# The k-orbit theory and Fein-Kantor-Schacher Theorem

Aleksandr Golubchik Bramscher Str. 57, 49088 Osnabrueck, Germany, e-mail: agolubch@uos.de

02.02.2005

#### Abstract

By the investigation of k-orbits symmetry properties it is obtained a simple proof of the B. Fein, W. M. Kantor and M. Schacher Theorem: any transitive permutation group contains a non-trivial fixed-point-free prime-power element.

Key words: k-orbits, partitions, permutations, symmetry, groups

### 1 Introduction

Using the Classification of finite simple groups, B. Fein, W. M. Kantor and M. Schacher [2] give a proof of the

**Theorem 1** Any transitive permutation group contains a non-trivial fixed-point-free primepower element.

In the pre-doctoral and doctoral students course "Permutation groups, structures, and polynomials" in Prague, January - February 2004, P. Cameron suggested a problem: to find a simple proof of the theorem 1 that does not use the Classification of finite simple groups. This problem P. Cameron estimated as "very difficult".

Below we give such a proof, based on the k-orbit theory developed by author that studies symmetry properties of k-orbits. The first attempt to describe this theory was launched in [4].

#### 2 k-Orbit theory

Let G(V) be a permutation group of a degree n and  $V^{(k)}$   $(k \leq n)$  be a non-diagonal part of  $V^k$ (i.e. all k values of coordinates of a k-tuple  $\alpha_k \in V^{(k)}$  are different), then the action of G on  $V^{(k)}$  forms a partition  $GV^{(k)}$  of  $V^{(k)}$  on G-invariant classes. This partition is called a system of k-orbits of G and we denote it as  $Orb_k(G)$ .

The k-orbit theory studies symmetry properties of k-orbits that cannot be obtained from permutation group theory, studying a permutation group G as a permutation algebra and therefore being not able to see the inside structure of a n-orbit of G and relations between k-orbits of subgroups of G.

The k-orbit theory gives a new view on abstract finite groups and finite permutation groups and shows a way to simple solutions of some problems which either are not solved or have a complicated solution. These problems are: the polynomial solution of graphs isomorphism problem; the problem of a full invariant of finite groups, admitting a primitive (isomorphic) permutation representation; Polycirculant conjecture: the automorphism group of a transitive graph contains a regular element (s. [1]); a simple proof of the Feit-Thompson theorem: the solvability of finite groups of odd order (that lies in the foundation of the Classification of finite simple groups [6]) and the simplest of those task that we consider in this paper.

The specificity of the *n*-orbit representation of a group G is a possibility to do a group visible. In order to go to this visibility one makes a partition of a matrix of a *n*-orbit on cells of k-orbits of subgroups of an investigated permutation group G and studies symmetry properties of cells and the whole partition. Below we consider some facts from the k-orbit theory which are necessary for the proof of theorem 1.

The k-orbit theory considers different actions of permutations on k-sets and symmetries that follows from those actions. Let  $\alpha_n = \langle v_1 \dots v_n \rangle$  be a n-tuple, then the left action of a permutation g on  $\alpha_n$  is defined as  $g\alpha_n = \langle gv_1 \dots gv_n \rangle$  and the right action of g on  $\alpha_n$  is given as  $\alpha_n g = \langle v_{g1} \dots v_{gn} \rangle$  (here V = [1, n]). From that we obtain the left and right action of a permutation on k-tuples and k-sets.

The left action of a permutation is (on definition) an isomorphism  $((123)\{123, 132\} = \{231, 213\})$ , the right action is not an isomorphism  $(\{123, 132\}(123) = \{231, 321\})$ . If the right action is an isomorphism, then it is an indicium for existing of a subgroup with non-trivial normalizer, whose *n*-orbit contains (right-)isomorphic *k*-subsets connected with considered right action, for example a subgroup

			12	34	
12	34	in a group	21	43	
21	43		34	12	Ī

where subgroup and group are represented through their n-orbits.

