ON ASSOCIATED VARIETY FOR LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

MICHEL DUFLO AND VERA SERGANOVA

A bstract. We do no the associated variety X_M of a module M over a nite-dimensional superalgebra g, and show how to extract information about M from these geometric data. X_M is a subvariety of the cone X of self-commuting odd elements.

For nite-dim ensional M , X $_{\rm M}$ is invariant under the action of the underlying Lie group G $_{\rm 0}$. For simple superalgebra with invariant symmetric form , X has nitely m any G $_{\rm 0}$ -orbits; we associate a number (rank) to each such orbit. One can also associate a number (degree of atypicality) to an irreducible nite-dimensional representation.

We prove that if M is an irreducible g-m odule of degree of atypicality k, then X_M lies in the closure of all orbits on X of rank k. If $g=gl(m\ jn)$ we prove that X_M coincides with this closure.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	De nition and basic properties	2
3.	Localization and projective modules	3
4.	The structure of X for contragredient simple Lie superalgebras	5
5.	Central character and the main theorems	8
6.	The structure of a generic ber and the proof of Theorem 53	10
7.	Application to supercharacters	13
8.	Translation functor	14
9.	Reduction to the stable case	16
10.	ProofofTheorem 5.4 for gl(m jn)	17
11.	Application to H (g (1); M) for gl (m jn)	20
R eferences		21

1. Introduction

In this paper we introduce a notion of associated variety for a module over a Lie superalgebra. This is a superanalogue of an associated variety of Harish-Chandra

Date: March 5, 2022.

m odules. A sociated varieties have many interesting applications in classical representation theory (see, for example, [7, 11, 13]).

The associated variety for a Lie superalgebra is a subvariety of a cone $X = g_1$ of self-commuting odd elements. This cone X was studied by Caroline Gruson, see [14, 5, 15]. She used geometric properties of X to obtain important results about cohom ology of Lie superalgebras.

While the associated variety in classical representation theory is trivial if a module is nite-dimensional, nite-dimensional modules over classical Lie superalgebras have interesting associated varieties. Since nite-dimensional representation theory of superalgebras still has many open problems, we hope that the associated variety will have some application in this theory. In particular, it should help to describe analytic properties of supercharacters and cohomolgy groups. Some simple applications are given in Sections 3 and 7.

Let us outline the results of this paper. In Section 2 we give a de nition and formulate \sin ple properties of associated variety. In Section 3 we construct a coherent sheaf on X associated with M and prove a criterion of projectivity for modules over certain Lie superalgebras. In Section 4 we discuss geometry of X. Section 5 contains main theorems (Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.4) about the associated varieties for \sin ple classical contragredient superalgebras. In Section 6 we prove Theorem 5.3. In Section 7 we give some applications of Theorem 5.3 to supercharacters. Sections 8, 9 and 10 contain a proof of Theorem 5.4.

2. Definition and basic properties

Let $g = g_0$ g_1 be a nite-dimensional complex Lie superalgebra, G_0 denote a simply-connected connected Lie group with Lie algebra g_0 . Let

$$X = fx 2 q_1 j[x;x] = 0q$$
:

It is clear that X is G $_0$ -invariant Zariski closed cone in g_1 . Let M be a g-m odule. For each x 2 X put M $_{\rm x}$ = Kerx=xM and de ne

$$X_M = fx 2 X jM_x + 0q$$
:

 $W = call X_M$ the associated variety of M.

Lem m a 2.1. If M is a nite-dimensional g-m odule, then X_M is Zarisky closed G_0 -invariant subvariety.

Proof. Since M is nite-dimensional, M is a G $_0$ -m odule. For each g 2 G $_0$ and x 2 M one has

$$M_{Ad_{\alpha}(x)} = gM_{x};$$

that im plies Lem ma.

Lem m a 2.2. (1) If M = U (g) $_{U(g_0)}M_0$ for som e g_0 -m odule M_0 , then X_M = f0g; (2) If M = C is trivial, then X_M = X;

- (3) For any g-m odules M and N, one has $X_M = X_M = X_M = X_M$
- (4) For any g-m odules M and N , one has X $_{\text{M}}$ $_{\text{N}}$ = X $_{\text{M}}$ \ X $_{\text{N}}$;
- (5) For any nite-dim ensional g-m odule M , $X_M = X_M$;
- (6) For any nite-dim ensional g-m odule M and any x 2 X, $sdim M = sdim M_x$.

Proof. Properties 2,3,5 follow directly from de nition. To prove 1, let x 2 X and x \in 0. Let fv_jg_{j2J} be a basis of M $_0$ and $x_1; \ldots; x_m$ be a basis of g_1 such that $x = x_1$. Then by PBW for Lie superalgebras $x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \ldots x_{i_k}$ v_j for all 1 $i_1 < i_2 < k i$ m , j 2 J form a basis of M . The action of $x = x_1$ in this basis is easy to write and it is clear that K erx = xM is spanned by the vectors $x_1x_{i_2} \ldots x_{i_k}$ v_j .

Now let us show (4). We will prove that M $_{\rm x}$ = 0 implies (M N) $_{\rm x}$ = 0. Indeed, M $_{\rm x}$ = 0 implies that M is a free C [x]-module. Tensor product of a free C [x]-module with any C [x]-module is free. Therefore M N is free over C [x] and (M N) $_{\rm x}$ = 0.

Finally we will prove (6). Let (N) stand for the superspace isomorphic to N with switched parity. Since M = K erx is isomorphic to (xM), then

 $sdim M = sdim Kerx + sdim (xM) = sdim Kerx sdim xM = sdim (Kerx = xM) = sdim M_x$:

3. Localization and projective modules

Let O $_X$ denote the structure sheaf of X . Then O $_X$ M is the sheaf of sections of a trivial vector bundle with ber isomorphic to M . Let @:O $_X$ M ! O $_X$ M be the map de ned by

$$Q'(x) = x'(x)$$

for any x 2 X , ' 2 O $_{\rm X}$ M . Clearly 0 2 = 0 and the cohomology M of 0 is a quasi-coherent sheafon X . If M is nite-dimensional, then M is coherent.

For any x 2 X denote by O_x the local ring of x, by I_x the maximal ideal. Then the ber M $_x$ is the the cohom ology of O_x M O_x M . The evaluation map O_x M $O_$

One can easily check that the latter map is surjective. Therefore j_x induces the map $j_x: M_x ! M_x$, and $Im j_x = M_x = I_x M_x$.

Lem m a 3.1. Let M be a nite-dimensional g-m odule. The support of M is contained in X_M . The map j_x is surjective for a generic point x 2 X . In particular, if $X_M = X$, then supp M = X.

