

THE MODULI SPACE OF 5 POINTS ON P^1 AND K3 SURFACES

SHIGEYUKI KONDO

ABSTRACT. We show that the moduli space of ordered 5 points on P^1 is isomorphic to an arithmetic quotient of a complex ball by using the theory of periods of K3 surfaces. We also discuss a relation between our uniformization and the one given by Shimura [S], Terada [Te], Deligne-Mostow [DM].

Dedicated to Professor Yukihiro Namikawa on his 60th birthday

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to show that the moduli space of 5 ordered points on P^1 is isomorphic to an arithmetic quotient of a 2-dimensional complex ball by using the theory of periods of K3 surfaces (Theorem 6.10). This was announced in [K2], Remark 6. The main idea is to associate a K3 surface with an automorphism of order 5 to a set of 5 ordered points on P^1 (see §3). The period domain of such K3 surfaces is a 10-dimensional bounded symmetric domain of type IV. We remark that a non-zero holomorphic 2-form on the K3 surface is an eigen-vector of the automorphism, which implies that the period domain of the pairs of these K3 surfaces and the automorphism of order 5 is a 2-dimensional complex ball associated to a hermitian form of the signature (1;2) defined over $Z[\zeta]$ where ζ is a primitive 5-th root of unity (see §6.3). Here we use several fundamental results of Nikulin [N1], [N2], [N3] on automorphisms of K3 surfaces and the lattice theory.

Note that this moduli space is isomorphic to the moduli space of nodal del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4. For the moduli space of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1, 2 or 3, the similar description holds. See [K2], Remark 5, [K1], [DGK], respectively.

On the other hand, Shimura [S], Terada [Te], Deligne-Mostow [DM] gave a complex ball uniformization by using the periods of the curve C which is the 5-fold cyclic covering of P^1 branched along 5 points. We shall discuss a relation between their uniformization and ours in §7. In fact, the above K3 surface has an isotrivial pencil whose general member is the unique smooth curve D of genus 2 admitting an automorphism of order 5 (see Lemma 3.4). We show that the above K3 surface is birational to the quotient of $C \times D$ by a diagonal action of $Z/5Z$ in §7.

In this paper, a *lattice* means a Z -valued non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on a free Z -module of finite rank. We denote by U or V the even lattice defined by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, respectively and by A_m , D_n or E_l the even negative definite lattice defined by the Dynkin matrix of type A_m , D_n or E_l respectively. If L is a lattice and m is an integer, we denote by $L(m)$ the lattice over the same Z -module with the symmetric bilinear form multiplied by m . We also denote by L^m the orthogonal direct sum of m copies of L , by L^\vee the dual of L and by A_L the finite abelian group L^\vee/L .

Acknowledgments. The author thanks to Igor Dolgachev for stimulating discussions and useful suggestions. In particular the result in §3.5 is due to him.

Research of the author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research A-14204001, Japan.

2. QUARTIC DEL PEZZO SURFACES

2.1. Five points on \mathbb{P}^1 . Consider the diagonal action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5$. In this case, the semi-stable points and stable points in the sense of [Mu] coincide and the geometric quotient \mathbb{P}_1^5 is smooth and compact. The stable points are $\{p_1, \dots, p_5\}$ no three of which coincide. It is known that \mathbb{P}_1^5 is isomorphic to the quintic del Pezzo surface D_5 , that is, a smooth surface obtained by blowing up four points $\{q_1, \dots, q_4\}$ in general position on \mathbb{P}^2 (e.g. Dolgachev [D], Example 11.5). The quintic del Pezzo surface D_5 contains 10 lines corresponding to the 4 exceptional curves over q_1, \dots, q_4 and the proper transforms of 6 lines through two points from $\{q_1, \dots, q_4\}$. These ten lines correspond to the locus consisting of $\{p_1, \dots, p_5\}$ with $p_i = p_j$ for some $i \neq j$. The group of automorphisms of D_5 is isomorphic to the Weyl group $W(A_4) \rtimes S_5$ which is induced from the natural action of S_5 on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5$.

2.2. Quartic Del Pezzo surfaces. Let S be a smooth quartic Del Pezzo surface. It is known that S is a complete intersection of two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 . Consider the pencil of quadrics whose base locus is S . Its discriminant is a union of distinct five points of \mathbb{P}^1 . Conversely any distinct five points $(1 : i)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 , the intersection of quadrics

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i^5 - \sum_{i=1}^5 z_i^2 = 0$$

is a smooth quartic Del Pezzo surface. Thus the moduli space of smooth quartic Del Pezzo surfaces is isomorphic to $((\mathbb{P}^1)^5 \setminus \Delta) / \mathrm{PGL}(2) = S_5$ where Δ is the locus consisting of points (x_1, \dots, x_5) with $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$ ($i \neq j$). If five points are not distinct, but stable, the equation (2.1) defines a quartic Del Pezzo surface with a node. Thus \mathbb{P}_1^5 is the coarse moduli space of nodal quartic Del Pezzo surfaces.

3. K3 SURFACES ASSOCIATED TO FIVE POINTS ON \mathbb{P}^1

3.1. A plane quintic curve. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_5\}$ be an ordered stable point in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5$. It defines a homogeneous polynomial $f_5(x_1, x_2)$ of degree 5. Let C be the plane quintic curve defined by

$$(3.1) \quad x_0^5 - f_5(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^5 y_i^5 (x_1 - i x_2)$$

The projective transformation

$$(3.2) \quad g : (x_0 : x_1 : x_2) \mapsto (x_0 : x_1 : x_2)$$

acts on C as an automorphism of C of order 5 where ζ is a primitive 5-th root of unity. Let E_0, L_i ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) be lines defined by

$$E_0 : x_0 = 0;$$

$$L_i : x_1 = i x_2;$$

Note that all L_i are members of the pencil of lines through $(1 : 0 : 0)$ and L_i meets C at $(0 : i : 1)$ with multiplicity 5.

3.2. K3 surfaces. Let X be the minimal resolution of the double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 branched along the sextic curve $E_0 + C$. Then X is a K3 surface. We denote by π the covering transformation. The projective transformation g in (3.2) induces an automorphism σ of X of order 5. We denote by the same symbol E_0 the inverse image of E_0 .