Let  $X_n$  be a *n*-orbit of *G*. One of *n*-tuples from  $X_n$  we choose as an *initial n*-tuple and consider all permutations from *G* relatively to this *n*-tuple. If  $\alpha_n$  is an initial *n*-tuple, then  $X_n = G\alpha_n$ . The specificity of an initial *n*-tuple is the equality of number and order values of its coordinates (that we take from the same ordered set *V*). We indicate the *n*-space  $V^n$ through the initial *n*-tuple. So the ordered subsets of the initial *n*-tuple point out corresponding subspaces. A *k*-orbit  $X_k$  of *G* is a projection of  $X_n$  on some subspace  $I_k \in X_k$ . We write this projection as  $X_k = \hat{p}^{(I_k)}X_n$ . So we consider subspaces as ordered sets of coordinates and *k*orbits as non-ordered sets of *k*-tuples. The different orderings of *k*-tuples (lines) in a matrix of a *k*-orbit shows different symmetry properties of *k*-orbit, related with corresponding properties of the investigated permutation group. We can consider of course also non-ordered by coordinates *k*-orbits or subspaces, if it is suitable. In such case a *k*-orbit is represented by matrix accurate to permutation of columns.

We say that two k-sets  $X_k$  and  $X'_k$  are G-isomorphic if they are isomorphic and connected with a permutation  $g \in G$ :  $X'_k = gX_k \equiv \{g\alpha_k : \alpha_k \in X_k\}$ . If we study a group G and  $X_k, X'_k$ are isomorphic, but not G-isomorphic, then we say that they are  $S_n$ -isomorphic (where  $S_n$  is the symmetric group).

We defined the left action  $GY_n$  of a group G on a n-subset  $Y_n$  as  $GY_n \equiv \{gY_n : g \in G\}$ . The left action  $AX_n$  of a subgroup A < G on a n-orbit  $X_n$  of G is given as  $AX_n \equiv \{A\alpha_n : \alpha_n \in X_n\}$ . Correspondingly the right action  $Y_n G \equiv \{Y_n g : g \in G\}$  and  $X_n A \equiv \{\alpha_n A : \alpha_n \in X_n\}$ . The left action of G on a k-set  $Y_k$  and A on a k-orbit  $X_k$  of G for k < n is the same as for k = n, because  $\hat{p}^{(I_k)}g\alpha_n = g\hat{p}^{(I_k)}\alpha_n$ . By the right action the equality  $\hat{p}^{(I_k)}(\alpha_n g) = (\hat{p}^{(I_k)}\alpha_n)g$  has sense only if  $\alpha_n$  is the initial n-tuple. Hence the right action on k-sets has not a direct reduction from the right action on n sets. If  $X_n$  is a n-orbit of G, A < G and  $Y_n$  is a n-orbit of A, then  $L_n = GY_n = X_n A$  and  $R_n = Y_n G = AX_n$  are partitions of  $X_n$  on n-orbits of left and right cosets of A in G, at that n-orbits of left cosets are n-orbits of conjugate to A subgroups of G, because n-orbits of left cosets differ in order of coordinates. Projections of  $X_n$ ,  $L_n$  and  $R_n$  on a subspace  $I_k$  gives a covering  $L_k = GY_k$ , where  $Y_k = \hat{p}^{(I_k)}Y_n$ , and a partition  $R_k = AX_k$  of the k-orbit  $X_k$  on k-orbits of left and right cosets of A in G. Below under an action of permutations we understand the left action.

Let k be a divisor of |G|. We call k as an *automorphic number* if there exists a k-element suborbit of G (a k-element orbit of a subgroup of G).

Let  $Co(\alpha_k)$  be a set of coordinates of a k-tuple  $\alpha_k$ , then for a k-set  $X_k$  we define  $Co(X_k) \equiv \{Co(\alpha_k) : \alpha_k \in X_k\}.$ 

If  $Co(\alpha_k)$  is a suborbit of G, then we say that  $\alpha_k$  is an *automorphic k-tuple* and  $Co(\alpha_k)$  is an *automorphic subset* of V. Let  $X_k$  be a k-set we say that  $X_k$  is an *automorphic k-set*, if it is a k-orbit. Let  $X_k$  be a k-orbit and  $\alpha_k \in X_k$  be an automorphic k-tuple, then a k-orbit  $X_k$  we call as *right-automorphic k-orbit* or k-rorbit.