Proof. First, we will show that for any x 2 X nX $_{\rm M}$ there exists a neighborhood U of x such that M (U) = 0. Indeed, there exists a map $i_{\rm x}$: M ! M such that x $i_{\rm x}$ = id: Therefore in some neighborhood U of x there exists a map i:0 (U) M ! 0 (U) M such that @ i= id and i(x) = $i_{\rm x}$, hence M (U) = 0. Thus, x does not belong to the support of M and we have obtained that supp M X $_{\rm M}$.

To prove the second statement let $x \ 2 \ X$ be such that dim xM is maximal possible. Let m 2 Kerx. Then there exists some neighborhood U of x and '2 O (U) M such that $\emptyset' = 0$ and '(x) = m. By denition '2 M x and jx (') = m.

C orollary 3.2. Let x 2 X be a generic point, then in some neighborhood U of x, the sheaf M U coincides W ith the sheaf of section of a vector bundle W ith ber M X.

Let $X_M \notin X$. Then M is the extension by zero of the sheaf M $_{X_M}$. If we denote by M $_{(X)}$ the image of j_x , then M $_{X_M}$ locally is the sheaf of sections of the vector bundle with ber M $_{(X)}$ for a generic x 2 X $_M$. Note that M $_{(X)}$ M $_{(X)}$, but usually M $_{(X)}$ \notin M $_{(X)}$, as one can see from the following example.

Exam ple 3.3. Let $g=sl(1j_1)$. Then $g_1=g(1)$ g(1), where g(1) and g(1) are abelian superalgebras. Assume that M is a typical irreducible g-m odule. Then $X_M=f0g$, $M_0=M$ and $M_0=M$ and M and $M_0=M$ and M and M

Let F be the category of nite-dimensional g-m odules sem is in ple over g_0 . The latter condition is automatic if g_0 is sem is in ple.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that g_0 is a reductive Lie algebra and elements of X span g_1 . Then M 2 F is projective i $X_M = f0g$.

Proof. Let M be projective. Since M is a quotient of U (g) $_{U(g_0)}$ M, then M is a direct sum m and of U (g) $_{U(g_0)}$ M. By Lem m a 2.2 (1) and (3) $X_M=60$

To prove the assertion in opposite direction we need the following lemma. Let H $_{\rm red}$ (g;M) denote the cohom ology of g, induced by cocycles trivial on the center of ${\bf q}_0$.

Lem m a 3.5. Let g satisfy the condition of Theorem , M 2 F and X $_{\rm M}$ = f0g. Then H $_{\rm red}^{1}$ (g;M) = f0g.

Proof. Let '2 g M be a 1-cocycle. Then for any x 2 X we have x' (x) = 0. Thus, 'induces a global section of M . Since '(0) = 0 and the supp M = f0g by Lem m a 3.1, this global section must be zero. Therefore there exists (x) such that x (x) = '(x) for all x 2 X . But ' is a linear function, therefore is constant. If d is the di erential in the cohom ology complex, = ' d is a 1-cocycle hom ologically equivalent to '. On the other hand, (x) = 0 for any x 2 X, and since elements of X span g_1 , we have $(g_1) = 0$. The restriction on g_1 is a 1-cocycle for a Lie algebra g_0 . But g_0 is reductive, hence H^{1}_{red} $(g_0; M) = 0$ and therefore = d . We have shown that ' induces the trivial cohom ology class. Thus, H^{1}_{red} (g; M) = f0g.

Now, assume that $X_M = f0g$. We have to show that $Ext^1(M;N) = f0g$, the latter is equivalent to $H^1_{red}(g;M) = f0g$. By Lemma 2.2 (4); (5) we have $X_M = f0g$. Therefore $H^1_{red}(g;M) = f0g$ and M is projective.

Rem ark 3.6. Note that the conditions of Theorem 3.4 hold for any simple classical superalgebra except osp (1/2n). In case g = osp (1/2n), X = f0g and F is semisimple, hence every nite-dimensional module is projective. In general, however,

Theorem 3.4 is not true if we drop the assumption that X spans g_1 . Indeed, let g = q(1), in other words g has a basis of an even element C and an odd element T such that [T;T] = C. Then X = f0g, but not every m odule in F is projective. For example, the trivial one-dimensional g-m odule is not projective.

4. The structure of X for contragredient simple Lie superalgebras

Let g be a contragredient nite-dimensional Lie superalgebra with indecomposable Cartan matrix, i.e. g is isomorphic to one from the following list: sl (m jn) if m \in n, gl (n jn), osp (m $\frac{1}{2}$ n), D (), F₄ or G₃ (for de nitions see [1]).

Rem ark 4.1. The Lie superalgebras we consider are simple except one case. For a sim ple Lie superalgebra psl(njn) the Cartan matrix is degenerate and we consider the corresponding Kac-Moody Lie superalgebra which is isomorphic to gl(njn). Later we will do the proofs for gl(mjn) even if $m \in n$, in this case gl(mjn) = sl(mjn).

We x a Cartan subalgebra h g. In this case the Cartan subalgebra of g coincides with a Cartan subalgebra of g_0 and g has a root decomposition

$$g = h$$
 2 g ;

each root space g is one dimensional. The parity of 2 by denition is equal to the parity of the root space g. The invariant bilinear form (;) on his not positive denite and some of odd roots are isotropic. For a non-isotropic we denote by - the element of h such that $(-) = \frac{2(\cdot;)}{(\cdot;)}$. Let S denote the set of subsets of mutually orthogonal linearly independent isotropic roots of $_1$, i.e. an element of S is $A = f_1$;:::; $_k$ j($_i$; $_j$) = 0g. The W eyl group W of g_0 acts on S in the obvious way. Put $S_k = fA \ 2 \ S \ jA \ j = kg$, here $S_0 = f? g$.

Theorem 4.2. There are nitely many G $_0$ -orbits on X . These orbits are in one-to one correspondence with W -orbits in S .

Proof. We do not them ap :S! $X = G_0$ in the following way. Let $A = f_1$; :::; $_k g 2 S$, choose a non-zero $x_i 2 g_i$ and put $x = x_1 + \frac{1}{k} 2 S$. By do nition (A) = $G_0 x$. To see that (A) does not depend on a choice of x_i note that since a_i ; :::; $a_i x_i = 1$ in early independent, for any other choice

$$x^0 = x_i^0 = c_i x_i$$

there is h 2 h such that $c_i = e^{i(h)}$ and therefore

$$x^{0} = \exp (ad (h)) (x)$$
:

If B = w (A) for som e w 2 W, then clearly (B) and (A) belong to the sam e orbit. Therefore induces the map : $S = W + W = G_0$. We check case by case that is injective and surjective.

If g is sl (m jn) or gl (n jn), g has a natural Z grading g = g(1) g(0) g(1) such that $g_0 = g(0)$, $g_1 = g(1)$ g(1). The orbits of W on S are enumerated by the

pairs of numbers (p;q), where $p = \frac{1}{2} \setminus (g(1)) j \cdot q = \frac{1}{2} \setminus (g(1)) j \cdot T$ he orbits of G_0 on X are enumerated by the same pairs of numbers (p;q) in the following way. If $x = x^+ + x$, where x = 2 g (1), then $p = \text{rank}(x^+)$, q = rank(x). We can see by the construction of , that maps (p;q)-orbit on X.