Case (i) Assume that the equation $f_5 = 0$ has no multiple roots. In this case there are 5 (2)-curves, denoted by E_i ($1 \leq i \leq 5$), obtained as exceptional curves of the minimal resolution of singularities of type A_1 corresponding to the intersection of C and E_0 . The inverse image of L_i is the union of two smooth rational curves F_i, G_i such that F_i is tangent to G_i at one point. Let p, q be the inverse image of $(1 : 0 : 0)$. We may assume that all F_i (resp. G_i) are through p (resp. q). Obviously σ preserves each curve E_i, F_i, G_i ($0 \leq i \leq 5; 1 \leq j \leq 5$) and σ preserves each E_i and $\sigma(F_i) = G_i$.

Case (ii) If $f_5 = 0$ has a multiple root, then the double cover has a rational double point of type D_7 . Hence X contains 7 smooth rational curves E_j^0 , ($1 \leq j \leq 7$) whose dual graph is of type D_7 . We assume that E_1^0 meets E_0 and $hE_1^0; E_2^0 = hE_2^0; E_3^0 = hE_3^0; E_4^0 = hE_4^0; E_5^0 = hE_5^0; E_6^0 = hE_5^0; E_7^0 = 1$. If f_5 is a multiple root, then F_i and G_i are disjoint and each of them meets one component of D_7 , for example, F_i meets E_6^0 and G_i meets E_7^0 .

3.3. A pencil of curves of genus two. The pencil of lines on \mathbb{P}^2 through $(1 : 0 : 0)$ gives a pencil of curves of genus two on X . Each member of this pencil is invariant under the action of the automorphism σ of order 5. Hence a general member is a smooth curve of genus two with an automorphism of order five. Such a curve is unique up to isomorphism and is given by

$$(3.3) \quad y^2 = x(x^5 + 1)$$

(see Bolza [Bol]). If f_5 is a simple root of the equation $f_5 = 0$, then the line L_i defines a singular member of this pencil consisting of three smooth rational curves $E_i + F_i + G_i$. We call this singular member a *singular member of type I*. If f_5 is a multiple root of $f_5 = 0$, then the line L_i defines a singular member consisting of nine smooth rational curves $E_1^0; \dots; E_7^0; F_i; G_i$. We call this a *singular member of type II*. The two points p, q are the base points of the pencil. After blowing up at p, q we have a base point free pencil of curves of genus two. The singular fibers of such pencils are completely classified by Namikawa and Ueno [NU]. The type I (resp. type II) corresponds to [IX-2] (resp. [IX-4]) in [NU]. We now conclude:

3.4. Lemma. *The pencil of lines on \mathbb{P}^2 through $(1 : 0 : 0)$ gives a pencil of curves of genus two on X . A general member is a smooth curve of genus two with an automorphism of order five. In case that $f_5 = 0$ has no multiple roots, it has five singular members of type I. In case that $f_5 = 0$ has a multiple root (resp. two multiple roots), it has three singular members of type I and one singular member of type II (resp. one of type I and two of type II).*

3.5. A 5-fold cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The following is due to I. Dolgachev. The above K3 surface has an automorphism of order 5 by construction. This implies that X is obtained as a 5-fold cyclic cover of a rational surface. Let D be a divisor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by

$$(3.4) \quad D = 4(l_1 + \dots + l_5) + m_1 + m_2 + 3m_3$$

where $l_1; \dots; l_5$ are the fibers of the first projection from $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ over the five points determined by the polynomial $f_5(x_1; x_2)$ in (3.1), and $m_1; m_2; m_3$ are three fibers of the second projection which are unique up to projective transformations. Take the 5-cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ branched along D . Then

taking the normalization and resolving the singularities, and blowing down the proper transforms of $l_1, \dots, l_5; m_1, m_2, m_3$ which are exceptional curves of the first kind, we have a K3 surface Y . Locally the singularities over the intersection points of l_i and m_1, m_2 are given by $z^5 = x^4 y$ and those over the intersection points of l_i and m_3 are given by $z^5 = x^4 y^3$. Note that the ruling of the first projection from $P^1 \times P^1$ gives a pencil of curves of genus 2 on Y . On the other hand, consider the involution of $P^1 \times P^1$ which changes m_1 and m_2 , and fixes m_3 . Let m_4 be the another fixed fiber of this involution. Then this involution induces an involution of Y which fixes the inverse image C of m_4 . The last curve C corresponds to the plane quintic curve given in (3.1).

4. PICARD AND TRANSCENDENTAL LATTICES

In this section we shall study the Picard lattice and the transcendental lattice of K3 surfaces X given in 3.2. We denote by S_X the Picard lattice of X and by T_X the transcendental lattice of X .

4.1. The Picard lattice.

4.2. Lemma. *Assume that $f_5 = 0$ has no multiple roots. Let S be the sublattice generated by E_0 and components of the singular members of the pencil in Lemma 3.4. Then $\text{rank}(S) = 10$ and $\det(S) = 5^3$. Moreover if X is generic in the sense of moduli, then the Picard lattice $S_X = S$.*

Proof. First note that the dimension of P_1^5 is 2. On the other hand, X has an automorphism of order 5 induced from g given in (3.2) which acts non trivially on $H^0(X; \mathbb{C}^2)$. Nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-forms are eigenvectors of σ . We can see that the dimension of the period domain is $(22 - \text{rank}(S_X)) = (5 - 1)$ ([N2], Theorem 3.1. Also see the following section 6). Hence the local Torelli theorem implies that $\text{rank}(S_X) = 10$ for generic X . Let S_0 be the sublattice of S_X generated by $E_i; F_i; (1 \leq i \leq 5)$. Then a direct calculation shows that $\text{rank}(S_0) = 10$ and $\det(S_0) = 5^5$. The first assertion now follows from the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} 5E_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^5 X^5 (F_i - 2E_i); \\ G_i + F_i &= 2E_0 + \sum_{j \neq 0; i}^X E_j; \end{aligned}$$

Note that $S = S' \oplus (Z=5Z)^3$. Now assume that $\text{rank}(S_X) = 10$. If $S_X \not\subset S$, then $S \subsetneq S_X \subsetneq S$ and hence there exists an algebraic cycle C not contained in S and satisfying

$$5C = \sum_{i=0}^5 X^5 a_i E_i + \sum_{i=1}^5 X^4 b_i F_i; a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By using the relations

$$h5C; E_i i \equiv 0 \pmod{5}; \quad h5C; F_i i \equiv 0 \pmod{5};$$

we can easily show that

$$a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{5}; \quad b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{5};$$

This is a contradiction.