Let U be a set and Q be a set of subsets of U, then  $\cup Q \equiv \bigcup_{(W \in Q)} W$ . Under  $\sqcup Q$  we understand a set of unions of intersected on U classes of Q. So  $\sqcup Q$  is a partition of  $\cup Q$  (possibly trivial). A union and intersection of partitions P and R of U we write as  $P \sqcup R$  and  $P \sqcap R$ . Let P' be a subpartition of P, then we write  $P' \sqsubset P$ .

Let  $X_k$  be a k-rorbit,  $\alpha_k \in X_k$  and  $Y_k$  be a maximal subset of  $X_k$  so that  $Co(Y_k) = Co(\alpha_k)$ , then we call  $Y_k$  as a k-block of  $X_k$ . If  $Y_k$  is a k-block, then  $Aut(Y_k)$  is a transitive group of degree k.

Let  $X_k$  be a k-rorbit,  $\cup Co(X_k) = V$  and  $\sqcup Co(X_k)$  be a non-trivial partition of V, then we say that  $X_k$  is *incoherent*. If  $\sqcup Co(X_k)$  is a non-trivial covering of V, then we say that  $X_k$  is *coherent*. Any coherent k-orbit consists of intersected on V k-blocks. An incoherent k-orbit contains k-suborbits that form a partition of the k-orbit, where classes of this partition are non-intersected on V coherent k-suborbits or k-blocks. A coherent k-orbit  $X_k$  is defined on a set  $V = \cup Co(X_k)$ . Its coherent or incoherent k-suborbit  $Y_k$  is defined on a set  $U = \cup Co(Y_k) \subset V$ . In order to show this we say that  $Y_k$  is U-coherent (U-incoherent). If a (V-) coherent k-orbit contains no U-coherent and no U-incoherent k-suborbit for any  $U \subset V$ , then we call it as *elementary coherent*.

Let  $Y_k$  be a k-suborbit of G. The maximal transitive on  $Y_k$  subgroup of G we call a *stabilizer* of  $Y_k$  in G and write it as  $Stab_G(Y_k)$  or simply  $Stab(Y_k)$ .

Let  $X_k$  be a k-orbit of G and  $Y_k \subset X_k$  be a k-block, then evidently  $L_k = GY_k$  is a partition of  $X_k$  and  $Y_k$  is a k-orbit of  $Stab(Co(Y_k))$ .

Let a group G be imprimitive, then G contains a non-trivial (1 < k < n) incoherent k-orbit. If G is primitive, then any (non-trivial) k-orbit of G is coherent. From here follows that it is convenient to consider primitive Abelian groups as trivial imprimitive, because, as distinct from non-Abelian primitive groups, such group G contains no non-trivial suborbit  $U \subset V$  that forms a covering GU of V. So further under primitive group we understand non-Abelian primitive group. Let a group G be imprimitive and Q be a G-invariant partition of V (GQ = Q), then we say that classes of Q are *imprimitivity blocks*.

#### 2.1 *k*-orbits and normal subgroups

k-Orbit theory shows a new approach for consideration of normal subgroups that based on pure combinatorial symmetries of k-orbits. We consider here some statements that show general relations between k-orbits of normal subgroups and statements that we need for the proof of theorem 1.

**Proposition 2** Let  $\alpha_k$  be an automorphic k-tuple,  $G = Stab(Co(\alpha_k))$ ,  $H = Stab(\alpha_k)$  and  $X_k = G\alpha_k$ , then H is a normal subgroup of G and a factor group G/H is isomorphic to  $Aut(X_k)$ .

**Proof:** If *H* is not trivial, then *G* is an intransitive group. Let  $X_n$  be a *n*-orbit of *G*, then there exists only one partition  $P_n$  of  $X_n$  on |G|/|H| classes with projection  $\hat{p}^{(\alpha_k)}P_n = X_k$ .

Hence  $X_n H = H X_n = P_n$ . The group  $Aut(X_k)$  is isomorphic to the factor group G/H, because  $|Aut(X_k)| = |G/H|$  and it acts on classes of H in G transitive.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3** Let  $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$ ,  $Y_k \subset X_k$  be a k-suborbit of G,  $H = Stab(Y_k)$ ,  $L_k = GY_k$ and  $R_k = HX_k$ . Let  $L_k = R_k$ , then H is a normal subgroup of G.