Let g = osp (m 1/2n). If m = 2l + 1 or m = 2l w ith l > n, then the W -orbits on S are in one-to-one correspondence w ith f0;1;2;:::;m in (l;n)g. N am ely, A and B are on the sam e orbit if they have the sam e number of elem ents. A s it w as shown in [l4], X can be identified w ith the set of all linear m aps $x : C^m ! C^{2n}$, such that Im x is an isotropic subspace in C^{2n} and Im x is an isotropic subspace in C^m . Furtherm ore, $x;y : 2 \times belong$ to the sam $e : G_0$ -orbit i rank (x) = rank (y). One can see that rank (A) = rank (y).

Now let $g = osp(2l_2^2n)$ where l n. If A; B 2 S and fA j = fB j < l, then A and B are on the same W -orbit. In the same way if rank (x) = rank (y) < l, then x and y are on the same G $_0$ -orbit. However, the set of all x 2 g_1 of maximal rank splits in two orbits, since the G rassmannian of maximal isotropic subspaces in C 2l has two connected components. In the same way S_1 splits in two W -orbits. Hence in this case again is a bijection.

If g is one of exceptional Lie superalgebras D (), G_3 or F_4 , then the direct calculation shows that X has two G_0 -orbits: f0g and the orbit of a highest vector in g_1 . The set S also consists of two W -orbits: ? and the set of all isotropic roots in .

Rem ark 4.3. Note that in our situation the representation of G_0 in g_1 is symplectic and multiplicity free (see [8]). The cone X is the preimage of 0 under the moment map g_1 ! g_0 .

We use the notation : S! $X = G_0$ introduced in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Using the explicit description of G_0 -orbits on X and the description of roots systems, which can be found in [1], one can check the following statements case by case. We omit this checking here.

Lem m a 4.4. Let A; B 2 S:

- (1) If 2 is a linear combination of roots from A, then 2 A [A;
- (2) If A j B j then there exists w 2 W such that w (A) B [B;
- (3) (A) lies in the closure of (B) i w (A) B for som e w 2 W.

By A $^{?}$ we denote the set of all weights orthogonal to A with respect to the standard form on h .

Theorem 4.5. Let A 2 S. Then dim (A) = $\frac{j \ln A^2 j}{2} + j A j$.

Proof. Let $A = f_1$;:::; kg, $x = x_1 + f_2$ for some choice of $x_i 2 g_i$, $y = y_1 + f_2$ for some $y_i 2 g_i$. Let h = [x;y], $h_i = [x_i;y_i]$. Clearly, $h = h_1 + f_2$ and h; x; y generate the sl(1jl)-subalgebra in g. With respect to this subalgebra g has a decomposition

$$g = g;$$

where

$$g = fg 2 g j[h;g] = gg:$$

Note that

and from the description of irreducible sl(1j1)-modules for 60

dim [g;x] =
$$\frac{\text{dim g}}{2}$$
:

On the other hand, for θ 0 sdim θ = 0, and therefore

$$\dim g = 2\dim g_1$$
:

Observe that for a generic choice of x_i 2 g_i , g g^0 i (; i) = 0 for all i k. Indeed, for generic choice of x_i the condition (h) = 0 implies (h_i) = 0 for all i, and therefore (; i) = 0 for all i. Hence

and

$$X$$
 $dim [g;x] = X$
 $dim [g;nA^?j:$

To calculate $\dim [g^0;x]$ note that

$$g^0 = h$$
 $_{2 \ \ A}^? g$:

We claim that

$$g^{0};x = \sum_{i=1}^{k} Ch_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i};$$

hence dim $[g^0;x]=2k$. Indeed, if $(;_i)=0$; \in _i then _i \succeq . Therefore [x;g]=0 for any $2 \setminus A^2$; \in _i. Furthermore, $[x;g]=Ch_i$ and $[x;h]=k_{i=1}^kg_i$. Thus, we obtain

(4.1)
$$\dim [g;x] = j_{1}nA^{?}j + 2k$$
:

Now the statement will follow from the lemma.

Lem m a 4.6. sdim [g;x] = 0.

Proof. De ne the odd skew-symmetric form on g by

!
$$(y;z) = (x; [y;z])$$
:

Obviously the kernel of! coincides with centralizer $C_g(x)$. Thus, ! is non-degenerate odd skew-sym m etric form on $C_g(x)$. Hence sdim $g=C_g(x)=0$. But $[g;x]=(g=C_g(x))$, which implies the lem ma.

Lem m a implies that dim $[g_0;x] = 1=2 \dim [g;x]$. Since dim $G_0x = \dim [g_0;x]$, the theorem follows from (4.1).

Corollary 4.7. If $\bar{A} = \bar{B} j$ then dim $(A) = \dim (B)$.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 42 and Lemma 4.4 (2).

The maximal number of isotropic mutually orthogonal linearly independent roots is called the defect of g. This notion was introduced in [16]. One can see that the defect of g is equal to the dimension of maximal isotropic subspace in h. All exceptional Lie superalgebras has defect 1. The defect of sl (m jn) is m in (m;n), the defect osp (2l+1/2n) and osp (2l/2n) is m in (l;n).

Corollary 4.8. Let d be the defect of g. Then the irreducible components of X are in bijection with W -orbits on S_d . If all odd roots of g are isotropic, then the dimension of each component equals $\frac{\dim g_1}{2} = \frac{j+j}{2}$.

Proof. As follows from Theorem 42 and Lemma 4.4 (3), each irreducible component is the closure of (A) for a maximal A2 S. By Lemma 4.4 (2) $\frac{1}{2}$ A j= d. Hence the rst statement. Theorem 4.5 immediately implies the statement about dimension.

C orollary 4.9. If all odd roots of g are isotropic, then the codim ension of (A) in X equals $\frac{j_{-1} \setminus A^?}{2}j_{-1}$ j_{-1} j_{-1} .

Proof. The codimension equals dim X dim (A). Using Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 4.8

$$\operatorname{codim} \quad (A) = \frac{j_1 j_1 j_1 nA^? j}{2} \quad jA j = \frac{j_1 \setminus A^? j}{2} \quad jA j;$$

5. Central character and the main theorems

Let us x a Borel subalgebra b g by choosing a decomposition = $^+$ [. Note that this choice is not unique but our consideration w ill not depend on it. Later w e w ill use di erent Borel subalgebras in some proofs. Let

and de ne the shifted action of Wonh by

$$w = w (+)$$
:

By M we denote the Vermam odule U (g) $_{U(b)}$ C, and by L we denote the unique irreducible quotient of M. We say that 2h is integral dominant if L is nitedim ensional. We denote by the set of all integral dominant weights.