4.3. Discriminant quadratic forms. Let L be an even lattice. We denote by L^\perp the dual of L and put $A_L = L^\perp / L$. Let

$$q_L : A_L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} = 2\mathbb{Z}$$

be the discriminant quadratic form defined by

$$q_L(x \bmod L) = \langle x, x \rangle \bmod 2\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$b_L : A_L \times A_L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$$

the discriminant bilinear form defined by

$$b_L(x \bmod L, y \bmod L) = \langle x, y \rangle \bmod \mathbb{Z} :$$

Let S be as in Lemma 4.2. Then A_S is generated by

$$= (E_1 + 2F_1 + 3F_2 + 4E_2) = 5; \quad = (E_1 + 2F_1 + 3F_3 + 4E_3) = 5; \quad = (E_1 + 2F_1 + 3F_4 + 4E_4) = 5$$

with $q_S(\quad) = q_S(\quad) = q_S(\quad) = 4 = 5$, and $b_S(\quad; \quad) = b_S(\quad; \quad) = b_S(\quad; \quad) = 3 = 5$.

4.4. The transcendental lattice. Let T be the orthogonal complement of S in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. For generic X , T is isomorphic to the transcendental lattice T_X of X which consists of transcendental cycles, that is, cycles not perpendicular to holomorphic 2-forms on X .

4.5. Lemma. Assume that f_5 has no multiple roots. Then

$$S' \vee A_4 \perp A_4; \quad T' \cup V \perp A_4 \perp A_4$$

where V or U is the lattice defined by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, respectively.

Proof. We can see that q_S and the discriminant quadratic form of $V \perp A_4 \perp A_4$ coincide. Also note that $q_T = q_S$ (Nikulin [N1], Corollary 1.6.2). Now the assertion follows from Nikulin [N1], Theorem 1.14.2.

4.6. Lemma. Let S_i be the sublattice generated by E_0 and components of the singular members of the pencil in Lemma 3.4 where $i = 1$ or 2 is the number of multiple roots of $f_5 = 0$. Let T_i be the orthogonal complement of S_i in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$S_1' \vee E_8 \perp A_4; \quad T_1' \cup V \perp A_4;$$

$$S_2' \vee E_8 \perp E_8; \quad T_2' \cup V :$$

Proof. The proof is similar to those of Lemmas 4.2, 4.5

4.7. The Kähler cone. Let S_X be the Picard lattice of X . Denote by $P(X)^+$ the connected component of the set $\{x \in S_X \mid \exists h; x, h \rangle > 0\}$ which contains an ample class. Let $\mathcal{C}(X)$ be the set of effective classes r with $r^2 = -2$. Let

$$C(X) = \{x \in P(X)^+ \mid \exists h; r, h \rangle > 0; r \in \mathcal{C}(X)\}$$

which is called the Kähler cone of X . It is known that $C(X) \setminus S_X$ consists of ample classes. Let $W(X)$ be the subgroup of $O(S_X)$ generated by reflections defined by

$$s_r : x \mapsto x + \langle x, r \rangle r; \quad r \in \mathcal{C}(X).$$

Note that the action of $W(X)$ on S_X can be extended to $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ acting trivially on T_X because $r \in S_X = T_X^\perp$. The Kähler cone $C(X)$ is a fundamental domain of the action of $W(X)$ on $P(X)^+$.

5. AUTOMORPHISMS

We use the same notation as in §3, 4. In this section we study the covering involution of X over \mathbb{P}^2 and the automorphism of X of order 5.

5.1. The automorphism of order 2.

5.2. Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{C} = C$. Then the invariant sublattice $M = H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$ is generated by E_i ($0 \leq i \leq 5$):*

Proof. Note that M is a 2-elementary lattice, that is, its discriminant group $A_M = M^\vee/M$ is a finite 2-elementary abelian group. Let r be the rank of M and let l be the number of minimal generator of $A_M = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^l$. The set of fixed points of σ is the union of C and E_0 . It follows from Nikulin [N3], Theorem 4.2.2 that $(22 - r - l)/2 = g(C) = 6$ and the number of components of fixed points set of σ other than C is $(r - l)/2 = 1$. Hence $r = 6; l = 4$. On the other hand we can easily see that $\{E_i : 0 \leq i \leq 5\}$ generates a sublattice of M with rank 6 and discriminant 2^4 . Now the assertion follows.

5.3. Lemma. *Let N be the orthogonal complement of $M = H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$ in S . Then N is generated by the classes of $F_i - G_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) and contains no (-2) -vectors.*

Proof. Since $(F_i) = G_i$, the classes of $F_i - G_i$ are contained in N . A direct calculation shows that their intersection matrix $(\langle F_i - G_i, F_j - G_j \rangle)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \\ & 8 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} B \\ B \\ C \\ C \end{array} & 2 & 8 & 2 & 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} B \\ B \\ C \\ C \end{array} & 2 & 2 & 8 & 2 \\ & 2 & 2 & 2 & 8 \end{array}$$

whose discriminant is $2^4 \cdot 5$. On the other hand, N is the orthogonal complement of M in S , and M (resp. S) has the discriminant 2^4 (resp. 5^3). Hence the discriminant of N is $2^4 \cdot 5$. Therefore the first assertion follows. It follows from the above intersection matrix that N contains no (-2) -vectors.

5.4. Lemma. *Let r be a (-2) -vector in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Assume that $r \notin M^\perp$ in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Then $hr; \cdot_i \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $hr; \cdot_i = 0$. Then r is represented by a divisor. By Riemann-Roch theorem, we may assume that r is effective. By assumption $(r) = -r$. On the other hand the automorphism preserves effective divisors, which is a contradiction.

5.5. Lemma. *Let $P(M)^+$ be the connected component of the set*

$$\{x \in M \mid R : hx; xi > 0\}$$

which contains the class of C where C is the fixed curve of σ of genus 6. Put

$$C(M) = \{x \in P(M)^+ : hx; E_i i > 0; i = 0, 1, \dots, 5\}$$

Let $W(M)$ be the subgroup generated by reflections associated with (-2) -vectors in M . Then $C(M)$ is a fundamental domain of the action of $W(M)$ on $P(M)^+$ and $O(M) = \langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes W(M) \cong S_5$ where S_5 is the symmetry group of degree 5 which is the automorphism group of $C(M)$.