**Proof:** There exists only one partition  $P_n$  of  $X_n$  on |G|/|H| classes so that  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(I_k)}P_n = L_k$ . Hence  $X_nH = HX_n = P_n$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 4** Let H be a normal subgroup of a primitive group G, then H is transitive.

**Proof:** Let H be intransitive normal subgroup of a transitive group G, then projections of  $Y_n = HI_n$  on orbits of H are G-isomorphic and hence a normalizer  $N_G(H)$  is imprimitive.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 5** Let G be a primitive group with no transitive subgroup, then G is a simple group.

**Proposition 6** Let  $A < H \lhd G$  be abstract groups and  $N_H(A) = A$ , then  $N_G(A) \neq A$  and  $|G|/|H| = |N_G(A)|/|A|$ .

**Proof:**  $A^G = A^H$  and  $|A^H| = |H|/|A|$ . so  $|N_G(A)|/|A| = (|G|/|A|)/(|H|/|A|) = |G|/|H|$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 7** Let  $A(V) < H(V) \lhd G(V)$  be permutation groups and  $N_H(A) = A$ , then A contains G-isomorphic k-orbits.

**Proof:** First, the statement follows from proposition 6. Second, H has G-isomorphic k-orbits  $Y'_k = gY_k$  (as a normal subgroup). So  $Y'_k$  has the same structure as  $Y_k$ , i.e. if  $Y_k$  contains a k-orbit  $Z_k$  of A, then  $Y'_k$  contains also a k-orbit  $Z'_k$  of A and  $Z'_k = gZ_k$  for some  $g \in G$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 8** Let  $G < A_n$ , then  $N_{S_n}(A) \neq A$ .

**Corollary 9** Let G be a primitive group with no transitive subgroup, then  $N_{S_n}(G) \neq G$ .

**2.2** Some relations between  $|X_k|$  and  $|Aut(X_k)|$ 

The consideration of a k-orbit  $X_k$  is easier if  $|X_k| = |Aut(X_k)|$ . Here we consider some conditions for this equality.

**Proposition 10** Let  $X_k$  be a k-orbit and for every subgroup  $A < Aut(X_k)$  a partition  $R_k = AX_k$  consists of classes of the same power, then  $|Aut(X_k)| = |X_k|$ .

**Proof:** In such case no permutation from  $Aut(X_k)$  fixes a k-tuple from  $X_k$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 11** Let  $X_k$  be a k-orbit,  $Y_k, Z_k \subset X_k$  be k-suborbits that have non-trivial intersection,  $\alpha_k \in Y_k \cap Z_k$ ,  $A, B < Aut(X_k)$ ,  $Y_k = A\alpha_k$ ,  $Z_k = B\alpha_k$ ,  $|Y_k| = |A|$  and  $|Z_k| = |B|$ . Let  $T_k \subset X_k$  be a k-orbit of a group G = gr(A, B), then  $|T_k| = |G|$ .

**Proof:** Let  $Y_n \in Orb_n(A)$  and  $Z_n \in Orb_n(B)$ , then  $|Y_k| = |Y_n|$  and  $|Z_k| = |Z_n|$ . Let  $T_n \in Orb_n(G)$ , then  $|T_n|/|Y_n| = |T_n|/|Y_k|$  and  $|T_n|/|Z_n| = |T_n|/|Z_k|$ . It follows that  $L'_k = GY_k$  and  $L''_k = GZ_k$  are partitions of  $T_k = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 12** Let  $X_k \in Orb_k(G)$ ,  $Y_k, Z_k \subset X_k$  be k-suborbits of G and  $L'_k = GY_k, L''_k = GZ_k$ be partitions of  $X_k$ , then  $L^1_k = L'_k \sqcap L''_k$  and  $L^2_k = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$  are also partitions of  $X_k$  on isomorphic k-suborbits of G. If  $Y_k \cap Z_k = T_k$  is not trivial and  $U_k \in L^2_k$  contains  $Y_k$  and  $Z_k$ , then  $L^1_k = GT_k$ ,  $L^2_k = GU_k$ ,  $Stab(T_k) = Stab(Y_k) \cap Stab(Z_k)$  and  $Stab(U_k) = gr(Stab(Y_k), Stab(Z_k))$ .