Let Z denote the center of the universal enveloping algebra U (g). One can see that any z 2 Z acts as a scalar (z) on M and L . Therefore 2 h de nes a central character : Z ! C . Let

$$h = f 2 h j = q$$
:

Lem m a 5.1. Let = , A 2 S be a maximal set of linearly independent mutually orthogonal isotropic roots orthogonal to + and t = + $_{2A}$ C . Then

$$h = \int_{w2W}^{[} t^{w} :$$

Proof. Easily follows from the description of the Z formulated in [12] and proven [4] and in [9].

Let us x a central character . For each 2 h de ne S S by the following

$$n$$
 o $S = A 2 S jA $(+)^{?}$:$

Put

$$S = [_{2h} S :$$

Lem m a 5.2. There exists a number k such that $S = \frac{S}{i \cdot k} S_i$.

Proof. It follows easily from Lemma 5.1 that S is W-invariant. Furthermore, if A 2 S and A^0 is obtained from A by multiplication of some roots in A on 1, then A^0 2 S . Hence the statement follows from Lemma 4.4 (1) and (2).

The number k is called the degree of atypicality of . The degree of atypicality of is by de nition the degree of atypicality of . If k=0, then is called typical. It is clear that the degree of atypicality of is not bigger than the defect of g.

Let $X_k = (S_k)$, X_k denote the closure of X_k . Lem m a 4.4 (3) in plies that

$$X_k = \begin{bmatrix} k \\ & (X_i) : \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 5.3. Let g be a contragredient simple Lie superalgebra, M be a g-m odule which adm its central character , the degree of atypicality of be equal to k. Then $X_M = X_k$.

Theorem 5.4. Let g = gl(m jn) or sl(m jn). For any integral dominant 2 h with degree of atypicality k, $X_L = X_k$.

C on jecture 5.5. Let g be a contragredient simple Lie superalgebra. For any integral dominant 2 h with degree of atypicality k, $X_L = X_k$.

First, observe that the conjecture is true for the typical character.

Theorem 5.6. If is typical, then $X_L = f \log$.

Proof. If is typical, then L is a direct sum m and of some induced m odule U (g) $_{U(g_0)}$ M $_{0}$ (see [3]). Therefore Theorem follows from Lem m a 2.2 (1) and (3).

6. The structure of a generic fiber and the proof of Theorem 5.3

In this section we discuss properties of the ber M $_{x}$ over a point x 2 X $_{M}$. Let C_{α} (x) be the centralizer of x 2 X , then by de nition $g_{x} = C_{\alpha}$ (x) = [x;g].

Lem m a 6.1. The subspace [x;g] is an ideal in C_g (x). Let m? denote the orthogonal complement to m with respect to the invariant form on g. Then $[x;g]^2 = C_g$ (x).

Proof. Let $u \ 2 \ C_q \ (x)$, $v \ 2 \ [x;g]$. Then $v = \ [x;z]$ and

$$[u; [x;z]] = (1)^{p(u)} [x; [u;z]] 2 [x;g]$$
:

The second statem ent follows from the identity

$$(u; [x;z]) = ([u;x];z)$$
:

Lem m a 6.2. M $_{x}$ is a C_{q} (x)-m odule trivial over [x;g].

Proof. Let m 2 Kerx, v = [x;z] 2 [x;q]. Then

$$vm = xzm$$
 (1) $^{p(z)}zxm = xzm 2 xM$:

In the case of contragredient nite-dimensional superalgebra we can describe g_x precisely. Let $A = f_1$;:::; ${}_kg \circ 2 \circ S$, $x \circ 2 \circ X$, and $x = x_1 + \dots + x_k$, where $x_i \circ 2 \circ g_i$, $h = [g_i, g_i]$. De ne $A^0 = A^2 \setminus n \circ A$ [A), $h_A = h_A$ h

Lem m a 6.3. If g is nite-dim ensional contragredient superalgebra, $A = f_1; \ldots; kg 2 S$, x 2 X, and $x = x_1 + k$, where $x_1 2 g_1$. Then $C_g(x)$ can be decomposed in a sem idirect sum $g_x + [x;g]$, where g_x is spanned by the root spaces g for all $2 A^0$ and $h_x h_A^2$ is such that $h_x h_A = h_A^2$. Furtherm ore, def $g_x = def g_1$.

Proof. We use the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.5. Let h and g be as in this proof. First, there is an isomorphism

$$g_x = g^0 \setminus C_g(x) = g^0 \setminus [x;g]$$
:

Then we notice that

$$g^{0} \setminus C_{g}(x) = h_{A}^{?} \qquad \qquad _{2A^{?} \setminus n A} g, g^{0} \setminus [x;g] = h_{A} \qquad g_{1} \qquad \qquad _{k} g$$

Choose h_x in such a way that $g_x=\ (\!h_x \qquad \ _{2\,A}\,{}^og$) is a subalgebra, then

$$g^{0} \setminus C_{q}(x) = g_{x} \quad g^{0} \setminus [x;g]$$
:

Remark 6.4. If g = gl(m jn), then $g_x = gl(m kjn k)$. If g = osp(m j2n), then $g_x = osp(m 2kj2n 2k)$. If g = D() and $x \in O$, then $g_x = C$. For $g = G_3$ or F_4 for a non-zero $x \in D$, $g_x = c$ is isom orphic to sl(2) and sl(3) respectively.

Lem m a 6.5. Let x : V ! V be an odd linear operator such that $x^2 = 0$. A ssum e that V = W U, where W is a trivial C [x]-submodule and U is a free C [x]-module. Let S $(V)^x$ denote the space of x-invariants in S (V). Then S $(V)^x = S$ (W) $(V)^x = S$ $(V)^x = S$ (V

Let U $(g)^{ad(x)}$ denote the subalgebra of ad (x)-invariants in U (g), I_x be the left ideal in U (g) generated by [x;g]. One has the following sequence

$$U(g_x) ! U(g)^{ad(x)} ! U(g)^{ad(x)} = I_x \setminus U(g)^{ad(x)} :$$

Let = .

Lem m a 6.6. The map $: U(g_x) ! U(g)^{ad(x)} = I_x \setminus U(g)^{ad(x)}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Proof. Since $I_x \setminus U(g_x) = f0g$, is injective. To prove surjectivity of use PBW and the corresponding sequence for sym metric algebras

$$S(g_x) ! S(g)^{ad(x)} ! S(g)^{ad(x)} = J_x \setminus S(g)^{ad(x)};$$

where $J_x = [k;g]S(g)$. Apply Lemma 6.5 with V = g. Then $W = g_x$, $U^x = [k;g]$, and we obtain $S(g)^{ad(x)} = S(g_x)$ $S(U)^x$ and $S(U)^x$ [k;g]S(U). Thus, gr is an isom orphism. Hence is an isom orphism.