Proof. First consider the dual graph of E_0, \dots, E_5 . Note that any maximal extended Dynkin diagram in this dual graph is \tilde{D}_4 which has the maximal rank 4 ($= \text{rank}(M) - 2$). It follows from Vinberg [V], Theorem 2.6 that the group $W(M)$ is of finite index in $O(M)$ the orthogonal group of M . The assertion now follows from Vinberg [V], Lemma 2.4.

5.6. Lemma. *Let $\tilde{W}(M)$ be the subgroup of $O(M)$ generated by all reflections associated with negative norm vectors in M . Then $C(M)$ is a fundamental domain of the action of $\tilde{W}(M)$ on $P(M)^+$. Moreover $\tilde{W}(M) = W(M) \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$.*

Proof. First note that $W(M) \subset \tilde{W}(M) \subset O(M)$. Let $r = E_i - E_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq 5$) which is a (-4) -vector in M . Since $hE_i - E_j; M i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, the reflection defined by

$$s_r : x \mapsto x + hx; r i r$$

is contained in $O(M)$. These reflections generate S_5 acting on $C(M)$ as the automorphism group of $C(M)$. Now Lemma 5.5 implies that $O(M) = \langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes \tilde{W}(M)$.

5.7. Lemma. *Let $C(X)$ be the Kähler cone of X . Then*

$$C(M) = C(X) \setminus P(M)^+ :$$

Proof. Since the class of C is contained in the closure of $C(X)$, $C(X) \setminus P(M)^+ \subset C(M)$, and hence it suffices to see that any face of $C(X)$ does not cut $C(M)$ along proper interior points of $C(M)$. Let r be the class of an effective cycle with $r^2 = -2$. If $r \in M$, Lemma 5.5 implies the assertion. Now assume $(r) \notin r$. Then $r = (r + (r))/2 + (r - (r))/2$. By Hodge index theorem, $(r - (r))^2 < 0$. Since $r^2 = -2$, this implies that $((r + (r))/2)^2 = 0$ or 1 . If $((r + (r))/2)^2 = 0$, again by Hodge index theorem, $hx; r + (r) i > 0$ for any $x \in C(M)$. Since σ acts trivially on M , we have $hx; r i > 0$. If $((r + (r))/2)^2 = 1$, then $hr; (r) i = 0$. Note that for any $x \in M$, $hx; r + (r) i = 2hx; r i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence the (-4) -vector $r + (r)$ defines a reflection in $\tilde{W}(M)$. It follows from Lemma 5.6 that $hr + (r); x i > 0$ for any $x \in C(M)$. Since σ acts trivially on M , $hr; x i > 0$ for any $x \in C(M)$. Thus we have proved the assertion.

5.8. An isometry of order five. Let σ be the automorphism of X of order 5 induced by the automorphism given in 3.2. In the following Lemma 5.9 we shall show that $\sigma|_T$ is conjugate to the isometry σ_0 defined as follows:

Let e, f be a basis of $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfying $e^2 = f^2 = 0; he, fi = 1$. Let x, y be a basis of $V = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfying $x^2 = y^2 = 2; hx, yi = 1$, and let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be a basis of A_4 so that $e_i^2 = 2; he_i, e_{i+1}i = 1$ and other e_i and e_j are orthogonal.

Let σ_0 be an isometry of $U \oplus V \oplus A_4 \oplus A_4$ defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma_0(e) = f; \quad \sigma_0(f) = e - f - y; \quad \sigma_0(x) = f - x; \quad \sigma_0(y) = 3f - x + y;$$

Also let σ_4 be an isometry of A_4 defined by

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma_4(e_1) = e_2; \quad \sigma_4(e_2) = e_3; \quad \sigma_4(e_3) = e_4; \quad \sigma_4(e_4) = -(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4):$$

Combining σ_0 and σ_4 , we define an isometry σ of $T = U \oplus V \oplus A_4 \oplus A_4$. By definition, σ is of order 5 and has no non-zero fixed vectors in T . Moreover the action of σ on the discriminant group $T^* = T^*/T$ is trivial. Hence σ can be extended to an isometry (we use the same symbol) of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ acting trivially on S (Nikulin [N1], Corollary 1.5.2).

5.9. Lemma. *The isometry σ is conjugate to σ_0 .*

Proof. By the surjectivity of the period map of K3 surfaces, there exists a K3 surface X^0 whose transcendental lattice T_{X^0} is isomorphic to T . Moreover we may assume that $\sigma|_{T_{X^0}}$ is an eigenvector of σ under the isomorphism $T_{X^0} = T$. Since σ acts trivially on S_{X^0} , there exists an automorphism σ^0 of X^0 with $(\sigma^0)^* = \sigma|_{T_{X^0}}$ ([PS]).

Since $S_{X^0} = S$, there exist 16 (-2) -classes in S_{X^0} whose dual graph coincides with that of $E_i, (0 \leq i \leq 5), F_j, G_k (1 \leq j, k \leq 5)$ on X in 3.2. We denote by E_i^0, F_j^0, G_k^0 these divisors corresponding to E_i, F_j, G_k . We shall show that if necessary by changing them by $w(E_i^0); w(F_j^0); w(G_k^0)$ for a suitable $w \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, all E_i^0, F_j^0, G_k^0 are smooth rational curves. Consider the divisor $D = 2E_0^0 + E_1^0 + E_2^0 + E_3^0 + E_4^0$. Obviously $D^2 = 0$. If necessary, by replacing D by $w(D)$ where $w \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, we may assume that D defines an elliptic fibration. Then D is a singular fiber of type I_0 and $E_i^0 (0 \leq i \leq 4)$ are components of singular fibers. Thus we may assume that $E_i^0 (0 \leq i \leq 4)$ are smooth rational curves. Next consider the divisor $D^0 = 2E_0^0 + E_1^0 + E_2^0 + E_3^0 + E_5^0$. By replacing D^0 by $w(D^0); w \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ with $w(E_i^0) = E_i^0, 0 \leq i \leq 4$, D^0 defines an elliptic fibration. Thus we may assume that all E_i^0 are smooth rational curves. Since $jF_i^0 + G_i^0 \equiv j(2E_0^0 + E_1^0 + E_2^0 + E_3^0 + E_4^0 + E_5^0) - E_i^0 \pmod{2}$ all F_i^0, G_i^0 are also smooth rational curves.

