

# Trace functions as Laplace transforms

Frank Hansen

June 28, 2005

Latest minor revision August 2, 2005

## Abstract

We study trace functions on the form  $t \mapsto \text{Tr} f(A + tB)$  where  $f$  is a real function defined on the positive half-line, and  $A$  and  $B$  are matrices such that  $A$  is positive definite and  $B$  is positive semi-definite. If  $f$  is non-negative and operator monotone decreasing, then such a trace function can be written as the Laplace transform of a positive measure. The question is related to the Bessis-Moussa-Villani conjecture.

Key words: Trace functions, BMV-conjecture.

## 1 Introduction

The Gibbs density matrix of a system with Hamiltonian  $H$  in equilibrium and temperature  $kT = 1$  is given by  $\exp(-H)$ : One would like to study perturbations  $H_0 + H_1$  of an exactly solvable Hamiltonian  $H_0$  and see how the thermodynamical quantities are changed. This question was studied by Bessis, Moussa and Villani in [2] where it is noted that the Padé approximant to the partition function  $Z(\lambda) = \text{Tr} \exp(-(\lambda H_0 + H_1))$  may be efficiently calculated, if the function

$$\lambda \mapsto \text{Tr} \exp(-(\lambda H_0 + H_1))$$

is the Laplace transform of a positive measure. The authors then noted that this is indeed true for a system of spinless particles with local interactions bounded from below. The statement also holds if  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  are commuting operators, or if they are just  $2 \times 2$  matrices. These observations led to the formulation of the following conjecture:

**Conjecture (BMV).** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $n \times n$  matrices for some natural number  $n$ ; and suppose that  $A$  is self-adjoint and  $B$  is positive semi-definite. Then there is a positive measure  $\mu$  with support in the closed positive half-axis such that*

$$\mathrm{Tr} \exp(A - tB) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} d\mu(s)$$

for every  $t \geq 0$ :

The Bessis-Moussa-Villani (BMV) conjecture may be reformulated as an infinite series of inequalities.

**Theorem (Bernstein).** *Let  $f$  be a real  $C^1$ -function defined on the positive half-axis. If  $f$  is completely monotone, that is*

$$(-1)^n f^{(n)}(t) \geq 0 \quad t > 0; n = 0; 1; 2; \dots;$$

then there exists a positive measure  $\mu$  on the positive half-axis such that

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} d\mu(s)$$

for every  $t > 0$ :

The BMV-conjecture is thus equivalent to saying that the function

$$f(t) = \mathrm{Tr} \exp(A - tB) \quad t > 0$$

is completely monotone. A proof of Bernstein's theorem can be found in [4].

Assuming the BMV-conjecture one may derive a similar statement for free semicircularly distributed elements in a type  $\mathrm{II}_1$  von Neumann algebra with a faithful trace. This consequence of the conjecture has been proved by Fannes and Petz [6]. A hypergeometric approach by Drmota, Schachermayer and Teichmann [5] gives a proof of the BMV-conjecture for some types of  $3 \times 3$  matrices.

## 1.1 Equivalent formulations

The BMV-conjecture can be stated in several equivalent forms.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i). *For arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A$  is self-adjoint and  $B$  is positive semi-definite the function  $f(t) = \mathrm{Tr} \exp(A - tB)$ ; defined on the positive half-axis, is the Laplace transform of a positive measure supported in  $[0; 1)$ :*

- (ii). For arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A$  is self-adjoint and  $B$  is positive semi-definite the function  $g(t) = \text{Tr} \exp(A + itB)$ ; defined on the positive half-axis, is of positive type.
- (iii). For arbitrary positive definite  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  the polynomial  $P(t) = \text{Tr}(A + tB)^p$  has non-negative coefficients for any  $p = 1, 2, \dots$
- (iv). For arbitrary positive definite  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  the function  $f(t) = \text{Tr} \exp(A + tB)$  is  $m$ -positive on some open interval of the form  $(a; b)$ :

The first statement is the BMV-conjecture, and it readily implies the second statement by analytic continuation. The sufficiency of the second statement is essentially Bochner's theorem. The implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is obtained by applying Bernstein's theorem and approximation of the exponential function by its Taylor expansion. The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) was proved by Lieb and Seiringer [15]. A function  $f : (a; b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $m$ -positive, if for arbitrary self-adjoint  $k \times k$  matrices  $X$  with non-negative entries and spectra contained in  $(a; b)$  the matrix  $f(X)$  has non-negative entries. The implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) follows by approximation, while the implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows by Bernstein's theorem and [8, Theorem 3.3] which states that an  $m$ -positive function is real analytic with non-negative derivatives in zero.