De ne the map $: U(g)^{ad(x)} ! U(g_x)$ by putting = 1 . As follows from Lem m a 62 for any u 2 U(g) $^{ad(x)}$, m 2 M $_x$

$$(6.1) um = (u) m$$

Note that ; are hom om orphism sofg-modules (with respect to the adjoint action). The center Z of U (g) obviously is a subalgebra in U (g) $^{ad(x)}$. Let Z (g_x) be the center of U (g_x). Since is a hom om orphism of g_x -modules, (Z) Z (g_x). We are going to describe the dual map

: Hom (
$$Z(q_x);C$$
)! Hom ($Z;C$):

Choose a borel subalgebra b g such that $_1; :::;_k$ are simple roots.

Lem m a 6.7. Let 2 h satisfy (+ ; $_1$) = = (+ $_k$); = 0. Then (L) $_x$ & 0. In particular the highest vector v belongs to (L) $_x$.

Proof. Clearly, $v \in X$ erx. If v = xw, then one can choose w with weight some i. However, L does not have vectors of such weight.

Corollary 6.8. Let be as in Lem m a 6.7 and be the restriction of to h_x . Let 2 Hom (Z (g_x);C) be induced by and 2 Hom (Z;C) be induced by via Harish-Chandra hom om orphism . Then () = .

C orollary 6.9. Let $2 \text{ Hom } (Z (g_x);C)$ and have the degree of atypicality s. Then the degree of atypicality of () equals s+k.

Corollary 6.9 im plies Theorem 5.3. It also im plies the following

Theorem 6.10. Let M adm it a central character with degree of atypicality k, and x 2 X_k . Then g_x -m odule M $_x$ adm its a typical central character. In particular, if M $_x$ is nite dimensional, it is sem i-simple over g_x , and therefore over C_g (x).

Theorem 6.11. If $g \in \text{osp}(21/2n)$ or D (), then is injective, and therefore is surjective. If g = osp(21/2n) or D (), then a preimage of has at most two elements.

Proof. Let $A = f_1$;:::; kg, $x = x_1 + k$, $x_1 2 g_1$, $k = x_1 + k$ are simple. Let

$$W^0 = fw 2 W jw (A) A [Ag;$$

and W (g_x) denote the W eylgroup of g_x . C learly, W (g_x) W . One can show that if $g \in (21/2n)$ or D (), then W $^0 = W$ (g_x) W 0 , where W 0 consists of all elements which act trivially on g_x .

Let 2 h, (+ ;
$$_{i}$$
) = 0 for all i = 1;::;k. Then 1
h \ h $_{A}^{?}$ = $_{w2W}^{0}$, h \ h $_{x}$ = $_{w2W}^{0}$ (tw \ h $_{x}$):

Let $g \in \text{osp}(21/2n) \text{ or } D$ () and be the restriction of on h_x . Then

$$h \setminus h_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} (t \setminus h_{x})^{w} = \begin{bmatrix} t^{w} = (h_{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

that shows $()^{1}()=$

In case g = osp (21½n) or D (), W (g_x) W 0 has index 2 in W 0 . Take u 2 W 0 , u $\not\equiv$ W (g_x) W 0 , let be the restriction of on h_x and 0 be the restriction of on h_x . Then

Therefore () 1 () = f ; $\circ g$.

Assume that M is nite-dimensional and has central character with degree of atypicality k. Let \times 2 \times 1. Let

$$Y_x = fy 2 (g_x)_1 j[y;y] = 0g:$$

T hen

$$(6.2) x + Y_x X$$

 $^{^{1}}$ W e also use the fact that $_{x}=\frac{1}{2}$ $_{2}$ $_{0}$ $_{(g_{x})}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $_{2}$ $_{1}$ $_{(g_{x})}$ = $_{jh_{x}}$. Hence the shifted action of W (g_{x}) is the same.

De ne the coherent sheafN on Yx as the cohom ology of

$$0:O_{Y_x}$$
 $M_x!$ O_{Y_x} M_x :

Let N (x) be the image of the ber N $_x$ in M $_x$ under the evaluation map.

Theorem 6.12.M (x) = N (0).

Proof. Obviously M (x) N (0). We have to show that M (x) = N (0). Let m 2 N (0). There exists an open V Y_x , 0 2 V, '2 O (V) M_x such that Q' = 0 and '(0) = m. We have to extend 'to some open set U X. Let $g = C_g(x)$ las q_x -m odule. De ne the map

by the formula

$$(1; y) = \exp ad (1) (x + y);$$

for any y 2 Y_x , 12 I_0 . Then is a local isomorphism . Hence in some neighborhood U X, x 2 U, x = (1;y) and one can de ne

$$((1;y)) = \exp 1'(y)$$
:

Then @=0 and (x) = m. Theorem is proven.

7. Application to supercharacters

The properties of M $_{\rm X}$ allow one to say something about the superdimension and supercharacter of M $_{\rm X}$ First, we recall that sdim M $_{\rm X}$ = sdim M $_{\rm X}$ Therefore

Lem m a 7.1. If X_M $\stackrel{\textbf{d}}{\bullet}$ X, then sdim M=0. In particular, if a nite-dimensional module M adm its a central character whose degree of atypicality is less than the defect of g, then sdim M=0.

Now let M be a nite-dimensional g-module and h 2 h.W rite

$$ch_M$$
 (h) = str_M e^h :

O by iously, $ch_{\mathtt{M}}$ is W -invariant analytic function on h.W e can write Taylor series for $ch_{\mathtt{M}}$ at h=0

$$ch_{M}$$
 (h) = X^{1} p_{i} (h);

where p_i (h) is a hom ogeneous polynom ial of degree i on h. The order of ch_M at zero is by de nition the minimal isuch that p_i 60.

Theorem 7.2. Assume that all odd roots of g are isotropic. Let M be a nite-dim ensional g-m odule, s be the codim ension of X_M in X. The order of ch_M at zero is greater or equal than s. M oreover, the polynom ial p_s (h) in Taylor series for ch_M is determined uniquely up to proportionality.

Proof. The proof is based on the following Lemma, the proof of this Lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 22 (6). We leave it to the reader.

Lem m a 7.3. Let $x \ge X$, $h \ge g_0$ and [h;x] = 0. Then K erx and xM are h-invariant and $str_M h = str_{M_*} h$.