Next we shall show that the incidence relation of E_i^0, F_j^0, G_k^0 is the same as that of E_i, F_j, G_k . Obviously E_0^0 is pointwisely fixed by σ^0 . Recall that σ^0 acts on $H^2(X^0; \mathbb{Z})$ non trivially. By considering the action of σ^0 on the tangent space of $E_i^0 \setminus E_0^0$, σ^0 acts on E_i^0 non trivially. Now consider the elliptic fibration defined by the linear system $|2E_0^0 + E_1^0 + E_2^0 + E_3^0 + E_4^0 + E_5^0 - E_i^0|$ with sections $F_j^0, G_j^0 (j \neq i)$. Since no elliptic curves have an automorphism of order 5, σ^0 acts on the sections F_j^0 and G_j^0 non trivially. Note that σ^0 has exactly two fixed points on each of $E_i^0, F_j^0, G_k^0; (1 \leq i, j, k \leq 5)$. Hence F_i^0 and G_i^0 meets at one point with multiplicity 2. Now we can easily see that not only the dual graph, but also the incident relation of E_i^0, F_j^0, G_k^0 coincides with that of E_i, F_j, G_k .

Finally define the isometry σ of order 2 of S_Y by $\sigma(\mathbb{F}_i^0) = G_i^0(1 - i - 5)$ and $\sigma(E_i^0) = E_i^0$. Then σ can be extended to an isometry of $H^2(X^0; \mathbb{Z})$ acting on T_{X^0} as $-1_{T_{X^0}}$ as σ . By definition of σ , it preserves $C(M)$, and hence preserves the Kähler cone $C(X)$ (Lemma 5.6). By the Torelli theorem, there exists an automorphism ϕ with $(\phi)^* = \sigma$. It follows from Nikulin [N3], Theorem 4.2.2 that the set of fixed points of σ is the disjoint union of E_0 and a smooth curve of genus 6. By taking the quotient of X^0 by σ , we have the same configuration as in 3.2. Thus X^0 can be deformed to X smoothly and hence σ is conjugate to σ .

5.10. Lemma. *Let $e \in T$ with $e^2 = 0$. Let K be the sublattice generated by $\sigma^i(e)$ ($0 \leq i \leq 4$). Then K contains a vector with positive norm.*

Proof. First note that $e, \sigma(e), \sigma^2(e)$ are linearly independent isotropic vectors. Since the signature of T is $(2; 8)$, we may assume that $\langle e, \sigma(e) \rangle > 0$. Then $e - \sigma(e)$ is a desired one.

5.11. Lemma. *Let $r \in T$ with $r^2 = -2$. Let R be the lattice generated by $\sigma^i(r)$ ($0 \leq i \leq 4$). Assume that R is negative definite. Then R is isometric to the root lattice A_4 .*

Proof. Put $m_i = \sum_{j=0}^4 \langle \sigma^j(r), \sigma^i(r) \rangle$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then by assumption $\sum_{i=1}^4 m_i = 1$. Also obviously $m_1 = m_4, m_2 = m_3$ and $\sum_{i=0}^4 \langle \sigma^i(r), \sigma^i(r) \rangle = 0$. Then

$$2 = r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \sigma^i(r), \sigma^i(r) \rangle = 2m_1 - 2m_2.$$

Hence $(m_1, m_2) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$. Therefore $\{\sigma^i(r) : 0 \leq i \leq 3\}$ is a basis of the root lattice A_4 .

5.12. Lemma. *Let $R = A_4$ be a sublattice of T . Assume that R is invariant under the action of σ . Then the orthogonal complement R^\perp of R in T is isomorphic to $U \oplus V \oplus A_4$.*

Proof. Let T^0 be the orthogonal complement of R in T . Then $T = R \oplus T^0$ or T contains $R \oplus T^0$ as a sublattice of index 5. We shall show that the second case does not occur. Assume that $[T : R \oplus T^0] = 5$. Then $A_{T^0} = (T^0)^\perp = (F_5)^{\perp 4}$ because $\sum_{j=0}^4 \langle \sigma^j(r), \sigma^j(r) \rangle^2 = \sum_{j=0}^4 \langle \sigma^j(r), \sigma^j(r) \rangle = 1$. Let σ be an isometry of L so that $\sigma|_{T^0} = \text{id}$ and $\sigma|_{(T^0)^\perp} = \sigma$. The existence of such σ follows from [N1], Corollary 1.5.2. It follows from the surjectivity of the period map of $K3$ surfaces that there exists a $K3$ surface Y whose transcendental lattice is isomorphic to T^0 and whose period is an eigen-vector of σ under a suitable marking. Since σ acts trivially on the Picard lattice $(T^0)^\perp$ of Y , σ is induced from an automorphism ϕ of Y . It follows from Vorontsov's theorem [Vo] that the number of minimal generator of A_{T^0} is at most $\text{rank}(T^0)^\perp(5) = 2$ where χ is the Euler function. This contradicts the fact $A_{T^0} = (F_5)^{\perp 4}$. Thus we have proved that $T = R \oplus T^0$. Since $\sigma|_{T^0} = \text{id}$, the assertion now follows from Nikulin [N1], Theorem 1.14.2.

5.13. Discriminant locus. Let $r \in T$ with $r^2 = -2$. Let R be the sublattice generated by $\sigma^i(r)$ ($0 \leq i \leq 4$). Assume that R is negative definite. Then $R = A_4$ and the orthogonal complement of R in T is isomorphic to $T^0 = U \oplus V \oplus A_4$ (Lemmas 5.11, 5.12). Let σ be an isometry of L so that $\sigma|_{T^0} = \text{id}$ and $\sigma|_{(T^0)^\perp} = \sigma$. Then there exists a $K3$ surface Y and an automorphism ϕ such that the transcendental lattice of Y is isomorphic to T^0 , the period of Y is an eigen-vector of σ and σ acts trivially on the Picard lattice of Y . By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.9, we can see that Y is corresponding to the case that $f_5 = 0$ has a multiple root in 3.2.

