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#### Abstract

M\) any statisticalm odels are algebraic in that they are de ned in term sofpolynom ial constraints, or in term s of polynom ial or rational param etrizations. T he param eter spaces of such $m$ odels are typically sem i-algebraic subsets of the param eter space of a reference $m$ odel w ith nice properties, such as for exam ple a regular exponential fam ily. This observation leads to the de nition of an algebraic exponential fam ily'. This new de nition provides a uni ed fram ew ork for the study of statisticalm odels $w$ ith algebraic structure. In this paper we review the ingredients to this de nition and illustrate in exam ples how com putational algebraic geom etry can be used to solve problem $s$ arising in statistical inference in algebraic m odels.
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## 1. Introduction

A lgebra has seen $m$ any applications in statistics (e.g.D iaconis, 1988 ; V iana and $R$ ichards, 2001), but it is only rather recently that com putational algebraic geom etry and related techniques in com m utative algebra and com binatorics have been used to study statistical m odels and inference problem s . This use of com putational algebraic geom etry was in itiated in work on exact tests of conditional independence hypotheses in contingency tables (D iaconis and Sturm fels, 1998). A nother line of work in experim ental design led to the $m$ onograph by P istone et al, (2001). A lgebraic statistics', the buzz word in the titles of this $m$ onograph and the $m$ ore recent book by P achter and Sturm fels (2005), has now becom e the umbrella term for statistical research involving algebraic geom etry. T here has also begun to be a sense of com $m$ unity am ong researchers working in algebraic statistics as re ected by w orkshops, conferences, and sum mer schools. O ne such workshop, the 2005 W orkshop on A lgebraic Statistics and Com putational B iology held at the C lay M athe$m$ atics Institute led to the Statistica Sinica them e topic, of which this article form $s$ a part. O ther recent work in algebraic statistics has considered contingency table analysis (A oki and Takem ura, 2005; D obra and Sullivant, 2004; T akem ura and A ok:, 2005), phylogenetic treem odels (Alm an and R hodes, 2003; E riksson et al, 2005; Sturm fels and Sullivant., 2005), m axim um likelihood estim ation underm ultinom ial sam pling (C atanese et al, 2006; H osten et al., 2005), reliability theory (G iglio and W ynn, 2004), and B ayesian netw orks
(G arcia et al, 2005) . A special issue of the Joumal of Sym bolic $C$ om putation em phasizing the algebraic side em erged follow ing the 2003 W orkshop on $C$ om putational A lgebraic Statistics at the Am erican Institute of M athem atics.

T he algebraic problem s studied in algebraic statistics are of a rather diverse nature. At the very core of the eld, how ever, lies the notion of an algebraic statisticalm odel. W hile this notion has the potential of serving as a unifying them efor algebraic statistics, there does not seem, at present, to exist a uni ed de nition of an algebraic statistical model. This lack of unity is apparent even when reading articles by the sam e authors, where two papers m ight use two di erent, non-equivalent de nitions of an algebraic statistical m odel, for di erent theoretical reasons. T he usualset-up for discussing algebraic statistical $m$ odels has involved rst restricting to discrete random variables and then considering m odels that are either conditional independence $m$ odels or de ned param etrically $w$ ith a polynom ial or rational param etrization. H ow ever, $m$ any statistical m odels for continuous random variables also have an algebraic avor, though currently there has been no posited description of a general class of algebraic statisticalm odels that would include m odels for continuous random variables.

The $m$ ain goal of this paper is to give a unifying de nition of algebraic statistical m odels, as well as ilhustrate the usefiulness of the de nition in exam ples. O ur approach is based on the follow ing philosophy. Let $P=\left(\begin{array}{lll}P & j\end{array}\right)$ be a statistical $m$ odel $w$ ith param eter space $\quad R^{k}$. In this paper, a m odel such as $P$ is de ned to be a fam ily of probability distributions on som e given sam ple space. (For a discussion of the notion of a statisticalm odel see M ©C ullagh (2002) who proposes to re ne the traditionalde nition to one that ensures that the $m$ odel extends in a m eaningfulw ay under natural extensions of the sam ple space.) Suppose that in m odelP a statistical in ference procedure of interest is well-behaved. If this is the case, then the properties of the inference procedure in a subm odel $P_{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}(P \quad j & M\end{array}\right)$ are often determ ined by the geom etry of the set M. . $H$ ence, if the setM exhibits algebraic structure, then the in ference procedure can be studied using tools from algebraic geom etry. This philosophy suggests the follow ing de nition. T he sem i-algebraic sets appearing in the de nition will be de ned in Section 3.

Denition 1. Let $P=\binom{$ i }{ i } be a \well-behaved" statisticalm odelwhose param eter space $\quad R^{k}$ has non-em pty interior. A subm odel $P_{M}=\binom{(1)}{j}$ is an algebraic statisticalm odel if there exists a sem i-algebraic set $A \quad R^{k}$ such that $M=A \backslash$.

De nition 1 is intentionally vague and the precise meaning of the adjective \wellbehaved" depends on the context. For exam ple, if asym ptotic properties of $m$ axim um likelinood estim ators are of interest then the word \well-behaved" could refer to models satisfying regularity conditions guaranteeing that maxim um likelihood estim ators are
asym ptotically nom ally distributed. H ow ever, one class of statisticalm odels, nam ely regular exponential fam ilies, can be considered to be well-behaved w ith respect to nearly any statistical feature of interest.

Dention 2. Let ( P j 2 N ) be a regular exponential fam ily of order $k$. The subfam ily induced by the set $M \quad \mathrm{~N}$ is an algebraic exponential fam ily if there exists an open set $N \quad R^{k}$, a di eom orphism $g: N \quad!N$, and a sem i-algebraic set $A \quad R^{k}$ such that $M=g^{1}(A \backslash N)$.

De nition 2 allow s one to consider algebraic structure arising after the regular exponential fam ily is reparam etrized using the di eom onphism $g$ (see Section 22 for a de nition of di eom orphism s). Frequently, we w illm ake use of the $m$ ean param etrization. A lgebraic exponential fam ilies appear to include all the existing com peting de nitions of algebraic statistical m odels as special cases. Am ong the exam ples covered by De nition 2 are the param etric $m$ odels for discrete random variables studied by P achter and Sturm fels (2005) in the context of com putational biology. Other models included in the fram ew ork are conditional independence $m$ odels $w$ th or $w$ thout hidden variables for discrete or jointly G aussian random variables. N ote that som ew ork in algebraic statistics has focused on discrete distributions corresp onding to the boundary of the probability sim plex (G eiger et al, 2006). T hese distributions can be included in an extension of the regular exponential fam ily corresponding to the interior of the probability sim plex; see B amdor -N ielsen (1978, pp. 154 ), B rown (1986, pp. 191 ), and C siszar and M atus (2005). M odels given by sem ialgebraic subsets of the (closed) probability sim plex can thus be term ed extended algebraic exponential fam ilies'.

In the rem ainder of the paper we w ill explain and exem plify our de nition of algebraic exponential fam ilies. W e begin in Section 2 by review ing regular exponential fam ilies and in Exam ple 9 we stress the fact that subm odels of regular exponential fam ilies are only well-behaved if the local geom etry of their param eter spaces is su ciently regular. In Section 3, we review som e basic term inology and results on sem i-algebraic sets, which do have nice local geom etric properties, and introduce our algebraic exponential fam ilies. W e also show that other natural form ulations of an algebraic statistical $m$ odel in the discrete case fall under this description and ilhustrate the generality using jointly norm al random variables. $W$ e then ilhustrate how problem sarising in statistical in ference in algebraic m odels can be addressed using com putational algebraic geom etry. C oncretely, we discuss in Section 4 how so-called m odel invariants reveal aspects of the geom etry of an algebraic statisticalm odel that are connected to properties of statistical inference procedures such as likelihood ratio tests. A s a second problem of a som ew hat di erent avour we show in Section 5 how system s of polynom ial equations arising from likelinood equations can be
solved algebraically.
2. Regular exponential fam ilies

C onsider a sam ple space $X$ with -algebra A on which is de ned a - nite m easure . Let $T: X!R^{k}$ be a statistic, i.e., a $m$ easurable $m$ ap. $D$ e ne the natural param eter space Z

$$
N=2 R^{k}: e^{t_{T}(x)} d(x)<1:
$$

For 2 N , we can de ne a probability density p on X as

$$
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{x})} \quad(1) ;
$$

where

$$
()=\log _{x}^{Z} e^{t_{T}(x)} d(x)
$$

is the logarithm of the Laplace transform of the m easure ${ }^{T}=\quad T^{1}$ that the statistic $T$ induces on the Borel algebra of $R^{k}$. The support of ${ }^{T}$ is the intersection of all closed sets A $\quad R^{k}$ that satisfy ${ }^{T}\left(R^{k} n A\right)=0$. Recall that the a ne dimension of $A \quad R^{k}$ is the dim ension of the linear space spanned by all di erences $x \quad y$ of two vectors $x ; y 2 \mathrm{~A}$.