**Proof:** Let k = n, then equalities follows from corresponding properties of sets of left cosets of subgroups of G. For k < n equalities are projections of corresponding equalities for k = n.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 13** Let for every k-suborbit  $Y_k$  of a k-orbit  $X_k$  a set  $L_k = Aut(X_k)Y_k$  be a partition of  $X_k$ , then  $Aut(X_k)$  contains a transitive on  $X_k$  normal subgroup H of order  $|X_k|$ .

**Proof:** Let  $G = Aut(X_k)$ , M be a set of partitions of  $X_k$  on isomorphic k-suborbits of G and  $L'_k, L''_k \in M$ , then  $L^1_k = L'_k \sqcap L''_k$  and  $L^2_k = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$  are partitions from M. Let  $L''_k$  be a partition of  $X_k$  on k-blocks, then there exists a partition  $L'_k$  so that  $L^2_k = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$  is not trivial, i.e.  $|L^2_k| < min(|L'_k|, |L''_k|)$ . We can assume now  $L''_k = L^2_k$  and then obtain new  $L^2_k = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$  for corresponding new partition  $L'_k$ . By repeating we obtain that there exist partitions  $L'_k, L''_k \in M$  so that  $L^2_k = L'_k \sqcup L''_k = \{X_k\}$ .

We can realize a such union process for that a class  $Y_k$  of a partition  $L_k$  is a k-orbit of a subgroup A < G of order  $|A| = |Y_k|$ , because we can begin from a subgroup that is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a k-block, and with a subgroup of a prime order that permutes k-blocks. Thus, according to lemma 11, G contains a subgroup H of order  $|X_k|$  that acts transitive on  $X_k$ . This subgroup is normal in G, because there exists only one partition of a n-orbit  $X_n$  of G on automorphic classes with the same projection  $X_k$  on a subspace from  $X_k$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 14** Let  $X_k$  be incoherent, then  $|Aut(X_k)| \neq |X_k|$ .

**Proof:** Let *m* be a number of *k*-blocks of  $X_k$  and  $Y_k$  be a *k*-block. If m > 2, then  $X_k$  contains a *k*-suborbit of a power  $(m-1)|Y_k|$  that does not divide  $|X_k|$ . If m = 2, then  $|Stab(Y_k)| = |Y_k|^2$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 15** Let in lemma 13 the group G contains a subgroup A with trivial normalizer, then H = G, i.e.  $|Aut(X_k)| = |X_k|$ .

**Proof:** Let  $R_k = AX_k$  and  $Y_k \in R_k$ , then  $Stab(Y_k) = A$ . Let  $H \neq G$ , then  $L_k = GY_k$  is a covering of  $X_k$ . Contradiction. Hence H = G.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 16** Let in lemma 13  $X_k$  be coherent, then  $|Aut(X_k)| = |X_k|$ .

**Proof:** Let A = Stab(v),  $v \in V$  and  $R_k = AX_k$ . The k-orbit  $X_k$  defines a group  $G = Aut(X_k)$  that is generated by its stabilizer A and other subgroups which belong to no stabilizer. Hence those generators have to be defined by k-suborbits from  $X_k$ . It follows that  $R_k$  contains a class  $Y_k$  with a power  $|Y_k| = |A|$ . So if  $H \neq G$ , then  $L_k = GY_k$  is a covering of  $X_k$ . Contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 17** Let  $X_k$  be an elementary coherent k-orbit, then for every k-suborbit  $Y_k \subset X_k$  a set  $L_k = Aut(X_k)Y_k$  is a partition of  $X_k$ .