6. A COMPLEX BALL UNIFORMIZATION

6.1. Hermitian form. Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C}[X]/(X^5 - 1)$. We consider T as a free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}]$ -module by

$$(a + b\mathbb{P})x = ax + b\mathbb{P}(x):$$

Let

$$h(x; y) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \frac{2}{5} X^i \langle h\mathbb{P}^i(x); \mathbb{P}^i(y) \rangle.$$

Then $h(x; y)$ is a hermitian form on $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}]$ -module T . With respect to a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}]$ -basis e_1 of A_4 , the hermitian matrix of $h|_{A_4}$ is given by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \bar{5} \end{pmatrix}$. And with respect to a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}]$ -basis e of $U \oplus V$, the hermitian matrix of $h|_{U \oplus V}$ is given by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{5} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus h is given by

$$(6.1) \quad \mathbb{P} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{5} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} A : \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let

$$\mathbb{P} : T \rightarrow T$$

be a linear map defined by

$$\mathbb{P}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^4 (i+1) \mathbb{P}^i(x) = 5x.$$

Note that $\mathbb{P}((1+x)\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{P}(x\mathbb{P}) = 4x \in T$. Hence \mathbb{P} induces an isomorphism

$$(6.2) \quad (1+x)\mathbb{P} : A_T \xrightarrow{\sim} T \xrightarrow{\sim} T.$$

6.2. Reflections. Let $a \in T$ with $h(a; a) = 1$. Then the map

$$R_a : v \mapsto v - (1 + \mathbb{P})h(v; a)a$$

is an automorphism of T . This automorphism R_a^+ has order 5 and R_a has order 10 both of which fix the orthogonal complement of a . They are called reflections. Consider a decomposition

$$T = U \oplus V \oplus A_4 \oplus A_4:$$

If $a = e_1$ of the last component A_4 as in (5.2), we can easily see that

$$R_a = s_{e_1} \otimes s_2 \otimes s_3 \otimes s_4$$

where s_{e_1} is a reflection in $O(T)$ associated with (-2) -vector e_1 defined by

$$s_{e_1} : x \mapsto x + h(x; e_1)e_1:$$

In other words,

$$R_{e_1} = 1_U \oplus 1_V \oplus 1_{A_4} \oplus (-1) :$$

Since s_{e_1} acts trivially on A_T , R_a^+ acts trivially on A_T . On the other hand, R_a acts on A_T as a reflection associated with $\mathbb{P} = (e_1 + 2e_2 + 3e_3 + 4e_4) = 5e_1 \in A_T$:

6.3. The period domain and arithmetic subgroups. We use the same notation as in 5.8. Let

$$T = C = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus T_3 \oplus T_4$$

be the decomposition of T -eigenspaces where ζ is a primitive 5-th root of unity (see Nikulin [N2], Theorem 3.1). An easy calculation shows that

$$e_1 = e_1 + (\zeta^4 + 1)e_2 + (\zeta^2 + \zeta^3)e_3 + e_4$$

is an eigenvector of T_4 with the eigenvalue ζ and

$$h(e_i, e_i) = 1 \quad \text{for } i=1, \dots, 4.$$

On the other hand,

$$e_5 = e_1 + (\zeta^4 + 1)e_2 + (\zeta^2 + \zeta^3)e_3 + e_4 + (e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4)$$

is an eigenvector of T_0 with the eigenvalue ζ and

$$h(e_i, e_i) = 5 \quad \text{for } i=1, \dots, 5.$$

Thus if $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/5}$, the hermitian form h on T is of signature $(1; 2)$ and is given by

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A :$$

For other ζ , the hermitian form is negative definite. Now we take $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/5}$ and define

$$(6.4) \quad B = \{z \in P(T) : h(z, z) > 0\}.$$

Then B is a 2-dimensional complex ball. For a (-2) -vector r in T , we define

$$H_r = r^\perp \cap B; \quad H = \bigcup_r H_r$$

where r runs over (-2) -vectors in T . Let

$$(6.5) \quad \Gamma = \{g \in O(T) : h(gz, gz) = h(z, z) \text{ for all } z \in T\}.$$

6.4. Remark. The hermitian form h in (6.1) coincides with the one of Shimura [S], Yamazaki and Yoshida [YY]. This and the isomorphism (6.2) imply that our groups Γ , Γ_0 coincide with Γ , Γ_0 in Yamazaki and Yoshida [YY].

6.5. Proposition. (1) H is generated by reflections R_a with $h(a, a) = -1$ and Γ_0 is generated by R_a^+ with $h(a, a) = 1$. The quotient H/Γ_0 is isomorphic to $O(3; F_5) \backslash \mathbb{H}^3 / S_5$.

(2) H/Γ consists of 10 smooth rational curves forming 10 lines on the quintic del Pezzo surface.

Proof. The assertions follow from the above Remark 6.4 and Propositions 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 in Yamazaki and Yoshida [YY].

6.6. Discriminant quadratic forms and discriminant locus. Let

$$q_T : A_T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} = 2\mathbb{Z}$$

be the discriminant quadratic form of T . The discriminant group A_T consists of the following 125 vectors:

- Type (00) : $\alpha = 0; \# = 1;$
- Type (0) : $\alpha \in 0; q_T(\alpha) = 0; \# = 24;$
- Type (2=5) : $q_T(\alpha) = 2=5; \# = 30;$
- Type ($\alpha = 2=5$) : $q_T(\alpha) = 2=5; \# = 30;$
- Type (4=5) : $q_T(\alpha) = 4=5; \# = 20;$
- Type ($\alpha = 4=5$) : $q_T(\alpha) = 4=5; \# = 20.$