If $X_M = X$, the statement of theorem is trivial. Let $X_M \in X$. By Theorem 5.3 there exists k less than the defect of g such that

$$X_M = [A2S, Ajk (A):$$

Let $A = f_1$;:::; k+1g = 2 S, $x = x_1 + \frac{1}{k+x}$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Then $M_x = f + g$ for some nonzero $x_i = 2$ g. Lem m a 7.3 str_M $h = str_{M_x} h = 0$. Hence we just have proved the following property

(7.1)
$$dh_M h_A^? = 0 \text{ for all } A 2 S; A j = k + 1:$$

Let p_i be the st non-zero polynom ial in the Taylor series for ch_M at zero. Then p_i also satis es (7.1). Let B = f $_1$;:::; $_k$ g 2 S and p_i be the restriction of p_i to $h_B^?$. If $p_i \in 0$, then degree of p_i is i. Since p_i $h_B^?$ = 0 for any \in i, 2 B?, divides pi. That gives the estimate on i. Indeed, i is not less the number of all possible up to proportionality, i.e. $\frac{j_1 \setminus B^2 j}{2}$ β j. By Corollary 4.9 the latter num ber is the codim ension s of X_M in X . Hence i s.

To prove the second statement we need to show that if two homogeneous W invariant polynomials p and q of degree s satisfy (7.1), then p = eq for some c 2 C. A fter restriction on h.?

$$p = a$$
 2 (+ \B?) n B , $q = b$ 2 (+ \B?) n B

for som e constants a and b. Therefore there exists f = p or such that $f h_R^2 = 0$. Thus, f satis es (7.1) for k instead of k + 1. Then the degree of f is bigger than s, which implies f = 0.

8. Translation functor

In this section we introduce translation functors, we use these functors in the proof of Theorem 5.4. A translation functor is a superanalogue of similar functor in category 0 (see [6]). For superalgebras translation functors were used in [17] and [10].

Let V be a g-m odule, on which the center Z of the universal enveloping algebra acts locally nitely. Then V = V , where $v = v \cdot 2 \cdot V \cdot j \cdot (z \quad (z))^N \cdot v = 0; z \cdot 2 \cdot Z :$

$$V = V 2 V j(z (z))^N V = 0; z 2 Z :$$

Let B be the category of all nitely generated g-modules with nite Z-action. Then B has a decomposition

$$B = B$$
;

where B denotes the subcategory of all V 2 B such that V = V.

Let E be a nite-dimensional g-module. A translation functor $T_{\scriptscriptstyle E}$ is a functor in the category B, de ned by

$$T_E$$
 (V) = (V E):

To simplify the notation we also will write $T_{\scriptscriptstyle E}$ instead of $T_{\scriptscriptstyle E}$.

Lem m a $8.1.T_{\rm E}$ is an exact functor.

Proof. Both tensoring with nite-dimensional vector space and the projection on the component with a given central character are obviously exact functors.

Denote by P (E) the set of all weights of E counted with multiplicities.

- Lem m a 8.2. (1) For the Verma module M , M E has a nite litration $fOg = F_0$ $q \not \models M$ E) of length q = dim E such that $F_{i+1} = F_i$ is ism orphic to M $_+$, 2 P (E);
 - (2) If V is a module generated by a highest vector of weight , then T_E (V) has a nite ltration f0g = V_0 $_r \ \forall \ T_E$ (V) such that $V_i = V_{i+1}$ is a highest weight module of weight + 2 h for some 2 P (E).

Proof. The rst statement can be found in [6]. The second one follows from the rst and Lemma 8.1.

Let b be a Borel subalgebra of g, V be a g-m odule. A vector $v \in V$ is b-prim it ive if by $2 \, C \, v$.

Lem m a 8.3. If v is a b-prim it ive vector of (L E) then the weight of v equals + for som e 2 P (E).

Proof. Introduce the order on h by putting if = + n for som e 2 $^{\rm +}$ and n 2 Z $_{\rm 0}$. Choose a maximal weight of L such that

$$v = v_1 w_1 + v_1 v_1 w_1^0 w_1^0 + v_1^0 v_1^0$$

for som e linearly independent $v_1; \dots; v_r$ 2 L of weight , linearly independent weight vectors $w_1; \dots; w_r$ 2 E and som e linearly independent weight vectors $v_1^0; \dots; v_t^0$ 2 L of weights di erent from , $w_1^0; \dots; w_t^0$ 2 E . For any sim ple root element e 2 n the condition ev = 0 im plies

$$ev_1 w_1 + +_r evw_r = 0$$
:

Since w_1 ;:::; w_r are linearly independent, we must have $ev_i = 0$. Therefore all v_i are b-prim itive. But L has a unique up to proportionality b-prim itive vector. Therefore = r = 1 and the weight of v is the sum of r = 1 and the weight of v.

For any 2 h put

$$h = h$$
 , $= h \setminus :$

Lem m a 8.4. Let; 2 satisfy the conditions

$$(8.1)$$
 $(+ P (E)) \setminus = f g$

$$(82) (P(E)) \setminus = fg$$

and is minimal in (P (E)) \ h. Then

$$T_E$$
 (L) = L , T_E (L) = L :

Proof. By Lem m a 8.2 (2) and (8.1) T_E (L) is a highest weight module with highest weight . By Lem m a 8.3 and (8.1) T_E (L) has a unique up to proportionality b-prim it ive vector. Therefore either T_E (L) = L or T_E (L) = f0g. In the same way either T_E (L) = L or T_E (L) = f0g.

Our next observation is

(8.3)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{q}(M \quad E ; N) = \operatorname{Hom}_{q}(M ; N \quad E);$$

hence, in particular

(8.4)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{q} T_{E} (L) ; L = \operatorname{Hom}_{q} (L ; T_{E} (L)) :$$

$$Hom_g T_E (M); L \in fOg:$$

But then using (8.3)

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{q}}(M ; T_{\operatorname{E}}(L)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{q}} T_{\operatorname{E}}(M) ; L \in 0$$
:

Therefore T_E (L) \neq f0g. Finally by (8.4) T_E (L) \neq f0g.

Lem m a 8.5. Let M be a nite-dimensional g-m odule and N = $T_{\rm E}$ (M). Then X $_{\rm N}$ X $_{\rm M}$.

Proof. Let x 2 X nX_M , then M is free over C [x], and M E is also free over C [x]. Since N is a direct sum m and of M E, then N is free over C [x]. That implies $x \not\geq X_N$.

9. Reduction to the stable case

Fix a set of simple roots and the Borel subalgebra b $\,$ g generated by h and simple roots. We say that a subalgebra q is admissible if q is generated by h, some subset of simple roots and their negatives. By $\,$ (q) we denote the root system of q. We call

stable with respect to q if the following conditions hold for any isotropic 2, (+;) = 0 implies 2 (q).