**Proof:** Let  $Y_k \subset X_k$  be a k-block, then  $L_k = GY_K$  is a partition of  $X_k$  on definition. Let  $Z_k \subset X_k$  be a k-suborbit of  $Aut(X_k)$  that has non-trivial intersection with  $Y_k$ . Let  $A = gr(Stab(Y_k), Stab(Z_k))$ , then k-orbit of A in  $X_k$  is  $X_k$ , because the elementary coherent k-orbit  $X_k$  is the unique super-k-suborbit for any k-block. Hence  $Aut(X_k)Z_k$  is a partition of  $X_k$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 18** Let  $X_k$  be an elementary coherent k-orbit, then  $|Aut(X_k)| = |X_k|$ .

### 3 A simple Proof of the Theorem 1

Let G(V) be an imprimitive group and Q be a partition of V on imprimitivity blocks, then G has a homomorphic (possibly isomorphic) transitive representation G'(Q) on classes of Q.

**Lemma 19** Let G'(Q) contains a fixed-point-free element of a prime-power order, then G(V) contains a fixed-point-free element of a prime-power order too.

**Proof:** Let  $g' \in G'$  be a fixed-point-free element of a prime-power order  $p^l$  that is a reduction of an element  $g \in G$ , then g is evidently a fixed-point-free element and  $|g| = p^m d$ , where a multiplier d is coprime to p and  $m \ge l$ . So  $g^d$  is a fixed-point-free element of a prime-power order of the group G.  $\Box$ 

Hence it is sufficient to consider the theorem 1 for primitive groups.

Let G(V) be a primitive group that contains a transitive subgroup A, then we can reduce the task on subgroup A. So it is necessary to consider the theorem 1 for a primitive group that contains no transitive subgroup. Let G(V) be a such group of a degree n and k be a maximal automorphic divisor of n.

We know that a normalizer  $N = N_{S_n}(G) \neq G$ , i.e. G contains N-isomorphic k-orbits. Hence there must exist a partition Q of V on k-element N-isomorphic suborbits of G, so that projections of a n-orbit  $X_n$  of G on these suborbits are N-isomorphic k-orbits.

**Lemma 20** Let  $X_k$  be a such k-orbit, then it is elementary coherent.

**Proof:** Let  $X_k$  be not elementary coherent, then there exists a suborbit  $U \subset V$  of G that consists of not intersected k-tuples from  $X_k$  and |U| does not divide |V|. It gives a contradiction in action of N on U and on the partition Q.  $\Box$ 

Since  $X_k$  is elementary coherent,  $|X_k| = |G|$ . From here follows that a stabilizer A of a class from Q is isomorphic to its projections on classes of Q. If a such projection of A has fixed-pointfree prime-power element, then A also has fixed-point-free prime-power element. Thus we have again a reduction of a task that solves theorem 1.

### Conclusion

The main inference from the investigation of k-orbits symmetry properties is that a finite group F is not closed by its algebraic properties, because the group algebra F is equivalent to the action of F on F, but this algebra generates also the action of F on  $F^k$ . Properties of that action not always can be interpreted with group algebra or with traditional permutation group theory. Namely such properties are the subject of investigation of the k-orbit theory. Some investigations related with an application of k-orbit representation to problems announced above are described in [3] - [5]

The k-orbit theory gives a new view on finite many-dimensional symmetries and can bring new ideas and applications to other sciences studying symmetrical objects.

### Acknowledgements

I would like to express many thanks to Prof. P. Cameron for his excellent site on the internet, for two years of e-mail contacts and for presenting me some examples of permutation groups interesting for analysis by methods of k-orbit theory. I also would like to express many thanks to Prof. Bernd Fischer for his patience to hear me out.

## References

- [1] Peter J. Cameron, *Elusive groups and the polycirculant conjecture*, Queen Mary, University of London, Barcelona, February 2001
- [2] B. Fein, W. M. Kantor and M. Schacher, J. Reine Angew. Math. 328 (1981), 39-57
- [3] Aleksandr Golubchik, The polynomial algorithm for graphs' isomorphism testing, http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0202085, February 2002.
- [4] Aleksandr Golubchik, On the Polycirculant conjecture, http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GM/0204209, April 2002.
- [5] Aleksandr Golubchik, On the nature of finite groups, http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GM/0408202, September 2004.
- [6] Daniel Gorenstein, *Finite simple groups*, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1982