Let A_4 be a component of T with a basis $e_1; e_2; e_3; e_4$ as in 5.8. Then $(e_1 + 2e_2 + 3e_3 + 4e_4)=5 = (e_1 2 (e_1) + 3^2 (e_1) + 4^3 (e_1))=4 \bmod T$ is a vector in A_T with norm $4=5$. It follows from Proposition 6.5 that $\alpha = 0$ acts transitively on the set of ($4=5$)-vectors in A_T . Hence for each $\alpha \in A_T$ with $q_T(\alpha) = 4=5$ there exists a vector $r \in T$ with $r^2 = 2$ satisfying $\alpha = (r + 2 (r) + 3^2 (r) + 4^3 (r))=5$. Moreover α defines

$$H = \bigcup_r H_r$$

where r moves over the set

$$\{r \in T : r^2 = 2; \alpha = (r + 2 (r) + 3^2 (r) + 4^3 (r))=5 \bmod T\} g:$$

Thus the set

$$\{f \in A_T : q_T(f) = 4=5\} = 1$$

bijectionally corresponds to the set of components of $H = 0$. Let

$$\sim = f \sim 2 \circ (\mathbb{L}) : \sim = \sim g:$$

6.7. Lemma. The restriction map $\sim !$ is surjective.

Proof. We use the same notation as in 3.2. The symmetry group S_5 of degree 5 naturally acts on the set $fE_1; \dots; E_5g$ as permutations. This action can be extended to the one on S . Together with the action of α , the natural map

$$\circ(S) \rightarrow \circ(q_S) = f \rightarrow 1g \rightarrow S_5$$

is surjective. Let $g \in S$. Then the above implies that there exists an isometry g^0 in $\circ(S)$ whose action on $A_S = A_T$ coincides with the one of g on A_T . Then it follows from Nikulin [N1], Proposition 1.6.1 that the isometry $(g^0; g)$ of $S \rightarrow T$ can be extended to an isometry in \sim which is the desired one.

6.8. Period map. We shall define an S_5 -equivariant map

$$p : P_1^5 \rightarrow B = 0$$

called the *period map*. Denote by $(P_1^5)^0$ the locus of distinct five ordered points on P^1 . Let $f_{P_1}; \dots; p_5g \rightarrow 2 (P_1^5)^0$. Let X be the corresponding $K3$ surface with the automorphism α of order 5 as in 3.2. The order of $f_{P_1}; \dots; p_5g$ defines an order of smooth rational curves

$$E_i; (0 \leq i \leq 5) F_j; G_j; (1 \leq j \leq 5)$$

modulo the action of the covering involution α . It follows from Lemma 5.9 that there exists an isometry

$$: L \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

satisfying $\quad = \quad$. Now we define

$$p(X; \gamma) = (\quad C)^{-1}(\gamma_X):$$

6.9. Lemma. $p(X; \gamma) \in B \cap H$.

Proof. If not, there exists a vector $r \in T$ with $r^2 = -2$ which is represented by an effective divisor on X as in the proof of Lemma 5.4. Obviously $r + \gamma(r) + \gamma^2(r) + \gamma^3(r) + \gamma^4(r) = 0$. On the other hand $r + \gamma(r) + \gamma^2(r) + \gamma^3(r) + \gamma^4(r)$ is non-zero effective because γ is an automorphism. Thus we have a contradiction.

Thus we have a holomorphic map

$$p : (\mathbb{P}^1)^0 \rightarrow (B \cap H)^0:$$

The group S_5 naturally acts on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5$ which induces an action on S as permutations of E_1, \dots, E_5 . On the other hand, $S_5 = \langle f, g \rangle$ naturally acts on $B = \quad$. Under the natural isomorphism $O(\mathfrak{C}_S) = O(\mathfrak{C}_T) = f, g, \dots$, p is equivariant under these actions of S_5 .

It is known that the quotient $B = \quad$ is compact (see Shimura [S]). We remark that cusps of B correspond to totally isotropic sublattices of T invariant under \quad . Hence the compactness also follows from Lemma 5.10.

6.10. Main theorem. *The period map p can be extended to an S_5 -equivariant isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{p} : (\mathbb{P}^1)^5 \rightarrow B = \quad:$$

Proof. Let M be the space of all 5 stable points on \mathbb{P}^1 and M_0 the space of all distinct 5 points on \mathbb{P}^1 . We can easily see that $M \cap M_0$ is locally contained in a divisor with normal crossing. By construction, p is locally liftable to B . It now follows from a theorem of Borel [Borel] that p can be extended to a holomorphic map from M to $B = \quad$ which induces a holomorphic map \mathfrak{p} from $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5$ to $B = \quad$. Next we shall show the injectivity of the period map over $(B \cap H)^0$. Let C, C^0 be two plane quintic curves as in 3.1. Let $(X; \gamma)$ (resp. $(X^0; \gamma^0)$) be the associated marked K3 surfaces with automorphisms γ (resp. γ^0). Assume that the periods of $(X; \gamma)$ and $(X^0; \gamma^0)$ coincide in $B = \quad$. Then there exists an isometry

$$\gamma' : H^2(X^0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

preserving the periods and satisfying $\gamma'(\gamma^0) = \gamma'$ and $\gamma'(\gamma^0) = \gamma'$ (Lemma 6.7). It follows from Lemma 5.5 that γ' preserves the Kähler cones. The Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces implies that there exists an isomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X^0$ with $f^* = \gamma'$. Then f induces an isomorphism between the corresponding plane quintic curves C and C^0 . Thus we have proved the injectivity of the period map.

Since both $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5$ and $B = \quad$ are compact, \mathfrak{p} is surjective. Recall that both $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5 \cap (\mathbb{P}^1)^0$ and $H = \quad$ consist of 10 smooth rational curves. The surjectivity of \mathfrak{p} implies that no components of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5 \cap (\mathbb{P}^1)^0$ contract to a point. Now the Zariski main theorem implies that \mathfrak{p} is isomorphic. By construction, \mathfrak{p} is S_5 -equivariant over the Zariski open set $(\mathbb{P}^1)^0$. Hence \mathfrak{p} is S_5 -equivariant isomorphism between $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5$ and $B = \quad$.

7. SHIMURA-TERADA-DELIGNE-MOSTOW'S REFLECTION GROUPS

The plane quintic curve C defined by (3.1) appeared in the papers of Shimura [S], Terada [Te], Deligne-Mostow [DM], and the moduli space of these curves has a complex ball uniformization. As we remarked, the hermitian form (6.1) coincides with those of Shimura [S], Terada [Te], Deligne-Mostow [DM] (see Remark 6.4). This implies

7.1. Theorem. *The arithmetic subgroup is the one appeared in Deligne-Mostow's list [DM]:*

$$\frac{2}{5}; \frac{2}{5}; \frac{2}{5}; \frac{2}{5}; \frac{2}{5} :$$

A geometric meaning of this theorem is as follows. Recall that X has an *isotrivial* pencil of curves of genus two whose general member is the smooth curve D of genus two with an automorphism of order 5 given by the equation (3.3). The X is given by

$$s^2 = x_0 (x_0^5 - f_5(x_1; x_2))$$

where $x_1 = x_2$ is the parameter of this pencil. On the other hand, we consider C as a base change $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by

$$(v; x_1; x_2) \mapsto (x_1; x_2):$$

Then over C , $v^5 = f_5(x_1; x_2)$ and hence the pencil is given by

$$s^2 = x_0 (x_0^5 + v^5)$$

which is nothing but the equation of the curve D . Thus the K3 surface X is birational to the quotient of $C \rightarrow D$ by an diagonal action of $Z=5Z$. This correspondence gives a relation between the Hodge structures of C and X .