## 2 Preliminaries and main result

Let  $f$  be a real function of one variable defined on a real interval  $I$ : We consider for each natural number  $n$  the associated matrix function  $x \mapsto f(x)$  defined on the set of self-adjoint matrices of order  $n$  with spectra in  $I$ : The matrix function is defined by setting

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i) P_i \quad \text{where} \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$$

is the spectral resolution of  $x$ : The matrix function  $x \mapsto f(x)$  is Fréchet differentiable [7] if  $I$  is open and  $f$  is continuously differentiable [3]. The norm of the Fréchet differential  $df(x)$  may be an unbounded function of the order  $n$ ; cf. [19, 18]. If however  $f$  is assumed to be twice differentiable, then the norm of  $df(x)$  is bounded independently of the order  $n$  for all self-adjoint matrices  $x$  with spectra contained in a fixed compact subset of  $I$ ; cf. [9, Corollary 2.9] and [12]. We consider in this article the function

$$f'(x) = \text{Tr} f(x)$$

defined on the set of self-adjoint matrices of order  $n$  with spectra in  $I$ : The Fréchet differential is given by  $d' f(x) = \text{Tr} df(x)$ ; cf. [10].

## 2.1 The BMV-property

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to have the BMV-property, if to each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and each pair of  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , such that  $A$  is positive definite and  $B$  is positive semi-definite, there is a positive measure with support in  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\text{Tr} f(A + tB) = \int_0^1 e^{st} d\mu(s)$$

for every  $t > 0$ :

The BMV-conjecture is thus equivalent to the statement that the function  $t \mapsto \exp(-t)$  has the BMV-property. The main contribution of this paper is the following result.

**Main Theorem.** Every non-negative operator monotone decreasing function defined on the open positive half-line has the BMV-property.

## 3 Differential analysis

A simple proof of the following result can be found in [12, Proposition 1.3].

**Proposition 3.1.** The Fréchet differential of the exponential operator function  $x \mapsto \exp(x)$  is given by

$$d \exp(x)h = \int_0^1 \exp(sx)h \exp((1-s)x) ds = \int_0^1 A(s) \exp(x) ds$$

where  $A(s) = \exp(sx)h \exp(-sx)$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ :

This is only a small part of the Dyson formula which contains formalism developed earlier by Tomonaga, Schwinger and Feynman. The subject was given a rigorous mathematical treatment by Araki in terms of expansions in Banach algebras. In particular [1, Theorem 3], the expansion

$$E_r(h; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \dots \int_0^{s_{n-1}} A(s_n) A(s_{n-1}) \dots A(s_1) ds_n ds_{n-1} \dots ds_1$$

is absolutely convergent in the norm topology with limit

$$E_r(h; x) = \exp(x + h) \exp(-x):$$

We therefore obtain the  $p$ th Fréchet differential of the exponential operator function by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} d^p \exp(x) h^p \\ = \frac{1}{p!} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \dots \int_0^{s_{p-1}} A(s_p) A(s_{p-1}) \dots A(s_1) \exp(x) ds_p ds_{p-1} \dots ds_1 \end{aligned}$$

### 3.1 Divided differences

The following representation of divided differences is due to Hermite [13], confer also [17, 16].

**Proposition 3.2.** *Divided differences can be written in the following form*

$$\begin{aligned} [x_0; x_1]_f &= \int_0^1 f^{(0)}((1-t_1)x_0 + t_1 x_1) dt \\ [x_0; x_1; x_2]_f &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} f^{(0)}((1-t_1)x_0 + (t_1-t_2)x_1 + t_2 x_2) dt_2 dt_1 \\ &\vdots \\ [x_0; x_1; \dots; x_n]_f &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} f^{(n)}((1-t_1)x_0 + (t_1-t_2)x_1 + \dots + (t_{n-1}-t_n)x_{n-1} + t_n x_n) dt_n \dots dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $f$  is an  $n$ -times continuously differential function defined on an open interval  $I$ ; and  $x_0; x_1; \dots; x_n$  are (not necessarily distinct) points in  $I$ :

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $k = 1; 2; \dots$  and real numbers  $t_1; \dots; t_k$  we have*

$$\int_0^t e^{-s} [s_1; \dots; s_k]_{\exp} s^{k-1} ds = t^k e^{-t} [t_1; \dots; t_k; t]_{\exp}$$

for any real  $t$  and  $t \geq 0$ :

*Proof.* For  $k = 1$  we calculate

$$\int_0^t e^{-s} [s_1]_{\exp} ds = \int_0^t e^{s(1-t)} ds = t e^{-t} [t_1; t]_{\exp} :$$

Assuming the formula valid for  $k$  we obtain for  $k + 1$  the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t e^{-s} [s_1; \dots; s_{k+1}]_{\exp} s^k ds \\
&= \int_0^t e^{-s} \frac{[s_1; \dots; s_k]_{\exp} [s_2; \dots; s_{k+1}]_{\exp}}{s_1 \dots s_{k+1}} s^k ds \\
&= \frac{1}{1 \dots k+1} t^k e^{-t} [t_1; \dots; t_k; t]_{\exp} - t^k e^{-t} [t_2; \dots; t_{k+1}; t]_{\exp} \\
&= t^{k+1} e^{-t} [t_1; \dots; t_{k+1}; t]_{\exp}
\end{aligned}$$

provided  $t_1 \neq t_{k+1}$ . The case  $t_1 = t_{k+1}$  then follows by continuity, and the lemma is proved by induction. **QED**

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $x$  and  $h$  be operators on a Hilbert space of finite dimension  $n$  written on the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ii} e_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad h = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij} e_{ij}$$

where  $e_{ij} g_{i,j=1}^n$  is a system of matrix units, and  $x_{11}; \dots; x_{nn}$  and  $h_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1; \dots; n$  are complex numbers. Then the  $p$ th derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Tr} \exp(x + th) \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= p! \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_p=1}^n h_{i_p i_{p-1}} \dots h_{i_2 i_1} [x_{i_1}; \dots; x_{i_2}; \dots; x_{i_p}]_{\exp}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $[x_{i_1}; \dots; x_{i_2}; \dots; x_{i_p}]_{\exp}$  are divided differences of order  $p + 1$  of the exponential function.

*Proof.* We first prove the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad I_k(s_0) &= \int_0^{s_0} \int_0^{s_1} \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} A(s_k) A(s_{k-1}) \dots A(s_1) ds_k ds_{k-1} \dots ds_1 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^n h_{i_k i_{k-1}} h_{i_{k-1} i_{k-2}} \dots h_{i_2 i_1} \int_0^{s_0-j} [s_0-i_1; \dots; s_0-i_k; s_0-j]_{\exp} e_{i_k j} ds
\end{aligned}$$

for natural numbers  $k = 1; \dots; p$  and real numbers  $s_0 \geq 0$ . For  $k = 1$  we

calculate the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(s_0) &= \int_0^{s_0} A(s_1) ds_1 = \int_0^{s_0} \exp(s_1 x) h \exp(-s_1 x) ds_1 \\
&= \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n h_{i_1 j} \int_0^{s_0} \exp(s_1 x) e_{i_1 j} \exp(-s_1 x) ds_1 \\
&= \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n h_{i_1 j} \int_0^{s_0} \exp(s_1 (x_{i_1} - x_j)) e_{i_1 j} ds_1 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i_1=1}^n h_{i_1 j} e^{s_0 (x_{i_1} - x_j)} [s_0 - x_{i_1} ; s_0 - x_j]_{\exp} e_{i_1 j}
\end{aligned}$$

in accordance with (1). For  $k \geq 2$  and assuming the formulas (1) valid for  $k-1$  we obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
I_k(s_0) &= \int_0^{s_0} \int_0^{s_1} \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} A(s_k) A(s_{k-1}) \dots A(s_1) ds_k \dots ds_1 \\
&= \int_0^{s_0} I_{k-1}(s_1) A(s_1) ds_1 \\
&= \int_0^{s_0} \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^n h_{i_k i_{k-1}} \dots h_{i_2 i_1} e^{s_1 (x_{i_2} - x_{i_1})} [s_1 - x_{i_2} ; \dots ; s_1 - x_{i_k} ; s_1 - x_{i_1}]_{\exp} e_{i_k m} A(s_1) ds_1 :
\end{aligned}$$

We then insert

$$A(s_1) = \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n h_{i_1 j} \exp(s_1 (x_{i_1} - x_j)) e_{i_1 j}$$

and using  $e_{i_k m} e_{i_1 j} = (x_{i_1} - x_j) e_{i_k j}$  and the symmetry of the divided difference we obtain the expression

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^n h_{i_k i_{k-1}} \dots h_{i_2 i_1} \int_0^{s_0} e^{s_1 (x_{i_2} - x_j)} [s_1 - x_{i_1} ; \dots ; s_1 - x_{i_k} ]_{\exp} s_1^{k-1} ds_1 e_{i_k j}$$

for  $I_k(s_0)$ : Finally, using Lemma 3.3 we calculate

$$I_k(s_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^n h_{i_k i_{k-1}} \dots h_{i_2 i_1} e^{s_0 (x_{i_2} - x_j)} [s_0 - x_{i_1} ; \dots ; s_0 - x_{i_k} ; s_0 - x_j]_{\exp} e_{i_k j}$$

which proves (1) by induction. We next observe that

$$d^p \exp(x) h^p = p! I_p(1) \exp(x)$$

where differentiation is with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  when nothing else is indicated. Finally, since

$$\frac{d^p}{dt^p} \exp(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{h}) = d_{\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{h}}^p \exp(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{h}^p$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Tr} \exp(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{h}) \Big|_{t=0} &= \text{Tr} d_{\mathbf{x}}^p \exp(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{h}^p = p! \text{Tr} \mathbb{I}_p(1) \exp(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= p! \text{Tr} \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p=1}^N h_{i_p i_{p-1}} h_{i_{p-1} i_{p-2}} \dots h_{i_2 i_1} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N x_i} e_{i_p j_p} \exp(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= p! \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p=1}^N h_{i_p i_{p-1}} h_{i_{p-1} i_{p-2}} \dots h_{i_2 i_1} [i_1; \dots; i_p]_{\exp} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

which is the statement of the theorem. QED

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $f \in C_1(\mathbb{R})$ ; and let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{h}$  be self-adjoint operators on a (possibly infinite dimensional) Hilbert space  $H$ : Then the operator function  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{x})$  is infinitely Fréchet differentiable and the  $p$ th Fréchet differential is for  $p \geq 1$  given by*

$$d_{\mathbf{x}}^p f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{h}^p = \int_1^{\infty} d_{\mathbf{x}}^p \exp(-is\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{h}^p \tilde{f}(s) ds;$$

where  $d_{\mathbf{x}}$  indicates differentiation with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  and

$$\tilde{f}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \exp(it s) f(t) dt$$

is the Fourier transform of  $f$ :

*Proof.* We note that the statement is true for  $p = 1$  by [12, Theorem 1.5] and assume the statement of the lemma to be valid for  $p$ : It follows from the definition of the Fréchet differential that the expression

$$d_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}}^p \exp(-is(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h})) \mathbf{h}^p - d_{\mathbf{x}}^p \exp(-is\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{h}^p - d_{\mathbf{x}}(d_{\mathbf{x}}^p \exp(-is\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{h}^p) \mathbf{h}$$

even after division by  $\|\mathbf{h}\|^p$  tend to zero as  $\|\mathbf{h}\| \rightarrow 0$ : We then multiply the above expression by the Fourier transform  $\tilde{f}$  and integrate. By Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence we therefore obtain that also the expression

$$d_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}}^p f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) \mathbf{h}^p - d_{\mathbf{x}}^p f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{h}^p - \int_1^{\infty} d_{\mathbf{x}}(d_{\mathbf{x}}^p \exp(-is\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{h}^p) \tilde{f}(s) ds;$$



even after division by  $\|h\|$ ; tend to zero as  $h \rightarrow 0$ : Hence

$$\frac{d^{p+1}}{dx^{p+1}} f(x) h^{p+1} = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{d^{p+1}}{dx^{p+1}} \exp(-isx) h^{p+1} f(s) ds$$

and the lemma is proved by induction. QED

In the next corollary we need the identity,

$$(2) \quad \frac{d^p}{dt^p} [\text{Tr} f(x + th)] = [\lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_p]_{f_t} \quad \text{where} \quad f_t(s) = f(ts);$$

valid for  $p$  times continuously differentiable functions  $f$ : The statement is easily proved by induction after  $p$ :

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a  $C^1$ -function defined on an open and bounded interval  $I$ ; and let  $x$  and  $h$  be self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space of finite dimension  $n$  written on the form*

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad h = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij} e_{ij}$$

where  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$  is a system of matrix units, and  $\lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $x$  counted with multiplicity. If the spectrum of  $x$  is in  $I$ ; then the trace function  $t \mapsto \text{Tr} f(x + th)$  is infinitely differentiable in a neighborhood of zero and the  $p$ th derivative

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Tr} f(x + th) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= p! \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_p=1}^n h_{i_1 i_2} h_{i_2 i_3} \dots h_{i_p i_1} [\lambda_{i_1}; \dots; \lambda_{i_p}]_{f^{(p)}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\lambda_{i_1}; \dots; \lambda_{i_p}]_{f^{(p)}}$  are divided differences of order  $p+1$  of the function  $f$ :

*Proof.* Since the spectrum  $Sp(x)$  is compact, there is an open and bounded interval  $J$  such that

$$Sp(x) \subset J \subset I$$

and we may extend the restriction  $f|_J$  to a function  $g \in C_1(\mathbb{R})$ : Since the

spectrum  $Sp(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{h})$  is contained in  $J$  for small  $t$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Tr} f(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{h}) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Tr} g(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{h}) \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Tr} \exp( -is\mathbf{x} - ist\mathbf{h}) \Big|_{t=0} g(s) ds \\
&= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{X^n}{p!} \frac{X^n}{i_1=1 \dots i_p=1} ( -is)^p h_{i_1 \dots i_p} \dots h_{i_1 \dots i_p} \\
&\quad [ -is_{i_1}; \dots; -is_{i_p} ] \exp( -is ) g(s) ds \\
&= \frac{X^n}{i_1=1} \frac{X^n}{i_p=1} h_{i_1 \dots i_p} \dots h_{i_1 \dots i_p} \int_{-1}^1 [ -is_{i_1}; \dots; -is_{i_p} ] \exp( -is ) g(s) ds \\
&= \frac{X^n}{i_1=1} \frac{X^n}{i_p=1} h_{i_1 \dots i_p} \dots h_{i_1 \dots i_p} [ -is_{i_1}; \dots; -is_{i_p} ] g(s) ds;
\end{aligned}$$

where  $g$  is the Fourier transform of  $g$ ; and we used (2) and the linearity in  $g$  of arbitrary divided differences  $[ -is_{i_1}; \dots; -is_{i_p} ] g$ . The statement now follows by noting that  $f = g$  in a neighborhood of the spectrum of  $\mathbf{x}$ : **QED**

## 4 Proof of the main theorem

**Proposition 4.1.** *Consider for a constant  $c > 0$  the function*

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{c+t} \quad t > 0:$$

*For arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrices  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{h}$  such that  $\mathbf{x}$  is positive definite and  $\mathbf{h}$  is positive semi-definite we have*

$$(-1)^p \frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Tr} g(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{h}) \Big|_{t=0} = 0$$

for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ :

*Proof.* We first note that the divided differences of  $g$  are of the form

$$(3) \quad [ -is_1; -is_2; \dots; -is_p ] g = (-1)^{p-1} g(-is_1) g(-is_2) \dots g(-is_p) \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

for arbitrary positive numbers  $-is_1; -is_2; \dots; -is_p$ . There is nothing to prove for  $p = 1$ . Assume the statement true for  $p \geq 2$  and notice that

$$\frac{g(-is_1) - g(-is_2)}{(-is_1) - (-is_2)} = \frac{(c+is_1)^{-1} - (c+is_2)^{-1}}{(-is_1) - (-is_2)} = -g(-is_1) g(-is_2)$$

for  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ : Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [1; \dots; p; p+1]_g &= \frac{[1; \dots; p]_g [2; \dots; p+1]_g}{1 \dots p+1} \\ &= (-1)^{p-1} g(-2) \dots g(-p+1) \\ &= (-1)^p g(-1) \dots g(-p) \end{aligned}$$

for  $-1 \in \mathbb{C}_{p+1}$  and the general case follows by approximation. Next, applying Corollary 3.6 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Tr}_g(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{h}) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= p! \sum_{i_1=1}^{X^n} \sum_{i_p=1}^{X^n} h_{i_1 i_2} h_{i_2 i_3} \dots h_{i_{p-1} i_p} [1; \dots; i_2; \dots; i_p]_g \\ &= (-1)^p p! \sum_{i_1=1}^{X^n} \sum_{i_p=1}^{X^n} h_{i_1 i_2} h_{i_2 i_3} \dots h_{i_{p-1} i_p} g(-i_1) g(-i_2) \dots g(-i_p): \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{h}$  is positive definite, it is of the form  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T$  for some matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  and therefore

$$h_{ij} = \sum_{m=1}^{X^n} a_{im} a_{mj} = \sum_{m=1}^{X^n} a_{im} \bar{a}_{jm} = (a_i | a_j) \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n;$$

where

$$a_i = (a_{i1} \dots a_{iX^n}) \in \mathbb{C}^{X^n}$$

is the  $i$ th row in the matrix  $\mathbf{a}$ . We then set  $b_i = g(-i)a_i$  and  $b_i = g(-i)^{1=2}a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Tr}_g(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{h}) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{X^n} \sum_{i_p=1}^{X^n} h_{i_1 i_2} h_{i_2 i_3} \dots h_{i_{p-1} i_p} g(-i_1) g(-i_2) \dots g(-i_p) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{X^n} \sum_{i_p=1}^{X^n} (b_{i_1} | b_{i_2}) (b_{i_2} | b_{i_3}) \dots (b_{i_{p-1}} | b_{i_p}): \end{aligned}$$

But any sum of the form

$$S = \sum_{i_2=1}^{X^n} \sum_{i_p=1}^{X^n} (b_{i_2} | b_{i_3}) \dots (b_{i_{p-1}} | b_{i_p})$$

is non-negative. It is obvious for  $p = 2$  since then

$$S = \sum_{i_2=1}^{X^n} (j b_{i_2}) (b_{i_2} j);$$

and for  $p = 3$  since then

$$\sum_{i_2=1}^{X^n} \sum_{i_3=1}^{X^n} (j b_{i_2}) (b_{i_2} j b_{i_3}) (b_{i_3} j) = \sum_{i_2=1}^{X^n} (j b_{i_2}) b_{i_2} j \sum_{i_3=1}^{X^n} (j b_{i_3}) b_{i_3} :$$

For  $p \geq 4$  we may therefore use induction over either the even or the odd natural numbers by noting that

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{i_2=1}^{X^n} \sum_{i_p=1}^{X^n} (j b_{i_2}) (b_{i_2} j b_{i_3}) \dots (b_{i_p} j b_{i_p}) (b_{i_p} j) \\ &= \sum_{i_3=1}^{X^n} \sum_{i_{p-1}=1}^{X^n} (j b_{i_3}) (b_{i_3} j b_{i_4}) \dots (b_{i_{p-1}} j b_{i_{p-1}}) (b_{i_{p-1}} j) \end{aligned}$$

where the vector

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{X^n} (j b_i) b_i :$$

This concludes the proof of the statement. QED

**Proof of the main theorem.** Consider again the function

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{c+t} \quad t > 0$$

for  $c \geq 0$  and arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrices  $x$  and  $h$  such that  $x$  is positive definite and  $h$  is positive semi-definite. We first note that

$$\frac{d^p}{dt^p} \text{Trg}(x + th) \Big|_{t=t_0} = \frac{d^p}{d^p} \text{Trg}(x + t_0 h + \tau h) \Big|_{\tau=0}$$