De nition 3. Let $P$ be the probability $m$ easure on ( $X$; A) that has -density $p$. The probability distributions ( $\mathrm{P} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) form a regular exponential fam ily of order $k$ if N is an open set in $R^{k}$ and the a ne dim ension of the support of ${ }^{T}$ is equal to $k$. The statistic $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})$ that induces the regular exponential fam ily is called a canonical su cient statistic.

The order of a regular exponential fam ily is unique and if the sam e fam ily is represented using two di erent canonical su cient statistics then those two statistics are non-singular a ne transform sof each other (B rown, 1986, Thm .1.9).

### 2.1. Exam ples

Regular exponential fam ilies com prise fam ilies of discrete distributions, which were the sub ject of much of the work on algebraic statistics.

E xam ple 4 (D iscrete data). Let the sam ple space $X$ be the set of integers $f 1 ;::: ; m \mathrm{~m}$. Let be the counting $m$ easure on $X$, ie., the $m$ easure ( $A$ ) of $A \quad X$ is equal to the cardinality of A . C onsider the statistic $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{X}!\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{1}$,

$$
T(x)=I_{f 1 g}(x) ;::: ; I_{\mathrm{fm}} 1 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{x})^{\mathrm{t}} ;
$$

whose zero-one com ponents indicate which value in X the argum ent x is equal to. In particular, when $x=m, T(x)$ is the zero vector. The induced $m$ easure ${ }^{T}$ is a m easure on
the B orel -algebra of $R^{m} \quad 1$ with support equal to the $m$ vectors in $f 0 ; 1 g^{m}$ that have at $m$ ost one non-zero com ponent. The di erences of these $m$ vectors include all canonical basis vectors of $R^{m} \quad$. Hence, the a ne dim ension of the support of $\quad T$ is equal to $m \quad 1$. It holds for all $2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{1}$ that

$$
+{ }_{x=1}^{\mathrm{nX}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}<1
$$

$H$ ence, the natural param eter space $N$ is equal to all of $R^{m}{ }^{1}$ and in particular is open. The density $p$ is a probability vector in $R^{m}$. The com ponents $p(x)$ for $1 \quad x \quad m \quad 1$ are positive and given by

$$
p(x)=\frac{e^{x}}{1+\sum_{x=1}^{m} e^{x}}:
$$

The last com ponent of $p$ is also positive and equals

$$
p(m)=1 \sum_{x=1}^{r x} p(x)=\frac{1}{1+\sum_{x=1}^{1} e^{x}}:
$$

The fam ily of induced probability distribution ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{l}} \quad \mathrm{j}_{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{1}$ ) is a regular exponential fam ily of order $m$ 1. The interpretation of the natural param eters $x$ is one of log odds because $p$ is equal to a given positive probability vector ( $p_{1} ;::: ; p_{m}$ ) if and only if $x=\log \left(p_{x}=p_{m}\right)$ for $x=1 ;::: ; m \quad$ 1. This establishes a correspondence betw een the natural param eter space $N=R^{m} \quad 1$ and the interior of the $m \quad 1$ dim ensional probability sim plex.

T he other distributional fram ew ork that has seen application of algebraic geom etry is that of m ltivariate norm al distributions.

Exam ple 5 ( $N$ orm aldistribution). Let the sam ple space $X$ be E uclidean space $R^{p}$ equipped w th its Borel algebra and Lebesgue measure. Consider the statistic $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{X}$ ! $R^{p} \quad R^{p(p+1)=2}$ given by

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}=2 ;::: ; \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}=2 ; \quad \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}} 1 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}:
$$

$T$ he polynom ial functions that form the com ponents of $T(x)$ are linearly independent and thus the support of ${ }^{T}$ has the fill a ne dim ension $p+p(p+1)=2$.

If $2 R^{p} R^{p(p+1)=2}$, then write $[p] 2 R^{p}$ for the vector of the rst $p$ components ir 1 i p. Sim ilarly, write $[p$ p] for the sym $m$ etric $p$ $p m$ atrix form ed from the last $p(p+1)=2$ components ij, 1 i j $p$. The function $x T e^{t_{T}(x)}$ is -integrable if and only if $[p \mathrm{p}]$ is positive de nite. H ence, the natural param eter space N is equal to the

C artesian product of $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{p}}$ and the cone of positive de nite $\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{m}$ atrios. If is in the open set $N$, then

The Lebesgue densities p can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Setting } \left.={ }_{[p p]}^{1} \text { and }={ }_{[p]}^{1} \mathrm{p}\right][\mathrm{p}, \text {, we nd that } \\
& p(x)=\frac{1}{(2)^{p} \operatorname{det}()} \exp \quad \frac{1}{2}(x \quad)^{t} \quad{ }^{1}(x \quad)
\end{aligned}
$$

is the density of the multivariate norm al distribution $N_{p}(;)$. H ence, the fam ily of all $m$ ultivariate norm aldistributions on $R^{p} w$ ith positive de nite covariance $m$ atrix is a regular exponential fam ily of order $p+p(p+1)=2$.

The structure of a regular exponential fam ily rem ains essentially unchanged when sam pling independent and identically distributed observations.

Exam ple 6 (Samples). A sample $X_{1} ;::: ; X_{n}$ from $P$ comprises independent random vectors, all distributed according to $P$. Denote their joint distribution by ${ }_{i=1}^{n} P$. An im portant property of a regular exponential fam ily ( $\mathrm{P} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) of order $k$ is that the induced fam ily $(\underset{i=1}{n} P \quad j \quad \underset{P}{2} N$ ) is again a regular exponential fam ily of order $k$ with canonical su cient statistic $P_{i=1}^{n} T\left(x_{i}\right)$ and Laplace transform $n$ ( ). For discrete data as discussed in E xam ple 4 , the canonical su cient statistic is given by the vector of counts

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{fxg}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{x}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{m} \quad 1:
$$

For the norm aldistribution in Exam ple[5, the canonical su cient statistic is in correspondence $w$ th the em piricalm ean vector $X$ and the em pirical covariance $m$ atrix $S$; com pare (4.1)

### 2.2. Likelihood inference in regular exponential fam ilies

A $m$ ong the nice properties of regular exponential fam ilies is their behavior in likelihood inference. Suppose the random vector X is distributed according to som e unknown distribution from a regular exponential fam ily ( P j 2 N ) of order $k$ w th canonical su cient statistic T. G iven an observation $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{x}$, the log-likelihood function takes the form

$$
{ }^{\prime}(j \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{x}))={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{x}) \quad(\mathrm{x}):
$$

T he log-Laplace transform is a strictly convex and sm ooth, that is, in nitely $m$ any tim es di erentiable, function on the convex set $N$ (B rown, 1986, $\mathrm{Thm} .1 .13, \mathrm{Thm} .2$, C or. 2.3). $T$ he derivatives of yield the $m$ om ents of the canonical su cient statistic such as the expectation and covariance $m$ atrix,

$$
\begin{align*}
() & :=\frac{d}{d} \quad()=E[T(X)]  \tag{2.1}\\
() & :=\frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}} \quad()=E \quad[T(X) \quad()][T(X) \quad(f):
\end{align*}
$$

Them atrix ( ) is positive de nite since the com ponents of $T(X)$ m ay not exhibit a linear relationship that holds alm ost everyw here.

