Integral O perators on Spaces of C ontinuous V ector-valued functions

by

Paulette Saab

Abstract Let X be a compact Hausdor space, let E be a Banach space, and let C (X;E) stand for the Banach space of E-valued continuous functions on X under the uniform norm. In this paper we characterize Integral operators (in the sense of G rothendieck) on C (X;E) spaces in term of their representing vector measures. This is then used to give some applications to Nuclear operators on C (X;E) spaces.

AMS (MOS) subject Classication (1980). Primary 46E40, 46G10; Secondary 28B05, 28B20.

Supported in part by an NSF Grant DMS-87500750

Introduction Let X be a compact Hausdor space, let E and F be Banach spaces. Denote by C (X;E) the space of all continuous E-valued functions de ned on X under the uniform norm. In [9] C. Swartz showed that a bounded linear operator T:C(X;E)! F with representing measure G is absolutely sum ming if and only if each of the values of G is an absolutely sum ming operator from E to F and G is of bounded variation as a measure taking its values in the space of absolutely sum ming operators from E to F equipped with the absolutely sum ming norm. In this paper we shall extend Swartz's result to the class of (G rothendieck) integral operators on C(X;E) spaces. More precisely we shall show that a bounded linear operator T:C(X;E)! F with representing measure G is an integral operator if and only if each of the values of G is an integral operator from E to F and G is of bounded variation as a vector measure taking its values in the space of integral operators from E to F equipped with the integral norm. This result is then used to give some applications to Nuclear operators on C(X;E) spaces.

I. P relim in arises If X is a compact Hausdor space and E is a Banach space , then C(X;E) will denote the Banach space of all continuous E-valued functions equipped with the uniform norm. It is well known [4,page 182] that the dual of C(X;E) is isometrically isomorphic to the space M(X;E) of all regular E-valued measures on X that are of bounded variation. When E is the scalar eld, we will simply write C(X) and M(X) for C(X;E) and M(X;E). If P(X;E) and P(X;E) are P(X;E) and P(X;E) and P(X;E) and P(X;E) are P(X;E) and P(X;E) are P(X;E) anational experimental experimental experimental experimental exper

he;
$$i(B) = (B)(e)$$
:

The duality between M (X; E) and C (X; E) is then de ned as follows: for each f 2 C (X) and e 2 E

$$(f e) = \begin{cases} Z \\ fdhe; i \end{cases}$$

where f e is the element of C (X; E) de ned by

$$f e(x) = f(x)e for all x 2 X$$
:

If B is a Borel subset of X , then 1_B will denote the characteristic function of B , and if e 2 E we let 1_B e denote the element of C (X ; E) de ned by

$$1_{B}$$
 e() = he; i(B) = (B)(e)

for each 2 M (X; E).

If X is a compact Hausdor space , E and F are Banach spaces , every bounded linear operator T:C(X;E)! F has a representing measure G. The measure G is dened on the -eld of Borel subsets of X and takes its values in L(E;F), the space of all bounded linear operators from E to F. The measure G is such that for each Borel subset B of X and for each e 2 E

$$G(B)e = T(1_B)e$$

For y $2~\rm F$, if we denote by G $_{\rm y}$ the E - valued m easure on X such that for each B orel subset B of X and each e $2~\rm E$

$$he;G_v i(B) = hy ;G(B)ei$$

then G_y is the unique element of M (X; E) that represents T y in the sense that for each f 2 C (X; E)

hy; Tfi =
$$f(x)dG_y(x)$$

If E ad F are Banach space, we denote by E F the algebraic tensor product of E and F endowed with the norm jj;j

The completion $E \cap F$ of $E \cap F$ is called the injective tensor product of E and F.

A bounded linear operator T:E!F from a Banach space E into a Banach space F is said to be an integral operator if the bilinear form on E F de ned by (e;y)=y (Te) for e2 E and y2 F determines an element of (E^F); the dual of the injective tensor product E^F of the Banach spaces E and F. The integral norm of E0, which we will denote by E1 E1 is just the norm of the bounded linear functional

induced by $\$ as an element of the dual space (E $^{\circ}$ F) . Hence if T : E $\$! F is an integral operator, then

Finally, I (E; F) will stand for the Banach space of all integral operators from E to F equipped with the integral norm. For all unde ned notions and notations we refer the reader to [3], [4] or [5].

One of the most useful tools in the study of an integral operators T between two B anach spaces E and F is its representation by a nite regular B orel scalar measure on the compact B (E) B (F), the product of the closed unit balls of E and F equipped with their weak -topologies. This, of course, goes back to G rothendieck [6] and can be used to characterize integral operators by the following characterization that we will state and prove before proving the main result.

Proposition 1 A bounded linear operator T:E:F between two Banach spaces is an integral operator if and only if there exists a regular F—valued vector m easure m of bounded variation de ned on the —eld of Borel subsets of the closed unit ball E), such that for each E E

$$Te = \begin{cases} e & (e) & (e) \end{cases}$$

In this case m can be chosen so that k T k_{int} = jm j(B (E)):

Proof: Assume that there exists a regular F -valued vector measure m de ned on the -eld of Borel subsets of B (E) with jm j(B (E)) < 1 and such that for each e 2 E

$$Te = e$$
 (e) dm (e)

This in particular shows that the operator J T:E! F, where J denotes the natural embedding of F into F, has an extension \hat{T} to an integral operator from C (B (E)) to F. Hence T is an integral operator [4,page 233] and

Conversely, suppose that T:E ! F is an integral operator, then it follows from [4,page 231] that there exists a regular Borelm easure on B (E) B (F) such that for each e 2 E and y 2 F

and

$$k T k_{int} = j j(B (E) B (F))$$
:

Following [4,page 234], de ne

$$S:E ! L_1 () by Se(e;y) = e(e)$$

and

$$R:F$$
 ! L_1 () by Ry (e; y) = y (y):

Then S and R are bounded linear operators with kSk;kRk 1. Let Q be the restriction of R to L_1 (), then Q is a bounded linear operator from L_1 () into F . It is im mediate that J T = Q I S where I:L () ! L_1 () is the natural inclusion and J:F ! F is the natural embedding. This in particular shows that the operator Q I restricted to C (B (E) B (F)) is an integral operator whose representing F -valued measure ^ is such that

$$^{(C)} = Q(1_{C})$$

for each Borel subset C of B (E) B (F). Finally note that since the natural projection of B (E) B (F) onto B (E) is a continuous mapping it induces a bounded linear operator from C (B (E)) into C (B (E) B (F)) as follows; for 2 C (B (E)), let ^ 2 C (B (E) B (F)) be such that

This of course shows that the operator J T extends to an integral operator

such that for each 2 C (B (E))

$$\hat{T} = Q I(\hat{)}$$

It is im m ediate that the F $\,$ -valued m easure m $\,$ representing \hat{T} is such that

$$m (B) = Q (1_{B B (F)})$$

and

$$jm j(B(E)) j j(B(E)) B(F)) = k T k_{int}$$
:

Since T extends T it follows that

$$k T k_{int} = jm j(B (E))$$
:

Main Result Throughout this section X is a compact Hausdor space, E and F are Banach spaces and T:C(X;E)! F is a bounded linear operator with representing measure G. The main result of this paper gives a characterization of integral operators T in terms of some properties of G. The rst step to achieve such a characterization is to show that if T is an integral operator on C(X;E), then one can do a little better than Proposition 1 by representing the operator T by a regular F -valued measure of bounded variation dened on the -eld of Borel subsets of X B(E) rather than on the whole unit ball of (C(X;E)). The proof we present here is dierent from our earlier proof which relied on our result [7]. We would like to thank the referee for suggesting the following approach which relies more on basic knowledge and classical results of vector measures that can be found in [4] or [5].

Lem m a 2 . If T:C (X;E) ! F is an integral operator, then there exists a regular F -valued m easure of bounded variation on the -eld of Borel subsets of X B (E) such that for each f 2 C (X;E) and y 2 F

and

$$k T k_{int} = j j(X B (E))$$
:

Proof: Suppose that T:C(X;E)! F is an integral operator, then the bilinear map (f;y) = y(T(f)) for $f \in C(X;E)$ and $g \in F$ de nest an element of G(X;E) F). It is easy to check that G(X;E) F embeds isometrically in G(X) B(E) B(F) such that if $f \in C(X;E)$ and $g \in F$

$$f y (x; e; y) = e (f(x))y (y)$$

for each (x;e;y) 2 = X B (E) B (F). Hence by the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists a regular Borelm easure on so that for each f 2 C (X;E) and y 2 F

hy; Tfi =
$$e(f(x))y(y)d(x;e;y)$$
:

and

$$k T k_{int} = j j()$$
:

The proof now follows the steps of Proposition 1. Indeed, since the natural projection of onto X B (E) is continuous it induces a bounded linear operator

$$S:C(X B(E)) ! L_1()$$

such that if 2 C (X B (E)) then

$$S()(x;e;y) = (x;e)$$

for all (x;e;y) 2:

Let R:F ! L_1 () be de ned by R (y) (x;e;y) = y (y) and let Q be the restriction of R to L_1 (). It is straightforward to check that the operator Q I S is an integral operator whose representing measure is such that

(C) = Q
$$(1_{C B(F)})$$

for each Borel subset C of X B (E), and

$$j j(X B(E))$$
 $j j() = k T k_{int}$:

Moreover note that C(X; E) embeds isometrically in C(X B(E)) by

$$f(x;e) = e(f(x))$$

for each f 2 C (X; E) and (x; e) 2 X B (E). It is easy to see that for each f 2 C (X; E)

$$J T(f) = Q I S(f)$$

hence

$$T(f) = \sum_{X \in E(E)} e(f(x))d(x;e)$$

and

$$kT k_{int} kQ I S k_{int} j j(X B (E)):$$

This complete the proof of Lem ma2.

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3 Let X be a compact Hausdor space, let E and F be Banach spaces and let T:C(X;E)! F be a bounded linear operator with representing measure G. Then T is an integral operator if and only if for each Borel subset B of X, the operator G(B):E! F is integral and the measure G is of nite variation as a vector measure taking its values in I(E;F) equipped with the integral norm.

Proof: Assume that T:C(X;E)! F is an integral operator. Let G denote the vector measure representing the operator T, hence for each Borel subset B of X and each e 2 E

$$G(B)e = T(1_B)e$$

It is clear at this stage, that since T is also integral [4, p.236], then for each Borel subset B of X the operator G (B): E! F is an integral operator as the composition of T and the bounded linear operator E! C (X; E) which to each e in E associates the element 1_B e. In what follows we shall concentrate on estimating the value of k G (B) $k_{\rm int}$. For this note that by Lemma 2 there exists a regular F -valued measure dened on the

$$T (f) = (f (x))d (x;e)$$

and

$$k T k_{int} = j j(X B (E))$$
:

It follows that for each y 2 F and f 2 C (X; E)

$$\text{MT y ;fi=} \qquad \text{e (f(x))d}_{\text{y}} \text{ (x;e):}$$

where $_{y}$ is the scalar m easure on X B (E) de ned by

$$_{y}$$
 (C) = (C) (y)

for each Borel subset C of X B (E).

We claim that for each Borel subset B of X and for each e 2 E

(*)
$$G (B) e = e (e) d (x;e):$$

For this suppose that y 2 F and K is a compact G subset of X. Let $(u_n)_{n=1}$ be a sequence of continuous real valued functions so that 0 u_n 1 for all n 1 and u_n converges to 1_K pointwise. If e 2 E, then

$$hG(K)e;y i = he;G_v i(K)$$

$$= \lim_{n! \ 1} hy ; T (u_n \ e)i$$

$$Z$$

$$= \lim_{n! \ 1} u_n (x)e (e)d_y (x;e)$$

$$Z$$

$$= \int_{X \ B (E)} 1_K (x)e (e)d_y (x;e)$$

$$Z$$

$$= \int_{K \ B (E)} e (e)d_y (x;e)$$

T herefore

M oreover, since for each y $\,2\,$ F $\,$ the E $\,$ -valued vector m easure G $_{\rm y}$ $\,$ is regular, it follows that

G (B)
$$e = e (e)d (x;e)$$

for all Borel subsets B of X . This proves our claim . If we denote by $p: X \to B \to P$ the projection mapping which to each (x;e) in $X \to B \to P$ associates e in B (E), then for each Borel subset B of X , let $_B$ denote the regular F—valued measure denied on the - eld of Borel subsets of B (E) as follows: for each Borel subset V of B (E)

$$_{\rm B}$$
 (V) = (B V):

In other words $_{\rm B}$ = $^{1}\!\!\!/\!\!\!/\,^{2}$ B (E) $^{1}\!\!\!/\,^{2}$ which is the image measure of the restriction of to B B (E) by p. This implies that for each e 2 E

Equations () and () show that the measure $_{\rm B}$ is a regular F -valued measure that represents the operator G (B): E $_{\rm E}$! F. Hence by Proposition 1

$$k G (B) k_{int} j_B j(B (E)) = j j(B B (E))$$
:

and therefore

(y)
$$k G (B) k_{int} j j (B B (E)$$

Hence the vector m easure G representing the operator T takes its values in I (E; F) and it follows from (Y) that

Here of course 15 j_{nt} (X) = $\sup_{B_{\pm 2}}^{P}$ k G (B $_{i}$) k_{int} where the sup is taken over all the nite partitions of X into B orel subsets of X .

Conversely, suppose T:C(X;E)! F is such that

G: ! I(E;F) and
$$\frac{1}{3}G_{int}(X) < 1$$
;

we need to show that T is an integral operator. For this, note that if J denotes the natural embedding of F into F , then by [4, p. 233] it is enough to show that J T : C (X; E)! F is an integral operator. The mapping J induces a mapping \hat{J} : I(E; F)! I(E; F) de ned as follows, for each U 2 I(E; F)

$$\hat{J}(U) = J \quad U$$

this in turn induces a vector m easure \hat{G} : ! I(E;F) such that

$$\hat{G}(B) = \hat{J}(G(B))$$

for all B 2 P . It is im mediate that \hat{G} is the measure representing the operator J T. Since $\hat{J}_{int}(X) < 1$, it follows easily that $\hat{J}_{int}(X) < 1$. Moreover, since I(E;F) is isometric to the dual space of the injective tensor product E F [4;p:237], it follows that \hat{G} is a vector measure of bounded variation taking its values in the dual space (E F) . Since $\hat{J}_{int}(X) < 1$, it follows from [4,page 7] that \hat{G} is strongly additive. Recall that for each e 2 E and y 2 F, the scalar measure he; G_y i is in M(X). Hence for u = P^P e_i Y_i 2 E F , let hu; \hat{G} i be the scalar measure dened on X as follows: for each i=1 B orel subset B of X

$$hu; \hat{G} i(B) = \hat{G} (B) (u)$$

$$= X^{n} \text{ hG } (B) e_{i}; y_{i} i$$

$$= X^{n} \text{ he}_{i}; G_{y_{i}} i(B)$$

This implies that for each $u \ 2 \ E \ F$ the measure $hu; \hat{G}i \ 2 \ M \ (X)$. This in particular shows that $\hat{G}: \ ! \ (E \ F)$ is countably additive with respect to the weak topology on $(E \ F)$. Since \hat{G} is strongly additive, it follows easily that G is countably additive. Finally, since \hat{G} is weak regular, a glance at $[4,page \ 157]$ reveals that it is also regular.

It follows that \hat{G} de nes an element of C (X ; E $^{\hat{}}$ F) , hence by [5, page 269] there exists a weak $\hat{J}\hat{G}$ \hat{J}_{int} —integrable function

such that k h (x) k_{int} = 1 $j\hat{G}$ j_{nt} a.e., \hat{G} = h $j\hat{G}$ j_{nt} , and for each f 2 C (X ; E ^ F)

$$hf; \hat{G}i = \int_{X}^{Z} hf(x); h(x)idj \hat{G}j_{int}(x)$$

In particular, if ' 2 C (X; E) and y 2 F, let f = ' y be the element of C (X; E $^{\hat{}}$ F) de ned by

'
$$y(x) = '(x)$$
 y for all $x 2 X$:

then

$$h' \quad y \; ; \hat{G} i = \begin{cases} Z \\ y \; hh(x);'(x) i d j \hat{G} \; j_{int} \; j(x) \end{cases}$$

But we also have

(***)
$$hJ T'; yi = h'; \hat{G}_{y} i$$

where \hat{G}_{y} is the element of M (X; E) such that for each Borel subset B of X

$$\hat{G}_y$$
 (B) = y (\hat{G} (B)) = y (G (B)):

Hence since $\hat{G} = h$ \hat{G}_{jnt} , it follows that for each Borel subset B of X

$$\hat{G}_{y}$$
 (B) = $\underset{B}{\text{Z}}$ y h(x) dj $\hat{G}_{j_{nt}}$ (x):

This implies that for each '2 C (X; E)

(****)
$$h'; \hat{G}_y i = \begin{cases} Z \\ y hh(x);'(x) idj \hat{G}_{jnt}(x) \end{cases}$$

It follows that if $\sum_{i=1}^{p_n} y_i$ is in C (X ;E) F with $k \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} y_i$ by 1, then for each x in X

since

It follows then from () and () that

$$\mathbf{k}$$
 \mathbf{k}
 \mathbf{k}
 \mathbf{j}
 \mathbf{j}

Hence J T is an element of I (C (X; E); F) which implies that T 2 I (C (X; E); F). This completes the proof.

A pplications The study of integral operators on C (X;E) spaces was motivated by some problem s that arose in [8] concerning nuclear operators on C (X;E) spaces. Recall that an operator T between two B anach spaces Y and Z is said to be a nuclear operator if there exist sequences (y_n) and (z_n) in Y and Z respectively, such that $\sum_{n=1}^{p} k y_n kk z_n k < 1$ and for each y 2 Y

$$T_{y} = X$$
 $y_{n} (y) z_{n}$:

the nuclear norm is de ned by

where the in mum is taken over all sequences (y_n) and (z_n) such that $Ty = \sum_{n=1}^{p} y_n (y) z_n$ for all $y \ge Y$. We shall denote by N (Y; Z) the space of nuclear operators from Y to Z under the nuclear norm. The study of nuclear operators on C(X; E) spaces was initiated in [1] where some of the known results in the scalar case were extended. Nuclear operators on C(X; E) spaces were also considered in [2] where it was shown that if T: C(X; E) = F is a nuclear operator then for each Borel subset B of X, the operator G(B): E = F is nuclear. In [B] it was shown that the representing measure G of a nuclear operator is in fact of bounded variation as a vector measure taking its values in F is a nuclear operator as a vector measure taking its values in F is not F. Hence all the above known results can be sum marrized as follows:

Proposition 4 Let X be a compact Hausdor space and let E and F be two Banach spaces. If T is a nuclear operator from C (X; E) into F with representing measure G, then

- (i) For any Borel subset B of X the operator G (B): E! F is nuclear, and
- (ii) G is of bounded variation as a vector m easure taking its values in N (E; F) under the nuclear norm.

Easy examples show that conditions (i) and (ii) above do not characterize nuclear operators on C (X; E) spaces. As a matter of fact, it was shown in [8] that counterexamples can be given as soon as the range space F fails to have the so-called Radon-Nikodym property (RNP) see [4]. The interesting question that arises is then:

Question 5 Assume that F has the (RNP) and that T: C(X;E)! F is an operator whose representing measure G satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4, is T a nuclear operator?

In what follows, we shall show how Theorem 3 can be used to give a positive answer to Question 5 in case F is assumed to be complemented in its bidual.

Theorem 6 Let F be a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property and assume that F is complemented in its bidual. A bounded linear operator T: C(X;E)! F is nuclear whenever its representing vector measure G satisfies the following conditions

- (i) For each Borel subset B of X, the operator G (B): E! F is nuclear, and
- (ii) G is of bounded variation as a vector measure taking its values in N (E; F).

Proof: First note that for an arbitrary Banach space F any element U in N (E;F) is in I(E;F) with kU $k_{\rm int}$ kU $k_{\rm nuc}$. Hence by Theorem 3 an operator T: C(X;E)! F that satis es conditions (i) and (ii) above is indeed integral. Therefore when in addition we assume that F is complemented in its bidual F, the operator T becomes Pietsch integral (also called strictly integral) see [4, p.235]. Under these conditions and if F is assumed to have the Radon-Nikodym property, it is well known [4, p.175] that T becomes a nuclear operator. This completes the proof.

Remark As we have just seen, Theorem 6 is a direct application of the main result of this paper, it should be noted that Theorem 6 gives a positive answer to Question 5 when F is a dual space and when F is a Banach lattice.

B ib liography

- [1] G.Alexander, Linear Operators on the Space of Vector-Valued Continuous Functions, Ph.D. thesis, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 1972.
- [2] R. Bilyeu and P. Lewis, Some mapping properties of representing measures, Ann. Math. Pure Appl. CIX (1976), 273{287.
- [3] G. Choquet, Lecture on Analysis, Vol. II, Benjam in (1969).
- [4] J.D iestel and J.J.Uhl, Jr., Vector m easures M ath. Surveys 15 Am erican M athem atical Society, (1977).
- [5] N.D inculeanu, Vector measures, Pergamon Press, (1967).
- [6] A.G rothendieck, Produit Tensoriels topologiques et Espaces Nucleaires. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 16, (1955).
- [7] P. Saab, Integral representation by boundary vector m easures. Canadian M ath. Bull. 25 (2) (1982), 164{168.
- [8] B.Smith, Some Bounded Linear Operators on the Spaces C (; E) and A (K; E), Ph.D. thesis, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, 1989.
- [9] C. Swartz, Absolutely sum ming and dominated operators on spaces of vector valued continuous functions, Trans. Am er. Math. Soc., 179, (1973), 123-132.

University of Missouri
Department of Mathematics
Columbia, MO 65211