for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $t_0 \geq 0$ : The function  $t \mapsto \text{Trg}(x + th)$  is therefore completely monotone. Let now  $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-negative operator monotone decreasing function. Any operator monotone decreasing function defined on the open positive half-line, thus in particular the function  $f$ ; is necessarily of the form

$$(4) \quad f(t) = t + \int_0^{Z-1} \frac{1}{c+t} \frac{c}{c^2+1} d\mu(c);$$

where  $\nu$  is some positive Borel measure with support in  $[0; 1]$  such that the integral  $\int_0^1 (c^2 + 1)^{-1} d\nu(c)$  is finite, cf. [4, Chap. II Theorem 1 and Lemma 2]. Note that we may write

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{c^2 + 1} d\nu(c) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{c^2 + 1} d\nu(c) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{c^2 + 1} d\nu(c)$$

where for each  $t > 0$  the function  $c \mapsto (1 + ct)(c^2 + 1)^{-1}$  is decreasing and bounded between  $t$  and  $t^{-1}$ . Since  $f$  has a finite limit for  $t \rightarrow 1^-$  one may derive that  $\nu = 0$ ; and by appealing to Lebesgue's theorem of monotone convergence we also obtain  $\int_0^1 (c^2 + 1)^{-1} d\nu(c) < 1$ ; hence  $f$  allows the representation

$$f(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{c^2 + 1} d\nu(c);$$

where we have incorporated the constant contribution from the integral in (4) into  $\nu$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} f(t) = 0.$$

We conclude that the function  $t \mapsto \text{Tr} f(x + th)$  is completely monotone and thus by Bernstein's theorem is the Laplace transform of a positive measure with support in  $[0; 1]$ : **QED**

## 4.1 Further analysis

One may try to use the Hermite expression in Proposition 3.2 to obtain a proof of the BMV-conjecture. Applying Theorem 3.4 and calculating the third derivative of the trace function we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3!} \frac{d^3}{dt^3} \text{Tr} \exp(x + th) &= \sum_{p, i, j=1}^n (a_p - ja_i)(a_i - ja_j)(a_j - ja_p) [ \sum_{p, i, j=1}^n \exp \left( \sum_{p, i, j=1}^n (t_1 - t_2) \right) ] \\ &= \sum_{p, i, j=1}^n (a_p - ja_i)(a_i - ja_j)(a_j - ja_p) \exp \left( \sum_{p, i, j=1}^n (t_1 - t_2) \right) \\ &\quad + (t_1 - t_2) \sum_{p, i, j=1}^n (t_2 - t_3) \sum_{p, i, j=1}^n dt_3 dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $h = aa^T$  and  $a_i$  is the  $i$ th row in  $a$ . Assuming the BMV-conjecture this integral should be non-negative, and this would obviously be the case if the integrand is a non-negative function.

**Example 4.2.** If we evaluate the above integrand in  $t_1 = t_2 = 1$  and  $t_3 = 1/3$  we obtain

$$S = \sum_{p, i, j=1}^n (a_p - ja_i)(a_i - ja_j)(a_j - ja_p) \exp \left( \frac{p + 2j}{3} \right) dt_3 dt_2 dt_1:$$

If we in addition set

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= (1000; 10; 1) \\ a_2 &= (10; 10000; 1000) \\ a_3 &= (1; 1000; 202139) \end{aligned}$$

and  $(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3) = (3 \log 2, 23; 11; 0)$ ; then the sum is an integer with value

$$S = 487062506352658941731358505750:$$

The values of  $S$  are extremely sensitive to the chosen figures, and they tend to be overwhelmingly positive. If for example the third entry in  $a_3$  is changed from 202139 to 202138, then

$$S = 376189230591238013538921396773:$$

The result is equally sensitive to changes in the values of  $(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3)$ :

The above example has not been found by simulation. In fact, millions of simulations with randomly chosen entries have been carried out, without ever hitting a negative value of  $S$ : Instead, the example has been obtained by the study and proper modification of an example in [14] of two positive definite  $3 \times 3$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\text{Tr}(B A B A B) = -3164$ :