The strict convexity of implies strict concavity of the log-likelihood function '. H ence, if the $m$ axim um likelihood estim ator (MLE)

$$
\wedge^{(T(x))}=\underset{2 N}{\operatorname{argmax}}{ }_{2}(\quad j T(x))
$$

exists then it is the unique local and global maxim izer of ' and can be obtained as the unique solution of the likelihood equations ()$=T(x)$. $T$ he existence of $\wedge(T)(x)$ is equivalent to the condition $T(x) 2(\mathbb{N})$; the open set $(\mathbb{N})$ is equal to the interior of the convex hull of the support of $T$ (B row $n, 1986, T \mathrm{hm} .5 .5)$.

If $X_{1} ;:: ; X_{n}$ are a sam ple of random vectors draw $n$ from $P$, then the previous discussion applies to the fam ily ( $\underset{i=1}{n} \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{j} 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ). In particular, the likelinood equations becom e

$$
\mathrm{n}()=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)() \quad()=\mathrm{T}: \frac{1}{n}_{i=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right):
$$

By the strong law of large num bers, $T$ converges alm ost surely to the true param eter point
(o) $2(\mathbb{N})$. It follow s that the probability of existence of the M LE, P rob $\mathrm{T} 2(\mathbb{N})$, tends to one as the sam ple size $n$ tends to in nity. M oreover, the $m$ ean param etrization $m$ ap $\quad \eta \quad(\quad)$ is a bijection from $N$ to $(N)$ that has a di erentiable inverse $w$ ith total derivative

$$
\frac{d}{d} \quad 1()=()^{1} ;
$$

which implies in conjunction with an application of the central lim it theorem :
Proposition 7.TheMLE $\wedge(\mathbb{I})={ }^{1}(T)$ in a regular exponential fam ily is asym ptotically norm al in the sense that if 0 is the true param eter, then

$$
\left.\mathrm{P}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}[\wedge}(\mathbb{I}) \quad 0\right]^{\mathrm{n}!}!_{\mathrm{a}^{1}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(0 ;(0)^{1}\right):
$$

A subm odel of a regular exponential fam ily ( $\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{j} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) of order k is given by a subset M N . If the geom etry of the set M is regular enough, then the subm odelm ay
inherit the favorable properties of likelihood in ference from its reference model, the regular exponential fam ily. The nicest possible case occurs when the subm odel ( $\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{j} 2 \mathrm{M}$ ) has param eter space $M=N \backslash L$, where $L \quad R^{k}$ is an a ne subspace of $R{ }^{k}$. A trering the canonical su cient statistics one nds that ( P j 2 M ) form sa regular exponential fam ily of order dim (L).
$G$ iven a single observation $X$ from $P$, the likelinood ratio test for testing $H_{0}: 2 \mathrm{M}$ versus $H_{1}: 2 \mathrm{NnM}$ rejects $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ for large values of the likelihood ratio statistic

$$
m(T(X))=\sup _{2 N}\left((j T(X)) \sup _{2 M}^{\prime}(j T(X)):\right.
$$

If we observe a sam ple $X_{1} ;::: ; X_{n}$ from $P$, then the likelinood ratio statistic depends on $T$ only and is equal to $n m(T)$. For a rejection decision, the distribution of $n m$ ( $T$ ) can often be approxim ated using the next asym ptotic result.

Proposition 8. If $M=N \backslash L$ for an a ne space $L$ and the true param eter 0 is in $M$, then the likelihood ratio statistic $n M(T)$ converges to ${ }_{k}^{2} \operatorname{dim}(L)$, the chi-square distribution with $k$ dim ( L ) degrees of freedom, as n ! 1 .

In order to obtain asym ptotic results such as uniform ly valid chi-square asym ptotics for the likelinood ratio statistic, the set M need not be given by an a ne subspace. In fact, if $M$ is an $m$-dim ensional.sm ooth $m$ anifold in $R^{k}$, then $n M(T)$ still converges in distribution to ${ }_{k}^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ for any 02 M . A set M is an m -dim ensional sm ooth manifold if for all 02 M there exists an open set $U \quad R^{k}$ containing 0 , an open set $V \quad R^{k}$, and a di eom orphism $g: V$ ! $U$ such that $g V \backslash\left(R^{m} \quad f 0 g\right)=U$. Here, $R^{m} \quad f 0 g \quad R^{k}$ is the subset of vectors for which the last $k \quad m$ components are equal to zero. A di eom orphism $g: V!U$ is a sm ooth bijective $m$ ap that has a sm ooth inverse $g^{1}: U!V$. An exponential fam ily induced by a $s m$ ooth $m$ anifold in the naturalparam eter space is com m only term ed a curved exponential fam ily; see K ass and Vos (1997) for an introduction to this topic.
$T$ he fact that $m$ any interesting statisticalm odels, in particularm odels involving hidden variables, are not curved exponential fam ilies calls for generalization. O ne attem pt at such generalization was m ade by Geiger et al, (2001) who introduce so-called strati ed exponential fam ilies. A strati ed exponential fam ily is obtained by piecing together several curved exponential fam ilies. H ow ever, as the next exam ple show s , strati ed exponential fam ilies appear to be a bit too general as a fram ew ork unless m ore conditions are im posed on how the curved exponential fam ilies are joined together. Exam ple 9 is inspired by an exam ple in R ockafellar and W ets (1998, p. 199).

Exam ple 9. Consider the regular exponential fam ily $P$ ofbivariate norm al distributions $w$ th unknown $m$ ean vector $=(1 ; 2)^{t} 2 R^{2}$ but covariance $m$ atrix equal to the
identity $m$ atrix $I_{2} 2 R^{2}{ }^{2}$. The natural param eter space of this $m$ odel is the plane $R^{2}$. $W$ hen draw ing a sample $X_{1} ;::: X_{n}$ from a distribution in $P$, the canonical statistic is the sum of the random vectors. D ividing by the sam ple size $n$ yields the sam ple m ean vector X $2 R^{2}$, which is also the MLE of. In the follow ing we will assum e that the true param eter 0 is equal to the origin. Then the rescaled sam ple m ean vector ${ }^{P} \bar{n} X$ is distributed according to the bivariate standard norm al distribution $N_{2}\left(0 ; I_{2}\right)$.

If we de ne a subm odel $P_{c} \quad P$ by restricting the $m$ ean vector to lie in a closed set $C \quad R^{2}$, then the MLE $\wedge$ for the model $P_{C}$ is the point in $C$ that is closest to $X$ in Euclidean distance. For $\mathrm{n}=1$, the likelihood ratio statistic $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{X})$ for testing 2 C versus $\bar{\square} \mathrm{C}$ is equal to the squared Euclidean distance betw een X and $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{H}$ ence, the likelinood ratio statistic based on an n-sam ple is
i.e., the squared Euclidean distance betw een the standard norm al random vector ${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}$ and the rescaled set ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}$.

A s a concrete choige of a subm odel, consider the set

$$
C_{1}=f(1 ; 2)^{t} 2 R^{2} j 2=1 \sin \left(1={ }_{1}\right) ; 1 \in 0 g\left[f(0 ; 0)^{t} g:\right.
$$

$T$ his set is the disjoint union of the two one-dim ensional sm ooth $m$ anifolds obtained by taking $1<0$ and $1>0$, and the zero-dim ensional sm ooth $m$ anifold given by the origin. $T$ hese $m$ anifolds form a strati cation of $C_{1}$ (Geiger et al, 2001, p. 513), and thus the $m$ odel $P_{C_{1}}$ constitutes a strati ed exponential fam ily. In Figure 1, we plot three of the sets ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}}$ f for the choices $\mathrm{n}=100 ; 100^{2} ; 100^{3}$. T he range of the plot is restricted to the square $[3 ; 3]^{2}$, whidh contains the $m$ ajority of the $m$ ass of the bivariate standard norm al distribution. The gure illustrates the fact that as $n$ tends to in nity the sets $\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{C}}^{1} \quad 11 \mathrm{~m}$ ore and m ore densely the 2 -dim ensional cone com prised betw een the axes $2=1 \cdot H$ ence, $n c_{1}(X)$ converges in distribution to the squared Euclidean distance betw een a bívariate standard nom alpoint and this cone. So although we pieced together sm ooth m anifolds of codim ension 1 or larger, the lim iting distribution of the likelinood ratio statistic is obtained from a distance to a full-dim ensional cone.