7.2. Problem. Let $\frac{1}{i}$ be a positive rational number ($0 < \frac{1}{i} < d+1$ or $i=1$) satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^P \frac{1}{i} = 2$. Set

$$F_{gh}(x_2; \dots; x_{d+1}) = \int_g \prod_{i=2}^{d+1} (u - x_i)^{-\frac{1}{i}} (u - 1)^{-1} (u - 0)^{-\frac{1}{h}} du$$

where $g; h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}; 0; 1; x_2; \dots; x_{d+1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then F_{gh} is a multivalued function on

$$M = f(x_i) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^{d+3} \setminus \{x_i = 0; 1; x_i = x_j (i \neq j)\} g:$$

These functions generate a $(d+1)$ -dimensional vector space which is invariant under monodromy. Let $(\frac{1}{i})$ be the image of $(\frac{1}{i})$ in $\mathrm{PGL}(d+1; \mathbb{C})$ under the monodromy action. In Deligne-Mostow [DM] and Mostow [Mo], they gave a sufficient condition for which $(\frac{1}{i})$ is a lattice in the projective unitary group $\mathrm{PU}(d; 1)$, that is, $(\frac{1}{i})$ is discrete and of finite covolume, and gave a list of such $(\frac{1}{i})$ (see [Th] for the correction of their list).

Denote $\frac{1}{i} = \frac{0}{i} = D$ where D is the common denominator. As remarked in Theorem 7.1, in the case $D = 5$, $(\frac{1}{i})$ is related to K3 surfaces. In case of $D = 3; 4$ or 6 , $(\frac{1}{i})$ is also related to K3 surfaces (see [K2], [K3], [DGK]). In these cases, the corresponding K3 surfaces have an isotrivial elliptic fibration whose general fiber is an elliptic curve with an automorphism of order 4 or 6.

For the remaining arithmetic subgroups $(\frac{1}{i})$ with $D > 6$ in the Deligne-Mostow's list, are they related to K3 surfaces?

REFERENCES

- [Bol] O. Bolza, *On binary sextics with linear transformations into themselves*, Amer. J. Math., **10** (1888), 47–70.
- [Borel] A. Borel, *Some metric properties of arithmetic quotients of symmetric spaces and an extension theorem*, J. Diff. Geometry, **6** (1972), 543–560.
- [DM] P. Deligne, G. W. Mostow, *Monodromy of hypergeometric functions and non-lattice integral monodromy*, Publ. Math. IHES, **63** (1986), 5–89.
- [D] I. Dolgachev, *Lectures on Invariant Theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **296**, Cambridge 2003.
- [DGK] I. Dolgachev, B. van Geemen, S. Kondō, *A complex ball uniformization of the moduli space of cubic surfaces via periods of \mathbb{K}^3 surfaces*, math.AG/0310342, J. reine angew. Math. (to appear).
- [K1] S. Kondō, *A complex hyperbolic structure of the moduli space of curves of genus three*, J. reine angew. Math., **525** (2000), 219–232.
- [K2] S. Kondō, *The moduli space of curves of genus 4 and Deligne-Mostow’s complex reflection groups*, Adv. Studies Pure Math., **36** (2002), Algebraic Geometry 2000, Azumino, 383–400.
- [K3] S. Kondō, *The moduli space of 8 points on \mathbb{P}^1 and automorphic forms*, math.AG/0504233, to appear in the Proceedings of the Conference “Algebraic Geometry in the honor of Igor Dolgachev”.
- [Mo] G. W. Mostow, *Generalized Picard lattices arising from half-integral conditions*, Publ. Math. IHES, **63** (1986), 91–106.
- [Mu] D. Mumford, K. Suominen, *Introduction to the theory of moduli*, Algebraic Geometry, Oslo 1970, F. Oort, ed., Wolters-Noordhoff 1971.
- [Na] Y. Namikawa, *Periods of Enriques surfaces*, Math. Ann., **270** (1985), 201–222.
- [NU] Y. Namikawa, K. Ueno, *The complete classification of fibres in pencils of curves of genus two*, Manuscripta math., **9** (1973), 143–186.
- [N1] V. V. Nikulin, *Integral symmetric bilinear forms and its applications*, Math. USSR Izv., **14** (1980), 103–167.
- [N2] V. V. Nikulin, *Finite automorphism groups of Kähler \mathbb{K}^3 surfaces*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., **38** (1980), 71–135.
- [N3] V. V. Nikulin, *Factor groups of groups of automorphisms of hyperbolic forms with respect to subgroups generated by 2-reflections*, J. Soviet Math., **22** (1983), 1401–1475.
- [PS] I. Piatetski-Shapiro, I. R. Shafarevich, *A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type \mathbb{K}^3* , Math. USSR Izv., **5** (1971), 547–587.
- [S] G. Shimura, *On purely transcendental fields of automorphic functions of several variables*, Osaka J. Math., **1** (1964), 1–14.
- [Te] T. Terada, *Fonction hypergéométriques F_1 et fonctions automorphes*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **35** (1983), 451–475; II, *ibid.*, **37** (1985), 173–185.
- [Th] W. P. Thurston, *Shape of polyhedra and triangulations of the sphere*, Geometry & Topology Monograph, **1** (1998), 511–549.
- [V] E. B. Vinberg, *Some arithmetic discrete groups in Lobachevskii spaces*, in “Discrete subgroups of Lie groups and applications to moduli”, Tata-Oxford (1975), 323–348.
- [Vo] S. P. Vorontsov, *Automorphisms of even lattices that arise in connection with automorphisms of algebraic \mathbb{K}^3 surfaces*, Vestnik Mosk. Univ. Matematika, **38** (1983), 19–21.
- [YY] T. Yamazaki, M. Yoshida, *On Hirzebruch’s examples of surfaces with $c_1^2 = 3c_2$* , Math. Ann., **266** (1984), 421–431.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

E-mail address: kondo@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp