(A^2) = \dim N([C_{11}^T, C_{22}^T, \cdots, C_{nn}^T]^T)$$

is equivalent to the conditions that $R(A) = R(A^2)$ and for any $x \in N(A)$, there exists a vector $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $x = \mathbf{1} \otimes b$.

Obviously, $\dim N(A)$=$\dim N(A^2)$ is equivalent to $R(A) = R(A^2)$.

(a) Suppose that $\dim N(A) = r$, and for any $x \in N(A)$, there exists a vector $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $x = \mathbf{1} \otimes b$. The equation $Ax = 0$ must have r linearly independent solutions, which implies that there are r linearly independent vectors $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_r \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $A(\mathbf{1} \otimes b_i) = 0$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$. Substituting $\mathbf{1} \otimes b_i$ into (4), we obtain $C_{ii}b_j = 0$ for any $i \in \bar{n}, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$. Therefore $\dim N([C_{11}^T, C_{22}^T, \cdots, C_{nn}^T]^T) \geq r$. But if $\dim N([C_{11}^T, C_{22}^T, \cdots, C_{nn}^T]^T) > r$, then the number of linearly independent solutions of the equation $[C_{11}^T, C_{22}^T, \cdots, C_{nn}^T]^T x = 0$ is more than r, which implies that $\dim(N(A)) > r$, which contradicts our assumption. Therefore $\dim N([C_{11}^T, C_{22}^T, \cdots, C_{nn}^T]^T) = r$.

(b) If $\dim N([C_{11}^T, C_{22}^T, \cdots, C_{nn}^T]^T) = r$, then there are r linearly independent solutions $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_r \in \mathbf{R}^m$ of equation $[C_{11}^T, C_{22}^T, \cdots, C_{nn}^T]^T x = 0$. Thus the equation $Ax = 0$ has r independent solutions $\mathbf{1} \otimes b_1$, $\mathbf{1} \otimes b_2$, $\cdots$, $\mathbf{1} \otimes b_r$. Since $\dim N(A) = r$, we obtain that for any $x \in N(A)$, there exists a vector $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $x = \mathbf{1} \otimes b$. □

3 THE STRUCTURE OF CONSENSUS FUNCTION

It is important to have clear understanding of the structure of consensus function in studying consensus problem. Hence, in this section, we study the consensus function and present some characterizations.

3.1 Consensus Function is a Time-invariant Quantity

We still consider system (1). If it solves a consensus problem for any initial state, i.e., it satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.1, then for $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$, $\exists b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}x = \mathbf{1}\otimes b \triangleq x^*$, $x_i^* = [I_m, 0, \cdots, 0](\mathbf{1}\otimes b) = [I_m, 0, \cdots, 0]\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}x$, where I_m is identical matrix of order m. Let $\chi(x) = [I_m,\ 0, \cdots, 0]\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}x$, then the system solves the χ-consensus problem. It is easy to see that the consensus function is determined by A. Hence, if system (1) solves a consensus problem for any initial state, it must solve the χ-consensus problem for some consensus function χ.

If $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}x = x^*$ is an equilibrium for any $x \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$, we have $Ax^* = 0$, i.e., $A\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}x = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$. Thus $A\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At} = 0$. Since $e^{At}A = Ae^{At}$, we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}A = A\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At} = 0$. Hence $\frac{d\chi(x)}{dt} = [I, 0, \cdots, 0]\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}Ax = 0$. So the consensus function $\chi(x)$ is a time-invariant quantity. (Note that $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}$ is a constant matrix.)

Remark 3.1. *If system (1) solves the χ-consensus problem for any initial state, then the consensus problem can not be max- or min- consensus. This is obvious by $\chi(x) = [I, 0, \cdots, 0]\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}x$.*

3.2 A Method to Obtain the Consensus Function

For a given system, the consensus function can be obtained by calculating $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}$. When all eigenvalues of A have negative real parts, it is easy to obtain that $\chi(x) \equiv 0$. However, if 0 is an eigenvalue of A, the calculation of $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}$ might be very complex. In what follows, we will illustrate that, for some special cases, we can find a simple method to obtain the consensus function.

Consider the following system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & A_{n2} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

denoted by $\dot{x} = Ax$, which satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.1 and rank(A^2) =rank(A) = $(n-1)m$.