A s a second subm odel consider the one induced by the set

$$
C_{2}=f(1 ; 2)^{t} 2 R^{2} j 2=1 \sin (\log (j 1 j 4)) ; 12[3 ; 3] n f 0 g g\left[f(0 ; 0)^{t} g:\right.
$$

Them odelP $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is again a strati ed exponential fam ily. H ow ever, now the sets ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}$ have a w ave-like structure even for large sam ple sizes $n$; com pare $F$ igure 2. W e conclude that in this exam ple the likelinood ratio test statistic $n \quad c_{2}(X)$ does not converge in distribution as $n$ tends to in nity.


F igure 1: Sets $^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ for $\mathrm{n}=100 ; 100^{2} ; 100^{3}$.


Figure 2: Sets $^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ for $\mathrm{n}=100 ; 100^{2} ; 100^{3}$.

The failure in the previous exam ple of nige asym ptotic behavior of the likelinood ratio test is part of our $m$ otivation for restricting to the class of algebraic exponential fam ilies, which we introduce next.

## 3. A lgebraic exponential fam ilies

In the follow ing de nition, which was anticipated in the introduction, we propose the use of sem i-algebraic sets to unify di erent de nitions of algebraic statistical m odels. U sing sem i-algebraic sets elim inates phenom ena as created in Exam ple 9 because these sets have nice local geom etric properties. In addition, im posing algebraic structure allow s one to em ploy the tools of com putational algebraic geom etry to address questions arising in statistical in ference. ( $M$ ore details on both these points are given in Section 4.)

Denition 2. Let ( P j 2 N ) be a regular exponential fam ily of order $k$. The subfam ily induced by the set $M \quad N$ is an algebraic exponential fam ily if there exists an open set $N \quad R^{k}$, a di eom onphism $g: N \quad N$, and a sem i-algebraic set $A \quad R^{k}$ such that $M=g^{1}(A \backslash N)$.

The de nition states that an algebraic exponential fam ily is given by a sem i-algebraic subset of the param eter space of a regular exponential fam ily. H ow ever, this param eter space $m$ ay be obtained by a reparam etrization $g$ of the natural param eter space $N$, which
provides the necessary exibility to capture the algebraic structure found in interesting statisticalm odels including ones that do not form curved exponential fam ilies. T he m ean param etrization ( ) is one exam ple of a usefiul reparam etrization.

B efore giving exam ples ofalgebraic exponentialfam ilies w e provide som ebackground on sem i-algebraic sets; $m$ ore in depth introductions can be found, for exam ple, in B enedetti and $R$ isler (1990) or Bochnak et al, (1998).

### 3.1. B asic facts about sem i-algebraic sets

A $m$ onom ial in indeterm inates (polynom ial variables) $t_{1} ;::: ; t_{n}$, is a form alexpression of the form $t=t_{1}{ }^{1} t_{2}{ }^{2} \quad n^{n}$ twhere $=\left(1 ;::: ; n_{n}\right)$ is the non-negative integer vector of exponents. A polynom ial

$$
f=\underbrace{X}_{2 B} c t
$$

is a linear combination of $m$ onom ials where the coe cients $c$ are in a xed eld $K$ and $B \quad N^{n}$ is a nite set of exponent vectors. The collection of all polynom ials in the indeterm inates $t_{1} ;::: ; t_{n} w$ ith coe cients in a $x e d$ eld $K$ is the set $K[t]=K\left[1 ;:: ; t_{n}\right]$. $T$ he collection of polynom ials $K$ 价 has the algebraic structure of a ring. E ach polynom ialin $K$ [t] is a form al linear com bination ofm onom ials that can also be considered as a function $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ! K , de ned by evaluation. Throughout the paper, wewill focus attention on the ring $R[t]$ of polynom ials w ith real coe cients.

Denition 10. A basic sem i-algebraic set is a subset of points in $R^{n}$ of the form

$$
A=f \quad 2 R^{n} j f()>08 f 2 \mathrm{~F} ; \mathrm{h}()=08 \mathrm{~h} 2 \mathrm{Hg}
$$

where $F \quad R[t]$ is a nite (possibly em pty) collection of polynom ials and $H \quad R ~[t]$ is an arbitrary (possibly em pty) collection of polynom ials. A sem i-algebraic set is a nite union of basic sem i-algebraic sets. If $F=$; then $A$ is called a real algebraic variety.

A particular special case of a general sem i-algebraic set occurs when we consider sets of the form

$$
A=f 2 R^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{jf}()>08 \mathrm{f} 2 \mathrm{~F} ; \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{)} \quad 08 \mathrm{~g} 2 \mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{h}()=08 \mathrm{~h} 2 \mathrm{Hg}
$$

where both $F$ and $G$ are nite collections of real polynom ials.
E xam ple 11. The open probability sim plex for discrete random variables is a basic sem ialgebraic set, where $F=f t_{i} j i=1 ;::: ; n \quad \lg \left[f 1 \quad{ }_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} g\right.$ and $H=;$ M ore generally, the relative interior of any convex polyhedron in any dim ension is a basic sem i-algebraic set, while the whole polyhedron is an ordinary sem i-algebraic set.

Example 12. The set $\quad R^{m} m$ of positive de nite $m$ atrices is a basic sem i-algebraic set, where $F$ consists of all principal subdeterm inants of a sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix , and $G$ is the em pty set.

In our introduction, param etrically speci ed statisticalm odels w ere claim ed to be algebraic statisticalm odels. This non-trivial claim holds due to the fam ous Tarski-Seidenberg theorem (e.g. Bochnak et al, 1998), which says that the im age of a sem i-algebraic set under any nice enough $m$ apping is again a sem i-algebraic set. To $m$ ake this precise we need to de ne the class of $m$ appings of interest.

Let ${ }_{1}=f_{1}=g_{1} ;::: ;{ }_{n}=f_{n}=g_{n}$ be rational functions where $\left.f_{i} ; g_{i} 2 R[t]=R \mathbb{t}_{1} ;::: ; t_{d}\right]$ are real polynom ial functions. These rational functions can be used to de ne a rational map

$$
: R^{d}!R^{n} ; \quad \operatorname{a} \eta \quad\left({ }_{1}(a) ;::: ;{ }_{n}(a)\right) ;
$$

which is well-de ned on the open set $D=f a \quad R^{d}:{ }^{Q} g_{i}(a) \not 0 g$.
Theorem 13 (Tarski-Seidenberg). Let A $R^{d}$ be a sem i-algebraic set and a rational $m$ ap that is well-de ned on $A$, that is, A D . Then the im age (A) is a sem i-algebraic set.

P achter and Sturm fels (2005) de ne an algebraic statistical model as the im age of a polynom ial param etrization (A) where A is the interior of a polyhedron and is the probability sim plex. T he em phasis on such $m$ odels, which one $m$ ight call param etric algebraic statistical $m$ odels, results from the fact that $m$ ost $m$ odels used in the biological applications under consideration (sequence alignm ent and phylogenetic tree reconstruction, to nam etwo) are param etricm odels for discrete random variables. Furtherm ore, the precise algebraic form of these param etric $m$ odels is essential to param etric $m$ axim um a posteriori estim ation, one of the m ajor them es in the text of Pachter and Sturm fels, (2005). The Tarski-Seidenberg theorem and Exam ple 4 yield the follow ing unifying fact.

C orollary 14. If a param etric statistical model for discrete random variables is a wellde ned im age of a rationalm ap from a sem i-algebraic set to the probability sim plex, then the $m$ odel is an algebraic exponential fam ily.
3.2. Independence models as exam ples
$M$ any statistical m odels are de ned based on considerations of (conditional) independence. E xam ples include $M$ arkov chain $m$ odels, $m$ odels for testing independence hypotheses in contingency tables and graphicalmodels, see e.g. Lauritzen (1996). As we show next, conditional independence yields algebraic exponential fam ilies in both the G aussian and discrete cases. The algebraic structure also passes through under marginalization, as we will illustrate in Section 4.