In this section we assume that g = gl(m, n). Then

 $_0 = f"_i$ " $_j$ ji; j mg[f $_i$ $_j$ ji; j ng, $_1 = f$ (" $_i$ $_j$) ji m; j ng: All odd roots are isotropic. The choice of the form on h is such that (" $_i$;" $_j$) = $_{ij}$. The defect d = m in (m; n). We choose a Borel subalgebra b so that the simple roots are

If $+ = a_1 "_1 + b_1 + b_1 + \cdots + b_n$, then $2 i a_i a_{i+1}, b_j b_{j+1} 2 Z_{>0}$ for all i < m; j < n. In other words, $2 i h + i - i 2 Z_{>0}$ for all $2 b_1 + b_2 + b_3$ we consider only atypical we may assume that $a_i \neq b_1 = a_1 + b_2 = a_1 + b_3 = a_2 + a_3 = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = a_2 + a_3 = a_3 = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = a_2 + a_3 = a_3 = a_1 + a_2 = a_2 + a_3 = a_2 = a_3 = a$

Lem ma 9.1. Let $+=a_1"_1+$ $+ m_2 + b_{1} + \dots + b_{n} + b_{n} + \dots + b_{n} = 0$ implies i>m k, then is stable for qwith simple roots m_{m-k+1} m_{m-k+2} ; ...; m_{m-1} m_{m-1} ; m_{m-1} m_{m-1}

Proof. Trivial.

Theorem 9.2. Let g = gl(m jn). If 2 and has the degree of atypicality k, then there exists a subalgebra q g of defect k, a stable 2 of degree atypicality k and translation functors $T_1; ::::; T_r, T_1; ::::; T_r$ such that

$$L = T_1 ::: T_r (L), L = T_r ::: T_1 (L):$$

Proof. Translation functors which we use are always related with E being the standard representation or its dual. We will provide a combinatorial algorithm, which constructs from a weight 2 a new weight 2 in such way that and satisfy the conditions of Lemma 8.4 and therefore T_E (L ()) = L (), T_E (L ()) = L (). Applying this algorithm several times we obtain a sequence of weights __1;:::; __r such that __r is stable. Let __ + = a_l"_1 + ___ # "a_l + b_l __1 + :::b_h __n. Let g be maximal such that $a_i + b_j \in 0$ for any i __g, j __n. If g = m __k, then __ is stable as in Lemma 9.1 and we can stop to apply the algorithm. O there is choose __rst i > g such that $a_i + b_i \in 0$ for all j __n. Construct __depending on the following

- (1) If $b_j \in a_i$ 1 for any j n, then put = + i_i ;
- (2) If $b_j = a_i$ 1 for som e j look at a_{i-1} . If $a_{i-1} = a_i + 1$, put = + j. O therw ise go to the next step;
- (3) If $b_j = a_i$ 1, $a_{i-1} \in a_i + 1$ nd the maximal p such that $b_{j+p} = b_j$ p. If $a_{i-1} + b_{j+p} > 0$, put $a_{j+p} = a_j + 1$ of them is go to the next step.
- (4) If $a_{i-1} + b_{j+p} = 0$, then there exists to p such that $a_{i-1} + b_{j+t} = 0$. Put $= \mathbf{v}_{i-1}$.

Note that at some point one always arrives to the case 2, that decreases i and eventually increases g. In the end one will come to the stable weight.

Theorem 92 and Lemma 85 imply

Theorem 9.3. Let $g = gl (m j_1)$. For any 2 there exists a stable 2 with the same degree of atypicality such that $X_L = X_L$.

In this section g = gl(m jn) and is an integral dominant weight with degree of atypicality k. As Theorem 5.3 is already proven we have to show only that if A 2 S, jA j = k, then (L)_x for for any x 2 (A). As follows from Theorem 9.3, we may assume that is stable with respect to q = gl(kjn). It is easy to check

that (A) \ q \in ?, and therefore one may assume that x 2 q. On the other hand, L = L (q) N as a module over q. Thus, it is su cient to prove that (L (q))_x \in f0g. In other words, we reduce the theorem to the case of gl(kjn). U sing the isomorphism gl(kjn) = gl(njk) we can repeat the above argument and reduce the theorem to the case g = gl(kjk). Sum m ing up, Theorem 5.4 is equivalent to the following Lem ma.

Lem m a 10.1. Let g = gl(k - k) and be an integral dom in ant weight with degree of atypicality k. Then (L), θ for any x 2 X.

We prove Lemma 10.1 in several steps. We use the fact that ghas the Z-grading g = g(1) g(0) g(1) and g(1); g(1) are irreducible components of X. We have k+1 open orbits on X. Choose a representative x on each orbit in the following way:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^{+} \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
;

where x^+ is the block matrix

$$1_p 0 0 0$$

and x is the block matrix

here p + q = k.

If x 2 g (1), then x = 0, if x 2 g (1), then x^+ = 0. In both cases the stabilizer K of x in G $_0$ is isomorphic to G L (k) em bedded diagonally in G $_0$ = G L (k) G L (k). By k we denote the Lie algebra of K .

Lem m a 10.2. If x 2 g (1) and M is a nite-dimensional g-m odule, then M $_{\rm x}$ is a trivial K -m odule.

Proof. Follows from the fact $C_q(x) = [x; g]$ and Lemma 62.

Lem m a 10.3. Let g = gl(m jn), b is the Borel subalgebra containing g(l), M = U(g) U(b) C be the Verma module. If is a negative isotropic root such that (+ j) = 0, then M contains a b-prim itive vector of weight + ...

Proof. Let I be the set of all weights 2 h such that M has a b-prim it ive vector of weight + . Then I is Zariski closed, see for example [2]. Let

$$H = f 2 h j (+ ;) = 0g:$$

We want to show that H I. Consider

$$H^0 = f 2 H j (+ ;) \in 0; \in 2 g_1g:$$

It su ces to show that H 0 I . Consider $b^0 = b_0 + g$ (1). If v is a highest vector of M and X 2 g , then w = $_2$ $_{(g(1))}X$ v is a b^0 -prim itive, and u =

 $_{2~(g\,(1))\rm nf}$ $_{g}X$ w is a b-prim itive. Since the weight of u equals ~+~ , we obtain H $^{0}~$ I as required.

The Z-grading on g induces the Z-grading on an irreducible g-m odule M = M (0) M (1) M (i) kin the following way

$$M (0) = K \operatorname{erg} (1), M_{i} = \operatorname{g} (1)M (i+1)$$
:

Each M (i) is a q_0 -submodule of M.

Lem m a 10.4. Let x 2 g (1), M=L for a dom inant integral of degree atypicality k. Then M (0) contains one trivial K-subm odule and M (1) does not have trivial K_x-subm odules.

Proof. Since the degree of atypicality of isk, one can write

$$= a_1 \mathbf{1}_1 + \mathbf{1}_k \mathbf{1}_k a_k a_k a_k$$

We denote by V ($a_1; :::; a_k$) the irreducible gl(k)-m odule with highest weight ($a_1; :::; a_k$) and by L (g_0) the irreducible g_0 -m odule with highest weight . Since M (0) is isomorphic to L (g_0), then

$$M (0) = V (a_1; :::; a_k) V (a_1; :::; a_k)$$

as K \pm m odule, which has exactly one trivial component. Hence the \pm nst statem ent is true.