By Theorem 2.2, it is easy to show that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ij} = 0, \forall i \in \bar{n} \tag{6}$$

Let

$$B = \lim_{n\to\infty} e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & \cdots & B_{1n} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & \cdots & B_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{n1} & B_{n2} & \cdots & B_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $B_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}, B_i \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times mn}$ for any $i, j \in \bar{n}$.

Since system (5) solves the χ-consensus problem for any $x(0) \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$, and let

$$x(0) = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \cdots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{mn},$$

respectively, we get $B_{11} = B_{21} = \cdots = B_{n1}$, $B_{12} = B_{22} = \cdots = B_{n2}$, $\cdots$, $B_{1n} = B_{2n} = \cdots = B_{nn}$, i.e., $B_1 = B_2 = \cdots = B_n$. We denote B_i by $E = (E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_n)$, where $E_i \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$ for any $i \in \bar{n}$, so

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} E \\ E \\ \vdots \\ E \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & \cdots & E_n \\ E_1 & E_2 & \cdots & E_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_1 & E_2 & \cdots & E_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} e^{At}A = A \lim\limits_{t\to\infty} e^{At} = 0_{(mn)\times(mn)}$, we get $BA = AB = 0_{(mn)\times(mn)}$. Therefore

$$EA = 0_{m\times(mn)}.$$

Since $\chi(x)$ is an invariant quantity, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \chi(x(t)) = \chi(\begin{bmatrix} Ex(0) \\ Ex(0) \\ \vdots \\ Ex(0) \end{bmatrix}) = Ex(0).$$

This implies

$$(E_1 + E_2 + \cdots + E_n)Ex(0) = Ex(0)$$

for all $x(0) \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$.

By the theory of Jordan canonical form, we learn that $\mathrm{rank}(B) = m$, i.e., $\mathrm{rank}(E) = m$, so $\{Ex(0) | \forall x(0) \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}\} = \mathbf{R}^m$. Hence

$$E_1 + E_2 + \cdots + E_n = I_m. \tag{7}$$

Because $\mathrm{rank}(A) = (n-1)m$, there exist m linearly independent vectors $\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_m$ in $\mathbf{R}^{mn}$ such that $\xi_i^T A = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$. Let

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1^T \\ \xi_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m^T \end{bmatrix} = [Z_1, Z_2, \cdots, Z_n],$$

where $Z_i \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$. Then there exists an invertible matrix $T \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$ such that $E = TZ$.

By (7), we have

$$E\begin{bmatrix} I_m \\ I_m \\ \vdots \\ I_m \end{bmatrix} = TZ\begin{bmatrix} I_m \\ I_m \\ \vdots \\ I_m \end{bmatrix} = T(Z_1 + Z_2 + \cdots + Z_n) = I_m.$$

So $Z_1 + Z_2 + \cdots + Z_n$ is invertible and $T = (Z_1 + Z_2 + \cdots + Z_n)^{-1}$. Therefore

$$\chi(x) = Ex = (Z_1 + Z_2 + \cdots + Z_n)^{-1}Zx.$$

By the discussion above, we get the following procedure to get the consensus function:

Method 1. *1. Choose arbitrarily m linearly independent vectors $\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_m \in N(A^T)$;*

2. Let $F = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_m)^T = (F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_m)$, where $F_i \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$;

3. Let $T = F_1 + F_2 + \cdots + F_m$, then T is invertible;

4. $\chi(x) = T^{-1}Fx$, $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1}F \\ T^{-1}F \\ \vdots \\ T^{-1}F \end{bmatrix}$.

3.3 An Example

In what follows, we present an example to show the effectiveness of Method 1.

Example 3.1.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 2.1, it is easy to verify that system $\dot{x} = Ax$ solves a consensus problem for $m = 2$.

Since $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} A = 0$, let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and thus

$$T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\chi(x) = T^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} x.$$

On the other hand, calculating $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}$ directly, we get

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence the consensus function obtained by Method 1 is correct.

3.4 Average Consensus Problem

Average consensus problem has been discussed in [3] and [4], and the authors of them presented some necessary and sufficient conditions. In this section, we also consider the problem but from another viewpoint.

Based on the discussion in Subsection A, we set average consensus function $\chi(x) = F_{m\times(mn)}x = \frac{1}{n}(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)$ such that $FA = 0$, where $x \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$, $x_i \in \mathbf{R}^m$ for any $i \in \bar{n}$.

Let

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \cdots, x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively, we get

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & & & \frac{1}{n} & & & \cdots \\ & \frac{1}{n} & & & \frac{1}{n} & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & & \frac{1}{n} & & & \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{n} & & & & & & \\ & \frac{1}{n} & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \frac{1}{n} & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore $\dim(N(A^T)) \geq m$. By Corollary 2.1, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If system (1) solves the average consensus problem, then rank*$(A) = (n-1)m$.

Theorem 3.1. *System (1) solves the average consensus problem if and only if rank*(A^2)=*rank*$(A) = m(n-1)$, $[I, I, \cdots, I]A = 0$ *and* $A[I, I, \cdots, I]^T = 0$, *where* $I \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$ *is identical matrix, and* 0 *is an eigenvalue of* A, *and all the other eigenvalues have negative real parts.*

Proof. The proof is obvious. We omit the details. □

3.5 The Case of $m = 1$

In this subsection, we study the consensus problem in the case $m = 1$.

Consider the system

$$\dot{x} = Ax, \tag{8}$$

where

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \end{bmatrix},$$

and $x_i, a_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}, \forall i, j \in \bar{n}$.

Theorem 3.2. *System (8) solves the χ-consensus problem for any initial state if and only if*
1) each eigenvalue of A is 0 or has negative real part;
2) if 0 is an eigenvalue of A, then $A\mathbf{1} = 0$, and $\text{rank}(A^2)=\text{rank}(A) = n - 1$.

So we get a general method to derive the consensus function.

Method 2. *Choose arbitrarily $y \in N(A^T)$, $y \neq 0$, and let $y = [y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n]^T$, then the consensus function is*

$$\chi(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i},$$

where $x = [x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]^T \in R^n$.

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *System (8) solves the average consensus problem if and only if all the nonzero eigenvalues of A have negative real parts, rank(A^2)=rank$(A) = n - 1$, $\mathbf{1}^T A = 0$, and $A\mathbf{1} = 0$. ([3], Theorem 5)*

Remark 3.2. *Naturally, if A is a Laplacian matrix of some graph, then it satisfies the conditions in Corollary 3.1 except $\mathbf{1}^T A = 0$.*

Remark 3.3. *we can view the consensus function*

$$\chi(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}$$

as a weighted average consensus function.

4 CONSENSUS PROBLEM UNDER SIMILAR TRANSFORMATION

Since consensus function is determined by A, the study on the structure of A becomes an important issue.

Let

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{r\times r} & 0_{r\times(mn-r)} \\ 0_{(mn-r)\times r} & M_{(mn-r)\times(mn-r)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where M is a nonsingular real matrix, the eigenvalues of M have negative real parts and $r \leq m$. Hence, by Corollary 2.2, if system (1) solves the χ-consensus problem for any initial state, and $\dim(N(A)) = r$, then there exists a nonsingular real matrix T such that $A = T^{-1}JT$. We will study the structure of T in this section.

From the discussion in Section II, system (1) solves the χ-consensus problem for any initial state if and only if $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}$ exists and the equilibriums have the form of $\mathbf{1} \otimes b$. In the following, we will show that, for some systems, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{At}$ exists, we may find similar transformation T such that $T^{-1}AT$ solves the χ-consensus problem.

Consider the following system

$$\dot{x} = T^{-1}JTx. \tag{9}$$

Theorem 4.1. *System (9) with $r = m$ solves the χ-consensus problem for any initial state if and only if*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1n} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} & \cdots & T_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{n1} & T_{n2} & \cdots & T_{nn} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $T_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$ for any $i, j \in \bar{n}$, satisfies $T_U = \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{1j}$ is invertible, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{ij} = 0$ for $i = 2, \cdots, n$, and

$$T_D = \begin{bmatrix} T_{22} & \cdots & T_{2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{n2} & \cdots & T_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

is invertible.

Proof. Sufficiency. It suffices to prove that all equilibriums of $T^{-1}JT$ have the form: $\mathbf{1} \otimes b$.

Let $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_m \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be linearly independent vectors, and let $\zeta_1 = \mathbf{1} \otimes b_1, \zeta_2 = \mathbf{1} \otimes b_2, \cdots, \zeta_m = \mathbf{1} \otimes b_m$, which are also linearly independent, then $T^{-1}JT\zeta_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. The sufficiency is proved.

Necessity. The equation $T^{-1}JTx = 0$ must have m linearly independent solutions:

$$\zeta_1 = \mathbf{1} \otimes b_1, \zeta_2 = \mathbf{1} \otimes b_2, \cdots, \zeta_m = \mathbf{1} \otimes b_m,$$

where $b_i \in \mathbf{R}^m$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$.

Notice that

$$T^{-1}JTx = 0 \Leftrightarrow JTx = 0 \Leftrightarrow Tx = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $c \in \mathbf{R}^m$. So there exist $c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_m \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that

$$T\zeta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, T\zeta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} c_2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \cdots, T\zeta_m = \begin{bmatrix} c_m \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \big(\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} T_{1j}\big) b_k = c_k, \\ \big(\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} T_{ij}\big) b_k = 0, \quad i = 2, 3, \cdots, n, \end{cases}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Since $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_m$ are linearly independent, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{ij} = 0, i = 2, 3, \cdots, n.$$

Since T is invertible, we obtain that T_U and T_D are invertible. □

For the average consensus problem, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *System (9) solves the average consensus problem for any initial state if and only if $r = m$ and*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{11} & \cdots & T_{11} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} & \cdots & T_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{n1} & T_{n2} & \cdots & T_{nn} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{ij} = 0$, $i = 2, 3, \cdots, n$, and T_{11} and T_D all are invertible.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let

$$T^{-1} = S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & \cdots & S_{1n} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & \cdots & S_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{n1} & S_{n2} & \cdots & S_{nn} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $S_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ for any $i, j \in \bar{n}$. We have

$$S_{11} = S_{21} = \cdots S_{n1} = \frac{1}{n} T_{11}^{-1},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{ij} = 0, j = 2, 3, \cdots, n,$$

and

$$S_D = \begin{bmatrix} S_{22} & \cdots & S_{2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{n2} & \cdots & S_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

is invertible.

It is obvious that

$$T^{-1}JT\begin{bmatrix} I \\ I \\ \vdots \\ I \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

and

$$[I, I, \cdots, I]T^{-1}JT = 0.$$

By Theorem 3.1, $T^{-1}JT$ solves the average consensus problem.

Necessity. If system (9) solves the average consensus problem, we have

$$T^{-1}\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{Jt}T = \frac{1}{n}\begin{bmatrix} I & I & \cdots & I \\ I & I & \cdots & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I & I & \cdots & I \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies

$$S\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}T$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} S_{11}T_{11} & S_{11}T_{12} & \cdots & S_{11}T_{1n} \\ S_{21}T_{11} & S_{21}T_{12} & \cdots & S_{21}T_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{n1}T_{11} & S_{n1}T_{12} & \cdots & S_{n1}T_{1n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\begin{bmatrix} I & I & \cdots & I \\ I & I & \cdots & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I & I & \cdots & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

We obtain that $T_{11} = T_{12} = \cdots = T_{1n}$ are invertible.

By Lemma 3.1, we get $r = m$, and by Theorem 4.1, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{ij} = 0$, $i = 2, 3, \cdots, n$ and T_D is invertible. □

For the case of $r < m$, T is very complex, but we still have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *If*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1n} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} & \cdots & T_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{n1} & T_{n2} & \cdots & T_{nn} \end{bmatrix},$$

where T_U and T_D defined as in Theorem 4.1 are invertible, and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{ij} = 0$, $i = 2, 3, \cdots, n$, then system (9) solves the χ-consensus problem for any $r \le m$.

Proof. For arbitrary r linearly independent vectors $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_r \in \mathbf{R}^r$, we define

$$\zeta_i = \mathbf{1} \otimes \left(T_U^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times 1} \right)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$. Then $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \cdots, \zeta_r$ are linearly independent and $T^{-1}JT\zeta_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$.

Therefore, system (9) solves the χ-consensus problem. □

Remark 4.1. *If $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}$ exists and $dim(N(A)) = r \leq m$, then we can find an invertible matrix T such that system $\dot{x} = T^{-1}ATx$ solves the χ-consensus problem.*

Moreover, if $r = m$, we can find T such that system $\dot{x} = T^{-1}ATx$ solves the average consensus problem.

Example 4.1. *For any initial state, the system in Example 3.1 solves a consensus problem, but not the average consensus problem. We will provide the procedure to find invertible T such that system $\dot{x} = T^{-1}ATx$ solves the average consensus problem.*

First, we can choose an invertible matrix T_1,

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 6 & 4 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 6 & 4 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

such that

$$B = T_1^{-1}AT_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has the form of J.

By Theorem 4.2, we let

$$T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$C = T_2^{-1}BT_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & -0.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 3.1, $\dot{x} = Cx$ solves the average consensus problem for any initial state. Let

$$T = T_1T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 7 & 3 & 5 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 7 & 5 & 5 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\dot{x} = T^{-1}ATx$ solves the average consensus problem for any initial state.

5 CONSENSUS PROBLEM UNDER ARBITRARY SWITCHING

In this section, we investigate the consensus problem of system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x. \tag{10}$$

The study on the consensus problem of (10) is difficult. Here, we only consider some special cases. We view (10) as a switched system and $A(t)$ is a constant matrix in each switching interval.

We consider the following system, each subsystem of which is the same as (1),

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_{s(t)}x(t), \tag{11}$$

where $s(t) : \mathbf{R}^+ \to \bar{n}$ is the switching signal.

Generally speaking, not all switched systems solve a consensus problem for any initial state. But some special switched systems can solve a consensus problem.

We assume that, for $\forall s \in \bar{n}$,

1) $\dot{x} = A_s x$ solves a consensus problem;

2) $A_s = \begin{bmatrix} A_{s11} & A_{s12} & \cdots & A_{s1n} \\ A_{s21} & A_{s22} & \cdots & A_{s2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{sn1} & A_{sn2} & \cdots & A_{snn} \end{bmatrix}$,

where A_{sij} is a symmetric and positive definite matrix (denoted by $A_{sij} > 0$) for all $i, j \in \bar{n}, i \neq j$;

3) $A_{sii} = -\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n} A_{sij}$;

4) every subsystem has the same consensus function $\chi(x) = F_{m\times mn}x = [F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_n]x$, where $F_i \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$, $F_i > 0$ for all $i \in \bar{n}$, and $FA_s = 0$;

5) $F_i A_{sij} = A_{sij} F_i$ for all $i, j \in \bar{n}$, which implies $F_i A_{sij} > 0$.

Before presenting Theorem 5.1, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let*

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & -L_{12} & \cdots & -L_{1n} \\ -L_{21} & L_{22} & \cdots & -L_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -L_{n1} & -L_{n2} & \cdots & L_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

be a symmetric matrix, where $L_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times m}$, $L_{ij} > 0$ and $\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n} L_{ij} = L_{ii}$ for all $i, j \in \bar{n}$.

If the eigenvalues of L are arranged in an increasing order $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{mn}$, then we have

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_m = 0, \lambda_{m+1} > 0.$$

Then we call L a block laplacian matrix.

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$, we have

$$x^T Lx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^T L_{ii} x_i - \sum_{i\neq j} x_i^T L_{ij} x_j$$

$$= \sum_{i\neq j}(x_i^T L_{ij} x_i) - \sum_{i\neq j} x_i^T L_{ij} x_j = \sum_{i\neq j}(x_i^T L_{ij} x_i - x_i^T L_{ij} x_j)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}(x_i^T L_{ij} x_i + x_j^T L_{ij} x_j - x_i^T L_{ij} x_j - x_j^T L_{ij} x_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}(x_i - x_j)^T L_{ij}(x_i - x_j)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}(x_i - x_j)^T L_{ij}(x_i - x_j).$$

Therefore $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_m = 0, \lambda_{m+1} > 0$. □

Theorem 5.1. *If switched system (11) satisfies the conditions (1)–(5), then, under arbitrary switching, the solution of the system globally asymptotically converges to* $\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi(x(0))$*, i.e., the switched system solves the* χ*-consensus problem.*

Proof. Since $\chi(x)$ is an invariant quantity for every subsystem, $\chi(x)$ is also an invariant quantity under switching. For any solution $x(t)$, let $x(t) = \mathbf{1}\otimes\chi(x) + \delta(t)$. We refer to δ as the *(group) disagreement vector*. Then

$$Fx = F(\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi(x)) + F\delta = Fx + F\delta.$$

Hence, we have

$$F\delta = 0. \tag{13}$$

For a given $s \in \bar{n}$, since $\dot{x} = A_s x$, we have

$$\mathbf{1}\otimes\frac{d\chi(x)}{dt} + \dot{\delta} = A_s(\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi(x)) + A_s\delta,$$

which implies

$$\dot{\delta} = A_s\delta.$$

Let

$$\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & & & \\ & F_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & F_n \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\Theta > 0$.

Let $V(\delta) = \delta^T\Theta\delta$, then

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = 2\delta^T\Theta\dot{\delta} = \delta^T(\Theta A_s + A_s^T\Theta^T)\delta.$$

Let $-L = \Theta A_s + A_s^T\Theta^T$, then L is a block laplacian matrix by assumption. We can easily get that $N(L) = R(\mathbf{1}\otimes I)$ and $N(L)\cap N(F) = R(\mathbf{1}\otimes I)\cap N(F) = \{0\}$. We divide the linear space $\mathbf{R}^{mn}$ into the direct sum of $R(\mathbf{1}\otimes I)$ and its orthogonal complement space $R(\mathbf{1}\otimes I)^\perp$, then we have

$$\mathbf{R}^{mn} = R(\mathbf{1}\otimes I)\oplus R(\mathbf{1}\otimes I)^\perp.$$

Correspondingly, $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$, $\delta_1 \in R(\mathbf{1} \otimes I), \delta_2 \in R(\mathbf{1} \otimes I)^{\perp}$. Let P be the orthogonal projector from $\mathbf{R}^{mn}$ onto $R(\mathbf{1} \otimes I)^{\perp}$ such that $\delta_2 = P\delta$. Since $F\delta = 0$, we have $P\delta = \delta_2 \neq 0$ if $\delta \neq 0$.

Hence $\frac{dV}{dt} = -\delta^T L \delta = -(\delta_1 + \delta_2)^T L(\delta_1 + \delta_2) = -\delta_2^T P^T L P \delta_2 \leq -\lambda_{m+1} \delta_2^T P^T P \delta_2 < 0$, where $\lambda_{m+1} > 0$ is the $(m+1)$th smallest eigenvalue of L. This shows that $V(\delta(t))$ is a valid common Lyapunov function for the group-disagreement, i.e. , under arbitrary switching, the switched system solves the χ-consensus problem. □

Remark 5.1. *The assumptions (1-5) seem rather strict, but this kind of system really exists extensively. For example, it is easy to show that the system*

$$\dot{x} = -Lx, \tag{14}$$

where L is block laplacian matrix, solves the average consensus problem and satisfies the assumptions (1–5).

6 CONCLUSIONS

For linear dynamic systems, consensus problem has been discussed from a new viewpoint. The structure of the consensus functions has been characterized. An example has been presented to illustrate the effectiveness of our results. Some necessary and sufficient conditions for consensus problem under similar transformation have also been obtained. Finally, we characterize a class of dynamic switched systems that solve a consensus problem under arbitrary switching.

7 APPENDIX: GRAPH THEORY PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly summarize some basic concepts and results in graph theory that are useful in dealing with the consensus problem. More comprehensive discussions can be found in [23].

A *undirected graph* $\mathcal{G}$ consists of a *vertex set* $\mathcal{V} = \{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_m\}$ and an *edge set* $\mathcal{E} = \{(n_i, n_j) : n_i, n_j \in \mathcal{V}\}$, where an *edge* is an unordered pair of distinct vertices of $\mathcal{V}$. If $n_i, n_j \in \mathcal{V}$, and $(n_i, n_j) \in \mathcal{E}$, then we say that n_i and n_j are *adjacent* or *neighbors*. An *oriented graph* is a graph together with a particular orientation, where the *orientation* of a graph $\mathcal{G}$ is the assignment of a direction to each edge, so edge (n_i, n_j) is an directed edge (arc) from n_i to n_j. The *incidence matrix* B of an oriented graph $\mathcal{G}$ is the $\{0, \pm 1\}$-matrix with rows and columns indexed by the vertices and edges of $\mathcal{G}$, respectively, such that the ij-entry is equal to 1 if edge j is ending on vertex n_i, -1 if edge j is beginning with vertex n_i, and 0 otherwise. Define the *Laplacian matrix* of $\mathcal{G}$ as $L(\mathcal{G}) = BB^T$. $L(\mathcal{G})$ is always positive semi-definite. Moreover, for a connected graph, $L(\mathcal{G})$ has a single zero eigenvalue, and the associated right eigenvector is $\mathbf{1}_m$.

References

[1] W. Lohmiller and J. J. E. Slotine, On Contraction Analysis for Nonlinear Systems, *Automatica*, Vol. 36, No. 6, 1998, pp. 683-696.

[2] V. Gazi and K. M. Pssino, Stability Analysis of Swarms, *IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control*, Vol. 48, No. 4, 2003, pp. 692-697.

[3] R. Olfati-Saber and R. M. Murray, Consensus Problems in Networks of Agents with Switching Topology and Time-Delays, *IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control*, Vol. 49, No. 9, 2004, pp. 1520-1533.

[4] R. Olfati-Saber and R. M. Murray, Consensus Protocols for Networks of Dynamic Agents, *Proc. Amer. Control Conf.* , Jun. 2003, pp. 951-956.

[5] D. Liberzon and A. S. Morse, Basic Problems in Stability and Design of Switched Systems, *IEEE Control Systems Mag.* , Vol. 19, 1999, pp. 59-70.

[6] L. Wang, H. Shi, T. Chu, W. Zhang and L. Zhang, Aggregation of Forging Swarms, *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, Springer-Verlag, Vol. 3339, 2004, pp. 766–777.

[7] T. Chu, L. Wang, and S. Mu, Collective Behavior Analysis of an Anisotropic Swarm Model, *Proc. of the 16th International Symposium on Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, Leuven, Belgium, Jul. 2004, pp. 1–14.

[8] H. Shi, L. Wang, T. Chu, and W. Zhang, Coordination of a Group of Mobile Autonomous Agents, *International Conference on Advances in Intelligent Systems—Theory and Applications*, Luxembourg, Nov. 2004.

[9] G. Xie and L. Wang, Controllability and Stabilizability of Switched Linear-systems, *Systems & Control Letters*, Vol. 48, 2003, pp. 135-155.

[10] G. Xie and L. Wang, Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Controllability of Switched Linear Systems, *Proc. Amer. Control Conf.* , May 2002, pp. 1897-1902.

[11] C. W. Reynolds, Flocks, Herds and Schools: a Distributed Behavioral Model, *Computer Graphics (ACM SIGGRAPH 1987 Conf. Proc.)*, Vol. 21, Jul. 1987, pp. 25-34.

[12] T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, and O. Shochet, Novel Type of Phase Transition in a System of Self-driven Particles, *Physical Review Letters*, Vol. 75, No. 6, Aug. 1995, pp. 1226–1229.

[13] J. Toner and Y. Tu, Flocks, Herds, and Schools: a Quantitative Theory of Flocking, *Phys. Rev. E*, Vol. 58, No. 4, Oct. 1998, pp. 4828-4858.

[14] N. E. Leonard and E. Fiorelli, Virtual leaders, Artificial Potentials and Coordinated Control of Groups, *Proc. IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Orlando, Florida USA, Vol. 3, Dec. 2001, pp. 2968–2973.

[15] K. Warburton and J. Lazarus, Tendency-distance Models of Social Cohesion in Animal Groups,*J. Theoretical Biology*, Vol. 150, 1991, pp. 473–488.

[16] I. Suzuki and M. Yamashita, Distributed Anonymous Mobile Robots: Formation of Geometric Patterns, *SIAM J. Computing*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 1999, pp. 1347–1363.

[17] J. H. Reif and H. Wang, Social Potential Fields: A Distributed Behavioral Control for Autonomous Robots, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, Vol. 27, No. 3, May 1999, pp. 171–194.

[18] F. Giulietti, L. Pollini, and M. Innocenti, Autonomous Formation Flight, *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, Vol. 20, No. 6, Dec. 2000, pp. 34–44,

[19] E. Rimon and D. E. Koditschek, Exact Robot Navigation Using Artificial Potential Functions, *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, Vol. 8, No. 5, Oct. 1992, pp. 501–518.

[20] R. Bachmayer and N. E. Leonard, Vehicle Networks for Gradient Descent in a Sampled Environment, *Proc. IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Las Vegas, Nevada USA, Vol. 1, Dec. 2002, pp. 112–117.

[21] L. Huang, *Linear Algebra in System and Control Theory*, Science Press, Beijing, 1984.

[22] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1985.

[23] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer–Verlag, New York, 2001.