Exam ple 15 (C onditional independence in nom aldistributions). Let $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ be a random vector $w$ th joint norm aldistribution $N_{p}(;)$ with $m$ ean vector $2 R^{p}$ and positive de nite covariance $m$ atrix . For three pairw ise disjoint index sets $A ; B ; C$ f1;:::;pg, the subvectors $X_{A}$ and $X_{B}$ are conditionally independent given $X_{C}$, in sym bols $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ? $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{j} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{det}(f i g l \mathrm{C} f \mathrm{fg}[\mathrm{C})=0 \text { 8i2 A; j2 B: }
$$

If $\mathrm{C}=$; , then conditional independence given X ; is understood to m ean m arginal independence of $X_{A}$ and $X_{B}$.

E xample 16 (C onditional independence in the discrete case). C onditional independence statem ents also have a natural algebraic interpretation in the discrete case. A s the sim plest exam ple, consider the conditional independence statem ent $X_{1}$ ?? $X_{2} \mathrm{JX}_{3}$ for the discrete random vector ( $\mathrm{X}_{1} ; \mathrm{X}_{2} ; \mathrm{X}_{3}$ ). This translates into the collection of algebraic constraints on the joint probability distribution

$$
\begin{array}{rlr} 
& P \operatorname{rob}\left(X_{1}=i_{1} ; X_{2}=j_{1} ; X_{3}=k\right) & P \text { rob }\left(X_{1}=i_{2} ; X_{2}=j_{2} ; X_{3}=k\right) \\
= & P \operatorname{rob}\left(X_{1}=i_{1} ; X_{2}=j_{2} ; X_{3}=k\right) & \text { Prob }\left(X_{1}=i_{2} ; X_{2}=j_{1} ; X_{3}=k\right)
\end{array}
$$

for all $i_{1} ; i_{2} 2\left[m_{1}\right], j_{1} ; j_{2} 2\left[m_{2}\right]$ and $k 2\left[m_{3}\right]$, where $[m]=f 1 ; 2 ;::: ; m g$. A ltematively, we m ight write this in a m ore com pact algebraic way as:

$$
p_{i_{1} j_{1} k} p_{i_{2} j_{2} k} \quad p_{i_{1} j_{2} k} p_{i_{2} j_{1} k}=0 ;
$$

$w$ here $p_{i j k}$ is shorthand for $P$ rob $\left(X_{1}=i ; X_{2}=j ; X_{3}=k\right)$. In general, any collection of conditional independence statem ents for discrete random variables corresponds to a collection of quadratic polynom ial constraints on the com ponents of the joint probability vector.

## 4. M odel geom etry

O ffiundam entalim portance to statistical in ference is the intuitive notion of the \shape" of a statisticalm odel, re ected in its abstract geom etrical properties. E xam ples of interesting geom etrical features are whether or not the likelihood function is m ultim odal, whether or not the $m$ odel has singularities (is non-regular) and the nature of the underlying singularities. These are all part of answ ering the question: H ow does the geom etry of the m odel re ect its statistical features? W hen the m odel is an algebraic exponential fam ily, these problem $s$ can be addressed using algebraic techniques, in particular by com puting w ith ideals. This is even true $w$ hen the $m$ odel com es in a param etric form, how ever, it is
then often helpfill to translate to an im plicit representation of the m odel.

### 4.1 M odel invariants

 $\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g} 2 \mathrm{I}$ and for all f 2 I and h 2 R t], h f 2 I . Ideals can be used to determ ine real algebraic varieties by com puting the zero set of the ideal:

$$
V(I)=\text { fa } 2 R^{n} j f(a)=0 \text { for all } f 2 \text { Ig: }
$$

W hen wew ish to speak of the variety over the com plex num bers we use the notation $V_{C}$ (I). $R$ eversing this procedure, if we are given a set $V \quad R^{n}$ we can com pute its de ning ideal, which is the set of all polynom ials that vanish on $V$ :

$$
I(V)=f f 2 R[] j f(a)=0 \text { for all } a 2 V g:
$$

De nition 17. Let A be a sem i-algebraic set de ning an algebraic exponential fam ily $P_{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}(P \quad 2 M\end{array}\right)$ via $M=g^{1}(A \backslash g(\mathbb{N}))$. A polynom ial $f$ in the vanishing ideal I (A) is a m odel invariant for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$.

Rem ark 18. The term \m odelinvariant" is chosen in analogy to the term \phylogenetic invariant" that w as coined by biologists w orking w ith statisticalm odels that are usefiul for the reconstruction of phylogenetic trees.

G iven a list ofpolynom ial $f_{1} ;::: ; f_{k}$ the idealgenerated by these polynom ials is denoted

$$
h f_{1} ;::: ; f_{k} i=\quad{ }^{X^{k}} h_{i=1} \quad{ }^{\prime} h_{i} 2 R[t]:
$$

T he H ibert basis theorem says that every idealin a polynom ialring has a nite generating set. Thus, when working w th a statistical model that we want to describe algebraically, we need to com pute a nite list of polynom ials that generate the ideal of $m$ odel invariants. These equations can be used to address questions like determ ining the structure of singularities which in tum can be used to address asym ptotic questions.

E xam ple 19 (C onditionalindependence). In Exam ple 15 we gave a set ofequations whose zero set in the cone of positive de nite $m$ atrioes is the independence $m$ odel obtained from $X_{A} ? X_{B} j X_{C} . H$ owever, there are $m$ ore equations, in general, that belong to the ideal ofm odel invariants I. In particular, we have

$$
I=D^{D} \operatorname{det}_{\sim} j^{\sim} \text { is a }(\mathbb{C} j+1) \quad(\mathbb{C} j+1) \text { subm atrix of } A[C ; B[C \quad \text { E }
$$

$T$ he fact that this ideal vanishes on the $m$ odel follow from the fact that any in the $m$ odel is positive de nite and, hence, each principalm inor is invertible. T he fact that the
indicated ideal com prises allm odel invariants can be derived from a result in com $m$ utative algebra (C onca, 1994).

In the discrete case, the polynom ials we introduced in Exam ple 16 generate the ideal of m odel invariants for the m odel induced by $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ? $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{X}_{3}}$. For m odels induced by collections of independence statem ents this need no longer be true; com pare $T$ heorem 8 in G arcia et a1, (2005).

O ne may wonder what the use of passing from the set of polynom ials exhibited in E xam ple 15 to the considerably larger set of polynom ials described in E xam ple 19 is, since both sets of polynom ials de ne the $m$ odel inside the cone of positive de nite $m$ atrioes. T he sm aller set of polynom ials have the property that there lie singular covariance $m$ atrices in the positive sem ide nite cone that satisfy the polynom ial constraints but are not lim its of covariance $m$ atrices in the $m$ odel. From an algebraic standpoint, the $m$ ain problem is that the ideal generated by the sm aller set of polynom ials is not a prim e ideal. In general, we prefer to work w ith the prim e ideal given by all $m$ odel invariants because prim e ideals tend to be better behaved from a com putational standpoint and are less likely to introduce extraneous solutions on boundaries.

For the conditional independence $m$ odels described thus far, the equations I (A) that de ne the m odel com e from the de nition of the $m$ odel. For instance, conditional independence im poses natural constraints on covariance $m$ atrices of nom al random variables and the joint probability distributions of discrete random variables. $W$ hen we are presented w ith a param etric $m$ odel, how ever, it is in general a challenging problem of com putational algebra to com pute the im plicit description of the model A as a sem i-algebraic set. At the heart of th is problem is the com putation of the ideal of model invariants I (A), which can be solved using $G$ robner bases. $M$ ethods for com puting an im plicit description from a param etric description can be found in Cox et al (1997), though the quest for better im plicitization $m$ ethods is an active area of research.

The vanishing ideal of a sem i-algebraic set can be used to address $m$ any questions about it, for instance, the dim ension of a sem i-algebraic set. T he follow ing de nition and proposition provide a usefulcharacterization of the dim ension of a sem i-algebraic set.