Another way forward would be to examine the value of loops of the form

$$(a_1 \cdot a_2)(a_2 \cdot a_3) \cdots_p (a_p \cdot a_1)$$

since they, apart from an alternating sign, are the only possible negative factors in the expression of the derivatives of the trace functions. The value of a loop is a homogeneous function of degree two in the norm of the vectors, so we only need to consider unit vectors. The value of such a loop is furthermore invariant under unitary transformations and thus takes the minimal value in any subspace of dimension  $p$ : By applying a variational principle the lower bound

$$\cos^n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_i \cdot a_j) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i,j=1}^p (a_i \cdot a_j)$$

was established in [11]. The lower bound converges very slowly to  $-1$  as  $p$  tends to infinity, and it is attained essentially only when all the vectors form a "fan" in a two-dimensional subspace.

**Remark 4.3.** *If we only consider one-dimensional perturbations, that is if  $h = cP$  for a constant  $c > 0$  and a one-dimensional projection  $P$ ; then  $h$  is of the form  $h = (h_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$  for some vector  $h = (h_1; \dots; h_n)$  and each loop*

$$h_{i_1 i_2} h_{i_2 i_3} \cdots_{i_p} h_{i_p i_1} = k_{i_1} k_{i_2}^2 \cdots_{i_p} k_{i_p}^2$$

is manifestly real and non-negative. This implies that the trace function

$$t! \operatorname{Tr} \exp( (x + th));$$

for any self-adjoint  $n \times n$  matrix  $x$ ; is the Laplace transform of a positive measure with support in  $[0; 1]$ : The same statement holds, with  $x$  positive definite, for the trace function  $t! \operatorname{Tr} f(x + th)$  associated with an arbitrary completely monotone function  $f$ :

## References

- [1] H. Araki. Expansion in Banach algebras. *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 6:67–84, 1973.
- [2] D. Bessis, P. Moussa, and M. Villani. Monotonic converging variational approximations to the functional integrals in quantum statistical mechanics. *J. Math. Phys.*, 16:2318–2325, 1975.
- [3] A.L. Brown and H.L. Vasudeva. *The calculus of operator functions and operator convexity*. Dissertationes Mathematicae. Polska Akademia Nauk, Instytut Matematyczny, 2000.
- [4] W. Donoghue. *Monotone matrix functions and analytic continuation*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.
- [5] M. Drmota, W. Schachermayer, and J. Teichmann. A hyper-geometric approach to the BMV-conjecture. *Preprint*, 2004.
- [6] M. Fannes and D. Petz. On the function  $\operatorname{Tr} e^{\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}K}$ . *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 29:389–393, 2002.
- [7] T.M. Flett. *Differential Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [8] F. Hansen. Functions of matrices with nonnegative entries. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 166:29–43, 1992.
- [9] F. Hansen. Operator convex functions of several variables. *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 33:443–463, 1997.
- [10] F. Hansen. Convex trace functions of several variables. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 341:309–315, 2002.

- [11] F. Hansen. Lower bounds on products of correlation coefficients. *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 5(1):Article 16, 2004.
- [12] F. Hansen and G.K. Pedersen. Perturbation formulas for traces on  $C^*$ -algebras. *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 31:169–178, 1995.
- [13] Ch. Hermite. Sur l’interpolation. *C.R. Acad. sc. Paris*, 48:62–67, 1859.
- [14] C.R. Johnson and C.J. Hillar. Eigenvalues of words in two positive definite letters. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 23:916–928, 2002.
- [15] E. Lieb and R. Seiringer. Equivalent forms of the Bessis-Moussa-Villani conjecture. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 115:185–190, 2004.
- [16] H.P. Nielsen. Sammenhæng mellem differenser og differentialkvotienter. *Nyt Tidsskrift Mat. B*, 8:86–89, 1897.
- [17] N.E. Nörlund. *Vorlesungen Über Differenzenrechnung*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1924.
- [18] G.K. Pedersen. Operator differentiable functions. *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 36:139–157, 2000.
- [19] H. Widom. When are differentiable functions differentiable? In *Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book 3, Part 1*, volume 1573 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 266–271. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1994.

Frank Hansen: Institute of Economics, University of Copenhagen, Studiestraede 6, DK-1455 Copenhagen K, Denmark.