Obviously M (1) is a submodule in

L g (1)
$$_{2}$$
 $_{(q(1))}L_{+}$ (g_{0}) :

However, (+ ;" $_{i}$ $_{k+1}$ $_{i}$) = 0, therefore by Lem m a 10.3 M (1) does not contain the component L $_{+}$ $_{k+1}$ $_{i}$ $_{i}$ (g_{0}) for all i=1;:::;k. Hence M (1) is a K-submodule of the K-m odule

$$_{i \notin j} V (a_1; \ldots; a_i \quad 1; \ldots; a_k) \quad V (a_1; \ldots; a_j \quad 1; \ldots; a_k) :$$

Therefore M (1) does not contain K-trivial submodules.

Lem m a 10.5. Let x 2 g (1) belong to an open G $_0$ -orbit, M = L for a dominant integral of degree of atypicality k and N be a trivial K-submodule in M (0). Then N $_{\rm X}$ and therefore M $_{\rm X}$ $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{}$ fOg.

Proof. If $x \ 2 \ g \ (1)$, then xN = 0. Since $x : M \ (1) ! M \ (0)$ is a hom om orphism of g_x -m odules and $M \ (1)$ does not have trivial g_x -subm odules, then $N \ does$ not belong to $Im \ x$. If $x \ 2 \ g \ (1)$, then $N \ clearly$ is not in $Im \ x$. Since $x : M \ (0) ! M \ (1)$ is a hom om orphism of g_x -m odules and $M \ (1)$ does not contain trivial g_x -subm odules, then xN = 0.

Lem m a 10.5 shows that M $_{\rm x}$ fog in two special cases: x 2 g (1) or x 2 g (1). Now we will show the same for each open G $_{\rm 0}$ -orbit on C. Let y $_{\rm c}$ be an odd element in g given by

$$y_{c} \quad y_{c}^{+}$$

where y_c^+ is the block matrix

$$1_p$$
 0 0 1_q

and y_c is the block matrix

here p + q = k, c 2 C. Note that $y_c \not\ge X$ if $c \not\in 0$, but $[y_c; y_c]$ lies in the center of g. If M = L has the degree atypicality k, then the center of g acts by zero on M. Hence M $_{y_c}$ = Keryc=Im y_c is well de ned. Lem m a 10.5 in plies M $_{y_1} \not\in f$ 0g. If $c \not\in 0$, then there exists g 2 G $_0$ such that $y_c = c^{1-2} A d_g (y_1)$. Therefore M $_{y_c} \not\in f$ 0g for any $c \not\in 0$. The continuity argument shows that M $_{y_0} \not\in f$ 0g. But y_0 2 X is an element on an open orbit. Therefore Lem m a 10.1 and Theorem 5.4 are proven.

Let g = gl (m jn), then g (1) is an abelian subalgebra and the cohom ology H (g (1); M) determ ine the character of a nite-dim ensional module $M \cdot On$ the other hand, g (1) is an irreducible component of X. The complex calculating H (g (1); M) is

where 0 is the same as for the sheaf M . One can consider the localization of this complex and the corresponding coherent sheaf H $_{\rm M}$ is the restriction of M on g (1). Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 6.12 imply the following

Theorem 11.1. Let M be an irreducible nite-dimensional module with central character and the degree of atypicality of equal k. Then supp H $_{\rm M}$ = X $_{\rm k}$ \ g (1).

Lem m a 11.2. Let M be a typical nite-dim ensional module. Then supp H $_{\rm M}$ = f0g and H $_{\rm M}$ (0) = H $^{\rm 0}$ (g (1); M).

Proof. Since M is typical, then M is a free g (1) module and H i (g (1); M) = 0 for i > 0.

Theorem 11.3. Let x 2 X $_k \setminus g$ (1), M = L , the degree of atypicality of be k, and Z = G $_0$ x. Then H $_M$ (Z) is the sheaf of section of the G $_0$ -vector bundle inuced by $(g_x)_0$ -m odule H 0 $(g_x \setminus g$ (1); M $_x$).

Proof. Follows from Lemma 112, Theorem 6.12 and Theorem 6.10.

References

- 1. V.G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, Adv. Math. 26 (1977), 8{96.
- 2. J.D ixm ier, Enveloping algebras, G raduate Studies in M athematics, vol. 11, Am erican M athematical Society, P rovidence, R I, 1996, R evised reprint of the 1977 translation.
- 3. V.G. Kac, Representations of classical Lie superalgebras, Lecture Notes in Math. 676 (1978), 597 (626.
- 4. A. Sergeev, The invariant polynomials on simple Lie superalgebras, Representation theory 3 (1999), 250 (280.
- 5. C. Gruson, Sur la cohom ologie des super algebres de Lie etranges, Transform. Groups 5 (2000), no.1,73{84.
- 6. J. Bernstein, I.M. Gelfand, and S.I. Gelfand, Category of G (modules, Funct. Anal. and Appl. 10 (1976), 87 (92.
- 7. David A. Vogan, Jr., Associated varieties and unipotent representations.
- 8. Friedrich Knopp, Invariant functions on symplectic representations, preprint, arX iv m ath AG/0506171, Jun 2005.
- 9. Maria Gorelik, The Kac construction of the centre of u(g) for Lie superalgebras, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. (2004).
- 10. Jonathan Brundan, Kazhdan-lusztig polynom ials and character form ulae for the L.
- 11. Shohei K ato and H iroyuki O chiai, The degrees of orbits of the multiplicity-free actions, A sterisque (2001), no. 273, 139{158, N ilpotent orbits, associated cycles and W hittaker models for highest weight representations.
- 12. Victor G.K. ac, Laplace operators of in nite-dimensional Lie algebras and theta functions, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 81 (1984), no.2, 645 (647.
- 13. K yo N ishiyam a, H iroyuki O chiai, and K en ji Taniguchi, B ernstein degree and associated cycles of H arish-C handra m odules | H erm itian symmetric case, A sterisque (2001), no. 273, 13{80, N ilpotent orbits, associated cycles and W hittaker m odels for highest weight representations.
- 14. Caroline Gruson, Sur l'ideal du cône autocom mutant des super algebres de Lie basiques classiques et etranges, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 50 (2000), no. 3, 807 (831.
- 15. _____, Cohom ologie des modules de dimension nie sur la super algebre de Lie osp (3;2), J. Algebra 259 (2003), no. 2, 581 (598.
- 16. V.G. Kac and M. Wakim oto, Integrable highest weight modules over a ne superalgebras and number theory, Progress in Math. 123 (1994), 415 (456.
- 17. V. Serganova, Kazhdan-Lusztig polynom ials and character formula for the Lie superalgebra $gl(m \ jn)$, Selecta M ath. (N S.) 2 (1996), no. 4, 607 (651.

Dept. of M athematics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720 E-m ailaddress: serganov@math.berkeley.edu