De nition 20. A set of indeterm inates $p_{i_{1}} ;::: ; p_{i_{k}}$ is algebraically independent for the ideal I if there is no polynom ial only in $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}_{k}}$ that belongs to $I$.

P roposition 21. The dim ension of a sem i-algebraic set A is the cardinality of the largest set of algebraically independent indeterm inates for I (A).

The proof that algebraically independent sets of indeterm inates and Proposition 21 $m$ eshes $w$ ith the usual geom etric notion of dim ension can be found in Cox et al, (1997).
$T$ he subset $V_{\text {sing }} \quad V$ where a variety $V$ is singular is also a variety. Indeed, suppose that polynom ials $f_{1} ;::: ; f_{k}$ generate the vanishing idealI $(V)$. Let $J 2 R[x]^{k} n$ denote the Jacobian $m$ atrix $w$ ith entry $J_{i j}=\frac{@ f_{i}}{@ x_{j}}$.

Proposition 22 . A point a $2 V_{C}(I)$ is a singular point of the com plex variety if and only if $J$ (a) has rank less than the codim ension of the largest irreducible com ponent of $V$ containing a.

The singularities of the real variety are de ned to be the intersection of the singular locus of $V_{C}$ (I) w ith $R^{n}$. P roposition 22 yields a direct way to com pute, as an algebraic variety, the singular locus of $V$. Indeed, the rank of the Jacobian $m$ atrix is less than $c$, if and only if the $c \quad c m$ inors of $J$ are all zero. Thus, if I de nes an irreducible variety of codim ension $C$, the idealhM ${ }_{c}(J) ; f_{1} ;::: ; f_{k}$ i has as zero set the singular locus of $V$, where $M_{c}(J)$ denotes the set of $c \quad c m$ inors of $J$. If the variety is not irreducible, the singular set consists of the union of the singular set of all the irreducible com ponents together w ith the sets of all pairw ise intersections betw een irreducible com ponents.
$R$ em oving the singularities $V_{\text {sing }}$ from $V$ one obtains a sm ooth $m$ anifold such that the local geom etry at a non-singular point of $V$ is determ ined by a linear space, nam ely, the tangent space. At singular points, the local geom etry can be described using the tangent cone, which is the sem i-algebraic set that approxim ates the lim iting behavior of the secant lines that pass through the point of interest. In the context of param eter spaces of statistical models, the study of this lim iting behavior is crucial for the study of large sam ple asym ptotics at a singular point. T he geom etry of the tangent cone for sem i-algebraic sets can be com plicated and we postpone an in-depth study for a later publication. For the singular $m$ odels that we encounter in the next section, the crucial point on the tangent cone is the follow ing proposition.

Proposition 23. Suppose that $A=V_{1}$ [ $\quad$ [ 这 the union of sm ooth algebraic varieties and let a be a point in the intersection $V_{1} \backslash$
j $\ddagger$ duch that $a V_{k}$ for $k \quad j+1$. Then the tangent cone of A at a is the union of the tangent planes to $\mathrm{V}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}$ at a .

### 4.2 A conditional independence model w ith singularities

Let $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} ; \mathrm{X}_{2} ; \mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ have a trivariate norm al distribution $\mathrm{N}_{3}(;)$, and de ne a $m$ odelby requiring that $X_{1}$ ? $X_{2}$ and sim ultaneously $X_{1}$ ? $X_{2} j X_{3}$. By Exam ple 15, the $m$ odel is an algebraic exponential fam ily given by the subset $M={ }^{1}(\mathbb{A} \backslash(\mathbb{N})$ ), where $(\mathbb{N})=R^{3} \quad R_{p d}^{3}{ }^{3}$ is the $G$ aussian $m$ ean param eter space and the algebraic variety

$$
A=(;) 2 R^{3} \quad R_{s y m}^{3} j^{3} 12=0 ; \operatorname{det}(f 1 ; 3 g f 2 ; 3 g)=1233 \quad 1323=0:
$$

$H$ ere, $R_{\text {sym }}^{3}{ }^{3}$ is the space of sym $m$ etric $3 \quad 3-m$ atrices. T he set $A$ is de ned equivalently by
the joint vanishing of 12 and $1323 . H$ ence, $A=A_{13}\left[A_{23}\right.$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{13}=f(;) 2 A j 12=13=0 \mathrm{~g} \\
& A_{23}=\mathrm{f}(;) 2 A \mathrm{~A}_{12}=23=0 \mathrm{~g}:
\end{aligned}
$$

This decom position as a union re ects the well-known fact that

$$
\left[X_{1} ? X_{2} \wedge X_{1} ? X_{2} j X_{3}\right]() \quad\left[X_{1} ?\left(X_{2} ; X_{3}\right)-X_{2} ?\left(X_{1} ; X_{3}\right)\right] ;
$$

which holds for the m ultivariate norm al distribution but also when $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ is a binary variable; com pare D aw id, 1980, Thm. 8.3). By P roposition 23 the singular locus of A is the intersection

$$
A_{\operatorname{sing}}=A_{13} \backslash A_{23}=f(;) 2 A j 12=13=23=0 g
$$

which corresponds to diagonal covariance $m$ atrices, or in other words, com plete independence of the three random variables $X_{1}$ ?? $X_{2}$ ? $X_{3}$.
$G$ iven $n$ independent and identically distributed norm al random vectors $X_{1} ;::: ; X_{n} 2$ $R^{3}$, de ne the em pirical $m$ ean and covariance $m$ atrix as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{1}{n}_{i=1}^{X^{n}} X_{i} ; \quad S=\frac{1}{n}_{i=1}^{X^{n}}\left(X_{i} \quad X\right)\left(X_{i} \quad X\right)^{t} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The likelinood ratio test statistic for testing the m odel based on param eter space $M$ against the regular exponential fam ily of all trivariate norm al distributions can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(X ; S)=\log \frac{s_{11} S_{22}}{s_{11} S_{22} s_{12}^{2}}+m \text { in } \log \frac{s_{33: 2}}{s_{33: 12}} ; \log \frac{s_{33: 1}}{s_{33: 12}} ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $A \quad f 1 ; 2 g, s_{33} A$ is the em pirical conditional variance

$$
S_{33: A}=S_{33} \quad S_{f 3 g ~}{ }_{A} S_{A}^{1}{ }_{A} S_{A} \quad £ 3 g:
$$

T he three term $s$ in (42) correspond to tests of the hypotheses

$$
X_{1} ? X_{2} ; X_{1} ? X_{3} j X_{2} ; \text { and } X_{2} ? X_{3} j X_{1} \text { : }
$$

$N$ ote that a joint distribution satis es $X_{1} ?\left(X_{2} ; X_{3}\right)$ if and only if it satis es both $X_{1}$ ?? $X_{2}$ and $X_{1} ? X_{3} \mathrm{j}_{2}$.

If ( ; ) is an elem ent of the $s m$ ooth $m$ anifold $A n A$ sing, then $m(X ; S)$ converges to a ${ }_{2}^{2}$-distribution as $n$ tends to in nity; but over the singular locus the lim iting distribution is non-standard as detailed in D rton (2006).

Proposition 24. Let ( ; ) $2 A$ sing. Asn! 1 , the likelihood ratio test statistic $m$ (X ; S ) converges to the $m$ inim um of two dependent ${ }_{2}^{2}$-distributed random variables, nam ely,

$$
M(X ; S) \quad!d^{2} \text { in }\left(W_{12}+W_{13} ; W_{12}+W_{23}\right)=W_{12}+m \text { in }\left(W_{13} ; W_{23}\right)
$$

for three independent $\quad{ }_{1}^{2}$-random variables $W_{12}, W_{13}$ and $W_{23}$.
Sim ilar asym ptotics arise in the $m$ odel of joint $m$ arginal and conditional independence in the discrete case w ith $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ binary. In this case the variety breaks again into the union of two independence varieties $X_{1}$ ? $\mathrm{fX}_{2} ; \mathrm{X}_{3} 9$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ ? $\mathrm{fX}_{1} ; \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{~g}$, whose intersection is the com plete independence variety corresponding to $X_{1}$ ? $X_{2}$ ? $X_{3} \cdot N$ on-standard asym ptotics $w$ ill occur at the intersection of these tw o varieties. H ow ever, as both of the varieties $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ? $\mathrm{fX}_{2} ; \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{~g}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ ? $\mathrm{fX}_{1} ; \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{~g}$ are sm ooth, the tangent cone is sim ply the union of the two tangent spaces to the two com ponent varieties. $T$ he asym ptotics behave in a $m$ anner sim ilar to the $G$ aussian case, as the $m$ inim um of chi-square distributions.

### 4.3 H idden random variables

A nother im portant use for the im plicit equations de ning a m odelare that they can be used to determ ine a (partial) description of any new models that arise from the given $m$ odel via $m$ arginalization. In particular, algebraic $m$ ethods can be used to explore properties of m odels w th hidden random variables. In this section, we describe how to derive m odel invariants via elim ination in the presence of hidden variables for $G$ aussian and discrete random variables.

Proposition 25. Suppose that the random vector $X=\left(X_{1} ;::: ; X_{p}\right)$ is distributed according to a $m$ ultivariate norm al distribution from a $m$ odel $w$ ith ideal of $m$ odel invariants I
 prises the $m$ odel invariants of the $m$ odel created by $m$ arginalizing to $X^{0}=\left(X_{1} ;::: ; X_{p}\right)$.

The indicated elim ination can be com puted using $G$ robner bases (C ox et al, 1997). A sim ilar type of elim ination form ulation can be given for the $m$ arginalization in the discrete case.

Proposition 26. Let $X_{1}$;:: $: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}$ be discrete random variables w ith $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ taking values in $\left[m_{k}\right]=f 1 ;::: ; m_{k} g$. Consider a model for the random vector ( $X_{1} ;::: ; X_{p}$ ) that has the ideal of $m$ odel invariants $I \quad R\left[p_{i_{1} ;::: ; i_{p}}\right]$. Let $J \quad R\left[q_{i_{1} ;:: ; ; i_{p}} ; p_{i_{1} ;::: ; i_{p}}\right]$ be the ideal
$T$ hen the elim ination ideal $J \backslash R\left[q_{i_{1} ;::: ; i_{p}} \quad 1\right]$ is the ideal of $m$ odel invariants of the $m$ odel created by $m$ arginalizing to $X^{0}=\left(X_{1} ;:: ; X_{p} \quad 1\right)$.

Up to this point, we havem ade very little use of the inequally constraints that can arise in the de nition of a sem i-algebraic set. In both of our conditional independence models, the inequality constraints arose from the fact that we needed to generate a probability distribution, and were supplied by the positive de nite cone or the probability sim plex. In general, how ever, we m ay need non-trivial inequality constraints to describe the $m$ odel. Currently, very little is known about the needed inequality constraints, even in simple exam ples. This occurs, for instance, in the $m$ arginalization of conditional independence m odels.

E xam ple 27 (M arginalization of an Independence M odel). Let A be the sem i-algebraic set of probabillty vectors for a discrete random vector $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} ; \mathrm{X}_{2} ; \mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ satisfying the conditional independence constraint $X_{1} ? X_{2} \mathrm{j}_{3}$. Let (A) denote the im age of this $m$ odel after $m$ arginalizing out the random variable $X_{3}$.
$T$ he joint distribution of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ can be represented as a matrix ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ ). A ssum ing as above that $X_{k}$ takes on values in $\left[m_{k}\right]$, the conditional independence constraint $X_{1}$ ? ? $X_{2} j^{\prime} X_{3}$ implies that the $m$ atrix ( $p_{i j}$ ) has rank less than or equal to $m_{3}$. The set of equality constraints that arise from this param etrization are the set of $\left(m_{3}+1\right)\left(m_{3}+1\right)$ $m$ inors of the $m$ atrix $\left(p_{i j}\right)$. H ow ever, it is not true that these equality constraints together $w$ ith the inequality constraints arising from the probability simplex su ce to de ne this $m$ odel. The $s m$ allest exam ple of this occurs $w$ hen, $m_{1}=m_{2}=4$ and $m_{3}=3$. In this case the ideal I ( (A )) is generated by the determ inant of the generic $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$. Fix a sm all value of $>0$. The m atrix

represents a probability distribution that satis es the determ inant constraint (the $m$ atrix has rank 3). H ow ever, it can be shown that this probability distribution does not belong to (A). T hat is, this bivariate distribution is not the $m$ arginalization of a trivariate distribution exhibiting conditional independence. Thus, in addition to the equality constraint, there are non-trivial inequality constraints that de ne the marginalized independence $m$ odel. $M$ ore about this exam ple can be found in $M$ ond et al, (2003).

## 5. Solving likelihood equations

Let $P=\left(\begin{array}{ll}(P \quad j & N\end{array}\right)$ be a regular exponential fam ily $w$ ith canonical su cient statistic T. If we draw a sample $X_{1} ;:: ; X_{n}$ of independent random vectors from $P$, then, as
detailed in Section 2, the canonical statistic becom es ${ }^{P} \underset{i=1}{n} T\left(X_{i}\right)=: n T$ and the loglikelihood function takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\prime}(j \mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{n}\left[{ }^{{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~T}} \quad(\mathrm{l})\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

 M $N$, we need to $m$ axim ize ' ( jT) over the set M .

Let $A$ and $g$ be the sem i-algebraic set and the di eom onphism that de ne the param eter space $M$. Let $I(A)=h f_{1} ;::: ; f_{m}$ i be the ideal of $m$ odel invariants and $=g()$ the param eters after reparam etrization based on $g$. Ifboundary issues are of no concem then the $m$ axim ization problem can be relaxed to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \text { ` }(\quad j T)  \tag{52}\\
& \text { sub ject to } f_{i}(\quad)=0 ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; m ;
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
'(j T)=g^{1}()^{t} T \quad\left(g^{1}()\right): \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If '( jT) has rational partial derivatives then the $m$ axim ization problem (52) can be solved algebraically by solving a polynom ial system of critical equations. D etails on this approach in the case of discrete data can be found in C atanese et al, (2006); H osten et al. (2005). H ow ever, depending on the intenplay of ${ }^{1}$ and them ean param etrization ,whidh according to (2.1) is the gradient $m$ ap of the log-Laplace transform, such an algebraic approach to $m$ axim um likelihood estim ation is possible also in other algebraic exponential fam ilies.

Proposition 28. The function ' ( $j T$ ) has rational partial derivatives if (i) the $m$ ap $\mathrm{g}^{1}$ is a rational m ap and (ii) the $\mathrm{map} \mathrm{g}^{1}$ has partial derivatives that are rational functions.

Exam ple 29 ( D iscrete likelihood equations). For the discrete exponential fam ily from E xam ple 4, the $m$ ean param eters are the probabilities $p_{1} ;::: ; p_{m} \quad 1$. The inverse of the $m$ ean param etrization $m$ ap has com ponent functions $\left({ }^{1}\right)_{x}=\log \left(p_{x}=p_{m}\right)$, where $p_{m}=$ $1 \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ A. Since $d \log (t)=d t=1=t$ is rational, ${ }^{1}$ has rational partial derivatives. H ence, $m$ axim um likelihood estim ates can be com puted algebraically if the discrete algebraic exponential fam ily is de ned in term $s$ of the probability coordinates $p_{1} ;::: ; p_{m} \quad 1$ $T$ his is the context of the above $m$ entioned work by C atanese et al. (2006); H osten et al. (2005).

E xam ple 30 (Factor analysis). Them ean param etrization for the fam ily ofm ultivariate norm aldistributions and its inverse ${ }^{1}$ are based on $m$ atrix inversions and thus are rational
$m$ aps. Thus algebraic $m$ axim um likelinood estim ation is possible whenever a G aussian algebraic exponential fam ily is de ned in tem $s$ of coordinates $g()$ for a rationalm ap $g$. This includes fam ilies de ned in the mean param eters ( ; ) or the natural param eters ( ${ }^{1}$; ${ }^{1}$ ).

As a concrete exam ple, consider the factor analysis model $w$ ith one factor and four observed variables. In centered form this $m$ odel is the fam ily of $m$ ultivariate norm al distributions $\mathrm{N}_{4}(0 ;)$ on $\mathrm{R}^{4} \mathrm{w}$ ith positive de nite covariance m atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\operatorname{diag}(!)+\quad{ }^{t} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where! $2(0 ; 1)^{4}$ and $2 R^{4}$. Equation (5.4) involves polynom ial expressions in = (! ; ). For algebraic $m$ axim um likelinood estim ation, how ever, it is com putationally m ore e cient to em ploy the fact that condition (5.4) is equivalent to requiring that the positive de nite natural param eter ${ }^{1}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{1}()=\operatorname{diag}(!) \quad{ }^{t} ; \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $=(!;) 2(0 ; 1)^{4} \quad \mathrm{R}^{4}$; com pare D rton et al, (2007, x8). W hen param etrizing 1 the map g is the identity map .

Let $S$ be the em pirical covariance $m$ atrix from a sam ple of random vectors $X_{1} ;:: ; X_{n}$ in $R^{4}$; com pare (4.1). W e can solve the $m$ axim ization problem (52) by plugging the polynom ial param etric expression for $=1$ from (5.5) into the $G$ aussian version of the log-likelihood function in (5.3). Taking partial derivatives we nd the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(1^{1}()\right)} \frac{@ \operatorname{det}\left({ }^{1}()\right)}{@_{i}}=\operatorname{trace} S \frac{@^{1}()}{@_{i}} ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; 8: \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations can be $m$ ade polynom ial by multiplying by $\operatorname{det}\left({ }^{1}\right.$ ( )). C learing the denom inator introduces $m$ any additional solutions $2 C^{8}$ to the system, which lead to non-invertible matrices ${ }^{1}$ ( ). H ow ever, these extraneous solutions can be rem oved using an operation called saturation. A fter saturation, the (com plex) solution set of (5.6) is seen to consist of57 isolated points. These 57 solutions com e in pairs $=(!$; $)$; one solution has $=0$.
$W$ hen the em pirical covariance $m$ atrix $S$ is rounded then we can com pute the 57 solutions using softw are for algebraic and num erical solving of polynom ial equations. For the exam ple

| 0 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 11 | 3 | ${ }_{2} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{B}}$ | 1 | 3 | 9 | 1 C |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 |

we nd that (5.6) has 11 feasible solutions in $(0 ; 1)^{4} \quad R^{4}$. V ia (5.5), these solutions de ne 6 distinct factor analysis covariance $m$ atrices. T w o of these $m$ atrioes yield localm axim a of the likelinood function:

| 0 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 2:1242 | $0: 9870$ | 2:5876 |  | 13 | 2:1816 | 1:0100 | 1:0962 |
| $\stackrel{\text { B }}{ }{ }^{\text {B }} 2: 1242$ | 11 | 0:89407 | 2:3440 ${ }^{\text {C }}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { B }}{ }$ - $2: 1816$ | 11 | 2:3862 | 2:3779C |
| @ 0:9870 | 0:8941 | 9 | $1: 0891$ ¢ | ; | © 1:0100 | 2:3862 | 9 | 1:1990Ă |
| 2:5876 | 2:3440 | 1:0891 | 7 |  | 1:0962 | 2:3779 | 1:1990 | 7 |

$T$ he $m$ atrix to the left has the larger value of the likelinood function and we claim that it yields the global m axim um . For this claim to be valid we have to check that no matrix close to the boundary of the set $f{ }^{1}()$ j $2(0 ; 1)^{4} \quad R^{4} g$ has larger value of the likelinood function. Suppose this was not true. T hen the likelihood function would have to achieve its global m axim um over the cone of positive de nite $m$ atrioes outside the set f ${ }^{1}()$ j $2(0 ; 1)^{4} g$. In order to rule out this possibility, we consider all the complex solutions $\overline{6}(0 ; 1)^{4} \quad R^{4}$ of (5.6) that induce real and positive de nite $m$ atrioes
${ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{)}\right.$. There are ten such solutions, which allhave ! $2 \mathrm{R}^{4}$ and purely im aginary $2 \mathrm{i}^{4}$. $T$ here are ve di erent induced $m$ atrices ${ }^{1}$ ( ), but at all of them the likelihood function is $s m$ aller than for the two quoted localm axim izer. This con m s our claim.

A s a second interesting exam ple consider

The equations (5.6) have again 11 feasible solutions ${ }^{\wedge}$. A ssociated are 6 distinct factor analysis covariance $m$ atrices that all correspond to saddle points of the likelihood function . $H$ ence, if we close the set of inverse covariance matriaes f ${ }^{1}() j^{2}(0 ; 1)^{A} \quad R^{4} g$, then the global optim um of the likelihood function over this closure m ust be attained on the boundary.

In order to determ ine which boundary solution provides the global maxim um of the likelihood function, it is $m$ ore convenient to sw itch back to the standard param eterization in (5.4), which w rites the covariance $m$ atrix as ( ) for $=(!;)$ in $(0 ; 1)^{4} R^{4}$. The closure of $f() j \quad 2(0 ; 1)^{4} \quad R^{4} g$ is obtained by closing the param eter dom ain to $[0 ; 1)^{4} \quad R^{4}$. Since $S_{2}$ is positive de nite, the globalm axim izer of the likelinood function $m$ ust be a $m$ atrix of full rank, which im plies that at $m$ ost one of the four param eters ! i can be zero. In each of the four possible classes of boundary cases the induced likelinood equations (in 7 param eters) have a closed form solution leading to a unique covariance
$m$ atrix. We nd that the global $m$ axim um is achieved in the case $!_{1}=0$. The global $m$ axim izer of the likelihood functions over the closure of the param eter space equals

| 0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 11 | 1 | 5 |
| $\stackrel{\text { B }}{\text { B }} 11$ | 23 | 0:3548 | 1:7742 |
| B 1 | 0:3548 | 7 | $0: 1613 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| 5 | 1:7742 | 0:1613 | 7 |

In the factor analysis literature data leading to such boundary problem s are known as H eyw ood cases. H ence, our com putation proves that $S_{2}$ constitutes a $H$ eyw ood case.

## 6. C on clusion

In this paper, we have attem pted to present a useful, uni ed de nition of an algebraic statisticalm odel. In this de nition, an algebraic m odel is a subm odel of a reference $m$ odel $w$ ith nige statistical properties. W orking prim arily $w$ ith $s m$ all exam ples of conditional independence $m$ odels, we have tried to illustrate how our de nition $m$ ight be a useful fram ew ork, in which the geom etry of param eter spaces can be related to properties of statistical in ference procedures. Since we im pose algebraic structure, this geom etry can be studied using algebraic techniques, which allow one to tackle problem swhere sim ple linear argum ents will not work. In order to apply these algebraic techniques in a particular exam ple of interest, one can resort to one of the $m$ any softw are system $s$, both free and com $m$ ercial, that provide im plem entations of algorithm $s$ for carrying out the necessary com putations. A com prehensive list of useful softw are can be found in Chapter 2 of P achter and Sturm fels (2005).

W hile we believe that future work in algebraic statistics $m$ ay involve reference m odels in which the notion of \nice statistical properties" is lled with life in $m$ any di erent ways, we also believe that the $m$ ost im portant class of reference $m$ odels are regular exponential fam ilies. This led us to consider what we term ed algebraic exponential fam ilies. These fam ilies w ere show $n$ to be exible enough to encom pass structures arising from $m$ arginalization, i.e., the involvem ent of hidden variables. $H$ idden variable m odels typically do not form curved exponential fam ilies, which triggered G eiger et al, (2001) to introduce their strati ed exponential fam ilies. These strati ed fam ilies are m ore general than both algebraic and curved exponential fam ilies but, as our E xam ple 9 suggests, they seem in fact to be too general to allow the derivation of results that would hold in the entire class of $m$ odels. In algebraic exponential fam ilies, on the other hand, the restriction to sem ialgebraic sets entails that param eter spaces alw ays have nige local geom etric properties and phenom ena as created in Exam ple 9 cannot occur. In light of this fact, our algebraic exponential fam ilies appear to be in particular a good fram ew ork for the study of hidden
variable m odels, which are w idely used m odels w hose statistical properties have yet to be understood in entirety.
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